



**2018/0061(COD)**

14.9.2018

# **AMENDMENTS**

## **9 - 85**

**Draft opinion**

**István Ujhelyi**

(PE625.431v01-00)

Establishing a Community Code on Visas (Visa Code)

Proposal for a regulation

(COM(2018)0252 – C8-0114/2018 – 2018/0061(COD))



**Amendment 9**  
**Marie-Pierre Vieu**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Recital 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(1) The European Union's common short-stay visa policy has been an integral part to the establishment of an area without internal borders. Visa policy ***should remain an essential tool for facilitating tourism and business, while helping counter security risks and the risk of irregular migration to the Union.***

*Amendment*

(1) The European Union's common short-stay visa policy has been an integral part to the establishment of an area without internal borders. ***A visa policy which respects human rights and fundamental freedoms should facilitate travel by third-country nationals to the EU while guaranteeing free movement of persons and maintaining the security of people within the territory of the Union. The common visa policy should be consistent with other Union policies, including those on freedom of movement, residence and mobility in the Member State of a person's choice.***

Or. fr

**Amendment 10**  
**Marie-Christine Arnautu**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Recital 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(1) The ***European Union's*** common short-stay visa policy ***has been an integral part to the establishment of an area without internal borders. Visa policy should remain an essential tool for facilitating tourism and business, while helping counter security risks and the risk of irregular migration to the Union.***

*Amendment*

(1) The common short-stay visa policy ***of the Member States of the European Union should take into account security risks and the risk of illegal immigration, which is a necessary precondition for preserving a climate favourable to tourism and business.***

Or. fr

**Amendment 11**  
**Marie-Pierre Vieu**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Recital 2**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(2) The Union should use its visa policy in its cooperation with third countries, and ***to ensure a better balance between migration and security concerns, economic considerations and general external relations.***

*Amendment*

(2) The Union should use its visa policy in its cooperation with third countries, and ***will take particular care to respect the obligations incumbent upon each of them under international law, in particular the United Nations Convention on the Status of Refugees, the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, the 1951 Geneva Convention, as well as the 1967 New York Protocol, the UN Convention against Torture and other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment, the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child and other relevant international instruments.***

Or. fr

**Amendment 12**  
**Marie-Christine Arnautu**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Recital 2**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(2) The ***Union*** should use ***its*** visa policy in ***its*** cooperation with third countries, ***and to ensure a better balance between migration and security concerns, economic considerations and general external relations.***

*Amendment*

(2) The ***Member States*** should use ***their common*** visa policy in ***their*** cooperation with third countries, ***in order to place the emphasis on immigration and security concerns, particularly attaching greater importance to these than to economic considerations and general external relations.***

Or. fr

**Amendment 13**  
**Nicola Caputo**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Recital 4**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(4) The visa application procedure should be as easy as possible for applicants. It should be clear which Member State is competent for examining an application for a visa in particular where the intended visit covers several Member States. Where possible, Member States should allow for application forms to be completed and submitted electronically. Deadlines should be established for the various steps of the procedure in particular to allow travellers to plan ahead and avoid peak seasons in consulates.

*Amendment*

(4) The visa application procedure should be as easy as possible for applicants. It should be clear which Member State is competent for examining an application for a visa in particular where the intended visit covers several Member States. Where possible, Member States should allow for application forms to be completed and submitted electronically. Deadlines should be established for the various steps of the procedure in particular to allow travellers to plan ahead and avoid peak seasons in consulates. ***However, as part of the further development of the acquis towards a truly common visa policy, procedures and conditions for issuing visas should be further harmonised and their uniform application be reinforced.***

Or. it

**Amendment 14**  
**Marie-Pierre Vieu**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Recital 4**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(4) The visa application procedure should be as easy as possible for applicants. It should be clear which Member State is competent for examining an application for a visa in particular where the intended visit covers several Member States. Where possible, Member States

*Amendment*

(4) The visa application procedure should be as easy as possible for applicants, ***so as to guarantee freedom of movement and the right to leave the State from which they originally come or in which they reside, without causing discrimination.*** It should be clear which

should allow for application forms to be completed and submitted electronically. Deadlines should be established for the various steps of the procedure in particular to allow travellers to plan ahead and avoid peak seasons in consulates.

Member State is competent for examining an application for a visa in particular where the intended visit covers several Member States. Where possible, Member States should allow for application forms to be completed and submitted electronically. Deadlines should be established for the various steps of the procedure in particular to allow travellers to plan ahead and avoid peak seasons in consulates.

Or. fr

**Amendment 15**  
**Marie-Christine Arnautu**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Recital 4**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(4) The visa application procedure should be *as easy as possible* for applicants. It should be clear which Member State is competent for examining an application for a visa in particular where the intended visit covers several Member States. Where possible, Member States should allow for application forms to be completed and submitted electronically. Deadlines should be established for the various steps of the procedure in particular to allow travellers to plan ahead and avoid peak seasons in consulates.

*Amendment*

(4) The visa application procedure should be easy for applicants *who are in good standing, while facilitating the checks that should be carried out by the competent authorities responsible for considering applications*. It should be clear which Member State is competent for examining an application for a visa in particular where the intended visit covers several Member States. Where possible, Member States should allow for application forms to be completed and submitted electronically. Deadlines should be established for the various steps of the procedure in particular to allow travellers to plan ahead and avoid peak seasons in consulates.

Or. fr

**Amendment 16**  
**Isabella De Monte**

**Proposal for a regulation**

#### Recital 4

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(4) The visa application procedure should be as easy as possible for applicants. It should be clear which Member State is competent for examining an application for a visa in particular where the intended visit covers several Member States. **Where possible**, Member States should allow for application forms to be completed and submitted electronically. Deadlines should be established for the various steps of the procedure in particular to allow travellers to plan **ahead** and avoid peak seasons in consulates.

