



2015/2258(INI)

24.2.2016

AMENDMENTS

1 - 65

Draft opinion

Davor Skrlec

(PE569.624v01-00)

on Implementation of the UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities with special regard to the Concluding Observations of the UN CRPD Committee
(2015/2258(INI))

Amendment 1
Marie-Christine Arnautu

Draft opinion
Recital A

Draft opinion

A. whereas the needs of people with disabilities in the fields of transport, mobility and tourism match business opportunities for transport and mobility services and can lead to win-win situations in serving people with reduced mobility, as well as all other users of these services;

Amendment

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 2
Ádám Kósa, Andor Deli

Draft opinion
Recital A

Draft opinion

A. whereas the needs of people with disabilities in the fields of transport, mobility and tourism match business opportunities for transport and mobility services and can lead to win-win situations in serving people with reduced mobility, as well as all other users of these services;

Amendment

A. whereas the needs of people with disabilities in the fields of transport, mobility and tourism match business opportunities for transport and mobility services and can lead to win-win situations in serving people with ***all types of disabilities (including but not limited to people with reduced mobility, blind people, deaf and hard-of-hearing people; people with autism, people with intellectual or psychosocial disabilities etc.)*** as well as all other users of these services ***in the spirit of "design for all"***;

Or. en

Amendment 3
Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Draft opinion
Recital A

Draft opinion

A. whereas the needs of people with disabilities in the fields of transport, mobility and tourism match business opportunities for transport and mobility services and can lead to win-win situations in serving people with reduced mobility, as well as all other users of these services;

Amendment

A. whereas the needs of people with disabilities-**functional diversity and reduced mobility** in the fields of transport, mobility and tourism match business **innovation** opportunities for transport and mobility services and can lead to win-win situations in serving people with reduced mobility, as well as all other users of these services;

Or. es

Amendment 4
Isabella De Monte

Draft opinion
Recital A

Draft opinion

A. whereas the needs of people with disabilities in the fields of transport, mobility and tourism match business opportunities for transport and mobility services and can lead to win-win situations in serving people with reduced mobility, as well as all other users of these services;

Amendment

A. whereas the needs of people with disabilities in the fields of transport, mobility and tourism match business opportunities for transport and mobility services and can lead to win-win situations in serving people with reduced mobility **or who are visually impaired**, as well as all other users of these services;

Or. it

Amendment 5
Lucy Anderson, István Ujhelyi, Ismail Ertug, Olga Sehnalová

Draft opinion
Recital A a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Aa. whereas the Treaty on the Functioning of the EU (TFEU) requires the Union to combat discrimination based on disability when defining and implementing its policies and activities (Article 10) and gives it the power to adopt legislation to address such discrimination (Article 19);

Or. en

Amendment 6
Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Draft opinion
Recital A a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Aa. whereas there are 80 million people with disabilities-functional diversity in Europe, i.e. a sixth of the population;

Or. es

Amendment 7
Marie-Christine Arnautu

Draft opinion
Recital A a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Aa. whereas, although it is desirable for people with disabilities to have access to transport and to various tourist establishments, it is important to note that applying overly strict accessibility standards often involves significant costs which may jeopardise the economic viability of the businesses forced to implement them even if they are contrary

to reason;

Or. fr

Amendment 8

Lucy Anderson, István Ujhelyi, Ismail Ertug, Olga Sehnalová

Draft opinion

Recital A b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Ab. whereas Articles 21 and 26 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the EU explicitly prohibit discrimination on the ground of disability, and provide for equal participation of the persons with disabilities in society;

Or. en

Amendment 9

Marie-Christine Arnautu

Draft opinion

Recital A b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Ab. whereas in the tourism sector, and particularly in the hospitality sector, many businesses are closing down, or are planning to, because of disproportionate accessibility requirements;

