



**2018/2046(BUD)**

25.7.2018

# **AMENDMENTS**

## **1 - 40**

**Draft opinion**  
**Kostas Chrysogonos**  
(PE623.736v01-00)

General budget of the European Union for the financial year 2019 - all sections  
(2018/2046(BUD))



**Amendment 1**  
**Petr Ježek**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1**

*Draft opinion*

1. Welcomes the increase (+6.7%) of commitment appropriation for Heading III (Security & Citizenship) from 3.49 billion euros in 2018 to 3.73 billion euros in 2019; highlights that this increase requires to top-up the ceiling of Heading III by more 25% to supplement it by 927.5 million euros in 2019 (+10% compared to 2018); notes that this is the fifth year in a row that the flexibility instrument is invoked to support actions that are under implementations since 2015; *invites* therefore **Commission, as part of the new post 2020 MFF, to establish a permanent and sustainable mechanism for financing migration and security actions and to only rely on flexibility mechanisms for financing true unforeseen crises;**

*Amendment*

1. Welcomes the increase (+6.7%) of commitment appropriation for Heading III (Security & Citizenship) from 3.49 billion euros in 2018 to 3.73 billion euros in 2019; highlights that this increase requires to top-up the ceiling of Heading III by more **than** 25% to supplement it by 927.5 million euros in 2019 (+10% compared to 2018); notes that this is the fifth year in a row that the flexibility instrument is invoked to support actions that are under implementations since 2015; **welcomes, therefore, the Commission's proposal on the post-2020 MFF, which increases financing for migration and security actions;**

Or. en

**Amendment 2**  
**Anders Primdahl Vistisen, Monica Macovei**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 2**

*Draft opinion*

2. Notes the rebalancing of commitment appropriation between ISF and AMIF in favour of the latter following outcome of the mid-term review of the two programs and as a result of the continued pressure on the migration front; *welcomes* the very significant increase of AMIF commitment appropriation by 401.7 million euros (+56%) in order to finance

*Amendment*

2. ***Underlines that tackling internal security must remain one of the Union's main priorities and stresses the role of the Internal Security Fund (ISF) as the key financial instrument to support Member States in the area of security, including the fight against terrorism and radicalisation, serious and organised crime and cybercrime;*** Notes, **however,**

the new Dublin legislation (assuming it is adopted by the end of 2018) and an envelope of 175 million euros requested for Greece following notably, the end of the Emergency Humanitarian Support instrument on 1 January 2019;

the rebalancing of commitment appropriation between ISF and AMIF in favour of the latter following outcome of the mid-term review of the two programs and as a result of the continued pressure on the migration front; **notes** the very significant increase of AMIF commitment appropriation by 401.7 million euros (+56%) in order to finance the new Dublin legislation (assuming it is adopted by the end of 2018) and an envelope of 175 million euros requested for Greece following notably, the end of the Emergency Humanitarian Support instrument on 1 January 2019;

Or. en

### **Amendment 3** **Andrejs Mamikins**

#### **Draft opinion** **Paragraph 2**

##### *Draft opinion*

2. Notes the rebalancing of commitment appropriation between ISF and AMIF in favour of the latter following outcome of the mid-term review of the two programs and as a result of the continued pressure on the migration front; welcomes the very significant increase of AMIF commitment appropriation by 401.7 million euros (+56%) in order to finance the new Dublin legislation (assuming it is adopted by the end of 2018) **and an** envelope of 175 million euros requested for Greece following notably, the end of the Emergency Humanitarian Support instrument on 1 January 2019;

##### *Amendment*

2. Notes the rebalancing of commitment appropriation between ISF and AMIF in favour of the latter following outcome of the mid-term review of the two programs and as a result of the continued pressure on the migration front; welcomes the very significant increase of AMIF commitment appropriation by 401.7 million euros (+56%) in order to finance the new Dublin legislation (assuming it is adopted by the end of 2018), ***in that regard calls on the Commission, in any event, to prioritise relocations in 2019, welcomes also the*** envelope of 175 million euros requested for Greece following notably, the end of the Emergency Humanitarian Support instrument on 1 January 2019;

Or. en

**Amendment 4**  
**Ana Miranda**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 2**

*Draft opinion*

2. Notes the rebalancing of commitment appropriation between ISF and AMIF in favour of the latter following outcome of the mid-term review of the two programs **and as a result of the continued pressure on the migration front**; welcomes the very significant increase of AMIF commitment appropriation by 401.7 million euros (+56%) in order to finance the new Dublin legislation (assuming it is adopted by the end of 2018) and an envelope of 175 million euros requested for Greece following notably, the end of the Emergency Humanitarian Support instrument on 1 January 2019;

