



EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

2014 - 2019

Committee on International Trade

2014/2228(INI)

30.3.2015

AMENDMENTS 539 - 619

Draft report
Bernd Lange
(PE549.135v01-00)

containing the European Parliament's recommendations to the Commission on the negotiations for the Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership (TTIP) (2014/2228(INI))

AM\1055773EN.doc

PE552.129v01-00

EN

United in diversity

EN

Amendment 539

Marine Le Pen

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(c) regarding NTBs:

deleted

(i) to ensure that the regulatory cooperation chapter promotes an effective, pro-competitive economic environment through the facilitation of trade and investment while developing and securing high levels of protection of health and safety, consumer, labour and environmental legislation and of the cultural diversity that exists within the EU; negotiators on both sides need to identify and to be very clear about which regulatory measures and standards are fundamental and cannot be compromised, which ones can be the subject of a common approach, which are the areas where mutual recognition based on a common high standard and a strong system of market surveillance is desirable and which are those where simply an improved exchange of information is possible, based on the experience of one and a half years of ongoing talks;

(ii) to base negotiations on SPS and TBT measures on the key principles of the multilateral SPS and TBT agreements; to aim in the first place at increasing transparency and openness, strengthening dialogue between regulators and strengthening cooperation in international standards-setting bodies; to recognise, in negotiations on SPS and TBT measures, the right of both parties to manage risk in accordance with the level either deems appropriate in order to protect human, animal or plant life or health; to respect and uphold the sensitivities and fundamental values of either side, such as the EU's

precautionary principle

(iii) with regard to the horizontal regulatory cooperation chapter, to give priority to fostering bilateral cooperation between regulatory bodies through enhanced information exchange and to promote the adoption, strengthening and timely implementation of international instruments, on the basis of successful international experiences such as, for instance, ISO standards or under the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe's (UNECE) World Forum for Harmonisation of Vehicle Regulations (WP.29); to establish that the prior impact assessment for the regulatory act, as defined in the horizontal provisions on regulatory cooperation, should also measure the impact on consumers and the environment next to its impact on trade and investment; to handle the possibility of promoting regulatory compatibility with great care and only without compromising legitimate regulatory and policy objectives;

(iv) to define clearly, in the context of future regulatory cooperation, which measures concern TBT and redundant administrative burdens and formalities and which are linked to fundamental standards and regulations and should not be altered

(v) to fully respect the established regulatory systems on both sides of the Atlantic, as well as the European Parliament's role within the EU's decision-making process and its democratic scrutiny over EU regulatory processes when creating the framework for future cooperation while at the same time being vigilant about a balanced involvement of stakeholders within the consultations included in the development of a regulatory proposal;

Or. fr

Amendment 540

**Emma McClarkin, Joachim Starbatty, Sajjad Karim, Jan Zahradil, David Campbell
Bannerman, Sander Loones, Boleslaw G. Piecha**

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – introductory part

Motion for a resolution

(c) regarding NTBs:

Amendment

(c) regarding ***regulatory cooperation and coherence pillar and*** NTBs:

Or. en

Amendment 541

Christofer Fjellner

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – point -i (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(-i) to include cross-cutting disciplines on regulatory coherence and transparency for the development and implementation of efficient, cost-effective, and more compatible regulations for goods and services, including early consultations on significant regulations, use of impact assessments, evaluations, periodic review of existing regulatory measures, and application of good regulatory practices;

Or. en

Amendment 542

Ulrike Müller

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – point i

Motion for a resolution

(i) to ensure that the regulatory cooperation chapter **promotes** an effective, pro-competitive economic environment through the facilitation of trade and investment while developing and securing high levels of protection of health and safety, consumer, labour and environmental legislation and of the cultural diversity that exists within the EU; negotiators on both sides need to identify and to be very clear about which regulatory measures and standards are fundamental and cannot be compromised, which ones can be the subject of a common approach, which are the areas where mutual recognition based on a common high standard and a strong system of market surveillance is desirable and which are those where simply an improved exchange of information is possible, based on the experience of one and a half years of ongoing talks;

Amendment

(i) to ensure that the regulatory cooperation chapter **guarantees a complete exclusion of any possible chilling effect on future legislation in the EU and its Member States as well as new reporting obligations for national legislators; regulatory cooperation shall promote** an effective, pro-competitive economic environment through the facilitation of trade and investment while developing and securing high levels of protection of health and safety, consumer, labour and environmental legislation and of the cultural diversity that exists within the EU; negotiators on both sides need to identify and to be very clear about which regulatory measures and standards are fundamental and cannot be compromised, which ones can be the subject of a common approach, which are the areas where mutual recognition based on a common high standard and a strong system of market surveillance is desirable and which are those where simply an improved exchange of information is possible, based on the experience of one and a half years of ongoing talks;

Or. en

Amendment 543

Inmaculada Rodríguez-Piñero Fernández

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – point i

Motion for a resolution

(i) to ensure that the regulatory cooperation chapter promotes an effective, pro-competitive economic environment through the facilitation of trade and investment while developing and securing

Amendment

(i) to ensure that the regulatory cooperation chapter promotes an effective, pro-competitive economic environment through the facilitation of trade and investment while developing and securing,

high levels of protection of health and safety, consumer, labour and environmental legislation and of the cultural diversity that exists within the EU; negotiators on both sides need to identify and to be very clear about which regulatory measures and standards are fundamental and cannot be compromised, which ones can be the subject of a common approach, which are the areas where mutual recognition based on a common high standard and a strong system of market surveillance is desirable and which are those where simply an improved exchange of information is possible, based on the experience of one and a half years of ongoing talks;

in line with the precautionary principle laid down in Article 191 TFEU, high levels of protection of health and safety, consumer, labour and environmental legislation and of the cultural diversity that exists within the EU; negotiators on both sides need to identify and to be very clear about which regulatory measures and standards are fundamental and cannot be compromised, which ones can be the subject of a common approach, which are the areas where mutual recognition based on a common high standard and a strong system of market surveillance is desirable and which are those where simply an improved exchange of information is possible, based on the experience of one and a half years of ongoing talks;

Or. es

Amendment 544
Dita Charanzová

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 – point c – point i

Motion for a resolution

(i) to ensure that the regulatory cooperation chapter promotes an effective, pro-competitive economic environment through the facilitation of trade and investment while developing and securing **high levels of protection of health and safety, consumer, labour and environmental legislation and of the cultural diversity that exists within the EU; negotiators on both sides need to identify and to be very clear about which regulatory measures and standards are fundamental and cannot be compromised, which ones can be the subject of a common approach, which are the areas where mutual recognition based on a common high standard and a strong**

Amendment

(i) to ensure that the regulatory cooperation chapter promotes an effective, pro-competitive economic environment through the facilitation of trade and investment while developing and securing **European high standards; to support, in complete respect of regulatory autonomy, the establishment of a mandatory structural dialogue and cooperation between regulators and to stress that this should involve early warning mechanisms and exchanges at the time of preparation of regulations; to take into account that regulatory divergences are the central non-tariff barrier (NTB) to trade, and that regulators should explore ways to promote compatibility, such as mutual recognition,**

system of market surveillance is desirable and which are those where simply an improved exchange of information is possible, based on the experience of one and a half years of ongoing talks;

harmonisation or alignment of requirements;

Or. en

Amendment 545
Bendt Bendtsen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 – point c – point i

Motion for a resolution

(i) to ensure that the regulatory cooperation chapter promotes an effective, pro-competitive economic environment through the facilitation of trade and investment while developing and securing high levels of protection of health and safety, consumer, labour and environmental legislation and of the cultural diversity that exists within the EU; negotiators on both sides need to identify and to be very clear about which regulatory measures and standards are fundamental and cannot be compromised, which ones can be the subject of a common approach, which are the areas where mutual recognition based on a common high standard and a strong system of market surveillance is desirable and which are those where simply an improved exchange of information is possible, based on the experience of one and a half years of ongoing talks;

Amendment

(i) to ensure that the regulatory cooperation chapter promotes an effective, pro-competitive economic environment through the facilitation of trade and investment while developing and securing high levels of protection of health and safety, consumer, labour and environmental legislation and of the cultural diversity that exists within the EU; ***different regulatory approaches in the European Union and the US are costly for industries on both sides of the Atlantic and there are significant efficiency gains to be tapped by aligning regulatory approaches, while still allowing authorities in the European Union and in the United States to maintain and achieve high quality standards and safety for their citizens;*** negotiators on both sides need to identify and to be very clear about which regulatory measures and standards are fundamental and cannot be compromised, which ones can be the subject of a common approach, which are the areas where mutual recognition, ***such as for example inspection of pharmaceutical production sites,*** based on a common high standard and a strong system of market surveillance is desirable and which are those where simply an improved exchange of

information is possible, based on the experience of one and a half years of ongoing talks;

Or. en

Amendment 546

Eric Andrieu, Maria Arena, Agnes Jongerius, Jude Kirton-Darling, Jörg Leichtfried, Emmanuel Maurel, Joachim Schuster, Marita Ulvskog

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – point i

Motion for a resolution

(i) to ensure that the regulatory cooperation chapter *promotes* an effective, pro-competitive economic environment through the facilitation of trade and investment *while developing and securing high levels of protection of health and safety, consumer, labour and environmental legislation and of the cultural diversity that exists within the EU*; negotiators on both sides need to identify and to be very clear about which *regulatory measures* and standards are fundamental and cannot be compromised, which ones can be the subject of a common approach, which are the areas where mutual recognition based on a common high standard and a strong system of market surveillance is desirable and which are those where simply an improved exchange of information is possible, based on the experience of one and a half years of ongoing talks;