*Amendment*

(4) The visa application procedure should be as easy **and cheap** as possible for applicants. It should be clear which Member State is competent for examining an application for a visa in particular where the intended visit covers several Member States. Member States should allow for application forms to be completed and submitted electronically. Deadlines should be established for the various steps of the procedure in particular to allow travellers to plan **a reasonable time in advance** and avoid peak seasons in consulates.

Or. it

#### Amendment 17 Maria Grapini

##### Proposal for a regulation Recital 6

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(6) The visa fee should ensure that sufficient financial resources are available to cover the expenses of visa processing, including appropriate structures and sufficient staff to ensure the quality and integrity of the examination of visa applications. The amount of the visa fee should be revised **on a two-yearly basis** on the basis of objective criteria.

*Amendment*

(6) The visa fee should ensure that sufficient financial resources are available to cover the expenses of visa processing, including appropriate structures and sufficient staff to ensure the quality and integrity of the examination of visa applications. The amount of the visa fee should be revised **every two years** on the basis of objective **assessment** criteria.

Or. ro

#### Amendment 18 Isabella De Monte

##### Proposal for a regulation Recital 6

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(6) The visa fee should ensure that sufficient financial resources are available to cover the expenses of visa processing, including appropriate structures and sufficient staff to ensure the quality and integrity of the examination of visa applications. The amount of the visa fee should be revised on a two-yearly basis on the basis of objective criteria.

*Amendment*

(6) The visa fee should ensure that sufficient financial resources are available to cover the expenses of visa processing, including appropriate structures and sufficient staff to ensure the quality, *speed* and integrity of the examination of visa applications. The amount of the visa fee should be revised on a two-yearly basis on the basis of objective criteria.

Or. it

**Amendment 19**  
**Marie-Christine Arnautu**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Recital 7**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(7) To ensure that nationals of third countries subject to the visa requirement can lodge their visa application in their place of residence even if no Member State is present for the purpose of collecting applications, external service providers should be enabled to provide the necessary service for a fee exceeding the general maximum level.

*Amendment*

(7) To ensure that nationals of third countries subject to the visa requirement can lodge their visa application in their place of residence even if no Member State is present for the purpose of collecting applications, external service providers, *duly certified and regularly monitored*, should be enabled to provide the necessary service for a fee exceeding the general maximum level.

Or. fr

**Amendment 20**  
**Isabella De Monte**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Recital 8**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(8) Representation arrangements should be streamlined and obstacles to the

*Amendment*

(8) Representation arrangements should be streamlined *and eased* and



conclusion of such arrangements among Member States should be avoided. The representing Member State should be responsible for the entire processing of visa applications without the involvement of the represented Member State.

obstacles to the conclusion of such arrangements among Member States should be avoided. The representing Member State should be responsible for the entire processing of visa applications without the involvement of the represented Member State.

Or. it

**Amendment 21**  
**Marie-Pierre Vieu**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Recital 10**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(10) Given the differences in local circumstances notably with regard to migratory and security risks, as well as the relationships that the Union maintains with specific countries, Member States' diplomatic missions and consular posts in individual locations should assess the need to adapt the general provisions to allow for a more favourable or more restrictive application. More favourable approaches in issuing multiple-entry visas with a long period of validity should take into account, in particular, the existence of trade agreements covering the mobility of business persons, and the third country's cooperation on the readmission of irregular migrants.***

***deleted***

Or. fr

**Amendment 22**  
**Marie-Christine Arnautu**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Recital 10**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(10) Given the differences in local circumstances notably with regard to migratory and security risks, as well as the relationships that the Union *maintains* with specific countries, *Member States'* diplomatic missions and consular posts in individual locations should assess the need to adapt the general provisions to allow for a more favourable or more restrictive application. *More favourable* approaches in issuing multiple-entry visas with a long period of validity should take into account, in particular, the existence of trade agreements covering the mobility of business persons, and the *third country's* cooperation on the readmission of irregular migrants.

*Amendment*

(10) Given the differences in local circumstances notably with regard to migratory and security risks, as well as the relationships that the *Member States of the* Union *maintain* with specific countries, *their* diplomatic missions and consular posts in individual locations should assess the need to adapt the general provisions to allow for a more favourable or more restrictive application. Approaches in issuing multiple-entry visas with a long period of validity should take into account, in particular, the existence of trade agreements covering the mobility of business persons, and the *degree of* cooperation *by the third country* on the readmission of irregular migrants.

Or. fr

**Amendment 23**  
**Marie-Pierre Vieu**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Recital 11**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

***(11) In case of lack of cooperation of certain third countries to readmit their nationals apprehended in an irregular situation and failure of those third countries to cooperate effectively in the return process, a restrictive and temporary application of certain provisions of Regulation (EC) No 810/2009 should on the basis of a transparent mechanism based on objective criteria, be applied to enhance a given third country's cooperation on readmission of irregular migrants.***

*Amendment*

***deleted***

Or. fr

**Amendment 24**  
**Marie-Christine Arnautu**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Recital 11**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(11) In case of lack of cooperation of certain third countries to readmit their nationals apprehended in an irregular situation and failure of those third countries to cooperate effectively in the return process, ***a restrictive and temporary application of*** certain provisions of Regulation (EC) No 810/2009 should on the basis of a ***transparent mechanism based on objective criteria***, be applied ***to enhance a given third country's cooperation on readmission of irregular migrants***.

*Amendment*

(11) In case of lack of cooperation of certain third countries to readmit their nationals apprehended in an irregular situation and failure of those third countries to cooperate effectively in the return process, certain provisions of Regulation (EC) No 810/2009 should, on the basis of a mechanism ***adopted by the Council***, be applied ***restrictively until such time as the authorities of the countries concerned have taken the necessary corrective measures. If the cooperation does not improve, Member States should be permitted to suspend the issuing of visas***.

