



2019/2028(BUD)

AMENDMENTS

1 - 66

Draft opinion

Gwendoline Delbos-Corfield

(PE639.622v01-00)

General budget of the European Union for the financial year 2020 - all sections
(2019/2028(BUD))

Amendment 1
Joachim Stanislaw Brudziński

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Notes the overall reduction of AMIF commitment appropriations by 15,4 % (-172 million euros) compared to 2019; ***regrets*** the decrease of commitment appropriations aimed at strengthening and developing the CEAS ***and enhancing responsibility-sharing between Member States (-29,5 %)*** compared to 2019; ***recalls the importance of providing adequate financial capacity to improve the integration of migrants as well as re-integration of migrants who forcibly or voluntarily returned to a third country, and to respond to emergency assistance needs of Member States under migratory pressure; expresses its disappointment at the fact that the AMIF budget does not include some financial reserves to finance the reformed Dublin legislation and the new Union Resettlement schemes in case of adoption during 2020; proposes to foresee an amount in the reserve for temporary arrangements for disembarkation in the Union and relocation of people rescued in the Mediterranean; requests, in order to free financial resources, that the EU Trust Fund for Africa and the Regional development protection programmes for North Africa that primarily support external policies of the Union are funded by Heading IV of the Union budget (Global Europe) instead of by AMIF under Heading III (Security and Citizenship);***

Amendment

1. Notes the overall reduction of AMIF commitment appropriations by 15,4 % (-172 million euros) compared to 2019; ***notes*** the decrease of commitment appropriations aimed at strengthening and developing the CEAS compared to 2019;

Or. en

Amendment 2
Robert Roos, Nicola Procaccini, Assita Kanko

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Notes the overall reduction of AMIF commitment appropriations by 15,4 % (-172 million euros) compared to 2019; ***regrets the decrease of commitment appropriations aimed at strengthening and developing the CEAS and enhancing responsibility-sharing between Member States (-29,5 %) compared to 2019***; recalls the importance of ***providing adequate financial capacity to improve the integration of migrants as well as re-integration of migrants who forcibly or voluntarily returned to a third country, and to respond to emergency assistance needs of Member States under migratory pressure; expresses its disappointment at the fact that the AMIF budget does not include some financial reserves to finance the reformed Dublin legislation and the new Union Resettlement schemes in case of adoption during 2020; proposes to foresee an amount in the reserve for temporary arrangements for disembarkation in the Union and relocation of people rescued in the Mediterranean; requests, in order to free financial resources, that the EU Trust Fund for Africa and the Regional development protection programmes for North Africa that primarily support external policies of the Union are funded by Heading IV of the Union budget (Global Europe) instead of by AMIF under Heading III (Security and Citizenship)***;

Amendment

1. Notes the overall reduction of AMIF commitment appropriations by 15,4 % (-172 million euros) compared to 2019; recalls the importance of ***the return of illegal*** migrants who forcibly or voluntarily ***have to return*** to a third country;

Or. en

Amendment 3
Nicola Procaccini

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

PE640.610v01-00

4/43

AM\1187566EN.docx

Draft opinion

1. Notes the overall reduction of AMIF commitment appropriations by 15,4 % (-172 million euros) compared to 2019; regrets the decrease of commitment appropriations aimed at strengthening and developing the CEAS and enhancing responsibility-sharing between Member States (-29,5 %) compared to 2019; recalls the importance of providing adequate financial capacity to improve the **integration of migrants as well as re-integration of migrants who forcibly or voluntarily returned to a third country**, and to respond to **emergency assistance** needs of Member States under migratory pressure; expresses its disappointment at the fact that the AMIF budget does not include some financial reserves to finance the reformed Dublin legislation and the new Union Resettlement schemes in case of adoption during 2020; proposes to foresee an amount in the reserve for **temporary arrangements for disembarkation in the Union and relocation of people rescued in the Mediterranean**; requests, in order to free financial resources, that the EU Trust Fund for Africa and the Regional development protection programmes for North Africa that primarily support external policies of the Union are funded by Heading IV of the Union budget (Global Europe) instead of by AMIF under Heading III (Security and Citizenship);

Amendment

1. Notes the overall reduction of AMIF commitment appropriations by 15,4 % (-172 million euros) compared to 2019; regrets the decrease of commitment appropriations aimed at strengthening and developing the CEAS and enhancing responsibility-sharing between Member States (-29,5 %) compared to 2019; recalls the importance of providing adequate financial capacity to improve the **return of irregular** migrants, and to respond to **operational** needs of Member States under migratory pressure; expresses its disappointment at the fact that the AMIF budget does not include some financial reserves to finance the reformed Dublin legislation and the new Union Resettlement schemes in case of adoption during 2020; proposes to foresee an amount in the reserve for **the establishment of a European system aimed at blocking vessels which transport migrants from third countries to the European coasts**; requests, in order to free financial resources, that the EU Trust Fund for Africa and the Regional development protection programmes for North Africa that primarily support external policies of the Union are funded by Heading IV of the Union budget (Global Europe) instead of by AMIF under Heading III (Security and Citizenship);

Or. en

Amendment 4
Balázs Hidvéghi

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Notes the overall reduction of
AM\1187566EN.docx

Amendment

1. Notes the overall reduction of
PE640.610v01-00

AMIF commitment appropriations by 15,4 % (-172 million euros) compared to 2019; regrets the decrease of commitment appropriations aimed at strengthening and developing the CEAS and enhancing responsibility-sharing between Member States (-29,5 %) compared to 2019; recalls the importance of providing adequate financial capacity to *improve the integration of migrants as well as re-integration of migrants who forcibly or voluntarily returned to a third* country, and to respond to emergency assistance needs of Member States under migratory pressure; *expresses its disappointment at the fact* that the AMIF budget does not include some financial reserves to finance the reformed Dublin legislation and the new Union Resettlement schemes in case of adoption during 2020; *proposes to foresee an amount in the reserve for temporary arrangements for disembarkation in the Union and relocation of people rescued in the Mediterranean*; requests, in order to free financial resources, that the EU Trust Fund for Africa and the Regional development protection programmes for North Africa that primarily support external policies of the Union are funded by Heading IV of the Union budget (Global Europe) instead of by AMIF under Heading III (Security and Citizenship);

AMIF commitment appropriations by 15,4 % (-172 million euros) compared to 2019; regrets the decrease of commitment appropriations aimed at strengthening and developing the CEAS and enhancing responsibility-sharing between Member States (-29,5 %) compared to 2019; recalls the importance of providing adequate financial capacity to *ensure the return of irregular migrants to their country of origin*; and to respond to emergency assistance needs of Member States under migratory pressure; *notes* that the AMIF budget does not include some financial reserves to finance the reformed Dublin legislation and the new Union Resettlement schemes in case of adoption during 2020; requests, in order to free financial resources, that the EU Trust Fund for Africa and the Regional development protection programmes for North Africa that primarily support external policies of the Union are funded by Heading IV of the Union budget (Global Europe) instead of by AMIF under Heading III (Security and Citizenship);

Or. en

Amendment 5

Ramona Strugariu, Dragoş Tudorache, Olivier Chastel, Fabienne Keller, Nicolae Ştefănuţă, Laura Ferrara, Malik Azmani, Michal Šimečka

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Notes the overall reduction of AMIF commitment appropriations by 15,4 % (-172 million euros) compared to 2019; regrets the decrease of commitment

PE640.610v01-00

Amendment

1. Notes the overall reduction of AMIF commitment appropriations by 15,4 % (-172 million euros) compared to 2019; regrets the decrease of commitment

