



EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

2009 - 2014

Committee on Foreign Affairs

2012/2223(INI)

24.9.2012

AMENDMENTS

1 - 102

Draft report
Ioan Mircea Pașcu
(PE492.839v01-00)

on the EU's mutual defence and solidarity clauses: political and operational
dimensions
(2012/2223(INI))

AM\913236EN.doc

PE496.435v01-00

AM_Com_NonLegReport

Amendment 1
Andrew Duff

Motion for a resolution
Citation 1

Motion for a resolution

– having regard to Article 42(7) of the Treaty on European Union (TEU) and to Article 222 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU),

Amendment

– having regard ***in particular*** to Article 42(7) of the Treaty on European Union (TEU) and to Article 222 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU), ***and to Declaration 37,***

Or. en

Amendment 2
Andrew Duff

Motion for a resolution
Citation 2

Motion for a resolution

– having regard to Articles 24 and 42(2) TEU, Articles 122 and 196 TFEU and Declaration 37 on Article 222 TFEU,

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 3
Maria Eleni Koppa, Ioan Mircea Pașcu

Motion for a resolution
Citation 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- having regard to the Charter of the United Nations, and in particular to the provisions of chapter VII and article 51;

Or. en

Amendment 4
Andrew Duff

Motion for a resolution
Citation 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

**- having regard to Articles 4 and 5 of the
North Atlantic Treaty,**

Or. en

Amendment 5
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Citation 11 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

**- having regard to the 2010 EU CBRN
Action Plan and its resolution of 2
December 2010 on strengthening
chemical, biological, radiological and
nuclear security in the European Union -
an EU CBRN Action Plan,**

Or. en

Amendment 6
Tarja Cronberg
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Recital A

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A. whereas the security of EU Member

A. whereas the security of EU Member

States is indivisible and all European citizens should have the same security guarantees and an equal level of protection against both traditional and non-conventional threats; whereas the defence of peace, security and freedom in Europe, which are indispensable for the well-being of our peoples, must remain a core goal and responsibility of European countries;

States is indivisible and all European citizens should have the same security guarantees and an equal level of protection against both traditional and non-conventional threats; whereas the defence of peace, security, **democracy, human rights, rule of law** and freedom in Europe, which are indispensable for the well-being of our peoples, must remain a core goal and responsibility of European countries;

Or. en

Amendment 7
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas the security of EU Member States is indivisible and all European citizens should have the same security guarantees and an equal level of protection against both traditional and non-conventional threats; whereas the defence of peace, security and freedom in Europe, which are indispensable for the well-being of our peoples, must remain a core goal and responsibility of European countries;

Amendment

A. whereas the security of EU Member States is indivisible and all European citizens should have the same security guarantees and an equal level of protection against both traditional and non-conventional threats; whereas the defence of peace, security and freedom in Europe, which are indispensable for the well-being of our peoples, must remain a core goal and responsibility of European countries **and of the Union;**

Or. en

Amendment 8
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas a stronger and more capable

Amendment

deleted

European defence is essential for consolidating the transatlantic link, in the context of structural geostrategic changes, accelerated by the global economic crisis, and in particular at a time of ongoing US strategic repositioning towards Asia-Pacific;

Or. en

Amendment 9

Tarja Cronberg

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas *a stronger and more capable* European *defence is* essential for consolidating the transatlantic link, in the context of structural geostrategic changes, accelerated by the global economic crisis, and in particular at a time of ongoing US strategic repositioning towards Asia-Pacific;

Amendment

B. whereas *effective and efficient* European *crisis management instruments are* essential for consolidating the transatlantic link, in the context of structural geostrategic changes, accelerated by the global economic crisis, and in particular at a time of ongoing US strategic repositioning towards Asia-Pacific;

Or. en

Amendment 10

Maria Eleni Koppa, Ioan Mircea Pașcu

Motion for a resolution

Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas a stronger and more capable European defence is essential for consolidating the transatlantic link, in the context of structural geostrategic changes, accelerated by the global economic crisis, and in particular at a time of ongoing US

Amendment

B. whereas *the progressive framing of a common defence policy, that aims to a common defence, is reinforcing the European identity and the strategic autonomy of the EU; whereas, at the same time,* a stronger and more capable

strategic repositioning towards Asia-Pacific;

European defence is essential for consolidating the transatlantic link, in the context of structural geostrategic changes, accelerated by the global economic crisis, and in particular at a time of ongoing US strategic repositioning towards Asia-Pacific;

Or. en

Amendment 11
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Recital C

Motion for a resolution

C. whereas serious and complex security threats, from armed attacks to terrorism to natural disasters to cyber attacks, can easily overwhelm the capacities of any single Member State, making it vital to provide for solidarity among Member States in response to such threats;

Amendment

C. whereas serious and complex security threats, from armed attacks to terrorism to natural **or CBRN** disasters to cyber attacks, can easily overwhelm the capacities of any single Member State, making it vital to provide for **compulsory, binding** solidarity among Member States in response to such threats;

Or. en

Amendment 12
Norica Nicolai

Motion for a resolution
Recital C a (new)

Motion for a resolution

C a. whereas there is an increasing danger of unprecedented, non-conventional attacks, either terrorist or state-based, with indirect or kinetic damage against a Member State, that fall outside of the scope of some older documents and agreements, therefore

Amendment

resulting in an immediate need for updating both security mentalities and texts;

Or. en

Amendment 13
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Recital D a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

D a. whereas a stronger and more capable European defence is essential for consolidating the transatlantic link, in the context of structural geostrategic changes, accelerated by the global economic crisis, and in particular at a time of ongoing US strategic repositioning towards Asia-Pacific;

Or. en

Amendment 14
Andrew Duff

Motion for a resolution
Recital E

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

E. whereas the Lisbon Treaty introduced Article 42(7) TEU ('mutual ***defence clause***' or '***mutual*** assistance clause'⁶) and Article 222 TFEU ('solidarity clause') to address such concerns, but the practical implementation of these articles still needs to be clarified, almost three years after the Treaty entered into force;

E. whereas the Lisbon Treaty introduced Article 42(7) TEU ('mutual assistance clause'⁶) and Article 222 TFEU ('solidarity clause') to address such concerns, but the practical implementation of these articles still needs to be clarified, almost three years after the Treaty entered into force;

Or. en

Amendment 15
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Recital E

Motion for a resolution

E. whereas the Lisbon Treaty introduced Article 42(7) TEU ('mutual defence clause' or 'mutual assistance clause'⁶) and Article 222 TFEU ('solidarity clause') to address such concerns, but *the practical implementation of these articles still needs to be clarified, almost three years after the Treaty entered into force;*

Amendment

E. whereas the Lisbon Treaty introduced Article 42(7) TEU ('mutual defence clause' or 'mutual assistance clause'⁶) and Article 222 TFEU ('solidarity clause') to address such concerns, but *almost three years after the Treaty entered into force there are still no implementation arrangements to bring these clauses to life;*

Or. en

Amendment 16
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Recital E

Motion for a resolution

E. whereas the Lisbon Treaty introduced Article 42(7) TEU ('mutual defence clause' or 'mutual assistance clause'⁶) and Article 222 TFEU ('solidarity clause') to address such concerns, but the practical implementation of these articles still needs to be clarified, almost three years after the Treaty entered into force;

Amendment

E. whereas the Lisbon Treaty introduced Article 42(7) TEU ('mutual defence clause' or 'mutual assistance clause'⁶) and Article 222 TFEU ('solidarity clause') to address such concerns, but the practical implementation of these articles still needs to be clarified, almost three years after the Treaty entered into force; *whereas these should not be confused with the North Atlantic Treaty Article 5, which assures collective defence of NATO allies;*

Or. en

Amendment 17
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Recital E – footnote 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Hereinafter referred to as ‘mutual defence clause’; no name is included in the Treaty though. Cf. in particular the mutual defence commitment of Article V of the Modified Brussels Treaty, which its signatories consider covered by Article 42(7) TEU (Statement of the Presidency of the Permanent Council of the WEU of 31 March 2010).

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 18
Andrew Duff

Motion for a resolution
Recital E – footnote 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Hereinafter referred to as ‘mutual **defence** clause’; no name is included in the Treaty though. Cf. in particular the mutual defence commitment of Article V of the Modified Brussels Treaty, which its signatories consider covered by Article 42(7) TEU (Statement of the Presidency of the Permanent Council of the WEU of 31 March 2010).