Amendment

(i) to ensure that the regulatory cooperation chapter *secures the highest level of protection of health and safety including food safety and quality, consumer, labour and environmental legislation and of the cultural diversity that exists within the EU while promoting* an effective, pro-competitive economic environment through the facilitation of trade and investment; *to reject any downward harmonisation of standards, or the mutual recognition of non-equivalent standards; to ensure that regulatory cooperation does not undermine the state's right to regulate; to ensure that the process of regulatory cooperation is designed in the most transparent and inclusive way possible, involving in particular social partners*; negotiators on both sides need to identify and to be very clear about which *technical procedures* and standards are fundamental and cannot be compromised, which ones can be the subject of a common approach, which are the areas where mutual recognition based on a common high standard and a strong system of market surveillance is desirable and which are those where simply an improved exchange of information is possible, based on the experience of one and a half years of ongoing talks;

negotiators should ensure that regulatory cooperation will not translate in a slowdown of legislative processes and that it will not cover sectors excluded from the negotiation nor national or sub-central regulatory acts;

Or. en

Amendment 547
Salvatore Cicu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 – point c – point i

Motion for a resolution

(i) to ensure that the regulatory cooperation chapter promotes an effective, pro-competitive economic environment through the facilitation of trade and investment while developing and securing high levels of protection of health and safety, consumer, labour and environmental legislation and of the cultural diversity that exists within the EU; negotiators on both sides need to identify and to be very clear about which regulatory measures and standards are fundamental and cannot be compromised, which ones can be the subject of a common approach, which are the areas where mutual recognition based on a common high standard and a strong system of market surveillance is desirable and which are those where simply an improved exchange of information is possible, based on the experience of *one and a half years of ongoing talks*;

Amendment

(i) to ensure that the regulatory cooperation chapter promotes an effective, pro-competitive economic environment through the facilitation of trade and investment while developing and securing high levels of protection of health and safety, consumer, labour and environmental legislation and of the cultural diversity that exists within the EU; negotiators on both sides need to identify and to be very clear about which regulatory measures and standards are fundamental and cannot be compromised, which ones can be the subject of a common approach, which are the areas where mutual recognition based on a common high standard and a strong system of market surveillance is desirable and which are those where simply an improved exchange of information is possible, based on the experience of *several years of talks in a variety of forums dedicated thereto, including the Transatlantic Economic Council and the High Level Regulatory Cooperation Forum*;

Or. it

Amendment 548
Christofer Fjellner

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 – point c – point i

Motion for a resolution

(i) to ensure that the regulatory cooperation chapter promotes an effective, pro-competitive economic environment through the **facilitation of** trade and investment **while** developing and securing high levels of protection of health and safety, consumer, labour and environmental legislation and of the cultural diversity that exists within the EU; negotiators on both sides need to identify and to be very clear about which regulatory measures and standards are **fundamental and cannot be compromised, which ones can** be the subject of a common approach, which are the areas where mutual recognition based on a common high standard and a strong system of market surveillance is desirable and which are those where simply an improved exchange of information is possible, based on the experience of one and a half years of ongoing talks;

Amendment

(i) to ensure that the regulatory cooperation chapter, **establishing a Regulatory Cooperation Council**, promotes an effective, pro-competitive economic environment through the **identification and prevention of potential future non-tariff barriers to trade, for which a regulatory dialogue can facilitate** trade and investment, **whilst** developing and securing high levels of protection of health and safety, consumer, labour and environmental legislation and of the cultural diversity that exists within the EU; **to ensure that regulatory cooperation is undertaken at both a central and non-central level;** negotiators on both sides need to identify and to be very clear about which regulatory measures and standards are **to** be the subject of a common approach, which are the areas where mutual recognition based on a common high standard and a strong system of market surveillance is desirable and which are those where simply an improved exchange of information is possible, based on the experience of one and a half years of ongoing talks;

Or. en

Amendment 549
Nicola Danti, Alessia Maria Mosca, Pedro Silva Pereira, Sorin Moisă

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 – point c – point i

Motion for a resolution

(i) to ensure that the regulatory cooperation chapter promotes an effective, pro-competitive economic environment through the facilitation of trade and investment while developing and securing high levels of protection of health and safety, consumer, labour and environmental legislation and of the cultural diversity that exists within the EU; negotiators on both sides need to identify and to be very clear about which regulatory measures and standards are fundamental and cannot be compromised, which ones can be the subject of a common approach, which are the areas where mutual recognition based on a common high standard and a strong system of market surveillance is desirable and which are those where simply an improved exchange of information is possible, based on the experience of one and a half years of ongoing talks;

Amendment

(i) to ensure that the regulatory cooperation chapter promotes ***a broad, far reaching and structured dialogue with the US and*** an effective, pro-competitive economic environment through the facilitation of trade and investment while developing and securing high levels of protection of health and safety, consumer, labour and environmental legislation and of the cultural diversity that exists within the EU; ***to aim at the definition of high-quality standards and laws for consumers, established on the basis of the highest standards in each sector, bearing in mind that the results achieved will become de facto international standards;*** negotiators on both sides need, ***thus,*** to identify and to be very clear about which regulatory measures and standards are fundamental and cannot be compromised, which ones can be the subject of a common approach, which are the areas where mutual recognition based on a common high standard and a strong system of market surveillance is desirable and which are those where simply an improved exchange of information is possible, based on the experience of one and a half years of ongoing talks; ***stresses that SMEs are disproportionately affected by NTBs;***

Or. en

Amendment 550

Jarosław Wałęsa, Andrzej Grzyb, Agnieszka Kozłowska-Rajewicz, Julia Pitera, Dariusz Rosati, Adam Szejnfeld, Danuta Jazłowiecka, Elżbieta Katarzyna Łukacijewska, Bolesław G. Piecha, Michał Boni

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – point i

Motion for a resolution

(i) to ensure that the regulatory cooperation chapter promotes an effective, pro-competitive economic environment through the facilitation of trade and investment while developing and securing high levels of protection of health and safety, consumer, labour and environmental legislation and of the cultural diversity that exists within the EU; negotiators on both sides need to identify and to be very clear about which regulatory measures and standards are fundamental and cannot be compromised, which ones can be the subject of a common approach, which are the areas where mutual recognition based on a common high standard and a strong system of market surveillance is desirable and which are those where simply an improved exchange of information is possible, based on the experience of one and a half years of ongoing talks;

Amendment

(i) to ensure that the regulatory cooperation chapter promotes an effective, pro-competitive economic environment through the facilitation of trade and investment while developing and securing high levels of protection of health and safety, consumer, labour and environmental legislation and of the cultural diversity that exists within the EU; negotiators on both sides ***should strive to achieve the best outcome in each sector; however, they*** need to identify and to be very clear about which regulatory measures and standards are fundamental and cannot be compromised, which ones can be the subject of a common approach, which are the areas where mutual recognition based on a common high standard and a strong system of market surveillance is desirable and which are those where simply an improved exchange of information is possible, based on the experience of one and a half years of ongoing talks;

Or. en

Amendment 551

Franck Proust, Tokia Saïfi

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – point i

Motion for a resolution

(i) to ensure that the regulatory cooperation chapter promotes an effective, pro-competitive economic environment through the facilitation of trade and investment while developing and securing ***high*** levels of protection of health and safety, consumer, labour and environmental legislation and of the cultural diversity that exists within the EU;

Amendment

(i) to ensure that the regulatory cooperation chapter promotes an effective, pro-competitive economic environment through the facilitation of trade and investment while developing and securing ***the highest*** levels of protection ***of human and animal*** health and safety, consumer, labour and environmental legislation, ***the highest sanitary and phytosanitary***

negotiators on both sides need to identify and to be very clear about which regulatory measures and standards are fundamental and cannot be compromised, which ones can be the subject of a common approach, which are the areas where mutual recognition based on a common high standard and a strong system of market surveillance is desirable and which are those where simply an improved exchange of information is possible, based on the experience of one and a half years of ongoing talks;

standards and of the cultural diversity that exists within the EU; negotiators on both sides need to identify and to be very clear about which regulatory measures and standards are fundamental and cannot be compromised, which ones can be the subject of a common approach, which are the areas where mutual recognition based on a common high standard and a strong system of market surveillance is desirable and which are those where simply an improved exchange of information is possible, based on the experience of one and a half years of ongoing talks;

Or. fr

Amendment 552

Daniel Caspary, Godelieve Quisthoudt-Rowohl

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – point i

Motion for a resolution

(i) to ensure that the regulatory cooperation chapter promotes an effective, pro-competitive economic environment through the facilitation of trade and investment while developing and securing high levels of protection of health and safety, consumer, labour and environmental legislation and of the cultural diversity that exists within the EU; negotiators on both sides *need to* identify and *to* be very clear about which regulatory measures and standards are fundamental and cannot be compromised, which ones can be the subject of a common approach, which are the areas where mutual recognition based on a common high standard and a strong system of market surveillance is desirable and which are those where simply an improved exchange of information is possible, based on the experience of one and a half years of

Amendment

(i) to ensure that the regulatory cooperation chapter promotes an effective, pro-competitive economic environment through the facilitation of trade and investment while developing and securing high levels of protection of health and safety, consumer, labour and environmental legislation and of the cultural diversity that exists within the EU; negotiators on both sides *should* identify and be very clear about which regulatory measures and standards are fundamental and cannot be compromised, which ones can be the subject of a common approach, which are the areas where mutual recognition based on a common high standard and a strong system of market surveillance is desirable and which are those where simply an improved exchange of information is possible, based on the experience of one and a half years of

ongoing talks;

ongoing talks;