6/43

AM\1187566EN.docx

appropriations aimed at strengthening and developing the CEAS and enhancing responsibility-sharing between Member States (-29,5 %) compared to 2019; recalls the importance of providing adequate financial capacity to improve the integration of migrants as well as re-integration of migrants who ***forcibly or voluntarily*** returned to a third country, and to respond to emergency assistance needs of Member States under migratory pressure; expresses its disappointment at the fact that the AMIF budget does not include some financial reserves to finance the reformed Dublin legislation and the new Union Resettlement schemes in case of adoption during 2020; proposes to foresee an amount in the reserve for temporary arrangements for disembarkation in the Union and relocation of people rescued in the Mediterranean; ***requests, in order to free financial resources, that the EU Trust Fund for Africa and the Regional development protection programmes for North Africa that primarily support external policies of the Union are funded by Heading IV of the Union budget (Global Europe) instead of by AMIF under Heading III (Security and Citizenship)***;

appropriations aimed at strengthening and developing the CEAS and enhancing responsibility-sharing between Member States (-29,5 %) compared to 2019; recalls the importance of providing adequate financial capacity to ***support the reception of asylum seekers in the Member States, effective return strategies, resettlement programmes***, improve the integration of migrants as well as ***the*** re-integration of migrants who returned to a third country, and to respond to emergency assistance needs of Member States under migratory pressure; expresses its disappointment at the fact that the AMIF budget does not include some financial reserves to finance the reformed Dublin legislation and the new Union Resettlement schemes in case of adoption during 2020; proposes to foresee an amount in the reserve for temporary arrangements for disembarkation in the Union and relocation of people rescued in the Mediterranean; ***recalls the need to ensure better coordination between funds engaged to address the issue of migration under Heading III (Security and Citizenship) and the external policies of the Union funded by Heading IV of the Union budget (Global Europe)***;

Or. en

Amendment 6

Fabienne Keller, Nathalie Loiseau, Sophia in 't Veld, Jan-Christoph Oetjen, Antony Hook, Dragoş Tudorache, Malik Azmani, Olivier Chastel

Draft opinion Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Notes the overall reduction of AMIF commitment appropriations by 15,4 % (-172 million euros) compared to 2019; regrets the decrease of commitment appropriations aimed at strengthening and developing the CEAS and enhancing responsibility-sharing between Member

AM\1187566EN.docx

Amendment

1. Notes the overall reduction of AMIF commitment appropriations by 15,4 % (-172 million euros) compared to 2019; regrets the decrease of commitment appropriations aimed at strengthening and developing the CEAS and enhancing responsibility-sharing between Member

7/43

PE640.610v01-00

States (-29,5 %) compared to 2019; recalls the importance of providing adequate financial capacity to improve the integration of migrants as well as re-integration of migrants who *forcibly or voluntarily* returned to a third country, and to respond to emergency assistance needs of Member States under migratory pressure; expresses its disappointment at the fact that the AMIF budget does not include some financial reserves to finance the reformed Dublin legislation and the new Union Resettlement schemes in case of adoption during 2020; proposes to foresee an amount in the reserve for temporary arrangements for disembarkation in the Union and relocation of people rescued in the Mediterranean; *requests*, in order to *free financial resources, that the EU Trust Fund for Africa and the Regional development protection programmes for North Africa that primarily support external policies of the Union are funded by* Heading IV of the Union budget (*Global Europe*) *instead of by AMIF under Heading III* (Security and Citizenship);

States (-29,5 %) compared to 2019; recalls the importance of providing adequate financial capacity to improve the *reception of asylum seekers, the efficiency of asylum application examinations, the enforcement of decisions regarding asylum applications, the* integration of migrants as well as *the* re-integration of migrants who returned to a third country, and to respond to emergency assistance needs of Member States under migratory pressure; expresses its disappointment at the fact that the AMIF budget does not include some financial reserves to finance the reformed Dublin legislation and the new Union Resettlement schemes in case of adoption during 2020; proposes to foresee an amount in the reserve for temporary arrangements for disembarkation in the Union and relocation of people rescued in the Mediterranean in order to *reduce the burden of the Member States of first entry; recalls that appropriate and coordinated actions need to be undertaken at the internal and the external levels, under* Heading III of the Union budget (Security and Citizenship) *and Heading IV (Global Europe) respectively;*

Or. en

Amendment 7 Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft opinion Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Notes the overall reduction of AMIF commitment appropriations by 15,4 % (-172 million euros) compared to 2019; regrets the decrease of commitment appropriations aimed at strengthening and developing the CEAS and enhancing responsibility-sharing between Member States (-29,5 %) compared to 2019; recalls the importance of providing adequate

PE640.610v01-00

Amendment

1. Notes the overall reduction of AMIF commitment appropriations by 15,4 % (-172 million euros) compared to 2019; regrets the decrease of commitment appropriations aimed at strengthening and developing the CEAS and enhancing responsibility-sharing between Member States (-29,5 %) compared to 2019; recalls the importance of providing adequate

8/43

AM\1187566EN.docx

financial capacity to improve the integration of migrants as well as re-integration of migrants who forcibly or voluntarily returned to a third country, and to respond to emergency assistance needs of Member States **under** migratory **pressure**; expresses its disappointment at the fact that the AMIF budget does not include some financial reserves to finance the reformed Dublin legislation and the new Union Resettlement schemes in case of adoption during 2020; proposes to foresee an amount in the reserve for temporary arrangements for disembarkation in the Union and relocation of people rescued in the Mediterranean; requests, in order to free financial resources, that the EU Trust Fund for Africa and the Regional development protection programmes for North Africa that primarily support external policies of the Union are funded by Heading IV of the Union budget (Global Europe) instead of by AMIF under Heading III (Security and Citizenship);

financial capacity to improve the **reception, sheltering and** integration of migrants as well as re-integration of migrants who forcibly or voluntarily returned to a third country, and to respond to emergency assistance needs of Member States **that may manage considerable** migratory **flows, like those situated in the Mediterranean basin**; expresses its disappointment at the fact that the AMIF budget does not include some financial reserves to finance the reformed Dublin legislation and the new Union Resettlement schemes in case of adoption during 2020; proposes to foresee an amount in the reserve for temporary arrangements for disembarkation in the Union and relocation of people rescued in the Mediterranean; requests, in order to free financial resources, that the EU Trust Fund for Africa and the Regional development protection programmes for North Africa that primarily support external policies of the Union are funded by Heading IV of the Union budget (Global Europe) instead of by AMIF under Heading III (Security and Citizenship);

Or. en

Amendment 8 **Cornelia Ernst, Clare Daly**

Draft opinion **Paragraph 1**

Draft opinion

1. Notes the overall reduction of AMIF commitment appropriations by 15,4 % (-172 million euros) compared to 2019; regrets the decrease of commitment appropriations aimed at strengthening and developing the CEAS and enhancing responsibility-sharing between Member States (-29,5 %) compared to 2019; recalls the importance of providing adequate financial capacity to improve the integration of migrants **as well as re-**

AM\1187566EN.docx

9/43

Amendment

1. Notes the overall reduction of AMIF commitment appropriations by 15,4 % (-172 million euros) compared to 2019; regrets the decrease of commitment appropriations aimed at strengthening and developing the CEAS and enhancing responsibility-sharing between Member States (-29,5 %) compared to 2019; recalls the importance of **ensuring high standards of asylum in the European Union as well as** providing adequate financial capacity to

PE640.610v01-00

integration of migrants who forcibly or voluntarily returned to a third country, and to respond to emergency assistance needs of Member States *under migratory pressure*; expresses its disappointment at the fact that the AMIF budget does not include some financial reserves to finance the reformed Dublin legislation and the new Union Resettlement schemes in case of adoption during 2020; proposes to foresee an amount in the reserve for temporary arrangements for disembarkation in the Union and relocation of people rescued in the Mediterranean; requests, in order to free financial resources, that the EU Trust Fund for Africa and the Regional development protection programmes for North Africa that primarily support external policies of the Union are funded by Heading IV of the Union budget (Global Europe) instead of by AMIF under Heading III (Security and Citizenship);

improve the integration of migrants, and to respond to emergency assistance needs of Member States *hosting large numbers of asylum seekers and/or of first arrivals*; expresses its disappointment at the fact that the AMIF budget does not include some financial reserves to finance the reformed Dublin legislation and the new Union Resettlement schemes in case of adoption during 2020; proposes to foresee an amount in the reserve for temporary arrangements for disembarkation in the Union and relocation of people rescued in the Mediterranean; requests, in order to free financial resources, that the EU Trust Fund for Africa and the Regional development protection programmes for North Africa that primarily support external policies of the Union are funded by Heading IV of the Union budget (Global Europe) instead of by AMIF under Heading III (Security and Citizenship);

