



2018/0196(COD)

15.11.2018

AMENDMENTS

1540 - 1939

Draft report

Andrey Novakov, Constanze Krehl
(PE626.671v01-00)

Common provisions on the European Regional Development Fund, the European Social Fund Plus, the Cohesion Fund, and the European Maritime and Fisheries Fund and financial rules for those and for the Asylum and Migration Fund, the Internal Security Fund and the Border Management and Visa Instrument

Proposal for a regulation
(COM(2018)0375 – C8-0230/2018 – 2018/0196(COD))

Amendment 1540
Stanislav Polčák

Proposal for a regulation
Article 67 – paragraph 3 – point i

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(i) ensure that selected operations are not affected by a reasoned opinion by the Commission in respect of an infringement under Article 258 of the TFEU that puts at risk the legality and regularity of expenditure or the performance of operations; **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 1541
Monika Vana

Proposal for a regulation
Article 67 – paragraph 3 – point i a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ia) assess compliance with the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union as set out in point 4 of Commission Notice (2016/C 269/01) on Guidance on ensuring the respect for the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union when implementing the European Structural and Investment Funds;

Or. en

Amendment 1542
Stanislav Polčák

Proposal for a regulation
Article 67 – paragraph 3 – point j

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(j) **ensure the climate proofing of investments in infrastructure with an expected lifespan of at least five years.**

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1543

Rosa D'Amato, Isabella Adinolfi, Marco Zullo

Proposal for a regulation

Article 67 – paragraph 3 – point j

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(j) ensure the climate proofing of investments in infrastructure with an expected lifespan of at least five years.

(j) ensure the climate proofing of investments in infrastructure with an expected lifespan of at least five years, **including Energy Efficiency First assessments in the planning and preparation of projects and programmes concerning how much energy could be saved (before taking investment decisions on infrastructure), excluding fossil fuels from operations, and applying additional climate impact and project lifecycle assessment of programmes and planned infrastructure to ensure compliance with the sector-specific emission reduction and decarbonisation pathways.**

Or. en

Amendment 1544

Monika Vana

Proposal for a regulation

Article 67 – paragraph 3 – point j

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(j) ensure the climate proofing of investments in infrastructure **with an expected lifespan of at least five years.**

(j) ensure the climate proofing of investments in infrastructure **before taking investment decisions, and carry out**

lifecycle assessments of programmes and planned infrastructure to ensure compliance with the sector-specific emission reduction and decarbonisation pathways;

Or. en

Amendment 1545
Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso

Proposal for a regulation
Article 67 – paragraph 3 – point j a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ja) ensure that selected operations aim to improve the social inclusion of persons with disabilities by supporting the implementation of accessible infrastructures and services, both physical and digital;

Or. en

Amendment 1546
Rosa D’Amato, Isabella Adinolfi, Marco Zullo

Proposal for a regulation
Article 67 – paragraph 3 – point j a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ja) ensure that selected operations aim to improve the social inclusion of persons with disabilities by supporting the implementation of accessible infrastructures and services.

Or. en

Amendment 1547
Monika Vana

Proposal for a regulation
Article 67 – paragraph 3 – point j a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ja) ensure the environment and biodiversity proofing of planned investments;

Or. en

Amendment 1548

Julie Girling

on behalf of the Committee on Women's Rights and Gender Equality

Proposal for a regulation
Article 67 – paragraph 3 – point j a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ja) ensure equal opportunities between women and men and non-discrimination;

Or. en

Amendment 1549

Iratxe García Pérez

Proposal for a regulation
Article 67 – paragraph 3 – point j a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ja) ensure equal opportunities between men and women and non-discrimination.

Or. en

Amendment 1550

Monika Vana

Proposal for a regulation
Article 67 – paragraph 3 – point j b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(jb) ensure that selected operations aim to improve the social inclusion of persons with disabilities by supporting the implementation of accessible infrastructures and services, both physical and digital.

Or. en

Amendment 1551

Julie Girling

on behalf of the Committee on Women's Rights and Gender Equality

Proposal for a regulation
Article 67 – paragraph 3 – point j b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(jb) ensure, where appropriate, accessibility to persons with special needs, such as persons with disabilities.

Or. en

Amendment 1552

Monika Vana

Proposal for a regulation
Article 67 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5. For operations awarded a Seal of Excellence certification, or selected under the programme co-funded under Horizon Europe, the managing authority may decide to grant support from the ERDF or the ESF+ directly, provided that such operations are consistent with the

deleted

objectives of the programme.

The co-financing rate of the instrument providing the Seal of Excellence certification or the programme co-fund shall apply and shall be set out in the document referred in paragraph 4.

Or. en

Amendment 1553

Marc Joulaud, Maurice Ponga

Proposal for a regulation

Article 67 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

For operations awarded a Seal of Excellence certification, or selected under the programme co-fund under Horizon Europe, the managing authority may decide to grant support from the ERDF or the ESF+ directly, provided that such operations are consistent with the objectives of the programme.

Amendment

For operations awarded a Seal of Excellence certification, or selected under the programme co-fund under Horizon Europe, the managing authority may decide to grant support from the ERDF or the ESF+ directly, provided that such operations are consistent with the objectives of the programme. *To this end, with the prior agreement of the SMEs concerned and without prejudice to data protection rules, managing authorities should have access to the list of SMEs awarded a Seal of Excellence.*

Or. en

Justification

The aim is to enable Managing authorities to use this mechanism more easily.

Amendment 1554

Liliana Rodrigues, Silvia Costa

Proposal for a regulation

Article 67 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

For operations awarded a Seal of Excellence certification, or selected under the programme co-funded under Horizon Europe, the managing authority may decide to grant support from the ERDF or the ESF+ directly, provided that such operations are consistent with the objectives of the programme.

For operations awarded a Seal of Excellence certification ***under Creative Europe***, or selected under the programme co-funded under Horizon Europe, the managing authority may decide to grant support from the ERDF or the ESF+ directly, provided that such operations are consistent with the objectives of the programme.

Or. en

Justification

In line with the reference on the Seal of Excellence provided for in Article 2.5 of the Regulation establishing a new Creative Europe programme

Amendment 1555

Tamás Deutsch

Proposal for a regulation

Article 67 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Member States shall draw up and adopt rules of procedure for providing such support in accordance with the institutional, legal and financial framework of the Member State concerned.

Or. en

Justification

Art. 67 paragraph (5) needs clarification.

Amendment 1556

Iratxe García Pérez

Proposal for a regulation

Article 67 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The co-financing rate of the *instrument providing the Seal of Excellence certification* or the programme *co-fund* shall apply and shall be set out in the document referred in paragraph 4.

The co-financing rate of the *ERDF* or the *ESF+* programme *financing these operations* shall apply and shall be set out in the document referred in paragraph 4.

Or. en

Amendment 1557
Constanze Krehl

Proposal for a regulation
Article 67 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

In duly justified cases, the managing authority may also decide to contribute resources under ERDF and ESF+ to the projects within its Member State, selected in the second phase of the Horizon Europe programme, provided that these projects contribute to the programme's objectives in that Member State.

Or. en

Amendment 1558
Maurice Ponga, Marc Joulaud

Proposal for a regulation
Article 67 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6. When the managing authority selects an operation of strategic importance, it shall inform the Commission immediately and shall provide all relevant information to the Commission about that operation.

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 1559

Andrea Cozzolino

Proposal for a regulation

Article 67 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. When the managing authority selects an operation of strategic importance, it shall inform the Commission immediately and shall provide all relevant information to the Commission about that operation.

Amendment

6. When the managing authority selects an operation of strategic importance, it shall inform the Commission immediately and shall provide all relevant information to the Commission about that operation, ***including a cost-benefit analysis drawn up in accordance with indicative guidelines, provided by the Commission itself. The Commission may ask the managing authority for some supplementary information in the ex-ante assessment and ask it to cooperate with the Commission in the ex-post assessment of these operations of strategic importance.***

Or. it

Amendment 1560

Lambert van Nistelrooij

Proposal for a regulation

Article 67 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. When the managing authority selects an operation of strategic importance, it shall inform the Commission immediately and shall provide all relevant information to the Commission about that operation.

Amendment

6. When the managing authority selects an operation of strategic importance, it shall inform the Commission immediately and shall provide all relevant information to the Commission about that operation. ***Seal of Excellence operations should apply the rules of the Fund providing the exemptions to state aid rules.***

Or. en

Amendment 1561
Ivana Maletić

Proposal for a regulation
Article 67 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. When the managing authority selects an operation of strategic importance, it shall inform the Commission ***immediately*** and shall provide all relevant information to the Commission about that operation.

Amendment

6. When the managing authority selects an operation of strategic importance, it shall inform the Commission ***within one month*** and shall provide all relevant information to the Commission about that operation.

Or. en

Amendment 1562
Iratxe García Pérez

Proposal for a regulation
Article 67 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. When the managing authority selects an operation of strategic importance, it shall inform the Commission ***immediately*** and shall provide all relevant information to the Commission about that operation.

Amendment

6. When the managing authority selects an operation of strategic importance, it shall inform the Commission and shall provide all relevant information to the Commission about that operation.

Or. en

Amendment 1563
Iratxe García Pérez

Proposal for a regulation
Article 68 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) ensure, subject to the availability of funding, that a beneficiary receives the amount due in full and no later than 90 days from the date of submission of the

Amendment

(b) ensure, subject to the availability of funding, ***from pre-financing and interim payments***, that a beneficiary receives the ***total amount of eligible public expenditure***

payment claim by the beneficiary;

due in full and no later than 90 days from the date of submission of the payment claim by the beneficiary.

The payment deadline referred to in paragraph 1 may be interrupted by the managing authority in either of the following duly justified cases:

(i) the amount of the payment claim is not due or the appropriate supporting documents, including the documents necessary for management verifications, have not been provided;

(ii) an investigation has been initiated in relation to a possible irregularity affecting the expenditure concerned.

Or. en

Amendment 1564

Ivana Maletić

Proposal for a regulation

Article 68 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) ensure, subject to the availability of funding, that a beneficiary receives the amount due in full and no later than 90 days from the date of submission of the payment claim by the beneficiary;

(b) ensure, subject to the availability of funding, that a beneficiary receives the amount due in full ***for verified expenditures*** and no later than 90 days from the date of submission of the payment claim by the beneficiary;

Or. en

Amendment 1565

Georgi Pirinski

Proposal for a regulation

Article 68 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) ensure, subject to the availability of funding, that a beneficiary receives the

(b) ensure, subject to the availability of funding, that a beneficiary receives the

amount due in full and no later than **90** days from the date of submission of the payment claim by the beneficiary;

amount due in full and no later than **60** days from the date of submission of the payment claim by the beneficiary;

Or. en

Amendment 1566
Stanislav Polčák

Proposal for a regulation
Article 68 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d) prevent, detect and correct irregularities; **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 1567
Tamás Deutsch

Proposal for a regulation
Article 68 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – point g

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(g) provide forecasts of the amount for payment applications to be submitted for the current and subsequent calendar years by 31 January and 31 July, in accordance with Annex VII. **deleted**

Or. en

Justification

The obligation to provide a forecast would be a task for the Member State instead of a task of the managing authority. In case of AMF and ISF, the obligation of providing a forecast twice a year is too much compared to the size of the funds, so it should be reduced to one.

Amendment 1568
Iratxe García Pérez

Proposal for a regulation

Article 68 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

For point (b) of the first sub-paragraph, no amount shall be deducted or withheld and no specific charge or other charge with equivalent effect shall be levied that would reduce amounts due to beneficiaries.

Amendment

For point (b) of the first sub-paragraph, no amount shall be deducted or withheld and no specific charge or other charge with equivalent effect shall be levied that would reduce amounts due to beneficiaries. ***The Funds’ contribution corresponding to a payment claim will be considered entirely due when its eligibility has been determined in accordance with point (a) of the first sub-paragraph.***

Or. en

Amendment 1569

Tamás Deutsch

Proposal for a regulation

Article 68 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Management verifications referred to in point (a) of paragraph 1 ***shall*** be risk-based and proportionate to the risks identified as defined in a risk management strategy.

Amendment

Management verifications referred to in point (a) of paragraph 1 ***may*** be risk-based and proportionate to the risks identified as defined in a risk management strategy.

Or. en

Justification

Concerning risk-based management verifications, I suggest to give more flexibility to Member States. Therefore I suggest to use “may” instead of “shall”.

Amendment 1570

Iratxe García Pérez

Proposal for a regulation

Article 68 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Management verifications shall include administrative verifications in respect of payment claims by beneficiaries and on-the-spot verifications of operations. ***They shall be carried out at the latest before preparation of the accounts in accordance with Article 92.***

Amendment

Management verifications shall include administrative verifications in respect of payment claims by beneficiaries and on-the-spot verifications of operations.

Or. en

Amendment 1571

Younous Omarjee, Martina Michels

Proposal for a regulation

Article 69 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) ensure the follow-up of the decisions and recommendations of the monitoring committee.

Amendment

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 1572

Iratxe García Pérez

Proposal for a regulation

Article 70 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) drawing up and submitting payment applications to the Commission in accordance with Articles 85 and 86;

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1573

Iratxe García Pérez

Proposal for a regulation
Article 70 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) drawing up the accounts in accordance with Article 92 and keeping records of all the elements of the accounts in an electronic system;

Amendment

(b) drawing up **and presenting** the accounts in accordance with Article 92 and keeping records of all the elements of the accounts in an electronic **accounting** system;

Or. en

Amendment 1574
Joachim Zeller

Proposal for a regulation
Article 70 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) drawing up the accounts in accordance with Article 92 and keeping records of all the elements of the accounts in **an** electronic **system**;

Amendment

(b) drawing up the accounts, **confirming the completeness, accuracy and correctness** in accordance with Article 92 and keeping records of all the elements of the accounts in electronic **systems**;

Or. en

Amendment 1575
Tamás Deutsch

Proposal for a regulation
Article 70 – paragraph 1 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ca) certifying the completeness, accuracy and veracity of the accounts and that the expenditure entered in the accounts complies with applicable law and has been incurred in respect of operations selected for funding in accordance with the criteria applicable to the operational programme and

complying with applicable law;

Or. en

Amendment 1576
Iratxe García Pérez

Proposal for a regulation
Article 70 – paragraph 1 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ca) laying down the accounting rules and procedures, laying down and validating the accounting systems in accordance with Article 92 and, where appropriate, validating systems laid down by the management authority to supply or justify accounting information;

Or. en

Amendment 1577
Stanislav Polčák

Proposal for a regulation
Article 70 – paragraph 1 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ca) confirming the completeness, accuracy and veracity of the accounts.

Or. en

Amendment 1578
Iratxe García Pérez

Proposal for a regulation
Article 70 – paragraph 1 – point c b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(cb) carrying out the payments of the contribution of the Funds to the beneficiaries according to Article 68(1)(b), and ensuring the proper implementation of payments, collecting revenue and recovering amounts established as being receivable.

Or. en

Amendment 1579
Tamás Deutsch

Proposal for a regulation
Article 70 – paragraph 1 – point c b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(cb) ensuring, for the purposes of drawing up and submitting payment applications, that it has received adequate information from the managing authority on the procedures and verifications carried out in relation to expenditure;

Or. en

Amendment 1580
Tamás Deutsch

Proposal for a regulation
Article 70 – paragraph 1 – point c c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(cc) taking into account, when drawing up and submitting payment applications, the results of all audits carried out by, or under the responsibility of, the audit authority.

Or. en

Amendment 1581

Tamás Deutsch

Proposal for a regulation

Article 70 – paragraph 1 – point c d (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(cd) maintaining, in a computerised form, accounting records of expenditure declared to the Commission and of the corresponding public contribution paid to beneficiaries.

Or. en

Amendment 1582

Norica Nicolai

Proposal for a regulation

Article 71 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. The audit authority shall be responsible for carrying out system audits, audits on operations and audits of accounts in order to provide independent assurance to the Commission regarding the effective functioning of the management and control systems and the legality and regularity of the expenditure included in the accounts submitted to the Commission.

1. The audit authority shall be responsible for carrying out system audits, audits on operations and audits of accounts in order to provide independent assurance to the Commission regarding the effective functioning of the management and control systems and the legality and regularity of the expenditure included in the accounts submitted to the Commission. ***The audit authority shall not be responsible for audit state aid for SMEs.***

Or. en

Amendment 1583

Soraya Post, Julie Ward

Proposal for a regulation

Article 71 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. The audit authority shall be responsible for carrying out system audits, audits on operations and audits of accounts in order to provide independent assurance to the Commission regarding the effective functioning of the management and control systems and the legality and regularity of the expenditure included in the accounts submitted to the Commission.

1. The audit authority shall be responsible for carrying out system audits, audits on operations, ***including performance audits checking the outreach of the programmes***, and audits of accounts in order to provide independent assurance to the Commission regarding the effective functioning of the management and control systems and the legality and regularity of the expenditure included in the accounts submitted to the Commission.

Or. en

Amendment 1584
Tamás Deutsch

Proposal for a regulation
Article 71 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Where programmes are grouped for the purpose of audits of operations pursuant to Article 73(2), the information required under paragraph (3)(b) ***may*** be grouped in a single report.

Amendment

Where programmes are grouped for the purpose of audits of operations pursuant to Article 73(2), the information required under paragraph (3)(b) ***shall*** be grouped in a single report.

Or. en

Justification

My view is that grouping of programmes and reports makes processes significantly simpler.

Amendment 1585
Tamás Deutsch

Proposal for a regulation
Article 71 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Where the audit authority makes use of this option for programmes supported by the AMIF, the ISF and the BMVI, the information required under paragraph

Amendment

deleted

(3)(b) shall be reported by Fund.

Or. en

Justification

AMIF, ISF and BMVI should be excluded from the CPR regulation as many articles do not apply to them. At the same time under CPR the administrative burden of authorities dealing with the three funds would significantly be raised.

Amendment 1586

Marc Joulaud, Maurice Ponga

Proposal for a regulation

Article 71 – paragraph 6 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6a. The audit shall be carried out with reference to the applicable standard at the time of the convention of the audited operation, except when new standards are more favourable to the beneficiary.