Or. de

Amendment 553

Godelieve Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Iuliu Winkler, Daniel Caspary

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – point i

Motion for a resolution

(i) to ensure that *the* regulatory cooperation chapter promotes *an effective, pro-competitive economic environment through the facilitation of trade and investment* while *developing and* securing *high levels of protection of health and safety*, consumer, labour *and environmental legislation* and *of the cultural diversity that exists* within the EU; negotiators on both sides need to identify and to be very clear about *which regulatory measures and standards are fundamental and cannot be compromised*, which ones *can be the subject of a common approach*, which are the areas where mutual recognition based on a common high standard and a strong system of market surveillance is desirable *and which are those where simply an improved exchange of information is possible, based on the experience of one and a half years of ongoing talks*;

Amendment

(i) to ensure that *a transparent, effective and efficient* regulatory cooperation chapter *and coherence* promote *and facilitate trade and investment* while securing *the high level of protection of* health, *the* consumer, labour, *the environment* and *cultural diversity* within the EU; negotiators on both sides need to identify and to be very clear about which *areas will be the subject of regulatory consistency or regulatory cooperation and* which ones *will be excluded*, *and* which are the areas where mutual recognition based on a common high standard and a strong system of market surveillance is desirable;

Or. de

Amendment 554

Yannick Jadot, Ska Keller

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – point i

Motion for a resolution

(i) to ensure that the regulatory cooperation chapter ***promotes an effective, pro-competitive economic environment through the facilitation of trade and investment while developing*** and securing high levels of protection of health and safety, consumer, labour and environmental legislation and of the cultural diversity that exists within the EU; negotiators on both sides need to identify and to be very clear about which regulatory measures and standards are fundamental and cannot be compromised, which ones can be the subject of a common approach, ***which are the areas where mutual recognition*** based on a common high standard and a strong system of market surveillance ***is desirable*** and which are those where simply an improved exchange of information is possible, based on the experience of one and a half years of ongoing talks;

Amendment

(i) to ensure that the regulatory cooperation chapter ***applies only to clearly specified sectoral areas where the EU and the US have similar levels of protection, and insists that it be clarified explicitly that any provision on regulatory cooperation in the TTIP agreement does not set a procedural requirement for the adoption of Union acts concerned by it nor does it give rise to enforceable rights in that regard; to ensure that TTIP is promoting*** and securing high levels of protection of health and safety, consumer, labour and environmental legislation and of the cultural diversity that exists within the EU; negotiators on both sides need to identify and to be very clear about which regulatory measures and standards are fundamental and cannot be compromised, which ones can be the subject of a common approach based on a common high standard and a strong system of market surveillance, and which are those where simply an improved exchange of information is possible, based on the experience of one and a half years of ongoing talks;

Or. en

Amendment 555

Emma McClarkin, Joachim Starbatty, Sajjad Karim, Jan Zahradil, David Campbell Bannerman, Sander Loones, Boleslaw G. Piecha

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – point i

Motion for a resolution

(i) to ensure that the regulatory cooperation ***chapter*** promotes an effective, pro-competitive economic environment through the facilitation of trade and investment while developing and securing

Amendment

(i) to ensure that the regulatory cooperation ***and coherence*** promotes an effective, ***transparent***, pro-competitive economic environment through the facilitation of trade and investment while developing and

high levels of protection of health and safety, consumer, labour and environmental legislation and of the cultural diversity *that exists within the EU; negotiators on both sides need to identify and to be very clear about which regulatory measures and standards are fundamental and cannot be compromised, which* ones can be the subject of a common approach, which are the areas where mutual recognition based on a common high standard and a strong system of market surveillance is desirable *and which are those where simply an improved exchange of information is possible, based on the experience of one and a half years of ongoing talks;*

securing high levels of protection of health and safety, consumer, labour and environmental legislation and of the cultural diversity on both sides; *notes that some areas may prove challenging in terms of finding an agreement, however, insists that negotiators determine, where possible and which* ones can be the subject of a common approach, which are the areas where mutual recognition based on a common high standard and a strong system of market surveillance is desirable;

Or. en

Amendment 556

Tiziana Beghin, David Borrelli

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – point i

Motion for a resolution

(i) to ensure that the regulatory cooperation chapter promotes an effective, pro-competitive economic environment through the facilitation of trade and investment while developing and securing high levels of protection of health and safety, consumer, labour and environmental legislation and of the cultural diversity that exists within the EU; negotiators on both sides need to identify and to be very clear about which regulatory measures and standards are fundamental and cannot be compromised, which ones can be the subject of a common approach, which are the areas where mutual recognition based on a common high standard and a strong system of market surveillance is desirable and which are

Amendment

(i) to ensure that the regulatory cooperation chapter promotes an effective, pro-competitive economic environment through the facilitation of trade and investment while developing and securing high levels of protection of health and safety, consumer, labour, **animal health** and environmental legislation and of the cultural diversity that exists within the EU; negotiators on both sides need to identify and to be very clear about which regulatory measures and standards are fundamental and cannot be compromised, which ones can be the subject of a common approach, which are the areas where mutual recognition based on a common high standard and a strong system of market surveillance **and traceability of**

those where simply an improved exchange of information is possible, based on the experience of one and a half years of ongoing talks;

agricultural and industrial goods is desirable and which are those where simply an improved exchange of information is possible, based on the experience of one and a half years of ongoing talks;

Or. en

Amendment 557
Eleonora Forenza

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 – point c – point i

Motion for a resolution

(i) to ***ensure that the*** regulatory cooperation ***chapter promotes an effective, pro-competitive economic environment through the facilitation of trade and investment while developing and securing high levels of protection of health and safety, consumer, labour and environmental legislation and of the cultural diversity that exists within the EU; negotiators on both sides need to identify and to be very clear about which regulatory measures and standards are fundamental and cannot be compromised, which ones can be the subject of a common approach, which are the areas where mutual recognition based on a common high standard and a strong system of market surveillance is desirable and which are those where simply an improved exchange of information is possible, based on the experience of one and a half years of ongoing talks;***

Amendment

(i) To ***consider*** regulatory cooperation ***as a threat that risks to weaken the prerogatives and accountability of the democratic elected bodies especially on crucial aspects such as security standards, labour policies and consumers defence as well as a serious limitation to public debate. Highlights also the risks that trough such mechanism, regulation in the public interest could be stopped before they are even discussed by an elected body.***

Or. en

Amendment 558
Goffredo Maria Bettini

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – point i

Motion for a resolution

(i) to ensure that the regulatory cooperation chapter promotes an effective, pro-competitive economic environment through the facilitation of trade and investment while developing and securing high levels of protection of health and safety, consumer, labour and environmental legislation and of the cultural diversity that exists within the EU; negotiators on both sides need to identify and to be very clear about which regulatory measures and standards are fundamental and cannot be compromised, which ones can be the subject of a common approach, which are the areas where mutual recognition based on a common high standard and a strong system of market surveillance is desirable and which are those where simply an improved exchange of information is possible, based on the experience of one and a half years of ongoing talks;

Amendment

(i) to ensure that the regulatory cooperation chapter promotes ***a broad, far reaching and structured dialogue with US*** and an effective, pro-competitive economic environment through the facilitation of trade and investment while developing and securing high levels of protection of health and safety, consumer, labour and environmental legislation and of the cultural diversity that exists within the EU; ***to aim at the definition of high-quality standards and law for consumers, established on the basis of the highest standards in each sector, bearing in mind that the results achieved will become de facto international standards;*** negotiators on both sides need, ***thus,*** to identify and to be very clear about which regulatory measures and standards are fundamental and cannot be compromised, which ones can be the subject of a common approach, which are the areas where mutual recognition based on a common high standard and a strong system of market surveillance is desirable and which are those where simply an improved exchange of information is possible, based on the experience of one and a half years of ongoing talks; ***stresses that SMEs are disproportionately affected by NTBs;***

Or. en

Amendment 559

Inmaculada Rodríguez-Piñero Fernández

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – point i a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(ia) to uphold the fact that the observation and encouragement of high standards of protection form a suitable objective for any trade agreement and that any trade agreement must respect governments' rights to adjust the standards for consumer health and safety protection to the extent they see fit. In that context, all areas in which there are significant differences between EU or US regulations or approaches must be excluded from the negotiations;

Or. es

Amendment 560

Eric Andrieu, Maria Arena, Agnes Jongerius, Jude Kirton-Darling, Jörg Leichtfried, Emmanuel Maurel, Joachim Schuster, Marita Ulvskog

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – point i a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(ia) to take into account the fact that non-tariff barriers (NTBs) constitute one of the main issues in the negotiations for the EU; to ensure that negotiations in this area are conducted with the highest standards of transparency, including an inventory of all NTBs that are likely to be lifted by the agreement; recalls that certain non-tariff barriers in Europe are directly linked to European citizens' collective preferences and lifestyles, and should therefore be kept;

Or. en

Amendment 561

Helmut Scholz

on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Anne-Marie Mineur, Eleonora Forenza, Lola Sánchez Caldentey, Patrick Le Hyaric

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – point i a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(ia) to ensure that the agreement, if approved, will not affect negatively universal access to medicines;