*Amendment*

2. Notes the rebalancing of commitment appropriation between ISF and AMIF in favour of the latter following outcome of the mid-term review of the two programs; welcomes the very significant increase of AMIF commitment appropriation by 401.7 million euros (+56%) in order to finance the new Dublin legislation (assuming it is adopted by the end of 2018) and an envelope of 175 million euros requested for Greece following notably, the end of the Emergency Humanitarian Support instrument on 1 January 2019;

Or. en

**Amendment 5**  
**Petr Ježek**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 2**

*Draft opinion*

2. Notes the rebalancing of commitment **appropriation** between ISF and AMIF in favour of the latter following outcome of the mid-term review of the two programs and as a result of the continued pressure on the migration front; **welcomes** the very significant increase of AMIF commitment **appropriation** by 401.7 million euros (+56%) in order to finance the new Dublin legislation (assuming it is adopted by the end of 2018) and an envelope of 175 million euros requested for Greece following notably, the end of

*Amendment*

2. Notes the rebalancing of commitment **appropriations** between ISF and AMIF in favour of the latter following outcome of the mid-term review of the two programs and as a result of the continued pressure on the migration front; **further notes** the very significant increase of AMIF commitment **appropriations** by 401.7 million euros (+56%) in order to finance the new Dublin legislation (assuming it is adopted by the end of 2018) and an envelope of 175 million euros requested for Greece following notably, the

the Emergency Humanitarian Support instrument on 1 January 2019;

end of the Emergency Humanitarian Support instrument on 1 January 2019;

Or. en

**Amendment 6**  
**Andrejs Mamikins**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 2 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***2 a. Recalls that the fair and transparent distribution of funding between the different objectives of the Asylum, Migration and Integration Fund was a priority for Parliament during negotiations leading to the adoption of that fund; calls on the Commission accordingly to increase the number of budget lines under the Asylum Migration and Integration Fund to facilitate a better readability and transparency of how the financial resources allocated to the different objectives and thus to those budgetary lines that will be spent; calls, in particular, on the Commission to separate expenditure on enhancing fair return strategies from expenditure on legal migration and promoting the effective integration of third-country nationals in all future draft budgets as proposed in the opinion of the Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs for the 2015, 2016 and 2018 Budget;***

Or. en

**Amendment 7**  
**Ana Miranda**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 2 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***2 a. considers that, as regards AMIF, priority should be given to projects supporting asylum seekers and integration of migrants and refugees; acknowledges the positive contribution of regional and local authorities as well as civil society organisations in that regard, and asks for the EU and the Member states to support their actions including by granting them direct access to AMIF;***

Or. en

**Amendment 8**  
**Petr Ježek**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 2 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***2 a. Regrets the decrease in appropriations for the Internal Security Fund (ISF) by €191.5m for 2019; takes the view that any increase of allocations to the AMIF should be matched by an increase in funding for border control and tackling cross border crime;***

Or. en

**Amendment 9**  
**Ana Miranda**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 2 b (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***2 b. Stresses the significant role played by civil society organisations in saving the lives of migrants and asylum seekers at the external borders as well as in***

*safeguarding, promoting and implementing their rights; calls for more financial support to be given to strengthen the activities of civil society;*

Or. en

**Amendment 10**  
**Kostas Chrysogonos**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 3**

*Draft opinion*

3. Welcomes the establishment of a budget of 4.9 million euros for the EPPO which will prosecute cross-border crime; regrets *however that Eurojust is the only JHA agency facing budgetary cuts in 2019, involving* a counter-productive reduction of commitment appropriations for the Justice Program by -2.5 million euros (-5.4%); expresses its concern that such a reduction is untenable given the operational growth and the political priorities in security and justice; *calls on the Commission to provide Eurojust with a budget for 2019 that is at least at the same level than in 2018 (€38.6 million), while providing Eurojust with a total of 217 posts for 2019; points out as well in this context that the current Commission proposal for the funding of Eurojust in the next 2021-2027 MFF is insufficient as it corresponds to a cut of 15% on an annual basis compared to 2018;*

*Amendment*

3. Welcomes the establishment of a budget of 4.9 million euros for the EPPO which will prosecute cross-border crime; regrets a counter-productive reduction of commitment appropriations for the Justice Program by -2.5 million euros (-5.4%); expresses its concern that such a reduction is untenable given the operational growth and the political priorities in security and justice;

Or. en

**Amendment 11**  
**Petr Ježek**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 3**

PE625.566v02-00

8/27

AM\1159967EN.docx

*Draft opinion*

3. Welcomes the establishment of a budget of 4.9 million euros for the EPPO which will prosecute cross-border crime; regrets however that ***Eurojust is the only JHA agency facing budgetary cuts in 2019, involving a counter-productive reduction of commitment appropriations*** for the Justice Program by -2.5 million euros (-5.4%); expresses its concern that such ***a reduction is*** untenable given the operational growth and the political priorities in security and justice; calls on the Commission to provide Eurojust with a budget for 2019 that is at least at the same level than in 2018 (€38.6 million), while providing Eurojust with a total of 217 posts for 2019; ***points out as well in this context that the current Commission proposal for the funding of Eurojust in the next 2021-2027 MFF is insufficient as it corresponds to a cut of 15% on an annual basis compared to 2018;***