Or. en

Amendment 9
Tomas Tobé

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Notes the overall reduction of AMIF commitment appropriations by 15,4 % (-172 million euros) compared to 2019; regrets the decrease of commitment appropriations aimed at strengthening and developing the CEAS and enhancing responsibility-sharing between Member States (-29,5 %) compared to 2019; recalls the importance of providing adequate financial capacity to improve the integration of migrants as well as re-integration of migrants who forcibly or voluntarily returned to a third country, and to respond to emergency assistance needs of Member States under migratory

PE640.610v01-00

Amendment

1. Notes the overall reduction of AMIF commitment appropriations by 15,4 % (-172 million euros) compared to 2019; regrets the decrease of commitment appropriations aimed at strengthening and developing the CEAS and enhancing responsibility-sharing between Member States (-29,5 %) compared to 2019; recalls the importance of providing adequate financial capacity to improve the integration of migrants as well as re-integration of migrants who forcibly or voluntarily returned to a third country, and to respond to emergency assistance needs of Member States under migratory

10/43

AM\1187566EN.docx

pressure; ***expresses its disappointment at the fact*** that the AMIF budget does not include some financial reserves to finance the reformed Dublin legislation and the new Union Resettlement schemes in case of adoption during 2020; proposes to foresee an amount in the reserve for temporary arrangements for disembarkation in the Union and relocation of people rescued in the Mediterranean; requests, in order to free financial resources, that the EU Trust Fund for Africa and the Regional development protection programmes for North Africa that primarily support external policies of the Union are funded by Heading IV of the Union budget (Global Europe) instead of by AMIF under Heading III (Security and Citizenship);

pressure; ***notes*** that the AMIF budget does not include some financial reserves to finance the reformed Dublin legislation and the new Union Resettlement schemes in case of adoption during 2020; proposes to foresee an amount in the reserve for temporary arrangements for disembarkation in the Union and relocation of people rescued in the Mediterranean; requests, in order to free financial resources, that the EU Trust Fund for Africa and the Regional development protection programmes for North Africa that primarily support external policies of the Union are funded by Heading IV of the Union budget (Global Europe) instead of by AMIF under Heading III (Security and Citizenship);

Or. en

Amendment 10 **Cristian Terheş**

Draft opinion **Paragraph 1**

Draft opinion

1. Notes the overall reduction of AMIF commitment appropriations by 15,4 % (-172 million euros) compared to 2019; regrets the decrease of commitment appropriations aimed at strengthening and developing the CEAS and enhancing responsibility-sharing between Member States (-29,5 %) compared to 2019; recalls the importance of providing adequate financial capacity to improve the integration of migrants as well as re-integration of migrants who forcibly or voluntarily returned to a third country, and to respond to emergency assistance needs of Member States under migratory pressure; expresses its disappointment at the fact that the AMIF budget does not include some financial reserves to finance the reformed Dublin legislation and the

AM\1187566EN.docx

Amendment

1. Notes the overall reduction of AMIF commitment appropriations by 15,4 % (-172 million euros) compared to 2019; regrets the decrease of commitment appropriations aimed at strengthening and developing the CEAS and enhancing responsibility-sharing between Member States (-29,5 %) compared to 2019; ***acknowledges, all the same, that the commitment appropriations remain well above the level established in Budget 2018 and realises that the decrease is, at least in part, linked to the fact that the reform of the Dublin Regulation has been blocked because of political inertia in the Council***; recalls the importance of providing adequate financial capacity to improve the integration of migrants as well as re-integration of migrants who forcibly

11/43

PE640.610v01-00

new Union Resettlement schemes in case of adoption during 2020; proposes to foresee an amount in the reserve for temporary arrangements for disembarkation in the Union and relocation of people rescued in the Mediterranean; requests, in order to free financial resources, that the EU Trust Fund for Africa and the Regional development protection programmes for North Africa that primarily support external policies of the Union are funded by Heading IV of the Union budget (Global Europe) instead of by AMIF under Heading III (Security and Citizenship);

or voluntarily returned to a third country, and to respond to emergency assistance needs of Member States under migratory pressure; expresses its disappointment at the fact that the AMIF budget does not include some financial reserves to finance the reformed Dublin legislation and the new Union Resettlement schemes in case of adoption during 2020; proposes to foresee an amount in the reserve for temporary arrangements for disembarkation in the Union and relocation of people rescued in the Mediterranean; ***calls, in that context, for the development of more sustainable long-term funding instruments for search and rescue operations carried out by the Union or its Member States in the Mediterranean;*** requests, in order to free financial resources, that the EU Trust Fund for Africa and the Regional development protection programmes for North Africa that primarily support external policies of the Union are funded by Heading IV of the Union budget (Global Europe) instead of by AMIF under Heading III (Security and Citizenship);

Or. en

Amendment 11
Cristian Terheş

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1 a. Calls on the Commission to increase the number of budget lines under AMIF to facilitate greater transparency regarding how the financial resources of the Fund are allocated to the different objectives of that Fund; calls, in particular, for the clear separation of expenditure on enhancing fair return strategies from expenditure on legal migration and promoting effective

Amendment 12

Fabienne Keller, Nathalie Loiseau, Sophia in 't Veld, Jan-Christoph Oetjen, Antony Hook, Dragoş Tudorache, Malik Azmani, Olivier Chastel

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1 a. Notes the overall reduction of ISF commitment appropriations by 6,1% (-32,6 million euros) compared to 2019; recalls the importance of financial support to strengthen police and judicial cooperation in criminal and terrorist matters, to harmonise border management and reinforce security checks, and to improve the detection and management of irregular immigration.

Amendment 13

Cornelia Ernst, Clare Daly

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1 a. Welcomes the suspension of the “Better Migration Management Programme” of the EU Trust Fund in Sudan as well as the ROCK center in Khartoum; calls for the suspension of other EU Trust Fund projects raising serious human rights concerns such as the “Support to Integrated border and migration management in Libya”;

Amendment 14
Nicola Procaccini

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1 a. Recalls the need to allocate an adequate amount of resources from the Union budget to reinforce the external dimension of migration and to guarantee an adequate protection of the Union's external borders;

Or. en

Amendment 15
Nicola Procaccini

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1 b. Stresses the necessity to allocate adequate resources to the Internal Security Fund (ISF) to properly finance the work of the Radicalisation Awareness Network (RAN), in order to reinforce activities aimed at stopping Islamic radicalisation and deviant religious and cultural behaviours which could end up in extremism.

