

## RESULTS OF VOTES

<b>Abbreviations and symbols</b>	
+	Adopted
-	Rejected
↓	Lapsed
W	withdrawn
RCV (... , ... , ...)	roll-call vote (for, against, abstentions)
EV (... , ... , ...)	electronic vote (for, against, abstentions)
Split	split vote
Sep	separate vote
Am	amendment
CA	compromise amendment
CP	corresponding part
D	deleting amendment
=	identical amendments
§	paragraph
art	article
rec	recital
MOT	motion for resolution
JT MOT	joint motion for a resolution
SEC	secret ballot

## 1. Appointment of a Member of the European Commission – Sir Julian King

*Proposal for a decision (Secret ballot (Rule 182(1)))*

Subject	RCV etc.	Vote	RCV/EV – remarks
Vote: Appointment of a Member of the European Commission – Sir Julian King		+	394, 161, 83

## 2. Philippines

*Motions for resolutions: B8-0990/2016, B8-0992/2016, B8-0994/2016, B8-0999/2016, B8-1002/2016, B8-1004/2016, B8-1008/2016*

Subject	Am No	Author	RCV etc.	Vote	RCV/EV – remarks
Joint motion for a resolution RC-B8-0990/2016 (PPE, S&D, ECR, ALDE, GUE/NGL, Verts/ALE, EFDD)					
After § 4	6	Verts/ALE		+	
§ 14	3	Verts/ALE	EV	+	345, 275, 21
§ 16	4	Verts/ALE		-	
After citation 5	1	Verts/ALE		-	
After recital K	2	Verts/ALE	EV	+	351, 259, 37
After Recital M	5	Verts/ALE		+	
Vote: resolution (text as a whole)				+	
Motions for resolutions by political groups					
B8-0990/2016		EFDD		↓	
B8-0992/2016		ECR		↓	
B8-0994/2016		PPE		↓	
B8-0999/2016		ALDE		↓	
B8-1002/2016		Verts/ALE		↓	
B8-1004/2016		S&D		↓	
B8-1008/2016		GUE/NGL		↓	

### *Miscellaneous*

Raffaele Fitto (ECR Group) had also signed motion for a resolution B8-0992/2016.

Ivana Maletić (PPE Group) and Barbara Kappel had also signed joint motion for a resolution RC-B8-0990/2016.

### 3. Somalia

*Motions for resolutions: B8-0989/2016, B8-0991/2016, B8-0993/2016, B8-0998/2016, B8-1001/2016, B8-1003/2016, B8-1007/2016*

Subject	Am No	Author	RCV etc.	Vote	RCV/EV – remarks
Joint motion for a resolution RC-B8-0989/2016 (PPE, S&D, ECR, ALDE, Verts/ALE, EFDD)					
§ 2	1	EFDD		-	
Vote: resolution (text as a whole)				+	
Motions for resolutions by political groups					
B8-0989/2016		EFDD		↓	
B8-0991/2016		ECR		↓	
B8-0993/2016		PPE		↓	
B8-0998/2016		ALDE		↓	
B8-1001/2016		Verts/ALE		↓	
B8-1003/2016		S&D		↓	
B8-1007/2016		GUE/NGL		↓	

#### *Miscellaneous*

Raffaele Fitto (ECR Group) had also signed motion for a resolution B8-0991/2016.

Ivana Maletić (PPE Group) and Barbara Kappel had also signed joint motion for a resolution RC-B8-0989/2016.

### 4. Zimbabwe

*Motions for resolutions: B8-0995/2016, B8-0996/2016, B8-0997/2016, B8-1000/2016, B8-1005/2016, B8-1006/2016, B8-1009/2016, B8-1010/2016*

Subject	Am No	Author	RCV etc.	Vote	RCV/EV – remarks
Joint motion for a resolution RC-B8-0995/2016 (PPE, S&D, ECR, ALDE, Verts/ALE, EFDD, ENF)					
§ 7	1	Verts/ALE	EV	+	344, 289, 19
Vote: resolution (text as a whole)				+	

Subject	Am No	Author	RCV etc.	Vote	RCV/EV – remarks
Motions for resolutions by political groups					
B8-0995/2016		EFDD		↓	
B8-0996/2016		ECR		↓	
B8-0997/2016		PPE		↓	
B8-1000/2016		ALDE		↓	
B8-1005/2016		Verts/ALE		↓	
B8-1006/2016		S&D		↓	
B8-1009/2016		GUE/NGL		↓	
B8-1010/2016		ENF		↓	

*Miscellaneous*

Raffaele Fitto (ECR Group) had also signed motion for a resolution B8-0996/2016.

