

## RESULTS OF VOTES

<b>Abbreviations and symbols</b>	
+	adopted
-	rejected
↓	lapsed
W	withdrawn
RCV (... , ... , ...)	roll-call vote (for, against, abstentions)
EV (... , ... , ...)	electronic vote (for, against, abstentions)
split	split vote
sep	separate vote
am	amendment
CA	compromise amendment
CP	corresponding part
D	deleting amendment
=	identical amendments
§	paragraph
art	article
rec	recital
MOT	motion for resolution
JT MOT	joint motion for a resolution
SEC	secret ballot

## 1. The cases of the Larung Gar Tibetan Buddhist Academy and of Ilham Tohti

*Motions for resolutions: B8-1346/2016, B8-1350/2016, B8-1353/2016, B8-1356/2016, B8-1359/2016, B8-1361/2016*

Subject	Am No	Author	RCV etc.	Vote	RCV/EV – remarks
Joint motion for a resolution RC-B8-1346/2016 (PPE, S&D, ECR, ALDE, Verts/ALE, EFDD)					
Vote: resolution (text as a whole)				+	
Motions for resolutions by political groups					
B8-1346/2016		ECR		↓	
B8-1350/2016		EFDD		↓	
B8-1353/2016		Verts/ALE		↓	
B8-1356/2016		PPE		↓	
B8-1359/2016		ALDE		↓	
B8-1361/2016		S&D		↓	

### *Miscellaneous*

Joachim Zeller (PPE Group) had also signed joint motion for a resolution RC-B8-1346/2016.

## 2. The situation of the Rohingya minority in Myanmar

*Motions for resolutions: B8-1345/2016, B8-1348/2016, B8-1352/2016, B8-1354/2016, B8-1357/2016, B8-1360/2016, B8-1363/2016*

Subject	Am No	Author	RCV etc.	Vote	RCV/EV – remarks
Joint motion for a resolution RC-B8-1345/2016 (PPE, S&D, ECR, ALDE, GUE/NGL, Verts/ALE, EFDD)					
After recital L	1	S&D	EV	+	348, 210, 69
Vote: resolution (text as a whole)				+	
Motions for resolutions by political groups					
B8-1345/2016		ECR		↓	
B8-1348/2016		EFDD		↓	
B8-1352/2016		Verts/ALE		↓	
B8-1354/2016		GUE/NGL		↓	
B8-1357/2016		PPE		↓	
B8-1360/2016		ALDE		↓	
B8-1363/2016		S&D		↓	

### *Miscellaneous*

Joachim Zeller (PPE Group) had also signed joint motion for a resolution RC-B8-1345/2016.

### 3. Mass graves in Iraq

*Motions for resolutions: B8-1344/2016, B8-1347/2016, B8-1349/2016, B8-1351/2016, B8-1355/2016, B8-1358/2016, B8-1362/2016*

Subject	Am No	Author	RCV etc.	Vote	RCV/EV – remarks
Joint motion for a resolution RC-B8-1344/2016 (PPE, S&D, ECR, ALDE, GUE/NGL, Verts/ALE, EFDD)					
After § 5	2	GUE/NGL		-	
After § 10	3	GUE/NGL		-	
After § 12	1	EFDD	RCV	-	164, 410, 62
Vote: resolution (text as a whole)				+	
Motions for resolutions by political groups					
B8-1344/2016		ECR		↓	
B8-1347/2016		EFDD		↓	
B8-1349/2016		Verts/ALE		↓	
B8-1351/2016		GUE/NGL		↓	
B8-1355/2016		PPE		↓	
B8-1358/2016		ALDE		↓	
B8-1362/2016		S&D		↓	

*Requests for roll-call votes*

EFDD: Amendment 1

*Miscellaneous*

Joachim Zeller (PPE Group) had also signed joint motion for a resolution RC-B8-1344/2016.

**4. Third countries whose nationals are subject to or exempt from a visa requirement: revision of the suspension mechanism \*\*\*I**

*Report: Agustín Díaz de Mera García Consuegra (A8-0235/2016)*

Subject	Am No	Author	RCV etc.	Vote	RCV/EV – remarks
Text as a whole - Block No 1	16	committee		+	
Block No 2	1-15	committee		↓	
Vote: Commission proposal				+	
Vote: legislative resolution			RCV	+	485, 132, 21

**5. Objection pursuant to Rule 106: Protective measures against the introduction into the Community of organisms harmful to plants or plant products and against their spread within the Community**