Or. en

Amendment 16
Cornelia Ernst, Clare Daly

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

Amendment

2. Stresses the low amount foreseen for emergency assistance in the ISF fund

deleted

(8,5 million euros); requests the Commission to carefully and more realistically re-evaluate this amount taking into account possible emergency assistance needs of Member States in the framework of the ISF, such as the management of security-related incidents;

Or. en

Amendment 17

Ramona Strugariu, Dragoş Tudorache, Olivier Chastel, Fabienne Keller, Nicolae Ştefănuţă, Laura Ferrara, Malik Azmani, Michal Šimečka

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2 a. Welcomes the overall increase of the funds available through the Justice Programme; regrets, however, the decrease in commitments for the component Facilitating and supporting judicial cooperation in civil and criminal matters (-23,6 %); reiterates the importance of judicial cooperation, especially in criminal matters, for reaching the objectives of establishing a Security Union and stresses the need for adequate financing of this area;

Or. en

Amendment 18

Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2 a. Calls for higher funding for the Europe for Citizens programme, given the fundamental role that it plays in order to strengthen the European demos and its citizenship;

Amendment 19

Ramona Strugariu, Dragoş Tudorache, Olivier Chastel, Fabienne Keller, Nicolae Ştefănuţă, Laura Ferrara, Malik Azmani, Michal Šimečka

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2 b. Stresses the importance of ensuring adequate funding, staffing and staff training for all JHA agencies, the importance of cooperation among them, the need for technological innovations and adaptation, with adequate financial and human resources for them to properly perform their vital role in reinforcing cooperation and coordination among the Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 20

Fabienne Keller, Nathalie Loiseau, Sophia in 't Veld, Jan-Christoph Oetjen, Antony Hook, Dragoş Tudorache, Malik Azmani, Olivier Chastel

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

Amendment

3. Welcomes the increases of Commitment appropriations of JHA Agencies such as EASO (41,5 %), EBCG (34,6 %), CEPOL (14 %), EMCDDA (7,7 %), FRA (5,4 %), **Eurojust (5,2 %), and Europol (2,1 %)** compared to the budget 2019;

3. Welcomes the increases of Commitment appropriations of JHA Agencies such as EASO (41,5 %), EBCG (34,6 %), CEPOL (14 %), EMCDDA (7,7 %) **and** FRA (5,4 %) compared to the budget 2019;

Or. en

Amendment 21

Caterina Chinnici

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. **Welcomes** the increases of Commitment appropriations of JHA Agencies such as EASO (41,5 %), EBCG (34,6 %), CEPOL (14 %), EMCDDA (7,7 %), FRA (5,4 %), Eurojust (5,2 %), and Europol (2,1 %) compared to the budget 2019;

Amendment

3. **Notes** the increases of Commitment appropriations of JHA Agencies such as EASO (41,5 %), EBCG (34,6 %), CEPOL (14 %), EMCDDA (7,7 %), FRA (5,4 %), Eurojust (5,2 %), and Europol (2,1 %) compared to the budget 2019;

Or. en

Amendment 22
Cornelia Ernst, Clare Daly

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. **Welcomes** the increases of Commitment appropriations of JHA Agencies such as EASO (41,5 %), EBCG (34,6 %), CEPOL (14 %), EMCDDA (7,7 %), FRA (5,4 %), Eurojust (5,2 %), and Europol (2,1 %) compared to the budget 2019;

Amendment

3. **Notes** the increases of Commitment appropriations of JHA Agencies such as EASO (41,5 %), EBCG (34,6 %), CEPOL (14 %), EMCDDA (7,7 %), FRA (5,4 %), Eurojust (5,2 %), and Europol (2,1 %) compared to the budget 2019;

Or. en

Amendment 23
Joachim Stanisław Brudziński

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3 a. Stresses the necessity to solve the migration crisis at its source and to protect the Union's external borders; in this context particularly welcomes the increases of Commitment appropriations

Amendment 24
Robert Roos, Assita Kanko

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

Amendment

4. Points out that the budget of the EBCG has increased by 34,8 % (+108 million euros) in 2020 which is by far the largest increase among all JHA agencies; recalls that the Court of Auditors identified as part of the discharge of the 2017 Budget that Member States overestimated the financial need of the EBCG in 2017; underlines that when the objective of providing the EBCG with more human resources (10.000 border guards by 2027), the number of migrants arriving irregularly over sea or land in the Union has substantially decreased compared to previous years; suggests that such boost in resources should also be used for rescuing lives at sea; regrets the remarkable difference between the commitment appropriations assigned to EBCG (420 million euros) in 2020 and the amount accorded to EASO (133 million euros); suggests that the budget and staffing of EASO should be increased for a better performance of the duties entrusted to the Agency;

deleted

Amendment 25
Fabienne Keller, Nathalie Loiseau, Sophia in 't Veld, Jan-Christoph Oetjen, Antony Hook, Dragoş Tudorache, Malik Azmani, Olivier Chastel

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Points out that the budget of the EBCG has increased by 34,8 % (+108 million euros) in 2020 **which is by far the largest increase among all JHA agencies; recalls that the Court of Auditors identified as part of the discharge of the 2017 Budget that Member States overestimated the financial need of the EBCG in 2017; underlines that when** the objective of providing the EBCG with more human resources (10.000 border guards by 2027), **the number of migrants arriving irregularly over sea or land in the Union has substantially decreased compared to previous years; suggests that such boost in resources should also be used for rescuing lives at sea; regrets the remarkable difference between the commitment appropriations assigned to EBCG (420 million euros) in 2020 and the amount accorded to EASO (133 million euros); suggests that the budget and staffing of EASO should be increased for a better performance of the duties entrusted to the Agency;**

Amendment

4. Points out that the budget of the EBCG has increased by 34,8 % (+108 million euros) in 2020 **in line with the strengthening of the EBCG mandate, scope of activities and human resources as decided by the Union legislator in 2016; notes that this budget increase is necessary to achieve** the objective of providing the EBCG with more human resources (10.000 border guards by 2027); **recalls that the objective of safer borders in the Union is essential to ensure the security of Union citizens and of third-countries nationals;**

Or. en

Amendment 26

Ramona Strugariu, Dragoş Tudorache, Olivier Chastel, Fabienne Keller, Nicolae Ştefănuţă, Laura Ferrara, Michal Šimečka

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 4**

Draft opinion

4. Points out that the budget of the EBCG has increased by 34,8 % (+108 million euros) in 2020 which is **by far the largest increase among all JHA agencies; recalls that the Court of Auditors identified as part of the discharge of the 2017 Budget that Member States overestimated the financial need of the EBCG in 2017; underlines that when** the objective of providing the EBCG with

Amendment

4. Points out that the budget of the EBCG has increased by 34,8 % (+108 million euros) in 2020 which is **consistent with** the objective of providing the EBCG with more human resources (10.000 border guards by 2027); suggests that **this** boost in resources should also be used for rescuing lives at sea; **notes the** difference between the commitment appropriations assigned to EBCG (420 million euros) in 2020 and the

more human resources (10.000 border guards by 2027), **the number of migrants arriving irregularly over sea or land in the Union has substantially decreased compared to previous years**; suggests that **such** boost in resources should also be used for rescuing lives at sea; **regrets the remarkable** difference between the commitment appropriations assigned to EBCG (420 million euros) in 2020 and the amount accorded to EASO (133 million euros); suggests that the budget and staffing of EASO should **be** increased **for a better** performance of the duties entrusted to the Agency;

amount accorded to EASO (133 million euros); suggests that the budget and staffing of EASO should **better reflect the increased needs in order to ensure an optimal** performance of the duties entrusted to the Agency;

Or. en

Amendment 27
Tomas Tobé

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Points out that the budget of the EBCG has increased by 34,8 % (+108 million euros) in 2020 which is by far the largest increase among all JHA agencies; recalls that the Court of Auditors identified as part of the discharge of the 2017 Budget that Member States overestimated the financial need of the EBCG in 2017; underlines that when the objective of providing the EBCG with more human resources (10.000 border guards by 2027), the number of migrants arriving irregularly over sea or land in the Union has substantially decreased compared to previous years; suggests that such boost in resources should also be used for rescuing lives at sea; **regrets the remarkable difference between the commitment appropriations assigned to EBCG (420 million euros) in 2020 and the amount accorded to EASO (133 million euros)**; **suggests** that the budget and staffing of EASO should be **increased for a better**

