



2018/2086(INI)

19.9.2018

AMENDMENTS

1 - 18

Draft opinion

Raffaele Fitto

(PE625.217v01-00)

Assessing how the EU budget is used for public sector reform
(2018/2086(INI))

Amendment 1
Derek Vaughan

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Notes that, although ESIF resources for the regional implementation plan (RIP) have increased quantitatively during the last programming period, ***there are considerable gaps as regards monitoring and assessment of*** the impact that this funding is having on the RIP;

Amendment

2. Notes that, although ESIF resources for the regional implementation plan (RIP) have increased quantitatively during the last programming period, monitoring ***could be improved in order to assess*** the impact that this funding is having on the RIP;

Or. en

Amendment 2
Derek Vaughan

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. ***Calls on the EU to improve the monitoring and assessment of ESIF assistance under thematic objective 11 by incorporating specific indicators to gauge progress in terms of meeting the EU targets and priorities for public administration reforms;***

Amendment

3. ***Welcomes that proposals have been put forward in the next MFF in order to avoid programme overlaps and encourage further simplification;***

Or. en

Amendment 3
Maria Spyra

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Calls on the EU to improve the

Amendment

3. Calls on the EU to improve the

monitoring and assessment of ESIF assistance under thematic objective 11 by incorporating specific indicators to gauge progress in terms of meeting the EU targets and priorities for public administration reforms;

monitoring and assessment of ESIF assistance under thematic objective 11 **as well as thematic objective 2, where appropriate**, by incorporating specific indicators to gauge progress in terms of meeting the EU targets and priorities for public administration reforms;

Or. en

Amendment 4
Maria Spyra

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Points out that specific operational programmes have frequently been found to overlap in many respects and calls for proposals to be put forward; accordingly hopes that assistance will be improved so as to make for complementarity and simplification;

Amendment

4. Points out that specific operational programmes **and other EU funding resources** have frequently been found to overlap in many respects and calls for proposals to be put forward; accordingly hopes that assistance will be improved so as to make for **coordination**, complementarity and simplification;

Or. en

Amendment 5
Monika Vana

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

4a. Underlines the importance to ensure that operational programmes are implemented in the most effective and user-friendly manner possible; Considers essential that Member States refrain from adding rules that complicate the use of funds for the beneficiary;

Amendment

Amendment 6
Derek Vaughan

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Believes continuous assessment to be necessary in order to ascertain that cohesion policies are ***complying with the principle of additionality in relation*** to operations financed with ordinary resources and, not least, to ensure that cohesion policies do not become a substitute for regular policies;

Amendment

5. Believes continuous assessment to be necessary in order to ascertain that cohesion policies are ***complimentary*** to operations financed with ordinary resources and, not least, to ensure that cohesion policies do not become a substitute for regular policies;

Or. en

Amendment 7
Maria Gabriela Zoană

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Points to the need to determine the weaknesses of each Member State and, using the available resources, promote measures ***to*** problems by tightening up the criterion of ex ante conditionality;

Amendment

7. Points to the need to determine the weaknesses of each Member State and, using the available resources, promote measures ***for support by the authorities responsible for addressing*** problems by tightening up the criterion of ex ante conditionality ***and meeting the targets set***;

Or. ro

Amendment 8
Derek Vaughan

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Points to the need to determine the weaknesses of each Member State and, using the available resources, promote measures to ***overcome problems by tightening up*** the criterion of ex ante conditionality;

Amendment

7. Points to the need to determine the weaknesses of each Member State and, using the available resources, promote measures to ***improve*** the criterion of ex ante conditionality;

Or. en

Amendment 9
Monika Vana

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7a. Insists that Member States should keep up their fight against fraud and corruption including elite-driven corruption;

Or. en

Amendment 10
Derek Vaughan

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

Amendment

9. Notes that, especially in regions lagging behind, it often proves difficult to gain access to, or use, funding, ***primarily on account of bureaucratic hiccups or the ramifications of corruption***; hopes, therefore, that Member States will pursue internal reforms aimed at giving more tangible effect to the principle of sound administration and expediting judicial proceedings;

9. Notes that, especially in regions lagging behind, it often proves difficult to gain access to, or use, funding; hopes, therefore, that Member States will pursue internal reforms aimed at giving more tangible effect to the principle of sound administration and expediting judicial proceedings;