Or. en

Amendment 562

Yannick Jadot, Ska Keller

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – point i a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(ia) ensure that TTIP's provisions do not apply to or affect the agricultural sector and its regulation, where it diverts efforts from local food production and shorter supply chains towards unnecessary and duplicatory trading;

Or. en

Amendment 563

Marietje Schaake, Ramon Tremosa i Balcells, Alexander Graf Lambsdorff, Olli Rehn, Petras Auštrevičius, Dita Charanzová

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – point i a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(ia) to address customs issues that go beyond the WTO Trade Facilitation Agreement (TFA) rules and stress that, in order to achieve real administrative burden removal, there is a need to work

towards a maximum degree of regulatory alignment on customs and border related policies and practices;

Or. en

Amendment 564

Yannick Jadot, Ska Keller

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – point i b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(ib) to specify in particular the agricultural sectors and subsectors to be excluded from the scope of regulatory cooperation within TTIP, including sensitive areas in which EU and US legislation differs significantly, including but not restricted to legislation on animal welfare, genetically modified organisms, clones and descendants of clones, chlorine bleach on chicken carcasses, growth hormones in meat and milk production, antibiotics in livestock rearing, agro-chemicals and plant protection products;

Or. en

Amendment 565

Yannick Jadot, Ska Keller

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – point i c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(ic) in particular reject the following provisions, which could de facto make it more difficult for the EU to go beyond the lowest common denominator of international instruments:

– grant the US the right to enter into regulatory exchanges concerning the adoption of national legislation by Member States, including joint examination of possible means to promote regulatory compatibility,

– grant the US formal rights with regards to implementing acts to be adopted pursuant to Article 291 TFEU, while the European Parliament has no right to scrutiny whatsoever with regard to implementing acts;

Or. en

Amendment 566
Yannick Jadot, Ska Keller

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 – point c – point i d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(id) to consider EU regulation as standards necessary to ensure public and animal health, food safety and environmental sustainability, amongst other legitimate factors; therefore to reject their categorization as Technical Barriers to Trade;

Or. en

Amendment 567
Franck Proust

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 – point c – point ii

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(ii) to base negotiations on SPS and TBT measures on the key principles of the multilateral SPS and TBT agreements; to

(ii) to base negotiations on SPS and TBT measures on the key principles of the multilateral SPS and TBT agreements; to

aim in the first place at increasing transparency and openness, strengthening dialogue between regulators and strengthening cooperation in international standards-setting bodies; to recognise, in negotiations on SPS and TBT measures, the right of both parties to manage risk in accordance with the level either deems appropriate in order to protect human, animal or plant life or health; to respect and uphold the sensitivities and fundamental values of either side, such as the EU's precautionary principle;

aim in the first place at increasing transparency and openness, strengthening dialogue between regulators and strengthening cooperation in international standards-setting bodies; to recognise, in negotiations on SPS and TBT measures, the right of both parties to manage risk in accordance with the level either deems appropriate in order to protect human, animal or plant life or health; to respect and uphold the sensitivities and fundamental values of either side, such as the EU's precautionary principle ***and the defence of its general interest;***

Or. fr

Amendment 568
Seán Kelly

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 – point c – point ii

Motion for a resolution

(ii) to base negotiations on SPS and TBT measures on the key principles of the multilateral SPS and TBT agreements; to aim in the first place at increasing transparency and openness, strengthening dialogue between regulators and strengthening cooperation in international standards-setting bodies; to ***recognise***, in negotiations on SPS and TBT measures, ***the right of both parties to manage risk in accordance with the level either deems appropriate*** in order to protect human, animal or plant life or health; to respect and uphold the sensitivities and fundamental values of either side, such as the EU's precautionary principle;

Amendment

(ii) to base negotiations on SPS and TBT measures on the key principles of the multilateral SPS and TBT agreements; to aim in the first place at increasing transparency and openness, strengthening dialogue between regulators and strengthening cooperation in international standards-setting bodies; to ***ensure***, in negotiations on SPS and TBT measures, ***that the high standards that have been put in place*** in order to protect human, animal or plant life or health ***in the EU are not compromised in any way***; to respect and uphold the sensitivities and fundamental values of either side, such as the EU's precautionary principle;

Or. en

Amendment 569

Eric Andrieu, Maria Arena, Agnes Jongerius, Jude Kirton-Darling, Jörg Leichtfried, Emmanuel Maurel, Joachim Schuster, Marita Ulvskog

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – point ii

Motion for a resolution

(ii) to base negotiations on SPS and TBT measures on the key principles of the multilateral SPS and TBT agreements; to aim in the first place at increasing transparency and openness, strengthening dialogue between regulators and strengthening cooperation in international standards-setting bodies; to recognise, in negotiations on SPS and TBT measures, the right of both parties to manage risk in accordance with the level either deems appropriate in order to protect human, animal or plant life or health; to respect and uphold the sensitivities and fundamental values of either side, such as the EU's precautionary principle;

Amendment

(ii) to base negotiations on SPS and TBT measures on the key principles of the multilateral SPS and TBT agreements; to aim in the first place at increasing transparency and openness, strengthening dialogue between regulators and strengthening cooperation in international standards-setting bodies; to recognise, in negotiations on SPS and TBT measures, the right of both parties to ***assess and to*** manage risk in accordance with the level either deems appropriate in order to protect human, animal or plant life or health; to ***ensure the consideration of other legitimate factors than risk assessment in food policy making, such as the farm to fork approach, and to verify, control and audit the implementation of their own SPS standards;*** to respect and uphold the sensitivities and fundamental values of either side, such as the EU's precautionary principle ***and to ensure that parties will preserve the right to conduct a hazard-based approach, particularly with regards to cosmetics and chemicals;***

Or. en

Amendment 570

Tonino Picula

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – point ii

Motion for a resolution

(ii) to base negotiations on SPS and TBT

Amendment

(ii) to base negotiations on SPS and TBT

measures on the key principles of the multilateral SPS and TBT agreements; to aim in the first place at increasing transparency and openness, strengthening dialogue between regulators and strengthening cooperation in international standards-setting bodies; to recognise, in negotiations on SPS and TBT measures, the right of both parties to manage risk in accordance with the level either deems appropriate in order to protect human, animal or plant life *or* health; to respect and uphold the sensitivities and fundamental values of either side, such as the EU's precautionary principle;

measures on the key principles of the multilateral SPS and TBT agreements; to aim in the first place at increasing transparency and openness, strengthening dialogue between regulators and strengthening cooperation in international standards-setting bodies; to recognise, in negotiations on SPS and TBT measures, the right of both parties to manage risk in accordance with the level either deems appropriate in order to ***ensure food safety and*** protect human, animal or plant life *or* health; to respect and uphold the sensitivities and fundamental values of either side, such as the EU's precautionary principle ***as regards the distribution of genetically modified organisms;***

Or. hr

Amendment 571

Tokia Saïfi, Michel Dantin, Angélique Delahaye, Marielle de Sarnez

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – point ii

Motion for a resolution

(ii) to base negotiations on SPS and TBT measures on the key principles of the multilateral SPS and TBT agreements; to aim in the first place at increasing transparency and openness, strengthening dialogue between regulators and strengthening cooperation in international standards-setting bodies; to recognise, in negotiations on SPS and TBT measures, the right of both parties to manage risk in accordance with the level either deems appropriate in order to protect human, animal or plant life *or* health; to respect and uphold the sensitivities and fundamental values of either side, such as the EU's precautionary principle

Amendment

(ii) to base negotiations on SPS and TBT measures on the key principles of the multilateral SPS and TBT agreements ***and to protect European sanitary and phytosanitary standards and procedures;*** to aim in the first place at increasing transparency and openness, strengthening dialogue between regulators and strengthening cooperation in international standards-setting bodies; to recognise, in negotiations on SPS and TBT measures, the right of both parties to manage risk in accordance with the level either deems appropriate in order to protect human, animal or plant life *or* health; to respect and uphold the sensitivities and fundamental values of either side, such as the EU's precautionary principle;

Amendment 572

Sorin Moisă, Pedro Silva Pereira, Alessia Maria Mosca, Nicola Danti

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – point ii

Motion for a resolution

(ii) to base negotiations on SPS and TBT measures on the key principles of the multilateral SPS and TBT agreements; to aim in the first place at **increasing** transparency and openness, strengthening dialogue between regulators and strengthening cooperation in international standards-setting bodies; to recognise, in negotiations on SPS and TBT measures, the right of both parties to manage risk in accordance with the level either deems appropriate in order to protect human, animal or plant life or health; to respect and uphold the sensitivities and fundamental values of either side, such as the **EU's** precautionary principle;

Amendment

(ii) to base negotiations on SPS and TBT measures on the key principles of the multilateral SPS and TBT agreements; to aim in the first place at **the elimination or significant reduction of excessively burdensome SPS measures including related import procedures; in particular to ensure that pre-approvals, obligatory protocols or pre-clearance inspections are not applied as a permanent import measure; to achieve increased** transparency and openness, strengthening **of** dialogue between regulators and strengthening **of** cooperation in international standards-setting bodies; to recognise, in negotiations on SPS and TBT measures, the right of both parties to manage risk in accordance with the level either deems appropriate in order to protect human, animal or plant life or health; to respect and uphold the sensitivities and fundamental values of either side, such as the **EU's** precautionary principle;