Or. fr

Amendment 10

Lucy Anderson, István Ujhelyi, Olga Sehnalová

Draft opinion

Recital A c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Ac. whereas in its reactions to the European Parliament's resolution on "Europe, the world's No 1 tourist destination – a new political framework for tourism in Europe", adopted by the Commission on 13 December 2011, the Commission acknowledged the need to ensure a seamless chain of accessible services to all (transport, accommodation, catering, and attractions), and to this end, it has started to put in place actions to raise awareness, improve the skills in the touristic sector, and ultimately increase the quality of tourist facilities for those with special needs or disabilities;

Or. en

Amendment 11
Marie-Christine Arnautu

Draft opinion
Recital A c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Ac. whereas the deadlines for implementing accessibility standards need to be flexible; whereas the authorities must make reasoned requests; whereas consultation at local level is most often necessary to respond to requirements and to the specific constraints of the various stakeholders;

Or. fr

Amendment 12
Marie-Christine Arnautu

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Urges the Commission and the Council to look after the needs of people with disabilities ***better, and to take such needs consistently into account*** when reviewing EU regulations, such as those on passenger rights in different modes of transport (Regulations (EC) No 1107/2006 and (EC) No 261/2004 on air transport, Regulation (EC) No 1371/2007 on rail transport, Regulation (EU) No 1177/2010 on waterborne transport and Regulation (EU) No 181/2011 on bus and coach transport);

Amendment

1. Urges the Commission and the Council to look after the needs of people with disabilities ***and to ensure that the obligations imposed on transport services and tourist establishments do not jeopardise the sustainability of their activities; calls, therefore, on the Commission and the Council to pay close attention to maintaining a balanced legal framework*** when reviewing EU regulations, such as those on passenger rights in different modes of transport (Regulations (EC) No 1107/2006 and (EC) No 261/2004 on air transport, Regulation (EC) No 1371/2007 on rail transport, Regulation (EU) No 1177/2010 on waterborne transport and Regulation (EU) No 181/2011 on bus and coach transport);

Or. fr

Amendment 13
Dieter-Lebrecht Koch

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Urges the Commission and the Council to look after the needs of people with disabilities better, and to take such needs consistently into account when reviewing EU regulations, such as those on passenger rights in different modes of transport (Regulations (EC) No 1107/2006 and (EC) No 261/2004 on air transport, Regulation (EC) No 1371/2007 on rail transport, Regulation (EU) No 1177/2010 on waterborne transport and Regulation (EU) No 181/2011 on bus and coach transport);

Amendment

1. Urges the Commission and the Council to look after the needs of people with disabilities better, and to take such needs consistently into account when reviewing EU regulations, such as those on passenger rights in different modes of transport (Regulations (EC) No 1107/2006 and (EC) No 261/2004 on air transport, Regulation (EC) No 1371/2007 on rail transport, Regulation (EU) No 1177/2010 on waterborne transport and Regulation (EU) No 181/2011 on bus and coach transport), ***and when drawing up legislation, for example on passenger rights in the context of multimodal journeys;***

Amendment 14
Ádám Kósa, Andor Deli

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Urges the Commission and the Council to look after the needs of people with disabilities better, and to take such needs consistently into account when reviewing EU regulations, such as those on passenger rights in different modes of transport (Regulations (EC) No 1107/2006 and (EC) No 261/2004 on air transport, Regulation (EC) No 1371/2007 on rail transport, Regulation (EU) No 1177/2010 on waterborne transport and Regulation (EU) No 181/2011 on bus and coach transport);

Amendment

1. Urges the Commission and the Council to look after the needs of people with disabilities better, and to take such needs consistently into account when reviewing EU regulations, such as those on passenger rights in different modes of transport (Regulations (EC) No 1107/2006 and (EC) No 261/2004 on air transport, Regulation (EC) No 1371/2007 on rail transport, Regulation (EU) No 1177/2010 on waterborne transport and Regulation (EU) No 181/2011 on bus and coach transport) ***and stresses that the European Union has committed itself to a barrier-free Europe in 2010 in the European Disability Strategy 2010-2020;***

