



**2019/0246(COD)**

31.1.2020

# **AMENDMENTS**

## **33 - 58**

**Draft report**

**Niclas Herbst**

(PE644.735v01-00)

Introduction of capacity limits for Eastern Baltic cod, data collection and control measures in the Baltic Sea, and Regulation (EU) No 508/2014 as regards permanent cessation for fleets fishing for Eastern Baltic cod

Proposal for a decision

(COM(2019)0564 – C9-0161/2019 – 2019/0246(COD))



## Amendment 33

Ivo Hristov

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Recital 1

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(1) Article 3(3) of Regulation (EU) No 2016/1139 of the European Parliament and of the Council<sup>3</sup> commits to implement the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management in order to ensure that negative impacts of fishing activities on the marine ecosystem are minimised. The plan is to be coherent with Union environmental legislation, in particular with the objective of achieving good environmental status by 2020 as set out in Article 1(1) of Directive 2008/56/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council<sup>4</sup>.

---

<sup>3</sup> Regulation (EU) 2016/1139 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 6 July 2016 establishing a multiannual plan for the stocks of cod, herring and sprat in the Baltic Sea and the fisheries exploiting those stocks, amending Council Regulation (EC) No 2187/2005 and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 1098/2007 (OJ L 191, 15.7.2016, p. 1).

<sup>4</sup> Directive 2008/56/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 June 2008 establishing a framework for community action in the field of marine environmental policy (Marine Strategy Framework Directive) (OJ L 164, 25.6.2008, p. 19)

*Amendment*

(1) Article 3(3) of Regulation (EU) No 2016/1139 of the European Parliament and of the Council<sup>3</sup> commits to implement the ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management in order to ensure that negative impacts of fishing activities on the marine ecosystem are minimised. The plan is to be coherent with Union environmental legislation, in particular with the objective of achieving good environmental status by 2020 as set out in Article 1(1) of Directive 2008/56/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council<sup>4</sup>. ***It is essential that the plan contributes to having a healthy stock, which is then linked to sustainable fishing.***

---

<sup>3</sup> Regulation (EU) 2016/1139 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 6 July 2016 establishing a multiannual plan for the stocks of cod, herring and sprat in the Baltic Sea and the fisheries exploiting those stocks, amending Council Regulation (EC) No 2187/2005 and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 1098/2007 (OJ L 191, 15.7.2016, p. 1).

<sup>4</sup> Directive 2008/56/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 June 2008 establishing a framework for community action in the field of marine environmental policy (Marine Strategy Framework Directive) (OJ L 164, 25.6.2008, p. 19)

Or. en

## Amendment 34

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Recital 2 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(2a) Those high nutrient inputs result inter alia from insufficiently implemented directives such as Directive 91/676/EEC concerning the protection of waters against pollution caused by nitrates from agricultural sources and Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council establishing a framework for Community action in the field of water policy.**

Or. en

**Amendment 35**  
**Ruža Tomašić**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Recital 3**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(3) The declining condition of Eastern Baltic cod (*Gadus morhua*) has been linked to that situation. According to ICES, the stock suffers from an unsustainably low biomass due to a combination of declining recruitment, environmental factors and changes in the ecosystem leading to a high natural mortality (about three times the fishing mortality), and an excessive fishing mortality given the status of the stock. The biomass of commercial sized cod is presently at the lowest level observed since the 1950s. Moreover, ICES estimates that the spawning stock biomass will remain below the sustainability reference point in the medium-term (2024) even with no fishing at all. In its stock advice for 2020 ICES therefore advises zero catches.

(3) The declining condition of Eastern Baltic cod (*Gadus morhua*) has been linked to that situation. According to ICES, the stock suffers from an unsustainably low biomass due to a combination of declining recruitment, environmental factors and changes in the ecosystem (**oxygen depletion, increased temperatures, pollution, etc.**) leading to a high natural mortality (about three times the fishing mortality), and an excessive fishing mortality given the status of the stock. The biomass of commercial sized cod is presently at the lowest level observed since the 1950s. Moreover, ICES estimates that the spawning stock biomass will remain below the sustainability reference point in the medium-term (2024) even with no fishing at all. In its stock advice for 2020

ICES therefore advises zero catches.