Or. en

Amendment 573

Christofer Fjellner

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – point ii

Motion for a resolution

(ii) to base negotiations on SPS and TBT measures on the key principles of the multilateral SPS and TBT agreements; to aim in the first place at increasing transparency and openness, strengthening dialogue between regulators and strengthening cooperation in international standards-setting bodies; to **recognise, in negotiations on SPS and TBT measures**, the right of both parties to manage risk in accordance with the level either deems appropriate in order to protect human, animal or plant life or health; to respect and uphold the sensitivities and fundamental values of either side, **such as the EU's precautionary principle**;

Amendment

(ii) to base negotiations on SPS and TBT measures on the key principles of the multilateral SPS and TBT agreements; to aim in the first place at increasing transparency and openness, strengthening dialogue between regulators and strengthening cooperation in international standards-setting bodies; to **include requirements that measures introduced by each Party must be based on science and on international standards or scientific risk assessments, whilst recognising** the right of both parties to manage risk in accordance with the level either deems appropriate in order to protect human, animal or plant life or health; to respect and uphold the sensitivities and fundamental values of either side;

Or. en

Amendment 574

Helmut Scholz

on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Anne-Marie Mineur, Eleonora Forenza, Lola Sánchez Caldentey, Patrick Le Hyaric

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – point ii

Motion for a resolution

(ii) to base negotiations on SPS and TBT measures on the key principles of the multilateral SPS and TBT agreements; to aim in the first place at increasing transparency and openness, strengthening dialogue between regulators and strengthening cooperation in international standards-setting bodies; to recognise, in negotiations on SPS and TBT measures, the right of both parties to manage risk in accordance with the level either deems appropriate in order to protect human,

Amendment

(ii) to base negotiations on SPS and TBT measures on the key principles of the multilateral SPS and TBT agreements; to aim in the first place at increasing transparency and openness, strengthening dialogue between regulators and strengthening cooperation in international standards-setting bodies; to recognise, in negotiations on SPS and TBT measures, the right of both parties to manage risk in accordance with the level either deems appropriate in order to protect human,

animal or plant life or health; to respect and uphold the sensitivities and fundamental values of either side, ***such as*** the EU's precautionary principle;

animal or plant life or health, ***as illustrated by the farm to fork approach***; to respect and uphold the sensitivities and fundamental values of either side, ***including*** the EU's precautionary principle, ***as well as the right of both parties to base food policy decisions on other legitimate factors than risk assessment; to guarantee that both parties will maintain their right on central and sub-central level to follow a hazard-based approach, notably when it comes to cosmetics, chemicals, pesticides and GMOs***;

Or. en

Amendment 575

Godelieve Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Iuliu Winkler, Daniel Caspary

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – point ii

Motion for a resolution

(ii) to base negotiations on SPS and TBT measures on the key principles of the multilateral SPS and TBT agreements; to aim in the first place at increasing transparency and openness, strengthening dialogue between regulators and strengthening cooperation in international standards-setting bodies; to recognise, in negotiations on SPS and TBT measures, the right of both parties to manage risk in accordance with the level either deems appropriate in order to protect human, animal or plant life or health; ***to respect and uphold the sensitivities and fundamental values of either side, such as the EU's precautionary principle***;

Amendment

(ii) to base negotiations on SPS and TBT measures on the key principles of the multilateral SPS and TBT agreements; to aim in the first place at increasing transparency and openness, strengthening dialogue between regulators and strengthening cooperation in international standards-setting bodies; to recognise, in negotiations on SPS and TBT measures, the right of both parties to manage risk in accordance with the level either deems appropriate in order to protect human, animal or plant life or health;

Or. de

Amendment 576

Yannick Jadot, Ska Keller

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – point ii

Motion for a resolution

(ii) to base negotiations on SPS and TBT measures on the key principles of the multilateral SPS and TBT agreements; to aim in the first place at increasing transparency and openness, strengthening dialogue between regulators and strengthening cooperation in international standards-setting bodies; to recognise, in negotiations on SPS and TBT measures, the right of both parties to manage risk in accordance with the level either deems appropriate in order to protect human, animal or plant life or health; to respect and uphold the sensitivities and fundamental values of either side, **such as** the EU's precautionary principle;

Amendment

(ii) to base negotiations on SPS and TBT measures on the key principles of the multilateral SPS and TBT agreements; to aim in the first place at increasing transparency and openness, strengthening dialogue between regulators and strengthening cooperation in international standards-setting bodies; to recognise, in negotiations on SPS and TBT measures, the right of both parties to manage risk in accordance with the level either deems appropriate in order to protect human, animal or plant life or health; to **fully** respect and uphold the sensitivities and fundamental values of either side, **in particular** the EU's precautionary principle; **to exclude provisions which could de facto make it more difficult for the EU and its Member States to go beyond the lowest common denominator of international instruments;**

Or. en

Amendment 577

Emma McClarkin, Joachim Starbatty, Sajjad Karim, Jan Zahradil, David Campbell Bannerman, Sander Loones, Boleslaw G. Piecha

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – point ii

Motion for a resolution

(ii) to **base negotiations on SPS and TBT measures on the key principles of the multilateral SPS and TBT agreements**; to aim in the first place at increasing transparency and openness, strengthening

Amendment

(ii) to **ensure that negotiations go beyond the WTO Agreements on SPS and TBT**; to aim in the first place at increasing transparency **coherence** and openness, **mutual recognition, exchanges of best**

dialogue between regulators and strengthening cooperation in international standards-setting bodies; to recognise, in negotiations on SPS and TBT measures, the right of both parties to manage risk in accordance with the level either deems appropriate in order to protect human, animal or plant life or health; **to respect and uphold the sensitivities and fundamental values of either side, such as the EU's precautionary principle;**

practices, strengthening dialogue between regulators and strengthening cooperation in international standards-setting bodies **while removing all unnecessary obstacles to trade and investment and ensuring that regulators create proportionate measures based on scientific evidence and international standards**; to recognise, in negotiations on SPS and TBT measures, the right of both parties to manage risk in accordance with the level either deems appropriate in order to protect human, animal or plant life or health **in full respect of legal frameworks on both sides**;

Or. en

Amendment 578

Tiziana Beghin, David Borrelli

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – point ii

Motion for a resolution

(ii) to base negotiations on SPS and TBT measures on the key principles of the multilateral SPS and TBT agreements; to aim in the first place at increasing transparency and openness, strengthening dialogue between regulators and strengthening cooperation in international standards-setting bodies; to recognise, in negotiations on SPS and TBT measures, the right of both parties to manage risk in accordance with the level either deems appropriate in order to protect human, animal or plant life or health; to respect and uphold the sensitivities and fundamental values of either side, such as the EU's precautionary principle;

Amendment

(ii) to base negotiations on SPS and TBT measures on the key principles of the multilateral SPS and TBT agreements; to aim in the first place at increasing transparency and openness, strengthening dialogue between regulators and **stakeholders and** strengthening cooperation in international standards-setting bodies; to recognise, in negotiations on SPS and TBT measures, the right of both parties to manage risk in accordance with the level either deems appropriate in order to protect human, animal or plant life or health; to respect and uphold the sensitivities and fundamental values of either side, such as the EU's precautionary principle **and to make commitments to respect the precautionary principle conditional to the approval of TTIP**;

Amendment 579

Helmut Scholz

on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Anne-Marie Mineur, Eleonora Forenza, Lola Sánchez Caldentey, Patrick Le Hyaric

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – point ii a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(iia) to insist that the precautionary principle is one of the fundamental principles of European environmental, health and consumer protection policy and is the basis for prompt, proactive negotiations to avoid putting the health of people, animals and plants at risk and damaging the environment; to ensure that the negotiations do not result in the diluting of the precautionary principle which operates in the EU, particularly in the areas of environmental, health, food and consumer protection;

Or. en

Amendment 580

Yannick Jadot, Ska Keller

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – point ii a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(iia) to strengthen the guarantees and protection for the EU's right to legislate on labelling and marking, considering it through the frame of traceability and consumer information, and not as a Technical Barrier to Trade; to ensure that TTIP does in no way undermine the EU's ability to provide traceability for food

products and to inform consumers through regulation on country of origin labelling, labelling of production methods, or other forms of food labelling;

Or. en

Amendment 581

Helmut Scholz

on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Anne-Marie Mineur, Eleonora Forenza, Lola Sánchez Caldentey, Patrick Le Hyaric

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – point ii b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(iib) to defend the view that existing regulatory divergences often have a background in different cultural and political approaches and that their value is often higher than their costs as non-tariff barrier (NTB) to trade; to point out that the costs for coping with the consequences of deregulation can be extremely high for public budgets;

Or. en

Amendment 582

Yannick Jadot, Ska Keller

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – point ii b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(iib) to ensure that the goal of aligning regulatory standards with regard to animal welfare is to raise standards to the highest level rather than lowering them and to include animal welfare production standards as a requirement for importing goods into the EU;

Amendment 583

Helmut Scholz

on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Anne-Marie Mineur, Eleonora Forenza, Lola Sánchez Caldentey, Patrick Le Hyaric

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – point ii c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(iic) to point out that the vast majority of the population of most Member States is strongly opposed to the cultivation, import and processing of genetically modified organisms (GMOs);

Or. en

Amendment 584

Helmut Scholz

on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Anne-Marie Mineur, Eleonora Forenza, Lola Sánchez Caldentey, Patrick Le Hyaric

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – point ii d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(iid) to ensure that, for reasons of consumer and health protection for the agricultural sector, special rules will be drawn up according to which the import of certain products to the EU will not be permitted, in particular for products which do not comply with the EU Labelling Directive; products comprising or made out of GMOs; animals treated with growth hormones; the placing on the market of food from cloned animals, and for food treated with products which are or will be banned in the EU;

Amendment 585

Helmut Scholz

on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Anne-Marie Mineur, Eleonora Forenza, Lola Sánchez Caldentey, Patrick Le Hyaric

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – point ii e (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(iie) to point out that agro-biodiversity is the basis of food production and to ensure that trade agreements result in neither restrictions on old seeds or a weakening of our traditional crops nor obstructions to high-quality, ecologically-focused agriculture;

Amendment 586

Helmut Scholz

on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Anne-Marie Mineur, Eleonora Forenza, Lola Sánchez Caldentey, Patrick Le Hyaric

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – point ii f (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(iif) to commission an independent assessment study on the possible consequences of a trade deal with the US for the European agriculture at family scale, and for the EU policy for agriculture, for food safety, for the traceability of food and products, and for environment.

