## **Overview**

- Privatization
  - Transfer of shares and of activities
  - to private companies
- Quasi-In-House
  - Tasks not perfored by own means as In-House
  - in contrast to In-House legal personality

## **Quasi-In-House**

- 100%
  - Stricto sensu not a PPP as there is no partner
- Public-Public-Partnership [gemischtöffentlich]
- Public-Private-Partnership (=Semi-public company [gemischt-wirtschaftlich])

# Main Actors – provision of services

- vertically: bottom-up, provided to controlling entity
  - -e.g. daughter to mother
- vertically (inversely): top-down
  - -e.g. mother to daughter

#### For each

- direct: between daughter and mother
- indirect: between (great-)grandmother and daughter
- horizontally
  - between sisters
  - between cousins

Dr. Alexander EGGER, Court of Justice

## Quasi-In-House: Case-law

100% Parking Brixen (C-458/03)

ANAV (C-410/04)

Public-public

– directTeckal (C-107/98)

– indirect– Carbotermo (C-340/04)

Semi-public

indirectStadt Halle (C-26/03)

Dr. Alexander EGGER, Court of Justice

## Case-law: chronology

C-107/98 Teckal 18 November 1999

C-26/03 Stadt Halle 11 January 2005

C-458/03 Parking Brixen 13 October 2005

C-410/04 ANAV 6 April 2006

C-340/04 Carbotermo May ? 2006

## **Basis: Teckal**

- management of the heating services
- municipality to AGAC
- AGAC: consortium of municipalities
- in principle, directives are applicable, except in case 2 criteria are met

## **Teckal-Criteria**

- Entity exercises over the person concerned a control which is similar to that which it exercises over its own departments
- that person carries out the essential part of its activities with the controlling local authority or authorities

# Parking Brixen: facts

- 'Stadtwerke ': legal person, provides local public services for the municipality of Brixen (i.a. management of car parks and garages)
- Stadtwerke converted into a company limited by shares (= 100% daughter)
- Brixen entrusts that company the management of a car park

Dr. Alexander EGGER, Court of Justice

# Parking Brixen: judgment

- Public service concession
- Not the directvies but primary law, e,g, fundamental freedoms
- derogation from primary law if Teckalcriteria are met
- Court examines first Teckal-criterion only

# Parking Brixen: judgment

#### General assessment

- All legal provisions and circumstances
- influence over strategic objectives and significant decisions

# Parking Brixen: judgment

#### Assessment of the specific case

- legal form: company limited by shares
- broadening
  - objects (e.g. information technology, telecommunications)
  - expansion of the geographical area
- obligatory opening to private capital
- Broad independence vis-à-vis Brixen
  - Considerable powers of the Adm. Board
  - Control limited to rights assigned to the majority

## **ANAV: facts**

- Municipality of Bari has a
  - 100% daughter in form of a joint stock company (AMTAB) which provides public transport services in Bari
  - concluded a contract with AMTAB without tender
  - originally, 80% of the shares should transferred to private shareholders
  - in January 2005, Bari altered its intention

# **ANAV: judgment**

national court shall determine whether Bari intends to open capital

 decisive if capital is open to private shareholders for the duration of the contract

## **Stadt Halle: facts**

- Plan on the treatment of waste
- Municipality Halle has
  - 100% daughter which has a
  - 100% daughter which has a
  - 75,1% daughter (great-granddaughter), rest held by a private limited liability company (= Semi-public company)

# Stadt Halle: judgment - control

Semi-public company does not fulfil criterion

#### for 2 reasons

- Private capital investment follows considerations proper private interests and pursues objectives of a different kind than public entities
- Would interfere with the objective of free and undistorted competition (private undertaking would have an advantage over competitors)

# Carbotermo: facts (jugdment awaited soon)

- heating: provide energy and services
- municipality entrusts grand-daughter
- granddaughter: 100% daughter of a 99,98% daughter
- Several public 'mothers'

# Teckal-criteria: meaning

- Legal certainty only for first criterion and only for facts already decided by the ECJ
- open:
  - horizontal (between sisters)
  - inversely vertical
- Control
  - Semi-public companies never fulful
  - Other facts: specific circumstances, even with regard to 100%

## 2nd Criterion: activities

- Market (existence?, conditions to determine)
- factual circumstances, not powers according to the entity's statute
- 'essential'
  - activities taken into account
    - only object of contract?
    - only activities provided to partner of contract or to all shareholders?
    - relevant who pays the service?
    - which geographical area?
  - turn-over, net-income, …?
  - · which period?

# Teckal-Criteria fulfilled: Consequence?

- Not the directives but primary law are applicable
- except similar derogation applicable

### Violation of EC law

- by awarding a contract without a sufficient degree of advertising
- Consequences:
  - obligation to terminate?
    - Only if contract not totally fulfilled?
    - ex tunc or ex nunc?
  - MS has to take measures to conform with judgment after a declaration of infringement