Amendment 11
Maria Spyra

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

9. Notes that, especially in regions lagging behind, it often proves difficult to gain access to, or use, funding, primarily on account of bureaucratic hiccups or ***the ramifications of corruption***; hopes, therefore, that Member States will pursue internal reforms aimed at giving more tangible effect to the principle of sound administration and expediting judicial proceedings;

Amendment

9. Notes that, especially in regions lagging behind, it often proves difficult to gain access to, or use, funding, primarily on account of bureaucratic hiccups or ***limited administrative capacity***; hopes, therefore, that Member States will pursue internal reforms aimed at giving more tangible effect to the principle of sound administration and expediting judicial proceedings;

Or. en

Amendment 12
Raffaele Fitto

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Draft opinion

9a. ***Calls on the Commission to step up cooperation with Member States to support regions lagging behind, enhancing capacity and administrative governance;***

Amendment

9a. ***Calls on the Commission to step up cooperation with Member States to support regions lagging behind, enhancing capacity and administrative governance;***

Or. it

Amendment 13
Derek Vaughan

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10

Draft opinion

10. Considers that, within public administrations, innovation processes should be promoted so as to make for better connectivity and digitalisation; ***calls, therefore, for funding to be provided for in future programming for operations to deploy e-government in keeping with the principles and priorities set out in the EU e-Government Action Plan;***

Amendment

10. Considers that, within public administrations, innovation processes should be promoted so as to make for better connectivity and digitalisation; ***welcomes that the new CPR proposal provides funding for future programming for operations to deploy e-government in keeping with the principles and priorities set out in the EU e-Government Action Plan;***

Or. en

Amendment 14
Maria Gabriela Zoană

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10

Draft opinion

10. Considers that, within public administrations, innovation processes should be promoted so as to make for better connectivity and digitisation; ***calls, therefore, for funding to be provided for in future programming for operations to deploy e-government in keeping with the principles and priorities set out in the EU e-Government Action Plan;***

Amendment

(10) Considers that, within public administrations, innovation processes should be promoted so as to make for better connectivity and digitisation, ***while constantly keeping step with the rapid development of new technologies in the areas concerned;*** ***calls, therefore, for funding to be provided for in future programming for operations to deploy e-government in keeping with the principles and priorities set out in the EU e-Government Action Plan, while providing future beneficiaries with the information necessary to enable them to use the systems as rapidly as possible;***

Or. ro

Amendment 15
Maria Spyraiki

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10

PE627.912v01-00

8/10

AM\1163340EN.docx

Draft opinion

10. Considers that, within public administrations, innovation processes should be promoted so as to make for better connectivity ***and digitalisation***; calls, therefore, for funding to be provided for in future programming for operations to deploy e-government in keeping with the principles and priorities set out in the EU e-Government Action Plan;

Amendment

10. Considers that, within public administrations, innovation processes should be promoted so as to make for better connectivity, ***digitalization and quality digital services for citizens, businesses and public authorities***; calls, therefore, for funding to be provided for in future programming for operations to deploy e-government in keeping with the principles and priorities set out in the EU e-Government Action Plan;

Or. en

Amendment 16
Raffaele Fitto

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

10a. Calls for measures to encourage the implementation of programmes that promote the development and implementation of human resource strategies, for example through exchanges of best practice among Member States, also involving leaders and other senior figures;

Or. it

Amendment 17
Ivan Jakovčić, Jozo Radoš

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

10a. Calls for the continuation of the work of the Commission's Working Groups responsible for assisting the Member States' national authorities to better implement cohesion policy funds in

those Member States that are lagging in terms of the absorption of ESIF funds.

Or. hr

Amendment 18

Ivan Jakovčić, Jozo Radoš

Draft opinion

Paragraph 11

Draft opinion

11. *Hopes that* the structural reform support programme will be enhanced, by spelling out its role as a facilitator rather than a source of technical assistance, and improved in terms of effectiveness and efficiency.

Amendment

11. *Stresses the importance of* the structural reform support programme *and hopes that the programme* will be enhanced *in the upcoming programming period*, by spelling out its role as a facilitator rather than a source of technical assistance, and improved in terms of effectiveness and efficiency.

Or. hr