



PE634.740v01-00

7.2.2019

AMENDMENTS

1 - 110

Draft motion for a resolution

Bernd Lange

(PE634.610v01-00)

to wind up the debate on the statement by the Commission on the recommendations for opening of trade negotiations between the EU and the US

Amendment 1

Marietje Schaake, Nadja Hirsch, Dita Charanzová, Elsi Katainen

Draft motion for a resolution

Citation 8 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the Commission report on the implementation of the July 25th 2018 statement, published on January 30th 2019,*

Or. en

Amendment 2

Christofer Fjellner

Draft motion for a resolution

Citation 8 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the communication of the Commission of the 14th of October 2015 COM(2015) 497 - “Trade for all”,*

Or. en

Amendment 3

Helmut Scholz, Stelios Kouloglou

Draft motion for a resolution

Citation 8 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to its resolution of 24 October 2017 on the draft Commission implementing decision authorising the placing on the market of products containing, consisting of, or produced from genetically modified soybean 305423 × 40-3-2 (DP-305423-1 × MON-04032-*

6) pursuant to Regulation (EC) No 1829/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council on genetically modified food and feed (D052752 – 2017/2906(RSP))¹

Texts adopted: P8_TA(2017)0397.

Or. en

Amendment 4
Christofer Fjellner

Draft motion for a resolution
Citation 8 b (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

– *having regard to the Council decision of the 17th of June 2013 on directives for the negotiation on the Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership between the European Union and the United States of America,*

Or. en

Amendment 5
Tiziana Beghin

Draft motion for a resolution
Recital A

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

A. whereas *the* partnership between the EU and the US is *essential for the* global economy;

A. whereas *a strong* partnership between the EU and the US is *crucial to building a democratic, secure, and prosperous* global economy;

Or. en

Amendment 6

Marietje Schaake, Nadja Hirsch, Dita Charanzová, Elsi Katainen

Draft motion for a resolution

Recital A

Draft motion for a resolution

A. whereas the partnership between the EU and the US is essential for the global economy;

Amendment

A. whereas the ***economic, military and political*** partnership between the EU and the US is ***of strategic importance and*** essential for the global economy;

Or. en

Amendment 7

Emmanuel Maurel

Draft motion for a resolution

Recital A a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Aa. whereas public opinions on both sides have unambiguously rejected previous US-EU negotiations for a Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership (TTIP), part of which are yet included in the proposal mandate;

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 8

Marietje Schaake, Nadja Hirsch, Dita Charanzová, Elsi Katainen

Draft motion for a resolution

Recital B

Draft motion for a resolution

B. whereas the EU-US trade relationship, which constitutes the largest economic relationship in the world, ***faces extraordinary challenges under the presidency of Donald Trump;***

Amendment

B. whereas ***actions and policies initiated by the current US administration challenge not only*** the EU-US trade relationship, which constitutes the largest economic relationship on the world ***but***

also undermines the rules and values-based multilateral global trade system economic, military and political partnership between the EU and the US is of strategic importance and essential for the global economy;

Or. en

Amendment 9

Emma McClarkin, Jan Zahradil

Draft motion for a resolution

Recital B

Draft motion for a resolution

B. whereas the EU-US trade relationship, which constitutes the largest economic relationship in *the* world, faces extraordinary challenges under the presidency of Donald Trump;

Amendment

B. whereas the EU-US trade relationship constitutes the largest economic relationship in *a* world *that is evolving with unprecedented speed and intensity, and that given the shared challenges, the EU and the US have a common interest in collaborating and coordinating on trade policy matters to shape the future multilateral trading system and global standards;*

Or. en

Amendment 10

Godelieve Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Jarosław Wałęsa, Danuta Maria Hübner, Daniel Caspary, Ramona Nicole Mănescu, Iuliu Winkler, Laima Liucija Andrikiienė, Christophe Hansen, Sorin Moisă, Wim van de Camp, José Ignacio Salafranca Sánchez-Neyra, Paul Rübig, Salvatore Cicu, Fernando Ruas

Draft motion for a resolution

Recital B

Draft motion for a resolution

B. whereas the EU-US trade relationship, which constitutes the largest economic relationship in the world, faces extraordinary challenges *under the*

Amendment

B. whereas the EU-US trade relationship, which constitutes the largest economic relationship in the world, *currently* faces extraordinary challenges

presidency of Donald Trump;

whilst a new momentum to our partnership is needed to lower the current tensions and ensure peace and more stability and predictability in our trade relations;

Or. en

Amendment 11
Helmut Scholz, Stelios Kouloglou

Draft motion for a resolution
Recital B

Draft motion for a resolution

B. whereas the EU-US trade relationship, which constitutes the largest economic relationship in the world, faces extraordinary challenges under the presidency of Donald Trump;

Amendment

B. whereas the EU-US trade relationship, which constitutes the largest economic relationship in the world, faces extraordinary challenges ***caused by a disregard for basic principles of international relations, including mutual respect and the rule of law***, under the presidency of Donald Trump;

Or. en

Amendment 12
Christofer Fjellner

Draft motion for a resolution
Recital B

Draft motion for a resolution

B. whereas the EU-US trade relationship, which constitutes the largest economic relationship in the world for the European economy, faces ***extraordinary*** challenges under the presidency of Donald Trump;

Amendment

B. whereas the EU-US trade relationship, which constitutes the largest economic relationship in the world ***and therefore is the most significant*** for the European economy, faces challenges under the presidency of Donald Trump;

Or. en

Amendment 13

France Jamet

Draft motion for a resolution

Recital C

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

C. *whereas the EU remains fully committed to multilateralism and a rules-based international order, enshrined in the WTO, as it benefits both the US and the EU;*

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 14

Marietje Schaake, Nadja Hirsch, Dita Charanzová, Elsi Katainen

Draft motion for a resolution

Recital C

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

C. whereas the EU remains fully committed to multilateralism and a rules-based international order, enshrined in the WTO, *as it benefits* both the US and the EU;

C. whereas the EU remains fully committed to multilateralism and a rules-based international order, enshrined in the WTO, *which is beneficial to people, societies and businesses* both *in* the US and the EU;

Or. en

Amendment 15

Emmanuel Maurel

Draft motion for a resolution

Recital C

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

C. whereas the EU remains fully committed to multilateralism and a rules-based international order, *enshrined in the WTO, as it benefits both the US and the*

C. whereas the EU remains fully committed to multilateralism and a rules-based international order;

EU;

Or. en

Amendment 16
Christofer Fjellner

Draft motion for a resolution
Recital D

Draft motion for a resolution

D. whereas *during the 90-day statutory period for consultations, the US side was unable to conduct any scoping discussions with the EU;*

Amendment

D. whereas *a full scoping exercise was conducted before the start of TTIP negotiations and whereas the Joint statement is clear on the scope of these negotiations;*

Or. en

Amendment 17
Godelieve Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Jarosław Wałęsa, Danuta Maria Hübner, Daniel Caspary, Ramona Nicole Mănescu, Iuliu Winkler, Laima Liucija Andrikienė, Christophe Hansen, Sorin Moisă, Wim van de Camp, José Ignacio Salafranca Sánchez-Neyra, Paul Rübig, Salvatore Cicu, Fernando Ruas

Draft motion for a resolution
Recital D

Draft motion for a resolution

D. whereas during the 90-day statutory period for consultations, *the US side was unable to conduct any* scoping discussions with the EU;

Amendment

D. whereas during the 90-day statutory period for consultations, *no* scoping discussions with the EU *were conducted;*

Or. en

Amendment 18
Helmut Scholz, Stelios Kouloglou, Anne-Marie Mineur

Draft motion for a resolution
Recital E

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

E. whereas the formal impact assessment ***process that usually accompanies the start of negotiations of any trade agreement has been*** waived;