Or. en

Justification

This amendment is based on a recommendation of the High level group on simplification concerning the principle of non-retroactivity of controls and audits, except when the new standards are more favourable.

Amendment 1587

Louis-Joseph Manscour

Proposal for a regulation

Article 71 – paragraph 6 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6a. The audit shall be carried out with reference to the standard applicable at the time of the agreement of the audited operation, except where the new standards are more favourable to the

beneficiary.

Or. en

Justification

This new paragraph aims to incorporate into the Regulation the recommendation of the High Level Group on Simplification concerning the non-retroactivity of controls and audits, except where the standards are more favourable to beneficiaries

Amendment 1588

Marc Joulaud, Maurice Ponga

Proposal for a regulation

Article 71 – paragraph 6 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6b. The finding of an irregularity, as part of the audit of an operation leading to a financial penalty, cannot lead to extending the scope of the control or to financial corrections beyond the expenditure covered by the accounting year of the audited expenditure.

Or. en

Justification

This amendment is based on a recommendation of the High level group on simplification concerning the principle of non-retroactivity of controls and audits, except when the new standards are more favourable.

Amendment 1589

Louis-Joseph Manscour

Proposal for a regulation

Article 71 – paragraph 6 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6b. The finding of an irregularity in the audit part of an operation leading to a financial penalty may not lead to the scope of the control or financial

corrections being extended to expenditure covered by the accounting year in which the audited expenditure is included.

Or. en

Justification

This new paragraph is complementing paragraph 6 a (new) of article 71, and aims to incorporate into the Regulation the recommendation of the High Level Group on Simplification concerning the non-retroactivity of controls and audits, except where the standards are more favourable to beneficiaries

Amendment 1590

Joachim Zeller, Manfred Weber

Proposal for a regulation

Article 72 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The audit authority shall prepare an audit strategy based on a risk assessment, taking account of the management and control system description provided for in Article 63(9), covering system audits and audits of operations. The audit strategy shall include system audits of newly identified managing authorities and authorities in charge of the accounting function within nine months following their first year of functioning. The audit strategy shall be prepared in accordance with the template set out in Annex XVIII and shall be updated annually following the first annual control report and audit opinion provided to the Commission. It may cover one or more programmes.

Amendment

1. The audit authority shall prepare an audit strategy based on a risk assessment, taking account of the management and control system description provided for in Article 63(9), covering system audits and audits of operations. The audit strategy shall include system audits of newly identified managing authorities and authorities in charge of the accounting function. ***The audit shall be performed*** within nine months following their first year of functioning. The audit strategy shall be prepared in accordance with the template set out in Annex XVIII and shall be updated annually following the first annual control report and audit opinion provided to the Commission. It may cover one or more programmes. ***In the audit strategy, the audit authority may determine a limit for single account audits.***

Or. en

Amendment 1591
Norica Nicolai, Iskra Mihaylova

Proposal for a regulation
Article 72 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The audit authority shall prepare an audit strategy based on a risk assessment, taking account of the management and control system description provided for in Article 63(9), covering system audits **and** audits of operations. The audit strategy shall include system audits of newly identified managing authorities and authorities in charge of the accounting function within nine months following their first year of functioning. The audit strategy shall be prepared in accordance with the template set out in Annex XVIII and shall be updated annually following the first annual control report and audit opinion provided to the Commission. It may cover one or more programmes.

Amendment

1. The audit authority shall prepare an audit strategy based on a risk assessment, taking account of the management and control system description provided for in Article 63(9), covering system audits, ***financial and performance*** audits of operations ***as well as audits to identify simplification measures to be implemented for beneficiaries***. The audit strategy shall include system audits of newly identified managing authorities and authorities in charge of the accounting function within nine months following their first year of functioning. The audit strategy shall be prepared in accordance with the template set out in Annex XVIII and shall be updated annually following the first annual control report and audit opinion provided to the Commission. It may cover one or more programmes.

Or. en

Amendment 1592
Lambert van Nistelrooij

Proposal for a regulation
Article 72 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The audit authority shall prepare an audit strategy based on a risk assessment, taking account of the management and control system description provided for in Article 63(9), covering system audits and audits of operations. The audit strategy shall include system audits of newly identified managing authorities and

Amendment

1. The audit authority shall, ***after consulting the managing authority,*** prepare an audit strategy based on a risk assessment, taking account of the management and control system description provided for in Article 63(9), covering system audits and audits of operations. The audit strategy shall include

authorities in charge of the accounting function within nine months following their first year of functioning. The audit strategy shall be prepared in accordance with the template set out in Annex XVIII and shall be updated annually following the first annual control report and audit opinion provided to the Commission. It may cover one or more programmes.

system audits of newly identified managing authorities and authorities in charge of the accounting function within nine months following their first year of functioning. The audit strategy shall be prepared in accordance with the template set out in Annex XVIII and shall be updated annually following the first annual control report and audit opinion provided to the Commission. It may cover one or more programmes.

Or. en

Amendment 1593

Norica Nicolai

Proposal for a regulation

Article 73 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

The statistical sample may cover one or more programmes receiving support from the ERDF, the Cohesion Fund and the ESF+ and, subject to stratification where appropriate, one or more programming periods according to the ***professional judgement*** of the audit authority.

Amendment

The statistical sample may cover one or more programmes receiving support from the ERDF, the Cohesion Fund and the ESF+ and, subject to stratification where appropriate, one or more programming periods according to the ***risk assessment*** of the audit authority.

Or. en

Amendment 1594

Tamás Deutsch

Proposal for a regulation

Article 73 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

The sample of operations supported by the AMIF, the ISF and the BMVI and by the EMFF shall cover operations supported by each Fund separately.

Amendment

deleted

Justification

AMIF, ISF and BMVI should be excluded from the CPR regulation as many articles do not apply to them. At the same time under CPR the administrative burden of authorities dealing with the three funds would significantly be raised.

Amendment 1595

Andrey Novakov, Constanze Krehl

Proposal for a regulation

Article 73 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

In case of a disagreement between the Commission and a Member State on audit findings, a settlement procedure shall be put in place.

Or. en

Amendment 1596

Norica Nicolai, Ivan Jakovčić

Proposal for a regulation

Article 73 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The ESF+ Regulation may set out specific provisions for programmes under Article [4(1)(c)(vii)] of the ESF+ Regulation.

The ***ERDF and*** ESF+ Regulation may set out specific provisions for programmes under Article [4(1)(c)(vii)] of the ESF+ Regulation.

Or. en

Amendment 1597

Soraya Post, Julie Ward

Proposal for a regulation

Article 73 – paragraph 4 a (new)

4a. Audits of operations shall also cover the performance of the operations relating to their inclusiveness and non-discrimination concerning members of disadvantaged social groups.

Or. en

Amendment 1598

Joachim Zeller, Manfred Weber

Proposal for a regulation

Article 74 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

The Commission and audit authorities shall first use all information and records available in **the** electronic **system** referred to in Article 66(1)(e), including results of management verifications and only request and obtain additional documents and audit evidence from the beneficiaries concerned where, based on their professional judgement, this is required to support robust audit conclusions.

Amendment

The Commission and audit authorities shall first use all information and records available in electronic **systems** referred to in Article 66(1)(e), including results of management verifications and only request and obtain additional documents and audit evidence from the beneficiaries concerned where, based on their professional judgement, this is required to support robust audit conclusions.

Or. en

Amendment 1599

Tamás Deutsch

Proposal for a regulation

Article 74 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. For programmes for which the Commission concludes that the opinion of the audit authority is reliable **and the Member State concerned participates in the enhanced cooperation on the European Public Prosecutor's Office**, the

Amendment

2. For programmes for which the Commission concludes that the opinion of the audit authority is reliable, the Commission's own audits shall be limited to auditing the work of the audit authority.

Commission's own audits shall be limited to auditing the work of the audit authority.

Or. en

Amendment 1600

Tamás Deutsch

Proposal for a regulation

Article 74 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Operations for which the total eligible expenditure does not exceed EUR 400 000 for the ERDF and the Cohesion Fund, EUR 300 000 for the ESF+, EUR 200 000 for the EMFF, ***the AMIF, the ISF and the BMVI*** shall not be subject to more than one audit by either the audit authority or the Commission prior to the submission of the accounts for the accounting year in which the operation is completed.

Amendment

Operations for which the total eligible expenditure does not exceed EUR 400 000 for the ERDF and the Cohesion Fund, EUR 300 000 for the ESF+, EUR 200 000 for the EMFF shall not be subject to more than one audit by either the audit authority or the Commission prior to the submission of the accounts for the accounting year in which the operation is completed.

Or. en

Justification

AMIF, ISF and BMVI should be excluded from the CPR regulation as many articles do not apply to them. At the same time under CPR the administrative burden of authorities dealing with the three funds would significantly be raised.

Amendment 1601

Tamás Deutsch

Proposal for a regulation

Article 74 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Notwithstanding the provisions of paragraph 3, any operation may be subject to more than one audit, if the audit authority concludes based on its professional judgment, that it is not

Amendment

deleted

possible to draw up a valid audit opinion.

Or. en

Justification

Taking into account the principle of proportionality, once an operation is audited by ECA, the AA shall not repeat the audit mission, because the ECA is higher in the audit pyramid.

Amendment 1602

Tamás Deutsch

Proposal for a regulation

Article 74 – paragraph 5 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) there is a specific ***risk of irregularity or an*** indication of fraud;

Amendment

(a) there is a specific indication of fraud;

Or. en

Justification

I feel that management and control systems functioning in category ‘1’ treat sufficiently the risk of irregularities.

Amendment 1603

Joachim Zeller, Manfred Weber

Proposal for a regulation

Article 75 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The managing authority shall carry out on-the-spot management verifications in accordance with Article 68(1) only at the level of bodies implementing the financial instrument and, in the context of guarantee funds, at the level of bodies delivering the underlying new loans.

Amendment

1. The managing authority shall carry out on-the-spot management verifications in accordance with Article 68(1) only at the level of bodies implementing the financial instrument and, in the context of guarantee funds, at the level of bodies delivering the underlying new loans. ***If the financial instrument provides control reports supporting the payment application, the managing authority does not need to carry out on-the-spot management***

verifications.

Or. en

Amendment 1604

Marc Joulaud, Maurice Ponga

Proposal for a regulation

Article 75 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The managing authority shall carry out on-the-spot management verifications in accordance with Article 68(1) only at the level of bodies implementing the financial instrument ***and, in the context of guarantee funds, at the level of bodies delivering the underlying new loans.***

Amendment

1. The managing authority shall carry out on-the-spot management verifications in accordance with Article 68(1) only at the level of bodies implementing the financial instrument .

Or. en

Justification

The Commission proposal to make systematic controls at the level of banks in the context of guarantee funds may be counter-productive.

Amendment 1605

Norica Nicolai

Proposal for a regulation

Article 75 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

The managing authority shall not carry out on-the-spot verifications at the level of the European Investment Bank ('EIB') or other international financial institutions in which a Member State is a shareholder.

Amendment

The managing authority shall not carry out on-the-spot verifications at the level of the European Investment Bank ('EIB'), ***European Investment Fund*** or other international financial institutions in which a Member State is a shareholder.

Or. en

Amendment 1606
Norica Nicolai, Ivan Jakovčić

Proposal for a regulation
Article 75 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

However, the EIB or other internationally financial institutions in which a Member State is a shareholder shall provide control reports supporting the payment applications to the managing authority.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1607
Joachim Zeller, Manfred Weber

Proposal for a regulation
Article 75 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. The audit authority shall carry out system audits and audits of operations in accordance with Articles 71, 73 or 77 at the level of bodies implementing the financial instrument and, in the context of guarantee funds, at the level of bodies delivering the underlying new loans.

3. The audit authority shall carry out system audits and audits of operations in accordance with Articles 71, 73 or 77 at the level of bodies implementing the financial instrument and, in the context of guarantee funds, at the level of bodies delivering the underlying new loans. ***If the financial instrument provides the audit authority with an annual audit report drawn up by their external auditors by the end of each calendar year that covers the elements included in Annex XVII., the audit authority does not need to carry out further audits.***

Or. en

Amendment 1608
Marc Joulaud, Maurice Ponga

Proposal for a regulation

Article 75 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. The audit authority shall carry out system audits and audits of operations in accordance with Articles 71, 73 or 77 at the level of bodies implementing the financial instrument ***and, in the context of guarantee funds, at the level of bodies delivering the underlying new loans.***

Amendment

3. The audit authority shall carry out system audits and audits of operations in accordance with Articles 71, 73 or 77 at the level of bodies implementing the financial instrument.

Or. en

Justification

The Commission proposal to make systematic controls at the level of banks in the context of guarantee funds may be counter-productive.

Amendment 1609

Norica Nicolai

Proposal for a regulation

Article 75 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. The audit authority shall carry out system audits and audits of operations in accordance with Articles 71, 73 or 77 at the level of bodies implementing the financial instrument and, in the context of guarantee funds, at the level of bodies delivering the underlying new loans.

Amendment

3. The audit authority shall carry out system audits and audits of operations in accordance with Articles 71, 73 or 77 at the level of bodies implementing the financial instrument and, in the context of guarantee funds, at the level of bodies delivering the underlying new loans ***or other risk-sharing instruments.***

Or. en

Amendment 1610

Norica Nicolai, Ivan Jakovčić

Proposal for a regulation

Article 75 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The audit authority shall not carry out audits at the level of the EIB or other international financial institutions in which a Member State is a shareholder, for financial instruments implemented by them.

The audit authority shall not carry out audits at the level of the EIB, **EIF** or other international financial institutions in which a Member State is a shareholder, for financial instruments implemented by them.

Or. en

Amendment 1611

Marc Joulaud, Maurice Ponga

Proposal for a regulation

Article 75 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4a. In the context of guarantee funds, the bodies responsible for the audit of programmes may conduct verifications or audits of the bodies providing new underlying loans only when one or more of the following situations occur:

(a) supporting documents, providing evidence of the support from the financial instrument to final recipients, are not available at the level of the managing authority or at the level of the bodies that implement financial instruments;

(b) there is evidence that the documents available at the level of the managing authority or at the level of the bodies that implement financial instruments do not represent a true and accurate record of the support provided.

Or. en

Justification

The Commission proposal to make systematic controls at the level of banks in the context of guarantee funds may be counter-productive. It could induce the withdrawal of banks of these guarantee instruments and limit their development. The proposed wording of the new paragraph 5 is inspired from current provisions (article 37§5 of CPR).

Amendment 1612
Norica Nicolai

Proposal for a regulation
Article 75 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. The EIB or other international financial institutions shall provide to the programme authorities all the necessary documents to enable them to fulfil their obligations.

Amendment

5. The EIB, **EIF** or other international financial institutions shall provide to the programme authorities all the **reasonably** necessary documents to enable them to fulfil their obligations.

Or. en

Amendment 1613
Norica Nicolai

Proposal for a regulation
Article 75 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. The EIB or other international financial institutions shall provide to the programme authorities all the necessary documents to enable them to fulfil their obligations.

Amendment

5. The EIB, **EIF** or other international financial institutions shall provide to the programme authorities all the necessary documents to enable them to fulfil their obligations.

Or. en

Amendment 1614
Iratxe García Pérez

Proposal for a regulation
Article 76 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Without prejudice to the rules governing State aid, the managing authority shall ensure that all supporting documents related to an operation supported by the Funds are kept at the appropriate level for a **five-year** period

Amendment

1. Without prejudice to the rules governing State aid, the managing authority shall ensure that all supporting documents related to an operation supported by the Funds are kept at the appropriate level for a period **of three**

from 31 December of the *year* in which the *last payment by the managing authority to the beneficiary is made*.

years from 31 December *following the submission* of the *accounts* in which the *expenditure of the operation is included*.

Or. en

Amendment 1615

Marc Joulaud, Maurice Ponga, Renaud Muselier

Proposal for a regulation

Article 76 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Without prejudice to the rules governing State aid, the managing authority shall ensure that all supporting documents related to an operation supported by the Funds are kept at the appropriate level for a *five-year* period from 31 December of the year in which the last payment by the managing authority to the beneficiary is made.

Amendment

1. Without prejudice to the rules governing State aid, the managing authority shall ensure that all supporting documents related to an operation supported by the Funds are kept at the appropriate level for a *three-year* period from 31 December of the year in which the last payment by the managing authority to the beneficiary is made.

Or. en

Amendment 1616

Andrey Novakov, Constanze Krehl

Proposal for a regulation

Article 76 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. The document retention period may be further reduced, proportionally to the risk profile and the size of beneficiaries, by decision of the managing authority.

Or. en

Amendment 1617

Proposal for a regulation

Article 78 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

The Member State may apply the enhanced proportionate arrangements set out in Article 77 at any time during the programming period, where the Commission has confirmed in its published annual activity reports for the last two years preceding the Member State's decision to apply the provisions of this Article, that the programme's management and control system is functioning effectively and that the total error rate for each year is below 2 %. ***When assessing the effective functioning of the programme's management and control system, the Commission shall take into account the participation of the Member State concerned in the enhanced cooperation on the European Public Prosecutor's Office.***

Amendment

The Member State may apply the enhanced proportionate arrangements set out in Article 77 at any time during the programming period, where the Commission has confirmed in its published annual activity reports for the last two years preceding the Member State's decision to apply the provisions of this Article, that the programme's management and control system is functioning effectively and that the total error rate for each year is below 2 %.

Or. en

Justification

I do not agree with this condition.

Amendment 1618

Marc Joulaud, Maurice Ponga, Renaud Muselier

Proposal for a regulation

Article 78 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

The Member State may apply the enhanced proportionate arrangements set out in Article 77 at any time during the programming period, where the Commission has confirmed in its published annual activity reports for the last two years preceding the Member State's

Amendment

The Member State may apply the enhanced proportionate arrangements set out in Article 77 at any time during the programming period, where the Commission has confirmed in its published annual activity reports for the last two years preceding the Member State's

decision to apply the provisions of this Article, that the programme's management and control system is functioning effectively and that the total error rate for each year is below 2 %. When assessing the effective functioning of the programme's management and control system, the Commission shall take into account the participation of the Member State concerned in the enhanced cooperation on the European Public Prosecutor's Office.

decision to apply the provisions of this Article, that the programme's management and control system is functioning effectively and that the total error rate for each year is below 5 %. When assessing the effective functioning of the programme's management and control system, the Commission shall take into account the participation of the Member State concerned in the enhanced cooperation on the European Public Prosecutor's Office.

