

# EIROPAS PARLAMENTS

2004



2009

---

*Iekšējā tirgus un patērētāju aizsardzības komiteja*

PAGaidu  
2005/2090(DEC)

18.1.2006

## ATZINUMA PROJEKTS

Sniegusi Iekšējā tirgus un patērētāju aizsardzības komiteja

Budžeta kontroles komitejai

par Eiropas Savienības 2004. finanšu gada vispārējā budžeta izpildes  
apstiprināšanu  
(SEC(2005)1158 - C6-0352/2005- 2005/2090(DEC))

III iedaļa — Komisija

Atzinumu sagatavoja: *Joseph Muscat*

PA\_NonLeg

## IEROSINĀJUMI

Iekšējā tirgus un patērētāju aizsardzības komiteja aicina par jautājumu atbildīgo Budžeta kontroles komiteju rezolūcijas priekšlikumā iekļaut šādus ierosinājumus:

1. atzinīgi vērtē Komisijas līdz šim paveikto, lai izvairītos no riska kļūdīties dotāciju pārvaldībā, tādējādi nodrošinot, ka patērētāju jomas darbības nav minētas Revīzijas palātas apsvērumos; tikpat atzinīgi vērtē to, ka nav kritisku apsvērumu par iekšējā tirgus politikas darbībām un muitas politiku;
2. atzīst Komisijas praktiskās grūtības, cenšoties saskaņot prasības pēc iespējas samazināt administratīvo slogu dotāciju pieprasītājiem attiecīgajās programmās ar saistībām nodrošināt pareizu finanšu vadību, kas ir saskaņā ar Finanšu regulas īstenošanas noteikumiem;
3. uzsver, ka jānodrošina ikgadējo uzaicinājumu iesniegt priekšlikumus īpašiem patērētāju aizsardzības projektiem pareiza īstenošana; aicina Komisiju apsvērt, kā var pielāgot programmas struktūru, ja iepriekšējā pieredze rāda, ka alternatīvie saņēmēji nav tik labi sagatavoti veikt paredzētās darbības;
4. uzsver nozīmību, ko tas piešķir efektīvam Revīzijas palātas apsvērumu turpinājumam attiecībā uz iekšējās revīzijas spējām un neveiksmēm nodrošināt pieņemtos standartus; aicina Komisiju informēt Parlamentu par ieturēto maksājumu piešķiršanu līdzko tiek saņemti atliktie ziņojumi.

## ĪSS PASKAIDROJUMS

1. Under Article 276 of the Treaty, implementation of the Community budget is approved retrospectively by the Parliament - acting on a recommendation of the Council - through **the discharge procedure**. Thereby Parliament states formally and endorses politically that it is satisfied with the implementation of the budget by the Commission.
2. The basis for the discharge procedure is the annual report by the Court of Auditors, published in the November of the year following the budgetary year to which it refers. The observations in the Court's report arise from its audit of revenue and expenditure, following the submission of audited accounts by each of the European institutions. Each report contains a Statement of Assurance as to the reliability of the accounts and the legality and regularity of the transactions underlying the general budget. The annual report also takes into account the special reports on particular areas which the Court has adopted since the last discharge procedure; and comments on the adequacy of action taken in response to earlier reports.
3. The observations of previous years have been followed up by appropriate action and therefore can be seen as adequately controlled.
4. The main issue of observations were made in relation to the audit capabilities in the field of DG SANCO's activities. Under point 6.8, 6.17, 6.32 and 6.34 such observations can be found including the actions envisaged or taken by the Commission as presented by their replies.
5. It should be noted that DG SANCO could not fully live up to Internal Control Standards 22 and 18 but is aiming to rectify this situation soon. Furthermore in the absence of certain required reports in 6 cases payments to beneficiaries had to be withheld.
6. The observation under section 6.15 concerning the annual call for specific projects in the area of consumer protection (framework decision allotting 72 M € for the years 2004 to 2007 for financing Community actions in support of Consumer Policy) is left without a reply by the Commission. Therefore it is suggested to underline this point as provided for in suggestion number 3.
7. By and large, the draftsman believes that the Commission has given convincing answers to the points made by the Court. Therefore he believes that Parliament should:
  - welcome the Commission's action to exclude risks of errors in grant management as well as welcoming the absence of observations in relation to Internal Market and Customs policy actions
  - note the difficult task of the Commission in reconciling the need for adequately light procedures for applicants of grants and proper implementation of the Financial Regulation's requirements
  - stress the need to implement annual calls for proposals appropriately or eventually adapt the programme structure
  - set out the importance of efficient audit capabilities and therefore effective follow-up of respective observations.