PE640.610v01-00

Amendment

4. Points out that the budget of the EBCG has increased by 34,8 % (+108 million euros) in 2020 which is by far the largest increase among all JHA agencies; recalls that the Court of Auditors identified as part of the discharge of the 2017 Budget that Member States overestimated the financial need of the EBCG in 2017; underlines that when the objective of providing the EBCG with more human resources (10.000 border guards by 2027), the number of migrants arriving irregularly over sea or land in the Union has substantially decreased compared to previous years; suggests that such boost in resources should also be used for rescuing lives at sea; **underlines that the EBCG should focus on strengthening the Union's external borders with the purpose of securing the free movement for people within the Union and favouring orderly migration**; **considers** that the budget and staffing of EASO should be **adequate to**

20/43

AM\1187566EN.docx

performance of the duties entrusted to the Agency;

allow the Agency to properly perform the duties it has been entrusted;

Or. en

Amendment 28
Cornelia Ernst, Clare Daly

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. ***Points out*** that the budget of the EBCG has increased by 34,8 % (+108 million euros) in 2020 which is by far the largest increase among all JHA agencies; recalls that the Court of Auditors identified as part of the discharge of the 2017 Budget that Member States overestimated the financial need of the EBCG in 2017; ***underlines that when*** the objective of providing the EBCG with more human resources (10.000 border guards by 2027), the number of ***migrants arriving irregularly over sea or land in the Union has substantially decreased compared to previous years; suggests that such boost in resources should also be used for rescuing lives at sea***; regrets the remarkable difference between the commitment appropriations assigned to EBCG (420 million euros) in 2020 and the amount accorded to EASO (133 million euros); suggests that the budget and staffing of EASO should be increased for a better performance of the duties entrusted to the Agency;

Amendment

4. ***Deplores*** that the budget of the EBCG has increased by 34,8 % (+108 million euros) in 2020 which is by far the largest increase among all JHA agencies; recalls that the Court of Auditors identified as part of the discharge of the 2017 Budget that Member States overestimated the financial need of the EBCG in 2017; ***deplores*** the objective of providing the EBCG with more human resources (10.000 border guards by 2027), ***and the fact that the number of deaths at sea keeps increasing in light of the absence of SAR assets in the Mediterranean; calls on the European Commission to create a fund aimed at supporting search and rescue in the Mediterranean in order to guarantee a strong SAR presence at sea***; regrets the remarkable difference between the commitment appropriations assigned to EBCG (420 million euros) in 2020 and the amount accorded to EASO (133 million euros); suggests that the budget and staffing of EASO should be increased for a better performance of the duties entrusted to the Agency;

Or. en

Amendment 29
Balázs Hidvéghi

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

AM\1187566EN.docx

21/43

PE640.610v01-00

EN

Draft opinion

4. Points out that the budget of the EBCG has increased by 34,8 % (+108 million euros) in 2020 which is by far the largest increase among all JHA agencies; recalls that the Court of Auditors identified as part of the discharge of the 2017 Budget that Member States overestimated the financial need of the EBCG in 2017; underlines that when the objective of providing the EBCG with more human resources (10.000 border guards by 2027), the number of migrants arriving irregularly over sea or land in the Union has substantially decreased compared to previous years; ***suggests that such boost in resources should also be used for rescuing lives at sea***; regrets the remarkable difference between the commitment appropriations assigned to EBCG (420 million euros) in 2020 and the amount accorded to EASO (133 million euros); suggests that the budget and staffing of EASO should be increased for a better performance of the duties entrusted to the Agency;

Amendment

4. Points out that the budget of the EBCG has increased by 34,8 % (+108 million euros) in 2020 which is by far the largest increase among all JHA agencies; recalls that the Court of Auditors identified as part of the discharge of the 2017 Budget that Member States overestimated the financial need of the EBCG in 2017; underlines that when the objective of providing the EBCG with more human resources (10.000 border guards by 2027), the number of migrants arriving irregularly over sea or land in the Union has substantially decreased compared to previous years; ***underlines, nevertheless, the need to maintain and reinforce border control with adequate funding in order to stop irregular migration and to anticipate and address future flows***; regrets the remarkable difference between the commitment appropriations assigned to EBCG (420 million euros) in 2020 and the amount accorded to EASO (133 million euros); suggests that the budget and staffing of EASO should be increased for a better performance of the duties entrusted to the Agency;

Or. en

Amendment 30
Nicola Procaccini

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Points out that the budget of the EBCG has increased by 34,8 % (+108 million euros) in 2020 which is by far the largest increase among all JHA agencies; recalls that the Court of Auditors identified as part of the discharge of the 2017 Budget that Member States overestimated the financial need of the EBCG in 2017;

Amendment

4. Points out that the budget of the EBCG has increased by 34,8 % (+108 million euros) in 2020 which is by far the largest increase among all JHA agencies; recalls that the Court of Auditors identified as part of the discharge of the 2017 Budget that Member States overestimated the financial need of the EBCG in 2017;

underlines that when the objective of providing the EBCG with more human resources (10.000 border guards by 2027), the number of migrants arriving irregularly over sea or land in the Union has substantially decreased compared to previous years; suggests that such boost in resources should also be used for **rescuing lives** at sea; regrets the remarkable difference between the commitment appropriations assigned to EBCG (420 million euros) in 2020 and the amount accorded to EASO (133 million euros); suggests that the budget and staffing of EASO should be increased for a better performance of the duties entrusted to the Agency;

underlines that when the objective of providing the EBCG with more human resources (10.000 border guards by 2027), the number of migrants arriving irregularly over sea or land in the Union has substantially decreased compared to previous years; suggests that such boost in resources should also be used for **blocking vessels which transport migrants from third countries to the European coasts in order to prevent deaths** at sea; regrets the remarkable difference between the commitment appropriations assigned to EBCG (420 million euros) in 2020 and the amount accorded to EASO (133 million euros); suggests that the budget and staffing of EASO should be increased for a better performance of the duties entrusted to the Agency;

Or. en

Amendment 31

Jan-Christoph Oetjen, Antony Hook, Olivier Chastel, Sophia in 't Veld, Fabienne Keller

Draft opinion Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Points out that the budget of the EBCG has increased by 34,8 % (+108 million euros) in 2020 which is by far the largest increase among all JHA agencies; recalls that the Court of Auditors identified as part of the discharge of the 2017 Budget that Member States overestimated the financial need of the EBCG in 2017; underlines that when the objective of providing the EBCG with more human resources (10.000 border guards by 2027), the number of migrants arriving irregularly over sea or land in the Union has substantially decreased compared to previous years; suggests that such boost in resources should also be used for rescuing lives at sea; regrets the remarkable difference between the commitment appropriations assigned to EBCG (420

Amendment

4. Points out that the budget of the EBCG has increased by 34,8 % (+108 million euros) in 2020 which is by far the largest increase among all JHA agencies; recalls that the Court of Auditors identified as part of the discharge of the 2017 Budget that Member States overestimated the financial need of the EBCG in 2017; underlines that when the objective of providing the EBCG with more human resources (10.000 border guards by 2027), the number of migrants arriving irregularly over sea or land in the Union has substantially decreased compared to previous years; suggests that such boost in resources should also be used for rescuing lives at sea; **calls on the Commission to urgently set up a new Union mission for search and rescue operations in the**

million euros) in 2020 and the amount accorded to EASO (133 million euros); suggests that the budget and staffing of EASO should be increased for a better performance of the duties entrusted to the Agency;

Mediterranean Sea; regrets the remarkable difference between the commitment appropriations assigned to EBCG (420 million euros) in 2020 and the amount accorded to EASO (133 million euros); suggests that the budget and staffing of EASO should be increased for a better performance of the duties entrusted to the Agency;

Or. en

Amendment 32 **Cristian Terheş**

Draft opinion **Paragraph 4**

Draft opinion

4. Points out that the budget of the EBCG has increased by 34,8 % (+108 million euros) in 2020 which is by far the largest increase among all JHA agencies; recalls that the Court of Auditors identified as part of the discharge of the 2017 Budget that Member States overestimated the financial need of the EBCG in 2017; underlines that when the objective of providing the EBCG with more human resources (10.000 border guards by 2027), the number of migrants arriving irregularly over sea or land in the Union has substantially decreased compared to previous years; suggests that such boost in resources should also be used for rescuing lives at sea; regrets the remarkable difference between the commitment appropriations assigned to EBCG (420 million euros) in 2020 and the amount accorded to EASO (133 million euros); suggests that the budget and staffing of *EASO* should be increased for a better performance of the duties entrusted to *the Agency*;