Ivana Maletić (PPE Group) had also signed joint motion for a resolution RC-B8-0995/2016.

**5. Travel document for the return of illegally staying third-country nationals \*\*\*I**

*Report: Jussi Halla-aho (A8-0201/2016)*

Subject	Am No	Author	RCV etc.	Vote	RCV/EV – remarks
Text as a whole – Block No 1	33	committee		+	
Block No 2	1-32	committee		↓	
Article 3, point a	§	original text	split		
			1	+	
			2	+	
Article 3, § 2	35	GUE/NGL		-	
Recital 3	33CP	committee		+	
	§	original text	sep	↓	

Subject	Am No	Author	RCV etc.	Vote	RCV/EV – remarks
Recital 6	34S	GUE/NGL		-	
	33CP	committee		+	
	§	original text	split		
			1	↓	
			2	↓	
Recital 8	33CP	committee		+	
	§	original text	sep	↓	
Vote: Commission proposal				+	
Vote: legislative resolution			RCV	+	494, 112, 50

*Requests for separate votes*

GUE/NGL: Recitals 3, 8

*Requests for split votes*

GUE/NGL:

Recital 6

*First part*

‘A more secure European travel document for the return of third-country nationals should be established to facilitate return and readmission of illegally staying third-country nationals. Its enhanced security features should facilitate its recognition by third countries. Such document should help carry out returns in the context of readmission agreements’

*Second part*

‘or other arrangements with third countries, as well as in the context of return-related co-operation with third countries not covered by formal agreements.’

Article 3, point a

*First part*

Text as a whole excluding the words: ‘distinguishing marks’

*Second part*

those words

## 6. Prospectus to be published when securities are offered to the public or admitted to trading \*\*\*I

Report: Petr Ježek (A8-0238/2016)

Subject	Am No	Author	RCV etc.	Vote	RCV/EV – remarks
Amendments by the committee responsible – put to the vote collectively	1CP	committee		+	
Article 1, § 3, point d	1CP	committee		+	
	7	GUE/NGL		↓	
Article 2, § 1, point f	§	original text	split		
			1	+	
			2	+	
Article 3, § 2, point b	1CP	committee		+	
	8	GUE/NGL		↓	
Article 7, § 6, point c	1CP	committee		+	
	9	GUE/NGL		↓	
Article 15, § 1	1CP	committee	split		
			1	+	
			2	+	
			3	+	
Article 19, § 11	2	S&D		+	
Article 25, § 1	1CP	committee	sep	-	
Article 25, § 2, sub§ 2	1CP	committee	sep	-	
	3	S&D	EV	+	558, 92, 1
Recital 1	4	GUE/NGL		-	
Recital 13	1CP	committee		+	
	5	GUE/NGL		↓	

Subject	Am No	Author	RCV etc.	Vote	RCV/EV – remarks
Recital 24	6	GUE/NGL		-	
Vote: Commission proposal				+	
Vote: legislative resolution			RCV	Vote postponed	(Article 61(2) of the Rules of Procedure)

*Requests for separate votes*

S&D: Amendment 1CP (article 25, § 1); 1CP (Article 25, § 2, sub§ 2)

*Requests for split votes*

Verts/ALE:

Article 2, § 1, point (f)

*First part* Text as a whole excluding the words ‘small and medium-sized enterprises as defined in Article 4(1)(13) of Directive 2014/65/EU.’