Amendment

6. Hereinafter referred to as ‘mutual **assistance** clause’; no name is included in the Treaty though. Cf. in particular the mutual defence commitment of Article V of the Modified Brussels Treaty, which its signatories consider covered by Article 42(7) TEU (Statement of the Presidency of the Permanent Council of the WEU of 31 March 2010).

Or. en

Amendment 19
Andrew Duff

Motion for a resolution
Recital E a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

E a. whereas the twenty-one EU states which are also members of NATO may consult each other whenever their territorial integrity, political independence or security is threatened, and which are in any case committed to collective defence in the event of an armed attack;

Or. en

Amendment 20
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1. Urges the Member States, the Commission and the Vice-President/High Representative to make full use of the potential of all relevant Treaty provisions, and in particular the mutual defence clause and the solidarity clause, in order to provide Europeans with a strong insurance policy against serious security risks, based on increased cost-efficiency and a fair burden sharing and division of costs;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 21
Tarja Cronberg
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Urges the Member States, the Commission and the Vice-President/High Representative to ***make full use of*** the potential of all relevant Treaty provisions, and in particular the mutual defence clause and the solidarity clause, in order to provide ***Europeans with a strong insurance policy against serious security risks, based on increased cost-efficiency and a fair burden sharing and division of costs;***

Amendment

1. Urges the Member States, the Commission and the Vice-President/High Representative to ***start an open consultation on future*** the potential of all relevant Treaty provisions, and in particular the mutual defence clause and the solidarity clause, in order to provide ***concrete proposals and options;***

Or. en

Amendment 22
Marietta Giannakou

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Urges the Member States, the Commission and the Vice-President/High Representative to make full use of the potential of all relevant Treaty provisions, and in particular the mutual defence clause and the solidarity clause, in order to ***provide Europeans with a strong insurance policy against serious security risks, based on increased cost-efficiency and a fair burden sharing and division of costs;***

Amendment

1. Urges the Member States, the Commission and the Vice-President/High Representative to make full use of the potential of all relevant Treaty provisions, and in particular the mutual defence clause and the solidarity clause, in order to ***ensure the indivisible security of all member states and to guarantee that all European citizens should have the same security guarantees and an equal level of protection against both traditional and non-conventional threats, taking into account the need for increased cost-efficiency and a fair burden sharing and division of costs;***

Or. en

Amendment 23
Andrew Duff

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Urges the Member States, the Commission and the Vice-President/High Representative to make full use of the potential of all relevant Treaty provisions, and in particular the mutual ***defence*** clause and the solidarity clause, in order to provide Europeans with a strong insurance policy against serious security risks, based on increased cost-efficiency and a fair burden sharing and division of costs;

Amendment

1. Urges the Member States, the Commission and the Vice-President/High Representative to make full use of the potential of all relevant Treaty provisions, and in particular the mutual ***assistance*** clause and the solidarity clause, in order to provide Europeans with a strong insurance policy against serious security risks, based on increased cost-efficiency and a fair burden sharing and division of costs;

Or. en

Amendment 24
Maria Eleni Koppa, Ioan Mircea Pașcu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Urges the Member States, the Commission and the Vice-President/High Representative to make full use of the potential of all relevant Treaty provisions, and in particular the mutual defence clause and the solidarity clause, in order to provide Europeans with a strong insurance policy against serious security risks, based on increased cost-efficiency and a fair burden sharing and division of costs;

Amendment

1. Urges the Member States, the Commission and the Vice-President/High Representative to make full use of the potential of all relevant Treaty provisions, and in particular the mutual defence clause and the solidarity clause, in order to provide Europeans with a strong insurance policy against serious security risks, based on ***the principle of mutual solidarity among EU member states, as well as*** increased cost-efficiency and a fair burden sharing and division of costs;

Or. en

Amendment 25
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Urges the Member States, the Commission and the Vice-President/High Representative to make full use of the potential of all relevant Treaty provisions, and in particular the mutual defence clause and the solidarity clause, in order to provide Europeans with a strong **insurance** policy against serious security risks, based on increased cost-efficiency and a fair burden sharing and division of costs;

Amendment

1. Urges the Member States, the Commission and the Vice-President/High Representative to make full use of the potential of all relevant Treaty provisions, and in particular the mutual defence clause and the solidarity clause, in order to provide Europeans with a strong policy against serious security risks, based on increased cost-efficiency and a fair burden sharing and division of costs;

Or. en

Amendment 26
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Notes that Member States can make use of all relevant Treaty provisions, including the mutual assistance clause and the solidarity clause, to assure mutual solidarity and wide support in tackling any overwhelming incident that might threaten the security of any Member State;

Or. en

Amendment 27
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2. Reiterates the need for the Member States and the Union to ensure preparedness with respect to all major security threats, notably as identified in the European Security Strategy and the Internal Security Strategy, and to perform regular joint threat and risk assessments based on joint analysis of shared intelligence;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 28
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2. Reiterates the need for the Member States and the Union to ensure preparedness with respect to all major security threats, notably as identified in the European Security Strategy **and** the Internal Security Strategy, **and to perform regular joint threat and risk assessments based on joint analysis of shared intelligence;**

2. Reiterates the need for the Member States and the Union to ensure **policy anchored in prevention**, preparedness **and response** with respect to all major security threats, notably as identified in the European Security Strategy, the Internal Security Strategy **and regular reports by the EU Counter-terrorism Coordinator to the Council;**

Or. en

Amendment 29
Andrew Duff

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2 a. Notes the new strategic concept of NATO which, in addition to maintaining NATO's role as a wartime military alliance, aims to build NATO's capacity to act as a political and security community, working in partnership with the EU; observes the close similarities between NATO's goals and those laid down in Article 43 TEU (formerly the Petersberg Tasks); warns therefore against the costly duplication of effort and the wasting of resources between the two organisations, and urges much closer and regular political collaboration between the EU High Representative and the Secretary-General of NATO for the purposes of risk assessment, resource management, policy planning and execution of CSDP operations, both civil and military;

Or. en

Amendment 30
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2 a. (new). Stresses the need for Member States and the Union to perform regular joint threat and risk assessments based on joint analysis of shared intelligence, making full use of existing structures within the EU, such as the SitCen, under the coordination of the Vice-President/High Representative;

Or. en

Amendment 31
Andrew Duff

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2 b. Urges the Council not to get entangled in ultimately futile arguments about territoriality but to emulate the approach of NATO which caters for the inevitable circumstances where action outside the North Atlantic area is sometimes required to promote the security interests of the allies;

Or. en

Amendment 32
Maria Eleni Koppa, Ioan Mircea Pașcu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3. Reaffirms that use of force by the EU or its Member States is only admissible if legally justified on the basis of the United Nations Charter; reiterates its attachment to respect for the Oslo Guidelines on the use of foreign military and civil defence assets in disaster relief; emphasises that prevention of conflicts, attacks and disasters is preferable to dealing with their consequences;

3. Reaffirms that use of force by the EU or its Member States is only admissible if legally justified on the basis of the United Nations Charter; ***in this context, stresses the inherent right of individual or collective self-defence;*** reiterates its attachment to respect for the Oslo Guidelines on the use of foreign military and civil defence assets in disaster relief; emphasises that prevention of conflicts, attacks and disasters is preferable to dealing with their consequences;

Or. en

Amendment 33
Andrew Duff

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Points out the wide array of instruments available to the Union and the Member States to face exceptional occurrences in a spirit of solidarity, ***such as the Civil Protection Mechanism, the Solidarity Fund, and the possibility to grant economic and financial support in cases of severe difficulties, as provided for in Article 122 TFEU; also recalls the commitment to develop mutual political solidarity in foreign and security policy in accordance with Article 24 TEU; stresses that the purpose of the mutual defence and solidarity clauses is not to replace any of these instruments, but to complement them in view of situations of extraordinary threat or damage, and in particular when response will require high-level political coordination and the involvement of the military;***

Amendment

4. Points out the wide array of instruments available to the Union and the Member States to face exceptional occurrences in a spirit of solidarity; ***recalls the utility of the legal bases of Article 122 TFEU for emergency funding of an economic type and of Article 196 TFEU for measures in the field of civil protection;***