Or. en

Amendment 1619

Marc Joulaud, Maurice Ponga

Proposal for a regulation

Article 79 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. The Commission may, after having given to the Member State the opportunity to present its observations, inform the Member State that the enhanced proportionate arrangements set out in Article 77 shall no longer be applied.

Amendment

3. The Commission may, after having given to the Member State the opportunity to present its observations, inform the Member State that the enhanced proportionate arrangements set out in Article 77 shall no longer be applied *as from the subsequent accounting period*.

Or. en

Justification

The aim is to clarify that the changes shall apply as from the subsequent accounting period in order not to cause difficulties and complexity.

Amendment 1620

Rosa D'Amato, Isabella Adinolfi, Marco Zullo

Proposal for a regulation

Article 80 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 80 a

*Exclusion of investments from the
assessment of Member States' fiscal
position*

*Investments co-financed by the ERDF,
ESF+, EMFF, EAFRD, and Cohesion
Fund, shall be qualified as eligible
investments for the application of the
flexibility clause and shall be excluded
from the assessment of the Member
States' fiscal position under either Article
5 of Council Regulation (EC) No 1466/97
or Article 2 of Council Regulation (EC)
No 1467/97.*

Or. en

Amendment 1621

Victor Boştinaru, Maria Gabriela Zoană

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

The pre-financing for each Fund shall be paid in yearly instalments before 1 July of each year, *subject to availability of funds*, as follows:

Amendment

The pre-financing for each Fund shall be paid in yearly instalments before 1 July of each year, as follows:

Or. en

Amendment 1622

Iskra Mihaylova

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) 2021: **0.5** %;

Amendment

(a) 2021: **1** %;

Or. en

Amendment 1623

Stanislav Polčák

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) 2021: **0.5** %;

(a) 2021: **2.5** %;

Or. en

Amendment 1624

Tamás Deutsch

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) 2021: **0.5** %;

(a) 2021: **1.5** %;

Or. en

Justification

It is important to increase the pre-financing rate over the course of the multiannual framework to take into account the increasing level of implementation over that time

Amendment 1625

Fernando Ruas, José Manuel Fernandes, Sofia Ribeiro, Maurice Ponga

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84.^o – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) 2021: **0.5** %;

(a) 2021: **1.5** %;

Or. pt

Amendment 1626

Louis-Joseph Manscour, Liliana Rodrigues, Ricardo Serrão Santos

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) 2021: **0.5** %;

(a) 2021: **2,5** %;

Or. en

Justification

Financial needs of the managing authorities are greater at the beginning of the programming period

Amendment 1627

Ivana Maletić

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) 2021: **0.5** %;

(a) 2021: **2.5** %;

Or. en

Amendment 1628

Georgi Pirinski

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) 2021: **0.5** %;

(a) 2021: **2** %;

Or. en

Amendment 1629

Iratxe García Pérez, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) 2021: **0.5** %;

(a) 2021: **1** %;

Or. es

Amendment 1630

Victor Boştinaru, Maria Gabriela Zoană

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) 2021: **0.5** %;

(a) 2021: **2** %;

Or. en

Amendment 1631

Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso, Gabriel Mato

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) 2021: **0.5** %;

(a) 2021: **1** %;

Or. es

Amendment 1632

Lambert van Nistelrooij

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) 2021: **0.5** %;

(a) 2021: **1** %;

Or. en

Amendment 1633

Tomasz Piotr Poręba, Sławomir Kłosowski

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) 2021: **0.5** %;

(a) 2021: **3** %;

Or. en

Amendment 1634

Marc Joulaud

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) 2021: **0.5** %;

(a) 2021: **2** %;

Or. en

Justification

The decrease of the levels of pre-financing increase the pressure on Region's human and budgetary resources.

Amendment 1635

Peter Simon

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) 2021: **0.5** %;

(a) 2021: **2** %;

Or. de

Justification

As pre-financing plays an important role, the available rate should be increased. This applies in particular to the initial years, as projects need sufficient liquidity during the start-up phase.

Amendment 1636

Norica Nicolai

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) 2021: **0.5** %;

(a) 2021: **2** %;

Or. en

Amendment 1637

Rosa D’Amato, Isabella Adinolfi, Marco Zullo

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) 2021: **0.5** %;

(a) 2021: **2** %;

Or. en

Amendment 1638

Younous Omarjee, Martina Michels

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) 2021: **0.5** %;

(a) 2021: **3** %;

Or. fr

Amendment 1639

Ruža Tomašić

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) 2021: **0.5** %;

(a) 2021: **2** %;

Or. hr

Amendment 1640

Monika Vana

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) 2021: **0.5** %;

(a) 2021: **1** %;

Or. en

Amendment 1641

Iskra Mihaylova

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) 2022: **0.5** %;

(b) 2022: **1** %;

Or. en

Amendment 1642

Tamás Deutsch

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) 2022: **0.5** %;

(b) 2022: **1.5** %;

Or. en

Justification

It is important to increase the pre-financing rate over the course of the multiannual framework to take into account the increasing level of implementation over that time

Amendment 1643

Ivana Maletić

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) 2022: **0.5** %;

(b) 2022: **2.5** %;

Or. en

Amendment 1644

Fernando Ruas, José Manuel Fernandes, Sofia Ribeiro, Maurice Ponga

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84.º – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) 2022: **0.5** %;

(b) 2022: **1.5** %;

Or. pt

Amendment 1645

Stanislav Polčák

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) 2022: **0.5** %;

(b) 2022: **2.5** %;

Amendment 1646

Louis-Joseph Manscour, Liliana Rodrigues, Ricardo Serrão Santos

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) 2022: **0.5** %;

(b) 2022:**2,5** %;

Or. en

Justification

Financial needs of the managing authorities are greater at the beginning of the programming period

Amendment 1647

Marc Joulaud

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) 2022: **0.5** %;

(b) 2022: **2** %;

Or. en

Justification

The decrease of the levels of pre-financing increase the pressure on Region's human and budgetary resources.

Amendment 1648

Younous Omarjee, Martina Michels

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) 2022: **0.5** %;

(b) 2022: **3** %;

Or. fr

Amendment 1649

Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso, Gabriel Mato

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) 2022: **0.5** %;

(b) 2022: **1** %;

Or. es

Amendment 1650

Rosa D’Amato, Isabella Adinolfi, Marco Zullo

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) 2022: **0.5** %;

(b) 2022: **2** %;

Or. en

Amendment 1651

Joachim Zeller

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) 2022: **0.5** %;

(b) 2022: **2** %;

Or. en

Amendment 1652

Tomasz Piotr Poręba, Sławomir Kłosowski

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) 2022: **0.5** %;

(b) 2022: **3** %;

Or. en

Amendment 1653

Peter Simon

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) 2022: **0.5** %;

(b) 2022: **2** %;

Or. de

Justification

As pre-financing plays an important role, the available rate should be increased. This applies in particular to the initial years, as projects need sufficient liquidity during the start-up phase.

Amendment 1654

Ruža Tomašić

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) 2022: **0.5** %;

(b) 2022: **2** %;

Or. hr

Amendment 1655

Monika Vana

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) 2022: **0.5** %;

(b) 2022: **1** %;

Or. en

Amendment 1656

Iratxe García Pérez, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) 2022: **0.5** %;

(b) 2022: **1** %;

Or. es

Amendment 1657

Lambert van Nistelrooij

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) 2022: **0.5** %;

(b) 2022: **1** %;

Or. en

Amendment 1658

Victor Boştinaru, Maria Gabriela Zoană

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) 2022: **0.5** %;

(b) 2022: **2** %;

Or. en

Amendment 1659

Norica Nicolai

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) 2022: **0.5** %;

(b) 2022: **2** %;

Or. en

Amendment 1660

Georgi Pirinski

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) 2022: **0.5** %;

(b) 2022: **2** %;

Or. en

Amendment 1661

Iskra Mihaylova

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) 2023: **0.5** %;

(c) 2023: **1** %;

Or. en

Amendment 1662

Joachim Zeller

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) 2023: **0.5** %;

(c) 2023: **2,625** %;

Or. en

Amendment 1663

Fernando Ruas, José Manuel Fernandes, Sofia Ribeiro, Maurice Ponga

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84.º – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) 2023: **0.5** %;

(c) 2023: **1.5** %;

Or. pt

Amendment 1664

Louis-Joseph Manscour, Liliana Rodrigues, Ricardo Serrão Santos

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) 2023: **0.5** %;

(c) 2023: **2,5** %;

Or. en

Justification

Financial needs of the managing authorities are greater at the beginning of the programming period

Amendment 1665

Ivana Maletić

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) 2023: **0.5** %;

(c) 2023: **1.5** %;

Or. en

Amendment 1666

Stanislav Polčák

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) 2023: **0.5** %;

(c) 2023: **2.5** %;

Or. en

Amendment 1667

Ruža Tomašić

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) 2023: **0.5** %;

(c) 2023: **2** %;

Or. hr

Amendment 1668

Tamás Deutsch

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) 2023: **0.5** %;

(c) 2023: **2** %;

Or. en

Justification

It is important to increase the pre-financing rate over the course of the multiannual framework to take into account the increasing level of implementation over that time

Amendment 1669

Monika Vana

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) 2023: **0.5** %;

(c) 2023: **1** %;

Or. en

Amendment 1670

Iratxe García Pérez, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) 2023: **0.5** %;

(c) 2023: **3** %;

Or. es

Amendment 1671

Georgi Pirinski

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) 2023: **0.5** %;

(c) 2023: **2** %;

Or. en

Amendment 1672

Tomasz Piotr Poręba, Sławomir Kłosowski

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) 2023: **0.5** %;

(c) 2023: **3** %;

Or. en

Amendment 1673

Victor Boştinaru, Maria Gabriela Zoană

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) 2023: **0.5** %;

(c) 2023: **2** %;

Or. en

Amendment 1674

Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso, Gabriel Mato

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) 2023: **0.5** %;

(c) 2023: **3** %;

Or. es

Amendment 1675

Rosa D’Amato, Isabella Adinolfi, Marco Zullo

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) 2023: **0.5** %;

(c) 2023: **2** %;

Or. en

Amendment 1676

Marc Joulaud

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) 2023: **0.5** %;

(c) 2023: **2** %;

Or. en

Justification

The decrease of the levels of pre-financing increase the pressure on Region's human and budgetary resources.

Amendment 1677

Lambert van Nistelrooij

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) 2023: **0.5** %;

(c) 2023: **2** %;

Or. en

Amendment 1678

Norica Nicolai

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) 2023: **0.5** %;

(c) 2023: **2** %;

Amendment 1679

Younous Omarjee, Martina Michels

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) 2023: **0.5** %;

(c) 2023: **3** %;

Or. fr

Amendment 1680

Iskra Mihaylova

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d) 2024: **0.5** %;

(d) 2024: **1** %;

Or. en

Amendment 1681

Iratxe García Pérez, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d) 2024: **0.5** %;

(d) 2024: **2.625** %;

Or. es

Amendment 1682

Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso, Gabriel Mato

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d) 2024: **0.5** %;

(d) 2024: **2.625** %;

Or. es

Amendment 1683

Joachim Zeller

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d) 2024: **0.5** %;

(d) 2024: **2,75** %;

Or. en

Amendment 1684

Ivana Maletić

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d) 2024: **0.5** %;

(d) 2024: **1.5** %;

Or. en

Amendment 1685

Peter Simon

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d) 2024: **0.5** %;

(d) 2024: **1.5** %;

Or. de

Justification

As pre-financing plays an important role, the available rate should be increased. This applies in particular to the initial years, as projects need sufficient liquidity during the start-up phase.

Amendment 1686

Lambert van Nistelrooij

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d) 2024: **0.5** %;

(d) 2024: **2,5** %;

Or. en

Amendment 1687

Younous Omarjee, Martina Michels

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d) 2024: **0.5** %;

(d) 2024: **3** %;

Or. fr

Amendment 1688

Rosa D'Amato, Isabella Adinolfi, Marco Zullo

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d) 2024: **0.5** %;

(d) 2024: **2** %;

Or. en

Amendment 1689

Stanislav Polčák

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d) 2024: **0.5** %;

(d) 2024: **2** %;

Or. en

Amendment 1690

Tomasz Piotr Poręba, Sławomir Kłosowski

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d) 2024: **0.5** %;

(d) 2024: **3** %;

Or. en

Amendment 1691

Norica Nicolai

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d) 2024: **0.5** %;

(d) 2024: **2** %;

Or. en

Amendment 1692

Georgi Pirinski

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d) 2024: **0.5** %;

(d) 2024: **2** %;

Or. en

Amendment 1693

Norica Nicolai

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d) 2024: **0.5** %;

(d) 2024: **2** %;

Or. en

Amendment 1694

Fernando Ruas, José Manuel Fernandes, Sofia Ribeiro, Maurice Ponga

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84.^o – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d) 2024: **0.5** %;

(d) 2024: **3** %;

Or. pt

Amendment 1695

Monika Vana

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d) 2024: **0.5** %;

(d) 2024: **1** %;

Or. en

Amendment 1696

Marc Joulaud

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d) 2024: **0.5** %;

(d) 2024: **2** %;

Or. en

Justification

The decrease of the levels of pre-financing increase the pressure on Region's human and budgetary resources.

Amendment 1697

Victor Boştinaru, Maria Gabriela Zoană

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d) 2024: **0.5** %;

(d) 2024: **2** %;

Or. en

Amendment 1698

Tamás Deutsch

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d) 2024: **0.5** %;

(d) 2024: **2** %;

Or. en

Justification

It is important to increase the pre-financing rate over the course of the multiannual framework to take into account the increasing level of implementation over that time

Amendment 1699

Louis-Joseph Manscour, Liliana Rodrigues, Ricardo Serrão Santos

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d) 2024: **0.5** %;

(d) 2024: **2**%;

Or. en

Justification

Financial needs of the managing authorities are greater at the beginning of the programming period

Amendment 1700

Iskra Mihaylova

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e) 2025: **0.5** %;

(e) 2025: **1** %;

Or. en

Amendment 1701

Louis-Joseph Manscour, Liliana Rodrigues, Ricardo Serrão Santos

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e) 2025: **0.5** %;

(e) 2025: **2** %;

Or. en

Justification

Financial needs of the managing authorities are greater at the beginning of the programming period

Amendment 1702

Joachim Zeller

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e) 2025: **0.5** %;

(e) 2025: **2,875** %;

Or. en

Amendment 1703

Lambert van Nistelrooij

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e) 2025: **0.5** %;

(e) 2025: **2,75** %;

Or. en

Amendment 1704

Iratxe García Pérez, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e) 2025: **0.5** %;

(e) 2025: **2.75** %;

Or. es

Amendment 1705

Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso, Gabriel Mato

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e) 2025: **0.5** %;

(e) 2025: **2.75** %;

Or. es

Amendment 1706

Tamás Deutsch

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e) 2025: **0.5** %;

(e) 2025: **2.5** %;

Or. en

Justification

It is important to increase the pre-financing rate over the course of the multiannual framework to take into account the increasing level of implementation over that time

Amendment 1707

Ivana Maletić

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e) 2025: **0.5** %;

(e) 2025: **1.5** %;

Or. en

Amendment 1708

Marc Joulaud

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e) 2025: **0.5** %;

(e) 2025: **2** %;

Or. en

Justification

The decrease of the levels of pre-financing increase the pressure on Region's human and budgetary resources.

Amendment 1709

Norica Nicolai

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e) 2025: **0.5** %;

(e) 2025: **2** %;

Or. en

Amendment 1710

Younous Omarjee, Martina Michels

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e) 2025: **0.5** %;

(e) 2025; **3** %;

Or. fr

Amendment 1711

Monika Vana

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e) 2025: **0.5** %;

(e) 2025: **1** %;

Amendment 1712

Peter Simon

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e) 2025: **0.5** %;

(e) 2025: **1** %;

Or. de

Justification

As pre-financing plays an important role, the available rate should be increased. This applies in particular to the initial years, as projects need sufficient liquidity during the start-up phase.

Amendment 1713

Stanislav Polčák

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e) 2025: **0.5** %;

(e) 2025: **2** %;

Or. en

Amendment 1714

Victor Boştinaru, Maria Gabriela Zoană

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e) 2025: **0.5** %;

(e) 2025: **2** %;

Or. en

Amendment 1715

Tomasz Piotr Poręba, Sławomir Kłosowski

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e) 2025: **0.5** %;

(e) 2025: **3** %;

Or. en

Amendment 1716

Fernando Ruas, José Manuel Fernandes, Sofia Ribeiro, Maurice Ponga

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84.º – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e) 2025: **0.5** %;

(e) 2025: **3** %;

Or. pt

Amendment 1717

Rosa D’Amato, Isabella Adinolfi, Marco Zullo

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e) 2025: **0.5** %;

(e) 2025: **2** %;

Or. en

Amendment 1718

Georgi Pirinski

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e) 2025: **0.5** %;

(e) 2025: **2** %;

Or. en

Amendment 1719

Iskra Mihaylova

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(f) 2026: **0.5**

(f) 2026: **1** %

Or. en

Amendment 1720

Iratxe García Pérez, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(f) 2026: **0.5** %

(f) 2026: **2.875** %

Or. es

Amendment 1721

Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso, Gabriel Mato

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(f) 2026: **0.5** %

(f) 2026: **2.875** %

Or. es

Amendment 1722

Ivana Maletić

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(f) 2026: **0.5** %

(f) 2026: **1.5** %

Or. en

Amendment 1723

Georgi Pirinski

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(f) 2026: **0.5** %

(f) 2026: **2** %

Or. en

Amendment 1724

Joachim Zeller

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(f) 2026: **0.5** %

(f) 2026: **3** %

Or. en

Amendment 1725

Stanislav Polčák

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(f) 2026: **0.5** %

(f) 2026: **2** %

Or. en

Amendment 1726

Monika Vana

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(f) 2026: **0.5** %

(f) 2026: **1** %

Or. en

Amendment 1727

Tamás Deutsch

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(f) 2026: **0.5**

(f) 2026: **2.5** %

Or. en

Justification

It is important to increase the pre-financing rate over the course of the multiannual framework to take into account the increasing level of implementation over that time

Amendment 1728

Louis-Joseph Manscour, Liliana Rodrigues, Ricardo Serrão Santos

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(f) 2026: **0.5**

(f) 2026: **1,5** %

Justification

Financial needs of the managing authorities are greater at the beginning of the programming period

Amendment 1729

Rosa D’Amato, Isabella Adinolfi, Marco Zullo

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(f) 2026: **0.5**

(f) 2026: **2 %**

Or. en

Amendment 1730

Younous Omarjee, Martina Michels

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(f) 2026: **0.5 %**

(f) 2026: **3 %**

Or. fr

Amendment 1731

Norica Nicolai

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(f) 2026: **0.5**

(f) 2026: **2 %**

Or. en

Amendment 1732

Marc Joulaud

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(f) 2026: **0.5**

(f) 2026: **2 %**

Or. en

Justification

The decrease of the levels of pre-financing increase the pressure on Region's human and budgetary resources.

