



EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

2009 - 2014

Committee on Foreign Affairs

2010/2308(INI)

21.2.2012

AMENDMENTS

1 - 42

Draft opinion

Ana Gomes

(PE480.693v01-00)

on the European Union's Internal Security Strategy
(2010/2308(INI))

AM\891770EN.doc

PE480.887v01-00

EN

United in diversity

EN

AM_Com_NonLegOpinion

Amendment 1
Sabine Lösing

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. **Recalls** that the **key common threats identified** in the **Internal Security Strategy** – in particular **organised crime, terrorism and cybercrime** – **have indivisible external and internal dimensions**, and that **coordinated and coherent action on both fronts is required for any response to be effective**;

Amendment

1. **Is convinced** that the **current procedures on national level dealing with organised crime or cybercrimes should remain unchanged and be conducted by the competent national agencies in accordance with the rule of law; these procedures must remain outside the framework of the European Security Strategy and no military or civil-military measures should be involved; is further of the opinion that in order to fight terrorism effectively its root causes**, in particular **poverty, no free access to education, lack of perspective and social, political and economic marginalisation must be eradicated instantly; therefore opposes any merging of the European Security Strategy and the Internal Security Strategy, as it also opens a gateway for the deployment of military forces within the European Union, which must be strictly avoided**;

Or. en

Amendment 2
Franziska Katharina Brantner

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Recalls that the key common threats identified in the Internal Security Strategy – in particular organised crime, terrorism and cybercrime – have **indivisible** external

Amendment

1. Recalls that the key common threats identified in the Internal Security Strategy – in particular organised crime, terrorism and cybercrime – have external and

and internal dimensions, and that coordinated and coherent action *on* both *fronts* is required for any response to be effective;

internal dimensions, and that coordinated and coherent action *in* both *areas* is required for any response to be effective;

Or. en

Amendment 3
Marietta Giannakou

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Recalls that the key common threats identified in the Internal Security Strategy – in particular organised crime, terrorism and cybercrime – have indivisible external and internal dimensions, and that coordinated and coherent action on both fronts is required for any response to be effective;

Amendment

1. Recalls that the key common threats identified in the Internal Security Strategy – in particular organised crime, terrorism and **radicalisation, cybercrime, and border management** – have indivisible external and internal dimensions, and that coordinated and coherent action on both fronts is required for any response to be effective;

Or. en

Amendment 4
Ana Gomes

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Recalls that the key common threats identified in the Internal Security Strategy – in particular organised crime, terrorism and cybercrime – have indivisible external and internal dimensions, and that coordinated and coherent action on both fronts is required for any response to be effective;

Amendment

1. Recalls that the key common threats identified in the Internal Security Strategy – in particular organised crime, terrorism and cybercrime – have indivisible external and internal dimensions, and that coordinated and coherent action on both fronts is required for any response to be effective; **also, critical action for disarmament, non-proliferation, CBRN**

risks and illicit trafficking, which are primarily addressed in external cooperation instruments, have undeniable implications in internal security;

Or. en

Amendment 5
Marietta Giannakou

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Calls, therefore, on the Commission to work on a communication setting guidelines on how best to translate the link between the external and internal dimensions of the European Security Strategy and the Internal Security Strategy into cross-cutting objectives and tangible policies;

Amendment

2. Calls, therefore, on the Commission to work on a communication setting guidelines on how best to translate the link between the external and internal dimensions of the European Security Strategy and the Internal Security Strategy into cross-cutting objectives and tangible policies; ***stresses that coherence and complementarity between the internal and external aspects of EU security are crucial for the successful implementation of our security objectives;***

Or. en

Amendment 6
Ana Gomes

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Calls, therefore, on the Commission to work on a communication setting guidelines on how best to translate the link between the external and internal dimensions of the European Security Strategy and the Internal Security Strategy

Amendment

2. Calls, therefore, on the Commission to work on a communication setting guidelines on how best to translate the link between the external and internal dimensions of the European Security Strategy and the Internal Security Strategy

into cross-cutting objectives and **tangible** policies;

into cross-cutting objectives and **effective** policies;