E. whereas ***in the Trade for All strategy the Commission committed that in line with the principles of the 'Better regulation' agenda, every significant initiative in the field of trade policy will be the subject of an impact assessment, whereas the Commission intends to waive the*** formal impact assessment ***for the proposed trade agreement with the United States;***

Or. en

Amendment 19

Marietje Schaake, Nadja Hirsch, Dita Charanzová, Elsi Katainen

Draft motion for a resolution

Recital E

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

E. whereas the formal impact assessment process that usually accompanies the start of negotiations of ***any trade agreement has been waived;***

E. whereas ***due to the limited scope of the potential agreement,*** the formal impact assessment process that usually accompanies the start of trade ***negotiations*** has been waived; ***whereas the impact assessment carried out during the negotiations for the Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership (TTIP) should be consulted and built upon;***

Or. en

Amendment 20

Christofer Fjellner

Draft motion for a resolution

Recital E

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

E. whereas ***the*** formal impact

E. whereas ***a*** formal impact

assessment process that usually accompanies the start of negotiations of any trade agreement has *been waived*;

assessment process that usually accompanies the start of negotiations of any trade agreement *has been conducted for the negotiations on TTIP and can serve as an assessment for these negotiations insofar as it regards industrial goods trade*;

Or. en

Amendment 21
Tiziana Beghin

Draft motion for a resolution
Recital E

Draft motion for a resolution

E. whereas the formal impact assessment *process* that usually *accompanies* the start of negotiations of any trade agreement *has* been waived;

Amendment

E. whereas the formal impact assessment *and the Sustainability Impact assessment processes* that usually *accompany* the start of negotiations of any trade agreement *have* been waived;

Or. en

Amendment 22
Emma McClarkin, Jan Zahradil

Draft motion for a resolution
Recital E

Draft motion for a resolution

E. whereas the formal impact assessment process that usually accompanies the start of negotiations of any trade agreement has been waived;

Amendment

E. whereas the formal impact assessment process that usually accompanies the start of negotiations of any trade agreement has been waived *but conformity assessment and removal of tariffs on industrial goods are covered in the impact assessment report on the EU-USA (TTIP)*;

Or. en

Amendment 23

Godelieve Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Jarosław Wałęsa, Danuta Maria Hübner, Daniel Caspary, Ramona Nicole Mănescu, Iuliu Winkler, Laima Liucija Andrikienė, Christophe Hansen, Sorin Moisă, Wim van de Camp, José Ignacio Salafranca Sánchez-Neyra, Paul Rübig, Salvatore Cicu, Fernando Ruas

Draft motion for a resolution

Recital E

Draft motion for a resolution

E. whereas during the 90-day statutory period for consultations, ***the US side was unable to conduct any*** scoping discussions with the EU;

Amendment

E. whereas during the 90-day statutory period for consultations, ***no*** scoping discussions with the EU ***were conducted***;

Or. en

Amendment 24

Helmut Scholz, Stelios Kouloglou, Anne-Marie Mineur

Draft motion for a resolution

Recital E a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Ea. whereas according to the Commission the proposed trade agreement would cover most of our trade in goods with the US (94 % of EU exports and 95 % of imports), and hence clearly qualifies as a significant initiative in the field of trade policy;

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 25

Helmut Scholz, Stelios Kouloglou, Anne-Marie Mineur

Draft motion for a resolution

Recital E b (new)

Eb. *whereas the mandate proposed by the Commission does not include negotiating a chapter on Trade and Sustainability;*

Or. en

Amendment 26

Marietje Schaake, Nadja Hirsch, Dita Charanzová, Elsi Katainen

Draft motion for a resolution

Recital G

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

G. whereas the US tariffs on imports of steel and aluminium, ***based on*** Section 232 ***and affecting i.a. the EU, are still in place*** and whereas the Trump Administration initiated a similar investigation into the imports of motor vehicles and automotive parts which could lead to increased tariffs on imports of these goods from the EU;

G. whereas the US ***imposed*** tariffs on imports of steel and aluminium ***from amongst others the EU on the basis of*** Section 232 ***of the Trade Expansion Act on May 31st 2018*** and whereas the Trump Administration initiated a similar investigation into the imports of motor vehicles and automotive parts which could lead to increased tariffs on imports of these goods from the EU; ***whereas the EU responded to the steel and aluminium tariffs by taking rebalancing measures targeting a number of US products with additional duties reflecting the damage caused by the US trade restrictions on EU products as well as bringing a case before the WTO;***

Or. en

Amendment 27

Godelieve Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Jaroslaw Wałęsa, Danuta Maria Hübner, Daniel Caspary, Ramona Nicole Mănescu, Iuliu Winkler, Laima Liucija Andrikienė, Christophe Hansen, Sorin Moisă, Wim van de Camp, José Ignacio Salafranca Sánchez-Neyra, Paul Rübiger, Salvatore Cicu, Fernando Ruas

Draft motion for a resolution

Recital G

Draft motion for a resolution

G. whereas the US tariffs on imports of steel and aluminium, based on Section 232 and affecting i.a. the EU, are still in place and whereas the Trump Administration initiated a similar investigation into the imports of motor vehicles and automotive parts which could lead to increased tariffs on imports of these goods from the EU;

Amendment

G. whereas the US tariffs on imports of steel and aluminium, based on Section 232 and affecting i.a. the EU, are still in place and whereas the Trump Administration initiated a similar investigation into the imports of motor vehicles and automotive parts which could lead to increased tariffs on imports of these goods from the EU; ***whereas the Commission has responded to the steel and aluminium tariffs with WTO compliant re-balancing measures;***

Or. en

Amendment 28

Helmut Scholz, Stelios Kouloglou

Draft motion for a resolution

Recital G

Draft motion for a resolution

G. whereas the US tariffs on imports of steel and aluminium, based on Section 232 and affecting i.a. the EU, are still in place and whereas the Trump Administration initiated a similar investigation into the imports of motor vehicles and automotive parts which could lead to increased tariffs on imports of these goods from the EU;

Amendment

G. whereas the US tariffs on imports of steel and aluminium, based on Section 232 and affecting i.a. the EU, are still in place and whereas the Trump Administration initiated a similar investigation into the imports of motor vehicles and automotive parts which could lead to increased tariffs on imports of these goods from the EU; ***whereas the expected US Department of Commerce report with the recommendation on whether or not the country shall adopt import restrictions on autos for national security reasons is due for release mid-February;***

Or. en

Amendment 29

Marietje Schaake, Nadja Hirsch, Dita Charanzová, Elsi Katainen

Draft motion for a resolution

Recital G a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ga. whereas these US unilateral measures are illegal, create market uncertainty, undermine the competitiveness of both US and EU companies, undermine global trade rules and risk escalating trade tensions; whereas the EU and the US should be working together to reform and further strengthen the WTO system against unfair trading practices; whereas initiatives undertaken by President Juncker and Commissioner Malmstrom are welcome in this regard;

Or. en

Amendment 30

France Jamet, Georg Mayer

Draft motion for a resolution

Recital G a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ga. Whereas the definition of “industrial goods” which figures in the European Commission's mandate remains very vague and needs further clarifications;

Or. en

Amendment 31

Marietje Schaake, Nadja Hirsch, Dita Charanzová, Elsi Katainen

Draft motion for a resolution

Recital H

Draft motion for a resolution

H. whereas EU and the US draft negotiating objectives differ in their scope and as regards the sectors covered ***and it is not clear to which extent the negotiations will cover the automobile sector;***