*Motion for a resolution: B8-1334/2016*

Subject	Am No	Author	RCV etc.	Vote	RCV/EV – remarks
Motion for a resolution B8-1334/2016 (AGRI Committee)					
Modification 2	§	original text	sep	+	
Modification 4	1	PPE	split		
			1/RCV	+	508, 119, 9
			2/RCV	+	456, 166, 12
	§	original text	RCV	↓	
Vote: resolution (text as a whole)			RCV	+	463, 168, 3

*Requests for roll-call votes*

S&D: final vote  
 ECR: final vote  
 ALDE: final vote, modification 4

*Requests for separate votes*

ALDE: Modification 2

*Requests for split votes*

PPE, ALDE:

Amendment 1

*First part* Text as a whole excluding the words: ‘and which has been validated according to the procedure referred to in Article 18(2), on the basis of an EFSA evaluation,’

*Second part* those words

## 6. Support for Thalidomide victims

*Motions for resolutions: B8-1341/2016, B8-1343/2016*

Subject	Am No	Author	RCV etc.	Vote	RCV/EV – remarks
Motion for a resolution B8-1341/2016 (PPE, S&D, ECR, ALDE, GUE/NGL, Verts/ALE)					
§ 6	1	ALDE	RCV	+	457, 166, 17
Vote: resolution (text as a whole)				+	
Motion for a resolution by a political group					
B8-1343/2016		ENF		↓	

*Requests for roll-call votes*

ALDE: Amendment 1

*Miscellaneous*

Eleonora Evi and Piernicola Pedicini (EFDD Group) had also signed motion for a resolution B8-1341/2016.

## 7. Paediatric medicines

*Motions for resolutions: B8-1340/2016*

Subject	Am No	Author	RCV etc.	Vote	RCV/EV – remarks
Motion for a resolution B8-1340/2016 (ENVI Committee)					
Recital P	1	EFDD	RCV	-	160, 461, 19
Vote: resolution (text as a whole)				+	

*Requests for roll-call votes*

EFDD: Amendment 1

## 8. Activities of the Committee on Petitions 2015

Report: *Ángela Vallina (A8-0366/2016)*

Subject	Am No	Author	RCV etc.	Vote	RCV/EV – remarks
§ 3	5	PPE		-	
§ 4	6	PPE	split		
			1	+	
			2/EV	+	365, 191, 39
			3/EV	-	197, 369, 3
	§	original text	split		
			1	↓	
			2	↓	
§ 7	7	PPE	split		
			1/EV	-	250, 336, 3
			2/EV	+	546, 25, 4
	16	ALDE	EV	-	269, 288, 20
	§	original text	split		
			1	↓	
			2	↓	
§ 9	17	ALDE	split		
			1	+	
			2/EV	-	230, 290, 44
	§	original text	split		
			1	↓	
			2	↓	
			3	↓	
4			↓		
5	↓				

Subject	Am No	Author	RCV etc.	Vote	RCV/EV – remarks
			6	↓	
			7	↓	
§ 10	§	original text	split		
			1/EV	+	308, 250, 12
			2	+	
			3/EV	+	283, 281, 3
After § 10	12	Verts/ALE	EV	+	292, 278, 2
§ 14	13	Verts/ALE	EV	+	293, 266, 16
§ 18	14	Verts/ALE		+	
	§	original text	split		
			1	↓	
			2	↓	
§ 19	§	original text	sep	+	
After § 24	15	Verts/ALE	RCV	+	297, 218, 58
§ 33	§	original text	sep	+	
§ 34	2	S&D		+	
After citation 8	8	Verts/ALE	EV	-	235, 275, 44
Recital D	3	PPE	EV	-	246, 294, 9
Recital G	§	original text	split		
			1	+	
			2	-	
Recital J	§	original text	split		
			1	+	
			2/EV	-	156, 389, 2
			3	+	
			4	-	



Subject	Am No	Author	RCV etc.	Vote	RCV/EV – remarks
Recital Q	9	Verts/ALE		-	
Recital S	4	PPE	split		
			1	-	
			2	+	
			3/EV	+	424, 115, 4
Recital V	10	Verts/ALE		-	
Recital AC	§	original text	split		
			1	+	
			2	-	
Recital AD	§	original text	split		
			1	+	
			2	+	
Recital AE	§	original text	split		
			1	+	
			2/EV	+	246, 184, 101
Recital AG	11	Verts/ALE		+	
Recital AK	§	original text	split		
			1	-	
			2	+	
Recital AM	§	original text	split		
			1	+	
			2	+	
Recital AO	1	S&D		+	
Vote: resolution (text as a whole)			RCV	+	441, 97, 8