*Second part* those words

Amendment 1CP (Article 15, § 1)

*First part* Text as a whole excluding paragraphs 1(b) and 1(c)

*Second part* Paragraph 1(b)

*Third part* Paragraph 1(c)

## 7. Asylum: provisional measures in favour of Italy and Greece \*

Report: Ska Keller (A8-0236/2016)

Subject	Am No	Author	RCV etc.	Vote	RCV/EV – remarks
Amendments by the committee responsible – put to the vote collectively	1-3 5-23	committee		+	
After recital 2	24	GUE/NGL		-	
After recital 3	4	committee	sep	+	
After	25	GUE/NGL	EV	+	494, 148, 13
Vote: Commission proposal				+	
Vote: legislative resolution			RCV	+	470, 131, 50

Requests for separate votes

GUE/NGL: Amendment 4

## 8. Guidelines for the employment policies of the Member States \*

Report: Laura Agea (A8-0247/2016)

Subject	Am No	Author	RCV etc.	Vote	RCV/EV – remarks
Amendments by the committee responsible – put to the vote collectively	1-8	committee		+	
Vote: Commission proposal				+	
Vote: legislative resolution			RCV	+	460, 127, 61

## 9. Key objectives for the CITES CoP17 meeting in Johannesburg

*Motion for a resolution: B8-0987/2016*

Subject	RCV etc.	Vote	RCV/EV – remarks
Motion for a resolution B8-0987/2016 (ENVI committee)			
Vote: resolution (text as a whole)		+	

## 10. Application of the Postal Services Directive

*Report: Markus Ferber (A8-0254/2016)*

Subject	Am No	Author	RCV etc.	Vote	RCV/EV – remarks
§ 5	2	GUE/NGL		-	
§ 9	3	GUE/NGL		-	
§ 17	4	GUE/NGL		-	
After recital G	1	GUE/NGL		-	
Vote: resolution (text as a whole)			RCV	+	514, 103, 37

## 11. Access to finance for SMEs and increasing the diversity of SME funding in a Capital Markets Union

*Report: Othmar Karas (A8-0222/2016)*

Subject	Am No	Author	RCV etc.	Vote	RCV/EV – remarks
§ 1	§	original text	split		
			1	+	
			2	+	

Subject	Am No	Author	RCV etc.	Vote	RCV/EV – remarks
§ 10	§	original text	sep	+	
§ 19	§	original text	split		
			1	+	
			2	+	
§ 30	§	original text	split		
			1	+	
			2	+	
§ 33	§	original text	sep	+	
§ 35	§	original text	sep	+	
§ 39	§	original text	sep	+	
§ 42	§	original text	sep	+	
§ 43	§	original text	sep	+	
Vote: resolution (text as a whole)			RCV	+	528, 77, 44

*Requests for separate votes*

GUE/NGL: §§ 10, 33, 35, 39, 42, 43

*Requests for split votes*

GUE/NGL:

§ 1

*First part* Text as a whole excluding the words ‘and mid-caps’

*Second part* those words

§ 19

*First part* ‘Highlights that a healthy, stable and resilient banking sector and Capital Markets Union is a prerequisite for strengthening SMEs’ access to finance;’

*Second part* ‘notes that the Capital Requirements Regulation (CRR) and the Capital Requirements Directive (CRD IV), and in particular the higher level and quality of capital, are a direct response to the crisis and form the core of the renewed stability of the financial sector; welcomes the fact that the Commission considers lending to SMEs to be one of its priority areas in the CRR Review; notes that the Commission explores possibilities for all Member States to benefit from local credit unions to operate outside the scope of the EU’s capital requirements rules for banks; highlights the need for prudent legislation for credit unions that ensures both financial stability and opportunities for credit unions to provide credit at competitive rates;’

§ 30

*First part*

‘Takes note of the measures adopted by the ECB on 10 March 2016 and, in particular, the new series of four targeted longer-term refinancing operations (TLTRO II), which will incentivise bank lending to the real economy; underlines that monetary policies alone would not be sufficient to boost growth and investments’

*Second part*

‘and that they have to be accompanied by appropriate fiscal policies and structural reforms;’

## 12. How best to harness the job creation potential of SMEs?

*Report: Zdzisław Krasnodębski (A8-0248/2016)*

Subject	Am No	Author	RCV etc.	Vote	RCV/EV – remarks
§ 17	§	original text	split		
			1/RCV	+	577, 35, 36
			2/RCV	+	448, 169, 25
			3/RCV	+	576, 52, 23
§ 18	§	original text	split		amended orally
			1	+	
			2	+	
§ 31	§	original text	split		
			1	+	
			2/RCV	+	461, 83, 108
§ 56	2	76+ Members	EV	+	327, 298, 18
§ 68	§	original text	split		
			1	+	
			2/RCV	+	527, 117, 6
§ 74	§	original text	split		
			1	+	
			2	+	