Amendment

H. whereas EU and the US draft negotiating objectives differ in their scope and as regards the sectors covered; ***whereas the EU has signalled its intent to also cover the automobile sector;***

Or. en

Amendment 32

Godelieve Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Jarosław Wałęsa, Danuta Maria Hübner, Daniel Caspary, Ramona Nicole Mănescu, Iuliu Winkler, Laima Liucija Andrikienė, Christophe Hansen, Sorin Moisă, Wim van de Camp, José Ignacio Salafranca Sánchez-Neyra, Paul Rübig, Salvatore Cicu, Fernando Ruas

Draft motion for a resolution

Recital H

Draft motion for a resolution

H. whereas EU and the US draft negotiating objectives differ in their scope and as regards the sectors covered ***and it is not clear to which extent the negotiations will cover the automobile sector;***

Amendment

H. whereas EU and the US draft negotiating objectives differ in their scope and as regards the sectors covered;

Or. en

Amendment 33

Helmut Scholz, Stelios Kouloglou

Draft motion for a resolution

Recital H

Draft motion for a resolution

H. whereas EU and the US draft negotiating objectives differ in their scope and as regards the sectors covered and it is not clear to which extent the negotiations will cover the automobile sector;

Amendment

H. whereas EU and the US draft negotiating objectives differ in their scope and as regards the sectors covered and it is not clear to which extent the negotiations will cover the automobile sector; ***whereas the Commission proclaimed in its***

recommendation for a Council decision, that the EU is ready to take into account potential US sensitivities for certain automotive products; whereas the substantive scope of EU - US engagement as defined in the Joint Statement foresees cooperation across five tracks, which are not covered by the draft mandate;

Or. en

Amendment 34

Godelieve Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Jarosław Wałęsa, Danuta Maria Hübner, Daniel Caspary, Ramona Nicole Mănescu, Iuliu Winkler, Laima Liucija Andrikienė, Christophe Hansen, Sorin Moisă, Wim van de Camp, José Ignacio Salafranca Sánchez-Neyra, Paul Rübig, Salvatore Cicu, Fernando Ruas

**Draft motion for a resolution
Recital H a (new)**

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ha. whereas the TTIP negotiations have clearly shown, that at this stage the political and economic conditions for a comprehensive trade agreement between the EU and the US are not met, because of the complexity and different trade approaches and rules of the two parties; but previous experiences have indicated that limited agreements could be positively concluded;

Or. en

**Amendment 35
Christofer Fjellner**

**Draft motion for a resolution
Recital I**

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

I. whereas the exclusion of the automobile sector from the negotiations is

deleted

only in the economic and political interest of the US;

Or. en

Amendment 36

Emma McClarkin, Jan Zahradil

Draft motion for a resolution

Recital I

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

I. whereas the exclusion of the automobile sector from the negotiations is only in the economic and political interest of the US;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 37

Godelieve Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Jarosław Wałęsa, Danuta Maria Hübner, Daniel Caspary, Ramona Nicole Mănescu, Iuliu Winkler, Laima Liucija Andrikienė, Christophe Hansen, Sorin Moisă, Wim van de Camp, José Ignacio Salafranca Sánchez-Neyra, Paul Rübig, Salvatore Cicu, Fernando Ruas

Draft motion for a resolution

Recital I

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

I. whereas the exclusion of the automobile sector from the negotiations is only in the economic and political interest of the US;

I. whereas those negotiations would be in the economic and political interest of both the EU and the US, but in particular of the EU given the existing higher tariff peaks and substantial bureaucratic hurdles in conformity assessments on the US side;

Or. en

Amendment 38

Marietje Schaake, Nadja Hirsch, Dita Charanzová, Elsi Katainen

Draft motion for a resolution

Recital I

Draft motion for a resolution

I. whereas the exclusion of the automobile sector from the negotiations is only in the ***economic and*** political interest of the US;

Amendment

I. whereas the exclusion of the automobile sector from the negotiations is only in the political interest of the US;

Or. en

Amendment 39

Helmut Scholz, Stelios Kouloglou, Anne-Marie Mineur

Draft motion for a resolution

Recital I a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ia. whereas the Commission has officially ruled out to include agriculture products into the negotiations with the U.S.; whereas at the same time, following the commitment of President Juncker to increase trade in soybeans in the joint statement with President Trump on July 25th, 2018; the market share of U.S. soybeans in the Union's market has increased from 9 % to 77 %;

Or. en

Amendment 40

Marietje Schaake, Nadja Hirsch, Dita Charanzová, Elsi Katainen

Draft motion for a resolution

Recital J

Draft motion for a resolution

J. whereas the EU regrets the re-imposition of US sanctions due to its withdrawal from the Joint Comprehensive

Amendment

J. whereas the EU regrets the re-imposition of US sanctions due to its withdrawal from the Joint Comprehensive

Plan of Action (JCPOA);

Plan of Action (JCPOA) *as well as threats coming from the White House in response to EU efforts to uphold its commitments under the JCPOA;*

Or. en

Amendment 41
Emmanuel Maurel

Draft motion for a resolution
Recital J

Draft motion for a resolution

J. whereas the EU *regrets* re-imposition of US sanctions due to its withdrawal from the Joint Comprehensive Plan of Action (JCPOA);

Amendment

J. whereas the EU *condemns* re-imposition of US sanctions due to its withdrawal from the Joint Comprehensive Plan of Action (JCPOA); *calls the Council and the Commission to implement comprehensive payments mechanisms in order to keep trading safely with Iran;*

Or. en

Amendment 42
Tiziana Beghin

Draft motion for a resolution
Recital J a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

J. whereas the EU *regrets* re-imposition of US sanctions due to its withdrawal from the Joint Comprehensive Plan of Action (JCPOA);

Amendment

Ja. whereas Eurostat reports a 170 bn EUR current account surplus vis-à-vis the US, while the BEA notes a surplus for the US which accounts for 14 bn USD;

Or. en

Amendment 43
Tiziana Beghin

Draft motion for a resolution
Recital J b (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

Jb. *whereas in the Joint statement signed by President Juncker and President Trump from 25th July 2018 it clearly said that not tariff would be imposed;*

Or. en

Amendment 44
Christofer Fjellner

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

1. ***Takes note of*** the Joint US-EU Statement of 25 July 2018 to relaunch EU-US trade talks; ***Regrets, however, that the European Parliament was not informed, prior to the visit, about the content and objectives of the Statement;***

1. ***Welcomes*** the Joint US-EU Statement of 25 July 2018 to relaunch EU-US trade talks;

Or. en

Amendment 45
Godelieve Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Jarosław Wałęsa, Danuta Maria Hübner, Daniel Caspary, Ramona Nicole Mănescu, Iuliu Winkler, Laima Liucija Andrikiienė, Christophe Hansen, Sorin Moisă, Wim van de Camp, José Ignacio Salafranca Sánchez-Neyra, Paul Rübzig, Salvatore Cicu, Fernando Ruas

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

1. ***Takes note of*** the Joint US-EU Statement of 25 July 2018 to relaunch EU-US trade talks; ***Regrets, however, that the European Parliament was not informed,***

1. ***Welcomes*** the Joint US-EU Statement of 25 July 2018 to relaunch EU-US trade talks, ***which was adopted under exceptional circumstances in the objective***

prior to the visit, about the content and objectives of the Statement;

to de-escalate trade tensions with the US;

Or. en

Amendment 46

Marietje Schaake, Nadja Hirsch, Dita Charanzová, Elsi Katainen

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Draft motion for a resolution

1. Takes note of the Joint US-EU Statement of 25 July 2018 *to relaunch EU-US trade talks*; Regrets, however, that the European Parliament was not informed, prior to the visit, about the content and objectives of the Statement;

