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DRAFT EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT LEGISLATIVE RESOLUTION


(Ordinary legislative procedure: first reading)

The European Parliament,

– having regard to the Commission proposal to Parliament and the Council (COM(2018)0184),

– having regard to Article 294(2) and Article 114 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, pursuant to which the Commission submitted the proposal to Parliament (C8-0149/2018),

– having regard to Article 294(3) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,

– having regard to Rule 59 of its Rules of Procedure,

– having regard to the report of the Committee on Legal Affairs and also the opinions of the Committee on the Internal Market and Consumer Protection and the Committee on Transport and Tourism (A8-0447/2018),

1. Adopts its position at first reading hereinafter set out;

2. Calls on the Commission to refer the matter to Parliament again if it replaces, substantially amends or intends to substantially amend its proposal;

3. Instructs its President to forward its position to the Council, the Commission and the national parliaments.

Amendment 1

Proposal for a directive

Recital 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) The purpose of this Directive is to enable qualified entities, which represent the collective interest of consumers, to seek remedy through representative actions against infringements of provisions of</td>
<td>(1) The purpose of this Directive is to enable qualified representative entities, which represent the collective interest of consumers, to seek remedy through representative actions against</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Union law. The qualified entities should be able to ask for stopping or prohibiting an infringement, for confirming that an infringement took place and to seek redress, such as compensation, repair or price reduction as available under national laws.

Amendment 2

Proposal for a directive
Recital 2

Text proposed by the Commission

(2) Directive 2009/22/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council\(^29\) enabled qualified entities to bring representative actions primarily aimed at stopping and prohibiting infringements of Union law harmful to the collective interests of consumers. However, that Directive did not sufficiently address the challenges for the enforcement of consumer law. To improve the deterrence of unlawful practices and to reduce consumer detriment, it is necessary to strengthen the mechanism for protection of collective interests of consumers. Given the numerous changes, for the sake of clarity it is appropriate to replace Directive 2009/22/EC.

Amendment

(2) Directive 2009/22/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council\(^29\) enabled qualified representative entities to bring representative actions primarily aimed at stopping and prohibiting infringements of Union law harmful to the collective interests of consumers. However, that Directive did not sufficiently address the challenges for the enforcement of consumer law. To improve the deterrence of unlawful practices, to encourage good and responsible business practices, and to reduce consumer detriment, it is necessary to strengthen the mechanism for protection of collective interests of consumers. Given the numerous changes, for the sake of clarity it is appropriate to replace Directive 2009/22/EC. There is a strong need for Union intervention, on the basis of Article 114 TFEU, in order to ensure both access to justice and sound administration of justice as it will reduce the costs and burden entailed by individual actions.

\(^{29}\) OJ L 110/30, 1.5.2009.
Proposal for a directive
Recital 3

Text proposed by the Commission

(3) A representative action should offer an effective and efficient way of protecting the collective interests of consumers. It should allow qualified entities to act with the aim of ensuring compliance with relevant provisions of Union law and to overcome the obstacles faced by consumers within individual actions, such as the uncertainty about their rights and available procedural mechanisms, psychological reluctance to take action and the negative balance of the expected costs and benefits of the individual action.

Amendment

(3) A representative action should offer an effective and efficient way of protecting the collective interests of all consumers against both internal and cross-border infringements. It should allow qualified representative entities to act with the aim of ensuring compliance with relevant provisions of Union law and to overcome the obstacles faced by consumers within individual actions, such as the uncertainty about their rights and available procedural mechanisms, previous experience of unsuccessful claims, excessively lengthy proceedings, psychological reluctance to take action and the negative balance of the expected costs and benefits of the individual action, thereby increasing legal certainty for both claimants and defendants, as well as for the legal system.

Amendment 4

Proposal for a directive
Recital 4

Text proposed by the Commission

(4) It is important to ensure the necessary balance between access to justice and procedural safeguards against abusive litigation which could unjustifiably hinder the ability of businesses to operate in the Single Market. To prevent the misuse of representative actions, elements such as punitive damages and the absence of limitations as regards the entitlement to bring an action on behalf of the harmed consumers should be avoided and clear rules on various procedural aspects, such as the designation of qualified entities, the origin of their funds and nature of the information required to support the representative action, should be laid down.