Or. en

Amendment 34
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Points out the wide array of instruments available to the Union and the Member States to face exceptional occurrences in a spirit of solidarity, such as the Civil Protection Mechanism, the Solidarity Fund, and the possibility to grant economic and financial support in cases of severe difficulties, as provided for in Article 122 TFEU; also recalls the commitment to

Amendment

4. Points out the wide array of instruments available to the Union and the Member States to face exceptional occurrences in a spirit of solidarity, such as the Civil Protection Mechanism, the Solidarity Fund, and the possibility to grant economic and financial support in cases of severe difficulties, as provided for in Article 122 TFEU; also recalls the commitment to

develop mutual political solidarity in foreign and security policy in accordance with Article 24 TEU; stresses that the purpose of the mutual defence and solidarity clauses is not to replace any of these instruments, but to **complement them** in view of situations of extraordinary threat or damage, **and in particular when response will require** high-level political coordination and **the** involvement of the military;

develop mutual political solidarity in foreign and security policy in accordance with Article 24 TEU; stresses that the purpose of the mutual defence and solidarity clauses is not to replace any of these instruments, but to **serve as an umbrella framework** in view of situations of extraordinary threat or damage **that would provide for appropriate** high-level political coordination and **operational coordination between the different actors, namely in the event of** involvement of the military, **thus framing EU cooperation on crises and disasters**

Or. en

Amendment 35

Tarja Cronberg

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Points out the wide array of instruments available to the Union and the Member States to face exceptional occurrences in a spirit of solidarity, such as the Civil Protection Mechanism, the Solidarity Fund, and the possibility to grant economic and financial support in cases of severe difficulties, as provided for in Article 122 TFEU; also recalls the commitment to develop mutual political solidarity in foreign and security policy in accordance with Article 24 TEU; stresses that the purpose of the mutual defence and solidarity clauses is not to replace any of these instruments, but to **complement** them in view of situations of extraordinary threat or damage, and in particular when response will require high-level political coordination **and the involvement of the**

Amendment

4. Points out the wide array of instruments available to the Union and the Member States to face exceptional occurrences in a spirit of solidarity, such as the Civil Protection Mechanism, the Solidarity Fund, and the possibility to grant economic and financial support in cases of severe difficulties, as provided for in Article 122 TFEU; also recalls the commitment to develop mutual political solidarity in foreign and security policy in accordance with Article 24 TEU; stresses that the purpose of the mutual defence and solidarity clauses is not to replace any of these instruments, but to **activate** them in view of situations of extraordinary threat or damage, and in particular when response will require high-level political coordination;

military;

Or. en

Amendment 36
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Points out the wide array of instruments available to the Union and the Member States to face exceptional occurrences in a spirit of solidarity, such as the Civil Protection Mechanism, the Solidarity Fund, and the possibility to grant economic and financial support in cases of severe difficulties, as provided for in Article 122 TFEU; also recalls the commitment to develop mutual political solidarity in foreign and security policy in accordance with Article 24 TEU; stresses that the purpose of the mutual defence and solidarity clauses is not to replace any of these instruments, but to complement them in view of situations of extraordinary threat or damage, and in particular when response will require high-level political coordination and *the involvement of the military;*

Amendment

4. Points out the wide array of instruments available to the Union and the Member States to face exceptional occurrences in a spirit of solidarity, such as the Civil Protection Mechanism, the Solidarity Fund, and the possibility to grant economic and financial support in cases of severe difficulties, as provided for in Article 122 TFEU; also recalls the commitment to develop mutual political solidarity in foreign and security policy in accordance with Article 24 TEU; stresses that the purpose of the mutual defence and solidarity clauses is not to replace any of these instruments, but to complement them in view of situations of extraordinary threat or damage, and in particular when response will require high-level political coordination and *liaison with national militaries;*

Or. en

Amendment 37
Andrew Duff

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4 a. Recalls the commitment to

systematically develop mutual political solidarity in foreign and security policy in accordance with Article 24 TEU; notes the possibilities provided by the Lisbon treaty for enhanced cooperation in CFSP, including the consignment of specific tasks and missions to clusters of states, as well as the concept of permanent structured cooperation in military matters; stresses that the purpose of the mutual assistance and solidarity clauses is not to replace any of these instruments, but to complement them in view of situations of extraordinary threat or damage, and in particular when response will require high-level political coordination and the involvement of the military;

Or. en

Amendment 38
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5. Calls on the Commission and the Vice-President/High Representative, in the context of their ongoing work on a joint proposal for a Council Decision implementing the solidarity clause as required by the Treaty, to take due account of the political and operational dimensions of both clauses and to follow the recommendations of this resolution;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 39
Andrew Duff

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. ***Calls on*** the Commission and the ***Vice-President***/High Representative, ***in the context of their ongoing work on a joint proposal for a Council Decision implementing the solidarity clause as required by the Treaty, to take due account of the political and operational dimensions of both clauses and to follow the recommendations of this resolution;***

Amendment

5. ***Urges*** the Commission and the High Representative, ***before the end of 2012, to make their joint proposal for a Council Decision defining the arrangements for the implementation of the solidarity clause according to the provisions of Article 222(3) TFEU; in the interest of coherence, calls for the Political and Security Committee and the Standing Committee on Internal Security to submit a joint opinion on the implementation of the solidarity clause and the operational modalities of the mutual assistance clause, including liaison with NATO; notes that the Council will act by QMV in non-military aspects of mutual aid and assistance; underlines the necessity, in this context, of keeping Parliament fully informed;***

Or. en

Amendment 40
Norica Nicolai

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Calls on the Commission and the Vice-President/High Representative, in the context of their ongoing work on a joint proposal for a Council Decision implementing the solidarity clause as required by the Treaty, to take due account of the political and operational dimensions of both clauses and to follow the recommendations of this resolution;

Amendment

5. Calls on the Commission and the Vice-President/High Representative, in the context of their ongoing work on a joint proposal for a Council Decision ***better defining and*** implementing the solidarity clause as required by the Treaty, to take due account of the political and operational dimensions of both clauses and to follow the recommendations of this resolution;

Or. en

Amendment 41
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Calls on the Commission and the Vice-President/High Representative, in the context of their ongoing work on a joint proposal for a Council Decision implementing the solidarity clause as required by the Treaty, to take due account of the political and operational dimensions of both clauses and to follow the recommendations of this resolution;

Amendment

5. Calls on the Commission and the Vice-President/High Representative, in the context of their ongoing work on a joint proposal for a Council Decision implementing the solidarity clause as required by the Treaty, to take due account of the political and operational dimensions of both clauses and to follow the recommendations of this resolution;
therefore, calls on the Commission and the Vice-President/High Representative to design the implementing arrangements in such a way as to supplement the treaty provision by namely, (1) clarifying the roles and competences of the different actors in case of activation of the clause, such as the Commission, the Member States, the Counter-terrorism Coordinator, the COPS and the COSI, (2) outlining, though in flexible terms, the potential nature of crises that might justify the triggering of the clause by a Member State, (3) clarifying the concrete meaning of "assistance" in the spirit of the treaty provision so as to clearly set the obligations of the Member States before one another;

Or. en

Amendment 42
Andrew Duff

Motion for a resolution
Subheading 2

Motion for a resolution

Mutual ***defence*** clause

–Scope

Amendment

Mutual ***assistance*** clause

–Scope

Or. en

Amendment 43

Andrew Duff

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Reminds the Member States of their unequivocal obligation of aid and assistance by all the means in their power if a Member State is the victim of armed aggression on its territory; ***stresses that, while large-scale aggression against a Member State appears improbable in the foreseeable future, both traditional territorial defence and defence against new threats need to remain high on the agenda; recalls also that the Treaty stipulates that, for the EU countries that are members of the North Atlantic Treaty Organisation, NATO remains the foundation of their collective defence and the forum for its implementation, and that commitments and cooperation in the area of mutual defence must be consistent with commitments under NATO;***

Amendment

6. Reminds the Member States of their unequivocal obligation of aid and assistance by all the means in their power if a Member State is the victim of armed aggression on its territory; ***recalls also that the large majority of EU states are members of NATO and that, accordingly, the Union's common security and defence policy must be compatible and consistent with commitments under NATO; takes good note of the specific character of the security and defence policies of those EU states which are not members of NATO, but observes nevertheless that the EU's mutual assistance clause will never be activated without having consulted NATO and sought its engagement;***

