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Draft opinion
Jude Kirton-Darling
(PE551.861v01-00)

on the proposal for a directive of the European Parliament and of the Council
on the cloning of animals of the bovine, porcine, ovine, caprine and equine
species kept and reproduced for farming purposes

Proposal for a directive
(COM(2013)0892 – C7-0002/2014 – 2013/0433(COD))
Amendment 6
Emma McClarkin

Proposal for a directive

Proposal for rejection

The European Parliament rejects the Commission proposal.

Justification

There is no requirement for further legislation on cloning given that existing legislation already deals with sufficient EU controls. Furthermore, this legislation will only succeed in jeopardising trade relations with third countries.

Amendment 7
Santiago Fisas Ayxelà

Proposal for a directive

Title

Text proposed by the Commission

Proposal for a

DIRECTIVE OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL

on the cloning of animals of the bovine, porcine, ovine, caprine and equine species kept and reproduced for farming purposes

Proposal for a

REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL

on the cloning of animals of the bovine, porcine, ovine, caprine and equine species kept and reproduced for farming purposes

(This amendment applies throughout the text. Adopting it will necessitate corresponding changes throughout.)

Or. es

Amendment 8
Yannick Jadot
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group
Proposal for a directive
Title

Text proposed by the Commission
Proposal for a
DIRECTIVE OF THE EUROPEAN
PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL
on the cloning of animals of the bovine,
porcine, ovine, caprine and equine species
kept and reproduced for farming purposes

Amendment
Proposal for a
REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN
PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL
on the cloning of animals of the bovine,
porcine, ovine, caprine and equine species
kept and reproduced for farming purposes

Or. en

Justification

Using a Regulation as the legal instrument enhances legal certainty and ensures consistency
of enforcement, while respecting the subsidiarity and proportionality principles.

Amendment 9
Tiziana Beghin, David Borrelli

Proposal for a directive
Title

Text proposed by the Commission
Proposal for a
DIRECTIVE OF THE EUROPEAN
PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL
on the cloning of animals of the bovine,
porcine, ovine, caprine and equine species
and equine species kept and reproduced for farming purposes

Amendment
Proposal for a
DIRECTIVE OF THE EUROPEAN
PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL
on the cloning of animals of the bovine,
porcine, ovine, caprine, equine, poultry,
rabbit and fish species kept and
reproduced for farming purposes

Or. it

Amendment 10
Tiziana Beghin, David Borrelli

Proposal for a directive
Title
Proposal for a DIRECTIVE OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL on the cloning of animals of the bovine, porcine, ovine, caprine and equine species kept and reproduced for farming purposes

Amendment 11
Marine Le Pen

Proposal for a directive
Recital 1

Text proposed by the Commission

(1) Council Directive 98/58/EC\textsuperscript{14} lays down general minimum welfare standards for animals bred or kept for farming purposes. It calls on Member States to avoid unnecessary pain, suffering or injury of farm animals. If cloning causes unnecessary pain, suffering or injury, Member States have to act at national level to avoid it. Different national approaches to animal cloning could lead to market distortion. It is thus necessary to ensure that the same conditions apply to all involved in the production and distribution of live animals throughout the Union.

\textsuperscript{14} Council Directive 98/58/EC of 20 July 1998 concerning the protection of animals kept for farming purposes (OJ L 221,

Amendment

(1) Council Directive 98/58/EC\textsuperscript{14} lays down general minimum welfare standards for animals bred or kept for farming purposes. It calls on Member States to avoid unnecessary pain, suffering or injury of farm animals. If cloning causes unnecessary pain, suffering or injury, Member States have to act at national level to avoid it. Different national approaches to animal cloning are justified in view of the strong opposition to this relatively recent technique. Given that the single market completely deprives Member States of the sovereignty over their borders necessary to control flows of goods, the precautionary principle should, as regards cloning, be applied within the Union not just to animals kept and reproduced for farming purposes, but, more broadly, to all animal species in every case.

\textsuperscript{14} Council Directive 98/58/EC of 20 July 1998 concerning the protection of animals kept for farming purposes (OJ L 221,
Amendment 12
Georgios Epitideios

Proposal for a directive
Recital 3

**Text proposed by the Commission**

(3) Taking into account the objectives of the Union's agricultural policy, the results of the recent scientific assessments of EFSA and the animal welfare requirement provided in Article 13 of the Treaty, it is prudent to *provisionally* prohibit the use of cloning in animal production for farm purposes of certain species.