*Requests for roll-call votes*

Verts/ALE: Amendment 15

*Requests for separate votes*

ALDE: § 19

Verts/ALE: § 33, Recital AE

*Requests for split votes*

ALDE:

§ 7

*First part* Text as a whole excluding the word: ‘immediate’

*Second part* this word

PPE:

§ 10

*First part* ‘Considers that in its role as guardian of the treaties, particularly when it comes to environmental matters, the Commission should go beyond a mere formal examination of procedural compliance and focus more on the actual content of the core issue;’

*Second part* ‘recalls the precautionary principle and the ultimate spirit of the EU environmental legislation of preventing irreversible damage to ecologically sensitive areas,’

*Third part* ‘and urges the Commission to adopt an approach that allows it to make use of its powers and prerogatives on an ex-ante basis;’

§ 18

*First part* Text as a whole excluding the words: ‘urges for a broader interpretation of the scope of application of the Charter, and for the pertinence of this article to be ultimately reassessed in future revisions of the Charter and the treaties;’

*Second part* those words

Recital G

*First part* ‘whereas the European Parliament is the only EU institution directly elected by citizens, and whereas the right to petition offers them the means to draw the attention of their elected representatives to matters that concern them;’

*Second part* ‘whereas unfortunately the committee on Petitions does not have sufficient inquiry capacity in its own right at present;’

Recital AC

*First part* ‘whereas the portal was designed to make the petition procedure more transparent and interactive, and makes for more effective administration in the interest of petitioners, Members and the general public; whereas the second phase of the project, intended mainly to enhance the administrative handling of petitions,’

*Second part* ‘but also enabling real-time follow-up of petitions by the original petitioners and the latter’s supporters, made no substantial progress in 2015, whereas according to the initial schedule it should already have been completed by then;’

Recital AD

*First part* ‘whereas successive delays in the subsequent phases of the project have generated an additional workload in the secretariat of the Committee on Petitions, due to the need to manually upload the relevant files into the various databases; whereas there are still petitions pending for upload, since only open petitions received in 2013, 2014 and 2015 have been included in the portal so far,’

*Second part* ‘and work is currently under way on uploading petitions received in 2016;’

Recital AE	
<i>First part</i>	‘whereas certain deficiencies have been remedied, particularly in the search function and regarding petitioner confidentiality;’
<i>Second part</i>	‘and whereas work has been under way as scheduled from the second half of 2016 to make the service more useful and visible to citizens;’
Recital AK	
<i>First part</i>	‘whereas in 2016, once the workload stabilised, three fact-finding visits were carried out with regard to petitions for which an inquiry was ongoing in 2016;’
<i>Second part</i>	‘whereas the visits are a specific prerogative of the Committee and a fundamental part of its work, which entails interacting with petitioners and the authorities of the Member States concerned; whereas members of the Committee delegations take part in all activities, including the drafting of the final report, on an equal footing;’
Recital AM	
<i>First part</i>	Text as a whole excluding the words: ‘for 2014 to Martin Schulz, President of the European Parliament; whereas on 23 June 2015 the Ombudsman presented her report’
<i>Second part</i>	those words
Verts/ALE:	
§ 4	
<i>First part</i>	‘Recalls that the equal and proportionate representation of petitioners’ nationalities in the committee’s public debates should be respected;’
<i>Second part</i>	‘in order to strengthen the European dimension of the committee, proper and fair representation of all Member States in its public debates should be encouraged;’
Amendment 4	
<i>First part</i>	The deletion of: ‘having elected Eleonora Eva as its chair’
<i>Second part</i>	‘stresses that any working group should have a clear mandate in order to deliver tangible results’
<i>Third part</i>	‘and prevent any unjustified delay in the treatment of petitions;’
Amendment 6	
<i>First part</i>	‘emphasises that the Committee on Petitions must treat all admissible petitions with equal importance and objectivity;’
<i>Second part</i>	‘underlines that petitions which are related to an election campaign in a Member State should not be treated under the urgent procedure’
<i>Third part</i>	‘and should not be added to the committee agenda;’

Amendment 7

*First part*

The deletion of: ‘and more staff in order to guarantee diligent examination and a further reduction in the time taken to process petitions, while ensuring the quality of their treatment;’

*Second part*

‘calls for digitalisation of the processing of petitions, notably by introducing new information and communication technologies to guarantee efficient and timely treatment and optimal use of existing human resources, while preserving the right of citizens to submit petitions by traditional post;’