Or. en

Amendment 44

Tarja Cronberg

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

PE496.435v01-00

24/57

AM\913236EN.doc

Motion for a resolution

6. Reminds the Member States of their unequivocal obligation of aid and assistance by all the means in their power if a Member State is the victim of armed aggression on its territory; stresses that, while large-scale aggression against a Member State appears improbable in the foreseeable future, both traditional territorial defence and defence against new threats need to remain high on the agenda; recalls also that the Treaty stipulates that, for the EU countries that are members of the North Atlantic Treaty Organisation, NATO remains the foundation of their collective defence and the forum for its implementation, and that commitments and cooperation in the area of mutual defence must be consistent with commitments under NATO;

Amendment

6. Reminds the Member States of their unequivocal obligation of aid and assistance by all the means in their power if a Member State is the victim of armed aggression on its territory; stresses that, while large-scale aggression against a Member State appears improbable in the foreseeable future, both traditional territorial defence and defence against new threats need to remain high on the agenda; recalls also that the Treaty stipulates that, for the EU countries that are members of the North Atlantic Treaty Organisation, NATO remains the foundation of their collective defence and the forum for its implementation, and that commitments and cooperation in the area of mutual defence must be consistent with commitments under NATO; ***calls on the Vice-President/High Representative and the Council to clarify the relationship between the EU mutual defence clause and Article 5 of the North Atlantic Treaty;***

Or. en

Amendment 45
Marietta Giannakou

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Reminds the Member States of their unequivocal obligation of aid and assistance by all the means in their power if a Member State is the victim of armed aggression on its territory; stresses that, while large-scale aggression against a Member State appears improbable in the foreseeable future, both traditional territorial defence and defence against new

Amendment

6. Reminds the Member States of their unequivocal obligation of aid and assistance by all the means in their power if a Member State is the victim of armed aggression on its territory; stresses that, while large-scale aggression against a Member State appears improbable in the foreseeable future, both traditional territorial defence and defence against new

threats need to remain high on the agenda;
recalls also that the Treaty stipulates that,
for the EU countries that are members of
the North Atlantic Treaty Organisation,
NATO remains the foundation of their
collective defence and the forum for its
implementation, ***and that commitments***
and cooperation in the area of mutual
defence must be consistent with
commitments under NATO;

threats need to remain high on the agenda;
recalls also that the Treaty stipulates that
commitments and cooperation in the area
of mutual defence shall be consistent with
commitments under the North Atlantic
Treaty Organisation, ***which, for those***
States which are members of it, remains
the foundation of their collective defence
and the forum for its implementation.;

Or. en

Amendment 46
Andrew Duff

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Points out at the same time the ***equally important*** need to prepare for situations ***involving non-NATO EU Member States or EU Member State territories outside the North Atlantic area, and therefore not covered by the Washington Treaty, or situations where no agreement on collective action is reached within NATO***;

Amendment

7. Points out, at the same time, the need to prepare for situations ***in which NATO chooses not to become involved***;

Or. en

Amendment 47
Tarja Cronberg
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Points out at the same time the equally important need to prepare for situations involving non-NATO EU Member States

Amendment

7. Points out at the same time the equally important need to prepare for situations involving non-NATO EU Member States

or EU Member State territories outside the North Atlantic area, and therefore not covered by the Washington Treaty, or situations where no agreement on collective action is reached within NATO;

or EU Member State territories outside the North Atlantic area, and therefore not covered by the Washington Treaty, or situations where no agreement on collective action is reached within NATO;
stresses that under no circumstances assistance to non-NATO EU Member States should lead to linking the Union with the nuclear weapons of two of its Member States; reminds that under no circumstances the implementation of the mutual defence clause should rely on the use of any weapons of mass destruction;

Or. en

Amendment 48
Norica Nicolai

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Takes the view that ***even*** non-armed attacks, for instance cyber-attacks, launched with the aim of causing severe damage and disruption to a Member State and identified as coming from an external entity, could qualify for being covered by the clause, if the Member State's security is significantly threatened by its consequences;

Amendment

8. Takes the view that non-armed attacks, for instance cyber-attacks, launched with the aim of causing severe damage and disruption to a Member State and identified as coming from an external entity, could qualify for being covered by the clause, if the Member State's security is significantly threatened by its consequences; ***calls on the Council, Vice-President/High Representative and the EDA to coordinate with Member States appropriate responses in cases of cyber-attacks, as they are the most likely means for an attack, or initial attack against a state from another state or state-based entity, given the low requirements of material resources to accomplish them;***

Or. en

Amendment 49

Maria Eleni Koppa, Ioan Mircea Paşcu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Takes the view that even non-armed attacks, for instance cyber-attacks, launched with the aim of causing severe damage and disruption to a Member State and identified as coming from an external entity, could qualify for being covered by the clause, if the Member State's security is significantly threatened by its consequences;

Amendment

8. Takes the view that even non-armed attacks, for instance cyber-attacks, launched with the aim of causing severe damage and disruption to a Member State and identified as coming from an external entity, could qualify for being covered by the clause, if the Member State's security is significantly threatened by its consequences, ***with full respect for the principle of proportionality***;

Or. en

Amendment 50

Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Takes the view that even non-armed attacks, for instance cyber-attacks, launched with the aim of causing severe damage and disruption to a Member State and identified as coming from an external entity, could qualify for being covered by the clause, if the Member State's security is significantly threatened by its consequences;

Amendment

8. Takes the view that even non-armed attacks, for instance cyber-attacks ***against critical infrastructure***, launched with the aim of causing severe damage and disruption to a Member State and identified as coming from an external entity, could qualify for being covered by the clause, if the Member State's security is significantly threatened by its consequences;

Or. en

Amendment 51

Tarja Cronberg

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Emphasises the importance of **deterrence**, and therefore the need for European countries to **possess credible military capabilities**; encourages Member States to step up their efforts on collaborative military capability development, notably through the complementary 'Pooling and Sharing' **and** 'Smart Defence' initiatives of the EU and NATO, which represent a critically important way ahead in times of restrained defence budgets;

Amendment

9. Emphasises the importance of **prevention, resilience and protection**, and therefore the need for European countries to **invest in these fields**; encourages Member States to step up their efforts on collaborative military capability development, notably through the complementary 'Pooling and Sharing' **and** 'Smart Defence' initiatives of the EU and NATO, which represent a critically important way ahead in times of restrained defence budgets; **recalls that those national military capabilities at the disposal of CSDP are first and foremost designed for specific missions abroad such as outlined in the Petersberg tasks and which might not be of great value for traditional operations in the field of territorial defence**;

Or. en

Amendment 52
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Emphasises the importance of deterrence, and therefore the need for European countries to possess credible military capabilities; encourages Member States to **step up their efforts on** collaborative military capability development, notably through the complementary 'Pooling and Sharing' **and** 'Smart Defence' initiatives of the EU and NATO, which represent **a critically**

Amendment

9. Emphasises the importance of deterrence, and therefore the need for European countries to possess credible military capabilities; encourages Member States to **carefully consider areas in which** collaborative military capability development **might add value**, notably through the complementary 'Pooling and Sharing' **and** 'Smart Defence' initiatives of the EU and NATO, which represent **an**

important way ahead in times of restrained defence budgets;

important way ahead in times of restrained defence budgets;

Or. en

Amendment 53

Andrew Duff

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Emphasises the importance of deterrence, and therefore the need for European countries to possess credible military capabilities; encourages Member States to step up their efforts on collaborative military capability development, notably through the complementary ‘Pooling and Sharing’ *and* ‘Smart Defence’ initiatives of the EU and NATO, which represent a critically important way ahead in times of restrained defence budgets;

Amendment

9. Emphasises the importance of deterrence, and therefore the need for European countries to possess credible military capabilities; encourages Member States to step up their efforts on collaborative military capability development, notably through the complementary "Pooling and Sharing" *and* "Smart Defence" initiatives of the EU and NATO, which represent a critically important way ahead in times of restrained defence budgets; *in this context, repeats its call for the work of the European Defence Agency to be more fully respected and exploited by national defence ministries;*

Or. en

Amendment 54

Marietta Giannakou

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Emphasises the importance of deterrence, and therefore the need for European countries to possess credible military capabilities; encourages Member States to step up their efforts on

