



COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES

Brussels, 28.6.2006
COM(2006) 343 final

2006/0111 (CNS)

Proposal for a

COUNCIL DECISION

authorizing the conclusion of the agreement to renew and modify the agreement on research and development activities in the domain of intelligent manufacturing systems (IMS) between the European Community and Australia, Canada, the EFTA countries of Norway and Switzerland, Korea, Japan and the United States of America

(presented by the Commission)

EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM

By Decision 1997/378/EC of 27.01.1997, the Council concluded the Agreement for scientific and technical cooperation in the domain of intelligent manufacturing systems (IMS) between the European Community and the United States of America, Japan, Australia, Canada, and the EFTA States of Norway and Switzerland. The agreement took the form of an exchange of letters that recorded the common understanding on the principles of the cooperation; details were attached as Terms of Reference (ToR) for IMS¹. By Decision 2001/421/EC of 28 May 2001, the Republic of Korea joined the IMS agreement. The IMS agreement was concluded for 10 years and expired in April 2005 and the Commission had requested a negotiation mandate to renew and modify the agreement. By Council Decision of 29 November 2005, the Commission was authorised to negotiate with Australia, Canada, the EFTA countries of Norway and Switzerland, Korea, Japan and the United States of America the renewal and modification of the agreement. The results of these negotiations are fully in line with the negotiating mandate. They were integrated into the Terms of Reference for a Scheme for International Cooperation in Advanced Manufacturing (ToR) attached to the Council decision.

Noteworthy is that the IMS governance and operations were improved as set out in the negotiating mandate. In particular,

1. Public-private partnership was introduced for the governance of IMS. As a matter of fact, industry lead in the IMS governance is no longer obligatory. This is an important modification that had become necessary as industry alone could not mobilise the critical mass and resources for funding collaborative research. Therefore, the future participation of relevant governmental bodies responsible for research policies in support of manufacturing industry, in partnership with representatives from private industry, will certainly contribute to a more coherent IMS scheme with a larger project portfolio.
2. The budgetary principles for the IMS were aligned to the requirements of the Financial Regulation applicable to the general budget of the European Communities. Otherwise, the Commission would not be able to contribute to the IMS Interregional Secretariat which is funded by the contributions of all signatories to the IMS agreement.
3. Other noteworthy aspects of this renewal of the IMS agreement do relate to the internal relations between the Council and the Commission, in particular, the form of the agreement and the empowerment of the Commission to manage the Interregional IMS Secretariat:
 - The form taken for the original IMS agreement (exchange of letters) is maintained as being appropriate for this kind of international collaboration with no exchange of funds. The Terms of Reference detailing this Scheme for International Cooperation in Advanced Manufacturing are attached to the individual letters for this exchange.

¹ OJ L 161 of 18 June 1997, p. 2-20

- These IMS Terms of Reference stipulate that the chair of the IMS governance rotates among the signatories to the agreement. The chairman is responsible for setting up and managing the Interregional IMS Secretariat serving all IMS participants. However, the Community, due to the lack of a legal base in the Council decision of 1997/378/EC of 27.01.1997, could not set up the Interregional Secretariat and consequently had to decline the chair. In order to fulfil this duty from the IMS agreement, provision is made in the Draft Council Decision that the European Community can host the interregional IMS secretariat in Europe.
- 4. Participants will review the scheme every 5 years to determine whether it should be continued, modified or terminated. A participant may withdraw at any time subject to 12 months' notice to other participants.
- 5. Annual contributions (revenue) by all other signatories to the IMS agreement will form earmarked revenue in accordance with Art. 18 paragraph 1d of the Financial Regulation applicable to the general budget of the European Communities.