Subject	Am No	Author	RCV etc.	Vote	RCV/EV – remarks
§ 79	§	original text	split		
			1	+	
			2	+	
§ 80	§	original text	split		
			1	+	
			2/EV	-	262, 343, 40
Recital K	1	76+ Members		+	
Recital P	§	original text	split		
			1	+	
			2	+	
Recital T	§	original text	sep	-	
Recital Y	§	original text	split		
			1	+	
			2	-	
Vote: resolution (text as a whole)			RCV	+	553, 69, 24

*Requests for roll-call votes*

S&D: § 17

EFDD: §§ 31 (2nd part), 68 (2nd part)

*Requests for separate votes*

ALDE: Recital T

S&D: § 80

*Requests for split votes*

ALDE:

§ 17

*First part* Text as a whole excluding the words ‘should not be considered in positive terms as contributing to the ‘growing number of micro-enterprises’, but, instead, causes precariousness of employment, leads to unfavourable working conditions and a reduced or absent social protection, and’ and ‘putting many people in vulnerable situations, thereby generating new social problems that need to be tackled’

*Second part* ‘should not be considered in positive terms as contributing to the ‘growing number of micro-enterprises’, but, instead, causes precariousness of employment, leads to unfavourable working conditions and a reduced or absent social protection, and’

*Third part* ‘putting many people in vulnerable situations, thereby generating new social problems that need to be tackled’

ECR

§ 80

*First part* ‘Stresses that the temporary employment industry is particularly important to SMEs and a nuanced view should be taken of it,’

*Second part* ‘and this is particularly the case for its collective systems; urges the Commission and the Member States to support all forms of temporary employment, particularly those which act as a bridge to permanent employment;’

recital P

*First part* Text as a whole excluding the words ‘low labour mobility and’

*Second part* those words

EFDD:

§ 31

*First part* ‘Underlines the need to increase investment in research, innovation, qualified training and development in order to spur the qualitative growth and job creation potential of European SMEs; stresses the fact that EUR 75 billion has been earmarked to support SMEs under the SME Window of the EFSI;’

*Second part* ‘welcomes the successful implementation of SME financing under the EFSI among the approved projects so far;’

§ 68

*First part* Text as a whole excluding the words ‘when appropriate and in the framework of the European Semester’s country-specific recommendations’

*Second part* those words

PPE:

Recital Y

*First part* Text as a whole excluding the words ‘the Members States or third’

*Second part* those words

§ 74

*First part* Text as a whole excluding the words ‘and measures implemented’

*Second part* those words

S&D:

§ 18

*First part* ‘Highlights that the administrative burden of regulation is disproportionately higher for the self-employed and micro enterprises than for larger companies; considers in this regard that any measures concerning ‘bogus self-employed workers’ must be clearly targeted’

*Second part* ‘and must not place additional administrative burdens on the individual;’

§ 79

*First part* Text as a whole excluding the words ‘the fact that it made it absolutely clear in’ and ‘that in a free society, CSR can never make charitable action compulsory; is firmly convinced that if CSR were made compulsory, people would be less willing to support charitable causes’

*Second part* those words

#### *Miscellaneous*

Zdzisław Krasnodębski had moved an oral amendment to paragraph 18, which then read as follows: ‘18. Highlights that the administrative burden of regulation is disproportionately higher for the self-employed and micro enterprises than for larger companies; considers in this regard that any measures concerning “bogus self-employed workers” must be clearly targeted and must not place additional any unnecessary administrative burdens on the individual;’

### **13. Application of the Employment Equality Directive**

*Report: Renate Weber (A8-0225/2016)*

Subject	Am No	Author	RCV etc.	Vote	RCV/EV – remarks
§ 5	§	original text	sep/EV	+	342, 239, 51
§ 16	§	original text	sep	+	
§ 18	§	original text	split		
			1/RCV	+	432, 189, 18
			2/RCV	-	126, 450, 54
§ 21	2	PPE	split		
			1/RCV	+	335, 273, 24
			2/RCV	-	274, 305, 52
	§	original text	split		
			1/RCV	↓	
			2/RCV	↓	