Amendment

1. Takes note of the Joint US-EU Statement of 25 July 2018; *reiterates that the goal of this statement as well as any follow up measures is to avoid an escalation of trade tensions*; Regrets, however, that the European Parliament was not informed, prior to the visit, about the content and objectives of the Statement;

Or. en

Amendment 47

Yannick Jadot, Reinhard Bütikofer

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Draft motion for a resolution

1. Takes note of the Joint US-EU Statement of 25 July 2018 to relaunch EU-US trade talks; *Regrets, however*, that the European Parliament was not informed, prior to the visit, about the content and objectives of the Statement;

Amendment

1. Takes note of the Joint US-EU Statement of 25 July 2018 to relaunch EU-US trade talks; *underlines with deep regret* that the European Parliament was not informed, prior to the visit, about the content and objectives of the Statement;

Or. en

Amendment 48

France Jamet, Georg Mayer

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Draft motion for a resolution

1. Takes note of the Joint US-EU Statement of 25 July 2018 to relaunch EU-US trade talks; Regrets, however, that the European Parliament was not informed, prior to the visit, about the content and objectives of the Statement;

Amendment

1. Takes note of the Joint US-EU Statement of 25 July 2018 to relaunch EU-US trade talks; Regrets, however, that the European Parliament was not informed, prior to the visit, about the content and objectives of the Statement; ***recalls that the President of the European Commission Jean-Claude Juncker went to Washington to engage in trade talks on his own initiative without having been given a prior mandate by the Council of the European Union nor the European Parliament;***

Or. en

Amendment 49
Karoline Graswander-Hainz

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

1a. Calls on the Commission in case of negotiations between the EU and the US to inform the Parliament (both before and after negotiating rounds) and to associate the Parliament fully at all stages of the negotiations; reiterates the Parliament's fundamental responsibility to represent the citizens of the EU during the negotiations;

Or. en

Amendment 50
Karoline Graswander-Hainz

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 b (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

1b. *Calls on the Commission to pursue its responsibility in conducting trade negotiations in the most transparent manner; therefore calls on the Council to publish the negotiation directives in case the Council approves it;*

Or. en

Amendment 51

Marietje Schaake, Nadja Hirsch, Dita Charanzová, Elsi Katainen

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

2. *Supports the line of the European Commission not to negotiate with the US under threat; Is, therefore, surprised that the Commission recommends to open trade negotiations with the US, while tariffs on steel and aluminium are still in pace and the US started a similar investigation on motor vehicles and automotive parts;*

2. *Reiterates that the EU should not negotiate with the US under threat; insists therefore that any agreement could only enter into force once the US has lifted its current tariffs on steel and aluminium; also insists on the inclusion of a suspension clause in the negotiating mandate to be triggered at any time should the US impose additional tariffs or other trade restrictive measures on EU products, either on the basis of Section 232 of the 1962 Trade Expansion Act or Section 301 of the 1974 Trade Act during negotiations or before their start;*

Or. en

Amendment 52

Godelieve Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Jarosław Wałęsa, Danuta Maria Hübner, Daniel Caspary, Ramona Nicole Mănescu, Iuliu Winkler, Laima Liucija Andrikienė, Christophe Hansen, Sorin Moisă, Wim van de Camp, José Ignacio Salafranca Sánchez-Neyra, Paul Rübig, Salvatore Cicu, Fernando Ruas

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Draft motion for a resolution

2. Supports the line of the European Commission not to negotiate with the US under threat; ***Is, therefore, surprised that the Commission recommends to open trade negotiations with the US, while tariffs on steel and aluminium are still in place and the US started a similar investigation on motor vehicles and automotive parts;***

Amendment

2. Supports the line of the European Commission not to negotiate with the US under threat; ***Notes that until today there is no commitment from the US to lift the tariffs on steel and aluminium and the investigation into US imports of motor vehicles and automotive parts is still ongoing; therefore strongly reiterates that the Commission shall suspend the negotiations if the US does not honour its commitment made on 25 July 2018 to abstain from new measures under Section 232 of the Trade Expansion Act 1962, Section 301 of the 1974 Trade Act or any other similar US law; also welcomes that prior to the conclusion of negotiations, the Commission will confirm that the US has removed any measures on exports of steel and aluminium originating in the European Union pursuant to Section 232 of the US Trade Expansion Act of 1962;***

Or. en

Amendment 53
Emma McClarkin, Jan Zahradil

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Draft motion for a resolution

2. Supports the line of the European Commission not to negotiate with the US under threat; ***Is, therefore, surprised that the Commission recommends to open trade negotiations with the US, while tariffs on steel and aluminium are still in pace and the US started a similar investigation on motor vehicles and automotive parts;***

Amendment

2. Supports the line of the European Commission not to negotiate with the US under threat; ***notes, however, that the recommendations are essential to uphold and fully implement the commitments agreed between the EU and the US in the 25 July 2018 Statement;***

Amendment 54

Helmut Scholz, Stelios Kouloglou, Anne-Marie Mineur

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Draft motion for a resolution

2. Supports the line ***of the European Commission not to negotiate with the US under threat***; Is, therefore, surprised that the Commission recommends to open trade negotiations with the US, while tariffs on steel and aluminium are still in ***pace*** and ***the US started*** a similar investigation on motor vehicles and automotive parts;

Amendment

2. Supports the line of ***Commissioner Malmstrom, that “We won’t allow ourselves to be blackmailed and we don’t negotiate when someone puts a gun to our heads.”***; Is, therefore, surprised that the Commission recommends to open trade negotiations with the US, while tariffs on steel and aluminium are still in ***place***, and ***on the eve of the publication of US Department of Commerce recommendation following*** a similar investigation on motor vehicles and automotive parts;

Or. en

Amendment 55

Christofer Fjellner

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Draft motion for a resolution

2. Supports the line of the European Commission not to negotiate with the US under threat; ***Is, therefore, surprised that the Commission recommends to open trade negotiations with the US*** while tariffs on steel and aluminium are still in ***pace and*** while the US conducts a similar investigation ***on motor vehicles and automotive parts***;

Amendment

2. Supports the line of the European Commission not to negotiate with the US under threat; ***therefore, takes the view that no agreement should be entered*** while tariffs on steel and aluminium are still in ***place or*** while the US conducts a similar investigation ***on any other products***;

Or. en

Amendment 56
Tiziana Beghin

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Draft motion for a resolution

2. Supports the line of the European Commission not to negotiate with the US under threat; Is, therefore, surprised that the Commission recommends to open trade negotiations with the US, while tariffs on steel and aluminium are still in *pace* and the US started a similar investigation on motor vehicles and automotive parts;

Amendment

2. Supports the line of the European Commission not to negotiate with the US under threat; Is, therefore, surprised that the Commission recommends to open trade negotiations with the US, while tariffs on steel and aluminium *and on spanish olives* are still in *place*; *Reminds that* US started a similar investigation on motor vehicles and automotive parts *despite the Joint EU-US Statement of July declares that no tariff would be imposed*;

Or. en

Amendment 57
Yannick Jadot, Reinhard Bütikofer

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Draft motion for a resolution

2. Supports the line of the European Commission not to negotiate with the US under threat; Is, therefore, surprised that the Commission recommends to open *trade* negotiations with the US, while tariffs on steel and aluminium are still in *pace* and the US started a similar investigation on motor vehicles and automotive parts;

Amendment

2. Supports the line of the European Commission not to negotiate with the US under threat; Is, therefore, surprised that the Commission recommends to open negotiations *for a WTO compatible Free Trade Agreement* with the US, while tariffs on steel and aluminium are still in *place* and the US started a similar investigation on motor vehicles and automotive parts;