Amendment 1733

Tomasz Piotr Poręba, Sławomir Kłosowski

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(f) 2026: **0.5**

(f) 2026: **3 %**

Or. en

Amendment 1734

Fernando Ruas, José Manuel Fernandes, Sofia Ribeiro, Maurice Ponga

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84.º – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(f) 2026: **0.5 %**

(f) 2026: **3%;**

Or. pt

Amendment 1735

Lambert van Nistelrooij

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(f) 2026: **0.5**

(f) 2026: **3 %**

Or. en

Amendment 1736

Victor Boştinaru, Maria Gabriela Zoană

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(f) 2026: **0.5**

(f) 2026: **2 %**

Or. en

Amendment 1737

Iratxe García Pérez, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point f a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(fa) 2027-2029: 3 %

Or. es

Amendment 1738

Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso, Gabriel Mato

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point f a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(fa) 2027-2029: 3 %

Amendment 1739

Fernando Ruas, José Manuel Fernandes, Sofia Ribeiro, Maurice Ponga

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84.º – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point f a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(fa) 2027-2030: 3%

Or. pt

Amendment 1740

Stanislav Polčák

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point f a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(fa) 2027: 2 %

Or. en

Amendment 1741

Stanislav Polčák

Proposal for a regulation

Article 84 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5. Any interest generated by the pre-financing shall be used for the programme concerned in the same way as the Funds and shall be included in the accounts for the final accounting year. *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 1742
Krzysztof Hetman

Proposal for a regulation
Article 85 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

The *Member State* shall submit *a maximum of four* payment applications per programme, per Fund and per accounting year. *Every year the time limit for each payment application shall be 30 April, 31 July, 31 October and 26 December.*

Amendment

The *managing authority* shall submit payment applications per programme, per Fund and per accounting year.

Or. en

Amendment 1743
Tamás Deutsch

Proposal for a regulation
Article 85 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

The *Member State* shall submit *a maximum of four payment applications per programme, per Fund and per accounting year. Every year the time limit for each* payment application shall be *30 April, 31 July, 31 October and 26 December.*

Amendment

The payment application *for the accounting year that has ended on 30 June* shall be *submitted by 31 July of the same calendar year.*

Or. en

Justification

the frequency of submitting payment claims to the Commission is too low, which combined with small pre-financing amounts will increase the risk of decommitment, impede making payments to beneficiaries on time and will make difficult to maintain liquidity of accounts of the EU funds. In addition, financial services of the EC will receive a lot of documents in a short time, which may cause accumulation of tasks. There should not be any limitation on the frequency of submitting payment claims to the Commission.

Amendment 1744

Laurențiu Rebega

Proposal for a regulation

Article 85 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

The Member State shall submit a maximum of **four** payment applications per programme, per Fund and per accounting year. Every year the time limit for each payment application shall be 30 April, 31 July, 31 October and 26 December.

Amendment

The Member State shall submit a maximum of **six** payment applications per programme, per Fund and per accounting year. Every year the time limit for each payment application shall be 30 April, 31 July, 31 October and 26 December.

Or. ro

Amendment 1745

Krzysztof Hetman

Proposal for a regulation

Article 85 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

The last payment application submitted by 31 July shall be deemed to be the final payment application for the accounting year that has ended 30 June.

deleted

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 1746

Tamás Deutsch

Proposal for a regulation

Article 85 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

The last payment application submitted by 31 July shall be deemed to be the final payment application for the accounting year that has ended 30 June.

deleted

Amendment

Or. en

Justification

the frequency of submitting payment claims to the Commission is too low, which combined with small pre-financing amounts will increase the risk of decommitment, impede making payments to beneficiaries on time and will make difficult to maintain liquidity of accounts of the EU funds. In addition, financial services of the EC will receive a lot of documents in a short time, which may cause accumulation of tasks. There should not be any limitation on the frequency of submitting payment claims to the Commission.

Amendment 1747

Ivana Maletić

Proposal for a regulation

Article 85 – paragraph 3 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) the amount for technical assistance calculated in accordance with Article 31(2);

Amendment

(b) the amount for technical assistance calculated in accordance with Article **31(1) and (2)**;

Or. en

Amendment 1748

Tamás Deutsch

Proposal for a regulation

Article 85 – paragraph 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3a. By way of derogation from paragraph 3, in the case of state aid, the payment application may include advances paid to the beneficiary by the body granting the aid under the following cumulative conditions:

(a) those advances are subject to a guarantee provided by a bank or other financial institution established in the Member State or covered by a facility provided as a guarantee by a public entity or by the Member State;

(b) those advances do not exceed 40% of the total amount of the aid to be granted

to a beneficiary for a given operation;
(c) those advances are covered by expenditure paid by beneficiaries in implementing the operation and supported by receipted invoices or accounting documents of equivalent probative value at the latest within three years following the year of the payment of the advance or by 31 December 2030, whichever is earlier, failing which the next payment application shall be corrected accordingly.

Or. en

Justification

The introduction of a more restrictive decommitment rule (n+2) entails a considerable risk for the programmes in case the negotiations on the new legislative proposals are delayed and thus the start of the next programming period is hampered. The current n+3 rule should be preserved as it provides sufficient time whereby higher quality in the selection of projects could be ensured, especially in the initial phase of programme implementation. In addition, some types of investments, e.g. in basic infrastructure, are complex and require more time for preparation and implementation, which often exceed the 2-year period. In the case of State aid, the payment application should include advances paid to the beneficiary by the body granting the aid if conditions are guaranteed. This is the rule for the 2014-2020 programming period, removing this would make it even harder to reach the commitment goals.

Amendment 1749
Daniel Buda, Iuliu Winkler

Proposal for a regulation
Article 85 – paragraph 4 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ca) in the case of state aid, the payment application may include advances paid to the beneficiary by the body granting the aid under the condition that those advances do not exceed 40 % of the total amount of the aid to be granted to a beneficiary for a given operation.

Or. en

Justification

*The current CPR provides, in Article 131(4)(a), the possibility of paying advances up to 40%
This option should be maintained in the new CPR.*

Amendment 1750

Georgi Pirinski

Proposal for a regulation

Article 85 – paragraph 4 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ca) in the case of state aid, the payment application may include advances paid to the beneficiary by the body granting the aid under the condition that those advances do not exceed 40 % of the total amount of the aid to be granted to a beneficiary for a given operation.

Or. en

Justification

*The current CPR provides, in Article 131(4)(a), the possibility of paying advances up to 40%
This option should be maintained in the new CPR.*

Amendment 1751

Joachim Zeller

Proposal for a regulation

Article 85 – paragraph 4 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ca) in the case of state aid, the payment application may include advances paid to the beneficiary by the body granting the aid under the condition that those advances do not exceed 40% of the total amount of the aid to be granted to a beneficiary for a given operation.

Or. en

Amendment 1752

Krzysztof Hetman

Proposal for a regulation

Article 86 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Where financial instruments are implemented in accordance with Article 53(3), payment applications *that* include expenditure for financial instruments *shall be submitted in accordance with the following conditions:*

Amendment

2. Where financial instruments are implemented in accordance with Article 53(2), payment applications include expenditure for *the establishment of* financial instruments *or contribution to them.*

Or. en

Amendment 1753

Norica Nicolai

Proposal for a regulation

Article 86 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Where financial instruments are implemented in accordance with Article 53(3), payment applications that include expenditure for financial instruments shall be submitted in accordance with the following conditions:

Amendment

2. Where financial instruments are implemented in accordance with Article 53(2), payment applications that include expenditure for financial instruments shall be submitted in accordance with the following conditions:

Or. en

Amendment 1754

Krzysztof Hetman

Proposal for a regulation

Article 86 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the amount included in the first payment application shall have been paid

Amendment

deleted

to the financial instruments and may be up to 25 % of the total amount of programme contributions committed to the financial instruments under the relevant funding agreement, in accordance with the relevant priority and category of region, if applicable;

Or. en

Amendment 1755
Norica Nicolai

Proposal for a regulation
Article 86 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the amount included in the first payment application ***shall have been*** paid to the financial instruments and may be up to 25 % of the total amount of programme contributions committed to the financial instruments under the relevant funding agreement, in accordance with the relevant priority and category of region, if applicable;

Amendment

(a) the amount included in the first payment application ***expected to be*** paid to the financial instruments and may be up to 25 % of the total amount of programme contributions committed to the financial instruments under the relevant funding agreement, in accordance with the relevant priority and category of region, if applicable;

Or. en

Amendment 1756
Krzysztof Hetman

Proposal for a regulation
Article 86 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) ***the amount included in subsequent payment applications submitted during the eligibility period shall include the eligible expenditure as referred to in Article 62(1).***

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1757

Krzysztof Hetman

Proposal for a regulation

Article 86 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The amount included in the first payment application, referred to in point (a) of paragraph 2, shall be cleared from Commission accounts no later than the final accounting year. *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 1758

Krzysztof Hetman

Proposal for a regulation

Article 86 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

It shall be disclosed separately in payment applications. *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 1759

Victor Boștinăru, Maria Gabriela Zoană

Proposal for a regulation

Article 87 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. *Subject to available funding*, the Commission shall make interim payments no later than 60 days after the date on which a payment application is received by the Commission.

1. The Commission shall make interim payments no later than 60 days after the date on which a payment application is received by the Commission.

Amendment 1760

Norica Nicolai

Proposal for a regulation

Article 88 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 2 – point a – point ii

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ii) verified historical data;

(ii) verified historical data **where applicable**;

Or. en

Amendment 1761

Csaba Molnár

Proposal for a regulation

Article 88 – paragraph 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3a. The option to reimburse the Union contribution on the basis of unit costs, lump sums and flat rates shall not apply to operations where the beneficiary is a national government body in a Member State that does not satisfy the criterion in Article 78(1).

Or. en

Justification

The national authorities of Member States that do not operate effectively functioning management and control systems should be required to give detailed account of the use of all resources that they are investing within their direct responsibility.

At the same time, operations benefiting regional or local authorities, economic operators or civil society should not be affected.

Amendment 1762

Csaba Molnár

Proposal for a regulation
Article 89 – paragraph 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3a. The option to make use of a Union contribution based on financing not linked to costs shall not apply to operations where the main beneficiary is a national government body in a Member State that does not satisfy the criterion in Article 78(1).

Or. en

Justification

The national authorities of Member States that do not operate effectively functioning management and control systems should be required to give detailed account of the use of all resources that they are investing within their direct responsibility.

At the same time, regional or local authorities, economic operators or civil society should be able to benefit from the full range of simplification measures.

Amendment 1763
Laurențiu Rebega

Proposal for a regulation
Article 90 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) there is **evidence to suggest** a serious deficiency and for which corrective measures have not been taken;

(a) there is a serious deficiency and **one** for which corrective measures have not been taken;

Or. ro

Amendment 1764
Daniel Buda, Iuliu Winkler

Proposal for a regulation
Article 90 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) there is ***evidence to suggest*** a serious deficiency and for which corrective measures have not been taken;

(a) there is a serious deficiency and for which corrective measures have not been taken;

Or. en

Amendment 1765

Younous Omarjee, Martina Michels

Proposal for a regulation

Article 91 – paragraph 1 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ba) serious suspicions that funds have been allocated for purposes not consistent with the general interest;

Or. fr

Amendment 1766

Rosa D’Amato, Isabella Adinolfi, Marco Zullo

Proposal for a regulation

Article 91 – paragraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d) there is a reasoned opinion by the Commission in respect of an infringement under Article 258 of the TFEU that puts at risk the legality and regularity of expenditure

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1767

Laurențiu Rebega

Proposal for a regulation

Article 91 – paragraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d) *there is a reasoned opinion by the Commission in respect of an infringement under Article 258 of the TFEU that puts at risk the legality and regularity of expenditure* *deleted*

Or. ro

Amendment 1768

Monika Vana

Proposal for a regulation

Article 91 – paragraph 1 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e) *the Member State has failed to take the necessary action in accordance with Article 15(6).* *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 1769

Rosa D’Amato, Isabella Adinolfi, Marco Zullo

Proposal for a regulation

Article 91 – paragraph 1 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e) *the Member State has failed to take the necessary action in accordance with Article 15(6).* *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 1770

Victor Boştinaru, Maria Gabriela Zoană

Proposal for a regulation

Article 91 – paragraph 1 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e) the Member State has failed to take the necessary action in accordance with Article 15(6).

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1771

Georgi Pirinski

Proposal for a regulation

Article 91 – paragraph 1 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e) the Member State has failed to take the necessary action in accordance with Article 15(6).

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1772

Ivan Jakovčić

Proposal for a regulation

Article 91 – paragraph 1 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e) the Member State has failed to take the necessary action in accordance with Article 15(6).

deleted

Or. hr

Amendment 1773

Norica Nicolai

Proposal for a regulation

Article 91 – paragraph 1 a (new)

1a. The Commission shall ensure it has a coherent procedure which is similarly applied to all Member States.

Or. en

Amendment 1774

Monika Vana

Proposal for a regulation

Article 91 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 91 a

Suspension of shared management

1. The Commission shall suspend a Member State's arrangements for implementation tasks delegated to Member States for budget implementation under shared management referred to in Article 62(1)(b) of Regulation (EU, Euratom) [new Financial Regulation] of the European Parliament and of the Council (the 'Financial Regulation'), in case generalised deficiencies as regards the rule of law in that Member State have been established in accordance with [Article 5 of the Regulation on the protection of the Union's budget in case of generalised deficiencies as regards the rule of law in the Member States]. Implementation tasks concerned shall be managed directly by the Commission as referred to in Article 62(1)(a) of the Financial Regulation.

2. The Commission shall end the suspension of shared management once the generalised deficiencies as regards the rule of law cease to exist in full.

3. The Commission shall immediately inform the European Parliament and the Council of any action taken pursuant to

paragraph 1.

Or. en

Amendment 1775

Marc Joulaud, Maurice Ponga, Renaud Muselier

Proposal for a regulation

Article 92 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The accounts shall not be admissible if Member States have not undertaken the necessary corrections to reduce the residual risk on the legality and regularity of the expenditure included in the accounts to less than **2** %.

Amendment

4. The accounts shall not be admissible if Member States have not undertaken the necessary corrections to reduce the residual risk on the legality and regularity of the expenditure included in the accounts to less than **5** %.

Or. en

Amendment 1776

Marc Joulaud, Maurice Ponga, Renaud Muselier

Proposal for a regulation

Article 92 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) other amounts as necessary to reduce to **2** % the residual error rate of the expenditure declared in the accounts.

Amendment

(c) other amounts as necessary to reduce to **5** % the residual error rate of the expenditure declared in the accounts.

Or. en

Amendment 1777

Tamás Deutsch

Proposal for a regulation

Article 92 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

7. As part of the assurance package, the Member State shall submit for the last accounting year the final performance report referred to in Article 38 or the last annual implementation report for the EMFF, *the AMIF, the ISF and the BMVI*.

7. As part of the assurance package, the Member State shall submit for the last accounting year the final performance report referred to in Article 38 or the last annual implementation report for the EMFF.

Or. en

Amendment 1778

Norica Nicolai, Ivan Jakovčić

Proposal for a regulation

Article 97 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1a. Where a programme is subject to financial correction, corrections shall not be applied to beneficiaries which have implemented the projects without errors.

Or. en

Amendment 1779

Norica Nicolai

Proposal for a regulation

Article 97 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The bodies implementing financial instrument shall reimburse to Member States programme contributions ***affected by*** irregularities, together with interest and any other gains generated by those contributions.

The bodies implementing financial instrument shall reimburse to Member States programme contributions ***cancelled as a result of*** irregularities, together with interest and any other gains generated by those contributions.

Or. en

Amendment 1780

Norica Nicolai

Proposal for a regulation

Article 97 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The bodies implementing financial instruments shall not reimburse to Member States the amounts referred to in the first subparagraph ***provided that those bodies demonstrate for a given irregularity that the following cumulative conditions are fulfilled:***

The bodies implementing financial instruments shall not reimburse to Member States the amounts referred to in the first subparagraph ***if the irregularity occurred at a different level and was outside of their control.***

Or. en

Amendment 1781

Norica Nicolai

Proposal for a regulation

Article 97 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) the irregularity occurred at the level of final recipients or, in the case of a holding fund, at the level of bodies implementing specific funds or final recipients;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1782

Norica Nicolai

Proposal for a regulation

Article 97 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) the bodies implementing financial instruments performed their obligations, in relation to the programme contributions affected by the irregularity, in accordance with applicable law and acted with the degree of professional care,

deleted

*transparency and diligence expected from
a professional body experienced in
implementing financial instruments;*

Or. en

Amendment 1783

Norica Nicolai

Proposal for a regulation

Article 97 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

*(c) the amounts affected by the
irregularity could not be recovered
notwithstanding that the bodies
implementing financial instruments
pursued all applicable contractual and
legal measures with due diligence.*

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1784

Krzysztof Hetman

Proposal for a regulation

Article 99 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. The Commission shall decommit any amount in a programme which has not been used for pre-financing in accordance with Article 84 or for which a payment application has not been submitted in accordance with Articles 85 and 86 by **26** December of the *second* calendar year following the year of the budget commitments for the years 2021 to 2026.