**Amendment**

(3) Taking into account the objectives of the Union's agricultural policy, the results of the recent scientific assessments of EFSA and the animal welfare requirement provided in Article 13 of the Treaty, it is prudent to prohibit the use of cloning in animal production for farm purposes of certain species.

Amendment 13
Franz Obermayr

Proposal for a directive
Recital 3

**Text proposed by the Commission**

(3) Taking into account the objectives of the Union's agricultural policy, the results of the recent scientific assessments of EFSA and the animal welfare requirement provided in Article 13 of the Treaty, it is prudent to *provisionally* prohibit the use of cloning in animal production for farm purposes of certain species.

**Amendment**

(3) Taking into account the objectives of the Union's agricultural policy, the results of the recent scientific assessments of EFSA and the animal welfare requirement provided in Article 13 of the Treaty, it is prudent to prohibit the use of cloning in animal production for farm purposes.
Amendment 14
Eleonora Forenza

Proposal for a directive
Recital 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

(3a) The use of cloning in animal production for farming purposes is allowed in third countries. Food imported from third countries for placing on the market within the Union is to comply with relevant requirements of Union food law or with conditions recognised by the Union to be at least equivalent to those requirements. Therefore, measures should be taken to avoid the importation into the Union of food obtained from animal clones and their descendants which is produced in third countries.

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 15
Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a directive
Recital 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

(3a) Traceability measures for semen, eggs and embryos from cloned animals and for the live offspring of cloned animals should be established as proposed by the European Parliament on 29 March 2011 at the conciliation meeting on novel foods and in its resolution on 'cloning of animals' adopted by its Committee on Environment, Public Health and Food Safety on 20 February 2014.

Amendment

Or. en
Amendment 16
Frédérique Ries
Proposal for a directive
Recital 3 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

(3b) In its 2010 report to the European Parliament and the Council, the Commission stated that measures to establish the traceability of imports of semen and embryos in order to set up data banks of offspring within the Union were appropriate. The Commission should therefore act accordingly.

Amendment

En or.

Amendment 17
Eleonora Forenza
Proposal for a directive
Recital 3 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

(3b) In order to respect the precautionary principle and to enforce the prohibitions laid down in this Directive, it is necessary to establish traceability systems at Union level, so as to enable competent authorities and economic operators to collect data on animal clones, descendants of animal clones and germinal products of animal clones and of their descendants, and food derived from animal clones and their descendants.

Amendment

En or.

Amendment 18
Tiziana Beghin, David Borrelli
Proposal for a directive
Recital 4

Text proposed by the Commission

(4) Currently animals of bovine, porcine, ovine, caprine and equine species are likely to be cloned for farming purposes. The scope of this Directive should therefore be limited to the use of cloning for farming purposes of those five species.

Amendment

deleted

Or. it

Amendment 19
Franz Obermayr

Proposal for a directive
Recital 4

Text proposed by the Commission

(4) Currently animals of bovine, porcine, ovine, caprine and equine species are likely to be cloned for farming purposes. The scope of this Directive should therefore be limited to the use of cloning for farming purposes of those five species.

Amendment

deleted

Or. de

Amendment 20
Marine Le Pen

Proposal for a directive
Recital 4

Text proposed by the Commission

(4) Currently animals of bovine, porcine, ovine, caprine and equine species are likely to be cloned for farming purposes. The scope of this Directive should therefore be limited to the use of cloning for farming purposes, but the Union should apply the precautionary
limited to the use of cloning for farming purposes of those five species. The scope of this Directive should therefore be extended to cover all animal species in every case.

Amendment 21
Tiziana Beghin, David Borrelli

Proposal for a directive
Recital 4

Text proposed by the Commission

(4) Currently animals of bovine, porcine, ovine, caprine and equine species are likely to be cloned for farming purposes. The scope of this Directive should therefore be limited to the use of cloning for farming purposes of those five species.

Amendment

(4) Currently animals of bovine, porcine, ovine, caprine, equine, poultry, rabbit and fish species are likely to be cloned for farming purposes. The scope of this Directive should therefore concern cloning for farming purposes of those species.

Amendment 22
Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a directive
Recital 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

(4a) Cloning carried out for research purposes, for the preservation of rare breeds or endangered species and for the production of medicinal products and medical devices should be excluded from the scope of this Directive.

Amendment

(4a) Cloning carried out for research purposes, for the preservation of rare breeds or endangered species and for the production of medicinal products and medical devices should be excluded from the scope of this Directive.
Text proposed by the Commission

(4a) Agricultural and food products obtained from animal clones or their descendants, especially products from third countries in which such practices are permitted, cannot easily be recognised on the European market, owing to a lack of a mandatory traceability and labelling system.