Proposal for a

COUNCIL DECISION

authorizing the conclusion of the agreement to renew and modify the agreement on research and development activities in the domain of intelligent manufacturing systems (IMS) between the European Community and Australia, Canada, the EFTA countries of Norway and Switzerland, Korea, Japan and the United States of America

THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Community, and in particular Articles 170 and 300(2) and (3) first subparagraph thereof,

Having regard to the proposal from the Commission²,

Having regard to the opinion of the European Parliament³,

Whereas:

- (1) Decision N° 1513/2002/EC⁴ of the European Parliament and of the Council establishing the sixth framework programme of the European Community for research, technological development and demonstration activities, contributing to the creation of the European research Area and to innovation (2002 to 2006) provides for international co-operation in the field of manufacturing research.
- (2) The Commission has adopted and submitted a proposal for a Decision of the European parliament and of the Council concerning the seventh framework programme of the European Community for research, technological development and demonstration activities, (2007 to 2013)⁵, which provides for a continuance of international co-operation. The IMS agreement should be renewed.
- (3) The IMS agreement concluded for 10 years expired in April 2005. As it appeared to be an added value in enhancing research in the field of intelligent manufacturing systems, the Commission requested a negotiation mandate to renew the agreement.
- (4) The Council authorised the Commission in November 2005⁶ to negotiate on behalf of the European Community with Australia, Canada, the EFTA countries of Norway and Switzerland, Korea, Japan and the United States of America the renewal and

² OJ C , , p. .

³ OJ C , , p. .

⁴ OJ L 232, 29.8.2002, p.1-33

⁵ COM(2005) 119 final of 6 April 2005

⁶ Restraint Council document N° 13364/05 of 28 October 2005

modification of the agreement on international cooperation on research and development activities in the domain of intelligent manufacturing (IMS).

- (5) Negotiations were held in accordance with the negotiation mandate and the results are integrated in the Terms of Reference for the international cooperation activities in the field of intelligent manufacturing systems, which set out the framework for the cooperation and are attached to the exchange of letters recording the principles of the cooperation between the European Community, Australia, Canada, the EFTA countries of Norway and Switzerland, Korea, Japan and the United States of America. Modifications of the previous scheme relate to the governance of IMS and its budgetary operations.
- (6) The IMS Terms of Reference stipulate that the chair of the IMS governance rotates among the signatories to the agreement. In order to fulfil this duty, it should be provided for the European Community to host the interregional IMS secretariat in Europe.

HAS DECIDED AS FOLLOWS:

Article premier

The agreement on the renewal and the modification of the agreement of 1997 and 2001 respectively, on research and development activities in the domain of intelligent manufacturing systems between the European Community and Australia, Canada, the EFTA countries of Norway and Switzerland, Korea, Japan and the United States is hereby approved.

The text of the agreement takes the form of an Exchange of Letters, which is attached to this Decision.

Article 2

The President of the Council is hereby authorized to designate the person empowered to sign on behalf of the European Community the Exchange of Letters to bind the Community.

Done at Brussels,

*For the Council
The President*

ANNEX
AGREEMENT

In the form of an exchange of letters recording the common understanding renewing and modifying the agreement on international cooperation on research and development activities in the domain of intelligent manufacturing systems (IMS) between the European Community and Australia, Canada, the EFTA countries of Norway and Switzerland, Korea, Japan and the United States of America - Annex: Terms of Reference for a Scheme for International Cooperation in Advanced Manufacturing

A. Letter No 1

Brussels,

Sir,

I refer to the agreement on research and development activities in the domain of intelligent manufacturing systems (IMS) concluded in 1997 and in 2001 respectively between the European Community and Australia, Canada, the EFTA countries of Norway and Switzerland, Korea, Japan and the United States of America.

The purpose of this letter is to record the common understanding reached on the renewal and modification of this cooperation agreement as set out in the Terms of Reference which are attached to this letter.

Participating regions will work cooperatively to boost industrial competitiveness, solve problems facing manufacturing worldwide, and develop advanced manufacturing technologies and systems. Such cooperation will ensure a balance of benefits and contributions, be of industrial relevance and be based on the principle of mutual interest and understanding.

Funding of cooperate activities will be subject to the availability of funds and to the applicable laws and regulations of the participating regions. Each participating region will fund its own participation and will contribute, in funding or in kind, in an equitable manner to the costs of the Interregional IMS Secretariat. The latter will operate and proceed according the principles set out in the ToR. The European Community is prepared to host the Interregional Secretariat when its turn will be decided jointly by the participating regions.

