



2014/2246(INI)

7.7.2015

AMENDMENTS

1 - 190

Draft report
Fernando Ruas
(PE557.275v01-00)

on the cohesion policy and the review of the Europe 2020 strategy
(2014/2246(INI))

Amendment 1
Ivana Maletić

Motion for a resolution
Citation 18

Motion for a resolution

— having regard to the *Commission communication* of 26 November 2014 entitled '*An Investment Plan for Europe*' (COM(2014)0903),

Amendment

— having regard to *Regulation (EU) No 2015/760 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 29 April 2015 on European long-term investment funds*,

Or. en

Amendment 2
Ivana Maletić

Motion for a resolution
Citation 24 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

— having regard to the *Commission communication* of 13 January 2015 entitled '*Making the best use of the flexibility within the existing rules of the stability and growth pact*' (COM(2015)0012),

Amendment

- *having regard to the communication from the Commission of 13 January 2015 entitled 'Making the best use of the flexibility within the existing rules of the stability and growth pact'* (COM(2015)0012),

Or. en

Amendment 3
Mara Bizzotto

Motion for a resolution
Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas cohesion policy for 2014-2020 *is the EU's main investment policy aligned with the goals of the Europe 2020*

Amendment

A. whereas cohesion policy for 2014-2020, with a budget of EUR 350 billion, *takes up the bulk of EU resources*;

strategy for smart, sustainable and inclusive growth, with a budget of EUR 350 billion *until the end of 2020*;

Or. it

Amendment 4

Dimitrios Papadimoulis, Younous Omarjee, Josu Juaristi Abaunz

Motion for a resolution

Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas cohesion policy for 2014-2020 is the EU's main investment policy *aligned with the goals of the Europe 2020 strategy for smart, sustainable and inclusive growth*, with a budget of EUR 350 billion until the end of 2020;

Amendment

A. whereas cohesion policy for 2014-2020 is the EU's main investment policy with a budget of EUR 350 billion until the end of 2020;

Or. en

Amendment 5

Steeve Brionis, Olaf Stuger

Motion for a resolution

Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas cohesion policy for 2014-2020 is *the EU's main investment policy* aligned with the goals of the Europe 2020 strategy for smart, sustainable and inclusive growth, with a budget of EUR 350 billion *until the end of 2020*;

Amendment

A. whereas cohesion policy for 2014-2020 is *an investment policy based on Member States contributions and* aligned with the goals of the Europe 2020 strategy for smart, sustainable and inclusive growth, with a budget of EUR 350 billion;

Or. fr

Amendment 6

Ruža Tomašić

Motion for a resolution

Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas cohesion policy for 2014-2020 is the EU's main investment policy aligned with the goals of the Europe 2020 strategy for smart, sustainable and inclusive growth, with a budget of EUR 350 billion until the end of 2020;

Amendment

A. whereas ***the reformed*** cohesion policy for 2014-2020 is the EU's main investment policy aligned with the goals of the Europe 2020 strategy for smart, sustainable and inclusive growth, with a budget of EUR 350 billion until the end of 2020;***whereas it aims to ensure that all energies and capacities are mobilised and focused on the pursuit of the strategy's priorities;***

Or. en

Amendment 7

Tomasz Piotr Poręba, Edward Czesak

Motion for a resolution

Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas cohesion policy for 2014-2020 is the EU's main investment policy aligned with the goals of the Europe 2020 strategy for smart, sustainable and inclusive growth, with a budget of EUR 350 billion until the end of 2020;

Amendment

A. whereas cohesion policy for 2014-2020 is the EU's main investment policy ***for achieving convergence, i.e. making the development levels of the EU's regions more equal, and whereas it is*** aligned with the goals of the Europe 2020 strategy for smart, sustainable and inclusive growth, with a budget of EUR 350 billion until the end of 2020;

Or. pl

Amendment 8

Tamás Deutsch

Motion for a resolution

Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas cohesion policy for 2014-2020 is the EU's main investment policy aligned with the goals of the Europe 2020 strategy for smart, sustainable and inclusive growth, with a budget of EUR 350 billion until the end of 2020;

Amendment

(Does not affect the English version.)

Or. hu

Amendment 9
Ivana Maletić

Motion for a resolution
Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas cohesion policy for 2014-2020 is the EU's main investment policy aligned with the goals of the Europe 2020 strategy for smart, sustainable and inclusive growth, with a budget of EUR **350** billion until the end of 2020;

Amendment

A. whereas cohesion policy for 2014-2020 is the EU's main investment **and development** policy aligned with the goals of the Europe 2020 strategy for smart, sustainable and inclusive growth, with a budget of EUR **351,8** billion until the end of 2020;

Or. en

Amendment 10
Dimitrios Papadimoulis, Younous Omarjee, Josu Juaristi Abaunz

Motion for a resolution
Recital A a (new)

Motion for a resolution

A a. whereas regional policy is an indispensable tool for promoting economic and social cohesion, with the principal objectives of reducing disparities between regions - in particular the poorer and outermost regions - promoting real convergence, and encouraging growth

Amendment

and employment ; insists that cohesion policy must be pursued and strengthened and should always remain an independent policy providing for sustainable development (economic, social, environmental and territorial), and reductions in disparities between regions and in the backwardness of the poorer regions;

Or. en

Amendment 11

Dimitrios Papadimoulis, Younous Omarjee, Josu Juaristi Abaunz

Motion for a resolution

Recital A b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A b. whereas the EU continues to struggle with the deepest economic and social crisis since its foundation, with stagnating economies, high unemployment, declining social and labour rights, and rising socio-economic inequalities, which presents the EU with unprecedented challenges;

Or. en

Amendment 12

Dimitrios Papadimoulis, Younous Omarjee, Josu Juaristi Abaunz

Motion for a resolution

Recital A c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A c. whereas almost five years after the launch of the Europe2020 strategy, there is little progress towards the targets set at the country and EU level ; whereas the economic crisis and the drastic decline of public investments has taken a heavy toll

on EU regions in terms of growth and employment and gaps between regions have widened dramatically;

Or. en

Amendment 13
Mara Bizzotto

Motion for a resolution
Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas it is crucial that different EU initiatives for growth and jobs *maintain a coherent approach; whereas the Europe 2020 strategy flagship initiatives play a key role in enhancing coordination at local and regional level as regards the implementation of cohesion policy;*

Amendment

B. whereas it is crucial that different EU initiatives for growth and jobs *are implemented on the basis of a coherent territorial, synergistic and practical approach;*

Or. it

Amendment 14
Ruža Tomašić

Motion for a resolution
Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas it is crucial that different EU initiatives for growth and jobs maintain a coherent approach; whereas the Europe 2020 strategy flagship initiatives play a key role in enhancing coordination at local and regional level as regards the implementation of cohesion policy;

Amendment

B. whereas it is crucial that different EU initiatives for growth and jobs maintain a coherent approach; whereas the Europe 2020 strategy flagship initiatives play a key role in enhancing coordination at local and regional level as regards the implementation of cohesion policy; *whereas it remains to be seen whether and how the EU 2020 strategy review will address the implementation of the flagship initiatives and how flagship initiatives can best be carried forward,*

and evaluated, without creating additional layers of complexity;

Or. en

Amendment 15
Steeve Briois

Motion for a resolution
Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas it is **crucial that** different EU initiatives for growth and jobs maintain a coherent approach; whereas the Europe 2020 strategy flagship initiatives play a key role in enhancing coordination at **local** and **regional** level as regards the implementation of cohesion policy;

Amendment

B. whereas it is **desirable for the** different EU **and Member State** initiatives for growth and jobs **to** maintain a coherent approach; whereas the Europe 2020 strategy flagship initiatives play a key role in enhancing coordination at **regional** and **national** level as regards the implementation of cohesion policy;

Or. fr

Amendment 16
Monika Vana

Motion for a resolution
Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas it is crucial that different EU initiatives for growth and jobs maintain a coherent approach; whereas the Europe 2020 strategy flagship initiatives play a key role in enhancing coordination at local and regional level as regards the implementation of cohesion policy;

Amendment

B. whereas it is crucial that different EU initiatives for growth and **jobs as well as environment and climate protection** maintain a coherent approach; whereas the Europe 2020 strategy flagship initiatives play a key role in enhancing coordination at local and regional level as regards the implementation of cohesion policy;

Or. en

Amendment 17
Louis-Joseph Manscour

Motion for a resolution
Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas it is crucial that different EU initiatives for growth and jobs maintain a coherent approach; whereas the Europe 2020 strategy flagship initiatives play a key role in enhancing coordination at local and regional level as regards the implementation of cohesion policy;

Amendment

(Does not affect the English version.)

Or. fr

Amendment 18
Julie Ward

Motion for a resolution
Recital B a (new)

Motion for a resolution

B a. whereas, although the Europe 2020 strategy contains laudable objectives and targets, in practice excessive attention was given to financial, fiscal, and economic measures, at the expense of action on social, educational, environmental and equality issues;

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 19
Ruža Tomašić

Motion for a resolution
Recital B a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

B a. whereas there is no explicit mechanism built into the programming or the reporting provisions that would explicitly target flagship initiatives in terms of the contribution of the ESI Funds to their delivery;

Or. en

Amendment 20
Julie Ward

Motion for a resolution
Recital B b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

B b. whereas it is regrettable that gender equality is not a flagship objective of the Europe 2020 strategy; whereas the promotion of gender equality is a founding value of the European Union, and a necessary condition for the attainment of Europe 2020 goals;

Or. en

Amendment 21
Steeve Briois, Olaf Stuger

Motion for a resolution
Recital C

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

C. whereas there is a growing need for stronger co-ownership of the strategy by the different levels of governance and for shared responsibility at all levels of project implementation; whereas multi-level governance should be enhanced;

C. whereas there is a growing need to enhance the principle of solidarity at all levels of project implementation;

Amendment 22
Mara Bizzotto

Motion for a resolution
Recital C

Motion for a resolution

C. whereas *there is a growing need for stronger* co-ownership of *the strategy by the different levels of governance and for shared* responsibility at all levels of project implementation; *whereas multi-level governance should be enhanced*;

Amendment

C. whereas *multi-level governance, territoriality*, co-ownership of *objectives* and *sharing of* responsibility at all levels of project implementation *need to be enhanced if cohesion policy is to be effective*;

Amendment 23
Daniel Buda, Iuliu Winkler

Motion for a resolution
Recital C

Motion for a resolution

C. whereas there is a growing need for stronger co-ownership of the strategy by the different levels of governance and for shared responsibility at all levels of project implementation; whereas multi-level governance should be enhanced;

Amendment

C. whereas there is a growing need for stronger co-ownership of the strategy by the different levels of governance and for shared responsibility *with the corresponding rights, obligations and sanctions* at all levels of project implementation; whereas multi-level governance should be enhanced;

Amendment 24
Monika Vana

Motion for a resolution

Recital C

Motion for a resolution

C. whereas there is a growing need for stronger co-ownership of the strategy by the different levels of governance and for shared responsibility at all levels of project implementation; whereas multi-level governance should be enhanced;

Amendment

C. whereas there is a growing need for stronger co-ownership of the strategy by the different levels of governance and **by the different actors involved and** for shared responsibility at all levels of project implementation; whereas multi-level governance **and partnership** should be enhanced;

Or. en

Amendment 25

Louis-Joseph Manscour

Motion for a resolution

Recital C

Motion for a resolution

C. whereas there is a growing need for stronger co-ownership of the strategy by the different levels of governance and for shared responsibility at all levels of project implementation; whereas multi-level governance **should** be enhanced;

Amendment

C. whereas there is a growing need for stronger co-ownership of the strategy by the different levels of governance and for shared responsibility at all levels of project implementation; whereas multi-level governance **must** be enhanced;

Or. fr

Amendment 26

Marc Joulaud

Motion for a resolution

Recital C

Motion for a resolution

C. whereas there is a growing need for stronger co-ownership of the strategy by the different levels of governance and for shared responsibility at all levels of project

Amendment

C. whereas there is a growing need for stronger co-ownership of the strategy by the different levels of governance and for shared responsibility at all levels of project

implementation; whereas multi-level governance should be enhanced;

implementation; whereas *different territorial characteristics should be taken into account and* multi-level governance should be enhanced;

Or. fr

Amendment 27
Ivana Maletić

Motion for a resolution
Recital C

Motion for a resolution

C. whereas there is a growing need for stronger co-ownership of the strategy by the different levels of governance and for shared responsibility at all levels of *project* implementation; whereas multi-level governance should be enhanced;

Amendment

C. whereas there is a growing need for stronger co-ownership of the strategy by the different levels of governance and for shared responsibility at all levels of implementation; whereas multi-level governance should be enhanced;

Or. en

Amendment 28
Ruža Tomašić

Motion for a resolution
Recital C a (new)

Motion for a resolution

C a. whereas multi-level governance has the potential to address the challenges of the lack of administrative capacity and can be used as the way forward to pool resources in Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 29
Steeve Briois, Olaf Stuger

Motion for a resolution
Recital D

Motion for a resolution

D. whereas the goals of cohesion policy have unquestionably evolved over the time, demonstrating their *effectiveness*; *whereas the European Fund for Strategic Investments brings new elements to the overall EU strategy aimed at creating growth and jobs*;

Amendment

D. whereas the goals of cohesion policy have unquestionably evolved over the time, demonstrating their *weak impact on economic development and the fight against social exclusion*;

Or. fr

Amendment 30
Mara Bizzotto

Motion for a resolution
Recital D

Motion for a resolution

D. whereas the goals of cohesion policy have *unquestionably* evolved *over* the *time, demonstrating their effectiveness*; whereas the European Fund for Strategic Investments *brings* new elements to the overall EU strategy aimed at creating growth and jobs;

Amendment

D. whereas the goals of cohesion policy have *evolved in a manner that goes against the general interest of the Member States as a whole, fostering growth in only some Member States and distorting competition on the internal market*; whereas the European Fund for Strategic Investments *could bring* new elements to the overall EU strategy aimed at creating growth and jobs;

Or. it

Amendment 31
Rosa D'Amato, Isabella Adinolfi, Marco Zullo

Motion for a resolution
Recital D

Motion for a resolution

D. whereas the goals of cohesion policy have unquestionably evolved over *the* time, demonstrating their effectiveness; ***whereas the European Fund for Strategic Investments brings new elements to the overall EU strategy aimed at creating growth and jobs;***

Amendment

D. whereas the goals of cohesion policy have unquestionably evolved over time, demonstrating their effectiveness;

Or. it

Amendment 32

Dimitrios Papadimoulis, Younous Omarjee, Josu Juaristi Abaunz

Motion for a resolution

Recital D

Motion for a resolution

D. whereas the goals of cohesion policy have unquestionably evolved over the time, demonstrating their effectiveness; whereas the European Fund for Strategic Investments brings new elements to the overall EU strategy aimed at creating growth and jobs;