Or. it

Text proposed by the Commission

(4b) EU citizens disapprove of cloning for agricultural and commercial purposes, for reasons relating to ethics, food safety and animal health protection, and therefore are entitled to be appropriately informed about the products they purchase and consume, especially with a view to trade agreements with countries in which cloning practices and the marketing of cloned products are permitted.

Or. it
Proposal for a directive
Recital 5

Text proposed by the Commission  Amendment

(5) It is expected that the knowledge on the impact of the cloning technique on the welfare of the animals used will increase. The cloning technique is likely to improve over time. Consequently prohibitions should only apply provisionally. This Directive should therefore be reviewed within a reasonable time taking into account the experience gained by the Member States in its implementation, scientific and technical progress and international developments.

Or. el

Amendment 26
Marine Le Pen

Proposal for a directive
Recital 5

Text proposed by the Commission  Amendment

(5) It is expected that the knowledge on the impact of the cloning technique on the welfare of the animals used will increase. The cloning technique is likely to improve over time. Consequently prohibitions should only apply provisionally. This Directive should therefore be reviewed within a reasonable time taking into account the experience gained by the Member States in its implementation, scientific and technical progress and international developments.

Or. fr
Amendment 27
Aldo Patriciello

Proposal for a directive
Recital 5

**Text proposed by the Commission**

(5) It is expected that the knowledge on the impact of the cloning technique on the welfare of the animals used will increase. The cloning technique is likely to improve over time. Consequently prohibition should only apply provisionally. This Directive should therefore be reviewed within a reasonable time taking into account the experience gained by the Member States in its implementation, scientific and technical progress and international developments.

**Amendment**

(5) It is expected that the knowledge on the impact of the cloning technique on the welfare of the animals used will increase. The cloning technique is likely to improve over time. Consequently prohibitions could be reviewed and/or updated in the event of evident improvements in the said cloning technique. This Directive should therefore be reviewed within a reasonable time taking into account the experience gained by the Member States in its implementation, scientific and technical progress and international developments.

Or. it

Amendment 28
Yannick Jadot
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a directive
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

**Text proposed by the Commission**

This **Directive** lays down rules on:

**Amendment**

This **Regulation** lays down rules on:

Or. en

**Justification**

Using a Regulation as the legal instrument enhances legal certainty and ensures consistency of enforcement, while respecting the subsidiarity and proportionality principles.
Amendment 29
Marine Le Pen

Proposal for a directive
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point b

*Text proposed by the Commission*
(b) the placing on the market of embryo clones and animal clones.

*Amendment*
(b) the placing on the market of embryo clones, *animal clones*, and the offspring of animal clones.

Or. fr

Amendment 30
Franz Obermayr

Proposal for a directive
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point b

*Text proposed by the Commission*
(b) the placing on the market of embryo clones *and* animal clones.

*Amendment*
(b) the placing on the market of embryo clones, animal clones *and all descendants of animal clones*.

Or. de

Amendment 31
Marine Le Pen

Proposal for a directive
Article 1 – paragraph 2

*Text proposed by the Commission*
It shall apply to animals of the bovine, porcine, ovine, caprine and equine species (‘the animals’) kept and reproduced for farming purposes.

*Amendment*
It shall apply to animals of the bovine, porcine, ovine, caprine and equine species (‘the animals’) kept and reproduced for farming purposes *and to all animal species in every case*.

Or. fr
Proposal for a directive
Article 1 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

It shall apply to animals of the bovine, porcine, ovine, caprine and equine species ('the animals') kept and reproduced for farming purposes.

Amendment

It shall apply to animals of the bovine, porcine, ovine, caprine, equine, **poultry, rabbit and fish** species ('the animals') kept and reproduced for farming purposes.

Or. it

Proposal for a directive
Article 1 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

It shall apply to animals of the bovine, porcine, ovine, caprine and equine species ('the animals') kept and reproduced for farming purposes.

Amendment

It shall apply to **all animal** species ('the animals') kept and reproduced for farming purposes.

Or. it

Proposal for a directive
Article 1 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

It shall apply to animals of the bovine, porcine, ovine, caprine and equine species ('the animals') kept and reproduced for farming purposes.