Amendment 587

Helmut Scholz

on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Anne-Marie Mineur, Eleonora Forenza, Lola Sánchez Caldentey, Patrick Le Hyaric

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – point ii g (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(iig) to ensure the exclusion of any provision in all the horizontal chapters and in all sectorial annexes of the TTIP that would involve regulation of chemicals and pesticides, affect the EU's integrated approach for food safety, including EU legislation on GMOs, hormones and possible future legislation needed such as food containing endocrinal substances.

Or. en

Amendment 588

Helmut Scholz

on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Anne-Marie Mineur, Eleonora Forenza, Lola Sánchez Caldentey, Patrick Le Hyaric

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – point ii h (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(iih) to firmly protect the EU's "farm to fork" approach to food hygiene whereby good hygienic practices (GHP) must be in place all along the production chain to guarantee that food sold to the final consumer is safe, to reject the delegation of food control tasks to private operators, to stand firm on the contention that a food system based on third-party safety audits cannot be deemed equivalent to a system based on public independent inspections.

Or. en

Amendment 589
Ulrike Müller

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 – point c – point iii

Motion for a resolution

(iii) with regard to the horizontal regulatory cooperation chapter, to give priority to fostering bilateral cooperation between regulatory bodies through enhanced information exchange and to promote the adoption, strengthening and timely implementation of international instruments, on the basis of successful international experiences such as, for instance, ISO standards or under the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe's (UNECE) World Forum for Harmonisation of Vehicle Regulations (WP.29); to establish that the prior impact assessment for the regulatory act, as defined in the horizontal provisions on regulatory cooperation, should also measure the impact on consumers and the environment next to its impact on trade and investment; to handle the possibility of promoting regulatory compatibility with great care and only without compromising legitimate regulatory and policy objectives;

Amendment

(iii) with regard to the horizontal regulatory cooperation chapter, to give priority to fostering bilateral cooperation between regulatory bodies **solely** through enhanced information exchange and to promote the adoption, strengthening and timely implementation of international instruments, on the basis of successful international experiences such as, for instance, ISO standards or under the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe's (UNECE) World Forum for Harmonisation of Vehicle Regulations (WP.29); to establish that the prior impact assessment for the regulatory act, as defined in the horizontal provisions on regulatory cooperation, should also measure the impact on consumers and the environment next to its impact on trade and investment; to handle the possibility of promoting regulatory compatibility **modest and** with great care and only without compromising legitimate regulatory and policy objectives;

Or. en

Amendment 590
Reimer Böge

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 – point c – point iii

Motion for a resolution

(iii) with regard to the horizontal

Amendment

(iii) with regard to the horizontal

regulatory cooperation chapter, to give priority to fostering bilateral cooperation between regulatory bodies through enhanced information exchange and to promote the adoption, strengthening and timely implementation of international instruments, on the basis of successful international experiences such as, for instance, ISO standards or under the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe's (UNECE) World Forum for Harmonisation of Vehicle Regulations (WP.29); to establish that the prior impact assessment for the regulatory act, as defined in the horizontal provisions on regulatory cooperation, should also measure the impact on consumers and the environment next to its impact on trade and investment; to handle the possibility of promoting regulatory compatibility with great care and only without compromising legitimate regulatory and policy objectives;

regulatory cooperation chapter, to give priority to fostering bilateral cooperation between regulatory bodies through enhanced information exchange and to promote the adoption, strengthening and timely implementation of international instruments, on the basis of successful international experiences such as, for instance, ISO standards or under the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe's (UNECE) World Forum for Harmonisation of Vehicle Regulations (WP.29); to establish that the prior impact assessment for the regulatory act, as defined in the horizontal provisions on regulatory cooperation, should also measure the impact on consumers and the environment next to its impact on trade and investment; to handle the possibility of promoting regulatory compatibility with great care and only without compromising legitimate regulatory and policy objectives *or competencies*;

Or. de

Amendment 591
Dita Charanzová

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 – point c – point iii

Motion for a resolution

(iii) with regard to the horizontal regulatory cooperation chapter, to give priority to fostering bilateral cooperation between regulatory bodies through enhanced information exchange and to promote the adoption, strengthening and timely implementation of international instruments, on the basis of successful international experiences such as, for instance, ISO standards or under the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe's (UNECE) *World Forum for*

Amendment

(iii) with regard to the horizontal regulatory cooperation chapter, to give priority to fostering bilateral cooperation between regulatory bodies through enhanced information exchange and to promote the adoption, strengthening and timely implementation of international instruments, on the basis of successful international experiences such as, for instance, ISO standards or under the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe's (UNECE), *especially regarding*

Harmonisation of Vehicle Regulations (WP.29); to establish that the prior impact assessment for the regulatory act, as defined in the horizontal provisions on regulatory cooperation, should also measure the impact on consumers and the environment next to its impact on trade and investment; to handle the possibility of promoting regulatory compatibility with great care and only without compromising legitimate regulatory and policy objectives;

new technologies; to remember that the recognition of equivalence of the greatest possible number of vehicle safety regulations would be one of the most important achievements of the Agreement and that this will require verifying that the EU and US regulations provide for a similar level of protection; believes that this must be a step towards full regulatory convergence for this and other sectors;

Or. en

Amendment 592
Bendt Bendtsen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 – point c – point iii

Motion for a resolution

(iii) with regard to the horizontal regulatory cooperation chapter, to give priority to fostering bilateral cooperation between regulatory bodies through enhanced information exchange and to promote the adoption, strengthening and timely implementation of international instruments, on the basis of successful international experiences such as, for instance, ISO standards or under the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe's (UNECE) World Forum for Harmonisation of Vehicle Regulations (WP.29); to establish that the prior impact assessment for the regulatory act, as defined in the horizontal provisions on regulatory cooperation, should also measure the impact on consumers and the environment next to its impact on trade and investment; to handle the possibility of promoting regulatory compatibility with great care and only without compromising

Amendment

(iii) with regard to the horizontal regulatory cooperation chapter, to give priority to fostering bilateral cooperation between regulatory bodies through enhanced information exchange and to promote the adoption, strengthening and timely implementation of international instruments, on the basis of successful international experiences such as, for instance, ISO standards or under the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe's (UNECE) World Forum for Harmonisation of Vehicle Regulations (WP.29); ***recognises that standards are important for the export and import of goods and both sides and urges American and European standardization organisations to work together on common standards and mutual recognition of standards, to the benefit of European and American competitiveness and consumer choice, while maintaining high safety standards and protection for***

legitimate regulatory and policy objectives;

consumers; to establish that the prior impact assessment for the regulatory act, as defined in the horizontal provisions on regulatory cooperation, should also measure the impact on consumers and the environment next to its impact on trade and investment; to handle the possibility of promoting regulatory compatibility with great care and only without compromising legitimate regulatory and policy objectives;

Or. en

Amendment 593

David Martin, Maria Arena, Jude Kirton-Darling, Jörg Leichtfried, Emmanuel Maurel, Sorin Moisă, Alessia Maria Mosca, Inmaculada Rodríguez-Piñero Fernández, Joachim Schuster, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Eric Andrieu, Goffredo Maria Bettini, Victor Boștinaru, Nicola Danti, Agnes Jongerius, Costas Mavrides, Pedro Silva Pereira, Marita Ulvskog, Martina Werner

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – point iii

Motion for a resolution

(iii) with regard to the horizontal regulatory cooperation chapter, to give priority to fostering bilateral cooperation between regulatory bodies through enhanced information exchange and to promote the adoption, strengthening and timely implementation of international instruments, on the basis of successful international experiences such as, for instance, ISO standards or under the United Nations Economic Commission for *Europe's* (UNECE) World Forum for Harmonisation of Vehicle Regulations (WP.29); to establish that the prior impact assessment for the regulatory act, as defined in the horizontal provisions on regulatory cooperation, should also measure the impact on consumers *and* the environment *next to* its impact on trade and investment; to handle the possibility of promoting regulatory compatibility with

Amendment

(iii) with regard to the horizontal regulatory cooperation chapter, to give priority to fostering bilateral cooperation between regulatory bodies through enhanced information exchange and to promote the adoption, strengthening and timely implementation of international instruments, on the basis of successful international experiences such as, for instance, ISO standards or under the United Nations Economic Commission for *Europe's* (UNECE) World Forum for Harmonisation of Vehicle Regulations (WP.29); to establish that the prior impact assessment for the regulatory act, as defined in the horizontal provisions on regulatory cooperation, should also measure *and prioritise* the impact on consumers, the environment *and gender relations over* its impact on trade and investment; to handle the possibility of

great care and only without compromising legitimate regulatory and policy objectives;

promoting regulatory compatibility with great care and only without compromising legitimate regulatory and policy objectives;