Amendment

D. whereas the goals of cohesion policy have unquestionably evolved over the time, demonstrating their effectiveness; whereas the European Fund for Strategic Investments brings new elements to the overall EU strategy aimed at creating growth and jobs; ***whereas no investment program can replace a social, ecological and territorial balanced cohesion policy, which strengthens the region's potential and promotes sustainable structural changes;***

Or. en

Amendment 33

Constanze Krehl

Motion for a resolution

Recital D

Motion for a resolution

D. whereas the ***goals*** of ***cohesion policy***

Amendment

D. whereas the ***reduction of disparities***

have unquestionably evolved over the time, demonstrating their effectiveness; whereas the European Fund for Strategic Investments brings new elements to the overall EU strategy aimed at creating growth and jobs;

between the levels of development of the various regions remains a central goal in cohesion policy; whereas the European Fund for Strategic Investments brings new elements to the overall EU strategy aimed at creating growth and jobs;

Or. en

Amendment 34
Louis-Joseph Manscour

Motion for a resolution
Recital D

Motion for a resolution

D. whereas the goals of cohesion policy have unquestionably evolved over the time, demonstrating their effectiveness; whereas the European Fund for Strategic Investments brings new elements to the overall EU strategy aimed at creating growth and jobs;

Amendment

D. whereas the goals of cohesion policy have unquestionably evolved over the time, demonstrating their effectiveness; whereas the European Fund for Strategic Investments brings new elements to the overall EU strategy aimed at creating *innovative, inclusive and sustainable* growth and *skilled* jobs;

Or. fr

Amendment 35
Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso

Motion for a resolution
Recital D

Motion for a resolution

D. whereas the goals of cohesion policy have unquestionably evolved over the time, demonstrating their effectiveness; whereas the European Fund for Strategic Investments brings new elements to the overall EU strategy aimed at creating growth and jobs;

Amendment

D. whereas the goals of cohesion policy have unquestionably evolved over the time *to support investment in the main EU priorities*, demonstrating their *adaptability and* effectiveness; whereas the European Fund for Strategic Investments brings new elements to the overall EU strategy aimed at creating growth and jobs;

Amendment 36
Julie Ward

Motion for a resolution
Recital D

Motion for a resolution

D. whereas the goals of cohesion policy have unquestionably evolved over the time, demonstrating their effectiveness; whereas the European Fund for Strategic Investments brings new elements to the overall EU strategy aimed at creating growth and jobs;

Amendment

D. whereas the goals of cohesion policy have unquestionably evolved over the time, demonstrating their effectiveness; whereas the European Fund for Strategic Investments brings new elements to the overall EU strategy aimed at creating *sustainable* growth and jobs;

Or. en

Amendment 37
Georgi Pirinski

Motion for a resolution
Recital D a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

D a. Whereas the convergence process, which led to the EU being characterised as a "convergence machine"^{1 a}, has stalled because of the economic crisis and the austerity measures; whereas this has seriously hampered progress towards achievement of the Europe 2020 defining targets and thus there is a need to enhance the role of cohesion policy for achieving the Europe 2020 targets;

^{1 a} Taking stock of the Europe 2020 strategy for smart, sustainable and inclusive growth. Brussels, 5.3.2014. COM(2014) 130

Amendment 38
Mara Bizzotto

Motion for a resolution
Recital E

Motion for a resolution

E. whereas the mid-term review of the Europe 2020 strategy *affords an opportunity to improve existing interactions and links between various EU policies; whereas this stage is essential for shaping future cohesion policy;*

Amendment

E. whereas the mid-term review of the Europe 2020 strategy *shows that that strategy has failed, as a result of which the objectives and architecture of EU structural policy need to be rethought;*

Or. it

Amendment 39
Georgi Pirinski

Motion for a resolution
Recital E

Motion for a resolution

E. whereas the mid-term review of the Europe 2020 strategy *affords an opportunity to improve existing interactions and links between various EU policies;* whereas this stage is essential for shaping future cohesion policy;

Amendment

E. whereas the mid-term review of the Europe 2020 strategy, *while delayed in the course of 2015, should, nevertheless, afford the necessary guidance for improving the effectiveness and contribution of cohesion policy for achieving the defining targets of the Europe 2020 strategy;* whereas this stage is essential for shaping future cohesion policy;

Or. en

Amendment 40
Steeve Brion

Motion for a resolution

Recital E

Motion for a resolution

E. whereas the mid-term review of the Europe 2020 strategy affords an opportunity to ***improve existing interactions and links between*** various EU policies; whereas this stage is essential ***for shaping future*** cohesion policy;

Amendment

E. whereas the mid-term review of the Europe 2020 strategy affords an opportunity to ***take stock of the*** various EU policies; whereas this stage is essential ***to avoid repeating the errors made in*** cohesion policy ***implementation***;

Or. fr

Amendment 41

Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso

Motion for a resolution

Recital E

Motion for a resolution

E. whereas the mid-term review of the Europe 2020 strategy ***affords an opportunity to improve existing interactions and links between various EU policies***; whereas this ***stage is essential for shaping*** future ***cohesion policy***;

Amendment

E. whereas the mid-term review of the Europe 2020 strategy ***should take account of the devastating, and extremely uneven, effects the economic and financial crisis of the past few years has had on the whole of the European Union's countries and regions***; whereas this ***has resulted in a huge loss in Europe's wealth, undermined its future growth potential and shifted it away from the objectives being pursued***;

Or. es

Amendment 42

Ruža Tomašić

Motion for a resolution

Recital E

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

E. whereas the mid-term review of the Europe 2020 strategy affords an opportunity to improve existing interactions and links between various EU policies; whereas this stage is essential for shaping future cohesion policy;

E. whereas the mid-term review of the Europe 2020 strategy affords an opportunity to improve existing interactions and links between various EU policies; ***whereas the content of the strategy should be revised to include initiatives on infrastructure, internal market and administrative capacity at all levels of government***; whereas this stage is essential for shaping future cohesion policy;

Or. en

Amendment 43
Louis-Joseph Manscour

Motion for a resolution
Recital E

Motion for a resolution

E. whereas the mid-term review of the Europe 2020 strategy affords an opportunity to improve existing interactions and links between various EU policies; whereas this stage is essential for shaping future cohesion policy;

Amendment

E. whereas the mid-term review of the Europe 2020 strategy affords an opportunity to improve existing interactions and links between various EU policies; whereas this stage is essential for shaping future cohesion policy, ***while preserving the unique character of this policy, the priority aim of which is to reduce development disparities between regions***;

Or. fr

Amendment 44
Ivana Maletić

Motion for a resolution
Recital E

Motion for a resolution

E. whereas the mid-term review of the

Amendment

E. whereas the mid-term review of the

Europe 2020 strategy affords an opportunity to improve existing interactions and links between various EU policies; whereas this stage is essential for shaping future cohesion policy;

Europe 2020 strategy affords an opportunity to improve existing interactions and links between various EU policies *as well as with the EU budget as a policy instrument for implementation of the Strategy*; whereas this stage is essential for shaping future cohesion policy;

Or. en

Amendment 45
Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso

Motion for a resolution
Recital E a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ea. whereas this review is essential as there is no doubt it will influence the shape of future cohesion policy, affording an opportunity moreover to improve existing interactions and links between various EU policies;

Or. es

Amendment 46
Louis-Joseph Manscour

Motion for a resolution
Recital E a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ea. whereas the ORs are regions of excellence making a significant contribution towards meeting the objectives the EU has set as part of the Europe 2020 strategy, the Horizon 2020 strategy, the Energy 2020 strategy, the LIFE+ and Natura 2000 programmes and the trans-European telecommunications, transport and energy networks;

Amendment 47
Ruža Tomašić

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Recalls that the Europe 2020 strategy is an overarching, long-term 'growth and jobs' strategy of the European Union, built around five ambitious objectives: employment, innovation, climate change and energy sustainability, education, and fighting poverty and social exclusion;

Amendment

1. Recalls that the Europe 2020 strategy is an overarching, long-term 'growth and jobs' strategy of the European Union, built around five ambitious objectives: employment, innovation, climate change and energy sustainability, education, and fighting poverty and social exclusion; *notes that objectives were accompanied by seven flagship initiatives and for each of these initiatives, the communication that officially launched the Europe 2020 strategy in 2010 allocated responsibility to both the EU and Member States governments; reminds that most of the challenges identified in 2010 have not been solved and some have even intensified;*

Or. en

Amendment 48
Dimitrios Papadimoulis, Younous Omarjee, Josu Juaristi Abaunz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Recalls that the Europe 2020 strategy is an overarching, long-term 'growth and jobs' strategy of the European Union, built around five ambitious objectives: employment, innovation, climate change and energy sustainability, education, and

Amendment

1. Recalls that the Europe 2020 strategy is an overarching, long-term 'growth and jobs' strategy of the European Union, built around five ambitious objectives: employment, innovation, climate change and energy sustainability, education, and

fighting poverty and social exclusion;

fighting poverty and social exclusion;
Notes however that Europe 2020 thus far has failed to restore a sustainable and inclusive economy that can deliver decent jobs to the millions of unemployed or underemployed people in the European Union, as well as a high level of welfare services, while protecting the environment;

Or. en

Amendment 49

Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Recalls that the Europe 2020 strategy is an overarching, long-term ‘growth and jobs’ strategy of the European Union, built around five ambitious objectives: employment, innovation, climate change and energy sustainability, education, and fighting poverty and social exclusion;

Amendment

1. Recalls that the Europe 2020 strategy is an overarching, long-term ‘growth and jobs’ strategy of the European Union, built around five ambitious objectives: employment, innovation, climate change and energy sustainability, education, and fighting poverty and social exclusion;
recalls similarly that reducing economic, social and territorial disparities between the regions and countries of the European Union is still the goal of EU cohesion policy and that the economic and financial crisis has caused these disparities to grow;

Or. es

Amendment 50

Monika Vana

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Recalls that the Europe 2020 strategy is an overarching, long-term ‘growth and jobs’ strategy of the European Union, built around five ambitious objectives: employment, innovation, climate change and energy sustainability, education, and fighting poverty and social exclusion;

Amendment

1. Recalls that the Europe 2020 strategy is an overarching, long-term ‘growth and jobs’ strategy of the European Union, built around five ambitious objectives: employment, innovation, climate change and energy sustainability, education, and fighting poverty and social exclusion; ***underlines the Treaty-based objective of Cohesion Policy to reduce regional disparities and to promote economic, social and territorial cohesion;***

Or. en

Amendment 51

Tomasz Piotr Poręba, Edward Czesak

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Recalls that the Europe 2020 strategy is an overarching, long-term ‘growth and jobs’ strategy of the European Union, built around five ambitious objectives: employment, innovation, climate change and energy sustainability, education, and fighting poverty and social exclusion;

Amendment

1. Recalls that ***cohesion policy is not a tool for implementing the Europe 2020 strategy and that*** the Europe 2020 strategy is an overarching, long-term ‘growth and jobs’ strategy of the European Union, built around five ambitious objectives: employment, innovation, climate change and energy sustainability, education, and fighting poverty and social exclusion;

Or. pl

Amendment 52

Monika Vana

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1 a. Stresses that an increase in growth does not, of itself, guarantee more decent jobs which would be crucial for the achievement of the EU 2020 goals; Highlights the objective of smart, inclusive and sustainable growth of the EU 2020 Strategy; Emphasizes that the EU should concentrate on sustainable development and decent jobs in order to achieve long-term benefits from its spending instead of heading for short-term boost mainly;

Or. en

Amendment 53
Monika Vana

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1 b. Highlights that progress in gender equality could also contribute to economic growth, sustainable development and social cohesion;

Or. en

Amendment 54
Mara Bizzotto

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2. Points out that **an** economic governance system (the European Semester) **was** put in place to provide for the coordination of action at the EU and national levels and to support the delivery of the strategy; **stresses that cohesion policy for 2007-**

2. Points out that **the current** economic governance system (the European Semester) put in place to provide for the coordination of action at the EU and national levels and to support the delivery of the strategy **is not working**;

2013, aligned with the predecessor Lisbon Strategy and having similar core objectives, was already in the implementation phase when the Europe 2020 strategy was launched, and that reprogramming in accordance with the new strategy objectives would therefore have been both difficult and counterproductive; points out, nevertheless, that cohesion policy, through ‘Lisbon earmarking’, substantially supported the implementation of the strategy, as shown by the sixth cohesion report and by several Commission communications and studies;

Or. it

Amendment 55
Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Points out that *an economic governance system (the European Semester) was put in place to provide for the coordination of action at the EU and national levels and to support the delivery of the strategy; stresses that cohesion policy for 2007-2013, aligned with the predecessor Lisbon Strategy and having similar core objectives, was already in the implementation phase when the Europe 2020 strategy was launched, and that reprogramming in accordance with the new strategy objectives would therefore have been both difficult and counterproductive; points out, nevertheless, that cohesion policy, through ‘Lisbon earmarking’, substantially supported the implementation of the strategy, as shown*

Amendment

2. Points out that the *different measures introduced by the EU to overcome the economic and financial crisis included an economic governance system (the European Semester) put in place in 2010 to improve coordination of EU Member States' policies and better align the goals of national budgetary policies on growth and jobs with those set at EU level, thereby supporting delivery of the strategy;*

by the sixth cohesion report and by several Commission communications and studies;

Or. es

Amendment 56
Georgi Pirinski

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Points out that an economic governance system (the European Semester) was put in place to provide for the coordination of action at the EU and national levels and to support the delivery of the strategy; stresses that cohesion policy for 2007-2013, aligned with the predecessor Lisbon Strategy and having similar core objectives, was already in the implementation phase when the Europe 2020 strategy was launched, and that reprogramming in accordance with the new strategy objectives would therefore have been both difficult and counterproductive; points out, nevertheless, that cohesion policy, through 'Lisbon earmarking', ***substantially supported the*** implementation of the strategy, as shown by the sixth cohesion report and by several Commission communications and studies;

Amendment

2. Points out that an economic governance system (the European Semester) was put in place to provide for the coordination of action at the EU and national levels and to support the delivery of the strategy; stresses that cohesion policy for 2007-2013, aligned with the predecessor Lisbon Strategy and having similar core objectives, was already in the implementation phase when the Europe 2020 strategy was launched, and that reprogramming in accordance with the new strategy objectives would therefore have been both difficult and counterproductive; points out, nevertheless, that cohesion policy, through 'Lisbon earmarking', ***contributed to countries' policies for*** implementation of the strategy, as shown by the sixth cohesion report and by several Commission communications and studies;

Or. en

Amendment 57
Andrea Cozzolino, Mercedes Bresso, Michela Giuffrida

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Points out that an economic governance system (the European Semester) was put in place to provide for the coordination of action at the EU and national levels and to support the delivery of the strategy; stresses that cohesion policy for 2007-2013, aligned with the predecessor Lisbon Strategy and having similar core objectives, was already in the implementation phase when the Europe 2020 strategy was launched, and that reprogramming in accordance with the new strategy objectives would therefore have been both difficult and counterproductive; points out, *nevertheless, that* cohesion policy, through ‘Lisbon earmarking’, substantially supported the implementation of the strategy, as shown by the sixth cohesion report and by several Commission communications and studies;