*Amendment*

3. Welcomes the establishment of a budget of 4.9 million euros for the EPPO which will prosecute cross-border crime; regrets however that ***funding*** for the Justice Program ***will be reduced*** by 2.5 million euros (5.4%); ***further regrets the reduction in Eurojust's budget of 1 million euros (-2.7%) in 2019;*** expresses its concern that such ***reductions are*** untenable given the operational growth and the political priorities in security and justice; calls on the Commission to provide Eurojust with a budget for 2019 that is at least at the same level than in 2018 (€38.6 million), while providing Eurojust with a total of 217 posts for 2019;

Or. en

**Amendment 12**

**Anders Primdahl Vistisen**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 3**

*Draft opinion*

3. ***Welcomes the establishment of a budget of 4.9 million euros for the EPPO which will prosecute cross-border crime;*** regrets ***however*** that Eurojust is the only JHA agency facing budgetary cuts in 2019, involving a counter-productive reduction of commitment appropriations for the Justice Program by -2.5 million euros (-5.4%); expresses its concern that such a reduction is untenable given the operational growth and the political

*Amendment*

3. Regrets that Eurojust is the only JHA agency facing budgetary cuts in 2019, involving a counter-productive reduction of commitment appropriations for the Justice Program by -2.5 million euros (-5.4%); expresses its concern that such a reduction is untenable given the operational growth and the political priorities in security and justice; calls on the Commission to provide Eurojust with a budget for 2019 that is at least at the same

priorities in security and justice; calls on the Commission to provide Eurojust with a budget for 2019 that is at least at the same level than in 2018 (€38.6 million), while providing Eurojust with a total of 217 posts for 2019; points out as well in this context that the current Commission proposal for the funding of Eurojust in the next 2021-2027 MFF is insufficient as it corresponds to a cut of 15% on an annual basis compared to 2018;

level than in 2018 (€38.6 million), while providing Eurojust with a total of 217 posts for 2019; points out as well in this context that the current Commission proposal for the funding of Eurojust in the next 2021-2027 MFF is insufficient as It corresponds to a cut of 15% on an annual basis compared to 2018;

Or. en

### Amendment 13

Tomáš Zdechovský, Monica Macovei, Monika Hohlmeier

#### Draft opinion

#### Paragraph 3

##### *Draft opinion*

3. Welcomes the establishment of a budget of 4.9 million euros for the EPPO which will prosecute cross-border crime; regrets however that Eurojust is ***the only JHA agency*** facing budgetary cuts in 2019, involving a counter-productive reduction of commitment appropriations for the Justice Program by -2.5 million euros (-5.4%); expresses its concern that such a reduction is untenable given the operational growth and the political priorities in security and justice; calls ***on the Commission to provide Eurojust with a budget for 2019 that is at least at the same level than in 2018 (€38.6 million)***, while providing Eurojust with a total of 217 posts ***for 2019***; points out as well in this context that the current Commission proposal for the funding of Eurojust in the next 2021-2027 MFF is insufficient as it corresponds to a cut of 15% on an annual basis compared to 2018;

##### *Amendment*

3. Welcomes the establishment of a budget of 4.9 million euros for the EPPO which will prosecute cross-border crime ***against the financial interests of the Union***; regrets however that Eurojust is facing budgetary cuts in 2019, involving a counter-productive reduction of commitment appropriations for the Justice Program by -2.5 million euros (-5.4%); expresses its concern that such a reduction is untenable given the operational growth and the political priorities in security and justice, ***especially in the area of terrorism and cross-border crime***; calls ***for a slight increase of the Eurojust budget 2019 compared to the level of 2018 in order to avoid negative impactson its operation***, while providing Eurojust with a total of 217 posts;points out as well in this context that the current Commission proposal for the funding of Eurojust in the next 2021-2027 MFF is insufficient as it corresponds to a cut of 15% on an annual basis compared to 2018;

**Amendment 14**  
**Andrejs Mamikins**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 3**

*Draft opinion*

3. Welcomes the establishment of a budget of 4.9 million euros for the EPPO which will prosecute cross-border crime; regrets however that Eurojust is the **only** JHA agency facing budgetary cuts in 2019, involving a counter-productive reduction of commitment appropriations for the Justice Program by -2.5 million euros (-5.4%); expresses its concern that such a reduction is untenable given the operational growth and the political priorities in security and justice; calls on the Commission to provide Eurojust with a budget for 2019 that is at least at the same level than in 2018 (€38.6 million), while providing Eurojust with a total of 217 posts for 2019; points out as well in this context that the current Commission proposal for the funding of Eurojust in the next 2021-2027 MFF is insufficient as it corresponds to a cut of 15% on an annual basis compared to 2018;