1. The Commission shall decommit any amount in a programme which has not been used for pre-financing in accordance with Article 84 or for which a payment application has not been submitted in accordance with Articles 85 and 86 by **31** December of the *third* calendar year following the year of the budget commitments for the years 2021 to 2026.
In the event of payment stops on a legal or precautionary basis, an extension shall also be applied.

Or. en

Amendment 1785

Joachim Zeller

Proposal for a regulation

Article 99 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The Commission shall decommit any amount in a programme which has not been used for pre-financing in accordance with Article 84 or for which a payment application has not been submitted in accordance with Articles 85 and 86 by 26 December of the ***second*** calendar year following the year of the budget commitments for the years 2021 to 2026.

Amendment

1. The Commission shall decommit any amount in a programme which has not been used for pre-financing in accordance with Article 84 or for which a payment application has not been submitted in accordance with Articles 85 and 86 by 26 December of the ***third*** calendar year following the year of the budget commitments for the years 2021 to 2026.
In the event of payment stops on a legal or precautionary basis, an extension shall also be applied.

Or. en

Amendment 1786

Rosa D'Amato, Isabella Adinolfi, Marco Zullo

Proposal for a regulation

Article 99 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The Commission shall decommit any amount in a programme which has not been used for pre-financing in accordance with Article 84 or for which a payment application has not been submitted in accordance with Articles 85 and 86 by 26 December of the ***second*** calendar year following the year of the budget commitments for the years 2021 to 2026.

Amendment

1. The Commission shall decommit any amount in a programme which has not been used for pre-financing in accordance with Article 84 or for which a payment application has not been submitted in accordance with Articles 85 and 86 by 26 December of the ***third*** calendar year following the year of the budget commitments for the years 2021 to 2026.
In the event of payment stops on a legal or precautionary basis, an extension shall also be applied.

Or. en

Justification

The decommitment rule should remain at n+3, considering the difficulties of many Local and Regional Authorities in providing the sufficient co-financing

Amendment 1787

Daniel Buda, Iuliu Winkler

Proposal for a regulation Article 99 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The Commission shall decommit any amount in a programme which has not been used for pre-financing in accordance with Article 84 or for which a payment application has not been submitted in accordance with Articles 85 and 86 by 26 December of the *second* calendar year following the year of the budget commitments for the years 2021 to 2026.

Amendment

1. The Commission shall decommit any amount in a programme which has not been used for pre-financing in accordance with Article 84 or for which a payment application has not been submitted in accordance with Articles 85 and 86 by 26 December of the *third* calendar year following the year of the budget commitments for the years 2021 to 2026.
In the event of payment stops on a legal or precautionary basis, an extension shall also be applied.

Or. en

Justification

The decommitment rules should not be shortened, but remain at n+3, given the current difficulties of many LRAs in providing the sufficient co-financing

Amendment 1788

Younous Omarjee, Martina Michels

Proposal for a regulation Article 99 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The Commission shall decommit any amount in a programme which has not been used for pre-financing in accordance with Article 84 or for which a payment application has not been submitted in

Amendment

1. The Commission shall decommit any amount in a programme which has not been used for pre-financing in accordance with Article 84 or for which a payment application has not been submitted in

accordance with Articles 85 and 86 by 26 December of the **second** calendar year following the year of the budget commitments for the years 2021 to 2026.

accordance with Articles 85 and 86 by 26 December of the **third** calendar year following the year of the budget commitments for the years 2021 to 2026.

Or. fr

Amendment 1789

Fernando Ruas, José Manuel Fernandes, Sofia Ribeiro, Cláudia Monteiro de Aguiar, Maurice Ponga

Proposal for a regulation Article 99.º – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The Commission shall decommit any amount in a programme which has not been used for pre-financing in accordance with Article 84 or for which a payment application has not been submitted in accordance with Articles 85 and 86 by 26 December of the **second** calendar year following the year of the budget commitments for the years 2021 to 2026.

Amendment

1. The Commission shall decommit any amount in a programme which has not been used for pre-financing in accordance with Article 84 or for which a payment application has not been submitted in accordance with Articles 85 and 86 by 26 December of the **third** calendar year following the year of the budget commitments for the years 2021 to 2026.

Or. pt

Amendment 1790

Joachim Zeller

Proposal for a regulation Article 99 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The Commission shall decommit any amount in a programme which has not been used for pre-financing in accordance with Article 84 or for which a payment application has not been submitted in accordance with Articles 85 and 86 by 26 December of the **second** calendar year following the year of the budget commitments for the years 2021 to 2026.

Amendment

1. The Commission shall decommit any amount in a programme which has not been used for pre-financing in accordance with Article 84 or for which a payment application has not been submitted in accordance with Articles 85 and 86 by 26 December of the **third** calendar year following the year of the budget commitments for the years 2021 to 2026.

Amendment 1791

Ruža Tomašić

Proposal for a regulation

Article 99 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The Commission shall decommit any amount in a programme which has not been used for pre-financing in accordance with Article 84 or for which a payment application has not been submitted in accordance with Articles 85 and 86 by **26** December of the **second** calendar year following the year of the budget commitments for the years 2021 to 2026.

Amendment

1. The Commission shall decommit any amount in a programme which has not been used for pre-financing in accordance with Article 84 or for which a payment application has not been submitted in accordance with Articles 85 and 86 by **31** December of the **third** calendar year following the year of the budget commitments for the years 2021 to 2026.

Or. hr

Amendment 1792

Peter Simon

Proposal for a regulation

Article 99 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The Commission shall decommit any amount in a programme which has not been used for pre-financing in accordance with Article 84 or for which a payment application has not been submitted in accordance with Articles 85 and 86 by 26 December of the **second** calendar year following the year of the budget commitments for the years 2021 to 2026.

Amendment

1. The Commission shall decommit any amount in a programme which has not been used for pre-financing in accordance with Article 84 or for which a payment application has not been submitted in accordance with Articles 85 and 86 by 26 December of the **third** calendar year following the year of the budget commitments for the years 2021 to 2026.

Or. de

Justification

As complex projects take time to carry out, the n+3 rule which applies during the current 2014-2020 funding period should be retained for the repayment period.

Amendment 1793

Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso, Gabriel Mato

Proposal for a regulation

Article 99 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The Commission shall decommit any amount in a programme which has not been used for pre-financing in accordance with Article 84 or for which a payment application has not been submitted in accordance with Articles 85 and 86 by 26 December of the *second* calendar year following the year of the budget commitments for the years 2021 to 2026.

Amendment

1. The Commission shall decommit any amount in a programme which has not been used for pre-financing in accordance with Article 84 or for which a payment application has not been submitted in accordance with Articles 85 and 86 by 26 December of the *third* calendar year following the year of the budget commitments for the years 2021 to 2026.

Or. es

Amendment 1794

Louis-Joseph Manscour, Liliana Rodrigues, Ricardo Serrão Santos

Proposal for a regulation

Article 99 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The Commission shall decommit any amount in a programme which has not been used for pre-financing in accordance with Article 84 or for which a payment application has not been submitted in accordance with Articles 85 and 86 by 26 December of the *second* calendar year following the year of the budget commitments for the years 2021 to 2026.

Amendment

1. The Commission shall decommit any amount in a programme which has not been used for pre-financing in accordance with Article 84 or for which a payment application has not been submitted in accordance with Articles 85 and 86 by 26 December of the *third* calendar year following the year of the budget commitments for the years 2021 to 2026.

Or. en

Amendment 1795

Iratxe García Pérez, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Proposal for a regulation
Article 99 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The Commission shall decommit any amount in a programme which has not been used for pre-financing in accordance with Article 84 or for which a payment application has not been submitted in accordance with Articles 85 and 86 by 26 December of the ***second*** calendar year following the year of the budget commitments for the years 2021 to 2026.

Amendment

1. The Commission shall decommit any amount in a programme which has not been used for pre-financing in accordance with Article 84 or for which a payment application has not been submitted in accordance with Articles 85 and 86 by 26 December of the ***third*** calendar year following the year of the budget commitments for the years 2021 to 2026.

Or. es

Amendment 1796
Martina Michels

Proposal for a regulation
Article 99 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The Commission shall decommit any amount in a programme which has not been used for pre-financing in accordance with Article 84 or for which a payment application has not been submitted in accordance with Articles 85 and 86 by 26 December of the ***second*** calendar year following the year of the budget commitments for the years 2021 to 2026.

Amendment

1. The Commission shall decommit any amount in a programme which has not been used for pre-financing in accordance with Article 84 or for which a payment application has not been submitted in accordance with Articles 85 and 86 by 26 December of the ***third*** calendar year following the year of the budget commitments for the years 2021 to 2026.

Or. en

Amendment 1797
Tomasz Piotr Poręba, Sławomir Kłosowski, Laurențiu Rebega

Proposal for a regulation
Article 99 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The Commission shall decommit

Amendment

1. The Commission shall decommit

any amount in a programme which has not been used for pre-financing in accordance with Article 84 or for which a payment application has not been submitted in accordance with Articles 85 and 86 by **26** December of the *second* calendar year following the year of the budget commitments for the years 2021 to 2026.

any amount in a programme which has not been used for pre-financing in accordance with Article 84 or for which a payment application has not been submitted in accordance with Articles 85 and 86 by **31** December of the *third* calendar year following the year of the budget commitments for the years 2021 to 2026.

Or. en

Amendment 1798

Victor Boştinaru, Maria Gabriela Zoană

Proposal for a regulation

Article 99 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The Commission shall decommit any amount in a programme which has not been used for pre-financing in accordance with Article 84 or for which a payment application has not been submitted in accordance with Articles 85 and 86 by 26 December of the *second* calendar year following the year of the budget commitments for the years 2021 to 2026.

Amendment

1. The Commission shall decommit any amount in a programme which has not been used for pre-financing in accordance with Article 84 or for which a payment application has not been submitted in accordance with Articles 85 and 86 by 26 December of the *third* calendar year following the year of the budget commitments for the years 2021 to 2026.

Or. en

Amendment 1799

Stanislav Polčák

Proposal for a regulation

Article 99 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The Commission shall decommit any amount in a programme which has not been used for pre-financing in accordance with Article 84 or for which a payment application has not been submitted in accordance with Articles 85 and 86 by 26

Amendment

1. The Commission shall decommit any amount in a programme which has not been used for pre-financing in accordance with Article 84 or for which a payment application has not been submitted in accordance with Articles 85 and 86 by 26

December of the **second** calendar year following the year of the budget commitments for the years 2021 to 2026.

December of the **third** calendar year following the year of the budget commitments for the years 2021 to 2026.

Or. en

Amendment 1800

Monika Vana

Proposal for a regulation

Article 99 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

By way of derogation, the Commission shall decommit any amount in an Interreg programme which has not been used for pre-financing or for which a payment application has not been submitted by 26 December of the third calendar year following the year of the budget commitments of the years 2021 to 2026.

Or. en

Amendment 1801

Tamás Deutsch

Proposal for a regulation

Article 99 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. The amount to be covered by pre-financing or payment applications by the time limit established in paragraph 1 concerning the budget commitment of 2021 shall be 60 % of that commitment. 10 % of the budget commitment of 2021 shall be added to each budget commitment for the years 2022 to 2025 for the purposes of calculating the amounts to be covered.

deleted

Or. en

Justification

The introduction of a more restrictive decommitment rule (n+2) entails a considerable risk for the programmes in case the negotiations on the new legislative proposals are delayed and thus the start of the next programming period is hampered. The current n+3 rule should be preserved as it provides sufficient time whereby higher quality in the selection of projects could be ensured, especially in the initial phase of programme implementation. In addition, some types of investments, e.g. in basic infrastructure, are complex and require more time for preparation and implementation, which often exceed the 2-year period. In the case of State aid, the payment application should include advances paid to the beneficiary by the body granting the aid if conditions are guaranteed. This is the rule for the 2014-2020 programming period, removing this would make it even harder to reach the commitment goals.

Amendment 1802

Victor Boştinaru, Maria Gabriela Zoană

Proposal for a regulation

Article 99 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

<p>2. The amount to be covered by pre-financing or payment applications by the time limit established in paragraph 1 concerning the budget commitment of 2021 shall be 60 % of that commitment. 10 % of the budget commitment of 2021 shall be added to each budget commitment for the years 2022 to 2025 for the purposes of calculating the amounts to be covered.</p>	<p>deleted</p>
---	-----------------------

Or. en

Amendment 1803

Joachim Zeller, Manfred Weber

Proposal for a regulation

Article 99 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

<p>2. The amount to be covered by pre-financing or payment applications by the time limit established in paragraph 1 concerning the budget commitment of</p>	<p>2. The amount to be covered by pre-financing or payment applications by the time limit established in paragraph 1 concerning the budget commitment of</p>
---	---

2021 shall be 60 % of that commitment. **10 % of the budget commitment of 2021 shall be added to each budget commitment for the years 2022 to 2025 for the purposes of calculating the amounts to be covered.**

2021 shall be 60 % of that commitment. ***Concerning the budget commitments for 2022 and 2023, it shall be 80% of that commitment. 20 % of the budget commitment of 2021 shall be added to each budget commitment for the years 2025 and 2026, and 20% of the budget commitments of 2022 and 2023 shall be added to the budget commitment for the year 2027 for the purposes of calculating the amounts to be covered.***

Or. en

Amendment 1804
Marc Joulaud

Proposal for a regulation
Article 99 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The amount to be covered by pre-financing or payment applications by the time limit established in paragraph 1 concerning the budget commitment of 2021 shall be **60 % of that commitment. 10 % of the budget commitment of 2021 shall be added to each budget commitment for the years 2022 to 2025 for the purposes of calculating the amounts to be covered.**

Amendment

2. The amount to be covered by pre-financing or payment applications by the time limit established in paragraph 1 concerning the budget commitment of 2021 shall be, ***by way of derogation from this rule, set at the end of the third calendar year following the year*** of the budget commitments.

Or. en

Justification

It is important to take into account the specificities of the first year of programming (closing of the previous programming period, launch of the new programming period, potential delays...). The mechanism proposed by the Commission is rather complex, this is why this amendment proposes, by way of derogation, an N+3 rule for the first year.

Amendment 1805
Georgi Pirinski

Proposal for a regulation

Article 99 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The amount to be covered by pre-financing or payment applications by the time limit established in paragraph 1 concerning the budget commitment of 2021 shall be **60** % of that commitment. 10 % of the budget commitment of 2021 shall be added to each budget commitment for the years 2022 to **2025** for the purposes of calculating the amounts to be covered.

Amendment

2. The amount to be covered by pre-financing or payment applications by the time limit established in paragraph 1 concerning the budget commitment of 2021 shall be **40** % of that commitment. 10 % of the budget commitment of 2021 shall be added to each budget commitment for the years 2022 to **2027** for the purposes of calculating the amounts to be covered.

Or. en

Amendment 1806

Victor Boştinaru, Maria Gabriela Zoană

Proposal for a regulation

Article 99 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. The part of commitments still open on 31 December **2029** shall be decommitted if the assurance package and the final performance report for programmes supported by the ESF+, the ERDF and the Cohesion Fund have not been submitted to the Commission by the time limit set out in Article 38(1).

Amendment

3. The part of commitments still open on 31 December **2030** shall be decommitted if the assurance package and the final performance report for programmes supported by the ESF+, the ERDF and the Cohesion Fund have not been submitted to the Commission by the time limit set out in Article 38(1).

Or. en

Amendment 1807

Martina Michels

Proposal for a regulation

Article 99 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. The part of commitments still open on 31 December **2029** shall be decommitted if the assurance package and

Amendment

3. The part of commitments still open on 31 December **2030** shall be decommitted if the assurance package and

the final performance report for programmes supported by the ESF+, the ERDF and the Cohesion Fund have not been submitted to the Commission by the time limit set out in Article 38(1).

the final performance report for programmes supported by the ESF+, the ERDF and the Cohesion Fund have not been submitted to the Commission by the time limit set out in Article 38(1).

Or. en

Amendment 1808
Younous Omarjee, Martina Michels

Proposal for a regulation
Article 99 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. The part of commitments still open on 31 December **2029** shall be decommitted if the assurance package and the final performance report for programmes supported by the ESF+, the ERDF and the Cohesion Fund have not been submitted to the Commission by the time limit set out in Article 38(1).

Amendment

3. The part of commitments still open on 31 December **2030** shall be decommitted if the assurance package and the final performance report for programmes supported by the ESF+, the ERDF and the Cohesion Fund have not been submitted to the Commission by the time limit set out in Article 38(1).

Or. fr

Amendment 1809
Peter Simon

Proposal for a regulation
Article 99 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. The part of commitments still open on 31 December **2029** shall be decommitted if the assurance package and the final performance report for programmes supported by the ESF+, the ERDF and the Cohesion Fund have not been submitted to the Commission by the time limit set out in Article 38(1).

Amendment

3. The part of commitments still open on 31 December **2030** shall be decommitted if the assurance package and the final performance report for programmes supported by the ESF+, the ERDF and the Cohesion Fund have not been submitted to the Commission by the time limit set out in Article 38(1).

Or. de

Justification

As complex projects take time to carry out, the n+3 rule which applies during the current 2014-2020 funding period should be retained for the repayment period.

Amendment 1810

Tamás Deutsch

Proposal for a regulation

Article 101 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The Member State shall have **one month** to agree to the amount to be decommitted or to submit its observations.

Amendment

2. The Member State shall have **two months** to agree to the amount to be decommitted or to submit its observations.

Or. en

Justification

The introduction of a more restrictive decommitment rule (n+2) entails a considerable risk for the programmes in case the negotiations on the new legislative proposals are delayed and thus the start of the next programming period is hampered. The current n+3 rule should be preserved as it provides sufficient time whereby higher quality in the selection of projects could be ensured, especially in the initial phase of programme implementation. In addition, some types of investments, e.g. in basic infrastructure, are complex and require more time for preparation and implementation, which often exceed the 2-year period. In the case of State aid, the payment application should include advances paid to the beneficiary by the body granting the aid if conditions are guaranteed. This is the rule for the 2014-2020 programming period, removing this would make it even harder to reach the commitment goals.