Amendment

2. Points out that an economic governance system (the European Semester) was put in place to provide for the coordination of action at the EU and national levels and to support the delivery of the strategy; stresses that cohesion policy for 2007-2013, aligned with the predecessor Lisbon Strategy and having similar core objectives, was already in the implementation phase when the Europe 2020 strategy was launched, and that reprogramming in accordance with the new strategy objectives would therefore have been both difficult and counterproductive; points out *that, at a time of economic crisis, cohesion policy has not only been the sole source of investment funding for many Member States but has also*, through ‘Lisbon earmarking’, substantially supported the implementation of the strategy, as shown by the sixth cohesion report and by several Commission communications and studies;

Or. it

Amendment 58

Ivana Maletić

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Points out that *an* economic governance system (*the European Semester*) was put in place to provide for the coordination of action at the EU and national levels and to support the delivery of the strategy; stresses that cohesion policy for 2007-2013, aligned with the predecessor Lisbon Strategy and having similar core objectives, was already in the implementation phase when the Europe

Amendment

2. Points out that *EU economic governance framework and its implementation mechanism "European Semester"* was put in place to provide for the coordination of *fiscal policy's* action *and structural reforms* at the EU and national levels and to support the delivery of the strategy; stresses that cohesion policy for 2007-2013, aligned with the predecessor Lisbon Strategy and having similar core

2020 strategy was launched, and that reprogramming in accordance with the new strategy objectives would therefore have been both difficult and counterproductive; points out, nevertheless, that cohesion policy, through 'Lisbon earmarking', substantially supported the implementation of the strategy, as shown by the sixth cohesion report and by several Commission communications and studies;

objectives, was already in the implementation phase when the Europe 2020 strategy was launched, and that reprogramming in accordance with the new strategy objectives would therefore have been both difficult and counterproductive; points out, nevertheless, that cohesion policy, through 'Lisbon earmarking', substantially supported the implementation of the strategy, as shown by the sixth cohesion report and by several Commission communications and studies;

Or. en

Amendment 59
Georgi Pirinski

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2 a. Draws attention, however, that the European Semester does not provide sufficient space for an expanded range of discussions, hearings and consultations, including the question of parliamentary participation on the national and European levels, thus hindering the necessary scope of broader coordination and synchronization;

Or. en

Amendment 60
Lambert van Nistelrooij

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2 a. Stresses that the Lisbon Strategy lost

the commitment of the Member States, regions and cities over time, and that the Europe 2020 governance was better aligned with the cohesion instruments, in order not to make the same mistake again;

Or. en

Amendment 61
Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2a. Stresses that the Lisbon Strategy, the predecessor to the current Europe strategy 2020, was already central to cohesion policy for 2007-2013; points out that the two strategies have similar core objectives and is of the opinion that reprogramming this policy for the 2014-2020 period in accordance with the new strategy objectives would therefore have been both difficult and counterproductive; points out, nevertheless, that cohesion policy, through ‘Lisbon earmarking’, substantially supported the implementation of the strategy, as shown by the sixth cohesion report and by several Commission communications and studies;

Or. es

Amendment 62
Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Calls on the Commission, in the context of the ex-post evaluations for the 2007-2013 programming period, to provide information on the concrete contribution made to the Europe 2020 objectives by cohesion policy;

Amendment

3. Calls on the Commission, in the context of the ex-post evaluations for the 2007-2013 programming period, to provide information on the concrete contribution made to the Europe 2020 objectives by cohesion policy, ***bearing in mind the upheavals EU economies, especially those on its outskirts, have suffered, which has significantly hindered and delayed achievement of the milestones pursued;***

Or. es

Amendment 63

Ruža Tomašić

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Calls on the Commission, in the context of the ex-post evaluations for the 2007-2013 programming period, to provide information on the concrete contribution made to the Europe 2020 objectives by cohesion policy;

Amendment

3. Calls on the Commission, in the context of the ex-post evaluations for the 2007-2013 programming period, to provide information on the concrete contribution made to the Europe 2020 objectives by cohesion policy; ***reminds that the evidence-based policy should be priority if we want better results; believes in order to facilitate more accurate policy discussions about effectiveness it would be worthwhile to explore and understand the realities and limitations of the available evidence about policy's contribution to overarching European objectives;***

Or. en

Amendment 64

Lambert van Nistelrooij

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Calls on the Commission, in the context of the ex-post evaluations for the 2007-2013 programming period, to provide information on the concrete contribution made to the Europe 2020 objectives by cohesion policy;

Amendment

3. Calls on the Commission, in the context of the ex-post evaluations for the 2007-2013 programming period, to provide information on the concrete contribution made to the Europe 2020 objectives by cohesion policy; ***highlights in this report the need for the Cohesion Policy to become ever more output oriented;***

Or. en

Amendment 65 **Steeve Briois**

Motion for a resolution **Paragraph 3**

Motion for a resolution

3. Calls on the Commission, in the context of the ex-post evaluations for the 2007-2013 programming period, to provide information on the concrete contribution made to the Europe 2020 objectives by cohesion policy;

Amendment

(Does not affect the English version.)

Or. fr

Amendment 66 **Petras Auštrevičius**

Motion for a resolution **Paragraph 3**

Motion for a resolution

3. Calls on the Commission, in the context of the ex-post evaluations for the 2007-2013 programming period, to provide information on the concrete contribution

Amendment

3. Calls on the Commission, in the context of the ex-post evaluations for the 2007-2013 programming period, to provide information on the concrete contribution

made to the Europe 2020 objectives by cohesion policy;

made to ***and recommendations on the future better delivery of*** the Europe 2020 objectives by cohesion policy;

Or. en

Amendment 67
Mara Bizzotto

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Emphasises that cohesion policy for 2014-2020, ***the key EU instrument for investment*** in the real economy, ***is fully aligned with the Europe 2020 objectives***; stresses in this context that, through thematic concentration, the European Structural and Investment Funds (ESI Funds) are oriented towards 11 thematic objectives derived straight from the Europe 2020 objectives, and that preconditions linked directly to these thematic objectives have been established in order to ensure that investments are made in such a way as to maximise their effectiveness;

Amendment

4. Emphasises that cohesion policy for 2014-2020 ***must focus on investing*** in the real economy ***without distorting competition in the internal market***; stresses in this context that, through thematic concentration, the European Structural and Investment Funds (ESI Funds) are oriented towards 11 thematic objectives derived straight from the Europe 2020 objectives, and that preconditions linked directly to these thematic objectives have been established in order to ensure that investments are made in such a way as to maximise their effectiveness;

Or. it

Amendment 68
Steeve Briois

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Emphasises that cohesion policy for 2014-2020, the key EU instrument for investment in the real economy, is fully aligned with the Europe 2020 objectives; ***stresses in this context that, through***

Amendment

4. Emphasises that cohesion policy for 2014-2020, the key EU instrument for investment in the real economy, is fully aligned with the Europe 2020 objectives and that preconditions linked directly to

*thematic concentration, the European Structural and Investment Funds (ESI Funds) are oriented towards 11 thematic objectives derived straight from the Europe 2020 objectives, and that preconditions linked directly to these thematic objectives **have been established in order to ensure that investments are made in such a way as to maximise their effectiveness;***

these thematic objectives *risk impeding the absorption of structural funds and delaying the launch of investments requiring funding under the structural funds;*

Or. fr

Amendment 69
Ivana Maletić

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Emphasises that cohesion policy for 2014-2020, the key EU instrument for investment in the real economy, is fully aligned with the Europe 2020 objectives; stresses in this context that, through thematic concentration, the European Structural and Investment Funds (ESI Funds) are oriented towards 11 thematic objectives derived straight from the Europe 2020 objectives, and that preconditions linked directly to these thematic objectives have been established in order to ensure that investments are made in such a way as to maximise their effectiveness;

Amendment

4. Emphasises that cohesion policy for 2014-2020, the key EU instrument for investment in the real economy, is fully aligned with the Europe 2020 objectives; stresses in this context that, through thematic concentration, the European Structural and Investment Funds (ESI Funds) are oriented towards 11 thematic objectives derived straight from the Europe 2020 objectives, and that preconditions linked directly to these thematic objectives have been established in order to ensure that investments are made in such a way as to maximise their effectiveness; ***stresses that cohesion policy should contribute to EU policies such as digital single market, the energy union, single capital market, the social policy, macro-regional strategies, urban agenda, territorial agenda, investing in SMEs and smart growth, cutting red tapes, therefore contributing to strengthening single market and avoiding fragmentation;***

Or. en

Amendment 70
Monika Vana

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Emphasises that cohesion policy for 2014-2020, the key EU instrument for investment in the real economy, is fully aligned with the Europe 2020 objectives; stresses in this context that, through thematic concentration, the European Structural and Investment Funds (ESI Funds) are oriented towards 11 thematic objectives derived straight from the Europe 2020 objectives, and that preconditions linked directly to these thematic objectives have been established in order to ensure that investments are made in such a way as to maximise their effectiveness;

Amendment

4. Emphasises that cohesion policy for 2014-2020, the key EU instrument for investment in the real economy, is fully aligned with the Europe 2020 objectives; stresses in this context that, through thematic concentration, the European Structural and Investment Funds (ESI Funds) are oriented towards 11 thematic objectives derived straight from the Europe 2020 objectives, and that preconditions linked directly to these thematic objectives have been established in order to ensure that investments are made in such a way as to maximise their effectiveness; ***underlines its full support for this new approach which will contribute to increasing the effectiveness of spending;***

Or. en

Amendment 71
Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Emphasises that cohesion policy for 2014-2020, the key EU instrument for investment in the real economy, *is* fully aligned with the Europe 2020 objectives; stresses in this context that, through thematic concentration, the European Structural and Investment Funds (ESI Funds) are oriented towards 11 thematic

Amendment

4. Emphasises that cohesion policy for 2014-2020 ***facilitates the strategy and investment framework that are needed if the goals set for growth are to be met, becoming*** the key EU instrument for investment in the real economy, ***being*** fully aligned with the Europe 2020 objectives; stresses in this context that, through

objectives derived straight from the Europe 2020 objectives, and that preconditions linked directly to these thematic objectives have been established in order to ensure that investments are made in such a way as to maximise their effectiveness;

thematic concentration, the European Structural and Investment Funds (ESI Funds) are oriented towards 11 thematic objectives derived straight from the Europe 2020 objectives, and that preconditions linked directly to these thematic objectives have been established in order to ensure that investments are made in such a way as to maximise their effectiveness;

Or. es

Amendment 72

Tomasz Piotr Poręba, Edward Czesak

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Emphasises that cohesion policy for 2014-2020, the key EU instrument for investment in the real economy, is fully aligned with the Europe 2020 objectives; stresses in this context that, through thematic concentration, the European Structural and Investment Funds (ESI Funds) are oriented towards 11 thematic objectives derived straight from the Europe 2020 objectives, and that preconditions linked directly to these thematic objectives have been established in order to ensure that investments are made in such a way as to maximise their effectiveness;

Amendment

4. Emphasises that cohesion policy for 2014-2020, ***in practice the most effective means of demonstrating solidarity and extending growth and prosperity throughout the EU*** and the key EU instrument for investment in the real economy, is fully aligned with the Europe 2020 objectives; stresses in this context that, through thematic concentration, the European Structural and Investment Funds (ESI Funds) are oriented towards 11 thematic objectives derived straight from the Europe 2020 objectives, and that preconditions linked directly to these thematic objectives have been established in order to ensure that investments are made in such a way as to maximise their effectiveness;

Or. pl

Amendment 73

Lambert van Nistelrooij

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Emphasises that cohesion policy for 2014-2020, the key EU instrument for investment in the real economy, is fully aligned with the Europe 2020 objectives; stresses in this context that, through thematic concentration, the European Structural and Investment Funds (ESI Funds) are oriented towards 11 thematic objectives derived straight from the Europe 2020 objectives, and that preconditions linked directly to these thematic objectives have been established in order to ensure that investments are made in such a way as to maximise their effectiveness;

Amendment

4. Emphasises that cohesion policy for ***the 2014-2020 MFF is*** the key EU instrument for investment in the real economy ***covering all regions of the EU***, is fully aligned with the Europe 2020 objectives; stresses in this context that, through thematic concentration, the European Structural and Investment Funds (ESI Funds) ***in its new architecture*** are oriented towards 11 thematic objectives derived straight from the Europe 2020 objectives, and that preconditions linked directly to these thematic objectives have been established in order to ensure that investments are made in such a way as to maximise their effectiveness;

Or. en

Amendment 74
Iskra Mihaylova

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

4 a. Underlines the importance of developing and implementing smart specialisation strategies to concentrate resources for innovation support on key areas of intervention, clusters or sectors which will contribute to achieving Europe 2020 strategy targets;

Or. en

Amendment 75
Steeve Briois, Olaf Stuger

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5. Points also to the closer relationship with the broader economic governance process through measures linking the effectiveness of the ESI Funds to sound economic governance, and through the provision of support to Member States experiencing temporary budgetary difficulties; *deleted*

Or. fr

Amendment 76
Dimitrios Papadimoulis, Younous Omarjee, Josu Juaristi Abaunz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5. Points also to the closer relationship with the broader economic governance process through measures linking the effectiveness of the ESI Funds to sound economic governance, and through the provision of support to Member States experiencing temporary budgetary difficulties; *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 77
Monika Vana

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5. Points also to the *closer relationship with the broader economic governance process through measures linking the effectiveness of the ESI Funds to sound economic governance, and through the provision of* support to Member States experiencing temporary budgetary difficulties;

5. Points also to the support to Member States experiencing temporary budgetary difficulties;

Or. en

Amendment 78
Georgi Pirinski

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Points also to the closer relationship with the broader economic governance process through measures linking the effectiveness of the ESI Funds to sound economic governance, and through the provision of support to Member States experiencing temporary budgetary difficulties;

Amendment

5. Points also to the closer relationship with the broader economic governance process through measures linking the effectiveness of the ESI Funds to sound economic governance, and through the provision of support to Member States experiencing temporary budgetary difficulties; *regrets, however, that the implementation of macroeconomic conditionality could have a negative impact on the implementation of ESIF and achievement of Europe 2020 targets and calls on the Commission in collaboration with Member States to ensure that such an impact and negative consequences do not occur;*

Or. en

Amendment 79
Rosa D'Amato, Isabella Adinolfi, Marco Zullo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Points also to the closer relationship with the broader economic governance process through measures linking the effectiveness of the ESI Funds to sound economic governance, and **through** the provision of support to Member States experiencing temporary budgetary difficulties;

Amendment

5. Voices its concern about the measures linking the effectiveness of the ESI Funds to sound economic governance and **stresses that the macroconditionality principle could make the objectives set for cohesion policy harder to achieve;**