*Amendment*

3. Welcomes the establishment of a budget of 4.9 million euros for the EPPO which will prosecute cross-border crime; regrets however that Eurojust is **one of** the **JHA agency agencies together with the Fundamental Rights** Agency facing budgetary cuts in 2019, involving a counter-productive reduction of commitment appropriations for the Justice Program by -2.5 million euros (-5.4%); expresses its concern that such a reduction is untenable given the operational growth and the political priorities in security and justice; calls on the Commission to provide Eurojust with a budget for 2019 that is at least at the same level than in 2018 (€38.6 million), while providing Eurojust with a total of 217 posts for 2019; points out as well in this context that the current Commission proposal for the funding of Eurojust in the next 2021-2027 MFF is insufficient as it corresponds to a cut of 15% on an annual basis compared to 2018;

Or. en

**Amendment 15**  
**Ana Miranda**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 3**

*Draft opinion*

3. Welcomes the establishment of a budget of 4.9 million euros for the EPPO

*Amendment*

3. Welcomes the establishment of a budget of 4.9 million euros for the EPPO

which will prosecute cross-border crime; regrets however that Eurojust is the only JHA agency facing budgetary cuts in 2019, involving a counter-productive reduction of commitment appropriations for the Justice Program by -2.5 million euros (-5.4%); expresses its concern that such a reduction is untenable given the operational growth and the political priorities in security and justice; calls on the Commission to provide Eurojust with a budget for 2019 that is **at least at the same level than in 2018 (€38.6 million), while providing** Eurojust with a total of 217 posts for 2019; points out as well in this context that the current Commission proposal for the funding of Eurojust in the next 2021-2027 MFF is insufficient as it corresponds to a cut of 15% on an annual basis compared to 2018;

which will prosecute cross-border crime; regrets however that Eurojust is the only JHA agency facing budgetary cuts in 2019, involving a counter-productive reduction of commitment appropriations for the Justice Program by -2.5 million euros (-5.4%); expresses its concern that such a reduction is untenable given the operational growth and the political priorities in security and justice; calls on the Commission to provide Eurojust with a budget for 2019 that is **adequate to address its increasing caseload and coordination activities and to provide** Eurojust with a total of 217 posts for 2019; points out as well in this context that the current Commission proposal for the funding of Eurojust in the next 2021-2027 MFF is insufficient as it corresponds to a cut of 15% on an annual basis compared to 2018;

Or. en

## **Amendment 16**

**Tomáš Zdechovský, Monica Macovei, Monika Hohlmeier**

### **Draft opinion**

#### **Paragraph 3 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**3 a. Welcomes that the proposed funding for Frontex matches the initial request of the agency; points out, however, that the proposed number of posts does not take into account any anticipated needs for ETIAS, the application which should amount to a total of 20TAs and 67 CAs for 2020 when operations start; believes that 20 staff should be frontloaded to ensure a smooth and timely delivery of the core processes and infrastructures in 2021; considers that the correction co-efficient should be increased in order to address the geographical imbalance in relation to Frontex staff and the Agency's difficulties**

*to reach the targets set out in the establishment plan;*

Or. en

**Amendment 17**

**Monica Macovei, Monika Hohlmeier, Tomáš Zdechovský, Gérard Deprez, Petr Ježek, Jeroen Lenaers**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 3 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**3 a. *underlines Europol's increasing role in combating terrorism and organized crime, as well as in strengthening cross-border cooperation in the field of law enforcement; deeply regrets that the draft EU budget for 2019 put forward by the Commission implies a reduction of 21 million EUR and 38 temporary agent posts, compared to the proposal for 2019 agreed by the Member States in the Europol Management Board; calls for appropriate funding adjusted to the Agency's needs;***

Or. en

**Amendment 18**

**Kostas Chrysogonos**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 3 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**3 a. *Regrets the counter-productive reduction of commitment appropriations for the Justice Program by -2.5 million euros (-5.4%); expresses its concern that such a reduction is untenable given the operational growth and the political priorities in security and justice;***

*welcomes the establishment of a budget of 4.9million euros for the EPPO which will prosecute crimes against the financial interest of the European Union (PIF crimes);*