Or. en

Amendment 594

Daniel Caspary, Godelieve Quisthoudt-Rowohl

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – point iii

Motion for a resolution

(iii) with regard to the horizontal regulatory cooperation chapter, to give priority to fostering bilateral cooperation between regulatory bodies through enhanced information exchange and to promote the adoption, strengthening and timely implementation of international instruments, on the basis of successful international experiences such as, for instance, ISO standards or under the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe's (UNECE) World Forum for Harmonisation of Vehicle Regulations (WP.29); to establish that the prior impact assessment for the regulatory act, as defined in the horizontal provisions on regulatory cooperation, should also measure the impact on consumers and the environment next to its impact on trade and investment; to handle the possibility of promoting regulatory compatibility with great care and only without compromising legitimate regulatory and policy objectives;

Amendment

(iii) with regard to the horizontal regulatory cooperation chapter, to give priority to fostering bilateral cooperation between regulatory bodies through enhanced information exchange and to promote the adoption, strengthening and timely implementation of international instruments, on the basis of successful international experiences such as, for instance, ISO standards or under the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe's (UNECE) World Forum for Harmonisation of Vehicle Regulations (WP.29); to establish that the prior impact assessment for the regulatory act, as defined in the horizontal provisions on regulatory cooperation, should also measure the impact on consumers and the environment next to its impact on trade and investment; to handle the possibility of promoting regulatory compatibility with great care and only without compromising legitimate regulatory and policy objectives ***and competencies of the legislature on both sides of the Atlantic;***

Or. de

Amendment 595

Godelieve Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Iuliu Winkler, Daniel Caspary

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 – point c – point iii

Motion for a resolution

(iii) with regard to the horizontal regulatory cooperation chapter, to give priority to fostering bilateral cooperation between regulatory bodies through enhanced information exchange and to promote the adoption, strengthening and timely implementation of international instruments, on the basis of successful international experiences such as, for instance, ISO standards or under the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe's (UNECE) World Forum for Harmonisation of Vehicle Regulations (WP.29); ***to establish that the prior impact assessment for the regulatory act, as defined in the horizontal provisions on regulatory cooperation, should also measure the impact on consumers and the environment next to its impact on trade and investment; to handle the possibility of promoting regulatory compatibility with great care and only without compromising legitimate regulatory and policy objectives;***

Amendment

(iii) with regard to the horizontal regulatory cooperation chapter, to give priority to fostering bilateral cooperation between regulatory bodies through enhanced information exchange and to promote the adoption, strengthening and timely implementation of international instruments, on the basis of successful international experiences such as, for instance, ISO standards or under the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe's (UNECE) World Forum for Harmonisation of Vehicle Regulations (WP.29);

Or. de

Amendment 596
Yannick Jadot, Ska Keller

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 – point c – point iii

Motion for a resolution

(iii) with regard to the horizontal regulatory cooperation chapter, to give priority to fostering bilateral cooperation between regulatory bodies through enhanced information exchange and to

Amendment

(iii) with regard to the horizontal regulatory cooperation chapter, to give priority to fostering bilateral cooperation between regulatory bodies through enhanced information exchange and to

promote the adoption, strengthening and timely implementation of international instruments, on the basis of successful international experiences such as, for instance, ISO standards or under the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe's (UNECE) World Forum for Harmonisation of Vehicle Regulations (WP.29); to **establish** that the prior impact assessment for the regulatory act, as defined in the horizontal provisions on regulatory cooperation, **should also measure the impact on consumers and the environment next to its impact on trade and investment**; to handle the possibility of promoting regulatory compatibility with great care and only without compromising legitimate regulatory and policy objectives;

promote the adoption, strengthening and timely implementation of international instruments, on the basis of successful international experiences such as, for instance, ISO standards or under the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe's (UNECE) World Forum for Harmonisation of Vehicle Regulations (WP.29); to **ensure** that the prior impact assessment for the regulatory act, as defined in the horizontal provisions on regulatory cooperation, **is fully based on the EU methodology of primarily measuring social, human rights and environmental impacts**; to handle the possibility of promoting regulatory compatibility with great care and only without compromising legitimate regulatory and policy objectives;

Or. en

Amendment 597

Marietje Schaake, Ramon Tremosa i Balcells, Alexander Graf Lambsdorff, Olli Rehn, Petras Auštrevičius

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – point iii

Motion for a resolution

(iii) with regard to the horizontal regulatory cooperation chapter, to give priority to fostering bilateral cooperation between regulatory bodies through enhanced information exchange and to promote the adoption, strengthening and timely implementation of international instruments, on the basis of successful international experiences such as, for instance, ISO standards or under the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe's (UNECE) World Forum for Harmonisation of Vehicle Regulations (WP.29); to establish that the prior impact assessment for the regulatory act, as

Amendment

(iii) with regard to the horizontal regulatory cooperation chapter, to give priority to fostering bilateral cooperation between regulatory bodies, **which could help develop common definitions and to avoid unnecessary divergence in the future, especially when it comes to new technologies and services, such as electric cars, smart grids, nano technology and green goods; to achieve this** through enhanced information exchange and to promote the adoption, strengthening and timely implementation of international instruments, on the basis of successful international experiences such as, for

defined in the horizontal provisions on regulatory cooperation, should also measure the impact on consumers and the environment next to its impact on trade and investment; to handle the possibility of promoting regulatory compatibility with great care and only without compromising legitimate regulatory and policy objectives;

instance, ISO standards or under the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe's (UNECE) World Forum for Harmonisation of Vehicle Regulations (WP.29); to establish that the prior impact assessment for the regulatory act, as defined in the horizontal provisions on regulatory cooperation, should also measure the impact on consumers and the environment next to its impact on trade and investment; to handle the possibility of promoting regulatory compatibility with great care and only without compromising legitimate regulatory and policy objectives;

Or. en

Amendment 598

Emma McClarkin, Joachim Starbatty, Sajjad Karim, Jan Zahradil, David Campbell Bannerman, Sander Loones, Boleslaw G. Piecha

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – point iii

Motion for a resolution

(iii) with regard to the horizontal regulatory cooperation chapter, to give priority to fostering bilateral cooperation between regulatory bodies through enhanced information exchange and to promote the adoption, strengthening and timely implementation of international instruments, ***on the basis of successful international experiences such as, for instance, ISO standards or under the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe's (UNECE) World Forum for Harmonisation of Vehicle Regulations (WP.29); to establish that the prior impact assessment for the regulatory act, as defined in the horizontal provisions on regulatory cooperation, should also measure the impact on consumers and the environment next to its impact on trade and investment; to handle the possibility***

Amendment

(iii) with regard to the horizontal regulatory cooperation chapter, to give priority to fostering bilateral cooperation between regulatory bodies through enhanced information exchange and to promote the adoption, strengthening and timely implementation of international instruments ***whilst fully respecting the principle subsidiarity, on the basis of a specific and permanent regulatory and consultation cooperation mechanism, ensuring the creation of a living agreement;***

*of promoting regulatory compatibility
with great care and only without
compromising legitimate regulatory and
policy objectives;*

Or. en

Amendment 599

Helmut Scholz

on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Anne-Marie Mineur, Eleonora Forenza, Lola Sánchez Caldentey, Patrick Le Hyaric

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – point iii a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

*(iia) to set up an ambitious and effective
cooperation mechanism between the US
Congress and the European
Parliament, aimed at creating the
highest common standards and at
avoiding unintended divergence in future
standards in key sectors; to promote high
common standards in all international
fora;*

Or. en

Amendment 600

Tiziana Beghin, David Borrelli

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – point iii a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

*(iia) to ensure that clear and
unambiguous language is used in the
regulatory cooperation chapter, to make
sure that no form of regulatory
cooperation will be used to circumvent
legitimate and democratic decision-
making processes, to reduce the level of*

protection of European citizens or to prevent legislators from deciding for the public interest;

Or. en

Amendment 601

Helmut Scholz

on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Anne-Marie Mineur, Eleonora Forenza, Lola Sánchez Caldentey, Patrick Le Hyaric

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – point iii b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(iiib) to oppose the suggestion for any institutionalised form of extensive regulatory cooperation which would allow the trade and investment partner and corporations in the prelegislative or legislative phase of the legislative procedure of the EU or the US, the Member States or federal states and municipal and regional authorities to have a privileged say in, or the opportunity to delay or stop, legislative procedures for instance by calling for impact analyses of legislation on trade and investments;

Or. en

Amendment 602

Godelieve Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Iuliu Winkler, Daniel Caspary

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – point iv

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(iv) to define clearly, in the context of future regulatory cooperation, which measures concern TBT and redundant

deleted

administrative burdens and formalities and which are linked to fundamental standards and regulations and should not be altered;

Or. de

Amendment 603

Emma McClarkin, Joachim Starbatty, Sajjad Karim, Jan Zahradil, David Campbell Bannerman, Boleslaw G. Piecha

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – point iv

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(iv) to define clearly, in the context of future regulatory cooperation, which measures concern TBT and redundant administrative burdens and formalities and which are linked to fundamental standards and regulations and should not be altered;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 604

Franck Proust

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – point iv

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(iv) to define clearly, in the context of future regulatory cooperation, which measures concern TBT and redundant administrative burdens and formalities and which are linked to fundamental standards and regulations and should not be altered;