Amendment

4. Points out that the budget of the EBCG has increased by 34,8 % (+108 million euros) in 2020 which is by far the largest increase among all JHA agencies; recalls that the Court of Auditors identified as part of the discharge of the 2017 Budget that Member States overestimated the financial need of the EBCG in 2017; underlines that when the objective of providing the EBCG with more human resources (10.000 border guards by 2027), the number of migrants arriving irregularly over sea or land in the Union has substantially decreased compared to previous years; suggests that such boost in resources should also be used for rescuing lives at sea; regrets the remarkable difference between the commitment appropriations assigned to EBCG (420 million euros) in 2020 and the amount accorded to EASO (133 million euros); ***calls for EASO to be transformed into a fully-fledged decentralised Union agency with a greatly enhanced mandate and suggests that the budget and staffing of that Agency should be increased correspondingly for a better performance of the duties entrusted to it***;

Amendment 33

Fabienne Keller, Nathalie Loiseau, Sophia in 't Veld, Jan-Christoph Oetjen, Antony Hook, Dragoş Tudorache, Malik Azmani, Olivier Chastel

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4 a. Considers that the increase of the EASO budget (+39 million euros compared to 2019 in Commission's proposal) should be proportionally as important as the increase of the EBCG budget (+108 million euros compared to 2019 in Commission's proposal); stresses that an efficient, equal and humane treatment of asylum-seeker applications is a priority in the Union; suggests that the budget and staff of EASO are increased for a better performance of the duties entrusted to the Agency;

Or. en

Amendment 34

Joachim Stanisław Brudziński

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

Amendment

5. Welcomes the increase of Commitment appropriations of the EPPO (70,5 %); recalls the important role of EPPO in investigating and prosecuting fraud involving Union funds and the need to provide sufficient financial resources so that it becomes fully operational before December 2020;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 35

Robert Roos

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. ***Welcomes the*** increase of Commitment appropriations of the EPPO (70,5 %); recalls ***the important role of EPPO in investigating and prosecuting fraud involving Union funds and the need to provide sufficient financial resources so that it becomes fully operational before December 2020;***

Amendment

5. ***Regrets the immense*** increase of Commitment appropriations of the EPPO (70,5 %); recalls ***to respect the sovereignty of the Member States and their competence in Justice affairs, in full accordance with the Treaty;***

Or. en

Amendment 36

Tomas Tobé

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Welcomes the increase of Commitment appropriations of the EPPO (70,5 %); recalls the important role of EPPO in investigating and prosecuting fraud involving Union funds and the need to provide sufficient financial resources so that it becomes fully operational before December 2020;

Amendment

5. Welcomes the increase of Commitment appropriations of the EPPO (70,5 %); recalls the important role of EPPO in investigating and prosecuting fraud involving Union funds and the need to provide sufficient financial resources so that it becomes fully operational before December 2020; ***asks the Commission to further study the financial needs for an expansion of the role of EPPO to include cross-border terrorism in line with its Communication on 12 September 2018;***

Or. en

Amendment 37

Cornelia Ernst, Clare Daly

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6

PE640.610v01-00

26/43

AM\1187566EN.docx

Draft opinion

6. ***Regrets that the Commission did not follow the budgetary request of Europol and proposed to underfund the Agency by 30 million euros in 2020;*** notes that the decrease of the eu-LISA commitment appropriations by 18,7 % (-55 million euros) corresponds to the end of the development of the Entry Exit System; reiterates the need to ensure adequate financial support for JHA Agencies to deliver the tasks assigned to them in full transparency and ***to fight against cross-border serious crime*** in full compliance with fundamental rights;

Amendment

6. ***Takes note of the increased budget for*** Europol; notes that the decrease of the eu-LISA commitment appropriations by 18,7 % (-55 million euros) corresponds to the end of the development of the Entry Exit System; reiterates the need to ensure adequate financial support for JHA Agencies to deliver the tasks assigned to them in full transparency and in full compliance with fundamental rights;

Or. en

Amendment 38
Cristian Terheş

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. ***Regrets that the Commission did not follow the budgetary request of Europol and proposed to underfund the Agency by 30 million euros in 2020;*** notes that the decrease of the eu-LISA commitment appropriations by 18,7 % (-55 million euros) corresponds to the end of the development of the Entry Exit System; reiterates the need to ensure adequate financial support for JHA Agencies to deliver the tasks assigned to them in full transparency and ***to fight against cross-border serious crime*** in full compliance with fundamental rights;

Amendment

6. Notes that the decrease of the eu-LISA commitment appropriations by 18,7 % (-55 million euros) corresponds to the end of the development of the Entry Exit System; reiterates the need to ensure adequate financial support for JHA Agencies to deliver the tasks assigned to them in full transparency and in full compliance with fundamental rights;

Or. en

Amendment 39

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Regrets that the Commission did not follow the budgetary request of *Europol and proposed to underfund the Agency by 30 million euros in 2020; notes that the decrease of the eu-LISA commitment appropriations by 18,7 % (-55 million euros) corresponds to the end of the development of the Entry Exit System; reiterates the need to ensure adequate financial support for JHA Agencies to deliver the tasks assigned to them in full transparency and to fight against cross-border serious crime in full compliance with fundamental rights;*

Amendment

6. *Is concerned about the Commission's draft budget not following the budgetary request of Europol and proposing to underfund the Agency by 30 million euros in 2020, which would lead to a decrease of 25% of the Agency staff in 2020 compared to 2019; notes that Europol's support has been crucial in joint investigations across the Union and that, if adequately funded, the Agency could do more to handle criminal intelligence, to combat terrorism and to prevent, detect and help investigate child trafficking, child labour and sexual exploitation; also regrets that the Commission did not follow the budgetary request of Eurojust (funding gap of 3,7 million euros), noting that not just arrests, but also successful prosecutions in serious cross-border crime areas, including terrorism and migrant smuggling, are essential for the security of Union citizens; considers that cross-border police cooperation and judicial cooperation in the Union are two prerequisites for a Union of Security; notes that these funding gaps will lead to staff and operational budget decreases, which are not coherent with the strengthening of Agencies' missions such as Europol and Eurojust; requests the Commission to re-evaluate the amount provided to these Agencies and to abandon any decrease in their staff;*

Or. en

Amendment 40

Ramona Strugariu, Dragoş Tudorache, Olivier Chastel, Fabienne Keller, Nicolae Ştefănuţă, Laura Ferrara, Malik Azmani, Michal Šimečka

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Regrets that the Commission did not follow the budgetary request of Europol and proposed to underfund the Agency by 30 million euros in 2020; ***notes that the decrease of the eu-LISA commitment appropriations by 18,7 % (-55 million euros) corresponds to the end of the development of the Entry Exit System; reiterates the need to ensure adequate financial support for JHA Agencies to deliver the tasks assigned to them in full transparency and to fight against cross-border serious crime in full compliance with fundamental rights;***

Amendment

6. Regrets that the Commission did not follow the budgetary request of Europol and proposed to underfund the Agency by 33,5 million euros in 2020; ***is concerned that, coupled with the reduction envisaged by the Commission regarding Europol personnel, this would affect the ability of the Agency to deliver on its priorities, as set out in the Europol Strategy 2020+; points out that Europol would thus face short-term restrictions in the two core pillars of its strategy, operational support and technical innovation; calls for an appropriate level of human and financial resources;***