Amendment 1811

Krzysztof Hetman

Proposal for a regulation

Article 102 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The ERDF, the ESF+ and the Cohesion Fund shall support the Investment for jobs and growth goal in all regions corresponding to level 2 of the common classification of territorial units for statistics ('NUTS level 2 regions')

Amendment

1. The ERDF, the ESF+ and the Cohesion Fund shall support the Investment for jobs and growth goal in all regions corresponding to level 2 of the common classification of territorial units for statistics ('NUTS level 2 regions')

established by Regulation (EC) **No 1059/2003** as amended by Commission Regulation (EC) **No 868/2014**.

established by Regulation (EC) **No 1059/2003** as amended by Commission Regulation (EC) **No 868/2014 and by Commission Regulation (EU) 2016/2066**.

Or. en

Amendment 1812

Tomasz Piotr Poręba, Sławomir Kłosowski

Proposal for a regulation

Article 102 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The ERDF, the ESF+ and the Cohesion Fund shall support the Investment for jobs and growth goal in all regions corresponding to level 2 of the common classification of territorial units for statistics ('NUTS level 2 regions') established by Regulation (EC) No 1059/2003 as amended by Commission Regulation (EC) No 868/2014.

Amendment

1. The ERDF, the ESF+ and the Cohesion Fund shall support the Investment for jobs and growth goal in all regions corresponding to level 2 of the common classification of territorial units for statistics ('NUTS level 2 regions') established by Regulation (EC) No 1059/2003 as amended by Commission Regulation (EC) No 868/2014 **and by Commission Regulation (EU) 2016/2066**.

Or. en

Amendment 1813

Monika Vana

Proposal for a regulation

Article 102 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The ERDF, the ESF+ and the Cohesion Fund shall support the Investment for jobs and growth goal in all regions corresponding to level 2 of the common classification of territorial units for statistics ('NUTS level 2 regions') established by Regulation (EC) No 1059/2003 as amended by Commission Regulation (EC) **No 868/2014**.

Amendment

1. The ERDF, the ESF+ and the Cohesion Fund shall support the Investment for jobs and growth goal in all regions corresponding to level 2 of the common classification of territorial units for statistics ('NUTS level 2 regions') established by Regulation (EC) No 1059/2003 as amended by Commission Regulation (EC) **No 2016/2066**.

Amendment 1814

Constanze Krehl

Proposal for a regulation

Article 102 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The ERDF, the ESF+ and the Cohesion Fund shall support the Investment for jobs and growth goal in all regions corresponding to level 2 of the common classification of territorial units for statistics ('NUTS level 2 regions') established by Regulation (EC) No 1059/2003 as amended by Commission Regulation (EC) **No 868/2014**.

Amendment

1. The ERDF, the ESF+ and the Cohesion Fund shall support the Investment for jobs and growth goal in all regions corresponding to level 2 of the common classification of territorial units for statistics ('NUTS level 2 regions') established by Regulation (EC) No 1059/2003 as amended by Commission Regulation (EC) **No 2016/2066**

Or. en

Amendment 1815

Stanislav Polčák

Proposal for a regulation

Article 102 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Resources from the ERDF and ESF+ for the Investment for jobs and growth goal shall be allocated among the following **three** categories of NUTS level 2 regions:

Amendment

Resources from the ERDF and ESF+ for the Investment for jobs and growth goal shall be allocated among the following **two** categories of NUTS level 2 regions:

Or. en

Amendment 1816

Stanislav Polčák

Proposal for a regulation

Article 102 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) less developed regions, whose GDP per capita is less than **75** % of the average GDP of the EU-27 ('less developed regions');

(a) less developed regions, whose GDP per capita is less than **100** % of the average GDP of the EU-27 ('less developed regions');

Or. en

Amendment 1817
Stanislav Polčák

Proposal for a regulation
Article 102 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) *transition regions, whose GDP per capita is between 75 % and 100% of the average GDP of the EU-27 ('transition regions');*

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1818
Fernando Ruas, José Manuel Fernandes

Proposal for a regulation
Article 102.^o – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) transition regions, whose GDP per capita is between 75 % and **100**% of the average GDP of the EU-27 ('transition regions');

(b) transition regions, whose GDP per capita is between 75 % and **90** % of the average GDP of the EU-27 ('transition regions');

Or. pt

Amendment 1819
Fernando Ruas, José Manuel Fernandes

Proposal for a regulation

Article 102.º – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) more developed regions, whose GDP per capita is above **100** % of the average GDP of the EU-27 ('more developed regions').

Amendment

(c) more developed regions, whose GDP per capita is above **90** % of the average GDP of the EU-27 ('more developed regions').

Or. pt

Amendment 1820

Tamás Deutsch

Proposal for a regulation

Article 103 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

The resources for economic, social and territorial cohesion available for budgetary commitment for the period 2021-2027 shall be EUR **330 624 388 630** in 2018 prices.

Amendment

The resources for economic, social and territorial cohesion available for budgetary commitment for the period 2021-2027 shall be EUR **372 197 000 000** in 2018 prices.

Or. en

Justification

This amendment aims to reinstate an amount equivalent to that available for the 2014-2020 period. It will require consequential adjustments to the calculations in Annex XXII.

Amendment 1821

Younous Omarjee, Martina Michels

Proposal for a regulation

Article 103 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

The resources for economic, social and territorial cohesion available for budgetary commitment for the period 2021-2027 shall be EUR **330 624 388 630** in 2018 prices.

Amendment

The resources for economic, social and territorial cohesion available for budgetary commitment for the period 2021-2027 shall be EUR **372 197 000 000** in 2018 prices.

Or. fr

Amendment 1822

Pascal Arimont

Proposal for a regulation

Article 103 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

The resources for economic, social and territorial cohesion available for budgetary commitment for the period 2021-2027 shall be EUR **330 624 388 630** in 2018 prices.

Amendment

The resources for economic, social and territorial cohesion available for budgetary commitment for the period 2021-2027 shall be EUR **372 197 000 000** in 2018 prices.

Or. de

Amendment 1823

Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso, Francisco José Millán Mon, Agustín Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Verónica Lope Fontagné, Pilar Ayuso, Luis de Grandes Pascual, Esteban González Pons, Esther Herranz García

Proposal for a regulation

Article 103 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

That decision shall also set out the annual breakdown of the global resources per Member State under the European territorial cooperation goal (Interreg).

Amendment

That decision shall also set out the annual breakdown of the global resources per Member State under the European territorial cooperation goal (Interreg).
Therefore, the minimum overall allocation of the Funds, at both national and regional level, shall be equal to 76 % of the budget allocated to each Member State or region during the period 2014-2020. In any case, no region which is downgraded in category shall receive less than what it receives in the 2014-2020 financial framework.

Or. es

Amendment 1824

Daniel Buda, Iuliu Winkler

Proposal for a regulation

Article 103 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

That decision shall also set out the annual breakdown of the global resources per Member State under the European territorial cooperation goal (Interreg).

Amendment

That decision shall also set out the annual breakdown of the global resources per Member State under the European territorial cooperation goal (Interreg).

The minimum overall allocation from the Funds, at both national and regional level, should be equal to 76% of the budget allocated to each Member State or region over the 2014-2020 period.

Or. en

Justification

The safety net provided by the Commission at national level does not prevent disproportionate cuts in individual assisted areas, which would not be justified by cohesion policy.

Amendment 1825

Martina Michels

Proposal for a regulation

Article 103 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. The minimum overall allocation from the funds, at both national and regional level, should be equal to at least 76% of the budget allocated to each Member State or region over the 2014-2020 period.

Or. en

Amendment 1826

Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso

Proposal for a regulation

Article 103 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. The minimum overall allocation from the Funds, at both national and regional level, should be equal to 76% of the budget allocated to each Member State or region over the 2014-2020 period.

Or. en

Amendment 1827

Pascal Arimont

Proposal for a regulation

Article 104 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. Resources for the Investment for jobs and growth goal shall amount to 97.5 % of the global resources (i.e., a total of EUR **322 194 388 630**) and shall be allocated as follows:

1. Resources for the Investment for jobs and growth goal shall amount to 97.5 % of the global resources (i.e., a total of EUR **361 031 090 000 in 2018 prices**) and shall be allocated as follows:

Or. de

Amendment 1828

Iskra Mihaylova

Proposal for a regulation

Article 104 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. Resources for the Investment for jobs and growth goal shall amount to **97.5** % of the global resources (i.e., a total of EUR **322 194 388 630**) and shall be allocated as follows:

1. Resources for the Investment for jobs and growth goal shall amount to **97.0** % of the global resources (i.e., a total of EUR **320 705 656 971**) and shall be allocated as follows:

Or. en

Amendment 1829
Younous Omarjee, Martina Michels

Proposal for a regulation
Article 104 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Resources for the Investment for jobs and growth goal shall amount to **97.5** % of the global resources (i.e., a total of EUR **322 194 388 630**) and shall be allocated as follows:

Amendment

1. Resources for the Investment for jobs and growth goal shall amount to **97%** of the global resources (i.e., a total of EUR **361 031 090 000**) and shall be allocated as follows:

Or. fr

Amendment 1830
Younous Omarjee, Martina Michels

Proposal for a regulation
Article 104 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) 61.6 % (i.e a total of EUR **198 621 593 157**) for less developed regions;

Amendment

(a) 61.6 % (i.e. a total of EUR **222 563 063 807**) for less developed regions;

Or. fr

Amendment 1831
Pascal Arimont

Proposal for a regulation
Article 104 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) 61.6 % (i.e a total of EUR **198 621 593 157**) for less developed regions;

Amendment

(a) 61.6 % (i.e. a total of EUR **222 563 063 807**) for less developed regions;

Or. de

Amendment 1832

Marc Joulaud, Maurice Ponga

Proposal for a regulation

Article 104 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) 61.6 % (i.e a total of EUR **198 621 593 157**) for less developed regions;

(a) 61.6 % (i.e a total of EUR **222 563 063 807**) for less developed regions;

Or. en

Justification

Support to the position of the co-rapporteurs

Amendment 1833

Marc Joulaud, Maurice Ponga

Proposal for a regulation

Article 104 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) 14.3 % (i.e a total of EUR **45 934 516 595**) for transition regions;

(b) 14.3 % (i.e a total of EUR **51 471 376 225**) *at least* for transition regions;

Or. en

Justification

Support to the position of the co-rapporteurs. Taking into account the challenges faced by middle income regions, as described in the 7th cohesion report, the percentage and amount for transition regions should in no case be reduced.

Amendment 1834

Younous Omarjee, Martina Michels

Proposal for a regulation

Article 104 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) 14.3 % (i.e a total of EUR **45 934 516 595**) for transition regions;

(b) 14.3 % (i.e. a total of EUR **51 471 376 225**) for transition regions;

Or. fr

Amendment 1835

Pascal Arimont

Proposal for a regulation

Article 104 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) 14.3 % (i.e a total of EUR **45 934 516 595**) for transition regions;

Amendment

(b) 14.3 % (i.e. a total of EUR **51 471 376 225**) for transition regions;

Or. de

Amendment 1836

Marc Joulaud, Maurice Ponga

Proposal for a regulation

Article 104 – paragraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) 10.8 % (i.e., a total of EUR **34 842 689 000**) for more developed regions;

Amendment

(c) 10.8 % (i.e., a total of EUR **39 042 560 740**) for more developed regions;

Or. en

Justification

Support to the position of the co-rapporteurs

Amendment 1837

Pascal Arimont

Proposal for a regulation

Article 104 – paragraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) 10.8 % (i.e., a total of EUR **34 842 689 000**) for more developed regions;

Amendment

(c) 10.8 % (i.e., a total of EUR **39 042 560 740**) for more developed regions;

Or. de

Amendment 1838

Younous Omarjee, Martina Michels

Proposal for a regulation

Article 104 – paragraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) 10.8 % (i.e., a total of EUR **34 842 689 000**) for more developed regions;

Amendment

(c) 10.8 % (i.e., a total of EUR **39 042 560 740**) for more developed regions;

Or. fr

Amendment 1839

Marc Joulaud, Maurice Ponga

Proposal for a regulation

Article 104 – paragraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) 12.8 % (i.e., a total of EUR **41 348 556 877**) for Member States supported by the Cohesion Fund;

Amendment

(d) 12.8 % (i.e., a total of EUR **46 332 633 609**) for Member States supported by the Cohesion Fund;

Or. en

Justification

Support to the position of the co-rapporteurs

Amendment 1840

Pascal Arimont

Proposal for a regulation

Article 104 – paragraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) 12.8 % (i.e., a total of EUR **41 348 556 877**) for Member States supported by the Cohesion Fund;

Amendment

(d) 12.8 % (i.e., a total of EUR **46 332 633 609**) for Member States supported by the Cohesion Fund;

Or. de

Amendment 1841

Younous Omarjee, Martina Michels

Proposal for a regulation

Article 104 – paragraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) 12.8 % (i.e., a total of EUR **41 348 556 877**) for Member States supported by the Cohesion Fund;

Amendment

(d) 12.8 % (i.e., a total of EUR **46 332 633 609**) for Member States supported by the Cohesion Fund;

Or. fr

Amendment 1842

Elsi Katainen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 104 – paragraph 1 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

(e) **0.4** % (i.e., a total of EUR **1 447 034 001**) as additional funding for the outermost regions identified in Article 349 of the TFEU and the NUTS level 2 regions fulfilling the criteria laid down in Article 2 of Protocol No 6 to the 1994 Act of Accession.

Amendment

(e) **xx,xx** % (i.e., a total of EUR **2 170 551 002**) as additional funding for the outermost regions identified in Article 349 of the TFEU and the NUTS level 2 regions fulfilling the criteria laid down in Article 2 of Protocol No 6 to the 1994 Act of Accession.

Or. en

Justification

The value of the allocation has, in real terms, significantly decreased since MFF 2007 - 2013. This downward trend must be reversed (not allowing the allocation to drop below 45 EUR/inhabitant /year). This increase must be reflected in an increased total for the cohesion budget, taking into account also other amendments.

Amendment 1843

Pascal Arimont

Proposal for a regulation

Article 104 – paragraph 1 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

(e) 0.4 % (i.e., a total of EUR **1 447 034 001**) as additional funding for the outermost regions identified in Article 349 of the TFEU and the NUTS level 2 regions fulfilling the criteria laid down in Article 2 of Protocol No 6 to the 1994 Act of Accession.

Amendment

(e) 0.4 % (i.e., a total of EUR **1 621 456 739**) as additional funding for the outermost regions identified in Article 349 of the TFEU and the NUTS level 2 regions fulfilling the criteria laid down in Article 2 of Protocol No 6 to the 1994 Act of Accession.

Or. de

Amendment 1844

Maurice Ponga, Marc Joulaud, Cláudia Monteiro de Aguiar, Sofia Ribeiro

Proposal for a regulation

Article 104 – paragraph 1 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

(e) 0.4 % (i.e., a total of EUR **1 447 034 001**) as additional funding for the outermost regions identified in Article 349 of the TFEU and the NUTS level 2 regions fulfilling the criteria laid down in Article 2 of Protocol No 6 to the 1994 Act of Accession.

Amendment

(e) 0.4 % (i.e., a total of EUR **1 621 456 739**) as additional funding for the outermost regions identified in Article 349 of the TFEU and the NUTS level 2 regions fulfilling the criteria laid down in Article 2 of Protocol No 6 to the 1994 Act of Accession.

Or. fr

Amendment 1845

Marc Joulaud, Maurice Ponga

Proposal for a regulation

Article 104 – paragraph 1 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

(e) 0.4 % (i.e., a total of EUR **1 447 034 001**) as additional funding for the outermost regions identified in Article 349 of the TFEU and the NUTS level 2 regions fulfilling the criteria laid down in Article 2 of Protocol No 6 to the 1994 Act of

Amendment

(e) 0.4 % (i.e., a total of EUR **1 621 456 739**) as additional funding for the outermost regions identified in Article 349 of the TFEU and the NUTS level 2 regions fulfilling the criteria laid down in Article 2 of Protocol No 6 to the 1994 Act of

Accession.

Accession.

Or. en

Justification

Support to the position of the co-rapporteurs

Amendment 1846

Younous Omarjee, Martina Michels

Proposal for a regulation

Article 104 – paragraph 1 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

(e) 0.4 % (i.e., a total of EUR **1 447 034 001**) as additional funding for the outermost regions identified in Article 349 of the TFEU and the NUTS level 2 regions fulfilling the criteria laid down in Article 2 of Protocol No 6 to the 1994 Act of Accession.

Amendment

(e) 0.4 % (i.e., a total of EUR **1 621 456 739**) as additional funding for the outermost regions identified in Article 349 of the TFEU and the NUTS level 2 regions fulfilling the criteria laid down in Article 2 of Protocol No 6 to the 1994 Act of Accession.

Or. fr

Amendment 1847

Pascal Arimont

Proposal for a regulation

Article 104 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

The **amount of** resources available for the ESF+ under the Investment for jobs and growth goal **shall be EUR 88 646 194 590**.

Amendment

The resources available for the ESF+ **shall amount to 27.6 % of the resources** under the Investment for jobs and growth goal **(i.e. EUR 99 786 000 000 in 2018 prices)**. **That does not include the financial envelope for the Employment and Social Innovation strand or the Health strand.**

Or. de

Amendment 1848
Younous Omarjee, Martina Michels

Proposal for a regulation
Article 104 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

The **amount of** resources available for the ESF+ under the Investment for jobs and growth goal **shall be EUR 88 646 194 590**.

Amendment

The resources available for the ESF+ **shall amount to 27.6 % of the resources** under the Investment for jobs and growth goal **(i.e., EUR 99 786 000 000). This amount does not include the financial envelope for the Employment and Social Innovation strand or the Health strand.**

Or. fr

Amendment 1849
Norica Nicolai, Ivan Jakovčić

Proposal for a regulation
Article 104 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

The amount of resources available for the ESF+ under the Investment for jobs and growth goal shall be **EUR 88 646 194 590**.