(iv) to define clearly, in the context of future regulatory cooperation, which measures concern TBT and ***duplicated or*** redundant administrative burdens and formalities and which are linked to fundamental standards and regulations and should not be altered;

Or. fr

Amendment 605
Christofer Fjellner

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 – point c – point iv

Motion for a resolution

(iv) to define clearly, in the context of future regulatory cooperation, which measures concern TBT and redundant administrative burdens and formalities and which are linked to fundamental standards and regulations ***and should not be altered***;

Amendment

(iv) to define clearly, in the context of future regulatory cooperation, which measures concern TBT and redundant administrative burdens and formalities and which are linked to fundamental standards and regulations;

Or. en

Amendment 606
Wim van de Camp

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 – point c – point iv

Motion for a resolution

(iv) to define clearly, in the context of future regulatory cooperation, which measures concern TBT and redundant administrative burdens and formalities and which are linked to fundamental standards and regulations and should not be altered;

Amendment

(iv) to define clearly, in the context of future regulatory cooperation, which measures concern TBT and redundant administrative burdens and formalities and which are linked to fundamental standards and regulations and should not be altered; ***also including homologation tests for motor vehicles***;

Or. en

Amendment 607
Yannick Jadot, Ska Keller

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 – point c – point iv

Motion for a resolution

(iv) to define clearly, in the context of future regulatory cooperation, which measures concern TBT and redundant administrative burdens and formalities and which are linked to fundamental standards and regulations and should not be altered;

Amendment

(iv) to define clearly, in the context of future regulatory cooperation, which measures concern TBT and redundant administrative burdens and formalities and which are linked to fundamental standards and regulations, ***or procedures serving a public policy objective***, and should not be altered;

Or. en

Amendment 608

Gérard Deprez, Louis Michel, Frédérique Ries

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – point iv a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(iva) to ensure that decisions taken by the regulatory cooperation organisation responsible for establishing future common standards cannot deprive European Member States of the right to establish higher standards;

Or. fr

Amendment 609

Helmut Scholz

on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Anne-Marie Mineur, Eleonora Forenza, Lola Sánchez Caldentey, Patrick Le Hyaric

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – point iv a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(iva) to provide information on the way agreements by either the USA or the EU with third countries may affect regulatory

co-operation and, in particular, to clarify how these agreements would interact, if they provide for similar forms or regulatory co-operation;

Or. en

Amendment 610

David Martin, Maria Arena, Jude Kirton-Darling, Jörg Leichtfried, Emmanuel Maurel, Sorin Moisă, Alessia Maria Mosca, Inmaculada Rodríguez-Piñero Fernández, Joachim Schuster, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Eric Andrieu, Goffredo Maria Bettini, Victor Boștinaru, Nicola Danti, Agnes Jongerius, Costas Mavrides, Pedro Silva Pereira, Marita Ulvskog, Martina Werner

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – point v

Motion for a resolution

(v) to fully respect the established regulatory systems on both sides of the Atlantic, as well as the European **Parliament's** role within the **EU's** decision-making process and its democratic scrutiny over EU regulatory processes when creating the framework for future cooperation while at the same time being vigilant about a balanced involvement of stakeholders within the consultations included in the development of a regulatory proposal;

Amendment

(v) to fully respect the established regulatory systems on both sides of the Atlantic, as well as the European **Parliament's** role within the **EU's** decision-making process and its democratic scrutiny over EU regulatory processes when creating the framework for future cooperation while at the same time being vigilant about a balanced involvement of stakeholders within the consultations included in the development of a regulatory proposal ***in order to avoid that neither Party exercise any veto power before any regulatory proposal has been officially tabled by the other Party; to specify the role, the composition and the legal quality of the Regulatory Cooperation Council, taking into consideration that any direct and compulsory application of its recommendations would imply a breach of the law-making procedures laid down in the Treaties; to also oversee that it fully preserve the capacity of national, regional and local authorities to legislate their own policies, in particular social and***

Amendment 611

Matteo Salvini

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – point v

Motion for a resolution

(v) to fully respect the established regulatory systems on both sides of the Atlantic, as well as the European Parliament's role within the EU's decision-making process and its democratic scrutiny over EU regulatory processes when creating the framework for future cooperation while at the same time being vigilant about a balanced involvement of stakeholders within the consultations included in the development of a regulatory proposal;

Amendment

(v) to fully respect the established regulatory systems on both sides of the Atlantic, ***without prejudice to the legislative competences of the EU Member States and of regions with legislative powers***, as well as the European Parliament's role within the EU's decision-making process and its democratic scrutiny over EU regulatory processes when creating the framework for future cooperation while at the same time being vigilant about a balanced involvement of stakeholders within the consultations included in the development of a regulatory proposal;

Amendment 612

Franck Proust, Tokia Saïfi

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – point v

Motion for a resolution

(v) to fully respect the established regulatory systems on both sides of the Atlantic, as well as the European Parliament's role within the EU's decision-making process and its democratic scrutiny over EU regulatory processes when creating the framework for future

Amendment

(v) to fully respect the established regulatory systems on both sides of the Atlantic, as well as the European Parliament's role within the EU's decision-making process and its democratic scrutiny over EU regulatory processes when creating the framework for future

cooperation while at the same time being vigilant about a balanced involvement of stakeholders within the consultations included in the development of a regulatory proposal;

cooperation while at the same time being vigilant about a balanced involvement of stakeholders within the consultations included in the development of a regulatory proposal; ***proposes as such that the updates made to correct certain aspects concerning the limits of the partnership be placed, if necessary, under democratic and parliamentary scrutiny;***

Or. fr

Amendment 613

Yannick Jadot, Ska Keller

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – point v

Motion for a resolution

(v) to fully respect the established regulatory systems on both sides of the Atlantic, as well as the European Parliament's role within the EU's decision-making process and its democratic scrutiny over EU regulatory processes when creating the framework for future cooperation while at the same time being vigilant about a balanced involvement of stakeholders within the consultations included in the development of a regulatory proposal;

Amendment

(v) to fully respect the established regulatory ***and democratic decision-making*** systems on both sides of the Atlantic, as well as the European Parliament's role within the EU's decision-making process and its democratic scrutiny over EU regulatory processes when creating the framework for future cooperation while at the same time being vigilant about a balanced involvement of stakeholders, ***particularly non-governmental organisations***, within the consultations included in the development of a regulatory proposal;

Or. en

Amendment 614

Emma McClarkin, Joachim Starbatty, Sajjad Karim, Jan Zahradil, David Campbell Bannerman, Sander Loones, Boleslaw G. Piecha

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 – point c – point v

Motion for a resolution

(v) to fully respect the established regulatory systems on both sides of the Atlantic, as well as the European **Parliament's** role within the **EU's** decision-making process and its democratic scrutiny over EU regulatory processes when creating the framework for future cooperation while **at the same time being vigilant about a balanced involvement of stakeholders within the consultations included in the development of a regulatory proposal;**

Amendment

(v) to fully respect the established regulatory systems on both sides of the Atlantic, as well as the European **Parliament's** role within the **EU's** decision-making process and its democratic scrutiny over EU regulatory processes when creating the framework for future cooperation while **ensuring the utmost transparency and the involvement of all relevant stakeholders;**

Or. en

Amendment 615
Eleonora Forenza

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 – point c – point v

Motion for a resolution

(v) to fully respect the established regulatory systems on both sides of the Atlantic, as well as the European **Parliament's** role within the **EU's** decision-making process and its democratic scrutiny over EU regulatory processes when creating the framework for future cooperation while at the same time being vigilant **about a balanced involvement of stakeholders within the consultations included in the development of a regulatory proposal;**

Amendment

(v) to fully respect the established regulatory systems on both sides of the Atlantic, as well as the European **Parliament's** role within the **EU's** decision-making process and its democratic scrutiny over EU regulatory processes when creating the framework for future cooperation while at the same time being vigilant **that any privileged access to decision makers nor preferential consultative role is given to corporations and stakeholders interests within the framework of regulatory cooperation**

Or. en

Amendment 616
Viviane Reding

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 – point c – point v a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(va) to fully recognise the right of each party not to engage in transatlantic cooperation, if deemed unnecessary or inappropriate; to define, as in CETA, the nature of the cooperation mechanism as voluntary;

Or. en

Amendment 617

Helmut Scholz

on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Anne-Marie Mineur, Eleonora Forenza, Lola Sánchez Caldentey, Patrick Le Hyaric

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 – point c – point v a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(va) to take immediate measures to ensure that in particular the recommendation for the development on a European strategy for IT independence and an EU cyber strategy, as included in the European Parliament resolution of 12 March 2014 on the US NSA surveillance programme, surveillance bodies in various Member States and their impact on EU citizens' fundamental rights and on transatlantic cooperation in Justice and Home Affairs, are implemented;

Or. en

Amendment 618

Helmut Scholz

on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Anne-Marie Mineur, Eleonora Forenza, Lola Sánchez Caldentey, Patrick Le Hyaric

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 – point c – point v b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(vb) to prevent the inclusion of encryption standards, or the certification thereof, in the TTIP agreement, since there is no economic benefit, but a serious potential economic and societal loss deriving.

Or. en

Amendment 619

Helmut Scholz

on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Anne-Marie Mineur, Eleonora Forenza, Lola Sánchez Caldentey, Patrick Le Hyaric

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 – point c – point v c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(vc) to ensure that a comprehensive and unambiguous horizontal clause that fully exempts EU rules on the protection of personal data from the agreement should be incorporated, without any condition that it must be consistent with other parts of any trade agreement;

Or. en