Amendment

9. Emphasises the importance of deterrence, and therefore the need for European countries to possess credible military capabilities; encourages Member States to step up their efforts on

collaborative military capability development, notably through the complementary 'Pooling and Sharing' and 'Smart Defence' initiatives of the EU and NATO, which represent a critically important way ahead in times of restrained defence budgets;

collaborative military capability development, notably through the complementary 'Pooling and Sharing' and 'Smart Defence' initiatives of the EU and NATO, which represent a critically important way ahead in times of restrained defence budgets; *encourages Member States and EEAS to continue the debate with the view to establishing the Permanent Structured Cooperation foreseen in the Lisbon Treaty;*

Or. en

Amendment 55

Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. *Emphasises the importance of deterrence, and therefore the need for European countries to possess credible military capabilities; encourages* Member States to step up their efforts on collaborative military capability development, notably through the complementary 'Pooling and Sharing' *and* 'Smart Defence' initiatives of the EU and NATO, which represent a critically important way ahead in times of restrained defence budgets;

Amendment

9. *Urges* Member States to step up their efforts on collaborative military capability development, notably through the complementary 'Pooling and Sharing' *and* 'Smart Defence' initiatives of the EU and NATO, which represent a critically important way ahead in times of restrained defence budgets; *in this context, invites Member States to reconsider defence spending cuts in light of financial and budgetary constraints; also calls on Member States to adopt a defence spending approach that privileges European and regional synergies, instead of a short-sighted national approach, inadequate to address their obligations in the context of their EU and NATO commitments, in terms of military resources and equipment;*

Or. en

Amendment 56
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Reiterates *its call for systematic* harmonisation of military requirements and a harmonised *EU* defence planning and acquisition *process, matching up to the EU's level of ambition and coordinated with* the NATO Defence Planning Process; *taking into account the increased level of security guarantees provided by the mutual defence clause, encourages the* Member States *to consider multinational cooperation on capability development and, where appropriate, specialisation as core principles of their defence planning;*

Amendment

10. Reiterates *that any moves towards* harmonisation of military requirements and a harmonised defence planning and acquisition *processes should be undertaken through* the NATO Defence Planning Process, *or bilaterally between* Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 57
Tarja Cronberg
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Reiterates its call for systematic harmonisation of military requirements and a harmonised EU defence planning and acquisition process, matching up to the EU's level of ambition and coordinated with the NATO Defence Planning Process; taking into account the *increased level of security guarantees provided by* the mutual defence clause, encourages the Member States to consider multinational cooperation on capability development and, where appropriate, specialisation as core principles of their defence planning;

Amendment

10. Reiterates its call for *proposals regarding* systematic harmonisation of military requirements and a harmonised EU defence planning and acquisition process, matching up to the EU's level of ambition and coordinated with the NATO Defence Planning Process; taking into account the *specific requirements which still need to be clarified and which list precise capabilities needed in order to implement* the mutual defence clause; encourages the Member States to consider multinational cooperation on capability

development and, where appropriate, specialisation as core principles of their defence planning;

Or. en

Amendment 58
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Reiterates its call for systematic harmonisation of military requirements and a harmonised EU defence planning and acquisition process, matching up to the EU's level of ambition and coordinated with the NATO Defence Planning Process; taking into account the increased level of security guarantees provided by the mutual defence clause, encourages the Member States to consider multinational cooperation on capability development and, where appropriate, specialisation as core principles of their defence planning;

Amendment

10. Reiterates its call for systematic harmonisation of military requirements and a harmonised EU defence planning and acquisition process, matching up to the EU's level of ambition and coordinated ***whenever possible*** with the NATO Defence Planning Process; taking into account the increased level of security guarantees provided by the mutual defence clause, encourages the Member States to consider multinational cooperation on capability development and, where appropriate, specialisation as core principles of their defence planning;

Or. en

Amendment 59
Andrew Duff

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Reiterates its call for systematic harmonisation of military requirements and a harmonised EU defence planning and acquisition process, matching up to the EU's level of ambition and coordinated

Amendment

10. Reiterates its call for systematic harmonisation of military requirements and a harmonised EU defence planning and acquisition process, matching up to the EU's level of ambition and coordinated

with the NATO Defence Planning Process; taking into account the increased level of security guarantees provided by the mutual *defence* clause, encourages the Member States to consider multinational cooperation on capability development and, where appropriate, specialisation as core principles of their defence planning;

with the NATO Defence Planning Process; taking into account the increased level of security guarantees provided by the mutual *assistance* clause, encourages the Member States to consider multinational cooperation on capability development and, where appropriate, specialisation as core principles of their defence planning;

Or. en

Amendment 60

Andrew Duff

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11. Invites the Vice-President/High Representative to propose practical arrangements for ensuring an effective response in the event that a Member State triggers the mutual defence clause, as well as an analysis of the role of the EU institutions, should the clause be triggered; takes the view that the obligation to provide aid and assistance, expressing political solidarity among Member States, should ensure a rapid decision in the Council in support of the Member State under attack;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 61

Tarja Cronberg

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Invites the Vice-President/High Representative to propose practical arrangements for ensuring an effective response in the event that a Member State triggers the mutual defence clause, as well as an analysis of the role of the EU institutions, should the clause be triggered; takes the view that the obligation to provide aid and assistance, expressing political solidarity among Member States, should ensure a rapid decision in the Council in support of the Member State under attack;

Amendment

11. Invites the Vice-President/High Representative to propose practical arrangements for ensuring an effective response in the event that a Member State triggers the mutual defence clause, as well as an analysis of the role of the EU institutions ***and how to avoid the use of French or British nuclear weapons***, should the clause be triggered; takes the view that the obligation to provide aid and assistance, expressing political solidarity among Member States, should ensure a rapid decision in the Council in support of the Member State under attack;

Or. en

Amendment 62
Norica Nicolai

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Invites the Vice-President/High Representative to propose practical arrangements for ensuring an effective response in the event that a Member State ***triggers*** the mutual defence clause, as well as an analysis of the role of the EU institutions, should the clause be ***triggered***; takes the view that the obligation to provide aid and assistance, expressing political solidarity among Member States, should ensure a rapid decision in the Council in support of the Member State under attack;

Amendment

11. Invites the Vice-President/High Representative to propose practical arrangements for ensuring an effective response in the event that a Member State ***invokes*** the mutual defence clause, as well as an analysis of the role of the EU institutions, should the clause be ***invoked***; takes the view that the obligation to provide aid and assistance, expressing political solidarity among Member States, should ensure a rapid decision in the Council in support of the Member State under attack;

Or. en

Amendment 63

Maria Eleni Koppa, Ioan Mircea Paşcu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Invites the Vice-President/High Representative to propose practical arrangements for ensuring an effective response in the event that a Member State triggers the mutual defence clause, as well as an analysis of the role of the EU institutions, should the clause be triggered; takes the view that the obligation to provide aid and assistance, expressing political solidarity among Member States, should ensure a rapid decision in the Council in support of the Member State under attack;

Amendment

11. Invites the Vice-President/High Representative to propose practical arrangements ***and guidelines*** for ensuring an effective response in the event that a Member State triggers the mutual defence clause, as well as an analysis of the role of the EU institutions, should the clause be triggered; takes the view that the obligation to provide aid and assistance, expressing political solidarity among Member States, should ensure a rapid decision in the Council in support of the Member State under attack; ***considers that consultations in line with the requirement of Article 32 TEU would serve this purpose, without prejudice for the right of every Member State to provide for its self-defence in the meantime;***

Or. en

Amendment 64

Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Takes the view that, where collective action is taken to defend a Member State under attack, it should be possible to make use of existing EU crisis management structures where appropriate, and in particular that the possibility of activating an EU Operational Headquarters should be envisaged; ***stresses that a fully-fledged permanent EU Operational Headquarters***

Amendment

12. Takes the view that, where collective action is taken to defend a Member State under attack, it should be possible to make use of existing EU crisis management structures where appropriate, and in particular that the possibility of activating an EU Operational Headquarters should be envisaged;

is needed to ensure an adequate level of preparedness and rapidity of response, and reiterates its call on the Member States to establish such a permanent capacity, building on the recently activated EU Operations Centre;

Or. en

Amendment 65
Andrew Duff

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Takes the view that, *where collective action is taken to defend a Member State under attack, it should be possible to* make use of existing EU crisis management structures *where appropriate, and in particular that the possibility of activating an EU Operational Headquarters should be envisaged; stresses that a fully-fledged permanent EU Operational Headquarters is needed to ensure an adequate level of preparedness and rapidity of response, and reiterates its call on the Member States to establish such a permanent capacity,* building on the recently activated EU Operations Centre;