Or. en

**Amendment 19**  
**Kostas Chrysogonos**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 3 b (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***3 b. Regrets the proposed reduction of the budget and establishment plan of Eurojust, in contrast to other JHA Agencies, which implies that the EU stops half-way in its actions to fight present security threats as it does not allow for an effective judicial follow-up. Eurojust is the only EU body in a position to guarantee this through coordination and cooperation of investigative authorities in the fight against terrorism, cybercrime, migrant smuggling and organised crime; providing Eurojust with sufficient financial means is an essential prerequisite for the agency's proper functioning and for the development of all its strategic and operational activities; urges to reinforce Eurojust with a budget for 2019 of € 41.2 million and a total of 217 posts; stresses the need to match the budgetary needs of Eurojust with a realistic forecast in the MFF 2021-2027 in line with the position of the European Parliament on the need to provide sufficient resources in the MFF 2021-2027 to JHA agencies, and in particular to Eurojust, to avoid systematic recourse to the flexibility provisions of the MFF every year;***

Or. en

**Amendment 20**

**Monica Macovei, Monika Hohlmeier, Tomáš Zdechovský, Gérard Deprez, Petr Ježek, Jeroen Lenaers**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 3 b (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***3 b. stresses that the proposed 2019 budget does not meet the levels required by Europol's role in fighting trans-border serious crime, including money laundering and cybercrime and in preventing and fighting terrorism; this even represents a substantial reduction compared to the budget currently being implemented; is concerned that insufficient budgeting has led to the deprioritization of important operational technological initiatives and activities; asks for financial allocations of 143,3 million EUR, in line with the Agency's draft budget for 2019 endorsed by the Member States and the Commission in the Management Board;***

Or. en

**Amendment 21**

**Kostas Chrysogonos**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 4**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

4. Welcomes the level of funding of EASO which according to the agency matches its initial request to the Commission; regrets however that this is not the case for eu-LISA; points out that 2019 will be an extremely challenging year for eu-LISA given the substantial increase of its tasks and budget allocated and that it

4. Welcomes the level of funding of EASO which according to the agency matches its initial request to the Commission; regrets however that this is not the case for eu-LISA; points out that 2019 will be an extremely challenging year for eu-LISA given the substantial increase of its tasks and budget allocated and that it

will need an adequate reinforcement of its resources to deliver according to its new mandate;

will need an adequate reinforcement of its resources to deliver according to its new mandate; ***highlights that commitment appropriations are necessary for eu-LISA, especially for the execution of its planned activities in 2019, including the second phase of the Automated Fingerprint Identification System (AFIS) and the Schengen Information System (SIS II);***

Or. en

## **Amendment 22**

**Tomáš Zdechovský, Monika Hohlmeier**

### **Draft opinion**

#### **Paragraph 4**

##### *Draft opinion*

4. Welcomes the level of funding of ***EASO which according to the agency matches its initial request to the Commission; regrets however that this is not the case for eu-LISA; points out that 2019 will be an extremely challenging year for eu-LISA given the substantial increase of its tasks and budget allocated and that it will need an adequate reinforcement of its resources to deliver according to its new mandate;***

##### *Amendment*

4. Welcomes ***that*** the level of funding ***proposed for EASO*** matches ***the*** initial request ***of the agency*** to the Commission; ***expects the Commission to presents an amending budget to increase the funding for operations if the level of operational support requested by Member States increases in 2019;***

Or. en

## **Amendment 23**

**Anders Primdahl Vistisen, Monica Macovei**

### **Draft opinion**

#### **Paragraph 4**

##### *Draft opinion*

4. ***Welcomes the level of funding of EASO which according to the agency matches its initial request to the***

##### *Amendment*

4. ***Regrets the insufficient funding allocated for eu-LISA; points out that 2019 will be an extremely challenging year for***

**Commission; regrets however that this is not the case** for eu-LISA; points out that 2019 will be an extremely challenging year for eu-LISA given the substantial increase of its tasks and budget allocated and that it will need an adequate reinforcement of its resources to deliver according to its new mandate;

eu-LISA given the substantial increase of its tasks and budget allocated and that it will need an adequate reinforcement of its resources to deliver according to its new mandate;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 24**

**Tomáš Zdechovský, Monica Macovei, Monika Hohlmeier**

#### **Draft opinion**

#### **Paragraph 4 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**4 a. Expresses its concern regarding the proposed funding as well as staffing for eu-LISA given the increasing complexity of its tasks, including the updating of existing databases (SIS, Eurodac and VIS) and the implementation and operational management of other large-scale IT systems in the JHA domain (EES, ETIAS, ECRIS-TCN); believes that the lack of funding for operational matters can no longer be compensated through extensive external support, the outsourcing of functions or a reprioritisation of already planned activities as has been the case in previous years; emphasizes the necessity to reinforce eu-LISA's resources and provide for 25 additional contract agents to allow the agency to deliver according to its mandate, including on the second phase of AFIS;**