Or. en

Amendment 58

Godielieve Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Jarosław Wałęsa, Danuta Maria Hübner, Daniel Caspary, Ramona Nicole Mănescu, Iuliu Winkler, Laima Liucija Andrikiene, Christophe Hansen, Sorin Moisă, Wim van de Camp, José Ignacio Salafranca Sánchez-Neyra, Paul Rübzig, Salvatore Cicu, Fernando Ruas

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

3. *Notes that until today there is no commitment from the US to lift the tariffs on steel and aluminium and the investigation into US imports of motor vehicles and automotive parts is still ongoing;*

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 59

Emma McClarkin, Jan Zahradil

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

3. Notes that *until today there is no commitment from the US to lift the tariffs on steel and aluminium* and the investigation into US imports of motor vehicles and automotive parts is still ongoing;

3. *Welcomes the condition within the Commission's recommendation that an agreement cannot be successfully concluded without the removal of tariffs on EU steel and aluminium; Notes that the investigation into US imports of motor vehicles and automotive parts is still ongoing and that the Commission shall suspend negotiations if the US adopts new measures against the EU under Section 232;*

Or. en

Amendment 60

Tiziana Beghin

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Draft motion for a resolution

3. *Notes* that until today there is no commitment from the US to lift the tariffs on steel *and* aluminium and the investigation into US imports of motor vehicles and automotive parts is still ongoing;

Amendment

3. *Stresses* that until today there is no commitment from the US to lift the tariffs on steel, aluminium, *and Spanish olives* and *to suspend* the investigation into US imports of motor vehicles and automotive *which should be a precondition for entering into negotiations*;

Or. en

Amendment 61
Yannick Jadot, Reinhard Bütikofer

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Draft motion for a resolution

3. Notes that until today there is no commitment from the US to lift the tariffs on steel and aluminium and the investigation into US imports of motor vehicles and automotive parts is still ongoing;

Amendment

3. Notes that until today there is no commitment from the US to lift the tariffs on steel and aluminium and the investigation into US imports of motor vehicles and automotive parts is still ongoing; *regards both these facts as US failure to seriously implement the Joint US-EU Statement of 25 July 2018*;

Or. en

Amendment 62
Christofer Fjellner

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Draft motion for a resolution

3. *Notes* that until today there is no commitment from the US to lift the tariffs on steel and aluminium and the

Amendment

3. *Regrets* that until today there is no commitment from the US to lift the tariffs on steel and aluminium and the

investigation into US imports of motor vehicles and automotive parts is still ongoing;

investigation into US imports of motor vehicles and automotive parts is still ongoing;

Or. en

Amendment 63

Marietje Schaake, Nadja Hirsch, Dita Charanzová, Elsi Katainen

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Draft motion for a resolution

3. *Notes* that until today there is no commitment from the US to lift the tariffs on steel and aluminium and the investigation into US imports of motor vehicles and automotive parts is still ongoing;

Amendment

3. *Regrets* that until today there is no commitment from the US to lift the tariffs on steel and aluminium and the investigation into US imports of motor vehicles and automotive parts is still ongoing;

Or. en

Amendment 64

Christofer Fjellner

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

3a. Takes the view that, in the long run, only a comprehensive and ambitious agreement on trade and investment with the United States is in the interest of the European economy; recognises that the conditions for such negotiations to succeed are not the right at the moment; nevertheless believes that should negotiations on an industrial goods-only agreement succeed it would be in the interest of the European economy and for EU citizens;

Or. en

Amendment 65
Karoline Graswander-Hainz

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

3a. Calls on the Commission to ensure that any cooperation on regulatory matters remains voluntary, respects the autonomy of regulatory authorities, must be purely based on enhanced information exchange and administrative cooperation with a view to identifying unnecessary barriers and administrative burdens; recalls that regulatory cooperation must aim to benefit governance of the global economy by intensified convergence and cooperation on international standards;

Or. en

Amendment 66
Marietje Schaake, Nadja Hirsch, Dita Charanzová, Elsi Katainen

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

3a. Takes note that the Commission has signalled its readiness to adopt rebalancing measures immediately in case the US imposes tariffs or quotas on cars exported from the EU; reiterates its support for such measures;

Or. en

Amendment 67
Yannick Jadot, Reinhard Bütikofer

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

3a. *Considers that under the present conditions a EU offer to start negotiations on conformity assessments gives a wrong political sign of acquiescence with unfounded US claims that non-tariff barriers are one of the main reason for the US trade deficit with the EU, while falsely suggesting that product standards are widely similar;*

Or. en

Amendment 68
Tiziana Beghin

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

3a. *Fears that under the threats of possible US tariff on import of motor vehicles and automotive parts the EU could open our agriculture sector as strongly requested by the US objectives;*

Or. en

Amendment 69
Marietje Schaake, Nadja Hirsch, Dita Charanzová, Elsi Katainen

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

4. Takes the view that a limited agreement with the US on the elimination of tariffs for industrial goods *is not* compatible with the Commission's

4. *Reiterates that neither the EU and US negotiating directives envision a renewed negotiating of the unsuccessful Transatlantic Trade and Investment*

comprehensive Trade for All strategy and **risks being incompatible** with GATT Article XXIV if the automobile sector is excluded;

Partnership (TTIP) negotiations; Takes the view that a limited agreement with the US on the elimination of tariffs for industrial goods **and a conformity assessment agreement provide an opportunity to engage with the US on trade, to work towards mutually beneficial trade relations and to as such ease current trade tensions; emphasizes that such a limited agreement has to be fully** compatible with the Commission's comprehensive Trade for All strategy and **World Trade Organisation rules and obligations, including** GATT Article XXIV if the automobile sector is excluded;

Or. en

Amendment 70

Godelieve Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Jaroslaw Wałęsa, Danuta Maria Hübner, Daniel Caspary, Ramona Nicole Mănescu, Iuliu Winkler, Laima Liucija Andrikiienė, Christophe Hansen, Sorin Moisă, Wim van de Camp, José Ignacio Salafranca Sánchez-Neyra, Paul Rübzig, Salvatore Cicu, Fernando Ruas

Draft motion for a resolution Paragraph 4

Draft motion for a resolution

4. Takes the view that a limited agreement with the US on the elimination of tariffs for industrial **goods is not compatible with the Commission's comprehensive Trade for All strategy and risks being incompatible with GATT Article XXIV if the automobile sector is excluded;**

Amendment

4. Takes the view that a limited agreement with the US on the elimination of tariffs for industrial goods **including cars and taking into account the European industrial sensitivities related to the difference in energy and regulatory costs as well as an agreement on conformity assessment, with no changes on EU standards and rules, can pave the way to launch a new process to work together and they have the potential to produce substantial benefits for the EU economy; notes that the Commission's recommendations to open negotiations should not be construed as an indication that it would be willing to derogate from existing trade and investment policies as**

Amendment 71

Jaroslav Wałęsa, Danuta Maria Hübner, Laima Liucija Andrikienė

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Draft motion for a resolution

4. Takes the view that a limited agreement with the US on the elimination of tariffs for industrial *goods is not compatible with the Commission's comprehensive Trade for All strategy and risks being incompatible with GATT Article XXIV if the automobile sector is excluded;*

Amendment

4. Takes the view that a limited agreement with the US on the elimination of tariffs for industrial goods *including cars as well as an agreement on conformity assessment, with no changes on EU standards and rules, can pave the way to launch a new process to work together and they have the potential to produce substantial benefits for the EU economy; in this context the European industrial sensitivities related to the difference in energy and regulatory costs in the US and the EU should be properly addressed in the course of negotiations; recommends that the two sides examine ways to facilitate energy exports, including the abolishment of LNG export restrictions; notes that the Commission's recommendations to open negotiations should not be construed as an indication that it would be willing to derogate from existing trade and investment policies as outlined in the* Trade for All strategy;