Or. en

Amendment 7
Krišjānis Kariņš

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2 a. Stresses that the development of the technologies used for the security purposes at the disposal by the public as well as private sector may actually hinder security when used excessively or for the wrong purposes;

Or. en

Amendment 8
Franziska Katharina Brantner

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

Amendment

3. Highlights the importance of a comprehensive approach to an EU security strategy, based on a holistic concept of human security anchored on the promotion of human rights, democracy, peace and stability;

3. Highlights the importance of a comprehensive approach to an EU security strategy, based on a holistic concept of human security anchored on the promotion of human rights, ***fundamental rights, rule of law***, democracy, peace and stability;

Or. en

Amendment 9
Sampo Terho

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Highlights the importance of a comprehensive approach to an EU security strategy, based on a holistic concept of human security anchored on the promotion of human rights, democracy, peace and stability;

Amendment

3. Highlights the importance of a comprehensive approach to an EU security strategy, based on a holistic concept of human security anchored on the promotion of human rights, **freedom**, democracy, peace and stability;

Or. en

Amendment 10
Ana Gomes

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Highlights the importance of a comprehensive approach to an EU security strategy, based on a holistic concept of human security anchored **on the promotion of** human rights, democracy, peace **and stability**;

Amendment

3. Highlights the importance of a comprehensive approach to an EU security strategy, based on a holistic concept of human security anchored **in** human rights, democracy, **the rule of law, good governance and** peace;

Or. en

Amendment 11
Ana Gomes

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Reiterates the need for the EU to integrate a wide-ranging human security **perspective** into its relationships with third countries, particularly on border management, migration, fighting organised

Amendment

4. Reiterates the need for the EU to integrate a wide-ranging human security **approach** into its relationships with third countries, particularly on border management, migration, fighting

crime, state *failure and* underdevelopment;

trafficking in human beings and combating organised crime, state *fragility or* underdevelopment;

Or. en

Amendment 12
Sampo Terho

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Reiterates the need for the EU to integrate a wide-ranging human security perspective into its relationships with third countries, particularly on border management, migration, fighting organised crime, state failure and underdevelopment;

Amendment

4. Reiterates the need for the EU to integrate a wide-ranging human security perspective into its relationships with third countries, particularly on border management, migration, fighting organised crime *and smuggling*, state failure and underdevelopment;

Or. en

Amendment 13
Marietta Giannakou

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Reiterates the need for the EU to integrate a wide-ranging human security perspective into its relationships with third countries, particularly on border management, migration, fighting organised crime, state failure and underdevelopment;

Amendment

4. Reiterates the need for the EU to integrate a wide-ranging human security perspective into its relationships with third countries, particularly on border management, migration, fighting organised crime, *maritime security*, state failure and underdevelopment;

Or. en

Amendment 14
Ágnes Hankiss

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Reiterates the need for the EU to integrate a wide-ranging human security perspective into its relationships with third countries, particularly on border management, migration, fighting organised crime, state failure and underdevelopment;

Amendment

4. Reiterates the need for the EU to integrate a wide-ranging human security perspective into its relationships with third countries, particularly on border management, migration, fighting organised crime ***and terrorism***, state failure and underdevelopment;

Or. en

Amendment 15
Marietta Giannakou

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4 a. In the aftermath of the Arab Spring, reiterates that the internal security of the EU is inextricably linked to the security situation in its neighbourhood; underlines, in particular, the importance of European Neighbourhood Policy (Union for the Mediterranean, Eastern Partnership, Black Sea Synergy) on EU's external policies, and calls in this regard on the Commission and the EEAS to foster synergies between the EU and its neighbours in order to jointly address our common security challenges;

Or. en

Amendment 16
Ana Gomes

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4 a. Acknowledges progress made in internal and external security coordination since the establishment of the EEAS, through regular informal meetings of the PSC and the COSI delegates, working methods for enhanced cooperation on internal and external security, the Council conclusions on internal and external aspects of counter-terrorism policy, the joint Commission-EEAS staff papers on CSDP and FSJ actors and on cyberspace, as well as the establishment of Commission-EEAS Inter-service Groups;