Or. it

Amendment 80

Andrea Cozzolino, Mercedes Bresso, Michela Giuffrida

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Points also to the closer relationship with the broader economic governance process through measures linking the effectiveness of the ESI Funds to sound economic governance, and through the provision of support to Member States experiencing temporary budgetary difficulties;

Amendment

5. Points also to the closer relationship with the broader economic governance process through measures linking the effectiveness of the ESI Funds to sound economic governance, and through the provision of support to Member States experiencing temporary budgetary difficulties; **points out, furthermore, that the good governance principle must not serve to hinder regional and local authorities in their use of resources; calls accordingly on the Commission to take due account of its communication on making the best use of the flexibility within the existing rules of the stability and growth pact (COM(2015)0012) in efforts to facilitate progress towards the Europe 2020 objectives;**

Or. it

Amendment 81

Ivana Maletić

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Points also to the closer relationship with the broader economic governance process through measures linking the effectiveness of the ESI Funds to sound economic governance, and through the provision of support to Member States experiencing temporary budgetary difficulties;

Amendment

5. Points also to the closer relationship with the broader economic governance process through measures linking the effectiveness of the ESI Funds to sound economic governance, and through the provision of support to Member States experiencing temporary budgetary difficulties; **welcomes the flexibility mechanisms introduced by the Commission within the existing rules of the stability and growth pact with the aim to strengthen the link between investment, structural reforms and fiscal responsibility;**

Or. en

Amendment 82
Louis-Joseph Manscour

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Points also to the closer relationship with the broader economic governance process through measures linking the effectiveness of the ESI Funds to sound economic governance, and through the provision of support to Member States experiencing temporary budgetary difficulties;

Amendment

5. Points also to the closer relationship with the broader economic governance process through measures linking the effectiveness of the ESI Funds to sound economic governance, and through the provision of support to Member States experiencing temporary budgetary difficulties; **believes, however, that economic governance mechanisms, including the macroeconomic conditionality introduced in Article 23 CPR, must not jeopardise the attainment of cohesion policy goals;**

Or. fr

Amendment 83
Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Points also to the closer relationship with the broader economic governance process *through measures* linking the effectiveness of the ESI Funds to sound economic governance, *and through* the provision of support to Member States experiencing temporary budgetary difficulties;

Amendment

5. Points also to the closer relationship with the broader economic governance process *and emphasises that each Member States has been required to set its own national goals in these priority areas*, linking the effectiveness of the ESI Funds to sound economic governance; *stresses furthermore* the provision of support to Member States experiencing temporary budgetary difficulties;

Or. es

Amendment 84
Dimitrios Papadimoulis, Younous Omarjee, Josu Juaristi Abaunz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

5 a. *rejects the Commission's approach of subordinating cohesion policy to European economic governance, as well as the use of macroeconomic conditionality in the Stability and Growth Pact as a requirement for access to cohesion policy and regional development funds; insists that cohesion policy should not be used as an instrument of financial punishment if a region or Member State rejects the policy of deregulation and privatisation;*

Amendment

5 a. *rejects the Commission's approach of subordinating cohesion policy to European economic governance, as well as the use of macroeconomic conditionality in the Stability and Growth Pact as a requirement for access to cohesion policy and regional development funds; insists that cohesion policy should not be used as an instrument of financial punishment if a region or Member State rejects the policy of deregulation and privatisation;*

Or. en

Amendment 85
Georgi Pirinski

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Stresses that although the implementation of cohesion policy during the current programming period is at a very early stage, around 80 % of the programming process has been finalised, which already allows assessments to be made as regards directing policy resources to priorities that contribute to growth and jobs; notes, in this context, that according to the first evaluation released by the Commission, the amounts allocated to R&I, support for SMEs, ICT, the low-carbon economy, employment, social inclusion, education and capacity-building have increased as compared with the previous programming period, while the level of support for transport and environmental infrastructure has decreased;

Amendment

6. Stresses that although the implementation of cohesion policy during the current programming period is at a very early stage, around 80 % of the programming process has been finalised, which already allows assessments to be made as regards directing policy resources to priorities that contribute to growth and jobs; notes, in this context, that according to the first evaluation released by the Commission, the amounts allocated to R&I, support for SMEs, ICT, the low-carbon economy, employment, social inclusion, education and capacity-building have increased as compared with the previous programming period, while the level of support for transport and environmental infrastructure has decreased; ***draws attention to the fact that at the time of mid-term review of Europe 2020, data on implementation of ESIF 2014-2020 will still be lacking, which should in no way put in doubt the practical contribution of the said funds towards achieving the defining targets of the strategy;***

Or. en

Amendment 86
Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Stresses **that although** the **implementation of** cohesion policy during the current programming period **is at a very early stage**, around 80 % of the programming process has been finalised, which **already allows** assessments to be made as regards directing policy resources to priorities that contribute to growth and jobs; notes, in this context, that according to the first evaluation released by the Commission, the amounts allocated to R&I, support for SMEs, ICT, the low-carbon economy, employment, social inclusion, education and capacity-building have increased as compared with the previous programming period, while the level of support for transport and environmental infrastructure has decreased;

Amendment

6. Stresses **with concern** the **delays in implementing** cohesion policy during the current programming period **and highlights the fact that although** around 80 % of the programming process has been finalised, **it is still at a very early stage**, which **makes it difficult for** assessments to be made as regards directing policy resources to priorities that contribute to growth and jobs; notes, in this context, that according to the first evaluation released by the Commission, the amounts allocated to R&I, support for SMEs, ICT, the low-carbon economy, employment, social inclusion, education and capacity-building have increased as compared with the previous programming period, while the level of support for transport and environmental infrastructure has decreased;

Or. es

Amendment 87

Monika Vana

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Stresses that although the implementation of cohesion policy during the current programming period is at a very early stage, around 80 % of the programming process has been finalised, which already allows assessments to be made as regards directing policy resources to priorities that contribute to growth and jobs; notes, in this context, that according to the first evaluation released by the Commission, the amounts allocated to R&I, support for SMEs, ICT, the low-carbon economy, employment, social inclusion, education and capacity-building

Amendment

6. Stresses that although the implementation of cohesion policy during the current programming period is at a very early stage, around 80 % of the programming process has been finalised, which already allows assessments to be made as regards directing policy resources to priorities that contribute to growth and jobs; notes, in this context, that according to the first evaluation released by the Commission, the amounts allocated to R&I, support for SMEs, ICT, the low-carbon economy, employment, social inclusion, education and capacity-building

have increased as compared with the previous programming period, while the level of support for transport and environmental infrastructure has decreased;

have increased as compared with the previous programming period, while the level of support for transport and environmental infrastructure has decreased;
notes that Member States have taken action to ensure that 20% of their resources are spent on climate action;

Or. en

Amendment 88
Lambert van Nistelrooij

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Stresses that although the implementation of cohesion policy during the current programming period is at a very early stage, around 80 % of ***the programming process has been finalised***, which already allows assessments to be made as regards directing policy resources to priorities that contribute to growth and jobs; notes, in this context, that according to the first evaluation released by the Commission, the amounts allocated to R&I, support for SMEs, ICT, the low-carbon economy, employment, social inclusion, education and capacity-building have increased as compared with the previous programming ***period***, while the level of support for transport and environmental infrastructure has decreased;

Amendment

6. Stresses that although the implementation of cohesion policy during the current programming period is at a very early stage, around 80 % of ***operational programs have been agreed***, which already allows assessments to be made as regards directing policy resources to priorities that contribute to growth and jobs; notes, in this context, that according to the first evaluation released by the Commission, the amounts allocated to R&I, support for SMEs, ICT, the low-carbon economy, employment, social inclusion, education and capacity-building have increased ***substantially*** as compared with the previous programming ***periods***, while the level of support for transport and environmental infrastructure has decreased;

Or. en

Amendment 89
Julie Ward

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Stresses that although the implementation of cohesion policy during the current programming period is at a very early stage, around 80 % of the programming process has been finalised, which already allows assessments to be made as regards directing policy resources to priorities that contribute to growth and jobs; notes, in this context, that according to the first evaluation released by the Commission, the amounts allocated to R&I, support for SMEs, ICT, the low-carbon economy, employment, social inclusion, education and capacity-building have increased as compared with the previous programming period, while the level of support for transport and environmental infrastructure has decreased;

Amendment

6. Stresses that although the implementation of cohesion policy during the current programming period is at a very early stage, around 80 % of the programming process has been finalised, which already allows assessments to be made as regards directing policy resources to priorities that contribute to *sustainable* growth and jobs; notes, in this context, that according to the first evaluation released by the Commission, the amounts allocated to R&I, support for SMEs, ICT, the low-carbon economy, employment, social inclusion, education and capacity-building have increased as compared with the previous programming period, while the level of support for transport and environmental infrastructure has decreased;

Or. en

Amendment 90
Iskra Mihaylova

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

6 a. Acknowledges that establishing a performance framework and introducing ex ante conditionalities, as well as reinforced linkages with country-specific recommendations (CSRs), in cohesion policy programming 2014-2020, will provide better investment environment for maximising the Cohesion Policy contribution to achieve Europe 2020 strategy headline targets;

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 91

Rosa D'Amato, Isabella Adinolfi, Marco Zullo

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6a. Points out that the late adoption of a number of regional operational programmes in some less-developed EU regions is seriously jeopardising the achievement of the Europe 2020 objectives, in particular those relating to employment, poverty and social exclusion;

Or. it

Amendment 92

Ruža Tomašić

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6 a. Calls on national and regional authorities across Europe to design smart specialisation strategies in entrepreneurial discovery processes, so that ESI Funds can be used more efficiently and synergies between different EU, national, and regional policies, as well as public and private investments can be increased;

Or. en

Amendment 93

Mara Bizzotto

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Recalls that the Commission launched the strategy review process in 2014 by publishing its communication entitled 'Taking stock of the Europe 2020 Strategy for smart, sustainable and inclusive growth', **and considers it regrettable that very limited reference was made to cohesion policy and the associated instruments in that document**; appreciates the fact that the process continued with a public consultation carried out between May and October 2014 with a view to collecting evidence for the review process, **and welcomes the fact that the strategy's relevance and the meaningfulness of its objectives and priorities were confirmed**;

Amendment

7. Recalls that the Commission launched the strategy review process in 2014 by publishing its communication entitled 'Taking stock of the Europe 2020 Strategy for smart, sustainable and inclusive growth'; appreciates the fact that the process continued with a public consultation carried out between May and October 2014 with a view to collecting evidence for the review process;

Or. it

Amendment 94
Georgi Pirinski

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Recalls that the Commission launched the strategy review process in 2014 by publishing its communication entitled 'Taking stock of the Europe 2020 Strategy for smart, sustainable and inclusive growth', and considers it regrettable that very limited reference was made to cohesion policy and the associated instruments in that document; appreciates the fact that the process continued with a public consultation carried out between May and October 2014 with a view to collecting evidence for the review process, and welcomes the fact that the strategy's relevance and the meaningfulness of its objectives and priorities were confirmed;

Amendment

7. Recalls that the Commission launched the strategy review process in 2014 by publishing its communication entitled 'Taking stock of the Europe 2020 Strategy for smart, sustainable and inclusive growth', and considers it regrettable that very limited reference was made to cohesion policy and the associated instruments in that document; appreciates the fact that the process continued with a public consultation carried out between May and October 2014 with a view to collecting evidence for the review process, and welcomes the fact that the strategy's relevance and the meaningfulness of its objectives and priorities were confirmed; **regrets that the Commission has failed to**

present its proposal for the review early in 2015 as initially scheduled;

Or. en

Amendment 95
Lambert van Nistelrooij

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Recalls that the Commission launched the strategy review process in 2014 by publishing its communication entitled 'Taking stock of the Europe 2020 Strategy for smart, sustainable and inclusive growth', and considers it regrettable that *very limited* reference was made to cohesion policy and the associated instruments in that document; appreciates the fact that the process continued with a public consultation carried out between May and October 2014 with a view to collecting evidence for the review process, and welcomes the fact that the strategy's relevance and the meaningfulness of its objectives and priorities were confirmed;

Amendment

7. Recalls that the Commission launched the strategy review process in 2014 by publishing its communication entitled 'Taking stock of the Europe 2020 Strategy for smart, sustainable and inclusive growth', and considers it regrettable that *insufficient* reference was made to cohesion policy and the associated instruments in that document; appreciates the fact that the process continued with a public consultation carried out between May and October 2014 with a view to collecting evidence for the review process, and welcomes the fact that the strategy's relevance and the meaningfulness of its objectives and priorities were confirmed;

Or. en

Amendment 96
Julie Ward

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7 a. Calls on Member States and the Commission to establish structured dialogue processes with stakeholders and civil society, to improve the

implementation and assessment of the EU 2020 strategy, and contribute to the assessment process at local, regional and national levels;

Or. en

Amendment 97
Louis-Joseph Manscour

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7a. Considers that the review of the Europe 2020 strategy must be combined with an ambitious mid-term review of the multiannual financial framework 2014-2020 in order for the EU to have the financial resources to match the objectives it has set;

Or. fr

Amendment 98
Constanze Krehl

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8. Notes that the flagship initiatives are considered to have served their purpose, but also highlights the fact that their visibility is considered to be rather low; **notes also** the mitigated progress towards the strategy's headline targets; welcomes the conclusion drawn as to the need to enhance ownership and involvement on the ground, with a view to improving the delivery of the strategy;

8. Notes that the flagship initiatives are considered to have served their purpose, but also highlights the fact that their visibility is considered to be rather low; **regrets that the economic and financial crisis has aggravated the disparities within the European Union and** mitigated progress towards the strategy's headline targets **especially as the employment, the research and development, and the poverty and social exclusion targets are**

concerned; welcomes the conclusion drawn as to the need to enhance ownership and involvement on the ground, with a view to improving the delivery of the strategy;

Or. en

Amendment 99
Krzysztof Hetman

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Notes that the flagship initiatives are considered to have served their purpose, but also highlights the fact that their visibility is considered to be rather low; notes also the mitigated progress towards the strategy's headline targets; welcomes the conclusion drawn as to the need to enhance ownership and involvement on the ground, with a view to improving the delivery of the strategy;

Amendment

8. Notes that the flagship initiatives are considered to have served their purpose, but also highlights the fact that their visibility is considered to be rather low; notes also the mitigated progress towards the strategy's headline targets; welcomes the conclusion drawn as to the need to enhance ownership and involvement on the ground, with a view to improving the delivery of the strategy; *calls for shifting from process and outcome orientation to actual result orientation approach in order to assure the highest efficiency and effectiveness of the strategy*;

Or. en

Amendment 100
Daniel Buda, Iuliu Winkler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Notes that the flagship initiatives are considered to have served their purpose, but also highlights the fact that their