Amendment 15
Marie-Christine Arnautu

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. Believes that accessibility standards should be applied in consultation with the local authorities, representatives of associations of disabled persons and professionals in the sectors concerned; believes, furthermore, that the rules on accessibility should be relaxed and that compromises must be found between

disabled people's needs and the financial obligations placed on transport services and tourist establishments;

Or. fr

Amendment 16
Marie-Christine Arnautu

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1b. Considers it necessary to grant exemptions in cases where the preservation of architectural heritage is at stake, where the environment or structure of the building makes alterations technically impossible without huge investment and where the work to be undertaken is clearly disproportionate to the economic benefits; calls on the Member States and the competent authorities to be more measured in imposing penalties on those who fail to comply with the standards;

Or. fr

Amendment 17
Marie-Christine Arnautu

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

Amendment

2. Calls on the Member States to take all necessary steps towards the implementation of EU legislation aimed at improving transport accessibility (including bus, rail, air and waterborne transport) by strengthening the competences of the relevant enforcement

2. Warns the Member States and the competent authorities against rigid implementation of EU legislation aimed at improving transport accessibility; calls on them to give flexible instructions to the authorities responsible for enforcement of passenger rights legislation so that they

bodies under passenger rights legislation;

can respond to often very different situations;

Or. fr

Amendment 18

Ádám Kósa, Andor Deli

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Calls on the Member States to take all necessary steps towards the implementation of EU legislation aimed at improving transport accessibility (including bus, rail, air and waterborne transport) by strengthening the competences of the relevant enforcement bodies under passenger rights legislation;

Amendment

2. Calls on the European Union strengthen the monitoring of the implementation of legislation on passenger rights and to harmonize the work of the national enforcement bodies and calls on the Member States to take all necessary steps towards the implementation of EU legislation aimed at improving transport accessibility (including bus, rail, air and waterborne transport) by strengthening the competences of the relevant enforcement bodies under passenger rights legislation in order to ensure the effective and equal enjoyment of rights by all passengers with disabilities across the European Union;

Or. en

Amendment 19

Lucy Anderson, István Ujhelyi, Ismail Ertug, Olga Sehnalová

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Calls on the Member States to take all necessary steps towards the implementation of EU legislation aimed at improving transport accessibility (including bus, rail, air and waterborne

Amendment

2. Calls on the Member States to take all necessary steps towards the implementation of EU legislation aimed at improving transport accessibility (including bus, rail, air and waterborne

transport) by strengthening the competences of the relevant enforcement bodies under passenger rights legislation;

transport) **and tackle the obstacles to a barrier-free Europe** by strengthening the competences of the relevant enforcement bodies under passenger rights legislation; **acknowledges the benefits of the European Disability Forum to promote all efforts and goals achieved in this regard;**

Or. en

Amendment 20
Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Calls on the Member States to take all necessary steps towards the implementation of EU legislation aimed at improving transport accessibility (including bus, rail, air and waterborne transport) by strengthening the competences of the relevant enforcement bodies under passenger rights legislation;

Amendment

2. Calls on the Member States to take all necessary steps towards the implementation of EU legislation aimed at improving transport accessibility **at local, regional and state level** (including bus, rail, air and waterborne transport, **and also including stations, airports and ports**) by strengthening the competences of the relevant enforcement bodies under passenger rights legislation;

Or. es

Amendment 21
Rolandas Paksas

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Calls on the Member States to take all necessary steps towards the implementation of EU legislation aimed at improving transport accessibility (including bus, rail, air and waterborne transport) by strengthening the

Amendment

2. Calls on the Member States to take all necessary steps towards the implementation of EU legislation aimed at improving transport accessibility (including bus, rail, air and waterborne transport) by the

competences of the relevant enforcement bodies under passenger rights legislation;

competences of the relevant enforcement bodies under passenger rights legislation, ***including accessibility and standardisation, harmonisation, technical requirements, incentives for businesses, trade union policy, and collective agreements;***