Or. en

Amendment 41
Tomas Tobé

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Regrets that the Commission did not follow the budgetary request of Europol and proposed to underfund the Agency by 30 million euros in 2020; notes that the decrease of the eu-LISA commitment appropriations by 18,7 % (-55 million euros) corresponds to the end of the development of the Entry Exit System; reiterates the need to ensure adequate financial support for JHA Agencies to deliver the tasks assigned to them in full transparency and to fight against cross-border serious crime in full compliance with fundamental rights;

Amendment

6. Regrets that the Commission did not follow the budgetary request of Europol and proposed to underfund the Agency by 30 million euros in 2020; ***considers the proposed increase in Europol's budget of 2.57 % as insufficient as it does not correspond to the needs that exist regarding the Union's shared law enforcement efforts and as the Agency has a key role in the fight against organised crime, in particular cross-border property crime, and terrorism in Europe; stresses in particular that Europol's counter-terrorism center needs sufficient funding; takes the view that in the long term, Europol's budget has to increase substantially;*** notes that the decrease of the eu-LISA commitment

appropriations by 18,7 % (-55 million euros) corresponds to the end of the development of the Entry Exit System; reiterates the need to ensure adequate financial support for JHA Agencies to deliver the tasks assigned to them in full transparency and to fight against cross-border serious crime in full compliance with fundamental rights;

Or. en

Amendment 42

Tomáš Zdechovský, Roberta Metsola, Monika Hohlmeier, Karoline Edtstadler, Javier Zarzalejos

Draft opinion Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Regrets that the Commission did not follow the budgetary request of Europol and proposed to underfund the Agency by **30** million euros in 2020; notes that the decrease of the eu-LISA commitment appropriations by 18,7 % (-55 million euros) corresponds to the end of the development of the Entry Exit System; reiterates the need to ensure adequate financial support for JHA Agencies to deliver the tasks assigned to them in full transparency and to fight against cross-border serious crime in full compliance with fundamental rights;

Amendment

6. Regrets that the Commission did not follow the budgetary request of Europol and proposed to underfund the Agency by **more than 33** million euros in 2020 **and decrease the number of temporary agents by 52; rejects the Commission's proposal of substantial reduction of contract agents at Europol, which will jeopardise the operational activities of the Agency**; notes that the decrease of the eu-LISA commitment appropriations by 18,7 % (-55 million euros) corresponds to the end of the development of the Entry Exit System; reiterates the need to ensure adequate financial support for JHA Agencies to deliver the tasks assigned to them in full transparency and to fight against cross-border serious crime in full compliance with fundamental rights;

Or. en

Amendment 43 Caterina Chinnici

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Regrets that the Commission did not follow the budgetary *request* of Europol and proposed to underfund *the Agency* by 30 million euros *in 2020*; notes that the decrease of the eu-LISA commitment appropriations by 18,7 % (-55 million euros) corresponds to the end of the development of the Entry Exit System; reiterates the need to ensure adequate financial support for JHA Agencies to deliver the tasks assigned to them in full transparency and to fight against cross-border serious crime in full compliance with fundamental rights;

Amendment

6. Regrets that the Commission did not follow the budgetary *requests* of Europol *and Eurojust* and proposed to underfund *in 2020 Europol* by 30 million euros *and Eurojust by 3.7 million euros*; notes that the decrease of the eu-LISA commitment appropriations by 18,7 % (-55 million euros) corresponds to the end of the development of the Entry Exit System; reiterates the need to ensure adequate financial support *and staffing* for JHA Agencies to *effectively* deliver the tasks assigned to them in full transparency and to fight against cross-border serious crime in full compliance with fundamental rights;

Or. en

Amendment 44
Franco Roberti

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Regrets that the Commission did not follow the budgetary request of Europol and proposed to underfund the Agency by 30 million euros in 2020; notes that the decrease of the eu-LISA commitment appropriations by 18,7 % (-55 million euros) corresponds to the end of the development of the Entry Exit System; reiterates the need to ensure adequate financial support for JHA Agencies to deliver the tasks assigned to them in full transparency and to fight against cross-border serious crime in full compliance with fundamental rights;

Amendment

6. Regrets that the Commission did not follow the budgetary request of Europol and proposed to underfund the Agency by 30 million euros in 2020; notes that the decrease of the eu-LISA commitment appropriations by 18,7 % (-55 million euros) corresponds to the end of the development of the Entry Exit System; reiterates the need to ensure adequate financial support for JHA Agencies to deliver the tasks assigned to them in full transparency and to fight against cross-border serious crime in full compliance with fundamental rights; *moreover, requests adequate financial resources to equip all relevant agencies with adequate funds to cover their operational and administrative tasks in order to help*

Amendment 45
Nicola Procaccini

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Regrets that the Commission did not follow the budgetary request of Europol and proposed to underfund the Agency by 30 million euros in 2020; notes that the decrease of the eu-LISA commitment appropriations by 18,7 % (-55 million euros) corresponds to the end of the development of the Entry Exit System; reiterates the need to ensure adequate financial support for JHA Agencies *to deliver the tasks assigned to them in full transparency and to fight against* cross-border serious crime *in full compliance with fundamental rights*;

Amendment

6. Regrets that the Commission did not follow the budgetary request of Europol and proposed to underfund the Agency by 30 million euros in 2020; notes that the decrease of the eu-LISA commitment appropriations by 18,7 % (-55 million euros) corresponds to the end of the development of the Entry Exit System; reiterates the need to ensure adequate financial support *especially* for JHA Agencies *which deal with the* fight of cross-border serious crime *and terrorism*;

Amendment 46
Ramona Strugariu, Dragoş Tudorache, Olivier Chastel, Fabienne Keller, Nicolae Ştefănuţă, Laura Ferrara, Malik Azmani, Michal Šimečka

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

6 a. Welcomes the proposal from the Commission regarding the budget for eu-LISA, as well as the proposal concerning the allocation of additional staff, which is highly relevant taking in account all the new tasks assigned to the Agency and its operational needs; emphasises the importance of timely implementation of the Entry-Exit System, ETIAS and

Amendment

Interoperability Regulations and the role of eu-LISA for its achievement; notes that the decrease of the eu-LISA commitment appropriations by 18,7% (-55 million euros) in 2020, compared with previous year, corresponds to the planning for implementation of the Entry-Exit System; reiterates the need to ensure adequate financial support for JHA Agencies to deliver the tasks assigned to them in full transparency and to fight against cross-border serious crime in full compliance with fundamental rights;

Or. en

Amendment 47

Tomáš Zdechovský, Roberta Metsola, Monika Hohlmeier, Karoline Edtstadler, Javier Zarzalejos

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6 a. Notes that, despite the fact that the internal security threats remain high, the Union's substantial investments in the protection of its external borders has not been matched with increased funding of the Union's internal security mechanisms, such as Europol, and stresses the importance of robust Union investments in the area of internal security with a view to enhancing Union law enforcement cooperation and promoting information exchange among Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 48

Fabienne Keller, Nathalie Loiseau, Sophia in 't Veld, Jan-Christoph Oetjen, Antony Hook, Dragoş Tudorache, Malik Azmani, Olivier Chastel

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6 a. *Notes the increasing recruitment of “temporary agents” as compared to “contract agents” in the EU agencies; considers that the sensitivity of information accessible to the staff of Agencies in the JHA field requires a strict policy of confidentiality, in particular with regard to the staff recruitment and management.*

Or. en

Amendment 49

Tomáš Zdechovský, Roberta Metsola, Monika Hohlmeier, Karoline Edtstadler, Javier Zarzalejos

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6 b. *Acknowledges Europol’s increasing role in combating terrorism and organised crime, as well as in strengthening cross-border cooperation in the field of law enforcement;*

Or. en

Amendment 50

Fabienne Keller, Nathalie Loiseau, Sophia in 't Veld, Jan-Christoph Oetjen, Antony Hook, Dragoş Tudorache, Malik Azmani, Olivier Chastel