Or. en

#### **Amendment 25**

**Anders Primdahl Vistisen, Monica Macovei**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 4 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**4 a. Welcomes the increase in funding for Frontex; notes, however, that the proposed establishment plan does not take into account any anticipated needs for ETIAS applications system which should amount to a total of 20 TAs and 67 CAs staff to join the EBCGA in year 2020 in order to deliver in due time the core processes and infrastructures and to enable the starting date of operations in 2021. Such a frontloading of posts would need to go hand in hand with an increase of financial resources amounting to EUR 2 million.**

Or. en

**Amendment 26**  
**Anders Primdahl Vistisen, Monica Macovei**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 4 b (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**4 b. Underlines Europol's increasing role in combating terrorism and organized crime, as well as in strengthening cross-border cooperation in the field of law enforcement; deeply regrets that the draft EU budget for 2019 put forward by the Commission implies a reduction of 21 million EUR and 38 temporary agent posts compared to the proposal for 2019 agreed by the Member States in the Europol Management Board; asks for financial allocations of 152,3 million EUR, in line with the Agency's draft budget for 2019 endorsed by the Member States and the Commission in the**

**Amendment 27**  
**Kostas Chrysogonos**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 5**

*Draft opinion*

5. Welcomes the increase (16%) of EDPS administrative expenditure to cover its new responsibilities for the Secretariat of the European Data Protection Board, its additional needs in relation with the new data protection rules in the EU institutions and for the monitoring and ensuring compliance with the data protection rules of ex-third pillar agencies; regrets that the Budget of FRA was not increased as the agency is expected to be confronted to new tasks and responsibilities in 2019 as fundamental rights are under an ongoing pressure;

*Amendment*

5. Welcomes the increase (16%) of EDPS administrative expenditure to cover its new responsibilities for the Secretariat of the European Data Protection Board, its additional needs in relation with the new data protection rules in the EU institutions and for the monitoring and ensuring compliance with the data protection rules of ex-third pillar agencies; regrets that the Budget of FRA was not increased as the agency is expected to be confronted to new tasks and responsibilities in 2019 as fundamental rights are under an ongoing pressure; ***stresses, in particular, that the amount of additional tasks assigned to FRA have grown significantly since 2015, when the EU was confronted with an increased arrival of refugees, migrants and asylum seekers; proposes, therefore, to properly expand its financial and human resources in order to adequately perform its new tasks stemming from requests of the EU institutions, Member States and EU Agencies;***

**Amendment 28**  
**Ana Miranda**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 5**

*Draft opinion*

5. Welcomes the increase (16%) of EDPS administrative expenditure to cover its new responsibilities for the Secretariat of the European Data Protection Board, its additional needs in relation with the new data protection rules in the EU institutions and for the monitoring and ensuring compliance with the data protection rules of ex-third pillar agencies; regrets that the Budget of FRA was not increased as the agency is expected to be confronted to new tasks and responsibilities in 2019 as fundamental rights are under an ongoing pressure;

*Amendment*

5. Welcomes the increase (16%) of EDPS administrative expenditure to cover its new responsibilities for the Secretariat of the European Data Protection Board, its additional needs in relation with the new data protection rules in the EU institutions and for the monitoring and ensuring compliance with the data protection rules of ex-third pillar agencies; regrets that the Budget of FRA was not increased as the agency is expected to be confronted to new tasks and responsibilities in 2019 as fundamental rights are under an ongoing pressure; ***regrets that the Fundamental Rights Agency's mandate still limits its role in support of fundamental rights; underlines that the Agency should be able to offer opinions on legislative proposals on its own initiative and that its remit should extend to all areas of rights protected under the Charter, including, for instance, issues of police and judicial cooperation;***

Or. en

**Amendment 29**

**Anders Primdahl Vistisen, Monica Macovei**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 5**

*Draft opinion*

5. ***Welcomes*** the increase (16%) of EDPS administrative expenditure to cover its new responsibilities for the Secretariat of the European Data Protection Board, its additional needs in relation with the new data protection rules in the EU institutions and for the monitoring and ensuring compliance with the data protection rules of ex-third pillar agencies; ***regrets that the Budget of FRA was not increased as the agency is expected to be confronted to***

*Amendment*

5. ***Notes*** the increase (16%) of EDPS administrative expenditure to cover its new responsibilities for the Secretariat of the European Data Protection Board, its additional needs in relation with the new data protection rules in the EU institutions and for the monitoring and ensuring compliance with the data protection rules of ex-third pillar agencies; ***Welcomes the level of funding of FRA in order to confront its*** new tasks and responsibilities

new tasks and responsibilities in 2019 *as fundamental rights are under an ongoing pressure*;

in 2019.