Or. It

Amendment 22
Inés Ayala Sender

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Calls on the Member States to take all necessary steps towards the implementation of EU legislation aimed at improving transport accessibility (including ***bus***, rail, air and waterborne transport) by strengthening the competences of the relevant enforcement bodies under passenger rights legislation;

Amendment

2. Calls on the Member States to take all necessary steps towards the implementation of EU legislation aimed at improving transport accessibility (including ***buses and taxis, urban public transport***, rail, air and waterborne transport) by strengthening the competences of the relevant enforcement bodies under passenger rights legislation;

Or. es

Amendment 23
Isabella De Monte

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Calls on the Member States to take all necessary steps towards the implementation of EU legislation aimed at improving ***transport*** accessibility (including bus, rail, air and waterborne transport) by strengthening the competences of the relevant enforcement bodies under passenger rights legislation;

Amendment

2. Calls on the Member States to take all necessary steps towards the implementation of EU legislation aimed at improving accessibility ***for transport and tourism purposes*** (including bus, rail, air and waterborne transport) by strengthening the competences of the relevant enforcement bodies under passenger rights

legislation;

Or. it

Amendment 24
Dieter-Lebrecht Koch

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Calls on the Member States to take all necessary steps towards the implementation of EU legislation aimed at improving transport accessibility (including bus, rail, air and waterborne transport) by strengthening the competences of the relevant enforcement bodies under passenger rights legislation;

Amendment

2. Calls on the Member States to take all necessary steps towards the implementation of EU legislation aimed at improving transport accessibility (including bus, rail, air and waterborne transport), *for example* by strengthening the competences of the relevant enforcement bodies under passenger rights legislation;

Or. de

Amendment 25
Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. Calls on the Commission and Member States to make progress on implementing the European Disability Strategy 2010-2020;

Or. es

Amendment 26
Inés Ayala Sender

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. Calls on the Commission to clarify the responsibilities of each of the actors involved in providing care for people with reduced mobility, with particular regard to transfers between different modes of transport, and to provide Parliament with information on the participation of associations for people with disabilities and their role in the implementation of passenger rights regulations;

Or. es

Amendment 27
Marie-Christine Arnautu

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

Amendment

3. Strongly believes in the advantages of common accessibility rules at EU level, and therefore looks forward to the swift adoption of the Commission's proposal for the European Accessibility Act¹;

deleted

¹ COM(2015)0615.

Or. fr

Amendment 28
Ádám Kósa, Andor Deli

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Strongly believes in the advantages of common accessibility rules at EU level, and therefore looks forward to the swift adoption of the Commission's proposal for the European Accessibility Act¹;

¹ COM(2015)0615.

Amendment

3. Strongly believes in the advantages of common accessibility rules at EU level, and therefore looks forward to the swift adoption of the Commission's proposal for the European Accessibility Act¹***which will ensure that the air, bus, rail and waterborne passenger transport services, the websites, the mobile device-based services, smart ticketing and real-time information and Self-service terminals, ticketing machines and check-in machines used for provision of passenger transport services are fully accessible for people with disabilities;***

¹ COM(2015)0615.

Or. en

Amendment 29

Lucy Anderson, István Ujhelyi, Ismail Ertug, Olga Sehnalová

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 3**

Draft opinion

3. Strongly believes in the advantages of common accessibility rules at EU level, and therefore looks forward to the swift adoption of the Commission's proposal for the European Accessibility Act¹;

Amendment

3. Strongly believes in the advantages of common accessibility rules at EU level, and therefore looks forward to the swift adoption of the Commission's proposal for the European Accessibility Act¹ ***including much stronger EU-wide guarantees of accessibility of transport services especially as regards interchanges and step-free access on all public metro and railways; regrets that the mid-term assessment of the European Disability Strategy 2010-2020, due in 2015, has been delayed and calls the Commission to complete and communicate this assessment as soon as possible;***

¹ COM(2015)0615.