Or. hr

### **Amendment 36**

**Ska Keller, Grace O'Sullivan, Francisco Guerreiro, Benoît Biteau, Caroline Roose**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

##### **Recital 3**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(3) The declining condition of Eastern Baltic cod (*Gadus morhua*) has been linked to that situation. According to ICES, the stock suffers from an unsustainably low biomass due to a combination of declining recruitment, environmental factors and changes in the ecosystem leading to a high natural mortality (about three times the fishing mortality), and an excessive fishing mortality given the status of the stock. The biomass of commercial sized cod is presently at the lowest level observed since the 1950s. Moreover, ICES estimates that the spawning stock biomass will remain below the sustainability reference point in the medium-term (2024) even with no fishing at all. In its stock advice for 2020 ICES therefore advises zero catches.

*Amendment*

(3) The declining condition of Eastern Baltic cod (*Gadus morhua*) has been linked to that situation. According to ICES, the stock suffers from an unsustainably low biomass due to a combination of declining recruitment, environmental factors, ***low availability of prey species***, and changes in the ecosystem leading to a high natural mortality (about three times the fishing mortality), and an excessive fishing mortality given the status of the stock. The biomass of commercial sized cod is presently at the lowest level observed since the 1950s. Moreover, ICES estimates that the spawning stock biomass will remain below the sustainability reference point in the medium-term (2024) even with no fishing at all. In its stock advice for 2020 ICES therefore advises zero catches.

Or. en

### **Amendment 37**

**Ska Keller, Grace O'Sullivan, Francisco Guerreiro, Benoît Biteau, Caroline Roose**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

##### **Recital 3 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(3a) Fisheries management in the Baltic Sea also suffers from an imbalance between active fleet segments and***

*available fishing opportunities as set out in the Commission Communication of 7 June 2019 on the State of Play of the Common Fisheries Policy and Consultation on the Fishing Opportunities for 2020.*

Or. en

**Amendment 38**  
**Ivo Hristov**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Recital 5**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(5) Based on the stock assessment for Eastern Baltic cod, the Commission adopted Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2019/1248<sup>5</sup>, prohibiting until 31 December 2019 to fish for cod in ICES subdivisions 24 to 26.

---

<sup>5</sup> Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2019/1248 of 22 July 2019 establishing measures to alleviate a serious threat to the conservation of the Eastern Baltic cod (*Gadus morhua*) stock (OJ L 195, 23.7.2019, p. 2)

*Amendment*

(5) Based on the stock assessment for Eastern Baltic cod, the Commission adopted Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2019/1248<sup>5</sup>, prohibiting until 31 December 2019 to fish for cod in ICES subdivisions 24 to 26. ***That prohibition has had a significant impact on the Eastern Baltic region, especially on the small artisanal fishing sector.***

---

<sup>5</sup> Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2019/1248 of 22 July 2019 establishing measures to alleviate a serious threat to the conservation of the Eastern Baltic cod (*Gadus morhua*) stock (OJ L 195, 23.7.2019, p. 2)

Or. en

**Amendment 39**  
**Ivo Hristov**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Recital 5 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(5a) Eastern Baltic cod is also caught by fishermen from third countries, which has an impact on the stock of the species as well.**

Or. en

**Amendment 40**  
**Ivo Hristov**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Recital 7**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(7) The fishing fleets so far dependent on Eastern Baltic cod do not have the possibility to fish for other stocks as an alternative. It is estimated that offsetting the economic losses caused by the Eastern Baltic cod closure would require additional catches of around 20,000 tonnes in alternative species. However, the Council also agreed *severe* reductions for other stocks, and notably a reduction of 65% for Western Baltic herring, 60% for Western Baltic cod, 32% for plaice, 27% for herring in the Gulf of Bothnia and 22% for sprat.

