

**Question for written answer P-007791/2015  
to the Commission**  
Rule 130  
**Miroslav Poche (S&D)**

Subject: Structural funds monitoring system

The Czech Republic is having serious problems following an audit of its structural funds monitoring system. The auditing authority identified a number of serious errors, but the managing body and director of the selection board for the monitoring system (the Ministry of Regional Development) has disputed the findings and is calling for a new audit.

What does the Commission think of the idea of a re-audit, which would most likely call into question the findings of the first audit? Would it consider the new findings relevant? Has it witnessed the re-auditing of a monitoring system before? Does it trust the Czech auditing authority?