¹ COM(2015)0615.

Or. en

Amendment 30
Tomasz Piotr Poreba, Kosma Złotowski

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Strongly believes in the advantages of common accessibility rules at EU level, and therefore looks forward to the swift adoption of the Commission's proposal for the European Accessibility Act¹;

Amendment

3. Strongly believes in the advantages of common accessibility rules at EU level, and therefore looks forward to the swift adoption of the Commission's proposal for the European Accessibility Act¹; ***however draws attention that tourist services of a cross-border nature are not covered by here-above proposal;***

¹ COM(2015)0615.

¹ COM(2015)0615.

Or. en

Amendment 31
Davor Škrlec

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Strongly believes in the advantages of common accessibility rules at EU level, and therefore looks forward to the swift adoption of the Commission's proposal for the European Accessibility Act¹ ;

Amendment

3. Strongly believes in the advantages of common accessibility rules at EU level, and therefore looks forward to the swift adoption of the Commission's proposal for the European Accessibility Act¹; ***emphasises the importance to integrate the needs of disabled passengers for obtaining barrier-free accessibility in the fields of transport, mobility and tourism;***

¹ COM(2015)0615.

¹ COM(2015)0615.

Or. en

Amendment 32

Lucy Anderson, István Ujhelyi, Ismail Ertug, Olga Sehnalová

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. calls on the Commission to propose the ratification by the EU of the optional protocol to the Convention so that disabled people can enforce their rights better, especially as regards their lack of access to transport services and aspects of the built environment including tourist facilities and accommodation;

Or. en

Amendment 33

Davor Škrlec

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Stresses the need to adopt the European Accessibility Act that is instrumental in addressing all the issues related to PRM accessibility in the fields of transport, mobility and tourism;

Or. en

Amendment 34
Marie-Christine Arnautu

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Emphasises that barrier-free accessibility to transport services, vehicles, infrastructure and intermodal connecting hubs is the key to securing mobility systems free from built-in discrimination;

Amendment

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 35
Inés Ayala Sender

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Emphasises that barrier-free accessibility to transport services, vehicles, infrastructure and intermodal connecting hubs is the key to securing mobility systems free from built-in discrimination;

Amendment

4. Emphasises that barrier-free accessibility to transport services, vehicles, infrastructure and intermodal connecting hubs is the key to securing mobility systems free from built-in discrimination; ***points out that the Connecting Europe Facility offers the possibility of financing measures in urban areas and measures to improve accessibility for people with some type of disability, which may amount to up to 10% of adaptation costs;***

Or. es

Amendment 36
Ádám Kósa, Andor Deli

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Emphasises that barrier-free accessibility to transport services, vehicles, infrastructure and intermodal connecting hubs is the key to securing mobility systems free from built-in discrimination;

Amendment

4. Emphasises that barrier-free accessibility to transport services, vehicles, infrastructure and intermodal connecting hubs is the key to securing mobility systems free from built-in discrimination ***and stresses that people with disabilities shall have access to mainstream products and services;***

Or. en

Amendment 37

Tomasz Piotr Poręba, Kosma Złotowski

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Emphasises that barrier-free accessibility to transport services, vehicles, infrastructure and intermodal connecting hubs is the key to securing mobility systems free from built-in discrimination;

Amendment

4. Emphasises that barrier-free accessibility to transport services, vehicles, infrastructure and intermodal connecting hubs is the key to securing mobility systems free from built-in discrimination; ***in this regard stresses that further effort have to be made to make both transport services, vehicles and infrastructure accessible;***

Or. en

Amendment 38

Isabella De Monte

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Emphasises that barrier-free accessibility to transport services, vehicles, infrastructure and intermodal connecting