*Amendment*

(7) The fishing fleets so far dependent on Eastern Baltic cod do not have the possibility to fish for other stocks as an alternative. It is estimated that offsetting the economic losses caused by the Eastern Baltic cod closure would require additional catches of around 20,000 tonnes in alternative species. However, the Council also agreed reductions **for three consecutive years** for other stocks, and notably a *severe* reduction of 65% for Western Baltic herring, 60% for Western Baltic cod, 32% for plaice, 27% for herring in the Gulf of Bothnia and 22% for sprat **in the 2020 catch limits in the Baltic Sea.**

Or. en

**Amendment 41**  
**Ska Keller, Grace O'Sullivan, Francisco Guerreiro, Benoît Biteau, Caroline Roose**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Recital 7**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(7) The fishing fleets so far dependent on Eastern Baltic cod do not have the possibility to fish for other stocks as an alternative. It is estimated that offsetting

*Amendment*

(7) The fishing fleets so far dependent on Eastern Baltic cod do not have the possibility to fish for other stocks as an alternative. It is estimated that offsetting

the economic losses caused by the Eastern Baltic cod closure would require additional catches of around 20,000 tonnes in alternative species. However, the Council also agreed severe reductions for other stocks, and notably a reduction of 65% for Western Baltic herring, 60% for Western Baltic cod, 32% for plaice, 27% for herring in the Gulf of Bothnia and 22% for sprat.

the economic losses caused by the Eastern Baltic cod closure would require additional catches of around 20,000 tonnes in alternative species. However, the Council also agreed severe reductions for other stocks, and notably a reduction of 65% for Western Baltic herring, 60% for Western Baltic cod, 32% for plaice, 27% for herring in the Gulf of Bothnia and 22% for sprat, ***while not completely following the scientific recommendations.***

Or. en

**Amendment 42**  
**Ivo Hristov**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Recital 8**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(8) Commission analysis shows that the fleet segments with the highest dependency on Eastern Baltic cod encompass more than 300 vessels, mainly trawlers and netters in Lithuania, Latvia and Poland, and to a lesser extent, Denmark. These fleet segments are of significant socioeconomic importance, representing between roughly 20% and 50% of the respective national fleet in Lithuania, Latvia and Poland, expressed in full-time equivalents. Only a minority seem resilient enough to survive a short-term – but not a medium or long-term – closure. The rest either suffer already from a poor situation that will be worsened by the closure or will see their profitability completely erode. Indeed, the quota uptake for Eastern Baltic cod has been below 60% for many years already, dropping further to 40% in 2018 and even lower in 2019 until the start of the Commission emergency measures in mid-July (19%), reflecting the biological problem with this fishery. Given that Eastern Baltic cod is not expected to

*Amendment*

(8) Commission analysis shows that the fleet segments with the highest dependency on Eastern Baltic cod encompass more than 300 vessels, mainly trawlers and netters in Lithuania, Latvia and Poland, and to a lesser extent, Denmark ***and Germany***. These fleet segments are of significant socioeconomic importance, representing between roughly 20% and 50% of the respective national fleet in Lithuania, Latvia and Poland, expressed in full-time equivalents. Only a minority seem resilient enough to survive a short-term – but not a medium or long-term – closure. The rest either suffer already from a poor situation that will be worsened by the closure or will see their profitability completely erode. Indeed, the quota uptake for Eastern Baltic cod has been below 60% for many years already, dropping further to 40% in 2018 and even lower in 2019 until the start of the Commission emergency measures in mid-July (19%), reflecting the biological problem with this fishery. Given that Eastern Baltic cod is not expected to

recover to healthy levels even in the medium term, there will be a persistent structural imbalance for these fleet segments, thus justifying the restructuring of the fleet.

recover to healthy levels even in the medium term, there will be a persistent structural imbalance for these fleet segments, thus justifying the restructuring of the fleet.

Or. en

### **Amendment 43** **Søren Gade**

#### **Proposal for a regulation** **Recital 11**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(11) *According to ICES advice published on 29 May 2019, approximately 70% of the cod landings in subdivisions 24-28 were taken by trawlers with a BACOMA with a 120 mm escape window or T90, and 15% of the cod landings were taken by gillnetters with mesh sizes between 110 mm and 156 mm. These métiers are generally considered to target cod and the minimum mesh sizes for them are set out in Regulation (EU) 2019/1241 of the European Parliament and of the Council.<sup>7</sup> The other métiers in the top 15 in terms of the amount of cod landings each contributed less than 5% to the total cod landings.* It is important to ensure that the capacity levels of fleets fishing for *Eastern* Baltic cod do not increase and permanent cessation with public funds delivers an effective reduction of fleet capacity. The Member State capacity level of *these two* fleet segments should be *capped at* levels of active vessels in *the most recent* years before the application of emergency measures, i.e. *2017 and* 2018, and should be reduced when vessels are withdrawn with public aid, with the aim of rebuilding the *stock* of *Eastern* Baltic cod.