Or. en

Amendment 17
Marietta Giannakou

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4 b. Takes the view that enhanced cooperation with other international institutions responsible for security strategy such as NATO and the OSCE should be further promoted;

Or. en

Amendment 18
Andreas Mölzer

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Calls on the Vice-President/High Representative, the Council and the Commission to strengthen the existing coordination mechanisms between the services and agencies in the area of Justice and Home Affairs (JHA) on the one hand, and the European External Action Service (EEAS), ***including missions and operations under the Common Security and Defence Policy (CSDP) on the other, taking advantage in particular of the new capabilities of the EU Situation Centre in order to provide common strategic analyses, threat assessments and timely information to all actors involved;***

Amendment

5. Calls on the Vice-President/High Representative, the Council and the Commission to strengthen the existing coordination mechanisms between the services and agencies in the area of Justice and Home Affairs (JHA) on the one hand, and the European External Action Service (EEAS);

Or. en

Amendment 19

Ana Gomes

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Calls on the Vice-President/High Representative, the Council and the Commission to strengthen the ***existing*** coordination mechanisms between the services and agencies in the area of Justice and Home Affairs (JHA) ***on the one hand***, and the European External Action Service (EEAS), ***including missions and operations under the Common Security and Defence Policy (CSDP) on the other***, taking advantage in particular of the new capabilities of the EU Situation Centre in order to provide common strategic analyses, threat assessments and timely information to all actors involved;

Amendment

5. Calls ***nevertheless*** on the Vice-President/High Representative, the Council and the Commission to strengthen the coordination mechanisms between the services and agencies in the area of Justice and Home Affairs (JHA), and the European External Action Service (EEAS), taking advantage in particular of the new capabilities of the EU Situation Centre in order to provide common strategic analyses, threat assessments and timely information to all actors involved;

Or. en

Amendment 20
Franziska Katharina Brantner

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Calls on the Vice-President/High Representative, the Council and the Commission to **strengthen** the existing coordination mechanisms between the services and agencies in the area of Justice and Home Affairs (JHA) on the one hand, and the European External Action Service (EEAS), including missions and operations under the Common Security and Defence Policy (CSDP) on the other, taking **advantage in particular of the new capabilities of** the EU Situation Centre in order to provide common strategic analyses, threat assessments and timely information to all actors involved;

Amendment

5. Calls on the Vice-President/High Representative, the Council and the Commission to **assess** the existing coordination mechanisms between the services and agencies in the area of Justice and Home Affairs (JHA) on the one hand, and the European External Action Service (EEAS), including missions and operations under the Common Security and Defence Policy (CSDP) on the other, taking **into consideration a possible role for** the EU Situation Centre in order to provide common strategic analyses, threat assessments and timely information to all actors involved;

Or. en

Amendment 21
Krzysztof Lisek, Arnaud Danjean, Michael Gahler

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Calls on the Vice-President/High Representative, the Council and the Commission to strengthen the existing coordination mechanisms between the services and agencies in the area of Justice and Home Affairs (JHA) on the one hand, and the European External Action Service (EEAS), including missions and operations under the Common Security and Defence Policy (CSDP) on the other, taking

Amendment

5. Calls on the Vice-President/High Representative, the Council and the Commission to strengthen the existing coordination mechanisms between the **competent committees, working groups,** services and agencies in the area of Justice and Home Affairs (JHA) on the one hand, and the European External Action Service (EEAS), including missions and operations under the Common Security and Defence

advantage in particular of the new capabilities of the EU Situation Centre in order to provide common strategic analyses, threat assessments and timely information to all actors involved;

Policy (CSDP) on the other, taking advantage in particular of the new capabilities of the EU Situation Centre in order to provide common strategic analyses, threat assessments and timely information to all actors involved;

Or. en

Amendment 22

Krzysztof Lisek, Arnaud Danjean, Michael Gahler

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5 a. Invites the Vice-President/High Representative, the Council and the Commission to ensure a swift implementation of the Road Map for strengthening ties between CSDP and FSJ, recently adopted at the meeting of the members of the Political and Security Committee (PSC) and of the members of the Standing Committee on operational cooperation on internal security (COSI);