Or. fr

**Amendment 25**  
**Marie-Christine Arnautu**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Recital 12**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(12) Applicants who have been refused a visa should have the right ***to appeal which should, at a certain stage of the proceedings, guarantee an effective judicial*** appeal. ***More detailed information on the refusal grounds and procedures for appeal of negative decisions should be provided in the notification of the refusal***.

*Amendment*

(12) Applicants who have been refused a visa should have the right ***of administrative*** appeal ***to the consular authority***.

Or. fr

## Amendment 26

Nicola Caputo

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Recital 12

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

(12) Applicants who have been refused a visa should have the right to appeal which should, at a certain stage of the proceedings, guarantee an effective judicial appeal. More detailed information on the refusal grounds and procedures for appeal of negative decisions should be provided in the notification of the refusal.

##### *Amendment*

(12) Applicants who have been refused a visa should have the right to appeal which should, at a certain stage of the proceedings, guarantee an effective judicial appeal. More detailed information on the refusal grounds and procedures for appeal of negative decisions should be provided in the notification of the refusal. ***Where a decision is overturned on appeal, the applicant should be given the possibility to claim compensation for losses occurred as a result of the wrongful decision.***

Or. it

## Amendment 27

Maria Grapini

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Recital 12

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

(12) Applicants who have been refused a visa should have the right to appeal which should, at a certain stage of the proceedings, ***guarantee*** an effective judicial appeal. More detailed information on the refusal grounds and procedures for appeal of negative decisions should be provided in the notification of the refusal.

##### *Amendment*

(12) Applicants who have been refused a visa should have the right to appeal which should, at a certain stage of the proceedings, ***open the door to*** an effective judicial appeal. More detailed information on the refusal grounds and procedures for appeal of decisions ***rejecting the appeal*** should be provided in the notification of the refusal.

Or. ro

## Amendment 28

Marie-Christine Arnautu

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Recital 13**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(13) The issuing of visas at the external border should remain exceptional.  
***However, to promote short term tourism, Member States should be authorised to issue visas at the external border on the basis of temporary schemes, for which the organisational arrangements should be notified and published. Such schemes should be limited in scope and comply with the general rules for processing visa applications. The validity of the visa issued should be limited to the territory of the issuing Member State.***

*Amendment*

(13) The issuing of visas at the external border should remain exceptional.

Or. fr

**Amendment 29**  
**Marie-Pierre Vieu**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Recital 13**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(13) The issuing of visas at the external border should ***remain exceptional.***  
***However,*** to promote ***short term*** tourism, Member States should be authorised to issue visas at the external border on the basis of temporary schemes, for which the organisational arrangements should be notified and published. Such schemes should be limited in scope and comply with the general rules for processing visa applications. The validity of the visa issued should be limited to the territory of the issuing Member State.

*Amendment*

(13) The issuing of visas at the external border should ***enable Member States, inter alia,*** to promote ***short-term*** tourism, ***and*** Member States should be authorised to issue visas at the external border on the basis of temporary schemes, for which the organisational arrangements should be notified and published. Such schemes should be limited in scope and comply with the general rules for processing visa applications. The validity of the visa issued should be limited to the territory of the issuing Member State.

Or. fr

**Amendment 30**  
**Marie-Pierre Vieu**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Recital 13 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(13a) This Regulation respects fundamental rights and observes the rights and principles recognised in particular by the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union. In particular, it seeks to ensure full respect for the right to the protection of personal data as set out in Article 16 TFEU, the right to private and family life as set out in Article 7, the right to asylum as set out in Article 18 and the rights of the child as set out in Article 24 of that Charter.***

Or. fr

**Amendment 31**  
**Marie-Christine Arnautu**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Recital 14**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(14) Local ***Schengen*** cooperation is crucial for the harmonised application of the common visa policy and for proper assessment of migratory and security risks. ***Cooperation and exchanges among Member States' diplomatic missions and consular posts in individual locations should be coordinated by Union Delegations.*** They should assess the operational application of specific provisions in the light of local circumstances and migratory risk.

(14) Local cooperation ***between the diplomatic and consular representations of Member States in each territorial area*** is crucial for the harmonised application of the common visa policy and for proper assessment of migratory and security risks. They should ***communicate with one another*** and assess the operational application of specific provisions in the light of local circumstances and migratory risk.

Or. fr

**Amendment 32**  
**Marie-Christine Arnautu**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Recital 15**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(15) Member States should closely and regularly monitor the operations of external service providers to ensure compliance with the legal instrument governing the responsibilities entrusted with the external service provider. Member States should report to the Commission annually on the cooperation with and monitoring of external service providers. Member States should ensure that the entire procedure for the processing of visa applications and the cooperation with external service providers is monitored by expatriate staff.

*Amendment*

(15) Member States should closely and regularly monitor the operations of external service providers to ensure compliance with the legal instrument governing the responsibilities entrusted with the external service provider. Member States should report to the Commission **and their national representation** annually on the cooperation with and monitoring of external service providers. Member States should ensure that the entire procedure for the processing of visa applications and the cooperation with external service providers is monitored by expatriate staff.

Or. fr

**Amendment 33**  
**Nicola Caputo**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Recital 16**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(16) Flexible rules should be established to allow Member States to optimise the sharing of resources and to increase consular coverage. Cooperation among Member States (Schengen Visa Centres) could take any form suited to local circumstances in order to increase geographical consular coverage, reduce Member States' costs, increase the visibility of the Union and improve the service offered to visa applicants.