This cooperation agreement will have a duration of 10 years. Each participating region may withdraw any time subject to 12 months notice. The participants will review the scheme 5 years after its launch.

The European Community and Norway reserve the option to act as a single European region.

This letter, together with its acceptance by the participating regions, endorses the ToR and records the common understanding on the principles of cooperation on IMS. I would be pleased to receive your early confirmation of this understanding.

For the European Community

B. Letter No 2

Location of addressee, date

Sir,

I acknowledge receipt of your letter of.... which reads as follows:

“I refer to the agreement on research and development activities in the domain of intelligent manufacturing systems (IMS) concluded in 1997 and in 2001 respectively between the European Community and Australia, Canada, the EFTA countries of Norway and Switzerland, Korea, Japan and the United States of America.

The purpose of this letter is to record the common understanding reached on the renewal and modification of this cooperation agreement as set out in the Terms of Reference which are attached to this letter.

Participating regions will work cooperatively to boost industrial competitiveness, solve problems facing manufacturing worldwide, and develop advanced manufacturing technologies and systems. Such cooperation will ensure a balance of benefits and contributions, be of industrial relevance and be based on the principle of mutual interest and understanding.

Funding of cooperate activities will be subject to the availability of funds and to the applicable laws and regulations of the participating regions. Each participating region will fund its own participation and will contribute, in funding or in kind, in an equitable manner to the costs of the Interregional IMS Secretariat. The latter will operate and proceed according the principles set out in the ToR. The European Community is prepared to host the Interregional Secretariat when its turn will be decided jointly by the participating regions.

This cooperation agreement will have duration of 10 years. Each participating region may withdraw any time subject to 12 months notice. The participants will review the scheme 5 years after its launch.

The European Community and Norway reserve the option to act as a single European region.

This letter, together with its acceptance by the participating regions, endorses the ToR and records the common understanding on the principles of cooperation on IMS. I would be pleased to receive your early confirmation of this understanding.

For the European Community”

I have the honor to confirm that my government is in agreement with the contents of your letter.

For the Government of

LEGISLATIVE FINANCIAL STATEMENT

1. NAME OF THE PROPOSAL:

Council decision authorizing the conclusion of the agreement to renew and modify the agreement on research and development activities in the domain of intelligent manufacturing systems (IMS) between the European Community and Australia, Canada, the EFTA countries of Norway and Switzerland, Korea, Japan and the United States of America

2. ABM / ABB FRAMEWORK

Policy Area(s) concerned and associated Activity/Activities:

- RTD/International S&T cooperation
- INFSO/RESEARCH

3. BUDGET LINES

3.1. Budget lines (operational lines and related technical and administrative assistance lines (ex- B..A lines)) including headings:

- Costs associated with participation in IMS, in particular the **annual contribution** to the Interregional IMS Secretariat will be charged to the budget headings of the specific programmes of the Community RTD Framework programme/EC 08.0301 (DG RTD) and 09.0401 (DG INFSO). This contribution will be paid alternatively by DG RTD and DG INFSO.
- In the case that the Interregional IMS Secretariat is set up in Europe and managed by the European Commission (during the chairmanship of the European Community): Annual contributions (revenue) by all other signatories to the IMS agreement will form earmarked revenue in accordance with Art. 18 paragraph 1d of the Financial Regulation applicable to the general budget of the European Communities.

3.2. Duration of the action and of the financial impact:

2006 and for 2007-2013 (indicative lines)

3.3. Budgetary characteristics :

Budget line	Type of expenditure		New	EFTA contribution	Contributions from applicant countries	Heading in financial perspective
08.0301 (RTD)	Non-comp	Diff ⁷	NO	YES	YES	No [3]
09.0401 (INFSO)	Non-comp	Diff	NO	YES	YES	No [3]

⁷ Differentiated appropriations

4. SUMMARY OF RESOURCES

4.1. Financial Resources

Annual contribution to the IMS Interregional Secretariat (IRS) is a fixed amount of CAD 200,000 (Canadian Dollars) for members US, Japan, EU including Norway (which is an associated state to the RTD framework programme. The European Community and Norway reserve the option to act as a single European region).