Or. en

Amendment 23

Ana Gomes

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5 a. Stresses the need to ensure an effective and permanent linkage between internal and external dimensions of European security in matters relevant for CFSP activities and priorities, such as global disarmament, non-proliferation,

CBRN risks inside and outside the EU, the fight against terrorism and radicalisation inside and outside European borders and cyber-security; thus, takes the view that coordination among both internal and external dimensions of EU security strategy should encompass close and effective articulation between services, bodies, regional and thematic desks, in a transversal way, and the relevant Commission and EEAS services to ensure its effectiveness and coherence in light of CFSP;

Or. en

Amendment 24
Ana Gomes

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5 b. Urges the VP/HR to ensure overall CFSP/CSDP policy consistency by promoting the coordination between the relevant Commission and EEAS services with a view to avoid unnecessary duplication of work and roles, especially in the areas that are directly related to security issues inside and outside the EU;

Or. en

Amendment 25
Franziska Katharina Brantner

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

Amendment

6. ***Urges*** the Vice-President/High

6. ***Calls on*** the Vice-President/High

Representative to make sure ***internal security threats*** are duly taken into account in EU external action instruments ***and, where appropriate, adequately addressed through them, including through the launching of CSDP missions and operations;***

Representative to make sure ***that European and international standards regarding human rights, humanitarian law, democracy, and rule of law*** are duly taken into account in EU external action instruments;

Or. en

Amendment 26
Andreas Mölzer

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Urges the Vice-President/High Representative to make sure internal security threats are duly taken into account in EU external action instruments ***and, where appropriate, adequately addressed through them, including through the launching of CSDP missions and operations;***

Amendment

6. Urges the Vice-President/High Representative to make sure internal security threats are duly taken into account in EU external action instruments;

Or. en

Amendment 27
Ana Gomes

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Urges the ***Vice-President/High Representative*** to make sure internal security threats are duly taken into account in EU external action instruments and, ***where appropriate,*** adequately addressed through them, ***including through the launching of CSDP missions and***

Amendment

6. Urges the ***VP/HR*** to make sure internal security threats are duly taken into account in EU external action ***policies and*** instruments and adequately addressed through them; ***key tools for addressing internal and external security articulation are regular political security dialogues***

operations;

with third countries, negotiation of security cooperation clauses in international agreements, restrictive measures regimes, strategic programming of external assistance instruments, permanent chairing of the FAC and of the PSC, as well as all CFSP working groups;

Or. en

Amendment 28

Krzysztof Lisek, Arnaud Danjean, Michael Gahler

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Urges the Vice-President/High Representative to make sure internal security threats are duly taken into account in EU external action instruments and, where appropriate, adequately addressed through them, including through the launching of CSDP missions and operations;

Amendment

6. Urges the Vice-President/High Representative to make sure internal security threats, ***among others those identified by the Council under the EU policy cycle on serious and organised crime***, are duly taken into account in EU external action instruments and, where appropriate, adequately addressed through them, including through the launching of CSDP missions and operations;

Or. en

Amendment 29

Andreas Mölzer

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Emphasises the contribution of CSDP missions and operations to building stable institutions, fighting against organised crime and corruption, fostering respect for the rule of law, and maintaining peace

Amendment

deleted

and security, thus helping to prevent state failure and eliminate safe havens for international criminal and terrorist activity; urges the Member States to recognise this contribution of the CSDP to internal security by adopting national strategies in their police and justice systems to promote the participation of their experts in CSDP missions;

Or. en

Amendment 30
Ana Gomes

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Emphasises the contribution of CSDP missions *and operations* to *building stable institutions, fighting against organised crime and corruption, fostering* respect for the rule of law, and maintaining peace and security, thus helping to prevent state failure and eliminate safe havens for *international* criminal and terrorist activity; urges *the* Member States to recognise *this* contribution of the CSDP to internal security by adopting national strategies in their police and justice systems *to promote the* participation of *their experts* in CSDP missions;