Amendment

(4) It is important to ensure the necessary balance between access to justice and procedural safeguards against abusive litigation which could unjustifiably hinder the ability of businesses to operate in the Single Market. To prevent the misuse of representative actions, elements such as punitive damages and the absence of limitations as regards the entitlement to bring an action on behalf of the harmed consumers should be avoided and clear rules on various procedural aspects, such as the designation of qualified representative entities, the origin of their funds and nature of the information required to support the representative action, should be laid down.
This Directive should not affect national rules concerning the allocation of procedural costs.

The unsuccessful party should bear the costs of the proceedings. However, the court or tribunal should not award costs to the unsuccessful party to the extent that they were unnecessarily incurred or are disproportionate to the claim.

Amendment 5

Proposal for a directive
Recital 6

Text proposed by the Commission

(6) This Directive should cover a variety of areas such as data protection, financial services, travel and tourism, energy, telecommunications and environment. It should cover infringements of provisions of Union law which protect the interests of consumers, regardless of whether they are referred to as consumers or as travellers, users, customers, retail investors, retail clients or other in the relevant Union law. To ensure adequate response to infringement to Union law, the form and scale of which is quickly evolving, it should be considered, each time where a new Union act relevant for the protection of the collective interests of consumers is adopted, whether to amend the Annex to the present Directive in order to place it under its scope.

Amendment

(6) This Directive should cover a variety of areas such as data protection, financial services, travel and tourism, energy, telecommunications, environment and health. It should cover infringements of provisions of Union law which protect the collective interests of consumers, regardless of whether they are referred to as consumers or as travellers, users, customers, retail investors, retail clients or other in the relevant Union law, as well as the collective interests of data subjects within the meaning of the GDPR Regulation. To ensure adequate response to infringement to Union law, the form and scale of which is quickly evolving, it should be considered, each time where a new Union act relevant for the protection of the collective interests of consumers is adopted, whether to amend the Annex to the present Directive in order to place it under its scope.

Amendment 6

Proposal for a directive
Recital 6 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

(6 a) This Directive applies to representative actions brought against

Amendment

(6 a) This Directive applies to representative actions brought against
infringements with a broad consumer impact related to the provisions covered by the Union law listed in Annex I. The broad impact starts when two consumers are affected.

Amendment 7
Proposal for a directive
Recital 9

Text proposed by the Commission

(9) This Directive should not establish rules of private international law regarding jurisdiction, the recognition and enforcement of judgments or applicable law. The existing Union law instruments apply to the representative actions set out by this Directive.

Amendment

(9) This Directive should not establish rules of private international law regarding jurisdiction, the recognition and enforcement of judgments or applicable law. The existing Union law instruments apply to the representative actions set out by this Directive preventing any increase in forum shopping.

Amendment 8
Proposal for a directive
Recital 9 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Directive.

Amendment 9
Proposal for a directive
Recital 10

Text proposed by the Commission

(10) As only qualified entities can bring the representative actions, to ensure that the collective interests of consumers are adequately represented the qualified entities should comply with the criteria established by this Directive. In particular, they would need to be properly constituted according to the law of a Member State, which could include for example requirements regarding the number of members, the degree of permanence, or transparency requirements on relevant aspects of their structure such as their constitutive statutes, management structure, objectives and working methods. They should also be not for profit and have a legitimate interest in ensuring compliance with the relevant Union law. These criteria should apply to both qualified entities designated in advance and to ad hoc qualified entities that are constituted for the purpose of a specific action.

Amendment

(10) As only qualified representative entities can bring the representative actions, to ensure that the collective interests of consumers are adequately represented the qualified representative entities should comply with the criteria established by this Directive. In particular, they would need to be properly constituted according to the law of a Member State, which should include for example transparency requirements on relevant aspects of their structure such as their constitutive statutes, management structure, objectives and working methods. They should also be not for profit and have a legitimate interest in ensuring compliance with the relevant Union law. Furthermore, the qualified representative entities must be independent from market operators, including financially. The qualified representative entities must also have an established procedure to prevent conflict of interests. Member States shall not impose criteria that go beyond those established in this Directive.

Amendment 10
Proposal for a directive
Recital 15

Text proposed by the Commission

(15) The qualified entity initiating the representative action under this Directive should be a party to the proceedings. Consumers concerned by the infringement should have adequate opportunities to...