*Amendment*

(16) Flexible rules should be established to allow Member States to optimise the sharing of resources and to increase consular coverage. Cooperation among Member States (Schengen Visa Centres) could take any form suited to local circumstances in order to increase geographical consular coverage, reduce Member States' costs, increase the visibility of the Union and improve the service offered to visa applicants. **The common visa policy should contribute to generating growth and be coherent with other Union policies, such as those**

*concerning external relations, trade, education, culture and tourism.*

Or. it

**Amendment 34**  
**Marie-Christine Arnautu**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Recital 16**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(16) Flexible rules should be established to allow Member States to optimise the sharing of resources and to increase consular coverage. Cooperation among Member States (Schengen Visa Centres) could take any form suited to local circumstances in order to increase geographical consular coverage, reduce Member States' costs, ***increase the visibility of the Union*** and improve the service offered to visa applicants.

*Amendment*

(16) Flexible rules should be established to allow Member States to optimise the sharing of resources and to increase consular coverage. Cooperation among Member States (Schengen Visa Centres) could take any form suited to local circumstances in order to increase geographical consular coverage, reduce Member States' costs and improve the service offered to visa applicants.

Or. fr

**Amendment 35**  
**Jozo Radoš, Izaskun Bilbao Barandica**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Recital 17**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(17) Electronic visa application systems developed by Member States help to facilitate application procedures for applicants and consulates. A common solution ***allowing*** full digitisation should be developed, making full use of the recent legal and technological developments.

*Amendment*

(17) Electronic visa application systems developed by Member States help to facilitate application procedures for applicants and consulates. A common solution ***ensuring*** full digitisation should be developed, making full use of the recent legal and technological developments. ***Electronic visa application system should be fully accessible for the people with disabilities. Straightforward and***



*streamlined procedural guarantees should be strengthened and uniformly applied.*

Or. en

### **Amendment 36**

**Nicola Caputo**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

##### **Recital 17**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(17) Electronic visa application systems developed by Member States help to facilitate application procedures for applicants and consulates. A common solution allowing full digitisation should be developed, making full use of the recent legal and technological developments.

*Amendment*

(17) Electronic visa application systems developed by Member States help to facilitate application procedures for applicants and consulates. A common solution allowing full digitisation should be developed, making full use of the recent legal and technological developments *so as to allow applicants to apply for a visa online, in order to accommodate the needs of applicants and attract more visitors to the Schengen area.*

Or. it

### **Amendment 37**

**Cláudia Monteiro de Aguiar**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

##### **Recital 17**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(17) Electronic visa application systems developed by Member States help to facilitate application procedures for applicants and consulates. A common solution allowing full digitisation should be developed, making full use of the recent legal and technological developments.

*Amendment*

(17) Electronic visa application systems developed by Member States help to facilitate application procedures for applicants and consulates. A common solution, *an online platform and an EU E-visa*, allowing full digitisation should be developed *until 2025*, making full use of the recent legal and technological developments.

**Amendment 38**  
**Isabella De Monte**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Recital 17**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(17) Electronic visa application systems developed by Member States *help* to facilitate application procedures for applicants and consulates. A common solution allowing full digitisation should be developed, making full use of the recent legal and technological developments.

*Amendment*

(17) Electronic visa application systems developed by Member States *are essential in order* to facilitate application procedures for applicants and consulates. A common solution allowing full digitisation should be developed, making full use of the recent legal and technological developments.

Or. it

**Amendment 39**  
**Cláudia Monteiro de Aguiar**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 1 a (new)**  
Regulation (EC) No 810/2009  
Article 1 – paragraph 4 new

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(1a) The European Commission shall present an electronic visa application, E-visa, by 2025.**

Or. en

*Justification*

*The Union needs an online platform for efficient and transparent visa processing and an EU e-visa, avoiding multiple electronic visa application systems developed by Member States by 2025.*

**Amendment 40**  
**Cláudia Monteiro de Aguiar**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 2 – point d a (new)**

Regulation (EC) No 810/2009

Article 2 – new point

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(da) "Sport and Culture Professionals" means third-country nationals who are not citizens of the Union within the meaning of Article 20(1) of the Treaty, and belong to the following categories: performing artists and their support staff, elite sports persons and their support staff.**

Or. en

*Justification*

*It facilitates the ability to draft specific rules for such a specific group of legitimate travellers.*

**Amendment 41**

**Cláudia Monteiro de Aguiar**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 7 – point a**

Regulation (EC) No 810/2009

Article 9 – paragraph 1

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

Applications may be lodged no more than six months, and for seafarers in the performance of their duties, no more than nine months before the start of the intended visit and, as a rule, no later than 15 calendar days before that start.;

Applications may be lodged no more than six months, and for seafarers, **sport and culture professionals** in the performance of their duties, no more than nine months before the start of the intended visit and, as a rule, no later than 15 calendar days before that start.;

Or. en

*Justification*

*Certain categories of travellers would strongly benefit from lodging applications earlier, such as seafarers and sport and culture professionals.*

## Amendment 42

Jozo Radoš, Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 10 – point a

Regulation (EC) No 810/2009

Article 14 – paragraph 4

#### *Text proposed by the Commission*

4. Member States may require applicants to present a proof of sponsorship **and** private accommodation or **both** by completing **a** form drawn up by each Member State. That form shall indicate in particular:

#### *Amendment*

4. Member States may require applicants to present a proof of sponsorship, private accommodation or ***proof of sufficient means to cover expenses, including confirmation from inbound agent that accommodation arrangements are being handled*** by completing form drawn up by each Member State. That form shall indicate in particular:

Or. en

#### *Justification*

*In order to avoid unexpected documentary delay, requirements for supporting documents should be uniform. Tour operators organizing group travel typically cannot make a hotel reservation until the group size is known, that size being dependent on successful number of visa applicants. Therefore, proof of specific accommodation during application process is often unavailable.*

## Amendment 43

Marie-Christine Arnautu

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Article premier – paragraph 1 – point 10 – point a