It will vary annually due to exchange rate issues; it will not exceed EUR 150,000 per annum.

4.1.1. Summary of commitment appropriations (CA) and payment appropriations (PA)

EUR million (to 3 decimal places)

Expenditure type	Section no.		Year 2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011 - 2013	Total
------------------	-------------	--	-----------	------	------	------	------	-------------	-------

Operational expenditure⁸

Commitment Appropriations (CA)	8.1.	a	0.150	0.150	0.150	0.150	0.150	0.450	1.200
Payment Appropriations (PA)		b	0.150	0.150	0.150	0.150	0.150	0.450	1.200

Administrative expenditure within reference amount⁹

Technical & administrative assistance (NDA)	8.2.4.	c							
---	--------	---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

TOTAL REFERENCE AMOUNT

Commitment Appropriations		a+c	0.150	0.150	0.150	0.150	0.150	0.450	1.200
Payment Appropriations		b+c	0.150	0.150	0.150	0.150	0.150	0.450	1.200

Administrative expenditure not included in reference amount¹⁰

Human resources and associated expenditure (NDA)	8.2.5.	d							
Administrative costs, other than human resources and associated costs, not included in reference amount (NDA)	8.2.6.	e							

⁸ Expenditure that does not fall under Chapter xx 01 of the Title xx concerned.

⁹ Expenditure within article xx 01 04 of Title xx.

¹⁰ Expenditure within chapter xx 01 other than articles xx 01 04 or xx 01 05.

Total indicative financial cost of intervention

TOTAL CA including cost of Human Resources		a+c +d +e	0.150	0.150	0.150	0.150	0.150	0.450	1.200
TOTAL PA including cost of Human Resources		b+c +d +e	0.150	0.150	0.150	0.150	0.150	0.450	1.200

Co-financing details

If the proposal involves co-financing by Member States, or other bodies (please specify which), an estimate of the level of this co-financing should be indicated in the table below (additional lines may be added if different bodies are foreseen for the provision of the co-financing):

EUR million (to 3 decimal places)

Co-financing body		Year n	n + 1	n + 2	n + 3	n + 4	n + 5 and later	Total
.....	f							
TOTAL CA including co-financing	a+c +d +e +f							

4.1.2. Compatibility with Financial Programming

X Proposal is compatible with existing financial programming.

Proposal is compatible with existing financial programming for 2006, (Budget 2006 and Framework Programme 6). As of 2007 until 2013, this proposal is under the reserve that it will be foreseen under the respective Financial Perspectives and the corresponding research Framework Programme.

- ☐ Proposal will entail reprogramming of the relevant heading in the financial perspective.
- ☐ Proposal may require application of the provisions of the Interinstitutional Agreement¹¹ (i.e. flexibility instrument or revision of the financial perspective).

¹¹ See points 19 and 24 of the Interinstitutional agreement.

4.1.3. Financial impact on Revenue

☐ Proposal has no financial implications on revenue

☒ Proposal has financial impact – the effect on revenue is as follows:

The IMS agreement stipulates that the chair of the IMS governance rotates among the signatories to the agreement. The chairman is responsible for setting up and managing the Interregional IMS Secretariat serving all IMS participants. The secretariat has a duration of 2,5 years. However, the Community, due to the lack of a legal base in the Council decision of 1997/378/EC of 27.01.1997, could not set up the Interregional Secretariat and consequently had to decline the chair. In order to fulfil this duty from the IMS agreement, provision is made in the Draft Council Decision that the European Community can host the interregional IMS secretariat in Europe.

Therefore, financial contributions will be made by third countries to the budget of the European Research Framework Programme in the remote possibility of the European Commission assuming the chairmanship of IMS and will set up the IMS Interregional Secretariat. A decision on this has not yet been taken, but it is likely to happen after the current chair (Korea) and the next chair (Switzerland) are succeeded in this role by the European Union in 2010.