Amendment

The amount of resources available for the ESF+ under the Investment for jobs and growth goal shall be **decided at Member State level, taking into account the socio economic situation at national, regional and local level as well as the investment needs of Member States and regions.**

Or. en

Amendment 1850
Iratxe García Pérez, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Proposal for a regulation
Article 104 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

The amount of additional funding for the outermost regions referred to in point (e) in

Amendment

To the amount of additional funding for the outermost regions **and the NUTS level 2**

paragraph 1 *allocated to the ESF+* shall be **EUR 376 928 934**.

regions that comply with the criteria established in Article 2 of Protocol 6 to the 1994 Act of Accession referred to in point (e) in paragraph 1, *the equivalent of 0.4 % of the resources referred to in paragraph 1 (i.e. EUR 424 296 054)* shall be *added from the ESF+*.

Or. es

Amendment 1851

Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso, Gabriel Mato

Proposal for a regulation

Article 104 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

The amount of additional funding for the outermost regions referred to in point (e) in paragraph 1 *allocated to the ESF+* shall be **EUR 376 928 934**.

Amendment

To the amount of additional funding for the outermost regions **and the NUTS level 2 regions that comply with the criteria established in Article 2 of Protocol 6 to the 1994 Act of Accession** referred to in point (e) in paragraph 1, *the equivalent of 0.4 % of the resources referred to in paragraph 1 (i.e. EUR 424 296 054)* shall be *added from the ESF+*.

Or. es

Amendment 1852

Louis-Joseph Manscour, Liliana Rodrigues, Ricardo Serrão Santos

Proposal for a regulation

Article 104 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

The **amount of** additional funding for the outermost regions *referred to in point (e) in paragraph 1 allocated to the ESF+* shall be EUR 376 928 934.

Amendment

In addition to the additional funding for the Outermost Regions **provided by paragraph 1(e), an amount of** EUR 376 928 934 **shall be provided under the ESF+**.

Or. en

Amendment 1853

Pascal Arimont

Proposal for a regulation

Article 104 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

The amount of additional funding for the outermost regions referred to in point (e) in paragraph 1 allocated to the ESF+ shall ***be EUR 376 928 934.***

Amendment

The amount of additional funding for the outermost regions referred to in point (e) in paragraph 1 allocated to the ESF+ shall ***correspond to 0.4 % of the resources referred to in the first subparagraph (i.e. EUR 424 296 054 in 2018 prices).***

Or. de

Amendment 1854

Younous Omarjee, Martina Michels

Proposal for a regulation

Article 104 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

The amount of additional funding for the outermost regions referred to in point (e) in paragraph 1 allocated to the ESF+ shall ***be EUR 376 928 934.***

Amendment

The amount of additional funding for the outermost regions referred to in point (e) in paragraph 1 allocated to the ESF+ shall ***correspond to 0.4 % of the resources referred to in the first subparagraph (i.e. EUR 424 296 054 in 2018 prices).***

Or. fr

Amendment 1855

Maurice Ponga, Cláudia Monteiro de Aguiar, Sofia Ribeiro, Marc Joulaud

Proposal for a regulation

Article 104 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

The amount of additional funding for the outermost regions ***referred to in point (e)***

Amendment

The amount of additional funding for the outermost regions ***provided under the***

in paragraph 1 allocated to the ESF+ shall be EUR 376 928 934.

ESF+ shall be EUR 424 296 056.

Or. fr

Amendment 1856

Monika Vana

Proposal for a regulation Article 104 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. *The amount of support from the Cohesion Fund to be transferred to the CEF shall be EUR 10 000 000 000. It shall be spent for transport infrastructure projects by launching specific calls in accordance with Regulation (EU) [number of new CEF Regulation] exclusively in Member States eligible for funding from the Cohesion Fund.* *deleted*

The Commission shall adopt an implementing act, setting out the amount to be transferred from each Member State's Cohesion Fund allocation to the CEF, which amount shall be determined on a pro rata basis for the whole period.

The Cohesion Fund allocation of each Member State shall be reduced accordingly.

The annual appropriations corresponding to the support from the Cohesion Fund referred to in the first subparagraph shall be entered in the relevant budget lines of the CEF as of the 2021 budgetary exercise.

30% of the resources transferred to the CEF shall be available immediately after the transfer to all Member States eligible for funding from the Cohesion Fund to finance transport infrastructure projects in accordance with Regulation (EU) [the new CEF Regulation].

Rules applicable for the transport sector under Regulation (EU) [new CEF Regulation] shall apply to the specific calls referred to in the first subparagraph. Until 31 December 2023, the selection of projects eligible for financing shall respect the national allocations under the Cohesion Fund with regard to 70% of the resources transferred to the CEF.

As of 1 January 2024, resources transferred to the CEF which have not been committed to a transport infrastructure project shall be made available to all Member States eligible for funding from the Cohesion Fund to finance transport infrastructure projects in accordance with Regulation (EU) [the new CEF Regulation].

Or. en

Amendment 1857

Martina Michels

Proposal for a regulation Article 104 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. *The amount of support from the Cohesion Fund to be transferred to the CEF shall be EUR 10 000 000 000. It shall be spent for transport infrastructure projects by launching specific calls in accordance with Regulation (EU) [number of new CEF Regulation] exclusively in Member States eligible for funding from the Cohesion Fund.* ***deleted***

The Commission shall adopt an implementing act, setting out the amount to be transferred from each Member State's Cohesion Fund allocation to the CEF, which amount shall be determined on a pro rata basis for the whole period.

The Cohesion Fund allocation of each Member State shall be reduced

accordingly.

The annual appropriations corresponding to the support from the Cohesion Fund referred to in the first subparagraph shall be entered in the relevant budget lines of the CEF as of the 2021 budgetary exercise.

30% of the resources transferred to the CEF shall be available immediately after the transfer to all Member States eligible for funding from the Cohesion Fund to finance transport infrastructure projects in accordance with Regulation (EU) [the new CEF Regulation].

Rules applicable for the transport sector under Regulation (EU) [new CEF Regulation] shall apply to the specific calls referred to in the first subparagraph. Until 31 December 2023, the selection of projects eligible for financing shall respect the national allocations under the Cohesion Fund with regard to 70% of the resources transferred to the CEF.

As of 1 January 2024, resources transferred to the CEF which have not been committed to a transport infrastructure project shall be made available to all Member States eligible for funding from the Cohesion Fund to finance transport infrastructure projects in accordance with Regulation (EU) [the new CEF Regulation].

Or. en

Amendment 1858

Victor Boştinaru, Maria Gabriela Zoană

Proposal for a regulation Article 104 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. *The amount of support from the Cohesion Fund to be transferred to the* *deleted*

CEF shall be EUR 10 000 000 000. It shall be spent for transport infrastructure projects by launching specific calls in accordance with Regulation (EU) [number of new CEF Regulation] exclusively in Member States eligible for funding from the Cohesion Fund.

The Commission shall adopt an implementing act, setting out the amount to be transferred from each Member State's Cohesion Fund allocation to the CEF, which amount shall be determined on a pro rata basis for the whole period.

The Cohesion Fund allocation of each Member State shall be reduced accordingly.

The annual appropriations corresponding to the support from the Cohesion Fund referred to in the first subparagraph shall be entered in the relevant budget lines of the CEF as of the 2021 budgetary exercise.

30% of the resources transferred to the CEF shall be available immediately after the transfer to all Member States eligible for funding from the Cohesion Fund to finance transport infrastructure projects in accordance with Regulation (EU) [the new CEF Regulation].

Rules applicable for the transport sector under Regulation (EU) [new CEF Regulation] shall apply to the specific calls referred to in the first subparagraph. Until 31 December 2023, the selection of projects eligible for financing shall respect the national allocations under the Cohesion Fund with regard to 70% of the resources transferred to the CEF.

As of 1 January 2024, resources transferred to the CEF which have not been committed to a transport infrastructure project shall be made available to all Member States eligible for funding from the Cohesion Fund to finance transport infrastructure projects in accordance with Regulation (EU) [the

new CEF Regulation].

Or. en

Amendment 1859

Norica Nicolai

Proposal for a regulation

Article 104 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

The amount of support from the Cohesion Fund to be transferred to the CEF shall be EUR 10 000 000 000. It shall be spent for transport infrastructure projects by launching specific calls in accordance with Regulation (EU) [number of new CEF Regulation] exclusively in Member States eligible for funding from the Cohesion Fund.

Amendment

The amount of support from the Cohesion Fund to be transferred to the CEF shall be EUR 10 000 000 000. It shall be spent for transport infrastructure projects, ***taking into account the investment infrastructure needs of Member States and regions*** by launching specific calls in accordance with Regulation (EU) [number of new CEF Regulation] exclusively in Member States eligible for funding from the Cohesion Fund.

Or. en

Amendment 1860

Andrey Novakov, Constanze Krehl

Proposal for a regulation

Article 104 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

The amount of support from the Cohesion Fund to be transferred to the CEF shall be EUR ***10 000 000 000***. It shall be spent for transport infrastructure projects by launching specific calls in accordance with Regulation (EU) [number of new CEF Regulation] exclusively in Member States eligible for funding from the Cohesion Fund.

Amendment

The amount of support from the Cohesion Fund to be transferred to the CEF shall be EUR ***2 000 000 000***. It shall be spent for transport infrastructure projects by launching specific calls in accordance with Regulation (EU) [number of new CEF Regulation] exclusively in Member States eligible for funding from the Cohesion Fund.

Or. en

Amendment 1861

Norica Nicolai

Proposal for a regulation

Article 104 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

The Commission shall adopt ***an implementing*** act, setting out the amount to be transferred from each Member State's Cohesion Fund allocation to the CEF, which amount shall be determined on a pro rata basis for the whole period.

Amendment

The Commission shall adopt ***a delegated*** act, setting out the amount to be transferred from each Member State's Cohesion Fund allocation to the CEF, which amount shall be determined on a pro rata basis for the whole period.

Or. en

Amendment 1862

Laurențiu Rebega

Proposal for a regulation

Article 104 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

30% of the resources transferred to the CEF shall be available immediately after the transfer to all Member States eligible for funding from the Cohesion Fund to finance transport infrastructure projects in accordance with Regulation (EU) [the new CEF Regulation].

Amendment

deleted

Or. ro

Amendment 1863

Andrey Novakov, Constanze Krehl

Proposal for a regulation

Article 104 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

30% of the resources transferred to the CEF shall be available immediately after the transfer to all Member States eligible for funding from the Cohesion Fund to finance transport infrastructure projects in accordance with Regulation (EU) [the new CEF Regulation].

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1864

Marian-Jean Marinescu, Iuliu Winkler, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 104 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

30% of the resources transferred to the CEF shall be available immediately after the transfer to all Member States eligible for funding from the Cohesion Fund to finance transport infrastructure projects in accordance with Regulation (EU) [the new CEF Regulation].

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1865

Markus Pieper, Joachim Zeller

Proposal for a regulation

Article 104 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

30% of the resources transferred to the CEF shall be available immediately after the transfer to all Member States eligible for funding from the Cohesion Fund to finance transport infrastructure projects in accordance with Regulation (EU) [the new CEF Regulation].

50% of the resources transferred to the CEF shall be available immediately after the transfer to all Member States eligible for funding from the Cohesion Fund to finance transport infrastructure projects in accordance with Regulation (EU) [the new CEF Regulation].

Or. de

Justification

The competition procedure is a best-practice procedure and ensures that the funds are made available to the most mature projects in the cohesion countries. This fosters the disbursement of funds and the effective use of European resources.

Amendment 1866

Marian-Jean Marinescu, Iuliu Winkler, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 104 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

Rules applicable for the transport sector under Regulation (EU) [new CEF Regulation] shall apply to the specific calls referred to in the first subparagraph. Until 31 December **2023**, the selection of projects eligible for financing shall respect the national allocations under the Cohesion Fund *with regard to 70% of the resources transferred to the CEF*.

Amendment

Rules applicable for the transport sector under Regulation (EU) [new CEF Regulation] shall apply to the specific calls referred to in the first subparagraph. Until 31 December **2022**, the selection of projects eligible for financing shall respect the national allocations under the Cohesion Fund.

Or. en

Amendment 1867

Andrey Novakov, Constanze Krehl

Proposal for a regulation

Article 104 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

Rules applicable for the transport sector under Regulation (EU) [new CEF Regulation] shall apply to the specific calls referred to in the first subparagraph. Until 31 December 2023, the selection of projects eligible for financing shall respect the national allocations under the Cohesion Fund *with regard to 70% of the resources transferred to the CEF*.

Amendment

Rules applicable for the transport sector under Regulation (EU) [new CEF Regulation] shall apply to the specific calls referred to in the first subparagraph. Until 31 December 2023, the selection of projects eligible for financing shall respect the national allocations under the Cohesion Fund.

Or. en

Amendment 1868

Laurențiu Rebega

Proposal for a regulation

Article 104 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

Rules applicable for the transport sector under Regulation (EU) [new CEF Regulation] shall apply to the specific calls referred to in the first subparagraph. Until 31 December **2023**, the selection of projects eligible for financing shall respect the national allocations under the Cohesion Fund with regard to **70%** of the resources transferred to the CEF.

Amendment

Rules applicable for the transport sector under Regulation (EU) [new CEF Regulation] shall apply to the specific calls referred to in the first subparagraph. Until 31 December **2025**, the selection of projects eligible for financing shall respect the national allocations under the Cohesion Fund with regard to **100%** of the resources transferred to the CEF.

Or. ro

Amendment 1869

Markus Pieper, Joachim Zeller

Proposal for a regulation

Article 104 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

Rules applicable for the transport sector under Regulation (EU) [new CEF Regulation] shall apply to the specific calls referred to in the first subparagraph. Until 31 December 2023, the selection of projects eligible for financing shall respect the national allocations under the Cohesion Fund with regard to **70%** of the resources transferred to the CEF.

Amendment

Rules applicable for the transport sector under Regulation (EU) [new CEF Regulation] shall apply to the specific calls referred to in the first subparagraph. Until 31 December 2023, the selection of projects eligible for financing shall respect the national allocations under the Cohesion Fund with regard to **50%** of the resources transferred to the CEF.

Or. de

Justification

The competition procedure is a best-practice procedure and ensures that the funds are made available to the most mature projects in the cohesion countries. This fosters the disbursement of funds and the effective use of European resources.

Amendment 1870**Norica Nicolai****Proposal for a regulation****Article 104 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 6***Text proposed by the Commission*

Rules applicable for the transport sector under Regulation (EU) [new CEF Regulation] shall apply to the specific calls referred to in the first subparagraph. Until 31 December **2023**, the selection of projects eligible for financing shall respect the national allocations under the Cohesion Fund with regard to 70% of the resources transferred to the CEF.

Amendment

Rules applicable for the transport sector under Regulation (EU) [new CEF Regulation] shall apply to the specific calls referred to in the first subparagraph. Until 31 December **2025**, the selection of projects eligible for financing shall respect the national allocations under the Cohesion Fund with regard to 70% of the resources transferred to the CEF.

Or. en

Amendment 1871**Marian-Jean Marinescu, Iuliu Winkler, Daniel Buda****Proposal for a regulation****Article 104 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 7***Text proposed by the Commission*

As of 1 January **2024**, resources transferred to the CEF which have not been committed to a transport infrastructure project shall be made available to all Member States eligible for funding from the Cohesion Fund to finance transport infrastructure projects in accordance with Regulation (EU) [the new CEF Regulation].

Amendment

As of 1 January **2023**, resources transferred to the CEF which have not been committed to a transport infrastructure project shall be made available to all Member States eligible for funding from the Cohesion Fund to finance transport infrastructure projects in accordance with Regulation (EU) [the new CEF Regulation].

Or. en

Amendment 1872**Markus Pieper, Joachim Zeller****Proposal for a regulation**

Article 104 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

As of 1 January 2024, resources transferred to the CEF which have not been committed to a transport infrastructure project shall be made available to all Member States eligible for funding from the Cohesion Fund to finance transport infrastructure projects in accordance with Regulation (EU) [the new CEF Regulation].

Amendment

As of 1 January 2024, **50% of the** resources transferred to the CEF which have not been committed to a transport infrastructure project shall be made available to all Member States eligible for funding from the Cohesion Fund to finance transport infrastructure projects in accordance with Regulation (EU) [the new CEF Regulation].

Or. de

Justification

The competition procedure is a best-practice procedure and ensures that the funds are made available to the most mature projects in the cohesion countries. This fosters the disbursement of funds and the effective use of European resources.

Amendment 1873

Laurențiu Rebega

Proposal for a regulation

Article 104 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

As of 1 January **2024**, resources transferred to the CEF which have not been committed to a transport infrastructure project shall be made available to all Member States eligible for funding from the Cohesion Fund to finance transport infrastructure projects in accordance with Regulation (EU) [the new CEF Regulation].

Amendment

As of 1 January **2026**, resources transferred to the CEF which have not been committed to a transport infrastructure project shall be made available to all Member States eligible for funding from the Cohesion Fund to finance transport infrastructure projects in accordance with Regulation (EU) [the new CEF Regulation].

Or. ro

Amendment 1874

Norica Nicolai, Ivan Jakovčić

Proposal for a regulation

Article 104 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

As of 1 January **2024**, resources transferred to the CEF which have not been committed to a transport infrastructure project shall be made available to all Member States eligible for funding from the Cohesion Fund to finance transport infrastructure projects in accordance with Regulation (EU) [the new CEF Regulation].

Amendment

As of 1 January **2026**, resources transferred to the CEF which have not been committed to a transport infrastructure project shall be made available to all Member States eligible for funding from the Cohesion Fund to finance transport infrastructure projects in accordance with Regulation (EU) [the new CEF Regulation].

Or. en

Amendment 1875

Matthijs van Miltenburg, Dominique Riquet

Proposal for a regulation

Article 104 – paragraph 4 – point a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) EUR 20 000 000 000 shall be transferred from the ERDF to the CEF. It shall be spent on transport infrastructure projects by launching specific calls in accordance with Regulation (EU) [new CEF Regulation] in Member States eligible for funding from the ERDF.