Regulation (EC) No 810/2009

Article 14 – paragraph 4

#### *Text proposed by the Commission*

4. Member States **may** require applicants to present a proof of sponsorship and private accommodation or both by completing a form drawn up by each Member State. That form shall indicate in

#### *Amendment*

4. Member States **shall** require applicants to present a proof of sponsorship and private accommodation or both by completing a form drawn up by each Member State. That form shall indicate in

particular:

particular:

Or. fr

*Justification*

*The proof of sponsorship to be provided by the visa applicant must be made non-optional in order to limit the risks of irregular immigration.*

**Amendment 44**  
**Marie-Pierre Vieu**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article premier – paragraph 1 – point 10 – point a**  
Regulation (EC) No 810/2009  
Article 14 – paragraph 4 c

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(c) *the identity and contact details of the sponsor/inviting person;*** ***deleted***

Or. fr

**Amendment 45**  
**Marie-Pierre Vieu**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article premier – paragraph 1 – point 10 – point a**  
Regulation (EC) No 810/2009  
Article 14 – paragraph 4 d

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(d) *the applicant(s);*** ***deleted***

Or. fr

**Amendment 46**  
**Jozo Radoš, Izaskun Bilbao Barandica**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 10 – point a**

Regulation (EC) No 810/2009  
Article 14 – paragraph 4 e

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(e) the address of the accommodation;

(e) the address of the accommodation;  
***if travel is organized by a tour operator  
proof of sufficient means to cover  
expenses or confirmation from inbound  
agent that accommodation arrangements  
are being handle;***

Or. en

*Justification*

*In order to avoid unexpected documentary delay, requirements for supporting documents should be uniform. Tour operators organizing group travel typically cannot make a hotel reservation until the group size is known, that size being dependent on successful number of visa applicants. Therefore, proof of specific accommodation during application process is often unavailable*

**Amendment 47**  
**Marie-Pierre Vieu**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article premier – paragraph 1 – point 10 – point a**  
Regulation (EC) No 810/2009  
Article 14 – paragraph 4 g

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(g) possible family ties with the  
sponsor/inviting person.***

***deleted***

Or. fr

**Amendment 48**  
**Marie-Pierre Vieu**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article premier – paragraph 1 – point 10 – point a**  
Regulation (EC) No 810/2009  
Article 14 – paragraph 4 – point g a (new)

***Consulates may waive the above requirements where the applicant is known to their visa services.***

Or. fr

**Amendment 49**  
**Rolandas Paksas**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 10 – point a a (new)**  
Regulation (EC) No 810/2009  
Article 14 – paragraph 1 – point b

*Present text*

*Amendment*

(b) documents in relation to accommodation, or proof of sufficient means to cover **his** accommodation;

***(aa) Article 14 paragraph 1 point (b) is replaced by the following;***

***“(b) documents in relation to accommodation, or proof of sufficient means to cover **expenses or confirmation from inbound agent / operator that accommodation arrangements are being handled ;**”***

Or. en

*(<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32009R0810&from=EN>)*

*Justification*

*Necessary in order to minimise unexpected documentary requirements that cause delay. Therefore, proof of specific accommodation during application process is often unavailable. For these the traveller should either present proof of accommodation, or proof of sufficient means to cover expenses or confirmation from inbound agent / operator that accommodation arrangements are being handled.*

**Amendment 50**  
**Marie-Pierre Vieu**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article premier – paragraph 1 – point 11 – point a**  
Regulation (EC) No 810/2009

Article 15 – paragraph 1

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**1. Applicants for a uniform visa for one entry shall prove that they are in possession of adequate and valid travel medical insurance to cover any expenses that might arise in connection with repatriation for medical reasons, urgent medical attention and emergency hospital treatment or death, during their intended stay on the territory of the Member States.”;** **deleted**

Or. fr

**Amendment 51**  
**Marie-Pierre Vieu**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article premier – paragraph 1 – point 11 – point b**  
Regulation (EC) No 810/2009  
Article 15 – paragraph 2

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**2. Applicants for a uniform visa for multiple entries shall prove that they are in possession of adequate and valid travel medical insurance covering the period of their first intended visit.;** **deleted**

Or. fr

**Amendment 52**  
**Marie-Pierre Vieu**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article premier – paragraph 1 – point 12 – point a**  
Regulation (EC) No 810/2009  
Article 16 – paragraph 1

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

1. Applicants shall pay a visa fee of

1. Applicants shall pay a visa fee of

PE627.689v01-00

24/41

AM\1162491EN.docx



EUR 80.

EUR 60.

Or. fr

**Amendment 53**  
**Marie-Pierre Vieu**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article premier – paragraph 1 – point 12 – point a**  
Regulation (EC) No 810/2009  
Article 16 – paragraph 2

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

2. Children from the age of six years and below the age of 12 years shall pay a visa fee of EUR 40.;

2. Children from the age of six years and below the age of 12 years shall pay a visa fee of EUR 35.;

Or. fr

**Amendment 54**  
**Marie-Pierre Vieu**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article premier – paragraph 1 – point 12 – point b**  
Regulation (EC) No 810/2009  
Article 16 – paragraph 2 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*2a. A visa fee of EUR 160 shall apply when the Commission so decides in accordance with Article 25a(5).;*      *deleted*

Or. fr

**Amendment 55**  
**Marie-Pierre Vieu**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article premier – paragraph 1 – point 12 – point f**  
Regulation (EC) No 810/2009  
Article 16 – paragraph 8 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**8a.** *The Commission shall assess the need to revise the amount of the visa fees set out in Article 16(1), (2) and (2a) every two years, taking into account objective criteria, such as the general EU-wide inflation rate as published by Eurostat, and the weighted average of the salaries of Member States' civil servants and, where appropriate, amend the amount of the visa fees by means of delegated acts.”;* **deleted**

Or. fr

**Amendment 56**  
**Marie-Pierre Vieu**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article premier – paragraph 1 – point 13 – point a**  
Regulation (EC) No 810/2009  
Article 17 – paragraph 1