*Amendment*

(11) It is important to ensure that the capacity levels of fleets fishing for Baltic cod do not increase and permanent cessation with public funds delivers an effective reduction of fleet capacity. The Member State capacity level of *the* fleet segments *concerned* should be *reduced to below the* levels of active vessels in *two of the five* years before the application of emergency measures, i.e. *the years 2014-2018*, and should be reduced when vessels are withdrawn with public aid, with the aim of rebuilding the *stocks* of Baltic cod.

---

**7 Regulation (EU) 2019/1241 of the**

***European Parliament and of the Council of 20 June 2019 on the conservation of fisheries resources and the protection of marine ecosystems through technical measures, amending Council Regulations (EC) No 1967/2006, (EC) No 1224/2009 and Regulations (EU) No 1380/2013, (EU) 2016/1139, (EU) 2018/973, (EU) 2019/472 and (EU) 2019/1022 of the European Parliament and of the Council, and repealing Council Regulations (EC) No 894/97, (EC) No 850/98, (EC) No 2549/2000, (EC) No 254/2002, (EC) No 812/2004 and (EC) No 2187/2005 (OJ L 198, 25.7.2019, p. 105).***

Or. da

*Justification*

*The size of fisheries in the Baltic has varied a lot in recent years. It is therefore important that the reference period takes actual fisheries into account. A reference period consisting of two of the five years immediately prior to the introduction of emergency measures would be a more accurate representation of capacity variations in the Baltic.*

**Amendment 44**

**Ska Keller, Grace O'Sullivan, Francisco Guerreiro, Benoît Biteau, Caroline Roose**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Recital 13**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(13) Given the serious condition of the Eastern Baltic cod stock additional measures to control the fisheries catching Eastern Baltic cod should be introduced. The threshold quantity as of which a fishing vessel is required to land its catch in a specific place should be reduced to **250kg**. Moreover, masters of fishing vessels having quotas for cod and fishing in areas where Eastern Baltic cod is present are to ensure that their fishing activity can be monitored at any time by the national competent authorities.

*Amendment*

(13) Given the serious condition of the Eastern Baltic cod stock additional measures to control the fisheries catching Eastern Baltic cod should be introduced. The threshold quantity as of which a fishing vessel is required to land its catch in a specific place should be reduced to **200kg**. Moreover, masters of fishing vessels having quotas for cod and fishing in areas where Eastern Baltic cod is present are to ensure that their fishing activity can be monitored at any time by the national competent authorities.

Or. en

**Amendment 45**  
**Ruža Tomašić**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Recital 14**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(14) ICES issued an analytical assessment of Eastern Baltic cod but was not in a position to provide fishing mortality ranges and various reference points because of a lack of required data. Therefore, data collection is to be improved ***by ensuring that the observer coverage at sea of vessels catching Eastern Baltic cod is at least 20 %.***

*Amendment*

(14) ICES issued an analytical assessment of Eastern Baltic cod but was not in a position to provide fishing mortality ranges and various reference points because of a lack of required data. Therefore, data collection is to be improved.

Or. hr

**Amendment 46**  
**Ska Keller, Grace O'Sullivan, Francisco Guerreiro, Benoît Biteau, Caroline Roose**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Recital 14**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(14) ICES issued an analytical assessment of Eastern Baltic cod but was not in a position to provide fishing mortality ranges and various reference points because of a lack of required data. Therefore, data collection is to be improved by ensuring that the observer coverage at sea of vessels catching Eastern Baltic cod is at least **20%**.

*Amendment*

(14) ICES issued an analytical assessment of Eastern Baltic cod but was not in a position to provide fishing mortality ranges and various reference points because of a lack of required data. Therefore, data collection is to be improved by ensuring that the observer coverage at sea of vessels catching Eastern Baltic cod is at least **30%**.