Subject	Am No	Author	RCV etc.	Vote	RCV/EV – remarks
§ 34	§	original text	sep	+	
§ 35	§	original text	split		
			1	+	
			2	+	
§ 53	§	original text	split		
			1	+	
			2	+	
§ 59	§	original text	sep	-	
§ 62	§	original text	split		
			1	+	
			2	-	
§ 67	§	original text	sep	+	
§ 68	§	original text	split		
			1	+	
			2	+	
§ 69	§	original text	split		
			1	+	
			2	+	
§ 77	§	original text	split		
			1	+	
			2	+	
§ 83	§	original text	split		
			1	+	
			2	+	
			3	-	
			4	+	

Subject	Am No	Author	RCV etc.	Vote	RCV/EV – remarks
			5	+	
Citation 34	§	original text	sep	-	
Recital E	§	original text	sep	-	
Recital U	§	original text	RCV	+	464, 140, 15
Vote: resolution (text as a whole)			RCV	+	475, 63, 74

*Requests for roll-call votes*

PPE: § 21, Amendment 2

GUE/NGL: § 18

Verts/ALE, ECR: Amendment 2

ECR Recital U

*Requests for separate votes*

PPE: §§ 5, 16, 34, 62, 67, 68, 69

Verts/ALE, S&D § 18

ECR § 21

ALDE: § 18, 59, recital E, citation 34

*Requests for split votes*

ALDE:

§ 21

*First part*

‘Notes that restrictions imposed by employers to the wearing of religious symbols are not always in line with international human rights standards, as they are not limited to well-defined and narrow circumstances or do not pursue a legitimate goal, and that States do not always take appropriate measures against such restrictions when they are not in line with international human rights standards;’

*Second part*

‘is concerned that some Member States have introduced general bans on religious symbols in the public space, in the name of neutrality and secularism, leading to attempts to also extend such restrictions by the private sector;’

§ 53

*First part*

Text as a whole excluding the words ‘as a matter of principle avoid differentiating between children or the elderly, and that any form of unjustified age discrimination must’

*Second part*

those words

§ 62

*First part*

Text as a whole excluding the words ‘replacement for all’

*Second part*

those words

ECR

Amendment 2

*First part*

‘insists that religious freedom is an important principle which should be respected by employers; underlines, however, that the implementation of this principle is a question of subsidiarity’

*Second part*

‘and that both Member States and employers may have legitimate reasons to ban specific kinds of symbols that are claimed to be of a religious nature, especially when they are symbols that suppress the rights of women and can involve security problems;’

§ 18

*First part*

‘Calls upon Member States to recognise the fundamental right to freedom of conscience;’

*Second part*

‘notes with concern that there are Member States in which competent and well-qualified medical staff are denied employment, or have their employment ended, because of their conscientious objection to life-ending procedures;’

§ 35

*First part*

Text as a whole excluding the words ‘the Commission and’

*Second part*

those words

§ 68

*First part*

‘Is concerned that rights awareness in the area of discrimination, and awareness of the existence of bodies and organisations offering support to victims of discrimination, are low, with higher rates of awareness among LGBTI people;’

*Second part*

‘takes the view that national, regional and local authorities, along with all relevant stakeholders’ organisations, should substantially intensify awareness-raising activities for both victims and employers and for other groups as well; points out that national LGBTI organisations are key partners in these efforts;’

§ 69

*First part*

Text as a whole excluding the words ‘the Commission and’ and ‘the Commission and’

*Second part*

those words

§ 77

*First part*

Text as a whole excluding the words ‘the active use of gender budgeting, including through the promotion of exchanges of best practices by the Commission, and for’

*Second part*

those words

ALDE, PPE:

§ 83

*First part*

‘Calls on the Commission and the Member States to enhance the reconciliation of work and private life by concrete measures’

*Second part*

‘, such as urgently proposing new legislative proposals on the Maternity Leave Directive’ without the words ‘urgently proposing’, ‘legislative’ and ‘Directive’

*Third part*

‘urgently proposing’, ‘legislative’ and ‘Directive’

*Fourth part*

‘so as to guarantee the right for women to return to work after pregnancy and maternity leave and parental leave, to guarantee their right to effective health and safety protection at the workplace, to safeguard their maternity entitlements, and to take measures to prevent the unfair dismissal of employees during pregnancy etc.,’

*Fifth part*

‘ as well as the Carers’ Leave Directive and reinforcing legislation on paternity leave;’

*Miscellaneous*

Amendment 1 had lapsed as a result of the withdrawal of signatures.