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*A service fee may be charged by an external service provider referred to in Article 43.;* **deleted**

Or. fr

**Amendment 57**  
**Marie-Pierre Vieu**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article premier – paragraph 1 – point 14 – point a**  
Regulation (EC) No 810/2009  
Article 21 – paragraph 3 e

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(e) that the applicant is in possession of adequate and valid travel medical insurance, where applicable, covering the period of the intended stay, or, if a* **deleted**

*uniform visa for multiple entry is applied for, the period of the first intended visit.;*

Or. fr

**Amendment 58**

**Massimiliano Salini**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 14 – point c**

Regulation (EC) No 810/2009

Article 21 – paragraph 8

*Text proposed by the Commission*

8. During the examination of an application, consulates may in justified cases carry out an interview with the applicant and request additional documents.;

*Amendment*

8. During the examination of an application, consulates may in justified cases carry out an interview with the applicant and request additional documents. ***These interviews may be conducted by consulates using modern digital tools and remote means of communication, such as voice or video calls via internet.***

*(This amendment applies throughout the text. Adopting it will necessitate corresponding changes throughout.)*

Or. en

**Amendment 59**

**Rolandas Paksas**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 14 – point c**

Regulation (EC) No 810/2009

Article 21 – paragraph 8

*Text proposed by the Commission*

8. During the examination of an application, consulates may in justified cases carry out an interview with the applicant and request additional

*Amendment*

8. During the examination of an application, consulates may in justified cases carry out an interview with the applicant and request additional documents. ***Member States can use remote***

documents.;

***means of communication, including voice or video calls via the internet, to interview applicants. ;***

Or. en

*Justification*

*Necessary in order to makes reference to the possibility that Member States have of using modern means of communication to interview applicants, rather than requiring them to come to the consulate in person.*

**Amendment 60**

**Jozo Radoš, Izaskun Bilbao Barandica**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 14 – point c**

Regulation (EC) No 810/2009

Article 21 – paragraph 8

*Text proposed by the Commission*

8. During the examination of an application, consulates may in justified cases carry out an interview with the applicant and request additional documents.;

*Amendment*

8. During the examination of an application, consulates may in justified cases carry out an interview with the applicant and request additional documents.; ***Member States can use remote means of communication, including voice or video calls via the internet to interview applicants.***

Or. en

*Justification*

*Member States should be allowed to fully use modern means of communication to interview applicants, rather than requiring them to come to the consulate in person.*

**Amendment 61**

**Cláudia Monteiro de Aguiar**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 14 – point c**

Regulation (EC) No 810/2009

Article 21 – paragraph 8

*Text proposed by the Commission*

8. During the examination of an application, consulates may in justified cases carry out an interview with the applicant and request additional documents.;

*Amendment*

8. During the examination of an application, consulates may in justified cases carry out an interview with the applicant and request additional documents. ***Member States can use remote means of communication, including voice or video calls via the internet, to interview applicants;***

Or. en

*Justification*

*The Member States shall have the possibility of using modern means of communication to interview applicants, rather than requiring them to come to the consulate in person.*

**Amendment 62**  
**Isabella De Monte**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 14 – point c**  
Regulation (EC) No 810/2009  
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 14

*Text proposed by the Commission*

8. During the examination of an application, consulates may in justified cases carry out an interview with the applicant and request additional documents.;

*Amendment*

*(Does not affect the English version.)*

Or. it

**Amendment 63**  
**Marie-Christine Arnautu**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article premier – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point a**  
Regulation (EC) No 810/2009  
Article 23 – paragraphs 1 and 2

*Text proposed by the Commission*

**(a) paragraphs 1 and 2 are replaced**

*Amendment*

**deleted**

*by the following:*

*‘1. Applications shall be decided within 10 calendar days of the date of the lodging of an application which is admissible in accordance with Article 19.*

*That period may be extended up to a maximum of 45 calendar days in individual cases, notably when further scrutiny of the application is needed.’;*

*(This amendment applies throughout the text.)*

Or. fr

*Justification*

*The time limits currently in force are appropriate and allow consular authorities more time to consider visa applications properly.*

**Amendment 64**  
**Maria Grapini**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point a**  
Regulation (EC) No 810/2009  
Article 23 – paragraph 1

*Text proposed by the Commission*

Applications shall be decided within **10** calendar days of the date of the lodging of an application which is admissible in accordance with Article 19.

*Amendment*

Applications shall be decided within **7** calendar days of the date of the lodging of an application which is admissible in accordance with Article 19.

Or. ro

**Amendment 65**  
**Maria Grapini**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 16 – point a**  
Regulation (EC) No 810/2009  
Article 23 – paragraph 1

*Text proposed by the Commission*

That period may be extended up to a maximum of **45** calendar days in individual cases, notably when further scrutiny of the application is needed.;

*Amendment*

That period may be extended up to a maximum of **30** calendar days in individual cases, notably when further scrutiny of the application is needed.;

Or. ro

**Amendment 66**  
**Cláudia Monteiro de Aguiar**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 17 – point c**  
Regulation (EC) No 810/2009  
Article 24 – paragraph 2 – point c

*Text proposed by the Commission*

2c. Without prejudice to paragraph 2, a multiple entry visa valid for up to five years may be issued to applicants who prove the need or justify their intention to travel frequently and/or regularly provided that they prove their integrity and reliability, in particular the lawful use of previous visas, their economic situation in the country of origin and their genuine intention to leave the territory of the Member States before the expiry of the visa for which they have applied.

*Amendment*

2c. Without prejudice to paragraph 2, a multiple entry visa valid for up to five years may be issued to applicants who prove the need or justify their intention to travel frequently and/or regularly, ***such as seafarers, sports and culture professionals***, provided that they prove their integrity and reliability, in particular the lawful use of previous visas, their economic situation in the country of origin and their genuine intention to leave the territory of the Member States before the expiry of the visa for which they have applied.