Amendment 72

Emma McClarkin, Jan Zahradil

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Draft motion for a resolution

4. ***Takes the view that*** a limited agreement with the US on the elimination of tariffs for industrial goods ***is not compatible with the Commission's comprehensive Trade for All strategy and risks being incompatible*** with GATT Article XXIV ***if the automobile sector is excluded;***

Amendment

4. ***Recognises the need for*** a limited agreement with the US on the elimination of tariffs for industrial goods ***given the global trade tensions and emphasises that any agreement must be compatible*** with GATT Article XXIV;

Or. en

Amendment 73
Christofer Fjellner

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Draft motion for a resolution

4. Takes the view that a limited agreement with the US on the elimination of tariffs for industrial goods ***is not compatible with the Commission's comprehensive Trade for All strategy and risks being incompatible*** with GATT Article XXIV ***if the automobile sector is excluded;***

Amendment

4. Takes the view that a limited agreement with the US on the elimination of tariffs for industrial goods ***risks being incompatible with GATT Article XXIV if the agricultural and automobile sectors are excluded in their entirety;***

Or. en

Amendment 74
Anne-Marie Mineur

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

4a. Is concerned about the fact that the conformity assessment contributes the harmonization of standards in the EU and US and considers this development

Amendment

undesirable;

Or. en

Amendment 75
Yannick Jadot, Reinhard Bütikofer

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Draft motion for a resolution

5. Notes that the US Specific Negotiating Objectives for the Initiation of US-EU Negotiations seek to secure comprehensive market access for US agricultural goods in the EU by reducing or eliminating tariffs as well as reducing burdens associated with differences in regulations and standards; Underlines that agriculture is not covered by the Joint Statement; Notes that the Commission's draft negotiating mandate strictly focuses on the removal of tariffs on industrial goods while excluding agricultural products;

Amendment

5. Notes that the US Specific Negotiating Objectives for the Initiation of US-EU Negotiations seek to secure comprehensive market access for US agricultural goods in the EU by reducing or eliminating tariffs as well as reducing burdens associated with differences in regulations and standards; Underlines that agriculture is not covered by the Joint Statement; Notes that the Commission's draft negotiating mandate strictly focuses on the removal of tariffs on industrial goods while excluding agricultural products; *notes with dismay, however, that agriculture is already included in the ongoing transatlantic trade talks through the Commission's recognition of imported US soybeans as complying with the sustainability criteria under the EU's Renewable Energy Directive, which makes US soy crop eligible for producing subsidized biofuels in the EU;*

Or. en

Amendment 76
Helmut Scholz, Stelios Kouloglou

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Draft motion for a resolution

5. Notes that the US Specific Negotiating Objectives for the Initiation of US-EU Negotiations seek to secure comprehensive market access for US agricultural goods in the EU by reducing or eliminating tariffs as well as reducing burdens associated with differences in regulations and standards; Underlines that agriculture is not covered by the Joint Statement; Notes that the Commission's draft negotiating mandate strictly focuses on the removal of tariffs on industrial goods while excluding agricultural products;

Amendment

5. Notes that the US Specific Negotiating Objectives for the Initiation of US-EU Negotiations seek to secure comprehensive market access for US agricultural goods in the EU by reducing or eliminating tariffs as well as reducing burdens associated with differences in regulations and standards; Underlines that agriculture is not covered by the Joint Statement ***with the exception of soybeans***; Notes that ***although*** the Commission's draft negotiating mandate strictly focuses on the removal of tariffs on industrial goods while excluding agricultural products, ***the Commission paved the way for an increase in EU market share for U.S. soybeans from 9% to 77 % since the respective commitment in the Joint Statement***;

Or. en

Amendment 77

Emma McClarkin, Jan Zahradil

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Draft motion for a resolution

5. Notes that the US Specific Negotiating Objectives for the Initiation of US-EU Negotiations seek to secure comprehensive market access for US agricultural goods in the EU by reducing or eliminating tariffs as well as reducing ***burdens*** associated with differences in regulations and standards; Underlines that agriculture is not covered by the Joint Statement; Notes that the Commission's draft negotiating mandate strictly focuses on the removal of tariffs on industrial goods while excluding agricultural products;

Amendment

5. Notes that the US Specific Negotiating Objectives for the Initiation of US-EU Negotiations seek to secure comprehensive market access for US agricultural goods in the EU by reducing or eliminating tariffs as well as reducing ***barriers*** associated with differences in regulations and standards; Underlines that agriculture is not covered by the Joint Statement; Notes that the Commission's draft negotiating mandate strictly focuses on the removal of tariffs on industrial goods while excluding agricultural products;

Amendment 78

Marietje Schaake, Nadja Hirsch, Dita Charanzová, Elsi Katainen

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Draft motion for a resolution

5. Notes that the US Specific Negotiating Objectives for the Initiation of US-EU Negotiations seek to secure comprehensive market access for US agricultural goods in the EU by reducing or eliminating tariffs as well as reducing burdens associated with differences in regulations and standards; Underlines that agriculture is not covered by the Joint Statement; **Notes** that the Commission's draft negotiating mandate strictly focuses on the removal of tariffs on industrial goods while excluding agricultural products;

Amendment

5. Notes that the US Specific Negotiating Objectives for the Initiation of US-EU Negotiations seek to secure comprehensive market access for US agricultural goods in the EU by reducing or eliminating tariffs as well as reducing burdens associated with differences in regulations and standards; Underlines that agriculture is not covered by the Joint Statement; **Supports** that the Commission's draft negotiating mandate strictly focuses on the removal of tariffs on **all** industrial goods **including the car sector and fisheries** while excluding agricultural products;

Amendment 79

Emma McClarkin, Jan Zahradil

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

5a. Stresses that industrial goods account for 94% of EU exports to the US and that the reciprocal elimination of tariffs has the potential to increase trade flows between the EU and the US; notes that the US applies some very high tariffs on manufactured goods such as leather products, clothing and textiles, footwear, and ceramics, and that their elimination

would open up opportunities for EU exporters and boost the competitiveness of EU industries;

Or. en

Amendment 80
Yannick Jadot, Reinhard Bütikofer

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

5a. Calls onto the Commission to revisit its offensive stance of demanding more US exports of Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) into the EU as a means to rebalance transatlantic trade volumes and as diversification of supply; reminds that US produced LNG is the result mainly of shale-gas extraction with a highly negative climate impact;

Or. en

Amendment 81
Tiziana Beghin

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

5a. Regrets that the EU draft negotiating mandates are vague and not specific, avoiding to list the sectors involved in the conformity assessment objectives and to identify valuable procedures to avoid duplicate inspections in respect of EU precautionary principle;

Or. en

Amendment 82
Helmut Scholz, Stelios Kouloglou, Anne-Marie Mineur

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

5a. is highly concerned that the Commission has concluded on January 29, 2019, that U.S. soya beans meet the technical requirements to be used in biofuels in the EU, has formally recognised the scheme until 1 July 2021, and has explained the decision as being part of the implementation of the Joint Statement; stresses that this will lead to even further increase of the U.S. market share in the European Union, at the expense of rape seed farmers and other biofuels producers in Europe and in developing countries; Stresses that the European Parliament expressed clearly its concern about the undermining of agricultural standards in the EU through the import of genetically modified soy products, and therefore disfavours the decision by the European Commission;

Or. en

Amendment 83
France Jamet

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

5a. Notes that the "industrial goods" mentioned in the Commission's mandate excludes products named under Annex I of the WTO which covers the chapter 1 to 24 of the Harmonized Commodity Description and Coding System; is very worried to note that sea products and fisheries are excluded from Annexe 1,

therefore, concludes that sea products and fisheries will fall under further negotiations with the United States if such are conducted;