Or. en

### **Amendment 30**

**Petr Ježek**

#### **Draft opinion**

#### **Paragraph 5**

##### *Draft opinion*

5. Welcomes the increase (16%) of EDPS administrative expenditure to cover its new responsibilities for the Secretariat of the European Data Protection Board, its additional needs in relation with the new data protection rules in the EU institutions and for *the* monitoring and ensuring compliance with the data protection rules of ex-third pillar agencies; regrets that the Budget of FRA was not increased as the agency is expected to be confronted to new tasks and responsibilities in 2019 as fundamental rights *are* under *an ongoing* pressure;

##### *Amendment*

5. Welcomes the increase (16%) of EDPS administrative expenditure to cover its new responsibilities for the Secretariat of the European Data Protection Board, its additional needs in relation with the new data protection rules in the EU institutions and for monitoring and ensuring compliance with the data protection rules of ex-third pillar agencies; regrets that the Budget of FRA was not increased as the agency is expected to be confronted to new tasks and responsibilities in 2019 as fundamental rights *remain* under pressure;

Or. en

### **Amendment 31**

**Kostas Chrysogonos**

#### **Draft opinion**

#### **Paragraph 5 a (new)**

##### *Draft opinion*

##### *Amendment*

**5 a. Stresses that the proposed EU 2019 subsidy to the EMCDDA shall be increased to meet essential operational needs, as EMCDDA is required to enhance its role regarding the coordination and development of the**

*European School Survey Project on Alcohol and Other Drugs (ESPAD), as well as to fund properly non-routine data collection for wastewater analysis and for acute recreational drug and toxicity of new psychoactive substances in EU.*

Or. en

**Amendment 32**

**Ana Miranda**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 5 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*5 a. Calls for an increase of the AD posts created for the Fundamental Rights Officer of Frontex to help the Agency gain high-level expertise on the topic of fundamental rights and cope with the additional tasks that the Fundamental Rights Officer is faced with;*

Or. en

**Amendment 33**

**Kostas Chrysogonos**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 5 b (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*5 b. Points out that more human and financial resources are needed to enable CEPOL to fully implement its 2015 renewed legal basis, which broadened its target group from senior police officers to law enforcement officials across the EU and its neighbourhood; believes that this will help to close training gaps preventing Member State's law enforcement services from fully utilising*

*EU level cooperation instruments and systems in the fields of organised crime, terrorism and illegal migration, whilst addressing fundamental rights as a cross cutting issue in law enforcement training;*

Or. en

**Amendment 34**  
**Petr Ježek**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 6**

*Draft opinion*

6. Highlights the very significant increase from 10 billion euros in 2018 to 11.38 billion euros in 2019 (+13.1%) of commitment appropriations as well as of payment appropriations (17,0%) for heading IV (Global Europe); welcomes the proposed full use for 2019 of the unallocated margin under heading IV, as well as 1 116,2 million euros from the Global margin for commitments in order to reinforce Humanitarian Aid and the European Neighbourhood Instrument (ENI) for the pledges made at the 2018 Syria conference; notes that 1.45 billion euros are budgeted in the EU budget to finance the “Facility for Refugees in Turkey II” (FRT II) and that 560 million euros are budgeted for addressing the Syrian Crisis; ***stresses the very steep increase of EU contribution of the EU budget to the FRT II***; calls on the Commission to effectively monitor the proper allocation and implementation of this contribution in view of the ongoing deterioration in fundamental rights and freedoms, the rule of law and the lack of judicial independence in Turkey.

*Amendment*

6. Highlights the very significant increase from 10 billion euros in 2018 to 11.38 billion euros in 2019 (+13.1%) of commitment appropriations as well as of payment appropriations (17,0%) for heading IV (Global Europe); welcomes the proposed full use for 2019 of the unallocated margin under heading IV, as well as 1 116,2 million euros from the Global margin for commitments in order to reinforce Humanitarian Aid and the European Neighbourhood Instrument (ENI) for the pledges made at the 2018 Syria conference; notes that 1.45 billion euros are budgeted in the EU budget to finance the “Facility for Refugees in Turkey II” (FRT II) and that 560 million euros are budgeted for addressing the Syrian Crisis; calls on the Commission to effectively monitor the proper allocation and implementation of this contribution in view of the ongoing deterioration in fundamental rights and freedoms, the rule of law and the lack of judicial independence in Turkey.

Or. en

**Amendment 35**  
**Kostas Chrysogonos**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 6**

*Draft opinion*

6. Highlights the very significant increase from 10 billion euros in 2018 to 11.38 billion euros in 2019 (+13.1%) of commitment appropriations as well as of payment appropriations (17,0%) for heading IV (Global Europe); welcomes the proposed full use for 2019 of the unallocated margin under heading IV, as well as 1 116,2 million euros from the Global margin for commitments in order to reinforce Humanitarian Aid and the European Neighbourhood Instrument (ENI) for the pledges made at the 2018 Syria conference; notes that 1.45 billion euros are budgeted in the EU budget to finance the “Facility for Refugees in Turkey II” (FRT II) and that 560 million euros are budgeted for addressing the Syrian Crisis; stresses the very steep increase of EU contribution of the EU budget to the FRT II; calls on the Commission to effectively monitor the proper allocation and implementation of this contribution in view of the ongoing deterioration in fundamental rights and freedoms, the rule of law and the lack of judicial independence in Turkey.