Or. en

*Justification*

*It is important that seafarers sports and culture professionals have special rules in recognition of their specific circumstances*

**Amendment 67**  
**Marie-Pierre Vieu**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article premier – paragraph 1 – point 17 – point c**  
Regulation (EC) No 810/2009

Article 24 – paragraph 2 d (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**2d. Where necessary on the basis of the assessment referred to in paragraph 2b, the Commission shall by means of implementing acts adopt the rules regarding the condition for the issuing of multiple-entry visas laid down in paragraph 2 to be applied in each jurisdiction in order to take account of local circumstances, of the migratory and security risks and of the cooperation of the third country in question on readmission of irregular migrants in the light of the indicators set out in Article 25a(2), and of its overall relation with the Union. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 52(2).”;**

*deleted*

Or. fr

**Amendment 68**  
**Marie-Pierre Vieu**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article premier – paragraph 1 – point 18**  
Regulation (EC) No 810/2009  
Article 25 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**[...]**

*deleted*

Or. fr

**Amendment 69**  
**Marie-Christine Arnautu**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article premier – paragraph 1 – point 18**  
Regulation (EC) No 810/2009



Article 25 a – paragraph 2

*Text proposed by the Commission*

2. The Commission shall regularly assess third countries' cooperation with regard to readmission, taking account, in particular, of the following indicators:

*Amendment*

2. The Commission **and Member States** shall regularly assess third countries' cooperation with regard to readmission, taking account, in particular, of the following indicators:

Or. fr

*Justification*

*The Commission should work hand in hand with the Member States to assess the degree of cooperation with regard to the readmission of illegal immigrants.*

**Amendment 70**

**Cláudia Monteiro de Aguiar**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 18**

Regulation (EC) No 810/2009

Article 25 – paragraph 5

*Text proposed by the Commission*

5. Where, on the basis of the analysis referred to in paragraphs 2 and 4, the Commission decides that a country is not cooperating sufficiently, and that action is therefore needed, it may, taking also account of the Union's overall relations with the third country concerned, adopt an implementing act, in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 52(2):

*Amendment*

5. Where, on the basis of the analysis referred to in paragraphs 2 and 4, the Commission decides that a country is not cooperating sufficiently, and that action is therefore needed, it may, taking also account of the Union's overall relations with the third country concerned **and the importance of allowing certain categories of professional travellers such as seafarers and sports and culture professionals to continue to benefit from the rules of visa code**, adopt an implementing act, in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 52(2):

Or. en

*Justification*

*It is important that seafarers sports and culture professionals have special rules in recognition of their specific circumstances*

**Amendment 71**

**Marie-Christine Arnautu**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article premier – paragraph 1 – point 18**

Regulation (EC) No 810/2009

Article 25 a – paragraph 5 a

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**5a. If one or more Member States consider that the Commission is late in taking the appropriate measures described in this Article, or that the third country concerned is continuing to cooperate insufficiently with regard to readmission, they may restrict or even suspend the issuing of visas.**

Or. fr

*Justification*

*Member States should also be able to restrict the issuing of Schengen visas on their own initiative, as cooperation with regard to readmission will vary according to the situations with which each Member State is confronted.*

**Amendment 72**

**Marie-Christine Arnautu**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article premier – paragraph 1 – point 22 – point b**

Regulation (EC) No 810/2009

Article 32 – paragraph 3

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

3. Applicants who have been refused a visa shall have the right **to appeal which shall, at a certain stage of the proceedings, guarantee an effective**

3. Applicants who have been refused a visa shall have the right of **administrative appeal to the consular authority of** the Member State that has taken the final

**judicial** appeal. **Appeals shall be instituted against** the Member State that has taken the final decision on the application **and** in accordance with the national law of that Member State. **Member States shall provide applicants with detailed information regarding the procedure to be followed in the event of an appeal, as specified in Annex VI.**”;

decision on the application in accordance with the national law of that Member State.”;

Or. fr

*Justification*

*It is up to each Member State to determine the avenues of appeal in the event of a refusal to issue a visa.*

**Amendment 73**

**Marie-Christine Arnautu**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article premier – paragraph 1 – point 24**

Regulation (EC) No 810/2009

Article 36 a

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**[...]**

**deleted**

Or. fr

*Justification*

*In the context of the migratory crisis currently facing the Member States, this new visa would complicate the task of border guards, and there is a risk that it might become a new avenue of irregular immigration. It is therefore preferable not to introduce it.*

**Amendment 74**

**Cláudia Monteiro de Aguiar**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 24**

Regulation (EC) No 810/2009

Article 36 a – paragraph 2

*Text proposed by the Commission*

2. The duration of the scheme shall be limited to **four** months in any calendar year and the categories of beneficiary shall be clearly defined and exclude third-country nationals falling within the category of persons for whom prior consultation is required in accordance with Article 22 and persons not residing in the country adjacent to the land-border crossing point or in a country having direct ferry connections to the sea-border crossing point. Those schemes shall only apply to nationals of third countries with which readmission agreements have been concluded and for which the Commission has not taken a decision in accordance with Article 25a(5).

*Amendment*

2. The duration of the scheme shall be limited to **five** months in any calendar year and the categories of beneficiary shall be clearly defined and exclude third-country nationals falling within the category of persons for whom prior consultation is required in accordance with Article 22 and persons not residing in the country adjacent to the land-border crossing point or in a country having direct ferry connections to the sea-border crossing point. Those schemes shall only apply to nationals of third countries with which readmission agreements have been concluded and for which the Commission has not taken a decision in accordance with Article 25a(5).