Amendment

7. Emphasises the contribution of CSDP missions to *fostering* respect for the rule of law, and maintaining peace and security *in the EU Neighbourhood and worldwide*, thus helping to prevent state failure and eliminate safe havens for *transnational* criminal and terrorist activity; urges Member States to recognise *the* contribution of the CSDP to internal security by adopting national strategies in their police and justice systems *ensuring* participation in CSDP missions, *when appropriate*;

Or. en

Amendment 31
Ágnes Hankiss

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Emphasises the contribution of CSDP missions and operations to building stable institutions, fighting against organised crime and **corruption**, fostering respect for the rule of law, and maintaining peace and security, thus helping to prevent state failure and eliminate safe havens for international criminal and terrorist activity; urges the Member States to recognise this contribution of the CSDP to internal security by adopting national strategies in their police and justice systems to promote the participation of their experts in CSDP missions;

Amendment

7. Emphasises the contribution of CSDP missions and operations to building stable institutions, fighting against organised crime, **corruption** and **terrorism**, fostering respect for the rule of law, and maintaining peace and security, thus helping to prevent state failure and eliminate safe havens for international criminal and terrorist activity; urges the Member States to recognise this contribution of the CSDP to internal security by adopting national strategies in their police and justice systems to promote the participation of their experts in CSDP missions;

Or. en

Amendment 32

Franziska Katharina Brantner

Draft opinion

Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Stresses the need for the EEAS to **incorporate** internal security experts, in particular police and rule of law experts, **and, where appropriate, to also post them in EU delegations**, as a means of effectively putting into practice the need for better coordination between the internal and external dimensions of EU security strategies;

Amendment

8. Stresses the need for the EEAS to **consult** internal security experts, in particular police and rule of law experts as a means of effectively putting into practice the need for better coordination between the internal and external dimensions of EU security strategies;

Or. en

Amendment 33

Marietta Giannakou

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Stresses the need for the EEAS to incorporate internal security experts, in particular police and rule of law experts, and, where appropriate, to also post them in EU delegations, as a means of effectively putting into practice the need for better coordination between the internal and external dimensions of EU security strategies;

Amendment

8. Stresses the need for the EEAS to incorporate internal security experts, in particular police and rule of law experts, and, where appropriate, to also post them in EU delegations, as a means of effectively putting into practice the need for better coordination between the internal and external dimensions of EU security strategies; ***to this end, underlines that exchanging information and sharing resources with the JHA agencies - Europol, Eurojust, Frontex would be extremely beneficial;***

Or. en

Amendment 34
Ágnes Hankiss

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Stresses the need for the EEAS to incorporate internal security experts, in particular police and rule of law experts, and, where appropriate, to also post them in EU delegations, as a means of effectively putting into practice the need for better coordination between the internal and external dimensions of EU security strategies;

Amendment

8. Stresses the need for the EEAS to ***work together with Europol to*** incorporate internal security experts, in particular police and rule of law experts, and, where appropriate, to also post them in EU delegations, as a means of effectively putting into practice the need for better coordination between the internal and external dimensions of EU security strategies;

Or. en

Amendment 35
Ana Gomes

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8 a. Calls on Member States to transfer to the EEAS the chair of the Council Working Group on External Aspects of Counter-Terrorism (COTER), in a way to ensure greater coherence in the area of counter-terrorism; in this respect, calls also for close cooperation and consultation between the VP/HR and the Counter-Terrorism Coordinator;

Or. en

Amendment 36
Ana Gomes

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

Amendment

9. Reiterates its call for the strengthening of EU capacities for response to natural and man-made disasters, both inside and outside the EU, and welcomes the Commission's proposals to revise the EU's civil protection legislation to that end;

9. Reiterates its call for the strengthening of EU capacities for response to natural and man-made disasters, ***which may affect human security and critical infrastructure***, both inside and outside the EU, and welcomes the Commission's proposals to revise the EU's civil protection legislation to that end;