Amendment

4. Emphasises that barrier-free accessibility to transport services, vehicles, infrastructure and intermodal connecting

hubs is the key to securing mobility systems free from built-in discrimination;

hubs is the key to securing mobility systems free from built-in discrimination *and to encouraging tourism;*

Or. it

Amendment 39
Dieter-Lebrecht Koch

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Emphasises that barrier-free accessibility to transport services, vehicles, infrastructure and intermodal connecting hubs is the key to securing mobility systems free from built-in discrimination;

Amendment

4. Emphasises that barrier-free accessibility to transport services, vehicles, infrastructure and intermodal connecting hubs, *in particular in rural areas*, is the key to securing mobility systems free from built-in discrimination;

Or. de

Amendment 40
Isabella De Monte

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4a. Maintains that accessibility is essential if people with disabilities are to take full advantage of the European tourism offering;

Or. it

Amendment 41
Inés Ayala Sender

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4a. Calls on the Commission, in its annual report on the implementation of TEN-T funds, to publish the progress made on measures and the amount of aid granted for adapting infrastructure to people with disabilities under the Connecting Europe Facility and other types of EU funding; calls likewise on the Commission to take action to promote greater involvement in projects geared to adapting infrastructure to people with disabilities, including among other things information and dissemination sessions for potential developers;

Or. es

**Amendment 42
Inés Ayala Sender**

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 b (new)**

Draft opinion

Amendment

4b. Highlights, in this connection, the importance of financing measures in urban areas, where people have to switch between different modes of transport more frequently and where people with some type of mobility dysfunction encounter most difficulties;

Or. es

**Amendment 43
Ádám Kósa, Andor Deli**

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 5**

Draft opinion

5. Underlines that consistently accessible formats should top the agenda of digitised mobility market policies, and should ***include availability of*** alternative communication systems, ***such as*** easy-to-read language for travel information, booking and ticketing, while making use of more than one sensory channel;

Amendment

5. Underlines that consistently accessible formats should top the agenda of digitised mobility market policies, and should ***facilitate access for all persons with all types of disabilities in accessible languages, formats and technologies appropriate to different kinds of disabilities, including sign languages, Braille, augmentative and*** alternative communication systems ***and other accessible means, modes and formats of communication of their choice, including*** easy-to-read language, ***subtitling and personal text messages*** for travel information, booking and ticketing, while making use of more than one sensory channel;

Or. en

Amendment 44

Lucy Anderson, István Ujhelyi, Ismail Ertug, Olga Sehnalová

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 5**

Draft opinion

5. Underlines that consistently accessible formats should top the agenda of digitised mobility market policies, and should include availability of alternative communication systems, such as easy-to-read language for travel information, booking and ticketing, while making use of more than one sensory channel;

Amendment

5. Underlines that consistently accessible formats should top the agenda of digitised mobility market policies, and should include availability of alternative communication systems, such as easy-to-read language for travel information, booking and ticketing, while making use of more than one sensory channel; ***urges the Commission with regard to transport facilities and services to establish the appropriate monitoring and controlling mechanisms that will ensure that accessibility and assistive devices for people with disabilities will also be offered in public transport services, in all Member States;***

Amendment 45
Olga Sehnalová, Lucy Anderson

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Underlines that consistently accessible formats should top the agenda of digitised mobility market policies, and should include availability of alternative communication systems, such as easy-to-read language for travel information, booking and ticketing, while making use of more than one sensory channel;

Amendment

5. Underlines that consistently accessible formats should top the agenda of digitised mobility market policies, and should include availability of alternative communication systems, such as easy-to-read language ***or pictograms*** for travel information, booking and ticketing, while making use of more than one sensory channel;

Or. en

Amendment 46
Dieter-Lebrecht Koch

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5a. Emphasises that persons with disabilities should be able to obtain information about multi-modal, cross-border services which provide door-to-door transport in such a way that they can choose between the most sustainable, the cheapest or the quickest service, and to book and pay for such services online;