*Amendment*

6. Highlights the very significant increase from 10 billion euros in 2018 to 11.38 billion euros in 2019 (+13.1%) of commitment appropriations as well as of payment appropriations (17,0%) for heading IV (Global Europe); welcomes the proposed full use for 2019 of the unallocated margin under heading IV, as well as 1 116,2 million euros from the Global margin for commitments in order to reinforce Humanitarian Aid and the European Neighbourhood Instrument (ENI) for the pledges made at the 2018 Syria conference; notes that 1.45 billion euros are budgeted in the EU budget to finance the “Facility for Refugees in Turkey II” (FRT II) and that 560 million euros are budgeted for addressing the Syrian Crisis; stresses the very steep increase of EU contribution of the EU budget to the FRT II; calls on the Commission to effectively monitor the proper allocation and implementation of this contribution in view of the ongoing deterioration in fundamental rights and freedoms, the rule of law and the lack of judicial independence in Turkey;

Or. en

**Amendment 36**  
**Anders Primdahl Vistisen**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 6**

*Draft opinion*

6. **Highlights** the very significant

*Amendment*

6. **Notes** the very significant increase

increase from 10 billion euros in 2018 to 11.38 billion euros in 2019 (+13.1%) of commitment appropriations as well as of payment appropriations (17,0%) for heading IV (Global Europe); **welcomes** the proposed full use for 2019 of the unallocated margin under heading IV, as well as 1 116,2 million euros from the Global margin for commitments in order to reinforce Humanitarian Aid and the European Neighbourhood Instrument (ENI) for the pledges made at the 2018 Syria conference; **notes** that 1.45 billion euros are budgeted in the EU budget to finance the “Facility for Refugees in Turkey II” (FRT II) and that 560 million euros are budgeted for addressing the Syrian Crisis; stresses the very steep increase of EU contribution of the EU budget to the FRT II; calls on the Commission to effectively monitor the proper allocation and implementation of this contribution in view of the ongoing deterioration in fundamental rights and freedoms, the rule of law and the lack of judicial independence in Turkey.

from 10 billion euros in 2018 to 11.38 billion euros in 2019 (+13.1%) of commitment appropriations as well as of payment appropriations (17,0%) for heading IV (Global Europe) **and** the proposed full use for 2019 of the unallocated margin under heading IV, as well as 1 116,2 million euros from the Global margin for commitments in order to reinforce Humanitarian Aid and the European Neighbourhood Instrument (ENI) for the pledges made at the 2018 Syria conference; **Regrets** that 1.45 billion euros are budgeted in the EU budget to finance the “Facility for Refugees in Turkey II” (FRT II) and that 560 million euros are budgeted for addressing the Syrian Crisis; stresses the very steep increase of EU contribution of the EU budget to the FRT II; calls on the Commission to effectively monitor the proper allocation and implementation of this contribution in view of the ongoing deterioration in fundamental rights and freedoms, the rule of law and the lack of judicial independence in Turkey.

Or. en

**Amendment 37**  
**Kostas Chrysogonos**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 6 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***6 a. Welcomes the Commission’s proposal to revise the Union Civil Protection Mechanism (UCPM), in order to strengthen the overall approach to disaster management; stresses that the increase in commitment (46,1%) and payment (33,6%) appropriations of UCPM in 2019 compared to 2018 reflects the expected uptake for actions in third countries; considers as positive that the***

*2019 allocation of Humanitarian Aid activities includes increases, relative to the financial programming, of 120 million euros and 3,2million euros for scaling up disaster-preparedness actions;*

Or. en

**Amendment 38**  
**Andrejs Mamikins**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 6 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*6 a. Calls on the Commission to develop appropriate professional insertion schemes for contractual agents of agencies that incur personnel cuts due to decrease of budgetary and staffing allocations;*

Or. en

**Amendment 39**  
**Andrejs Mamikins**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 6 b (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*6 b. Reiterates that the Commission must continue to focus on Rule of Law and Fundamental Rights issues - particularly in view of democratic backsliding in some EU Member States - including by proposing stronger monitoring and redress mechanisms;*

Or. en

**Amendment 40**  
**Andrejs Mamikins**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 6 c (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***6 c. Encourages the Commission to strengthen support for investigative journalism, including cross-border investigative journalism, and media freedom through dedicated funds as a measure contributing to combatting crime and raising awareness among the EU citizens.***

Or. en