Amendment

12. Takes the view that action under *Article 42(7) TEU should best* make use of existing EU crisis management structures, *but that, in the longer term, the establishment of a permanent EU Operational Headquarters needs to be envisaged,* building on the recently activated EU Operations Centre;

Or. en

Amendment 66
Tarja Cronberg
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Takes the view that, where collective action is taken to defend a Member State under attack, it should be possible to make use of existing EU crisis management structures where appropriate, and in particular that the possibility of activating an EU Operational Headquarters should be envisaged; ***stresses that a fully-fledged permanent EU Operational Headquarters is needed to ensure an adequate level of preparedness and rapidity of response, and reiterates its call on the Member States to establish such a permanent capacity, building on the recently activated EU Operations Centre;***

Amendment

12. Takes the view that, where collective action is taken to defend a Member State under attack, it should be possible to make use of existing EU crisis management structures where appropriate, and in particular that the possibility of activating an EU Operational Headquarters should be envisaged; ***reminds that until now there is no Common European Defence Policy which defines principles, structures, procedures and capabilities for organising a military response to an armed attack against one or more EU Member States; also recalls that CSDP structures and capabilities are designed for very specific stabilization missions abroad and are not to be able to contribute to territorial defence;***

Or. en

Amendment 67

Norica Nicolai

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Takes the view that, where collective action is taken to defend a Member State under attack, it should be possible to make use of existing EU crisis management structures where appropriate, and in particular that the possibility of activating an EU Operational Headquarters should be envisaged; stresses that a fully-fledged permanent EU Operational Headquarters is needed to ensure an adequate level of preparedness and rapidity of response, and reiterates its call on the Member States to establish such a permanent capacity, building on the recently activated EU

Amendment

12. Takes the view that, where collective action is taken to defend a Member State under attack, it should be possible to make use of existing EU crisis management structures where appropriate, and in particular that the possibility of activating an EU Operational Headquarters should be envisaged; stresses that a fully-fledged permanent EU Operational Headquarters is needed to ensure an adequate level of preparedness and rapidity of response, and reiterates its call on the Member States to establish such a permanent capacity, building on the recently activated EU

Operations Centre;

Operations Centre; *notes that a permanent EU OHQ could host jointly pooled equipment for crisis response and management that can be an important incentive for cost savings;*

Or. en

Amendment 68
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Recalls that, if a Member State is the victim of a terrorist attack or of a natural or man-made disaster, the Union and the Member States have an obligation to act jointly in a spirit of solidarity to assist it, at the request of its political authorities, and that the Union shall in such cases mobilise all the instruments at its disposal, including the military resources made available by the Member States; *recalls also the Union's obligation to mobilise all the instruments at its disposal to prevent terrorist threats in the EU and to protect democratic institutions and the civilian population from any terrorist attack;*

Amendment

13. Recalls that, if a Member State is the victim of a terrorist attack or of a natural or man-made disaster, the Union and the Member States have an obligation to act jointly in a spirit of solidarity to assist it, at the request of its political authorities, and that the Union shall in such cases mobilise all the instruments at its disposal, including the *national* military resources made available by the Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 69
Vytautas Landsbergis

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Recalls that, if a Member State is the victim of a terrorist attack or of a natural or

Amendment

13. Recalls that, if a Member State is the victim of a terrorist attack or of a natural or

man-made disaster, the Union and the Member States have an obligation to act jointly in a spirit of solidarity to assist it, at the request of its political authorities, and that the Union shall in such cases mobilise all the instruments at its disposal, including the military resources made available by the Member States; recalls also the Union's obligation to mobilise all the instruments at its disposal to prevent terrorist threats in the EU and to protect democratic institutions and the civilian population from any terrorist attack;

man-made disaster (*when the last formula covers also a foreign state- caused disaster*), the Union and the Member States have an obligation to act jointly in a spirit of solidarity to assist it, at the request of its political authorities, and that the Union shall in such cases mobilise all the instruments at its disposal, including the military resources made available by the Member States; recalls also the Union's obligation to mobilise all the instruments at its disposal to prevent terrorist threats in the EU and to protect democratic institutions and the civilian population from any terrorist *or foreign state* attack;

Or. en

Amendment 70
Vytautas Landsbergis

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Calls for sufficient *flexibility* as regards the types of attacks and disasters for which the clause may be triggered, to ensure that no significant threats, such as attacks in cyberspace, pandemics, or energy shortages, are overlooked;

Amendment

14. Calls for sufficient *consistency* as regards the types of attacks and disasters for which the clause may be triggered, to ensure that no significant threats, such as attacks in cyberspace, pandemics, or energy shortages, are overlooked;

Or. en

Amendment 71
Petru Constantin Luhan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Calls for sufficient flexibility as regards

Amendment

14. Calls for sufficient flexibility as regards

the types of attacks and disasters for which the clause may be triggered, to ensure that no significant threats, such as attacks in cyberspace, pandemics, or energy shortages, are overlooked;

the types of attacks and disasters for which the clause may be triggered, to ensure that no significant threats, such as attacks in cyberspace, pandemics, ***maritime spills, immigration flows*** or energy shortages, are overlooked;

Or. en

Amendment 72
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Calls for ***sufficient*** flexibility as regards the types of attacks and disasters for which the clause may be triggered, to ensure that no significant threats, such as attacks in cyberspace, pandemics, or energy shortages, are overlooked;

Amendment

14. Calls for ***adequate*** flexibility as regards the types of attacks and disasters for which the clause may be triggered, to ensure that no significant threats, such as attacks in cyberspace, pandemics, or energy shortages, are overlooked;

Or. en

Amendment 73
Marietta Giannakou

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Stresses the need ***to prevent any moral hazard, in that some Member States may be tempted*** to excessively rely on the solidarity of others ***while under-investing in their own security and disaster response capabilities***; emphasises the primary responsibility of Member States for civil protection and security in their territory;

Amendment

15. Stresses the need ***for Member States to invest in their own security and disaster response capabilities and not*** to excessively rely on the solidarity of others; emphasises the primary responsibility of Member States for civil protection and security in their territory;

Amendment 74
Vytautas Landsbergis

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Stresses the need to ***prevent any moral hazard, in that some Member States may be tempted to excessively rely on the solidarity of others while*** under-investing in their own security and disaster response capabilities; ***emphasises the primary responsibility of Member States for civil protection and security in their territory;***

Amendment

15. Stresses the need to ***elaborate grounds and rules stating that solidarity commitment, especially as for rapid response, is not undermined by long speculations that maybe the victim was guilty by alleged*** under-investing in their own security and disaster response capabilities;

Amendment 75
Norica Nicolai

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Stresses the need to prevent any moral hazard, in that some Member States may be tempted to excessively rely on the solidarity of others while under-investing in their own security and disaster response capabilities; emphasises the primary responsibility of Member States for civil protection and security in their territory;

Amendment

15. Stresses the need to prevent any moral hazard, in that some Member States may be tempted to excessively rely on the solidarity of others while under-investing in their own security and disaster response capabilities; emphasises the primary responsibility of Member States for civil protection and security in their territory, ***or at least to participate in joint projects to ensure a common response capability where it would be needed;***

Amendment 76
Norica Nicolai

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Takes the view that the solidarity clause should be invoked in situations that overwhelm the capacities of the affected Member State or require a multi-sector response involving a number of actors; stresses that solidarity also means the obligation to invest in adequate national capabilities;

Amendment

16. Takes the view that the solidarity clause should be invoked in situations that overwhelm the **response** capacities of the affected Member State or require a multi-sector response involving a number of actors, ***but that once a Member has decided to invoke the clause, it should not be a matter for debate for the others to offer assistance***; stresses that solidarity also means the obligation to invest in adequate national capabilities;

Or. en

Amendment 77
Vytautas Landsbergis

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Takes the view that the solidarity clause should be invoked in situations that overwhelm the capacities of the affected Member State ***or require a multi-sector response involving a number of actors; stresses that solidarity also means the obligation to invest in adequate national capabilities***;

Amendment

16. Takes the view that the solidarity clause should be invoked in situations that overwhelm the capacities of the affected Member State ***along with its fair presentation***;

Or. en

Amendment 78
Petru Constantin Luhan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Takes the view that the solidarity clause should be invoked in situations that overwhelm the capacities of the affected Member State or require a multi-sector response involving a number of actors; stresses that solidarity also means ***the obligation to invest in adequate national capabilities***;