Amendment

It shall apply to animals of **all** species ('the animals') kept and reproduced for farming purposes.
Amendment 35
Tiziana Beghin

Proposal for a directive
Article 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission
(a) animals "kept and reproduced for farming purposes" means animals kept and reproduced for the production of food, wool, skin or fur or for other farming purposes. It shall not include animals kept and reproduced exclusively for other purposes such as research, the production of medicinal products and medical devices, the preservation of rare breeds or endangered species, sporting and cultural events;

Amendment
(a) animals "kept and reproduced for farming purposes" means animals kept and reproduced for the production of food, wool, skin or fur or for other farming purposes.

Amendment 36
Franz Obermayr

Proposal for a directive
Article 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission
(a) animals "kept and reproduced for farming purposes" means animals kept and reproduced for the production of food, wool, skin or fur or for other farming purposes. It shall not include animals kept and reproduced exclusively for other purposes such as research, the production of medicinal products and medical devices, the preservation of rare breeds or endangered species, sporting and cultural events;

Amendment
(a) animals "kept and reproduced for farming purposes" means animals kept and reproduced for the production of food, wool, skin or fur or for other farming purposes. It shall not include animals kept and reproduced exclusively for research purposes and for the preservation of rare breeds or endangered species;
Amendment 37
Georgios Epitideios

Proposal for a directive
Article 3 – title

*Text proposed by the Commission* Amendment

*Provisional* prohibition Prohibition

Amendment 38
Eleonora Forenza

Proposal for a directive
Article 3 – title

*Text proposed by the Commission* Amendment

*Provisional* prohibition Prohibition

Amendment 39
Georgios Epitideios

Proposal for a directive
Article 3 – introductory part

*Text proposed by the Commission* Amendment

Member States shall *provisionally* prohibit: Member States shall prohibit:

Amendment 40
Marine Le Pen
Proposal for a directive
Article 3 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Member States shall provisionally prohibit:

Amendment

Member States shall prohibit:

Or. fr

Amendment 41
Eleonora Forenza

Proposal for a directive
Article 3 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Member States shall provisionally prohibit:

Amendment

Member States shall prohibit:

Or. en

Amendment 42
Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a directive
Article 3 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) the placing on the market of animal clones and embryo clones.

Amendment

(b) the placing on the market of animal clones, their immediate descendants and embryo clones.

Or. en

Justification

The food from immediate descendant should be considered food from clones, the food from second and third-generation descendants from cloned animals should be considered as the same as any other food produced from animals.
Amendment 43
Tiziana Beghin, David Borrelli

Proposal for a directive
Article 3 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission
(b) the placing on the market of animal clones and embryo clones.

Amendment
(b) the placing on the market and import of animal clones and embryo clones, descendants of animal clones, germinal products of animal clones and of their descendants, and food from animal clones and their descendants.

Or. it

Amendment 44
Tiziana Beghin, David Borrelli

Proposal for a directive
Article 3 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission
(b) the placing on the market of animal clones and embryo clones.

Amendment
(b) the placing on the market and import of animal clones and embryo clones, descendants of animal clones, germinal products of animal clones and of their descendants.

Or. it

Amendment 45
Franz Obermayr

Proposal for a directive
Article 3 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission
(b) the placing on the market of animal clones and embryo clones.

Amendment
(b) the placing on the market of animal clones and embryo clones and all descendants of animal clones.
Amendment 46
Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a directive
Article 3 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

(ba) food derived from animal clones and their immediate descendants.

Justification

see AM 4.

Amendment 47
Marine Le Pen

Proposal for a directive
Article 3 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

(ba) the placing on the market of offspring of animal clones.

Amendment 48
Yannick Jadot
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a directive
Article 3 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Member States shall ensure that food of animal origin imported from third
countries where food derived from clones or from their descendants and reproductive material and food derived therefrom can be legally placed on the market or exported is only placed on the market of the Union in accordance with any specific import conditions adopted under Articles 48 and 49 of Regulation (EC) No 882/2004 of the European Parliament and of the Council\(^1a\), ensuring that no food derived from animal clones or from their descendants and reproductive material and food derived therefrom is imported into the Union from those third countries. Likewise, Member States shall ensure that neither animal clones or their embryos, nor descendants or reproductive material of animal clones are imported into the Union.


Justification

This amendment builds upon amendment 4 by the Rapporteur and aims at ensuring that descendants and/or reproductive material from clones can also not be imported into the Union. Without this provision, food from descendants of animal clones could still enter the EU market. The amendment is also consistent with amendment 2 by the Rapporteur.

Amendment 49
Eleonora Forenza

Proposal for a directive
Article 3 – paragraph 1 a (new)
Traceability

In order to provide competent authorities and economic operators with the information they need, traceability systems shall be established for:

(a) animal clones;
(b) descendants of animal clones;
(c) germinal products of animal clones and of their descendants.