Amendment

8. Notes that the flagship initiatives are considered to have served their purpose, but also highlights the fact that their

visibility is considered to be rather low; notes also the mitigated progress towards the strategy's headline targets; welcomes the conclusion drawn as to the need to enhance ownership and involvement on the ground, with a view to improving the delivery of the strategy;

visibility is considered to be rather low; notes also the mitigated progress towards the strategy's headline targets; welcomes the conclusion drawn as to the need to enhance ownership and involvement on the ground, ***by consolidating vertical and horizontal partnerships***, with a view to improving the delivery of the strategy;

Or. ro

Amendment 101
Daniel Buda, Iuliu Winkler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Welcomes Eurostat's regular publication of progress indicators as regards the implementation of the Europe 2020 strategy; calls, nevertheless, for greater regional detail in respect of the data provided at the NUTS II and NUTS III levels;

Amendment

9. Welcomes Eurostat's regular publication of progress indicators as regards the implementation of the Europe 2020 strategy; calls, nevertheless, for greater regional detail in respect of the data provided at the NUTS II and NUTS III levels; ***recommends that the national authorities embark on regional reforms in relation to the competences, organisation and functioning of the regions where shortcomings have been found in relation to attracting Community support;***

Or. ro

Amendment 102
Lambert van Nistelrooij

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Welcomes Eurostat's regular publication of progress indicators as regards the

Amendment

9. Welcomes Eurostat's regular publication of progress indicators as regards the

implementation of the Europe 2020 strategy; calls, nevertheless, for greater regional detail in respect of the data provided at the NUTS II and NUTS III levels;

implementation of the Europe 2020 strategy; calls, nevertheless, for greater regional detail in respect of the data provided at the NUTS II and NUTS III levels; ***which is going to be of increasing importance due to unforeseen economic and social problems occurring in various EU regions irrespective of their development level;***

Or. en

Amendment 103
Ivana Maletić

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Welcomes Eurostat's regular publication of progress indicators as regards the implementation of the Europe 2020 strategy; calls, nevertheless, for greater regional ***detail*** in respect of the data provided at the NUTS II and NUTS III levels;

Amendment

9. Welcomes Eurostat's regular publication of progress indicators as regards the implementation of the Europe 2020 strategy; calls, nevertheless, for greater ***and more accurate*** regional ***details*** in respect of the data provided at the NUTS II and NUTS III levels;

Or. en

Amendment 104
Enrique Calvet Chambon

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

PE560.908v01-00

54/101

AM\1067303EN.doc

reduction; calls on the Commission to take account of the growing inequalities in wealth and income distribution when it reviews and subsequently adjusts the Europe 2020 strategy and asks, insofar as possible, that cohesion policy play a more active part in cutting unemployment as this is a major cause of inequality;

Or. es

Amendment 105
Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9a. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to develop, for both the ERDF and the EAFRD and for the Urban Strategies, evaluation methods and indicators measuring goals for growth and jobs, so there is greater consistency with the priority objectives of the Europe 2020 strategy.

Or. es

Amendment 106
Constanze Krehl

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9 a. Points out that territorial cohesion is complementary to economic and social cohesion and therefore should not be measured solely by economic indicators; suggests as such to continue the discussion on the development of more

inclusive indicators to complement GDP;

Or. en

Amendment 107
Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Notes that the publication of the Commission's proposal on the review of the Europe 2020 strategy is due before the end of 2015, and stresses that this will once again take place at a rather 'inopportune moment' in the cohesion policy cycle, when the implementation process will be ***well under way***; stresses, moreover, that early reprogramming would be completely counterproductive for the long-term strategic planning of cohesion policy;

Amendment

10. Notes that the publication of the Commission's proposal on the review of the Europe 2020 strategy is due before the end of 2015, and stresses that this will once again take place at a rather 'inopportune moment' in the cohesion policy cycle, when the implementation process will be ***starting***; stresses, moreover, that early reprogramming would be completely counterproductive for the long-term strategic planning of cohesion policy;

Or. es

Amendment 108
Ivana Maletić

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10 a. Welcomes task force for better implementation of the EU funds; also welcomes "Structural Reform Support Service" which will officially start working on the 1st of July 2015, and which will provide technical assistance to Member States in order to be more effective in implementation of structural reforms and CSRs;

Amendment 109
Viorica Dăncilă

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Acknowledges, at the same time, the need to consider the evolution of the economic outlook, the use of new instruments, the progress made towards the strategy objectives and the consequent necessity of making operational adjustments;

Amendment

11. Acknowledges, at the same time, the need to consider the evolution of the economic outlook, the use of new instruments, the progress made towards the strategy objectives and the consequent necessity of making operational adjustments; ***in this context, stresses the need for more efficient cooperation between the European institutions and the Member States as regards analysing the progress made towards achieving the objectives proposed in the 2020 Strategy and raising their profile through information campaigns for EU citizens;***

Amendment 110
Dimitrios Papadimoulis, Younous Omarjee, Josu Juaristi Abaunz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Acknowledges, at the same time, the need to consider the evolution of the economic outlook, the use of new instruments, the progress made towards the strategy objectives and the consequent necessity of making operational adjustments;

Amendment

11. Acknowledges, at the same time, the need to consider the evolution of the economic outlook, the use of new instruments, the progress made towards the strategy objectives and the consequent necessity of making operational adjustments; ***Calls on the Commission , for the scope of the mid-term review of the Europe 2020, strategy , to encompass a***

new set of indicators measuring well-being and regional disparities, in addition to GDP;

Or. en

Amendment 111
Monika Vana

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Acknowledges, at the same time, the need to consider the evolution of the economic outlook, the use of new instruments, the progress made towards the strategy objectives and the consequent necessity of making operational adjustments;

Amendment

11. Acknowledges, at the same time, the need to consider the evolution of the economic outlook, the use of new instruments, the progress made towards the strategy objectives and the consequent necessity of making operational adjustments *on programme level*;

Or. en

Amendment 112
Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

11a. Given that the Cohesion Policy Regulations introduce new instruments for multi-level governance, public-private partnerships, and to encourage programmes or strategies promoted by society, calls on the Commission and the Member States to facilitate the development and introduction of these instruments at regional and local level;

Or. es

Amendment 113
Julie Ward

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Calls therefore for the scope of the mid-term review of the Europe 2020 strategy to be **limited, and focused on** deepening, widening, adjusting and better interlinking the strategy's five objectives and its flagship initiatives and on identifying methods as to how they could be better carried forward and evaluated **without creating additional layers of complexity and excessive administrative burden;**

Amendment

12. Calls therefore for the scope of the mid-term review of the Europe 2020 strategy to be **aimed at** deepening, widening, adjusting and better interlinking the strategy's five objectives and its flagship initiatives and on identifying methods as to how they could be better carried forward and evaluated; **emphasises that focus on macro-economic criteria of fiscal and economic governance must not impede progress towards the EU2020 targets on innovation, research and development, education, health and social services, social protection, on the alleviation of poverty, increased employment, and action to tackle climate change;**

Or. en

Amendment 114
Dimitrios Papadimoulis, Younous Omarjee, Josu Juaristi Abaunz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Calls therefore for the scope of the mid-term review of the Europe 2020 strategy to **be limited, and focused on deepening, widening, adjusting and better interlinking the strategy's five objectives and its flagship initiatives and on identifying methods as to how they could be better carried forward and evaluated**

Amendment

12. Calls therefore for the scope of the mid-term review of the Europe 2020 strategy to **address the major challenges the EU is faced with today, such as stagnating economies, high unemployment, declining social and labour rights, rising socio-economic inequalities and high public and external**

without creating additional layers of complexity and excessive administrative burden;

debts; insists that the economic, social, climate and political crisis can only be overcome by a radical new policy that puts people at the centre of all policies, instead of the interests of the financial markets;

Or. en

Amendment 115
Constanze Krehl, Georgi Pirinski

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Calls therefore for the scope of the mid-term review of the Europe 2020 strategy to be *limited, and* focused on *deepening, widening, adjusting and* better interlinking the strategy's five objectives and its flagship initiatives and on identifying methods as to how they could be better carried forward and evaluated without creating additional layers of complexity and excessive administrative burden;

Amendment

12. Calls therefore for the scope of the mid-term review of the Europe 2020 strategy to be focused on better interlinking the strategy's five objectives and its flagship initiatives and on identifying methods as to how they could be better carried forward and evaluated without creating additional layers of complexity and excessive administrative burden; *stresses in this regard the importance of continued support from the European Commission services to Member State authorities in improving administrative capacity;*

Or. en

Amendment 116
Lambert van Nistelrooij

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Calls therefore for the scope of the mid-term review of the Europe 2020 strategy to be *limited, and* focused on

Amendment

12. Calls therefore for the scope of the mid-term review of the Europe 2020 strategy to be focused on deepening,

deepening, widening, adjusting and better interlinking the strategy's five objectives and its flagship initiatives and on identifying methods as to how they could be better carried forward and evaluated without creating additional layers of complexity and excessive administrative burden;

widening, adjusting and better interlinking the strategy's five objectives and its flagship initiatives and on identifying methods as to how they could be better carried forward and evaluated without creating additional layers of complexity and excessive administrative burden;

Or. en

Amendment 117
Julie Ward

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12 a. Calls for a balanced mid-term review, which does not only focus on the pursuit of GDP growth, but also tackles the underlying structural weaknesses of the EU economy, by giving far higher priority to achieving longer term objectives of increased societal and individual wellbeing, environmental sustainability, greater social equality and inclusion, as well as cultural diversity and gender equality; notes that cohesion policy is a key instrument to attaining these long term objectives;

Or. en

Amendment 118
Monika Vana

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12 a. Recalls that regional and local

authorities are responsible for a large share of public investment geared towards contributing to achieving the EU2020 objectives and should therefore be acknowledged as key actor in the implementation of the strategy including through targeted action to be specified in the review;

Or. en

Amendment 119
Julie Ward

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12 b. Emphasises that, while gender equality is not one of Europe 2020's explicit flagship objectives, the strategy must aim to promote gender equality in Europe, and have a gender equality perspective mainstreamed into all of its component parts;

Or. en

Amendment 120
Steeve Briois, Olaf Stuger

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13. Reiterates its calls to enhance the responsibility and ownership dimensions of the strategy by involving local and regional authorities and other civil society stakeholders and interested parties; insists on the crucial importance of a strengthened governance structure based

deleted

on multi-level governance, an effective mixed top-down / bottom-up approach and the partnership model of cohesion policy, with a view to ensuring effective capacity to deliver on the objectives;

Or. fr

Amendment 121

Dimitrios Papadimoulis, Younous Omarjee, Josu Juaristi Abaunz

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Reiterates its calls to enhance the responsibility and ownership dimensions of the strategy by involving local and regional authorities and other civil society stakeholders and interested parties; insists on the crucial importance of a strengthened governance structure based on multi-level governance, an effective mixed top-down / bottom-up approach and the partnership model of cohesion policy, with a view to ensuring effective capacity to deliver on the objectives;

Amendment

13. Reiterates its calls to enhance the responsibility and ownership dimensions of the strategy by involving local and regional authorities and other civil society stakeholders and interested parties; insists on the crucial importance of a strengthened governance structure based on multi-level governance, an effective mixed top-down / bottom-up approach and the partnership model of cohesion policy, with a view to ensuring effective capacity to deliver on the objectives; ***emphasises that greater transparency, openness and democratisation of the EU and its strategies , including stronger participation by citizens in shaping the future of the Union, are imperative for restoring citizens' trust in the EU and hence the long-term survival of the Union;***

Or. en

Amendment 122

Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Reiterates its calls to enhance the responsibility and ownership dimensions of the strategy by involving local and regional authorities and other civil society stakeholders and interested parties; insists on the crucial importance of a strengthened governance structure based on multi-level governance, an effective mixed top-down / bottom-up approach and the partnership model of cohesion policy, with a view to ensuring effective capacity to deliver on the objectives;

Amendment

13. Reiterates its calls to enhance the responsibility and ownership dimensions of the strategy by involving local and regional authorities and other civil society stakeholders and interested parties; ***suggests in this regard that a code of conduct be adopted, similar to the code of conduct on partnership included in the Regulation on cohesion policy 2014-2020, which involves all parties involved in Europe 2020;*** insists on the crucial importance of a strengthened governance structure based on multi-level governance, an effective mixed top-down / bottom-up approach and the partnership model of cohesion policy, with a view to ensuring effective capacity to deliver on the objectives;

Or. es

Amendment 123

Elena Gentile

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Reiterates its calls to enhance the responsibility and ownership dimensions of the strategy by involving local and regional authorities and other civil society stakeholders and interested parties; insists on the crucial importance of a strengthened governance structure based on multi-level governance, an effective mixed top-down / bottom-up approach and the partnership model of cohesion policy, with a view to ensuring effective capacity to deliver on the objectives;

Amendment

13. Reiterates its calls to enhance the responsibility and ownership dimensions of the strategy by involving local and regional authorities and other civil society stakeholders and interested parties; ***to this aim, calls for the adoption of a code of conduct on the involvement of stakeholders in Europe 2020, similar to the code of conduct on partnership included in the cohesion policy regulation 2014-2020;*** insists on the crucial importance of a strengthened governance structure based on multi-level governance, an effective mixed top-down / bottom-up

approach and the partnership model of cohesion policy, with a view to ensuring effective capacity to deliver on the objectives;

Or. en

Amendment 124

Ruža Tomašić

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Reiterates its calls to enhance the responsibility and ownership dimensions of the strategy by involving local and regional authorities and other civil society stakeholders and interested parties; insists on the crucial importance of a strengthened governance structure based on multi-level governance, an effective mixed top-down / bottom-up approach and the partnership model of cohesion policy, with a view to ensuring effective capacity to deliver on the objectives;

Amendment

13. Reiterates its calls to enhance the responsibility and ownership dimensions of the strategy by involving local and regional authorities and other civil society stakeholders and interested parties; insists on the crucial importance of a strengthened governance structure based on multi-level governance, ***incentive structures***, an effective mixed top-down / bottom-up approach and the partnership model of cohesion policy, with a view to ensuring effective capacity to deliver on the objectives;

Or. en

Amendment 125

Marc Joulaud

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Reiterates its calls to enhance the responsibility and ownership dimensions of the strategy by involving local and regional authorities and other civil society stakeholders and interested parties; insists

Amendment

13. Reiterates its calls to enhance the responsibility and ownership dimensions of the strategy by involving local and regional authorities and other civil society stakeholders and interested parties, ***from***

on the crucial importance of a strengthened governance structure based on multi-level governance, an effective mixed top-down / bottom-up approach and the partnership model of cohesion policy, with a view to ensuring effective capacity to deliver on the objectives;

the development of objectives to the implementation of the strategy; insists on the crucial importance of a strengthened governance structure based on multi-level governance, an effective mixed top-down / bottom-up approach and the partnership model of cohesion policy, with a view to ensuring effective capacity to deliver on the objectives;

Or. fr

Amendment 126
Monika Vana

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Reiterates its calls to enhance the responsibility and ***ownership*** dimensions of the strategy by involving local and regional authorities and other civil society stakeholders and interested parties; insists on the crucial importance of a strengthened governance structure based on multi-level governance, an effective mixed top-down / bottom-up approach and the partnership model of cohesion policy, with a view to ensuring effective capacity to deliver on the objectives;