Amendment 17

*First part*

‘Welcomes the fact that the Commission is involved with, and committed to, the petition process and that it responds as quickly as possible to new petitions forwarded to it by Parliament; points out that Commission replies are usually detailed and pertain to the petitions for which it has jurisdiction; recalls, however, that on many occasions the Commission does not provide any new information in its replies to petitions for which a review has been requested owing to a change in their status and context; regrets the occasions when the Commission focuses essentially on procedural aspects and does not enter into the substance of the issue; reminds the Commission that petitions that raise a potential breach of EU law can only be closed after their proper analysis has been concluded; welcomes the Commission’s commitment to sending generally competent officials to meetings of the Committee on Petitions, as the quality of the overall treatment of petitions improves when the highest-ranking officials available represent the Commission in the debates; regrets that during the committee meetings the Commission’s answers are generally limited to the content of the official reply sent to the committee and do not provide any new or relevant information that might enable a solution to the issues raised;’

*Second part*

‘welcomes the fact that the written responses are taken seriously into account, as are explanations given during the oral debates held by the Committee on Petitions;’

ALDE, PPE:

§ 9

*First part*

‘Notes that the Commission is involved with, and committed to, the petition process and that it responds as quickly as possible to new petitions forwarded to it by Parliament; points out that Commission replies are usually detailed and pertain to the petitions for which it has jurisdiction;’

*Second part*

‘recalls, however, that on many occasions the Commission does not provide any new information in its replies to petitions for which a review has been requested’

*Third part*

‘owing to a change in their status and context;’

*Fourth part*

‘regrets the occasions when the Commission focuses essentially on procedural aspects and does not enter into the substance of the issue;’

<i>Fifth part</i>	‘reminds the Commission that petitions that raise a potential breach of EU law can only be closed after their proper analysis has been concluded; notes the Commission’s commitment to sending generally competent officials to meetings of the Committee on Petitions, as the quality of the overall treatment of petitions improves when the highest-ranking officials available represent the Commission in the debates; regrets that during the committee meetings the Commission’s answers are generally limited to the content of the official reply sent to the committee and do not provide any new or relevant information that might enable a solution to the issues raised; notes that the written responses are taken seriously into account, as are explanations given during the oral debates held by the Committee on Petitions;’ without the words: ‘as the quality of the overall treatment of petitions improves when the highest-ranking officials available represent the Commission in the debates; regrets that during the committee meetings the Commission’s answers are generally limited to the content of the official reply sent to the committee and do not provide any new or relevant information that might enable a solution to the issues raised;’
<i>Sixth part</i>	‘as the quality of the overall treatment of petitions improves when the highest-ranking officials available represent the Commission in the debates; regrets that during the committee meetings the Commission’s answers are generally limited to the content of the official reply sent to the committee’
<i>Seventh part</i>	‘and do not provide any new or relevant information that might enable a solution to the issues raised;’
<b>Recital J</b>	
<i>First part</i>	Text as a whole excluding the words: ‘recent events in the United Kingdom,’ and ‘the very serious social and economic impact of austerity measures, the inability to resolve the financial crisis in a way that guarantees all citizens a free and dignified life and full protection of their fundamental rights,’
<i>Second part</i>	‘recent events in the United Kingdom,’
<i>Third part</i>	‘the very serious social and economic impact of austerity measures, the inability to resolve the financial crisis in a way that guarantees all citizens a free and dignified life and full protection of their fundamental rights,’ without the words: ‘austerity measures, the inability to resolve’ and ‘in a way that guarantees all citizens a free and dignified life and full protection of their fundamental rights’
<i>Fourth part</i>	‘austerity measures, the inability to resolve’ and ‘in a way that guarantees all citizens a free and dignified life and full protection of their fundamental rights’

## 9. International aviation agreements

*Motions for resolutions: B8-1337/2016, B8-1338/2016, B8-1339/2016*

Subject	Am No	Author	RCV etc.	Vote	RCV/EV – remarks
Motion for a resolution B8-1337/2016 (TRAN Committee)					
After § 1	4	ENF	RCV	-	80, 426, 8
	5	ENF		-	
	6	ENF	RCV	-	59, 461, 11

Subject	Am No	Author	RCV etc.	Vote	RCV/EV – remarks
After recital F	1	ENF	RCV	-	115, 408, 9
	2	ENF		-	
	3	ENF		-	
Vote: resolution (text as a whole)			RCV	+	443, 53, 13
Motions for resolutions by political groups					
B8-1338/2016		EFDD		↓	
B8-1339/2016		ENF		↓	

*Requests for roll-call votes*

ENF: Amendments 1, 4, 6, final vote