Or. de

Amendment 47
Dieter-Lebrecht Koch

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5b. Calls for real-time travel information to be made available so that, before they set out on a journey, persons with disabilities can obtain information about disruptions or alternative travel arrangements;

Or. de

Amendment 48
Olga Sehnalová, Lucy Anderson

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

Amendment

6. Encourages the Member States and the Commission to proceed with the European Disability Card pilot project, taking into consideration and using where appropriate the latest technological developments, particularly in the fields of intermodality, interconnectivity and interoperability;

6. Welcomes the European Disability Card pilot project; Calls on the involved Member States and the Commission to take into consideration and to use where appropriate the latest technological developments, particularly in the fields of intermodality, interconnectivity and interoperability; Encourages all Member States to join the European Disability Card initiative and calls on the Commission to allocate the necessary financial resources to develop the European Disability Card as an EU-wide project;

Or. en

Amendment 49
Ádám Kósa, Andor Deli

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Encourages the Member States and the Commission to proceed with the European Disability Card pilot project, taking into consideration and using where appropriate the latest technological developments, particularly in the fields of intermodality, interconnectivity and interoperability;

Amendment

6. Encourages the Member States and the Commission to proceed with the European Disability Card pilot project, taking into consideration and using where appropriate the latest technological developments, particularly in the fields of intermodality, interconnectivity and interoperability **and emphasizes that the principle of "universal design" must always be applied when designing products related to air, bus, rail and waterborne passenger transport in order to fully comply with accessibility requirements;**

Or. en

Amendment 50
Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Encourages the Member States and the Commission to proceed with the European Disability Card pilot project, taking into consideration and using where appropriate the latest technological developments, particularly in the fields of intermodality, interconnectivity and interoperability;

Amendment

6. Encourages the Member States and the Commission to proceed with the European Disability-**Functional Diversity** Card pilot project, taking into consideration and using where appropriate the latest technological developments, particularly in the fields of intermodality, interconnectivity and interoperability;

Or. es

Amendment 51
Dieter-Lebrecht Koch

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6a. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to take steps to ensure that persons with disabilities can easily use the 112 emergency number anywhere in Europe;

Or. de

Amendment 52

Ádám Kósa, Andor Deli

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 7**

Draft opinion

7. Stresses that acquired knowledge on the need for barrier-free mobility must be more fully integrated into upcoming research and innovation programmes, such as those within Horizon 2020;

Amendment

7. Stresses that ***special attention shall be paid on assistive technologies which enable the independent living of people with disabilities and that*** acquired knowledge on the need for barrier-free mobility must be more fully integrated into upcoming research and innovation programmes, such as those within Horizon 2020;

Or. en

Amendment 53

Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 7**

Draft opinion

7. Stresses that acquired knowledge on the need for barrier-free mobility must be more fully integrated into upcoming research and innovation programmes, such as those within Horizon 2020;

Amendment

7. Stresses that acquired knowledge on the need for barrier-free mobility must be more fully integrated into upcoming research and innovation programmes, such as those within Horizon 2020; ***points out that this will entail improving and harmonising statistical data at European level and***

sharing best practice;

Or. es

Amendment 54
Davor Škrlec

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Stresses that acquired knowledge on the need for barrier-free mobility must be more fully integrated into upcoming research and innovation programmes, such as those within Horizon 2020;

Amendment

7. Stresses that acquired knowledge on the need for barrier-free mobility ***and tourism services*** must be more fully integrated into upcoming research and innovation programmes, such as those within Horizon 2020;

Or. en

Amendment 55
Ádám Kósa, Andor Deli

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Calls on the Commission to provide an overview of data on accessibility to tourism services for people with disabilities, as provided for in the two annexes to Regulation (EU) No 692/2011 on tourism statistics;

Amendment

8. Calls on the Commission to ***foster research, data collection and exchange of good practices in consultation with representative organizations of persons with disabilities as well as*** to provide an overview of data on accessibility to tourism services for people with disabilities, as provided for in the two annexes to Regulation (EU) No 692/2011 on tourism statistics;