Or. en

*Justification*

*Maintain the former proposal giving more flexibility for Member States.*

**Amendment 75**

**Jozo Radoš, Izaskun Bilbao Barandica**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 24**

Regulation (EC) No 810/2009

Article 36 – paragraph 1

*Text proposed by the Commission*

3. The Member State concerned shall establish appropriate structures and deploy specially trained staff for the processing of visa applications and the carrying out of all verifications and risk assessment, as set out in Article 21.

*Amendment*

3. The Member State concerned shall establish appropriate structures and deploy specially trained staff for the processing of visa applications and the carrying out of all verifications and risk assessment, as set out in Article 21. ***Staff shall receive training on digital file management.***

Or. en

### *Justification*

*To ensure smooth and quality service for applicants, Member States should ensure training on digital management for its staff.*

#### **Amendment 76**

**Cláudia Monteiro de Aguiar**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

##### **Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 24**

Regulation (EC) No 810/2009

Article 36 a – paragraph 6

#### *Text proposed by the Commission*

Member States shall notify the Commission of any schemes at the latest **six** months before the start of their implementation. The notification shall specify the categories of beneficiary, the geographical scope, the organisational arrangements for the scheme and the measures envisaged to ensure compliance with the conditions set out in this Article.

#### *Amendment*

Member States shall notify the Commission of any schemes at the latest **three** months before the start of their implementation. The notification shall specify the categories of beneficiary, the geographical scope, the organisational arrangements for the scheme and the measures envisaged to ensure compliance with the conditions set out in this Article.

Or. en

### *Justification*

*Maintain the former proposal giving more flexibility for Member States.*

#### **Amendment 77**

**Marie-Christine Arnautu**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

##### **Article premier – paragraph 1 – point 27**

Regulation (EC) No 810/2009

Article 40 – paragraph 3

#### *Text proposed by the Commission*

3. A Member State may also cooperate with an external service provider in accordance with Article 43.

#### *Amendment*

3. A Member State may also cooperate with an external service provider ***that is duly certified and regularly monitored*** in accordance with Article 43.

*Justification*

*It is desirable to stress the need for the competent authorities to check that the work carried out by any external service provider accords with the rules governing the procedures for considering visa applications.*

**Amendment 78****Marie-Christine Arnautu****Proposal for a regulation****Article premier – paragraph 1 – point 29 – point c**

Regulation (EC) No 810/2009

Article 43 – paragraph 7

*Text proposed by the Commission*

7. When selecting an external service provider, the Member State concerned shall assess the reliability and solvency of the organisation or company and ensure that there is no conflict of interests. The scrutiny shall include, *as appropriate*, the necessary licences, commercial registration, statutes and bank contracts.;

*Amendment*

7. When selecting an external service provider, the Member State concerned shall assess the reliability and solvency of the organisation or company and ensure that there is no conflict of interests. The scrutiny shall include the necessary licences, commercial registration, statutes, *the criminal records of the managers* and bank contracts.;

*Justification*

*This is an additional security measure in the assessment of candidates applying to provide services externally.*

**Amendment 79****Marie-Christine Arnautu****Proposal for a regulation****Article premier – paragraph 1 – point 29 – point f**

Regulation (EC) No 810/2009

Article 43 – paragraph 11 a

*Text proposed by the Commission*

11a. By 1st January each year, Member

*Amendment*

11a. By 1st January each year, Member

States shall report to the Commission on their cooperation with and monitoring (as referred to in Annex X, point C) of external service providers worldwide.;

States shall report to the Commission **and to their national representation** on their cooperation with and monitoring (as referred to in Annex X, point C) of external service providers worldwide.;

Or. fr

*Justification*

*The Member State should inform the national representation about the monitoring of external service providers.*

**Amendment 80**  
**Marie-Pierre Vieu**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article premier – paragraph 1 – point 33 – point d**  
Regulation (EC) No 810/2009  
Article 48 – paragraph 3 – point b

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(b) information with regard to the assessment of **migratory and/or** security risks, in particular on:

*Amendment*

(b) information with regard to the assessment of security risks, in particular on:

Or. fr

**Amendment 81**  
**Marie-Pierre Vieu**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article premier – paragraph 1 – point 33 – point d**  
Regulation (EC) No 810/2009  
Article 48 – paragraph 3 – point b

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(i) **the socioeconomic structure of the host country;**

*Amendment*

**deleted**

Or. fr

**Amendment 82**  
**Marie-Pierre Vieu**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article premier – paragraph 1 – point 33 – point d**  
Regulation (EC) No 810/2009  
Article 48 – paragraph 3 – point b

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(iv) irregular immigration routes; deleted**

Or. fr

**Amendment 83**  
**Marie-Pierre Vieu**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article premier – paragraph 1 – point 33 – point d**  
Regulation (EC) No 810/2009  
Article 48 – paragraph 3 – point c

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(c) information on cooperation with transport companies; deleted**

Or. fr

**Amendment 84**  
**Marie-Pierre Vieu**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article premier – paragraph 1 – point 33 – point d**  
Regulation (EC) No 810/2009  
Article 48 – paragraph 3 – point d

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(d) information on insurance companies providing adequate travel medical insurance, including verification of the type of coverage and possible excess amount.”; deleted**



**Amendment 85**  
**Rolandas Paksas**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 37 a (new)**

Regulation (EC) No 810/2009

Annex V – Part A – point 3 a

*Present text*

*Amendment*

(a) documents relating to accommodation:

***(37a) Annex V, Part A point 3(a), is replaced by the following:***

***"(a) documents relating to accommodation, or proof of sufficient means to cover expenses or confirmation from inbound agent / operator that accommodation arrangements are being handled."***

Or. en

*(<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32009R0810&from=EN>)*

*Justification*

*The traveller either should present proof of accommodation, or proof of sufficient means to cover expenses or confirmation from inbound agent / operator that accommodation arrangements are being handled.*