Amendment

(15) The qualified entity initiating the representative action under this Directive should be a party to the proceedings. Consumers concerned by the infringement should have adequate information...
benefit from the relevant outcomes of the representative action. Injunction orders issued under this Directive should be without prejudice to individual actions brought by consumers harmed by the practice subject to the injunction order.

regarding the relevant outcomes of the representative action and how they can benefit from them. Injunction orders issued under this Directive should be without prejudice to individual actions brought by consumers harmed by the practice subject to the injunction order.

Amendment 11
Proposal for a directive
Recital 16

Text proposed by the Commission

(16) Qualified entities should be able to seek measures aimed at eliminating the continuing effects of the infringement. These measures should take the form of a redress order obligating the trader to provide for, inter alia, compensation, repair, replacement, price reduction, contract termination or reimbursement of the price paid, as appropriate and as available under national laws.

Amendment

(16) Qualified representative entities should be able to seek measures aimed at eliminating the continuing effects of the infringement. These measures should take the form of a redress order obligating the trader to provide for, inter alia, compensation, repair, replacement, removal, price reduction, contract termination or reimbursement of the price paid, as appropriate and as available under national laws.

Amendment 12
Proposal for a directive
Recital 18

Text proposed by the Commission

(18) Member States may require qualified entities to provide sufficient information to support a representative action for redress, including a description of the group of consumers concerned by an infringement and the questions of fact and law to be resolved within the representative action. The qualified entity should not be required to individually identify all consumers concerned by an infringement in order to initiate the action. In representative actions for redress the court or administrative authority should verify at

Amendment

(18) Member States should require qualified representative entities to provide sufficient information to support a representative action for redress, including a description of the group of consumers concerned by an infringement and the questions of fact and law to be resolved within the representative action. The qualified entity should not be required to individually identify all consumers concerned by an infringement in order to initiate the action. In representative actions for redress the court or administrative
authority should verify at the earliest possible stage of the proceedings whether the case is suitable for being brought as a representative action, given the nature of the infringement and characteristics of the damages suffered by consumers concerned.

In particular, the claims should be ascertainable and uniform and there should be a commonality in the measures sought, third-party funding arrangement of the qualified entity should be transparent and without any conflict of interest. Member States should also ensure that the court or administrative authority has the authority to dismiss manifestly unfounded cases at the earliest possible stage of proceedings.

Amendment 13

Proposal for a directive
Recital 19

Text proposed by the Commission

(19) Member States should be allowed to decide whether their court or national authority seized of a representative action for redress may exceptionally issue, instead of a redress order, a declaratory decision regarding the liability of the trader towards the consumers harmed by an infringement which could be directly relied upon in subsequent redress actions by individual consumers. This possibility should be reserved to duly justified cases where the quantification of the individual redress to be attributed to each of the consumer concerned by the representative action is complex and it would be inefficient to carry it out within the representative action. Declaratory decisions should not be issued in situations which are not complex and in particular where consumers concerned are identifiable and where the consumers have suffered a comparable harm in relation to a period of time or a purchase.

deleted
Similarly, declaratory decisions should not be issued where the amount of loss suffered by each of the individual consumers is so small that individual consumers are unlikely to claim for individual redress. The court or the national authority should duly motivate its recourse to a declaratory decision instead of a redress order in a particular case.

Amendment 14

Proposal for a directive
Recital 20

Text proposed by the Commission

(20) Where consumers concerned by the same practice are identifiable and they suffered comparable harm in relation to a period of time or a purchase, such as in the case of long-term consumer contracts, the court or administrative authority may clearly define the group of consumers concerned by the infringement in the course of the representative action. In particular, the court or administrative authority could ask the infringing trader to provide relevant information, such as the identity of the consumers concerned and the duration of the practice. For expediency and efficiency reasons, in these cases Member States in accordance with their national laws could consider to provide consumers with the possibility to directly benefit from a redress order after it was issued without being required to give their individual mandate before the redress order is issued.

Amendment 15

Proposal for a directive
Recital 21
(21) **In low-value cases most consumers are unlikely to take action in order to enforce their rights because the efforts would outweigh the individual benefits. However, if the same practice concerns a number of consumers, the aggregated loss may be significant. In such cases, a court or authority may consider that it is disproportionate to distribute the funds back to the consumers concerned, for example because it is too onerous or impracticable. Therefore the funds received as redress through representative actions would better serve the purposes of the protection of collective interests of consumers and should be directed to a relevant public purpose, such as a consumer legal aid fund, awareness campaigns or consumer movements.**