The annual contribution for Australia, Canada, Korea and Switzerland is CAD 125,000. It will vary annually due to exchange rate issues; but it will not exceed EUR 100,000 per annum. The annual total revenue figures include the contributions of Japan and the US which will not exceed 150,000 EUR each. The expected duration of the European chair (coinciding with the duration of expected revenue) is 2 ½ years probably starting in 2010. EUR million (to one decimal place)

		Prior to action [Year n-1]	Situation following action					
Budget line	Revenue		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011 ¹²
09.0401	a) Revenue in absolute terms		0	0	0	0	0.700	0.700
	b) Change in revenue	Δ	0	0	0	0	0.700	0.700
		Prior to action [Year n+5]	Situation following action					
Budget line	Revenue		2012	2013				
09.0401	a) Revenue in absolute terms	0.700	0.350	0				
	b) Change in revenue	Δ	0.350	0				

¹²

Additional columns should be added if necessary i.e. if the duration of the action exceeds 6 years

4.2. Human Resources FTE (including officials, temporary and external staff) – see detail under point 8.2.1.

The Commission is not requesting any additional posts for the management of the IMS agreement. No officials are being specifically assigned to manage the agreement. It will be managed by the staff authorised for the framework programme.

European IMS activities will be managed by staff (a total of 2/3 A-official) from the two involved DGs (RTD and INFSO) authorised to implement the European Research Framework Programmes (e.g. 1/3 of an A-official of the two DGs).

Annual requirements	Year n	n + 1	n + 2	n + 3	n + 4	n + 5 and later
Total number of human resources	2/3 A*	2/3 A*	2/3 A*	2/3 A*	2/3 A*	2/3 A*

5. CHARACTERISTICS AND OBJECTIVES

The Intelligent Manufacturing Systems (IMS) scheme is an international and multilateral cooperation in research and development in intelligent manufacturing systems.

5.1. Need to be met in the short or long term

To foster and support R&D collaborations involving industrial and academic researchers from the IMS member regions: Australia, Canada, EU and Norway, Japan, Korea, the United States of America and Switzerland.

The IMS Interregional Steering Committee (ISC) composed of representatives of each member region will oversee the IMS scheme. The IMS Interregional Secretariat (a rotating chair every 2 ½ years) executes the activities of the ISC and is financed by an annual membership contribution.

Each IMS member region runs an IMS Regional Secretariat that interacts with the IMS research community. The activities of the European IMS Secretariat are shared between the two involved DGs, RTD and INFSO.

5.2. Value-added of Community involvement and coherence of the proposal with other financial instruments and possible synergy

The IMS initiative is the world's only international collaborative R&D framework between industrialised countries. It provides a global framework for industry and academia to co-operate on manufacturing R&D throughout the full innovation cycle and to identify partners world-wide. Its efficient scheme for the protection of IPR has proven beneficial for industrial participants, in particular SMEs, for engaging safely in global collaborations. IMS has been a success story for Europe's research community during the past 10 years and has demonstrated European competence in leading global research collaborations.

5.3. Objectives, expected results and related indicators of the proposal in the context of the ABM framework

5.4. Method of Implementation (indicative)

☐ ***Centralised Management***

X directly by the Commission

☐ indirectly by delegation to:

☐ executive Agencies

☐ bodies set up by the Communities as referred to in art. 185 of the Financial Regulation

☐ national public-sector bodies/bodies with public-service mission

☐ ***Shared or decentralised management***

☐ with Member states

☐ with Third countries

☐ ***Joint management with international organisations (please specify)***

Relevant comments:

6. MONITORING AND EVALUATION

6.1. Monitoring system

The cooperation Agreement will be regularly evaluated by the relevant Commission departments and will be subject to a joint Community-IMS partners' evaluation in 5 years.

Performance indicators:

- number of IMS proposals/networks put forward by IMS partners contributing to the IMS objectives;
- number of proposals/networks put forward by European entities contributing to the IMS objectives;
- number of IMS proposals selected for funding (each region funds its own participants);
- number of patents obtained by IMS projects;
- number of publications by IMS project participants;

- number of workshops, seminars, symposia on specific topics of the IMS objectives;
- number of roadmaps, research strategies, studies developed within the IMS steering committee;
- number of articles published on manufacturing in specialised and general press.