Or. en

Amendment 84
Anne-Marie Mineur

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

5a. *Is of the opinion that the “reduction of barriers and increase of trade in services” between the EU and US, as stated in the US-EU Statement of 25 July 2018, leaves too much room for interpretation and is hence undesirable;*

Or. en

Amendment 85
Helmut Scholz, Stelios Kouloglou, Anne-Marie Mineur

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 b (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

5b. *strongly opposes the increase of imports in the EU of more US exports of Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG), and the additional investments from the Union budget into storage facilities following the commitments made by president Juncker in the Joint Statement, points out that US produced LNG is largely produced by shale-gas extraction - a technology with a highly negative environmental and climate impact and widely rejected by the European population;*

Amendment 86
Emma McClarkin, Jan Zahradil

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 b (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

5b. Believes that cooperation on standards and conformity assessment is for the mutual benefit of EU and US businesses, especially SMEs, who will benefit from the elimination of duplication on testing, inspection and certification requirements; highlights that this is without prejudice to EU's standards;

Or. en

Amendment 87
France Jamet, Georg Mayer

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 b (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

5b. Asks the Commission the Commission to make a clear statement about eventual future negotiations on sea products and fisheries;

Or. en

Amendment 88
Yannick Jadot, Reinhard Bütikofer

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Draft motion for a resolution

6. Notes that one of the objectives of the above mentioned Joint Statement is the ambition to work closely together with like-minded partners to reform the WTO; Regrets the US blocking of nominations of new WTO Appellate Body Members, which harms the functioning of the WTO dispute settlement system;

Amendment

6. Notes that one of the objectives of the above mentioned Joint Statement is the ambition to work closely together with like-minded partners to reform the WTO; Regrets ***strongly*** the US blocking of nominations of new WTO Appellate Body Members, which harms the functioning of the WTO dispute settlement system;

Or. en

Amendment 89

Emma McClarkin, Jan Zahradil

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7

Draft motion for a resolution

7. Deeply regrets the withdrawal of the US from the ‘Paris Agreement’; Recalls that the European Parliament stressed in its resolution on climate diplomacy that the EU should make the ratification and implementation of the ‘Paris Agreement’ a ***pre-condition to conclude any*** trade agreement;

Amendment

7. Deeply regrets the withdrawal of the US from the ‘Paris Agreement’; Recalls that the European Parliament stressed in its resolution on climate diplomacy that the EU should make the ratification and implementation of the ‘Paris Agreement’ a ***condition for future*** trade agreements; ***highlights nevertheless that the recommendations refer to a limited agreement and not a comprehensive free trade agreement; notes, in this respect, that the resolution on climate diplomacy stresses the importance of closely cooperating with the US and calls on the Commission to engage with the US on climate and sustainability policies;***

Or. en

Amendment 90

Godelieve Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Jarosław Wałęsa, Danuta Maria Hübner, Daniel Caspary, Ramona Nicole Mănescu, Iuliu Winkler, Laima Liucija Andrikienė,

Christophe Hansen, Sorin Moisă, Wim van de Camp, José Ignacio Salafranca Sánchez-Neyra, Paul Rübig, Salvatore Cicu, Fernando Ruas

**Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7**

Draft motion for a resolution

7. Deeply regrets the withdrawal of the US from the ‘Paris Agreement’; Recalls that the European Parliament stressed in its resolution on climate diplomacy that the EU should make the ratification and implementation of the ‘Paris Agreement’ a pre-condition to conclude any trade agreement;

Amendment

7. Deeply regrets the withdrawal of the US from the ‘Paris Agreement’; Recalls that the European Parliament stressed in its resolution on climate diplomacy that the EU should make the ratification and implementation of the ‘Paris Agreement’ a pre-condition to conclude any trade agreement ***and therefore considers that those agreements should represent an exception dictated by specific circumstances and in any case not a precedent for the European Union in future negotiations;***

Or. en

**Amendment 91
Yannick Jadot, Reinhard Bütikofer**

**Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7**

Draft motion for a resolution

7. Deeply regrets the withdrawal of the US from the ‘Paris Agreement’; Recalls that the European Parliament stressed in its resolution on climate diplomacy that the EU should make the ratification and implementation of the ‘Paris Agreement’ a pre-condition to conclude any trade agreement;

Amendment

7. Deeply regrets the withdrawal of the US from the ‘Paris Agreement’; Recalls that the European Parliament stressed in its resolution on climate diplomacy that the EU should make the ratification and implementation of the ‘Paris Agreement’ a pre-condition to conclude any trade agreement; ***underlines that the production of industrial goods in particular entail possibilities of carbon leakage and therefore negotiations on an industrial-goods agreement should not even be started with a non member of the ‘Paris Agreement’;***

Amendment 92
Christofer Fjellner

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Draft motion for a resolution

7. Deeply regrets the withdrawal of the US from the ‘Paris Agreement’; Recalls that the European Parliament stressed in its resolution on climate diplomacy that the EU should make the ratification and implementation of the ‘Paris Agreement’ a pre-condition to conclude any trade agreement;

Amendment

7. Deeply regrets the withdrawal of the US from the ‘Paris Agreement’; Recalls that the European Parliament stressed in its resolution on climate diplomacy that the EU should make the ratification and implementation of the ‘Paris Agreement’ a pre-condition to conclude any *comprehensive* trade agreement;

Or. en

Amendment 93
Yannick Jadot, Reinhard Bütikofer

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

7a. Proposes to the Commission to evaluate the impact of the US withdrawal from the ‘Paris Agreement’ on the climate impact of its industrial production and to elaborate ways to mitigate resulting price differentials through measures at EU borders, such as border tax adjustments;

Or. en

Amendment 94
Tiziana Beghin

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

7a. Regrets the Commission decision to waive the Impact Assessment and the Sustainability Impact Assessment on the effect of the elimination of tariff on industrial goods due to the political imperative tensions between the EU and the US; asks the Commission to fill this gap prior to the conclusion of the negotiations;

Or. en

Amendment 95
Anne-Marie Mineur

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

7a. Urges that the precautionary principle should be warranted in all trade negotiations that the EU is engaged in;

Or. en

Amendment 96
Helmut Scholz, Stelios Kouloglou

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

7a. Points out that in the United States decisions on establishment, conformity assessment, authorisation of products and recognition of professional qualifications are usually made on sub-federal levels; sometimes even by counties; stresses that

the proposed agreement with the federal level would not reduce the related costs and time constraints for European SMEs, while there U.S. based competitors would benefit significantly in their access to the EU market;

Or. en

Amendment 97
Tiziana Beghin

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7 b (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

7b. Notes the current substantial asymmetry between Eurostat data, reporting 170 bn EUR current account surplus vis-à-vis the US, and the Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA), belonging to the US Department of Commerce, which accounts for 14 bn USD; asks the Commission to look into this discrepancy before entering into negotiation with the US;

Or. en

Amendment 98
Helmut Scholz, Stelios Kouloglou, Anne-Marie Mineur

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7 b (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

7b. Reminds the Commission of the obligation to present the sustainability impact assessment for this proposed major trade agreement, in line with the better regulation principles, and to present the impact assessment prior to the decision on a negotiating mandate;

Amendment 99

Helmut Scholz, Stelios Kouloglou, Anne-Marie Mineur

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7 c (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

7c. Considers it unacceptable to jeopardize REACH through transatlantic negotiations on regulatory cooperation and mutual recognition of standards for the chemical industry, stresses that the intention to reduce costs for the chemical industry may under no circumstances prevail over the health of citizens and safeguarding the environment;