Or. en

**Amendment 47**  
**Ruža Tomašić**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Recital 16**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(16) In order to mitigate the **adverse economic effects** caused by the exceptional and persistent environmental situation in the Baltic Sea and the resulting severe reductions in fishing opportunities and in view of the specific measures provided for in the Multiannual plan for the Baltic Sea, public support for the permanent cessation of fishing activities through the scrapping of fishing vessels should be available to allow Member States to meet the objectives of their action plans under Article 22 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 and reduce any identified structural imbalance in the fishing segments covered by the Baltic multiannual plan. Regulation (EU) No 508/2014 should therefore be amended accordingly to reintroduce support for permanent cessation for the vessels that have significant dependence on Eastern Baltic cod.

*Amendment*

(16) In order to mitigate the **seriously harmful socio-economic consequences for coastal communities and businesses engaged in fishing** caused by the exceptional and persistent environmental situation in the Baltic Sea and the resulting severe reductions in fishing opportunities and in view of the specific measures provided for in the Multiannual plan for the Baltic Sea, public support for the permanent cessation of fishing activities through the scrapping of fishing vessels should be available to allow Member States to meet the objectives of their action plans under Article 22 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 and reduce any identified structural imbalance in the fishing segments covered by the Baltic multiannual plan. Regulation (EU) No 508/2014 should therefore be amended accordingly to reintroduce support for permanent cessation for the vessels that have significant dependence on Eastern Baltic cod.

Or. hr

**Amendment 48**  
**Ivo Hristov**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Recital 16**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(16) In order to mitigate the adverse economic effects caused by the exceptional **and** persistent environmental situation in the Baltic Sea and the resulting severe reductions in fishing opportunities and in view of the specific measures provided for in the Multiannual plan for the Baltic Sea,

*Amendment*

(16) In order to mitigate the adverse economic effects caused by the exceptional, persistent **and negative** environmental situation in the Baltic Sea and the resulting severe reductions in fishing opportunities and in view of the specific measures provided for in the

public support for the permanent cessation of fishing activities through the scrapping of fishing vessels should be available to allow Member States to meet the objectives of their action plans under Article 22 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 and reduce any identified structural imbalance in the fishing segments covered by the Baltic multiannual plan. Regulation (EU) No 508/2014 should therefore be amended accordingly to reintroduce support for permanent cessation for the vessels that have significant dependence on Eastern Baltic cod.

Multiannual plan for the Baltic Sea, public support for the permanent cessation of fishing activities through the scrapping of fishing vessels should be available to allow Member States to meet the objectives of their action plans under Article 22 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 and reduce any identified structural imbalance in the fishing segments covered by the Baltic multiannual plan. Regulation (EU) No 508/2014 should therefore be amended accordingly to reintroduce support for permanent cessation for the vessels that have significant dependence on Eastern Baltic cod.

Or. en

#### **Amendment 49**

**Jaroslaw Kalinowski, Jaroslaw Duda, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Magdalena Adamowicz, Janusz Lewandowski**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

##### **Recital 18**

###### *Text proposed by the Commission*

(18) Given the fragile ecosystem in the Baltic Sea, support for the permanent cessation of fishing activities should not be granted for the retrofitting of fishing vessels for other activities than commercial fishing, such as recreational fishing, ***which could have a detrimental impact on the ecosystem. Therefore, such*** support should only be granted for the scrapping of fishing vessels.

###### *Amendment*

(18) Given the fragile ecosystem in the Baltic Sea, support for the permanent cessation of fishing activities should not be granted for the retrofitting of fishing vessels for other activities than commercial fishing, such as recreational fishing. ***At the same time,*** support should only be granted for the scrapping of fishing vessels, ***including vessels used for recreational fishing. In view of the above, compensation scheme coverage and the possibility of scrapping recreational fishing units should take place on a similar basis as for industrial fishing.***

Or. pl

#### **Amendment 50**

**Ska Keller, Grace O'Sullivan, Francisco Guerreiro, Benoît Biteau, Caroline Roose**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Recital 18**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(18) Given the fragile ecosystem in the Baltic Sea, support for the permanent cessation of fishing activities should not be granted for the retrofitting of fishing vessels for other ***activities than commercial fishing, such as recreational fishing, which*** could have a detrimental impact on the ecosystem. Therefore, such support should only be granted for the scrapping of fishing vessels.