6.2. Evaluation

6.2.1. Ex-ante evaluation

An evaluation of the last 10 years of IMS has been carried out at global level and is summarised in the report:

Intelligent Manufacturing Systems, Impact Report. History and Achievements of Phase I, January 2005, available electronically under http://www.ims.org/index_imp.html

The report draws the following conclusions:

“Projects surveyed ... (in 2003) reported the following:

Gained experience in cross-functional and international collaboration (96%)

Experienced validation of academic concepts through practical field experience (74%)

Achieved multicultural collaboration (83%)

Achievement in multidisciplinary collaboration (82%)

Increased understanding of global business practices (78%)

Increased mutual trust and respect (100%).

Each year a survey is sent to all on-going project ICPs (IMS Coordinating Partner) so that IMS can gauge program effectiveness and gain feedback on the research experience through IMS. We inquire about the social aspects of the collaboration, what economic impact their project is making, and what are the most important lessons learned in their research. In our most recent survey, we asked about the most important aspect about doing international research, respondents reported gaining new international contacts and face-to-face meetings were important in the process. Further, most responded that they were able to collaborate effectively and also gained a better understanding of global business practices. IMS provides a unique opportunity for cross-cultural and multi-disciplinary collaboration between researchers and industry across the globe. In addition, there is measurable mutual understanding ... within IMS projects. All respondents reported that their mutual trust and respect was improved as a result of the collaborative process, thus breaking down barriers to new discoveries. Because trust and respect are developed, new business networks are opened and dialogue continues outside and beyond the project. Respondents also reported that new approaches to R&D were learned, and new

networks were created. These social outcomes typically provide long-term benefits that go unseen or undetected until an opportunity emerges providing an opportunity for expansion.” (p 18).

A systematic evaluation of one part of the **European IMS project portfolio** (IST part under FP4 and FP5) is currently underway.

6.2.2. *Measures taken following an intermediate/ex-post evaluation (lessons learned from similar experiences in the past)*

At the end of the first 5 years of the agreement the Commission will evaluate all cooperation activities and the administration of the scheme and inform the relevant partners on all sides through the international IMS Steering committee.

6.2.3. *Terms and frequency of future evaluation*

At the end of every 5 years the Commission will evaluate all cooperation activities and the administration of the scheme

7. ANTI-FRAUD MEASURES

When the implementation of the Framework Programme calls for the use of external contractors or implies granting financial contributions to third parties, the Commission will carry out, where appropriate, financial audits, in particular if it has reasons to doubt the realistic nature of work performed or described in the activity reports.

The Community's financial audits will be carried out either by its own staff or by accounting experts approved according to the law of the audited party. The Community will choose the latter freely, while avoiding any risks of conflicts of interest which might be indicated to it by the party subject to the audit.

In addition, the Commission will make sure in carrying out the research activities, that the financial interests of the European Communities are protected by effective checks and, in case of detected irregularities, measures as well as deterrent and proportionate sanctions.

In order to achieve this aim, rules on checks, measures and sanctions, with references to the Regulations No 2988/95, 02185/96, 1073/99 and 1074/99 will be taken up in all contracts used in the implementation of the Framework Programme.

In addition and as routine measures, an internal audit and control programme in respect of scientific and budgetary aspects, will be carried out by the DG Research staff responsible; internal audit carried out by the Internal audit Unit of Research DG; and local inspections by this Unit and the Court of Auditors.

8. DETAILS OF RESOURCES

8.1. Objectives of the proposal in terms of their financial cost

Commitment appropriations in EUR million (to 3 decimal places)