Or. fr

Amendment 1876

Andrey Novakov

Proposal for a regulation

Article 104 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 7 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

In order to support the Member States in designing mature and quality projects, particular attention shall be given to programme support actions aimed at strengthening institutional capacity and public services in relation to the

development and implementation of projects.

Or. en

Amendment 1877

Georgi Pirinski

Proposal for a regulation

Article 104 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. EUR 500 000 000 of the resources for the Investment for jobs and growth goal shall be allocated to the European Urban Initiative under direct or indirect management by the Commission.

Amendment

5. EUR 500 000 000 of the resources *of the ERDF* for the Investment for jobs and growth goal shall be allocated to the European Urban Initiative under direct or indirect management by the Commission.

Or. en

Amendment 1878

Pascal Arimont

Proposal for a regulation

Article 104 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. EUR **500 000 000** of the resources for the Investment for jobs and growth goal shall be allocated to the European Urban Initiative under direct or indirect management by the Commission.

Amendment

5. EUR **560 000 000 (in 2018 prices)** of the resources for the Investment for jobs and growth goal shall be allocated to the European Urban Initiative under direct or indirect management by the Commission.

Or. de

Amendment 1879

Georgi Pirinski

Proposal for a regulation

Article 104 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6. EUR 175 000 000 of the ESF+ resources for the Investment for jobs and growth goal shall be allocated for transnational cooperation supporting innovative solutions under direct or indirect management. **deleted**

Or. en

Justification

ESF+ Regulation includes financial envelope for strands under direct and indirect management and it is not necessary resources from the shared management part to be allocated/transferred to direct and indirect management when they should be envisaged with the establishment of the budget of ESF+ for these strands. The mechanism of such an allocation/ transfer is not set out in the proposal for CPR. For legal certainty and simplification such allocation/transfer should be avoided.

Amendment 1880
Pascal Arimont

Proposal for a regulation
Article 104 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6. EUR 175 000 000 of the ESF+ resources for the Investment for jobs and growth goal shall be allocated for transnational cooperation supporting innovative solutions under direct or indirect management.

6. EUR 196 000 000 (in 2018 prices) of the ESF+ resources for the Investment for jobs and growth goal shall be allocated for transnational cooperation supporting innovative solutions under direct or indirect management.

Or. de

Amendment 1881
Raffaele Fitto

Proposal for a regulation
Article 104 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

7. Resources for the European

7. Resources for the European

territorial cooperation goal (Interreg) shall amount to **2.5** % of the global resources available for budgetary commitment from the Funds for the period 2021-2027 (i.e. a total of EUR **8 430 000 000**).

territorial cooperation goal (Interreg) shall amount to **3%** of the global resources available for budgetary commitment from the Funds for the period 2021-2027 (i.e. a total of EUR **xx xxx xxx xxx in 2018 prices**).

Or. it

Amendment 1882

Marc Joulaud, Maurice Ponga

Proposal for a regulation

Article 104 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. Resources for the European territorial cooperation goal (Interreg) shall amount to **2.5** % of the global resources available for budgetary commitment from the Funds for the period 2021-2027 (i.e. a total of EUR **8 430 000 000**).

Amendment

7. Resources for the European territorial cooperation goal (Interreg) shall amount to **3** % of the global resources available for budgetary commitment from the Funds for the period 2021-2027 (i.e. a total of EUR **11 165 910 000 in 2018 prices**).

Or. en

Justification

Support to the position of the co-rapporteurs

Amendment 1883

Ivan Jakovčić

Proposal for a regulation

Article 104 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. Resources for the European territorial cooperation goal (Interreg) shall amount to **2.5** % of the global resources available for budgetary commitment from the Funds for the period 2021-2027 (*i.e. a total of EUR 8 430 000 000*).

Amendment

7. Resources for the European territorial cooperation goal (Interreg) shall amount to **3** % of the global resources available for budgetary commitment from the Funds for the period 2021-2027.

Amendment 1884

Iskra Mihaylova

Proposal for a regulation

Article 104 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. Resources for the European territorial cooperation goal (Interreg) shall amount to **2.5** % of the global resources available for budgetary commitment from the Funds for the period 2021-2027 (i.e. a total of EUR **8 430 000 000**).

Amendment

7. Resources for the European territorial cooperation goal (Interreg) shall amount to **3.0** % of the global resources available for budgetary commitment from the Funds for the period 2021-2027 (i.e. a total of EUR **9 918 731 659**).

Or. en

Amendment 1885

Younous Omarjee, Martina Michels

Proposal for a regulation

Article 104 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

7. Resources for the European territorial cooperation goal (Interreg) shall amount to **2.5** % of the global resources available for budgetary commitment from the Funds for the period 2021-2027 (i.e. a total of EUR **8 430 000 000**).

Amendment

7. Resources for the European territorial cooperation goal (Interreg) shall amount to **3** % of the global resources available for budgetary commitment from the Funds for the period 2021-2027 (i.e. a total of EUR **8 430 000 000**).

Or. fr

Amendment 1886

Pascal Arimont

Proposal for a regulation

Article 104 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

7. Resources for the European territorial cooperation goal (Interreg) shall amount to **2.5 %** of the global resources available for budgetary commitment from the Funds for the period 2021-2027 (*i.e. a total of EUR 8 430 000 000*).

7. Resources for the European territorial cooperation goal (Interreg) shall amount to **EUR 11 165 910 000 (in 2018 prices)** of the global resources available for budgetary commitment from the Funds for the period 2021-2027.

Or. de

Amendment 1887

Andrea Cozzolino

Proposal for a regulation

Article 105 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The Commission may accept a proposal by a Member State in its submission of the Partnership Agreement or in the context of the mid-term review, for a transfer:

Amendment

1. The Commission may accept a proposal by a Member State in its submission of the Partnership Agreement or in the context of the mid-term review, for a transfer ***from the envelopes for more developed regions to transition regions or less developed regions.***

Or. it

Amendment 1888

Daniel Buda, Iuliu Winkler

Proposal for a regulation

Article 105 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The Commission may accept a proposal by a Member State in its submission of the Partnership Agreement or in the context of the mid-term review, for a transfer:

Amendment

1. The Commission may accept a proposal by a Member State in its submission of the Partnership Agreement or in the context of the mid-term review, for a transfer ***of not more than 15 % of the total allocations.***

Or. en

Amendment 1889
Rosa D’Amato, Isabella Adinolfi, Marco Zullo

Proposal for a regulation
Article 105 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The Commission may accept a proposal by a Member State in its submission of the Partnership Agreement or in the context of the mid-term review, for a transfer:

Amendment

1. The Commission may accept a proposal by a Member State in its submission of the Partnership Agreement or in the context of the mid-term review, for a transfer ***of not more than 15 % of the total allocations.***

Or. en

Justification

Flexibility should be assured for transfers between all categories of regions within the same member State

Amendment 1890
Joachim Zeller

Proposal for a regulation
Article 105 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The Commission may accept a proposal by a Member State in its submission of the Partnership Agreement or in the context of the mid-term review, for a transfer:

Amendment

1. The Commission may accept a proposal by a Member State in its submission of the Partnership Agreement or in the context of the mid-term review, for a transfer ***of not more than 15% of the total allocations.***

Or. en

Amendment 1891
Norica Nicolai

Proposal for a regulation
Article 105 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. The Commission may accept a proposal by a Member State in its submission of the **Partnership Agreement** or in the context of the mid-term review, for a transfer:

1. The Commission may accept a proposal by a Member State in its submission of the **Investment Strategy** or in the context of the mid-term review, for a transfer:

Or. en

Amendment 1892

Andrea Cozzolino

Proposal for a regulation

Article 105 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) *of not more than 15 % of the total allocations for less developed regions to transition regions or more developed regions and from transition regions to more developed regions;* *deleted*

Or. it

Amendment 1893

Rosa D'Amato, Isabella Adinolfi, Marco Zullo

Proposal for a regulation

Article 105 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) *of not more than 15 % of the total allocations for less developed regions to transition regions or more developed regions and from transition regions to more developed regions;* *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 1894

Monika Vana

Proposal for a regulation

Article 105 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) of not more than 15 % of the total allocations for less developed regions to transition regions or more developed regions and from transition regions to more developed regions; **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 1895

Daniel Buda, Iuliu Winkler

Proposal for a regulation

Article 105 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) of not more than 15 % of the total allocations for less developed regions to transition regions or more developed regions and from transition regions to more developed regions; **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 1896

Joachim Zeller

Proposal for a regulation

Article 105 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) of not more than 15 % of the total allocations for less developed regions to transition regions or more developed regions and from transition regions to more developed regions; **deleted**

Amendment 1897

Victor Boştinaru, Maria Gabriela Zoană

Proposal for a regulation

Article 105 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) of not more than **15** % of the total allocations for less developed regions to transition regions or more developed regions and from transition regions to more developed regions;

Amendment

(a) of not more than **5** % of the total allocations for less developed regions to transition regions or more developed regions and from transition regions to more developed regions;

Or. en

Amendment 1898

Andrea Cozzolino

Proposal for a regulation

Article 105 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) *from the allocations for more developed regions or transition regions to less developed regions.*

Amendment

deleted

Or. it

Amendment 1899

Rosa D'Amato, Isabella Adinolfi, Marco Zullo

Proposal for a regulation

Article 105 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) *from the allocations for more developed regions or transition regions to less developed regions.*

Amendment

deleted

Amendment 1900
Daniel Buda, Iuliu Winkler

Proposal for a regulation
Article 105 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) from the allocations for more developed regions or transition regions to less developed regions. **deleted**

Or. en

Justification

There should be possibilities for transfers between all categories of regions.

Amendment 1901
Joachim Zeller

Proposal for a regulation
Article 105 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) from the allocations for more developed regions or transition regions to less developed regions. **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 1902
Krzysztof Hetman

Proposal for a regulation
Article 106 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. The Commission decision approving a programme shall fix **the co-**

1. The Commission decision approving a programme shall fix the

financing rate and the maximum amount of support from the Funds for each priority.

maximum amount of support from the Funds for each priority ***and the co-financing rate for the programme.***

Or. en

Justification

Fixing the maximum co-financing rate on OP level (instead of maximum rates for each priority) enables more flexibility in implementation process. This would allow to diversify co-finance in priorities depending on types of interventions.

Amendment 1903

Urmas Paet, Petras Auštrevičius

Proposal for a regulation

Article 106 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

The co-financing rate for the Investment for jobs and growth goal at the level of ***each priority*** shall not be higher than:

Amendment

The co-financing rate for the Investment for jobs and growth goal at the level of ***a programme*** shall not be higher than:

Or. en

Amendment 1904

Stanislav Polčák

Proposal for a regulation

Article 106 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) ***70 %*** for the ***less developed regions*** ;

Amendment

(a) ***75-85 %*** for the ***Member States whose GNI per capita is below 100 % of the average GNI per capita of the EU-27;***

Or. en

Amendment 1905

Marian-Jean Marinescu, Iuliu Winkler, Daniel Buda

Proposal for a regulation

Article 106 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) **70 %** for the less developed regions;

(a) for the less developed regions:
- 85 % for economic projects providing sustainable jobs and growth
- 70 % for other projects

Or. en

Amendment 1906

Younous Omarjee, Martina Michels

Proposal for a regulation

Article 106 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) **70 %** for the less developed regions;

(a) **85 %** for the less developed regions;

Or. fr

Amendment 1907

Marc Joulaud, Maurice Ponga

Proposal for a regulation

Article 106 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) **70 %** for the less developed regions;

(a) **85 %** for the less developed regions;

Or. en

Amendment 1908

Rosa D'Amato, Isabella Adinolfi, Marco Zullo

Proposal for a regulation

Article 106 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) **70** % for the less developed regions;

(a) **85** % for the less developed regions;

Or. en

Amendment 1909
Monika Vana

Proposal for a regulation
Article 106 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) **70** % for the less developed regions;

(a) **85** % for the less developed regions;

Or. en

Amendment 1910
Martina Michels

Proposal for a regulation
Article 106 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) **70** % for the less developed regions;

(a) **85** % for the less developed regions;

Or. en

Amendment 1911
Victor Boştinaru, Maria Gabriela Zoană

Proposal for a regulation
Article 106 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) **70** % for the less developed regions;

(a) **85** % for the less developed regions;

Amendment 1912

Ruža Tomašić

Proposal for a regulation

Article 106 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) **70** % for the less developed regions;

(a) **85** % for the less developed regions;

Or. hr

Amendment 1913

Tamás Deutsch

Proposal for a regulation

Article 106 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) **70** % for the less developed regions;

(a) **85** % for the less developed regions;

Or. en

Justification

Lower co-financing rates hit especially hard on smaller beneficiaries and projects. They have a discriminatory effect on less financially powerful beneficiaries.

Amendment 1914

Iratxe García Pérez, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Proposal for a regulation

Article 106 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) **70** % for the less developed regions;

(a) **85** % for the less developed regions;

Amendment 1915

Lambert van Nistelrooij

Proposal for a regulation

Article 106 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) **70** % for the less developed regions;

(a) **85** % for the less developed regions;

Or. en

Amendment 1916

Georgi Pirinski

Proposal for a regulation

Article 106 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) **70** % for the less developed regions;

(a) **85** % for the less developed regions;

Or. en

Amendment 1917

Tomasz Piotr Poręba, Sławomir Kłosowski, Laurențiu Rebega

Proposal for a regulation

Article 106 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) **70** % for the less developed regions;

(a) **85** % for the less developed regions;

Or. en

Amendment 1918

Norica Nicolai

Proposal for a regulation

Article 106 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) **70** % for the less developed regions;

(a) **75** % for the less developed regions;

Or. en

Amendment 1919

Ivan Jakovčić

Proposal for a regulation

Article 106 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) **70** % for the less developed regions;

(a) **85** % for the less developed regions;

Or. hr

Amendment 1920

Daniel Buda, Iuliu Winkler

Proposal for a regulation

Article 106 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) **70** % for the less developed regions;

(a) **85** % for the less developed regions;

Or. en

Amendment 1921

Joachim Zeller

Proposal for a regulation

Article 106 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) **70** % for the less developed regions;

(a) **85** % for the less developed regions;

Or. en

Amendment 1922

Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso, Francisco José Millán Mon, Agustín Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Verónica Lope Fontagné, Pilar Ayuso, Luis de Grandes Pascual, Esteban González Pons, Esther Herranz García

Proposal for a regulation

Article 106 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) **70** % for the less developed regions;

(a) **85** % for the less developed regions;

Or. es

Amendment 1923

Urmas Paet, Petras Auštrevičius

Proposal for a regulation

Article 106 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point a a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(aa) 80 % for all regions whose GDP per capita used as an eligibility criterion for the 2014-2020 programming period was less than 75 % of the average of the EU-28 but whose GDP per capita is above 75 % of the GDP average of the EU-28.

Or. en

Amendment 1924

Stanislav Polčák

Proposal for a regulation

Article 106 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) 55 % for the transition regions; deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1925

Victor Boştinaru, Maria Gabriela Zoană

Proposal for a regulation

Article 106 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) 55 % for the transition regions; (b) 60 % for the transition regions;

Or. en

Amendment 1926

Lambert van Nistelrooij

Proposal for a regulation

Article 106 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) 55 % for the transition regions; (b) 80 % for the transition regions;

Or. en

Amendment 1927

Martina Michels

Proposal for a regulation

Article 106 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) 55 % for the transition regions; (b) 70 % for the transition regions;

Or. en

Amendment 1928

Fernando Ruas, José Manuel Fernandes

Proposal for a regulation

Article 106.^o – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) 55 % for the transition regions;

(b) 70 % for the transition regions;

Or. pt

Amendment 1929

Daniel Buda, Iuliu Winkler

Proposal for a regulation

Article 106 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) 55 % for the transition regions;

(b) 70 % for the transition regions;

Or. en

Amendment 1930

Rosa D’Amato, Isabella Adinolfi, Marco Zullo

Proposal for a regulation

Article 106 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) 55 % for the transition regions;

(b) 70 % for the transition regions;

Or. en

Amendment 1931

Tomasz Piotr Poreba, Sławomir Kłosowski, Laurențiu Rebega

Proposal for a regulation

Article 106 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) 55 % for the transition regions;

(b) 65 % for the transition regions;

Or. en

Amendment 1932

Younous Omarjee, Martina Michels

Proposal for a regulation

Article 106 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) 55 % for the transition regions;

(b) 70 % for the transition regions;

Or. fr

Amendment 1933

Marc Joulaud, Maurice Ponga

Proposal for a regulation

Article 106 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) 55 % for the transition regions;

(b) 70 % for the transition regions;

Or. en

Justification

As shown in the 7th cohesion report, middle income regions need support. Between 2000 and 2015, their GDP per head growth was far below the EU average. As proposed by the Committee of the Regions, the co-financing rate for transition regions should be raised by more than 5 points compared to the Commission's proposal.

Amendment 1934

Iratxe García Pérez, Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Proposal for a regulation

Article 106 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) 55 % for the transition regions;

(b) 60 % for the transition regions;

Or. es

Amendment 1935

Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso

Proposal for a regulation

Article 106 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) 55 % for the transition regions;

(b) 70 % for the transition regions;

Or. en

Amendment 1936

Monika Vana

Proposal for a regulation

Article 106 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) 55 % for the transition regions;

(b) 60 % for the transition regions;

Or. en

Amendment 1937

Norica Nicolai

Proposal for a regulation

Article 106 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) 55 % for the transition regions;

(b) 60 % for the transition regions;

Or. en

Amendment 1938

Urmas Paet, Petras Auštrevičius

Proposal for a regulation

Article 106 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) 55 % for the transition regions;

(b) 60 % for the transition regions;

Or. en

Amendment 1939

Tamás Deutsch

Proposal for a regulation

Article 106 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) 55 % for the transition regions;

(b) 60 % for the transition regions;

Or. en

Justification

Lower co-financing rates hit especially hard on smaller beneficiaries and projects. They have a discriminatory effect on less financially powerful beneficiaries.