Amendment

13. Reiterates its calls to enhance the responsibility, ***ownership and participation*** dimensions of the strategy by involving local and regional authorities and other civil society stakeholders and interested parties; insists on the crucial importance of a strengthened governance structure based on multi-level governance, an effective mixed top-down / bottom-up approach and the partnership model of cohesion policy, with a view to ensuring effective capacity to deliver on the objectives;

Or. en

Amendment 127
Ruža Tomašić

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13 a. Notes that multi-level governance tools should become customary in all phases of the implementation of the Strategy, from target-setting down to implementation, monitoring and evaluation;

Or. en

Amendment 128
Lambert van Nistelrooij

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13 a. Suggests a renewal of the commitment of the local and regional authorities and stakeholders in the Europe 2020 project, a Pact between those partners, the Member States and the European Commission to assure the renewed ownership and participation until 2020;

Or. en

Amendment 129
Ruža Tomašić

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13 b. Considers that more emphasis could be given to 'bottom up' processes and furthering the understanding that implementation of the Strategy on the ground requires the cooperation of stakeholders from different levels of governance and different public, private and civil society sectors;

Amendment 130
Monika Vana

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Emphasises the need for a truly territorial approach to the Europe 2020 strategy with a view to adjusting public interventions and investments to different territorial characteristics; ***underlines, in this context, the necessity of tailor-made Europe 2020 regional targets;***

Amendment

14. Emphasises the need for a truly territorial approach to the Europe 2020 strategy with a view to adjusting public interventions and investments to different territorial characteristics;

Amendment 131
Steeve Briois

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Emphasises the need for a truly territorial approach to the Europe 2020 strategy with a view to adjusting public interventions and investments to different territorial characteristics; ***underlines, in this context, the necessity of tailor-made Europe 2020 regional targets;***

Amendment

14. Emphasises the need for a truly territorial approach to the Europe 2020 strategy with a view to adjusting public interventions and investments to different territorial characteristics;

Amendment 132
Andrea Cozzolino, Mercedes Bresso

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Emphasises the need for a truly territorial approach to the Europe 2020 strategy with a view to adjusting public interventions and investments to different territorial characteristics; ***underlines, in this context, the necessity of tailor-made Europe 2020 regional targets;***

Amendment

14. Emphasises the need for a truly territorial approach to the Europe 2020 strategy with a view to adjusting public interventions and investments to different territorial characteristics;

Or. it

Amendment 133

Matthijs van Miltenburg, Enrique Calvet Chambon

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Emphasises the need for a truly territorial approach to the Europe 2020 strategy with a view to adjusting public interventions and investments to different territorial characteristics; ***underlines, in this context, the necessity of tailor-made Europe 2020 regional targets;***

Amendment

14. Emphasises the need for a truly territorial approach to the Europe 2020 strategy with a view to adjusting public interventions and investments to different territorial characteristics;

Or. en

Amendment 134

Constanze Krehl

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Emphasises the need for a truly territorial approach to the Europe 2020 strategy with a view to adjusting public interventions and investments to different

Amendment

14. Emphasises the need for a truly territorial approach to the Europe 2020 strategy with a view to adjusting public interventions and investments to different

territorial characteristics; ***underlines, in this context, the necessity of tailor-made Europe 2020 regional targets;***

territorial characteristics; ***considers that tailor-made Europe 2020 targets should be discussed at regional level and established on a voluntary basis without adding additional bureaucratic burden on the ground; stresses that such tailor-made regional targets should reflect the strategy's overarching targets and not be an end in itself;***

Or. en

Amendment 135
Viorica Dăncilă

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Emphasises the need for a truly territorial approach to the Europe 2020 strategy with a view to adjusting public interventions and investments to different territorial characteristics; ***underlines, in this context, the necessity of tailor-made Europe 2020 regional targets;***

Amendment

14. Emphasises the need for a truly territorial approach to the Europe 2020 strategy with a view to adjusting public interventions and investments to different territorial characteristics ***and improved correlation between investments based on public-private partnerships and local, regional and cross-border needs;*** ***underlines, in this context, the necessity of tailor-made Europe 2020 regional targets;***

Or. ro

Amendment 136
Iskra Mihaylova

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Emphasises the need for a truly territorial approach to the Europe 2020 strategy with a view to adjusting public

Amendment

14. Emphasises the need for a truly territorial approach to the Europe 2020 strategy with a view to adjusting public

interventions and investments to different territorial characteristics; underlines, in this context, the necessity of tailor-made Europe 2020 regional targets;

interventions and investments to different territorial characteristics; ***highlights that it is of utmost importance to bridge the overall approach of the Europe 2020 Strategy and the territorial approach of the Territorial Agenda 2020 (TA 2020);*** underlines, in this context, the necessity of tailor-made Europe 2020 regional ***and territorial*** targets; ***points out that the review of the Europe 2020 strategy should develop a set of key territorial features to influence the selection of measures by regions and cities related to the delivery of strategy's priorities;***

Or. en

Amendment 137
Iskra Mihaylova

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14 a. Acknowledges the significant role of cities and urban areas as drivers for growth and jobs and demands that the review of the Europe 2020 strategy should take into account also the urban dimension in European and national policies towards achieving Europe 2020 objectives;

Or. en

Amendment 138
Ruža Tomašić

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14 a. Stresses that the Community Led Local Development (CLLD) can mobilise and involve local communities and organisations to contribute to achieving the Europe 2020 strategy goals of smart, sustainable and inclusive growth, fostering territorial cohesion and reaching specific policy objectives;

Or. en

Amendment 139
Petras Auštrevičius

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14 a. Stresses the importance of acknowledgement of urban character in Cohesion policy and calls for a wider holistic approach to the future development of the city as a social as well as a physical and technological entity, which should play an active role when designing regional growth strategies and leading to better achievement of the EU 2020 goals;

Or. en

Amendment 140
Iskra Mihaylova

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14 b. Points out that European territorial cooperation (ETC) programmes and macro-regional strategies can substantially contribute by enabling

regions to develop place-based responses to the Europe 2020 challenges of smart, sustainable and inclusive growth and stresses that the review of the Europe 2020 strategy should take into consideration their contribution;

Or. en

Amendment 141
Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Calls on the Commission to provide information about the role of territorial aspects as factors of economic growth, and demands that the review of the Europe 2020 strategy address territorial impacts and provide guidance on how to address them;

Amendment

15. Calls on the Commission to provide information about the role of territorial aspects as factors of economic growth, and demands that the review of the Europe 2020 strategy address territorial impacts and provide guidance on how to address them, *thereby providing authorities which have to manage the fund and society itself with formulas for inter-territorial cooperation and clear strategies for all-round territorial development that are easy to implement;*

Or. es

Amendment 142
Georgi Pirinski

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Calls on the Commission to provide information about the role of territorial aspects as factors of economic growth, and demands that the review of the Europe

Amendment

15. Calls on the Commission to provide information about the role of territorial aspects as factors of economic growth, and demands that the review of the Europe

2020 strategy address territorial impacts and provide guidance on how to address them;

2020 strategy address territorial impacts and provide guidance on how to address them; ***highlights the importance of macro regional strategies for successful implementation of Europe 2020 goals;***

Or. en

Amendment 143

Monika Vana

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Calls on the Commission to provide information about the role of territorial aspects as factors of economic growth, and demands that the review of the Europe 2020 strategy address territorial impacts and provide guidance on how to address them;

Amendment

15. Calls on the Commission to provide information about the role of territorial aspects as factors of economic growth, ***quality job creation and sustainable development***, and demands that the review of the Europe 2020 strategy address territorial impacts and provide guidance on how to address them;

Or. en

Amendment 144

Julie Ward

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Calls on the Commission to provide information about the role of territorial aspects as factors of economic growth, and demands that the review of the Europe 2020 strategy address territorial impacts and provide guidance on how to address them;

Amendment

15. Calls on the Commission to provide information about the role of territorial aspects as factors of ***sustainable*** economic growth, and demands that the review of the Europe 2020 strategy address territorial impacts and provide guidance on how to address them;

Or. en

Amendment 145
Marc Joulaud

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Calls on the Commission to provide information about the role of territorial aspects as factors of economic growth, and demands that the review of the Europe 2020 strategy address territorial impacts and provide guidance on how to address them;

Amendment

15. Calls on the Commission to provide information about the role of territorial aspects as factors of economic growth, and demands that the review of the Europe 2020 strategy address territorial impacts and provide guidance on how to address them *in consultation with the local and regional authorities*;

Or. fr

Amendment 146
Constanze Krehl

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

15 a. Underlines that Cohesion Policy is the EU's main investment policy aiming to reduce the economic, social and territorial disparities in the EU;

Amendment

15 a. Underlines that Cohesion Policy is the EU's main investment policy aiming to reduce the economic, social and territorial disparities in the EU;

Or. en

Amendment 147
Ivan Jakovčić

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

15 a. Emphasizes that the Europe 2020 Strategy should take into account the macro-regional targets given that many development projects involve cross-border areas, thus including several regions and states, and taking into account the three EU macro-regional strategies already adopted and others whose preparation process is ongoing;

Or. en

Amendment 148
Mara Bizzotto

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. **Highlights** the **importance** of the new EU investment instrument, the European Fund for Strategic Investments (EFSI), **and emphasises that it should be complementary and additional to the ESI Funds; points out that the EFSI is not clearly linked to the Europe 2020 strategy, but that through its objectives it contributes to the implementation of the strategy**; stresses, moreover, the imperative of ensuring full coherence and synergies between all EU instruments, in order to avoid overlapping or contradictions among them or between the different levels of policy implementation; **appreciates that the review of the Europe 2020 strategy, as the EU's long-term strategic framework for growth and jobs, must address this challenge with a view to using all the available resources effectively and achieving the expected results as regards the overarching strategic goals;**

Amendment

16. **Voices its doubts about the effectiveness** of the new EU investment instrument, the European Fund for Strategic Investments (EFSI); stresses, moreover, the imperative of ensuring full coherence and synergies between all EU instruments, in order to avoid **waste and any** overlapping or contradictions among them or between the different levels of policy implementation;

Or. it

Amendment 149
Iskra Mihaylova

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Highlights the importance of the new EU investment instrument, the European Fund for Strategic Investments (EFSI), and emphasises that it should be complementary and additional to the ESI Funds; points out that the EFSI is not clearly linked to the Europe 2020 strategy, but that through its objectives it contributes to the implementation of the strategy; *stresses, moreover, the imperative of ensuring full coherence and synergies between all EU instruments, in order to avoid overlapping or contradictions among them or between the different levels of policy implementation; appreciates that the review of the Europe 2020 strategy, as the EU's long-term strategic framework for growth and jobs, must address this challenge with a view to using all the available resources effectively and achieving the expected results as regards the overarching strategic goals;*

Amendment

16. Highlights the importance of the new EU investment instrument, the European Fund for Strategic Investments (EFSI), and emphasises that it should be complementary and additional to the ESI Funds; points out that the EFSI is not clearly linked to the Europe 2020 strategy, but that through its objectives it contributes to the implementation of the strategy;

Or. en

Amendment 150
Monika Vana

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. *Highlights the importance of* the new EU investment instrument, the European Fund for Strategic Investments (EFSI), and emphasises that it should be

Amendment

16. *Notes* the new European Fund for Strategic Investments (EFSI), and emphasises that it should be complementary and additional to the ESI

complementary and additional to the ESI Funds; **points out** that the EFSI is not clearly linked to the Europe 2020 strategy, but that through its objectives it **contributes** to the implementation of the strategy; stresses, moreover, the imperative of ensuring full coherence and synergies between all EU instruments, in order to avoid overlapping or contradictions among them or between the different levels of policy implementation; appreciates that the review of the Europe 2020 strategy, as the EU's long-term strategic framework for growth and jobs, must address this challenge with a view to using all the available resources effectively and achieving the expected results as regards the overarching strategic goals;

Funds; **regrets** that the EFSI is not clearly linked to the Europe 2020 strategy, but **hopes** that through its objectives **and the selection of sustainable projects in compliance with the criteria of the scoreboard it will contribute** to the implementation of the strategy; stresses, moreover, the imperative of ensuring full coherence and synergies between all EU instruments, in order to avoid overlapping or contradictions among them or between the different levels of policy implementation; appreciates that the review of the Europe 2020 strategy, as the EU's long-term strategic framework for growth and jobs, must address this challenge with a view to using all the available resources effectively and achieving the expected results as regards the overarching strategic goals;

Or. en

Amendment 151

Dimitrios Papadimoulis, Younous Omarjee, Josu Juaristi Abaunz

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. **Highlights** the importance of the new EU investment instrument, the European Fund for Strategic Investments (EFSI), and emphasises that it should **be complementary and additional to** the ESI Funds; points out that the EFSI is not clearly linked to the Europe 2020 strategy, but that through its objectives it contributes to the implementation of the strategy; stresses, moreover, the imperative of ensuring full coherence and synergies between all EU instruments, in order to avoid overlapping or contradictions among them or between the different levels of policy implementation; appreciates that the

Amendment

16. **Notes** the importance of the new EU investment instrument, the European Fund for Strategic Investments (EFSI), and emphasises that it should **not undermine the scope of the Cohesion policy and of** the ESI Funds; points out that the EFSI is not clearly linked to the Europe 2020 strategy, but that through its objectives it contributes to the implementation of the strategy; stresses, moreover, the imperative of ensuring full coherence and synergies between all EU instruments in order to avoid overlapping or contradictions among them or between the different levels of policy implementation **and in order to**

review of the Europe 2020 strategy, as the EU's long-term strategic framework for growth and jobs, must address this challenge with a view to using all the available resources effectively and achieving the expected results as regards the overarching strategic goals;

stimulate qualitative and socially balanced growth based on a fairer distribution of wealth; appreciates that the review of the Europe 2020 strategy, as the EU's long-term strategic framework for growth and jobs, must address this challenge with a view to using all the available resources effectively and achieving the expected results as regards the overarching strategic goals;

Or. en

Amendment 152
Aldo Patriciello

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Highlights the importance of the new EU investment instrument, the European Fund for Strategic Investments (EFSI), and emphasises that it should be complementary and additional to the ESI Funds; points out that the EFSI is not clearly linked to the Europe 2020 strategy, but that through its objectives it contributes to the implementation of the strategy; stresses, moreover, the imperative of ensuring full coherence and synergies between all EU instruments, in order to avoid overlapping or contradictions among them or between the different levels of policy implementation; appreciates that the review of the Europe 2020 strategy, as the EU's long-term strategic framework for growth and jobs, must address this challenge with a view to using all the available resources effectively and achieving the expected results as regards the overarching strategic goals;