Amendment

16. Takes the view that the solidarity clause should be invoked in situations that overwhelm the capacities of the affected Member State or require a multi-sector response involving a number of actors; stresses that solidarity also means ***that national governments enhance capacities for providing and receiving assistance***;

Or. en

Amendment 79
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Takes the view that the solidarity clause should be invoked in situations that overwhelm the capacities of the affected Member State or require a multi-sector response involving a number of actors; stresses that solidarity also ***means the*** obligation to invest in adequate national capabilities;

Amendment

16. Takes the view that the solidarity clause should be invoked in situations that overwhelm the capacities of the affected Member State or require a multi-sector response involving a number of actors; stresses that solidarity also ***entails an*** obligation to invest in adequate national capabilities;

Or. en

Amendment 80
Marietta Giannakou

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16 a. Considers that the solidarity clause

can provide the impetus for enhancing the EU leverage among European citizens, giving tangible evidence of the benefits of increased EU cooperation on crisis management and disaster response capabilities;

Or. en

Amendment 81
Norica Nicolai

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Stresses that the implementation of the solidarity clause should form an integral part of a permanent EU crisis response, crisis management and crisis coordination system, building on the existing sectoral instruments and capabilities and providing for their effective mobilisation to deliver a coordinated multi-sector response when needed; stresses that, in principle, the implementation should not lead to the creation of ad hoc tools;

Amendment

17. Stresses that the implementation of the solidarity clause should form an integral part of a permanent EU crisis response, crisis management and crisis coordination system, building on the existing sectoral instruments and capabilities and providing for their effective mobilisation to deliver a coordinated multi-sector response when needed; stresses that, in principle, the implementation should not lead to the creation of ad hoc tools *and can easily build on the "more for more" principle;*

Or. en

Amendment 82
Vytautas Landsbergis

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Stresses that the implementation of the solidarity clause should form an integral part of a permanent EU crisis response, crisis management and crisis coordination

Amendment

17. Stresses that the implementation of the solidarity clause should form an integral part of a permanent EU crisis response, crisis management and crisis coordination

system, building on the existing sectoral instruments and capabilities and providing for their effective mobilisation to deliver a coordinated multi-sector response when needed; stresses that, in principle, the implementation *should not* lead to the creation of ad hoc tools;

system, building on the existing sectoral instruments and capabilities and providing for their effective mobilisation to deliver a coordinated multi-sector response when needed; stresses that, in principle, the implementation *if necessary, may* lead to the creation of ad hoc tools;

Or. en

Amendment 83
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Notes the ongoing work to implement the Internal Security Strategy, in particular in the areas of counter-terrorism, the fight against cybercrime and increasing resilience to crises and disasters; stresses that the implementation of the solidarity clause is not only a matter of setting up procedures for the moment a major crisis happens, but is fundamentally about capacity building, prevention and preparedness; recalls the relevance of crisis management exercises, tailored for specific contingencies covered by the clause;

Amendment

19. Stresses that the implementation of the solidarity clause is not only a matter of setting up procedures for the moment a major crisis happens, but is fundamentally about capacity building, prevention and preparedness; recalls the relevance of crisis management exercises, tailored for specific contingencies covered by the clause;

Or. en

Amendment 84
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Notes the ongoing work to implement the Internal Security Strategy, in particular

Amendment

19. Notes the ongoing work to implement the Internal Security Strategy, in particular

in the areas of counter-terrorism, the fight against cybercrime and increasing resilience to crises and disasters; stresses that the implementation of the solidarity clause is not only a matter of setting up procedures for the moment a major crisis happens, but is fundamentally about capacity building, prevention **and preparedness**; recalls the relevance of crisis management exercises, tailored for specific contingencies covered by the clause;

in the areas of counter-terrorism, the fight against cybercrime and increasing resilience to crises and disasters; stresses that the implementation of the solidarity clause is not only a matter of setting up procedures for the moment a major crisis happens, but is fundamentally about capacity building, prevention, **preparedness and response**; recalls the relevance of crisis management exercises, tailored for specific contingencies covered by the clause;

Or. en

Amendment 85
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. Considers that, in the case of high-costs assets, in particular those for lower-probability risks, it makes sound economic sense for Member States to identify solutions for the common investment and joint development of such necessary tools, especially in the current context of the financial crisis;

Amendment

21. Considers that, in the case of high-costs assets, in particular those for lower-probability risks, it makes sound economic sense for Member States to identify solutions for the common investment and joint development of such necessary tools, especially in the current context of the financial crisis; ***in light of this, recalls the need to build on the expertise and experience of both the Commission, the European Defence Agency and other EU agencies;***

Or. en

Amendment 86
Petru Constantin Luhan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 22 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

22 a. Considers that in order to further encourage fluid European cooperation, there could be put in place trainings and exercise programmes, especially for the political-administrative level of disaster and crisis management personnel in member states.

Or. en

Amendment 87

Tarja Cronberg

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

24. Recalls that under the provisions of Article 122(1) TEU the Council may decide on measures to address a difficult economic situation in a spirit of solidarity, in particular if severe difficulties arise in the supply of certain products, **notably in the area of energy**; stresses the importance of seeing this provision as part of a comprehensive Union solidarity toolbox to address some new major security challenges, such as challenges in the area of energy security and the security of supply of other critical products;

Amendment

24. Recalls that under the provisions of Article 122(1) TEU the Council may decide on measures to address a difficult economic situation in a spirit of solidarity, in particular if severe difficulties arise in the supply of certain products; stresses the importance of seeing this provision as part of a comprehensive Union solidarity toolbox to address some new major security challenges, such as challenges in the area of **climate security**, energy security and the security of supply of other critical products;

Or. en

Amendment 88

Vytautas Landsbergis

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

24. Recalls that under the provisions of Article 122(1) TEU the Council may decide on measures to address a difficult economic situation in a spirit of solidarity, in particular if severe difficulties arise in the supply of certain products, notably in the area of energy; stresses the importance of seeing this provision as part of a comprehensive Union solidarity toolbox to address some new major security challenges, such as challenges in the area of energy security and the security of supply of other critical products;

Amendment

24. Recalls that under the provisions of Article 122(1) TEU the Council may decide on measures to address a difficult economic situation in a spirit of solidarity, in particular if severe difficulties arise in the supply of certain products, notably in the area of energy, ***especially when a politically motivated measure of blockade was used***; stresses the importance of seeing this provision as part of a comprehensive Union solidarity toolbox to address some new major security challenges, such as challenges in the area of energy security and the security of supply of other critical products;

Or. en

Amendment 89
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25

Motion for a resolution

25. Stresses that ***the EU needs to possess*** capable crisis response structures ***with 24/7 monitoring and response capacity, able to provide early warning and up-to-date situation awareness to all relevant actors***; notes that ***there is a*** multitude of EU-level monitoring centres, ***and that this*** raises questions of efficient coordination in the event of complex, multidimensional crises; notes the establishment of the Situation Room within the European External Action Service, as well as the existence of a number of sectoral monitoring centres within Commission departments and specialised EU bodies; draws attention, in particular, to the Monitoring and Information Centre of DG ECHO, the

Amendment

25. Stresses that ***there are already complex, and duplicative***, capable crisis response structures; notes that ***the*** multitude of EU-level monitoring centres raises questions of efficient coordination in the event of complex, multidimensional crises; notes the establishment of the Situation Room within the European External Action Service, as well as the existence of a number of sectoral monitoring centres within Commission departments and specialised EU bodies; draws attention, in particular, to the Monitoring and Information Centre of DG ECHO, the Strategic Analysis and Response Capability of DG HOME, the Health Emergency Operations Facility of

Strategic Analysis and Response
Capability of DG HOME, the Health
Emergency Operations Facility of DG
SANCO and the situation room of Frontex;

DG SANCO and the situation room of
Frontex;

Or. en

Amendment 90
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 27

Motion for a resolution

27. Takes the view that the wide array of potential crises, from floods to nuclear accidents to bioterrorism, inevitably requires a wide spectrum of specialised services and networks, the merging of which would not necessarily lead to greater efficiency; ***considers, at the same time, that all specialised services at EU level should be integrated within a single secured information system, and invites the Commission and the Vice-President / High Representative to work on strengthening the ARGUS internal coordination platform;***