*Amendment*

(18) Given the fragile ecosystem in the Baltic Sea, support for the permanent cessation of fishing activities should not be granted for the retrofitting of fishing vessels for ***any other activity that*** could have a detrimental impact on the ecosystem. Therefore, such support should only be granted for the scrapping of fishing vessels.

Or. en

**Amendment 51**

**Jaroslav Kalinowski, Jaroslav Duda, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Magdalena Adamowicz, Janusz Lewandowski**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Recital 18 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(18a) Given the cultural and multi-generational characteristics of the coastal fishing profession, alternatives to the scrapping of vessels that would allow fishermen to remain in the profession should be provided.***

Or. pl

**Amendment 52**

**Ruža Tomašić**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Recital 19 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(19a) Article 30 of Regulation (EU) No 508/2014 states that the EFPR can support investments that contribute to the diversification of fishermen's incomes through the development of complementary activities.**

Or. hr

**Amendment 53**

**Jaroslav Kalinowski, Jaroslav Duda, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Magdalena Adamowicz, Janusz Lewandowski**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Recital 20 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(20a) Compensation for the permanent cessation of vessels' activities will not be attractive to operators if it is deducted from the temporary cessation compensation paid in the past pursuant to Article 25(5) of Regulation (EU) No 508/2014. Such a deduction would not make sense because services have been provided in the past for the temporary cessation of vessels' activities, while compensation for permanent cessation of activities concerns future benefits.**

Or. pl

**Amendment 54**

**Ivo Hristov**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Recital 21**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(21) In view of the bad economic situation of Union fishing vessels with a significant dependence on Eastern Baltic cod and the need to ensure the availability

(21) In view of the bad economic situation of Union fishing vessels with a significant dependence on Eastern Baltic cod and the need to ensure the availability

of support under the EMFF for permanent cessation of fishing activities of those vessels, it was considered appropriate to provide for an exception to the eight-week period referred to in Article 4 of Protocol No 1 on the role of national Parliaments in the European Union, annexed to the TEU, to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union and to the Treaty establishing the European Atomic Energy Community,

of support under the EMFF for permanent cessation of fishing activities of those vessels, it was considered appropriate to provide for an exception to the eight-week period referred to in Article 4 of Protocol No 1 on the role of national Parliaments in the European Union, annexed to the TEU, to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union and to the Treaty establishing the European Atomic Energy Community *with the aim of acting as quickly as possible while respecting the full democratic rights of all Union institutions,*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 55**

**Ska Keller, Grace O'Sullivan, Francisco Guerreiro, Benoît Biteau, Caroline Roose**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2**

Regulation (EU) 2016/1139

Article 14 – point a

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(a) **250** kilograms of cod;

(a) **200** kilograms of cod;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 56**

**Ska Keller, Grace O'Sullivan, Francisco Guerreiro, Benoît Biteau, Caroline Roose**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 4**

Regulation (EU) 2016/1139

Article 14b – paragraph 1

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

Union vessels with an allocation of fishing opportunities for cod in ICES subdivisions 24-32 shall be subject to at least **20** % observer coverage at sea..

Union vessels with an allocation of fishing opportunities for cod in ICES subdivisions **22-24 and** 24-32 shall be subject to at least **30** % observer coverage at sea..

**Amendment 57**  
**Niclas Herbst**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 1 a (new)**  
Regulation (EU) No 508/2014  
Article 34 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**1a. In Article 34(2) the following subparagraph is added:**

**“By way of derogation from points (a) and (b) of the first subparagraph, in the case of Eastern Baltic cod the minimum number of active days at sea referred to in those points may be 75 days per year.”**

Or. en

**Amendment 58**  
**Jarosław Kalinowski, Jarosław Duda, Krzysztof Hetman, Adam Jarubas, Magdalena Adamowicz, Janusz Lewandowski**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 4**  
Regulation (EU) No 508/2014  
Article 34 – paragraph 4 c a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**4ca. Support granted to ship-owners under Article 33 shall not be deducted from support received by ship-owners under this Article in respect of the same vessel.**

Or. pl