(Headings of Objectives, actions and outputs should be provided)	Type of output	Av. cost	Year n		Year n+1		Year n+2		Year n+3		Year n+4		Year n+5 and later		TOTAL	
			No. outputs	Total cost	No. outputs	Total cost	No. outputs	Total cost	No. outputs	Total cost	No. outputs	Total cost	No. outputs	Total cost	No. outputs	Total cost
OPERATIONAL OBJECTIVE No.1 ¹³																
Action 1 Contribution to IMS				0.150		0.150		0.150		0.150		0.150		0.450		1.200
- Output 1																
- Output 2																
Action 2.....																
- Output 1																
Sub-total Objective 1				0.150		0.150		0.150		0.150		0.150		0.450		1.200
OPERATIONAL OBJECTIVE No.2 ¹³																
Action 1.....																
- Output 1																
Sub-total Objective 2																
OPERATIONAL OBJECTIVE No.n ¹³																
Sub-total Objective n																
TOTAL COST				0.150		0.150		0.150		0.150		0.150		0.450		1.200

¹³ As described under Section 5.3

8.2. Administrative Expenditure

8.2.1. Number and type of human resources

Types of post		Staff to be assigned to management of the action using existing and/or additional resources (number of posts/FTEs)					
		Year n	Year n+1	Year n+2	Year n+3	Year n+4	Year n+5
Officials or temporary staff ¹⁴ (XX 01 01)	A*/AD						
	B*, C*/AST						
Staff financed ¹⁵ by art. XX 01 02							
Other staff ¹⁶ financed by art. XX 01 04/05		2/3 A*	2/3 A*	2/3 A*	2/3 A*	2/3 A*	2/3 A*
TOTAL		2/3 A*	2/3 A*	2/3 A*	2/3 A*	2/3 A*	2/3 A*

8.2.2. Description of tasks deriving from the action

Running the European IMS Secretariat

8.2.3. Sources of human resources (statutory)

- ☒ Posts currently allocated to the management of the programme to be replaced or extended
- ☐ Posts pre-allocated within the APS/PDB exercise for year n
- ☐ Posts to be requested in the next APS/PDB procedure
- ☐ Posts to be redeployed using existing resources within the managing service (internal redeployment)
- ☐ Posts required for year n although not foreseen in the APS/PDB exercise of the year in question

¹⁴ Cost of which is NOT covered by the reference amount

¹⁵ Cost of which is NOT covered by the reference amount

¹⁶ Cost of which is included within the reference amount

8.2.4. *Other Administrative expenditure included in reference amount (XX 01 04/05 – Expenditure on administrative management)*

EUR million (to 3 decimal places)

Budget line (number and heading)	Year n	Year n+1	Year n+2	Year n+3	Year n+4	Year n+5 and later	TOTAL
1 Technical and administrative assistance (including related staff costs)							
Executive agencies ¹⁷							
Other technical and administrative assistance							
- <i>intra muros</i>							
- <i>extra muros</i>							
Total Technical and administrative assistance							

8.2.5. *Financial cost of human resources and associated costs not included in the reference amount*

EUR million (to 3 decimal places)

Type of human resources	Year n	Year n+1	Year n+2	Year n+3	Year n+4	Year n+5 and later
Officials and temporary staff (XX 01 01)						
Staff financed by Art XX 01 02 (auxiliary, END, contract staff, etc.) (specify budget line)						
Total cost of Human Resources and associated costs (NOT in reference amount)						

¹⁷ Reference should be made to the specific legislative financial statement for the Executive Agency(ies) concerned.

Calculation– *Officials and Temporary agents*

2/3 A per annum includes two 1/3 A* officials from each of the involved DGs, RTD and INFSO – € 72,000*

Calculation– *Staff financed under art. XX 01 02*

8.2.6. Other administrative expenditure not included in reference amount

EUR million (to 3 decimal places)

	Year n	Year n+1	Year n+2	Year n+3	Year n+4	Year n+5 and later	TOTAL
XX 01 02 11 01 – Missions							
XX 01 02 11 02 – Meetings & Conferences							
XX 01 02 11 03 – Committees ¹⁸							
XX 01 02 11 04 – Studies & consultations							
XX 01 02 11 05 – Information systems							
2 Total Other Management Expenditure (XX 01 02 11)							
3 Other expenditure of an administrative nature (specify including reference to budget line)							
Total Administrative expenditure, other than human resources and associated costs (NOT included in reference amount)							

Calculation - *Other administrative expenditure not included in reference amount*

¹⁸ Specify the type of committee and the group to which it belongs.