Amendment

27. Takes the view that the wide array of potential crises, from floods to nuclear accidents to bioterrorism, inevitably requires a wide spectrum of specialised services and networks, the merging of which would not necessarily lead to greater efficiency;

Or. en

Amendment 91
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 27

Motion for a resolution

27. Takes the view that the wide array of potential crises, from floods to ***nuclear accidents to bioterrorism***, inevitably requires a wide spectrum of specialised

Amendment

27. Takes the view that the wide array of potential crises, from floods to ***CBRN attacks or disasters***, inevitably requires a wide spectrum of specialised services and

services and networks, the merging of which would not necessarily lead to greater efficiency; considers, at the same time, that all specialised services at EU level should be integrated within a single secured information system, and invites the Commission and the Vice-President / High Representative to work on strengthening the ARGUS internal coordination platform;

networks, the merging of which would not necessarily lead to greater efficiency; considers, at the same time, that all specialised services at EU level should be integrated within a single secured information system, and invites the Commission and the Vice-President / High Representative to work on strengthening the ARGUS internal coordination platform;

Or. en

Amendment 92
Andrew Duff

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 27 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

27 a. Highlights the need for political coordination in the Council in cases of severe crises; notes the review of the EU Emergency and Crisis Coordination Arrangements (CCA) and welcomes the agreement within the Council on the new CCA conceptual framework, making use of regular Council procedures, notably the COREPER, instead of ad hoc structures; stresses that responding at EU political level in a coherent, efficient and timely way to crises of such a scale and nature requires only one single set of arrangements; considers therefore that the new CCA should also support the solidarity clause;

Or. en

Amendment 93
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 31

Motion for a resolution

31. Points out that, in the current global environment where interdependencies are multiplying, major crises on a scale that would justify the triggering of the solidarity clause are likely to be multidimensional and have an international dimension, with respect to third country nationals affected by them or to international action needed to respond to them; stresses the important role to be played by the EEAS in such cases;

Amendment

31. Points out that, in the current global environment where interdependencies are multiplying, major crises on a scale that would justify the triggering of the solidarity clause are likely to be multidimensional and have an international dimension, with respect to third country nationals affected by them or to international action needed to respond to them; stresses the important role to be played by ***national diplomatic services and*** the EEAS in such cases;

Or. en

Amendment 94
Andrew Duff

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 32

Motion for a resolution

32. Highlights the need for political coordination in the Council in cases of severe crises; notes the review of the EU Emergency and Crisis Coordination Arrangements (CCA) and welcomes the agreement within the Council on the new CCA conceptual framework, making use of regular Council procedures, notably the COREPER, instead of ad hoc structures; stresses that responding at EU political level in a coherent, efficient and timely way to crises of such a scale and nature requires only one single set of arrangements; considers therefore that the new CCA should also support the solidarity clause;

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 95
Marietta Giannakou

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33

Motion for a resolution

33. Invites the Member States to exchange best practices on ways to streamline their national crisis coordination procedures and the interaction of their national crisis coordination centres with the EU;

Amendment

33. Invites the Member States to ***enhance their capacities for providing and receiving assistance, as well as to*** exchange best practices on ways to streamline their national crisis coordination procedures and the interaction of their national crisis coordination centres with the EU; ***takes the view that the planning and conduct of appropriate EU-wide crisis response exercises, involving national crisis response structures and the appropriate EU structures should also be considered;***

Or. en

Amendment 96
Marietta Giannakou

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

33 a. Considers that it is essential to establish the necessary procedural and organizational links between relevant Member State services, in order to ensure proper functioning of the solidarity clause following its activation;

Or. en

Amendment 97
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34

Motion for a resolution

34. Stresses that any decision-making process in the Council following a request for assistance under the solidarity clause must not be detrimental to EU reactivity, and that crisis response through the existing mechanisms, such as the Civil Protection Mechanism, must be able to start immediately, irrespective of such political decision; ***points out to the fact that the use of military assets to support civil protection operations is already possible on operational level without the activation of the solidarity clause, as evidenced by the successful cooperation between the Commission and the EU Military Staff on past operations in Pakistan or Libya;***

Amendment

34. Stresses that any decision-making process in the Council following a request for assistance under the solidarity clause must not be detrimental to EU reactivity, and that crisis response through the existing mechanisms, such as the Civil Protection Mechanism, must be able to start immediately, irrespective of such political decision;

Or. en

Amendment 98
Andrew Duff

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 35

Motion for a resolution

35. Recalls that the solidarity clause requires the European Council to regularly assess the threats facing the Union; takes the view that such assessments need to be ***made*** at least at two distinct levels: on a more long-term basis in the European Council, in a process which should also feed strategic thinking to be reflected in future updates of the European Security Strategy and the Internal Security Strategy, as well as through more frequent comprehensive overviews of current threats;

Amendment

35. Recalls that the solidarity clause requires the European Council to regularly assess the threats facing the Union; ***insists that this assessment must be coordinated with NATO;*** takes the view that such assessments need to be ***done*** at least at two distinct levels: on a more long-term basis in the European Council, in a process which should also feed strategic thinking to be reflected in future updates of the European Security Strategy and the Internal Security Strategy, as well as through more frequent comprehensive

overviews of current threats;

Or. en

Amendment 99
Petru Constantin Luhan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 35

Motion for a resolution

35. Recalls that the solidarity clause requires the European Council to regularly assess the threats facing the Union; takes the view that such assessments need to be made at least at two distinct levels: on a more long-term basis in the European Council, in a process which should also feed strategic thinking to be reflected in future updates of the European Security Strategy and the Internal Security Strategy, as well as through more frequent comprehensive overviews of current threats;

Amendment

35. Recalls that the solidarity clause requires the European Council to regularly assess the threats facing the Union; takes the view that such assessments need to be made at least at two distinct levels: on a more long-term basis in the European Council, in a process which should also feed strategic thinking to be reflected in future updates of the European Security Strategy and the Internal Security Strategy, as well as through more frequent comprehensive overviews of current threats ***by the Council's Situation Centre in cooperation with the Commission***;

Or. en

Amendment 100
Petru Constantin Luhan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 36

Motion for a resolution

36. Considers that threat assessments must be complemented with risk assessments, analysing threats in the light of existing vulnerabilities, thus identifying the most pressing capability gaps to be addressed; recalls that within the implementation of the Internal Security Strategy, the EU

Amendment

36. Considers that ***the annual*** threat assessments must be complemented with risk assessments, analysing threats in the light of existing vulnerabilities, thus identifying the most pressing capability gaps to be addressed; recalls that within the implementation of the Internal Security

should establish by 2014 a coherent risk management policy linking threat and risk assessments to decision making; reminds also that by the end of 2012, the Commission should prepare, on the basis of national risk analyses, a cross-sectoral overview of the major natural and man-made risks that the EU may face in the future; encourages the Member States to share their national risk assessments and risk management plans to enable a joint appraisal to be made of the situation;

Strategy, the EU should establish by 2014 a coherent risk management policy linking threat and risk assessments to decision making; reminds also that by the end of 2012, the Commission should prepare, on the basis of national risk analyses, a cross-sectoral overview of the major natural and man-made risks that the EU may face in the future; encourages the Member States to share their national risk assessments and risk management plans to enable a joint appraisal to be made of the situation;

Or. en

Amendment 101
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 37

Motion for a resolution

37. Stresses that the resulting joint multi-hazard assessments need to use the capacities of the EU Intelligence Analysis Centre, building on shared intelligence and integrating inputs from all EU bodies involved in threat and risk assessment, such as the relevant Commission departments (including DG HOME, DG ECHO and DG SANCO) and agencies of the Union (Europol, Frontex, European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control and others);

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 102
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 37

Motion for a resolution

37. Stresses that the resulting joint multi-hazard assessments need to use the capacities of the EU Intelligence Analysis Centre, building on shared intelligence and integrating inputs from all EU bodies involved in threat and risk assessment, such as the relevant Commission departments (including DG HOME, DG ECHO and DG SANCO) and agencies of the Union (Europol, Frontex, European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control and others);

Amendment

37. Stresses that the resulting joint multi-hazard assessments need to use the capacities of the EU Intelligence Analysis Centre, building on shared intelligence and integrating inputs from all EU bodies involved in threat and risk assessment, such as the relevant Commission departments (including DG HOME, DG ECHO and DG SANCO) and agencies of the Union (Europol, Frontex, European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control, **EDA** and others);

Or. en