Or. en

Amendment 100

Helmut Scholz, Stelios Kouloglou, Anne-Marie Mineur

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7 d (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

7d. Reminds the Commission of the commitment to promote an ambitious and innovative sustainable development chapter in all trade and investment agreements in accordance with Article 21 2d, f, h (TEU), and that in relations with the U.S.;, it should contain far-reaching commitments on all core labour rights in line with the fundamental conventions of the International Labour Organisation (ILO), as well as on ensuring high levels of occupational health and safety and decent working conditions in accordance with the ILO Decent Work Agenda. It should also contain far-reaching

commitments on environmental protection in relation to multilateral environment agreements; points out that this agreement would be of direct concern for the entire industrial production in the Union; underlines the relevance of costs for decent working conditions and environmentally sustainable production in the Union, and that unfair competition must be prevented by an enforceable TSD chapter;

Or. en

Amendment 101

Godielieve Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Jarosław Wałęsa, Danuta Maria Hübner, Daniel Caspary, Ramona Nicole Mănescu, Iuliu Winkler, Laima Liucija Andrikiienė, Christophe Hansen, Sorin Moisă, Wim van de Camp, José Ignacio Salafranca Sánchez-Neyra, Paul Rübig, Salvatore Cicu, Fernando Ruas

Draft motion for a resolution Paragraph 8

Draft motion for a resolution

8. Believes that negotiations of a trade agreement with the US ***under the above mentioned conditions will not be successful in producing an outcome that would be*** in the interest of European citizens ***and*** therefore calls on the Council ***not*** to endorse the recommendations for authorising the opening of negotiations of an agreement with the US on the elimination of tariffs for industrial goods and on conformity assessment in their current form;

Amendment

8. Believes ***however*** that negotiations of a ***limited*** trade agreement with the US, ***given the exceptional circumstances, are*** in the interest of European citizens ***and businesses, in particular small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), to generate economic growth as well as a more positive climate between the partners that could help in tackling global challenges together, including the reform of the WTO;*** therefore calls on the Council to endorse the recommendations for authorising the opening of negotiations of an agreement with the US on the elimination of tariffs for industrial goods and on conformity assessment in their current form;

Or. en

Amendment 102

Marietje Schaake, Nadja Hirsch, Dita Charanzová, Elsi Katainen

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Draft motion for a resolution

8. Believes that negotiations *of* a trade agreement with the US under the above mentioned conditions ***will not be successful in producing an outcome that*** would be in the interest of ***European*** citizens ***and*** therefore calls on the Council ***not*** to endorse the recommendations for authorising the opening of negotiations of an agreement with the US on the elimination of tariffs for industrial goods and on conformity assessment in their current form;

Amendment

8. Believes that ***with this limited scope and articulated red lines as well as the crucial safeguard clauses, the opening of*** negotiations ***for*** a trade agreement with the US under the above mentioned conditions would be in the interest of ***EU*** citizens, ***societies and businesses;*** therefore calls on the Council to endorse the recommendations for authorising the opening of negotiations of an agreement with the US on the elimination of tariffs for industrial goods, ***including the automotive sector*** and on conformity assessment in their current form;

Or. en

Amendment 103

Christofer Fjellner

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Draft motion for a resolution

8. Believes that negotiations of a trade agreement with the US under the above mentioned conditions ***will not be successful in producing*** an outcome that would be in the interest of European citizens and therefore calls on the Council ***not to endorse the recommendations for authorising*** the opening of negotiations of an agreement with the US on the elimination of tariffs for industrial goods and on conformity assessment ***in their current form;***

Amendment

8. Believes that negotiations of a trade agreement with the US under the above mentioned conditions ***has the potential to produce*** an outcome that would be in the interest of European citizens and therefore calls on the Council ***to authorise*** the opening of negotiations of an agreement with the US on the elimination of tariffs for industrial goods and on conformity assessment, ***while not withdrawing the mandate for negotiations on TTIP; takes the view that such an agreement could serve as an interim agreement according to the provisions of GATT Article XXIV,***

point 3(b) before a comprehensive agreement can be concluded;

Or. en

Amendment 104

Emma McClarkin, Jan Zahradil

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Draft motion for a resolution

8. Believes that negotiations of a trade agreement with the US ***under the above mentioned conditions*** will ***not*** be successful in producing an outcome that would be in the interest of European citizens ***and*** therefore calls on the Council ***not*** to endorse the recommendations for authorising the opening of negotiations of an agreement with the US on the elimination of tariffs for industrial goods and on conformity assessment in their current form;

Amendment

8. Believes that negotiations of a trade agreement with the US ***are necessary to overcome the current trade impasse and*** will be successful in producing an outcome that would be in the interest of European citizens ***and EU-US trade relations;*** therefore calls on the Council to endorse the recommendations for authorising the opening of negotiations of an agreement with the US on the elimination of tariffs for industrial goods and on conformity assessment in their current form; ***calls on the Commission and the Council to respect the role and involve the European Parliament in this process, ensuring full transparency in the negotiations;***

Or. en

Amendment 105

Yannick Jadot, Reinhard Bütikofer

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Draft motion for a resolution

8. Believes that negotiations of a trade agreement with the US under the above mentioned conditions ***will not*** be successful in producing an outcome that would be in the interest of European

Amendment

8. Believes that negotiations of a trade agreement with the US under the above mentioned conditions ***cannot*** be successful in producing an outcome that would be in the interest of European citizens ***and in***

citizens and therefore calls on the Council not to endorse the recommendations for authorising the opening of negotiations of an agreement with the US on the elimination of tariffs for industrial goods and on conformity assessment in their current form;

conformity with the value-based EU trade policy and therefore calls on the Council not to endorse the recommendations for authorising the opening of negotiations of an agreement with the US on the elimination of tariffs for industrial goods and on conformity assessment in their current form;

Or. en

Amendment 106

Marietje Schaake, Nadja Hirsch, Dita Charanzová, Elsi Katainen

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

8a. Calls on the Commission to keep the European Parliament closely and timely involved of the negotiations, also taking into account the European elections and calls on the Council not to authorise the application of the agreement before the Parliament has given its consent;

Or. en

Amendment 107

Tiziana Beghin

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

8a. Underlines that, under the current circumstances, there is a concrete risk that the negotiations will lead to a deterioration of European standards. Asks the Council not to endorse the Commission recommendations for authorising the opening of the

negotiations with the US;

Or. en

Amendment 108
Alessia Maria Mosca

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

8a. Believes that negotiations of a trade agreement with the US can only be possible if the following minimum conditions are met:

- the lifting of tariffs on aluminium and steel;

- a comprehensive consultation process with civil society and an impact assessment of the proposed agreement, including its potential impact on CO2 emissions;

- a clear commitment to include cars and car parts in the negotiations;

- more clarity concerning how rules of origin are going to be dealt within the negotiations;

Or. en

Amendment 109
Marietje Schaake, Nadja Hirsch, Dita Charanzová, Elsi Katainen

Draft motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 b (new)

Draft motion for a resolution

Amendment

8b. Expects both the Commission and the Council to continue to ensuring the highest level of transparency throughout the negotiations process, including

towards the general public;

Or. en

Amendment 110

Marietje Schaake, Nadja Hirsch, Dita Charanzová, Elsi Katainen

Draft motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Draft motion for a resolution

9. Instructs its President to forward this resolution to the Council, the Commission, the EEAS, the governments and parliaments of the Member States, the US *President, the US Senate and House of Representatives*.

Amendment

9. Instructs its President to forward this resolution to the Council, the Commission, the EEAS, the governments and parliaments of the Member States, the US *Administration and Congress*.

Or. en