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6 b. *Notes that the decrease of the eu-LISA commitment appropriations by 18,7% (-55 million euros) corresponds to the end of the development of the Entry-Exit System;*

Or. en

Amendment 51

Tomáš Zdechovský, Roberta Metsola, Monika Hohlmeier, Karoline Edtstadler, Javier Zarzalejos

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6 c. Supports the implementation of Europol's Strategy 2020+, aiming at strengthening Europol's operational support and analytical capabilities to the benefit of the Member States and proposes new investments in important crime areas, such as the fight against drug trafficking and financial crime;

Or. en

Amendment 52

Fabienne Keller, Nathalie Loiseau, Sophia in 't Veld, Jan-Christoph Oetjen, Antony Hook, Dragoş Tudorache, Malik Azmani, Olivier Chastel

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6 c. Reiterates the need to ensure adequate financial support for JHA Agencies to deliver the tasks assigned to them in full transparency and to fight against cross-border serious crime in full compliance with fundamental rights;

Or. en

Amendment 53

Cornelia Ernst, Clare Daly

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Welcomes the amount allocated to the EDPS (19 million euros); emphasises the need to ensure appropriate budgetary and staff resources for EDPS to carry out the additional tasks resulting from the implementation of the new Union data protection framework (GDPR) with full independence; stresses therefore that the allocated budget is the bare minimum;

Amendment

7. Welcomes the amount allocated to the EDPS (19 million euros); ***notes that more than 30% of this amount are used to provide the secretariat for the EDPS***; emphasises the need to ensure appropriate budgetary and staff resources for EDPS to carry out the additional tasks resulting from the implementation of the new Union data protection framework (GDPR) with full independence; stresses therefore that the allocated budget is the bare minimum;

Or. en

Amendment 54

Tomas Tobé

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Welcomes the amount allocated to the EDPS (19 million euros); emphasises the need to ensure appropriate budgetary and staff resources for EDPS to carry out the additional tasks resulting from the implementation of the new Union data protection framework (GDPR) with full independence; ***stresses therefore that the allocated budget is the bare minimum***;

Amendment

7. Welcomes the amount allocated to the EDPS (19 million euros); emphasises the need to ensure appropriate budgetary and staff resources for EDPS to carry out the additional tasks resulting from the implementation of the new Union data protection framework (GDPR) with full independence;

Or. en

Amendment 55

Sophia in 't Veld

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

7 a. Is concerned that the Commission's draft budget for CEPOL (funding gap 1,5 million euros) does not

Amendment

allow CEPOL to sufficiently cover the demand from Member States regarding education and training for the law enforcement communities in the Union and its neighbourhood; regrets that CEPOL does not get sufficient funding to address new challenges in light of the new information systems such as SIS II, cannot deliver training to law enforcement officials in fields such as corruption and excise fraud, while the EU Strategic Training Needs Assessment has identified these as necessary for law enforcement at Union level, and had to refuse 54 valid and legitimate training requests of Member States in the field of law enforcement leadership, illegal immigration, hate crime, and money laundering because of budgetary restraints; argues that the budgetary request of CEPOL (12 million euros) for 2020 is needed to be able to meet the increasing demand of Member States, particularly in the field of cybercrime and digitalisation; points out that trust, networks and information exchange between law enforcement communities across borders could be further improved by adding a new one month component to the current CEPOL Exchange Programme and offering study visits to Europol and exchange programmes between operational officers from Member States and Europol staff, which would require appropriate additional financial and human resources for CEPOL;

Or. en

Amendment 56
Tomáš Zdechovský

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7 a. Welcomes the proposal from the Commission regarding the budget for eu-LISA, as well as the proposal concerning the allocation of additional staff, which is highly relevant taking into account all the new tasks assigned to the Agency and its operational needs; emphasises the importance of timely implementation of the Entry-Exit System, ETIAS and Interoperability Regulations and the role of eu-LISA for its achievement; notes that the decrease of the eu-LISA commitment appropriations by 18,7 % (-55 million euros) in 2020, compared with the previous year, corresponds to the planning for implementation of the Entry-Exit System; reiterates the need to ensure adequate financial support for JHA Agencies to deliver the tasks assigned to them in full transparency and to fight against cross-border serious crime in full compliance with fundamental rights;

Or. en

Amendment 57
Sylwia Spurek

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7 a. Recalls its support to fight against discriminatory practices, gender-based violence, hate crimes as well as for the full enjoyment of rights, equality and justice programmes; stresses the significant role played by appropriations spent for non-discrimination instruments, and equality support programmes to enforce minorities' rights, with a special focus on the rights of women, elderly persons, persons with disabilities and LGBTQI+ persons;

Or. en

Amendment 58

Ramona Strugariu, Dragoș Tudorache, Olivier Chastel, Fabienne Keller, Nicolae Ștefănuță, Laura Ferrara, Malik Azmani, Michal Šimečka

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7 a. Reiterates its call on the Commission to strengthen support for investigative journalism, including cross-border investigative journalism, and media freedom through dedicated funds as a measure contributing to revealing and combatting crime, as well as raising awareness among Union citizens;

Or. en

Amendment 59

Cristian Terheș

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7 a. Encourages the Commission to strengthen support for investigative journalism, including cross-border investigative journalism, and media freedom through dedicated funds;

Or. en

Amendment 60

Sylwia Spurek

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7 b. Calls on the Commission, the Council and Member States to implement gender budgeting in all public

expenditure, especially when planning, negotiating, implementing and revaluating the next multiannual financial framework (MFF); the commitment to safeguard the promotion and protection of gender equality, women's and girls' rights in the 2020 budgetary procedure is crucial; gender budgeting should therefore be integrated in all budget lines and follow:

- 1. the promotion of accountability and transparency in fiscal planning;*
- 2. the increase of gender responsive participation in the budget process;*
- 3. the advancement of gender equality and women's rights;*

Or. en

Amendment 61
Tomáš Zdechovský, Roberta Metsola

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7 b. Supports the Commission's proposed budgetary increase for the European Border and Coast Guard Agency in 2020 which is necessary in order to implement the Agency's enhanced mandate;

Or. en

Amendment 62
Sylwia Spurek

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7 c. Recalls that gender mainstreaming is the (re)organisation, improvement,

development and evaluation of policy processes, so that a gender equality perspective is incorporated in all policies at all levels and at all stages, by the actors involved in policy-making; therefore the Parliament points out that gender budgeting is one of the integral parts of a gender mainstreaming strategy; stresses the increasing need to for a proper implementation of gender mainstreaming when designing new policies in all the stages of the law-making process, including national policies;

Or. en

Amendment 63
Tomáš Zdechovský

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7 c. Points out the fact that the budget of the EBCG has increased, in a commensurate manner to its mandate evolution, by 34,8 % (+108million euros) in 2020 which is by far the largest increase among all JHA agencies;

Or. en

Amendment 64
Sylwia Spurek

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 d (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7 d. Calls for sustainable and adequate funding to be benchmarked for actions provided for an effective implementation of the Istanbul Convention and the Victims' Rights Directive, as key pieces of legislation on fighting violence against

women and domestic violence as well as providing an adequate support to victims, creating a comprehensive legal framework and approach to combat gender-based violence, raising awareness campaigns and building a proper state infrastructure in order to combat such violence;

Or. en

Amendment 65
Sylwia Spurek

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 e (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7 e. Calls on all Union institutions and specialised bodies, including executive agencies, to integrate into their annual working programmes opportunities for all their staff to undertake specialised trainings as regards non-discrimination and sexual harassment in the workplace;

Or. en

Amendment 66
Sylwia Spurek

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 f (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7 f. Deplores the fact that there has been no noticeable progress in terms of following up on the Union's high level political and legal commitments to gender equality and gender mainstreaming in the budgetary process and spending decisions since 2015, also identified by the fact that in the mid-term review of the 2014-2020 MFF nor in the Commission's proposal for the 2021-2027 gender equality has

been taken into consideration.

Or. en