**14. Activities, impact and added value of the European Globalisation Adjustment Fund between 2007 and 2014**

*Report: Marian Harkin (A8-0227/2016)*

Subject	Am No	Author	RCV etc.	Vote	RCV/EV – remarks
§ 13	§	original text	RCV	+	525, 72, 13
§ 18	§	original text	RCV	+	579, 10, 9
§ 19	§	original text	split		
			1	+	
			2	+	
§ 22	§	original text	RCV	+	537, 40, 21
§ 24	§	original text	split		
			1	+	
			2	+	
§ 25	§	original text	split		
			1	+	
			2	+	
			3	+	
§ 27	§	original text	split		
			1	+	
			2	+	
			3	+	
§ 33	§	original text	split		
			1	+	
			2	+	

Subject	Am No	Author	RCV etc.	Vote	RCV/EV – remarks
§ 53	§	original text	sep	+	
Recital Q	§	original text	sep	-	
Recital R	§	original text	RCV	-	255, 279, 30
Vote: resolution (text as a whole)			RCV	+	447, 73, 19

*Requests for roll-call votes*

GUE/NGL: §§ 13, 18, 22, recital R

*Requests for separate votes*

ALDE: Recital Q

PPE: § 53, recital R

*Requests for split votes*

GUE/NGL:

§ 19

*First part*

‘Welcomes the conclusions in the Court of Auditors’ report that nearly all EGF-eligible workers were able to benefit from personalised and well-coordinated measures tailored to their individual needs and that nearly 50 % of workers who received financial assistance are now back in employment;’

*Second part*

‘notes that a lack of timely and effective implementation of EGF programmes in some Member States has resulted in underspending; believes that the involvement of targeted beneficiaries or their representatives, the social partners, local employment agencies and other relevant stakeholders in the initial assessment and application is essential in order to ensure positive outcomes for beneficiaries; calls on the Commission to support the Member States in developing innovative measures and programmes and to assess in its reviews to what extent the design of the coordinated package of personalised services anticipated future labour market perspectives and required skills and was compatible with the shift towards a resource-efficient and sustainable economy; calls on the Member States, in line with Article 7 of the current regulation to make further efforts to design the co-ordinated package of personalised services towards a resource-efficient and sustainable economy; notes that innovation, smart specialisation and resource efficiency are key to industrial renewal and economic diversification;’

§ 24

*First part*

‘Welcomes the fact that many beneficiaries were, first and foremost, able to find a new job thanks to the personalised support of the EGF in their job search and saw their skills updated through training programmes and mobility allowances; also welcomes the fact that the EGF enabled some employees to become entrepreneurs via the provision of aid to start up or take over companies; stresses, therefore, the notable positive effects that the EGF is reported to have had on self-esteem, feelings of empowerment and motivation; underlines that EGF assistance has increased social cohesion by enabling people to re-enter employment’

*Second part*

‘and avoid negative unemployment traps;’

§ 25

*First part*

Text as a whole excluding the words ‘Notes that according to the figures from the ex post report, EGF beneficiaries tend to have a relatively lower than average educational attainment, thus less transferrable skills, which, in normal circumstances reduces their employment opportunities and makes them more vulnerable on the labour market’ and ‘EU added value’

*Second part*

‘Notes that according to the figures from the ex post report, EGF beneficiaries tend to have a relatively lower than average educational attainment, thus less transferrable skills, which, in normal circumstances reduces their employment opportunities and makes them more vulnerable on the labour market;’

*Third part*

‘EU added value’

§ 27

*First part*

Text as a whole excluding the words ‘and value added’ and ‘and their use in order to ensure the maximum re-employment rate per euro spent’

*Second part*

‘and value added, and’

*Third part*

‘and their use in order to ensure the maximum re-employment rate per euro spent’

§ 33

*First part*

Text as a whole excluding the words ‘genuine EU added value’

*Second part*

‘genuine EU added value’