Or. en

Amendment 50
Frédérique Ries

Proposal for a directive
Article 3 a (new)

Traceability

In order to provide competent authorities and economic operators with the information they need for the application of point (b) of Article 3, traceability systems shall be established for:

(a) semen, eggs and embryos derived from cloned animals;
(b) the live offspring of cloned animals.

Or. en

Justification

In its resolution of 14 January 2014 on the food crisis, fraud in the food chain and the control thereof, in paragraph 46, the European Parliament called on the Commission to develop a method of tracing and identifying meat from cloned animals. In the same way, the European Parliament’s Environment Committee adopted a resolution on 20 February 2014 where it
reiterated its position for traceability measures for semen and embryos from cloned animals and for the live offspring of cloned animals.

Amendment 51
Ivan Jakovčić

Proposal for a directive
Article 4

*Text proposed by the Commission*

Member States shall lay down the rules on penalties applicable to infringements of the national provisions adopted pursuant to this Directive and shall take all measures necessary to ensure that they are implemented. The penalties provided for must be effective, proportionate and dissuasive. Member States shall notify those provisions to the Commission by [date for transposition of the Directive] at the latest and shall notify it without delay of any subsequent amendment affecting them.’

*Amendment*

Member States shall lay down the rules on penalties applicable to infringements of the national provisions adopted pursuant to this Directive and shall take all measures necessary to ensure that they are implemented. The penalties provided for must be effective, proportionate and unequivocally and strongly dissuasive. Member States shall notify those provisions to the Commission by [date for transposition of the Directive] at the latest and shall notify it without delay of any subsequent amendment affecting them.’

Or. hr

Amendment 52
Tiziana Beghin, David Borrelli

Proposal for a directive
Article 4

*Text proposed by the Commission*

Member States shall lay down the rules on penalties applicable to infringements of the national provisions adopted pursuant to this Directive and shall take all measures necessary to ensure that they are implemented. The penalties provided for must be effective, proportionate and dissuasive. Member States shall notify those provisions to the Commission by [date for transposition of the Directive] at

*Amendment*

Member States shall lay down the rules on penalties applicable to infringements of the national provisions adopted pursuant to this Directive and shall take all measures necessary to ensure that they are implemented. The penalties provided for must be effective, proportionate, dissuasive and ensure a level playing field. Member States shall notify those provisions to the Commission by [date for transposition of
the latest and shall notify it without delay of any subsequent amendment affecting them.’

Or. it

Amendment 53
Marine Le Pen

Proposal for a directive
Article 5

_text proposed by the Commission  

Amendment

Article 5 deleted

Reporting and Review

1. By [date = 5 years after the date of transposition of this Directive], the Member States shall report to the Commission on the experience gained by them on the application of this Directive.

2. The Commission shall present a report to the European Parliament and the Council on the application of this Directive taking into account:

(a) the reports submitted by Member States in accordance with paragraph 1;

(b) scientific and technical progress, in particular relating to the animal welfare aspects of cloning;

(c) international developments.

Or. fr

Amendment 54
Yannick Jadot
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a directive
Article 6
Text proposed by the Commission

Article 6

Transposition

1. Member States shall bring into force the laws, regulations and administrative provisions necessary to comply with this Directive by [date = 12 month after the date of transposition of this Directive]. They shall forthwith communicate to the Commission the text of those provisions.

When Member States adopt those provisions, they shall contain a reference to this Directive or be accompanied by such a reference on the occasion of their official publication. Member States shall determine how such reference is to be made.

2. Member States shall communicate to the Commission the text of the main provisions of national law which they adopt in the field covered by this Directive.

Or. en

Justification

Using a Regulation as the legal instrument enhances legal certainty and ensures consistency of enforcement, while respecting the subsidiarity and proportionality principles.

Amendment 55
Yannick Jadot
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a directive
Article 8

Text proposed by the Commission

Article 8

Amendment

Addressees
This Directive is addressed to the Member States.

**Justification**

Using a Regulation as the legal instrument enhances legal certainty and ensures consistency of enforcement, while respecting the subsidiarity and proportionality principles.

**Amendment 56**
**Yannick Jadot**
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Proposal for a directive**
**Article 8 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

**Amendment**

**Article 8a**

*This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.*

**Justification**

Using a Regulation as the legal instrument enhances legal certainty and ensures consistency of enforcement, while respecting the subsidiarity and proportionality principles.