Amendment

16. Highlights the importance of the new EU investment instrument, the European Fund for Strategic Investments (EFSI), ***which should be fully operational in September 2015***, and emphasises that it should be complementary and additional to the ESI Funds; points out that the EFSI is not clearly linked to the Europe 2020 strategy, but that through its objectives it contributes to the implementation of the strategy ***by using public money to leverage private investment with a view to generating EUR 315 billion in investments over a three-year period***; stresses, moreover, the imperative of ensuring full coherence and synergies between all EU instruments, in order to avoid overlapping or contradictions among them or between the different levels of policy implementation; appreciates that the review of the Europe 2020 strategy, as the EU's long-term strategic framework for growth and jobs, must address this challenge with a view to using all the available resources effectively and

achieving the expected results as regards the overarching strategic goals;

Or. it

Amendment 153
Ivana Maletić

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Highlights the importance of the new EU investment instrument, the European Fund for Strategic Investments (EFSI), **and emphasises that it** should be complementary and additional to the ESI Funds; points out that the EFSI is not clearly linked to the Europe 2020 strategy, but that through its objectives it contributes to the implementation of the strategy; stresses, moreover, the imperative of ensuring full coherence and synergies between all EU instruments, in order to avoid overlapping or contradictions among them or between the different levels of policy implementation; appreciates that the review of the Europe 2020 strategy, as the EU's long-term strategic framework for growth and jobs, must address this challenge with a view to using all the available resources effectively and achieving the expected results as regards the overarching strategic goals;

Amendment

16. Highlights the importance of the new EU investment instrument, the European Fund for Strategic Investments (EFSI), **which will support mobilisation up to € 315 billion of the additional investments with the aim of closing investment gap in EU.** EFSI should be complementary and additional to the ESI Funds; points out that the EFSI is not clearly linked to the Europe 2020 strategy, but that through its objectives it contributes to the implementation of the strategy; stresses, moreover, the imperative of ensuring full coherence and synergies between all EU instruments, in order to avoid overlapping or contradictions among them or between the different levels of policy implementation; appreciates that the review of the Europe 2020 strategy, as the EU's long-term strategic framework for growth and jobs, must address this challenge with a view to using all the available resources effectively and achieving the expected results as regards the overarching strategic goals;

Or. en

Amendment 154
Lambert van Nistelrooij

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Highlights the importance of the new EU investment instrument, the European Fund for Strategic Investments (EFSI), and emphasises that it should be complementary and additional to the ESI Funds; points out that the EFSI is not clearly linked to the Europe 2020 strategy, but that through its objectives it contributes to the implementation of the strategy; stresses, moreover, the imperative of ensuring full coherence and synergies between all EU instruments, in order to avoid overlapping or contradictions among them or between the different levels of policy implementation; appreciates that the review of the Europe 2020 strategy, as the EU's long-term strategic framework for growth and jobs, must address this challenge with a view to using all the available resources effectively and achieving the expected results as regards the overarching strategic goals;

Amendment

16. Highlights the importance of the new EU investment instrument, the European Fund for Strategic Investments (EFSI), and emphasises that it should be complementary and additional to the ESI Funds; points out that the EFSI is not clearly linked to the Europe 2020 strategy, but that through its objectives it contributes to the implementation of the strategy; stresses, moreover, the imperative of ensuring full coherence and synergies between all EU instruments ***by putting the Smart Specialisation Strategy at the core of the investment instruments***, in order to avoid overlapping or contradictions among them or between the different levels of policy implementation; appreciates that the review of the Europe 2020 strategy, as the EU's long-term strategic framework for growth and jobs, must address this challenge with a view to using all the available resources effectively and achieving the expected results as regards the overarching strategic goals;

Or. en

Amendment 155
Daniel Buda, Iuliu Winkler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Highlights the importance of the new EU investment instrument, the European Fund for Strategic Investments (EFSI), and emphasises that it should be complementary and additional to the ESI Funds; points out that the EFSI is not

Amendment

16. Highlights the importance of the new EU investment instrument, the European Fund for Strategic Investments (EFSI), and emphasises that it should be complementary and additional to the ESI Funds; points out that the EFSI is not

clearly linked to the Europe 2020 strategy, but that through its objectives it contributes to the implementation of the strategy; stresses, moreover, the imperative of ensuring full coherence and synergies between all EU instruments, in order to avoid overlapping or contradictions among them or between the different levels of policy implementation; appreciates that the review of the Europe 2020 strategy, as the EU's long-term strategic framework for growth and jobs, must address this challenge with a view to using all the available resources effectively and achieving the expected results as regards the overarching strategic goals;

clearly linked to the Europe 2020 strategy, but that through its objectives it contributes to the implementation of the strategy; ***is convinced that the EFSI is an efficient instrument that guarantees responsible public spending***; stresses, moreover, the imperative of ensuring full coherence and synergies between all EU instruments, in order to avoid overlapping or contradictions among them or between the different levels of policy implementation; appreciates that the review of the Europe 2020 strategy, as the EU's long-term strategic framework for growth and jobs, must address this challenge with a view to using all the available resources effectively and achieving the expected results as regards the overarching strategic goals;

Or. ro

Amendment 156
Matthijs van Miltenburg

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Highlights the importance of the new EU investment instrument, the European Fund for Strategic Investments (EFSI), and emphasises that it should be complementary and additional to the ESI Funds; points out that the EFSI is not clearly linked to the Europe 2020 strategy, but that through its objectives it ***contributes*** to the implementation of the strategy; stresses, moreover, the imperative of ensuring full coherence and synergies between all EU instruments, in order to avoid overlapping or contradictions among them or between the different levels of policy implementation; appreciates that the review of the Europe 2020 strategy, as the EU's long-term strategic framework for

Amendment

16. Highlights the importance of the new EU investment instrument, the European Fund for Strategic Investments (EFSI), and emphasises that it should be complementary and additional to the ESI Funds; points out that the EFSI is not clearly linked to the Europe 2020 strategy, but that through its objectives it ***might contribute*** to the implementation of the strategy; stresses, moreover, the imperative of ensuring full coherence and synergies between all EU instruments, in order to avoid overlapping or contradictions among them or between the different levels of policy implementation; appreciates that the review of the Europe 2020 strategy, as the EU's long-term strategic framework for

growth and jobs, must address this challenge with a view to using all the available resources effectively and achieving the expected results as regards the overarching strategic goals;

growth and jobs, must address this challenge with a view to using all the available resources effectively and achieving the expected results as regards the overarching strategic goals;

Or. en

Amendment 157
Fernando Ruas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Highlights the importance of the new EU investment instrument, the European Fund for Strategic Investments (EFSI), and emphasises that it should be complementary and additional to the ESI Funds; points out that the EFSI is not clearly linked to the Europe 2020 strategy, but that through its objectives it contributes to the implementation of the strategy; stresses, moreover, the imperative of ensuring full coherence and synergies between all EU instruments, in order to avoid overlapping or contradictions among them or between the different levels of policy implementation; *appreciates* that the review of the Europe 2020 strategy, as the EU's long-term strategic framework for growth and jobs, *must address* this challenge with a view to using all the available resources effectively and achieving the expected results as regards the overarching strategic goals;

Amendment

16. Highlights the importance of the new EU investment instrument, the European Fund for Strategic Investments (EFSI), and emphasises that it should be complementary and additional to the ESI Funds; points out that the EFSI is not clearly linked to the Europe 2020 strategy, but that through its objectives it contributes to the implementation of the strategy, *in specific areas*; stresses, moreover, the imperative of ensuring full coherence and synergies between all EU instruments, in order to avoid overlapping or contradictions among them or between the different levels of policy implementation; *consequently demands* that the review of the Europe 2020 strategy *reconfirms it* as the EU's long-term strategic framework for growth and jobs *and addresses* this challenge *of coordinating policy instruments, including EFSI*, with a view to using all the available resources effectively and achieving the expected results as regards the overarching strategic goals;

Or. en

Amendment 158
Andrea Cozzolino, Mercedes Bresso

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Highlights the importance of the new EU investment instrument, the European Fund for Strategic Investments (EFSI), and emphasises that it should be complementary and additional to the ESI Funds; points out that the EFSI is not clearly linked to the Europe 2020 strategy, but that through its objectives it contributes to the implementation of the strategy; stresses, moreover, the imperative of ensuring full coherence and synergies between all EU instruments, in order to avoid overlapping or contradictions among them or between the different levels of policy implementation; appreciates that the review of the Europe 2020 strategy, as the EU's long-term strategic framework for growth and jobs, must address this challenge with a view to using all the available resources effectively and achieving the expected results as regards the overarching strategic goals;

Amendment

(Does not affect the English version.)

Or. it

Amendment 159
Andrea Cozzolino, Mercedes Bresso, Michela Giuffrida

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16a. Calls on the Commission to give due consideration to the recent Riga Declaration on the Urban Agenda, in view of the fundamental role that urban areas, whether small, medium-sized or large, can

play in efforts to meet the strategy's objectives; stresses, in particular, the need for a strategy that takes account of the specific needs of small and medium-sized urban areas on the basis of an approach that builds synergies with the Digital Agenda and the Connecting Europe Facility and enables those areas to overcome the constraints that are currently preventing them from taking advantage of the economic and production-related processes and developments that are under way;

Or. it

Amendment 160
Iskra Mihaylova

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16 a. stresses the imperative of ensuring full coherence and synergies between all EU instruments, in order to avoid overlapping or contradictions among them or between the different levels of policy implementation; appreciates that the review of the Europe 2020 strategy, as the EU's long-term strategic framework for growth and jobs, must address this challenge with a view to using all the available resources effectively and achieving the expected results as regards the overarching strategic goals;

Or. en

Amendment 161
Iskra Mihaylova

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16 b. Calls on the Commission to set up a web based tracking system to identify cases of combination of ESIF funding, with Horizon 2020, EFSI and the other Community-funded programmes with the purpose of better evaluating their synergy and contribution to achieving Europe 2020 strategy targets;

Or. en

Amendment 162

Mercedes Bresso

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17. Asks the Commission to take into consideration the special characteristics and constraints of ***the EU's outermost regions***, as ***highlighted*** in Article 349 TFEU, ***when reviewing the Europe 2020 goals and objectives***;

17. Asks the Commission to take into consideration, ***when reviewing the Europe 2020 goals and objectives***, the special characteristics and constraints of ***specific parts of the EU, such as rural and upland areas***, in accordance with Article 174 TFEU, ***and outermost regions, as highlighted in Article 349 TFEU***;

Or. it

Amendment 163

Louis-Joseph Manscour

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17. Asks the Commission to take into consideration the special characteristics

17. Asks the Commission to take into consideration the special characteristics

and constraints of the EU's outermost regions, as highlighted in Article 349 TFEU, when reviewing the Europe 2020 goals and objectives;

and constraints of the EU's outermost regions, as highlighted in Article 349 TFEU, ***and also of their potential in strategic areas such as biotechnologies, renewable energy and biodiversity***, when reviewing the Europe 2020 goals and objectives ***in order to support their participation in EU horizontal programmes such as Horizon 2020***;

Or. fr

Amendment 164
Constanze Krehl

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Asks the Commission to take into consideration the special characteristics and constraints of ***the EU's outermost regions***, as highlighted in ***Article 349 TFEU***, when reviewing the Europe 2020 goals and objectives;

Amendment

17. Asks the Commission to take into consideration the special characteristics and constraints of ***border regions, mountainous regions, islands regions, sparsely populated regions and outermost regions*** as highlighted in ***articles 174 and 349 of TFEU***, when reviewing the Europe 2020 goals and objectives;

Or. en

Amendment 165
Rosa Estaràs Ferragut, Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Asks the Commission to take into consideration the special characteristics and constraints of the EU's outermost regions, as highlighted in Article 349 TFEU, when reviewing the Europe 2020

Amendment

17. Asks the Commission to take into consideration the special characteristics and constraints of the EU's outermost regions, as highlighted in Article 349 TFEU, ***and of the island regions in***

goals and objectives;

accordance with Article 174 TFEU, when reviewing the Europe 2020 goals and objectives;

Or. es

Amendment 166
José Blanco López

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Asks the Commission to take into consideration the special *characteristics and constraints of the EU's outermost regions, as highlighted* in Article 349 TFEU, when reviewing the Europe 2020 goals and objectives;

Amendment

17. Asks the Commission to take into consideration the special *regional disparities referred to* in Article 174 TFEU, when reviewing the Europe 2020 goals and objectives;

Or. es

Amendment 167
Lambert van Nistelrooij

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Asks the Commission to take into consideration the special characteristics and constraints of the EU's outermost regions, as highlighted in Article 349 TFEU, when reviewing the Europe 2020 goals and objectives;

Amendment

17. Asks the Commission to take into consideration the special characteristics and constraints of the EU's outermost regions, as highlighted in Article 349 TFEU *as well as demographically vulnerable regions*, when reviewing the Europe 2020 goals and objectives;

Or. en

Amendment 168
Petras Auštrevičius

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Asks the Commission to take into consideration the special characteristics and constraints of the EU's outermost regions, as highlighted in Article 349 TFEU, when reviewing the Europe 2020 goals and objectives;

Amendment

17. ***Given cohesion policy's key role in Europe 2020*** asks the Commission to take into consideration the special characteristics and constraints of the EU's outermost regions, as highlighted in Article 349 TFEU, when reviewing the Europe 2020 goals and objectives;

Or. en

Amendment 169
Julie Ward

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Asks the Commission to take into consideration the special characteristics and constraints of the EU's outermost regions, as highlighted in Article 349 TFEU, when reviewing the Europe 2020 goals and objectives;

Amendment

17. Asks the Commission to take into consideration the special characteristics and constraints of ***rural areas and more particularly of*** the EU's outermost regions, as highlighted in Article 349 TFEU, when reviewing the Europe 2020 goals and objectives;

Or. en

Amendment 170
Lambert van Nistelrooij

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

18. Stresses the *importance of* increasing the quantity, quality and impact of R&I investments, through the coordinated use of cohesion policy instruments and Horizon 2020 in the context of the mid-term review of the Europe 2020 strategy; asks the Commission, in this connection, to *exploit* all possible interactions and synergies between these two important policy frameworks, *particularly* when reviewing the Europe 2020 goals and objectives;

18. Stresses the *growing results regarding* increasing the quantity, quality and impact of R&I investments, through the coordinated use of cohesion policy instruments and Horizon 2020 in the context of the mid-term review of the Europe 2020 strategy; asks the Commission, in this connection, to *reinforce* all possible interactions and synergies between these two important policy frameworks when reviewing the Europe 2020 goals and objectives;

Or. en

Amendment 171

Dimitrios Papadimoulis, Younous Omarjee, Josu Juaristi Abaunz

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 18 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

18 a. Believes that the existing EU funding and current EU financial resources for cohesion policy are insufficient to meet needs in terms of achieving real convergence and tackling regional disparities, high levels of unemployment, income inequalities and poverty in the European Union; points to the need for the EU budget to be strengthened in the area of cohesion policy; Calls on the Commission to present a proposal for the MFF midterm review which genuinely reflects the priorities needed to stimulate sustainable, qualitative and socially balanced growth;