Or. en

Amendment 37
Michael Gahler

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9 a. Welcomes the Commission's proposal for the establishment of Horizon 2020 - The Framework Programme for Research and Innovation (2014-2020) "integrating civilian and military capabilities in tasks ranging from civil protection to humanitarian relief, border management or peace-keeping" ; asks the Commission, the EEAS and the Member States to further develop the planned pre-procurement procedure in order to strengthen the link between internal and external security with substantial and coherent civilian and military capabilities;

Or. en

Amendment 38
Ágnes Hankiss

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10

Draft opinion

Amendment

10. **Urges** the Vice-President/High Representative and the Commission **to present** their proposal – planned for 2011 – on the implementation of the solidarity clause, which must not duplicate existing initiatives, but define the framework for the use and coordination of available EU and national instruments, including the CSDP, in situations referred to in Article 222 of the TFEU;

10. **Regrets that** the Vice-President/High Representative and the Commission **have not presented** their proposal **yet** – planned for 2011 – on the implementation of the solidarity clause; **urges in this context the VP/HR to present the proposal** which must not duplicate existing initiatives, but define the framework for the use and coordination of available EU and national instruments, including the CSDP, in situations referred to in Article 222 of the TFEU;

Or. en

Amendment 39
Ana Gomes

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10

Draft opinion

10. Urges the Vice-President/High Representative and the Commission to present their proposal – planned for 2011 – on the implementation of the solidarity clause, which must not duplicate existing initiatives, but define the framework for the use and coordination of available EU and national instruments, including the CSDP, in situations referred to in Article 222 of the TFEU;

Amendment

10. Urges the Vice-President/High Representative and the Commission to present their proposal – planned for 2011 – on the implementation of the solidarity clause, which must not duplicate existing initiatives, but define the framework for the use and coordination of available EU and national instruments, including the CSDP, in situations referred to in Article 222 of the TFEU; ***recalls that the HR/VP should propose the guidelines for the appropriate implementation of the solidarity clause; is of the view that only with the full spectrum of possibilities opened by the implementation of the Solidarity Clause between all Member States, will the EU stand ready to prevent and react in a safe and coordinated manner to any given threat targeting the security of one or more Member States;***

Or. en

Amendment 40
Ágnes Hankiss

Draft opinion
Paragraph 11

Draft opinion

11. Calls for a greater monitoring and oversight role for the EP in the framework of the EU's Internal Security Strategy; recalls, ***in this regard, the role played by the EP in uncovering the gross breaches of security and legality arising from EU governments' cooperation with the extraordinary renditions programme operated by the George W. Bush***

Amendment

11. Calls for a greater monitoring and oversight role for the EP in the framework of the EU's Internal Security Strategy; recalls the crucial democratic scrutiny that the EP can play in matters of internal and external security in general, in articulation with national parliaments.

Administration, and the crucial democratic scrutiny that the EP can play in matters of internal and external security in general, in articulation with national parliaments.

Or. en

Amendment 41
Ana Gomes

Draft opinion
Paragraph 11

Draft opinion

11. Calls for **a greater monitoring and oversight role for** the EP in the framework of the EU's Internal Security Strategy; recalls, in this regard, the role played by the EP in uncovering the gross breaches of security and legality arising from EU governments' cooperation with the extraordinary renditions programme operated by the George W. Bush Administration, and the **crucial** democratic scrutiny that the EP can play in matters of internal and external security in general, in articulation with national parliaments.

Amendment

11. Calls for **more effective** oversight **by** the EP in the framework of the EU's Internal Security Strategy; recalls, in this regard, the role played by the EP in uncovering the gross breaches of security and legality arising from EU governments' cooperation with the extraordinary renditions programme operated by the George W. Bush Administration; and **stresses** the democratic scrutiny that the EP can play in matters of **EU** internal and external security in general, in articulation with national parliaments.

Or. en

Amendment 42
Ana Gomes

Draft opinion
Paragraph 11 a (new)

Draft opinion

11 a. Takes the view that increased cooperation is needed between Member States and the European information services, which could prove crucial to put in place timely prevention and reaction

Amendment

mechanisms in the event of a threat to the safety and security of the EU or of one of its Member States; in this regard, suggests that the European Parliament should establish a special delegation for democratic oversight of European information services, in articulation with national parliaments;

Or. en