Or. en

Amendment 56
Lucy Anderson, István Ujhelyi, Ismail Ertug, Olga Sehnalová

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Calls on the Commission to provide an overview of data on accessibility to tourism services for people with disabilities, as provided for in the two annexes to Regulation (EU) No 692/2011 on tourism statistics;

Amendment

8. *Recognises that small and medium businesses will benefit from complying with standard EU requirements, rather than having to adjust to divergent national rules; however, regrets that touristic products and services were not included in the European Accessibility Act list; underlines that no further actions were taken at EU level regarding the touristic facilities and services, in order to harmonise gradually the accommodation classification (hotel, B&B, etc.), taking into account accessibility criteria;* calls on the Commission to provide an overview of data on accessibility to tourism services for people with disabilities, as provided for in the two annexes to Regulation (EU) No 692/2011 on tourism statistics;

Or. en

Amendment 57
Davor Škrlec

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Calls on the Commission to provide ***an*** overview of data on accessibility to tourism services for people with disabilities, as provided for in the two annexes to Regulation (EU) No 692/2011 on tourism statistics;

Amendment

8. Calls on the Commission to provide ***a regular*** overview of data on accessibility to tourism services for people with disabilities, as provided for in the two annexes to Regulation (EU) No 692/2011 on tourism statistics;

Or. en

Amendment 58
Olga Sehnalová, Lucy Anderson

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8a. Emphasizes that tourism services must take into account special needs of people with disabilities such as easy access to information, communication and facilities such as rooms, bathrooms, toilets and other interior spaces;

Or. en

Amendment 59
Kateřina Konečná

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

Amendment

9. Asks the relevant authorities in the Member States to facilitate transport staff's training in awareness and disability equality.

9. Asks the relevant authorities in the Member States to ***actively support programmes for the development of qualifications and specialised training for transport staff*** in awareness and disability equality.

Or. cs

Amendment 60
Ádám Kósa, Andor Deli

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

Amendment

9. Asks the relevant authorities in the Member States to facilitate transport staff's training in awareness and disability

9. Asks the relevant authorities in the Member States to ***prepare awareness-raising strategies on the rights of persons***

equality.

with disabilities and to facilitate transport staff's training in awareness and disability equality and urges that training materials are also available in accessible formats.

Or. en

Amendment 61
Olga Sehnalová, Lucy Anderson

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

9. Asks the relevant authorities in the Member States to facilitate transport staff's training in awareness and disability equality.

Amendment

9. Asks the relevant authorities in the Member States to facilitate transport staff's training in awareness and disability equality *especially for those members of staff that are in direct contact with passengers.*

Or. en

Amendment 62
Isabella De Monte

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

9. Asks the relevant authorities in the Member States to facilitate transport staff's training in awareness and disability equality.

Amendment

9. Asks the relevant authorities in the Member States to facilitate transport *and tourism* staff's training in awareness and disability equality.

Or. it

Amendment 63
Salvatore Domenico Pogliese

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

9. Asks the relevant authorities in the Member States to facilitate transport staff's training in awareness and disability equality.

Amendment

9. Asks the relevant authorities in the Member States to facilitate transport staff's training in awareness and disability equality ***and to encourage collaboration and the exchange of good practice among European organisations working in the disability field and public and private bodies responsible for transport.***

Or. it

Amendment 64
Kateřina Konečná

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9a. Stresses that airline staff must be given rigorous training so that airlines can provide adequate services to persons with a disability; stresses that particular attention must be paid to making sure that staff can handle wheelchairs without damaging them.

Or. cs

Amendment 65
Isabella De Monte

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9a. Maintains that the 'tourism for all' principle should be the reference point for any tourism-related action at national, regional, local, or European level; points out that tourism service providers should allow for the needs of people with

disabilities by promoting the adaptation of facilities and staff training activities.

Or. it