Or. en

Amendment 172

Rosa D'Amato, Isabella Adinolfi, Marco Zullo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

18a. Calls on the Member States to take a more coordinated, integrated approach to the implementation of national and regional operational programmes, with a view to ensuring that they are run more efficiently and, thereby, to meeting the objectives laid down in the partnership agreements concluded between Member States and the Commission;

Or. it

Amendment 173
Matthijs van Miltenburg

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

18 a. Welcomes the plan of the Commissioner for Research, Science and Innovation and the Commissioner for Regional and Urban Policy to introduce a "seal of excellence" for applicants that are evaluated as excellent but cannot obtain financing from Horizon 2020, to help them access Structural Funds;

Or. en

Amendment 174
Fernando Ruas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

18 a. Emphasises European Parliament's role to oversight Europe 2020 Strategy and Cohesion Policy implementation, in a coordinated manner not only within the Parliament, but also with all relevant institutions; in this regard, calls for timely European Parliament involvement in all relevant discussions targeting the design of the policies covered by the strategy, their implementation and evaluation; remembers the importance of also mobilising in these exchange of views the CoR, the EESC, national parliaments, LRAs, other stakeholders and interested parties;

Or. en

Amendment 175
Steeve Briois, Olaf Stuger

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Considers that the review of the Europe 2020 strategy, which will probably precede the launch of the proposal for the mid-term review/revision of the multiannual financial framework (MFF) for 2014-2020, will already give an indication of trends for future cohesion policy post-2020, as well as for other MFF instruments; stresses, in this context, the importance of effectively addressing all the concerns raised above, while ensuring the continuity of the strategic approach; ***recalls, also, the added value of an EU-wide cohesion policy, both in terms of growth and jobs objectives and with a view to ensuring balanced, harmonious development across the EU;***

Amendment

19. Considers that the review of the Europe 2020 strategy, which will probably precede the launch of the proposal for the mid-term review/revision of the multiannual financial framework (MFF) for 2014-2020, will already give an indication of trends for future cohesion policy post-2020, as well as for other MFF instruments; stresses, in this context, the importance of effectively addressing all the concerns raised above, while ensuring the continuity of the strategic approach;

Or. fr

Amendment 176
Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Considers that the review of the Europe 2020 strategy, *which will probably precede the launch of the proposal for the mid-term review/revision of the multiannual financial framework (MFF) for 2014-2020, will already give an indication of trends* for future cohesion policy post-2020, *as well as for other MFF instruments*; stresses, in this context, the importance of effectively addressing all the concerns raised above, while ensuring the continuity of the strategic approach; recalls, also, the added value of an EU-wide cohesion policy, both in terms of growth and jobs objectives and with a view to ensuring balanced, harmonious development across the EU;

Amendment

19. Considers that the review of the Europe 2020 strategy will *provide* the *basis* for future cohesion policy post-2020; stresses, in this context, the importance of effectively addressing all the concerns raised above, while ensuring the continuity of the strategic approach; recalls, also, the added value of an EU-wide cohesion policy, both in terms of growth and jobs objectives and with a view to ensuring balanced, harmonious development across the EU;

Or. es

Amendment 177
Lambert van Nistelrooij

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Considers that the review of the Europe 2020 strategy, *which will probably precede the launch of the proposal for the mid-term review/revision of the multiannual financial framework (MFF) for 2014-2020, will already* give an indication of trends for future cohesion policy post-2020, as well as for other MFF instruments; stresses, in this context, the importance of effectively addressing all the

Amendment

19. Considers that the review of the Europe 2020 strategy *will* give an indication of trends for future cohesion policy post-2020, as well as for other MFF instruments; stresses, in this context, the importance of effectively addressing all the concerns raised above, while ensuring the continuity of the strategic approach; recalls, also, the added value of an EU-wide cohesion policy, both in terms of

concerns raised above, while ensuring the continuity of the strategic approach; recalls, also, the added value of an EU-wide cohesion policy, both in terms of growth and jobs objectives and with a view to ensuring balanced, harmonious development across the EU;

growth and jobs objectives and with a view to ensuring balanced, harmonious development across the EU;

Or. en

Amendment 178
Krzysztof Hetman

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Considers that the review of the Europe 2020 strategy, which will probably precede the launch of the proposal for the mid-term review/revision of the multiannual financial framework (MFF) for 2014-2020, will already give an indication of trends for future cohesion policy post-2020, as well as for other MFF instruments; stresses, in this context, the importance of effectively addressing all the concerns raised above, while ensuring the continuity of the strategic approach; recalls, also, the added value of an EU-wide cohesion policy, both in terms of growth and jobs objectives and with a view to ensuring balanced, harmonious development across the EU;

Amendment

19. Considers that the review of the Europe 2020 strategy, which will probably precede the launch of the proposal for the mid-term review/revision of the multiannual financial framework (MFF) for 2014-2020, will already give an indication of trends for future cohesion policy post-2020, as well as for other MFF instruments; stresses, in this context, the importance of effectively addressing all the concerns raised above, while ensuring the continuity of the strategic approach; recalls, also, the added value of an EU-wide cohesion policy, both in terms of growth and jobs objectives and with a view to ensuring balanced, harmonious development across the EU; ***underlines in this regard the need to ensure that a proper level of ESI funding is maintained after 2020;***

Or. en

Amendment 179
Monika Vana

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Considers that the review of the Europe 2020 strategy, which will probably precede the launch of the proposal for the mid-term review/revision of the multiannual financial framework (MFF) for 2014-2020, will already give an indication of trends for future cohesion policy post-2020, as well as for other MFF instruments; stresses, in this context, the importance of effectively addressing all the concerns raised above, while ensuring the continuity of the strategic approach; recalls, also, the added value of an EU-wide cohesion policy, both in terms of growth and **jobs** objectives and with a view to ensuring balanced, harmonious development across the EU;

Amendment

19. Considers that the review of the Europe 2020 strategy, which will probably precede the launch of the proposal for the mid-term review/revision of the multiannual financial framework (MFF) for 2014-2020, will already give an indication of trends for future cohesion policy post-2020, as well as for other MFF instruments; stresses, in this context, the importance of effectively addressing all the concerns raised above, while ensuring the continuity of the strategic approach; recalls, also, the added value of an EU-wide cohesion policy, both in terms of growth, **jobs and climate** objectives and with a view to ensuring balanced, harmonious development across the EU;

Or. en

Amendment 180

Louis-Joseph Manscour

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Considers that the review of the Europe 2020 strategy, which will probably precede the launch of the proposal for the mid-term review/revision of the multiannual financial framework (MFF) for 2014-2020, will already give an indication of trends for future cohesion policy post-2020, as well as for other MFF instruments; stresses, in this context, the importance of effectively addressing all the concerns raised above, while ensuring the continuity of the strategic approach; recalls, also, the added value of **an EU-wide** cohesion policy, both in terms of growth and jobs objectives and

Amendment

19. Considers that the review of the Europe 2020 strategy, which will probably precede the launch of the proposal for the mid-term review/revision of the multiannual financial framework (MFF) for 2014-2020, will already give an indication of trends for future cohesion policy post-2020, as well as for other MFF instruments; stresses, in this context, the importance of effectively addressing all the concerns raised above, while ensuring the continuity of the strategic approach; recalls, also, the added value of **a** cohesion policy, **which must continue to be one of the EU's main**

with a view to ensuring balanced, harmonious development across the EU;

instruments, both in terms of growth and jobs objectives and with a view to ensuring balanced, harmonious development across the EU;

Or. fr

Amendment 181
Constanze Krehl

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Considers that the review of the Europe 2020 strategy, which will *probably* precede the launch of the proposal for the mid-term review/revision of the multiannual financial framework (MFF) for 2014-2020, will already give an indication of trends for future cohesion policy post-2020, as well as for other MFF instruments; stresses, in this context, the importance of effectively addressing all the concerns raised above, while ensuring the continuity of the strategic approach; recalls, also, the added value of an EU-wide cohesion policy, both in terms of growth and jobs objectives and with a view to ensuring balanced, harmonious development across the EU;

Amendment

19. Considers that the review of the Europe 2020 strategy, which will precede the launch of the proposal for the mid-term review/revision of the multiannual financial framework (MFF) for 2014-2020, will already give an indication of trends for future cohesion policy post-2020, as well as for other MFF instruments; stresses, in this context, the importance of effectively addressing all the concerns raised above, while ensuring the continuity of the strategic approach; recalls, also, the added value of an EU-wide cohesion policy, both in terms of growth and jobs objectives and with a view to ensuring balanced, harmonious development across the EU;

Or. en

Amendment 182
Tomasz Piotr Poręba, Edward Czesak

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

19a. Points out, also, that cohesion policy remains a catalyst for change in all EU

regions and a stimulator of growth in the less developed parts, especially those which have not embarked upon a path of development;

Or. pl

Amendment 183
Lambert van Nistelrooij

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20

Motion for a resolution

20. Points out that both future cohesion policy and the future EU long-term strategy *will be designed at* the end of the current *mandate*, imposing significant specific time constraints on the co-legislators as regards the negotiation calendar; notes, at the same time, that negotiations will be also entered into on the future MFF; calls on the Commission, therefore, to take into consideration all the specific constraints generated by interlinkages and timing coordination requirements and to develop a coherent approach as regards the future EU long-term growth and jobs strategy, the EU budget and the instruments under the MFF;

Amendment

20. Points out that both future cohesion policy and the future EU long-term strategy *should be drafted before* the end of the current *MFF*, imposing significant specific time constraints on the co-legislators as regards the negotiation calendar *and the follow up in preparation of the operational programmes before the start of the next MFF*; notes, at the same time, that negotiations will be also entered into on the future MFF; calls on the Commission, therefore, to take into consideration all the specific constraints generated by interlinkages and timing coordination requirements and to develop a coherent approach as regards the future EU long-term growth and jobs strategy, the EU budget and the instruments under the MFF;

Or. en

Amendment 184
Fernando Ruas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20

Motion for a resolution

20. Points out that both future cohesion policy and the future EU long-term strategy will be designed at the end of the current mandate, imposing significant specific time constraints on the co-legislators as regards the negotiation calendar; notes, at the same time, that negotiations will be also entered into on the future MFF; calls on the Commission, therefore, to take into consideration all the specific constraints generated by interlinkages and timing coordination requirements and to develop a coherent approach as regards the future EU long-term growth and jobs strategy, the EU budget and the instruments under the MFF;

20. Points out that both future cohesion policy and the future EU long-term strategy will be designed at the end of the current mandate, ***reminding there will be elections for the European Parliament and a new Commission entering into functions in 2019*** imposing significant specific time constraints on the co-legislators as regards the negotiation calendar; notes, at the same time, that negotiations will be also entered into on the future MFF; calls on the Commission, therefore, to take into consideration all the specific constraints generated by interlinkages and timing coordination requirements and to develop a coherent approach as regards the future EU long-term growth and jobs strategy, the EU budget and the instruments under the MFF;

Or. en

Amendment 185
Constanze Krehl

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20

Motion for a resolution

20. Points out that both future cohesion policy and the future EU long-term strategy will be designed at the end of the current ***mandate***, imposing significant specific time constraints on the co-legislators as regards the negotiation calendar; notes, at the same time, that negotiations will be also entered into on the future MFF; calls on the Commission, therefore, to take into consideration all the specific constraints generated by interlinkages and timing coordination requirements and to develop a coherent approach as regards the future EU long-term growth and jobs strategy, the EU

Amendment

20. Points out that both future cohesion policy and the future EU long-term strategy will be designed at the end of the current ***term of the European Commission***, imposing significant specific time constraints on the co-legislators as regards the negotiation calendar; notes, at the same time, that negotiations will be also entered into on the future MFF; calls on the Commission, therefore, to take into consideration all the specific constraints generated by interlinkages and timing coordination requirements and to develop a coherent approach as regards the future EU long-term growth and jobs strategy, the EU

budget and the instruments under the MFF;

budget and the instruments under the MFF;

Or. en

Amendment 186
Georgi Pirinski

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20

Motion for a resolution

20. Points out that both future cohesion policy and the future EU long-term strategy will be designed at the end of the current mandate, imposing significant specific time constraints on the co-legislators as regards the negotiation calendar; notes, at the same time, that negotiations will be also entered into on the future MFF; calls on the Commission, therefore, to take into consideration all the specific constraints generated by interlinkages and timing coordination requirements and to develop a coherent approach as regards the future EU long-term growth and jobs strategy, the EU budget and the instruments under the MFF;

Amendment

20. Points out that both future cohesion policy and the future EU long-term strategy will be designed at the end of the current mandate, imposing significant specific time constraints on the co-legislators as regards the negotiation calendar; notes, at the same time, that negotiations will be also entered into on the future MFF; calls on the Commission, therefore, to take into consideration all the specific constraints generated by interlinkages and timing coordination requirements and to develop a coherent approach as regards the future EU long-term growth and jobs strategy, the EU budget and the *cohesion policy's instruments as well as other* instruments under the MFF;

Or. en

Amendment 187
Julie Ward

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20

Motion for a resolution

20. Points out that both future cohesion policy and the future EU long-term strategy will be designed at the end of the

Amendment

20. Points out that both future cohesion policy and the future EU long-term strategy will be designed at the end of the

current mandate, imposing significant specific time constraints on the co-legislators as regards the negotiation calendar; notes, at the same time, that negotiations will be also entered into on the future MFF; calls on the Commission, therefore, to take into consideration all the specific constraints generated by interlinkages and timing coordination requirements and to develop a coherent approach as regards the future EU long-term growth and jobs strategy, the EU budget and the instruments under the MFF;

current mandate, imposing significant specific time constraints on the co-legislators as regards the negotiation calendar; notes, at the same time, that negotiations will be also entered into on the future MFF; calls on the Commission, therefore, to take into consideration all the specific constraints generated by interlinkages and timing coordination requirements and to develop a coherent approach as regards the future EU long-term *sustainable* growth and jobs strategy, the EU budget and the instruments under the MFF;

Or. en

Amendment 188
Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(20a) Stresses that simplification of the rules governing cohesion policy continues to be necessary to ensure its success and constructive contribution to smart, sustainable and inclusive growth; reminds the Commission of its duty to help the Member States and, in particular, managing authorities to make full use of the possibilities offered by the new Cohesion Policy Regulations, such as simplified costs, new procedures for major projects or processing of income generating projects;

Or. es

Amendment 189
Iskra Mihaylova

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20 a. Calls on the Commission to establish a coherent on-going evaluation process, including territorial impact assessment, to regularly assess the progress of Europe 2020 strategy targets and accordingly suggests a set of appropriate measures for their achievement, as well as recommendations towards post-2020 Cohesion policy;

Or. en

Amendment 190
Constanze Krehl

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21. Instructs its President to forward this resolution to the Council and the Commission.

21. Instructs its President to forward this resolution to the Council and the Commission, ***the Member States and regions.***

Or. en