Amendment 16

Proposal for a directive

Recital 23

(23) **This Directive provides for a procedural mechanism, which does not affect the rules establishing substantive rights of consumers to contractual and non-contractual remedies in case their interests have been harmed by an infringement, such as the right to compensation for damages, contract termination, reimbursement, replacement, repair or price reduction. A representative action seeking redress under this Directive can only be brought where Union or national law provides for such substantive rights.**

Amendment 17
Proposal for a directive

Recital 24

Text proposed by the Commission

This Directive does not replace existing national collective redress mechanisms. Taking into account their legal traditions, it leaves it to the discretion of the Member States whether to design the representative action set out by this Directive as a part of an existing or future collective redress mechanism or as an alternative to these mechanisms, insofar as the national mechanism complies with the modalities set by this Directive.

Amendment

This Directive aims at a minimum harmonisation and does not replace existing national collective redress mechanisms. Taking into account their legal traditions, it leaves it to the discretion of the Member States whether to design the representative action set out by this Directive as a part of an existing or future collective redress mechanism or as an alternative to these mechanisms, insofar as the national mechanism complies with the modalities set by this Directive. It does not prevent Member States from maintaining their existing framework, neither does it oblige Member States to amend it. Member States will have the possibility to implement the rules provided for this Directive into their own system of collective redress or to implement them in a separate procedure.

Amendment 18

Proposal for a directive

Recital 25

Text proposed by the Commission

Qualified entities should be fully transparent about the source of funding of their activity in general and regarding the funds supporting a specific representative action for redress in order to enable courts or administrative authorities to assess whether there may be a conflict of interest between the third party funder and the qualified entity and to avoid risks of abusive litigation as well as to assess whether the funding third party has sufficient resources in order to meet its financial commitments to the qualified entity. The information provided by the qualified entity to the court or

Amendment

Qualified representative entities should be fully transparent about the source of funding of their activity in general and regarding the funds supporting a specific representative action for redress in order to enable courts or administrative authorities to assess whether there may be a conflict of interest between third party funder and the qualified entity and to avoid risks of abusive litigation as well as to assess whether the qualified entity has sufficient resources in order to represent the best interests of consumers concerned and to support all necessary legal costs should the action fail. The information
administrative authority overseeing the representative action should enable it to assess whether the third party may influence procedural decisions of the qualified entity in the context of the representative action, including on settlements and whether it provides financing for a representative action for redress against a defendant who is a competitor of the fund provider or against a defendant on whom the fund provider is dependant. If any of these circumstances is confirmed, the court or administrative authority should be empowered to require the qualified entity to refuse the relevant funding and, if necessary, reject standing of the qualified entity in a specific case.

provided by the qualified entity at the earliest stage of proceeding to the court or administrative authority overseeing the representative action should enable it to assess whether the third party may influence procedural decisions of the qualified entity in general and in the context of the representative action, including on settlements and whether it provides financing for a representative action for redress against a defendant who is a competitor of the fund provider or against a defendant on whom the fund provider is dependant. If any of these circumstances is confirmed, the court or administrative authority must be empowered to require the qualified entity to refuse the relevant funding and, if necessary, reject standing of the qualified entity in a specific case. Member States should prevent law firms from establishing qualified representative entities. Indirect financing of the action through donations, including traders donations in the framework of a corporate social responsibility initiatives, shall be eligible for third party financing provided that it complies with the requirements on transparency, independence and absence of conflict of interest listed in Article 4 and Article 7.

Amendment 19
Proposal for a directive
Recital 26

Text proposed by the Commission

(26) Collective out-of-court settlements aimed at providing redress to harmed consumers should be encouraged both before the representative action is brought and at any stage of the representative action.

Amendment

(26) Collective out-of-court settlements, such as mediation, aimed at providing redress to harmed consumers should be encouraged both before the representative action is brought and at any stage of the representative action.
Amendment 20
Proposal for a directive
Recital 27

Amendment

(27) Member States may provide that a qualified entity and a trader who have reached a settlement regarding redress for consumers affected by an allegedly illegal practice of that trader can jointly request a court or administrative authority to approve it. Such request should be admitted by the court or administrative authority only if there is no other ongoing representative action regarding the same practice. A competent court or administrative authority approving such collective settlement must take into consideration the interests and rights of all parties concerned, including individual consumers. **Settlements should be final and binding upon all parties.**

Amendment 21
Proposal for a directive
Recital 29

Amendment

(29) **Deleted**

Amendment 22
Proposal for a directive
Recital 30

Text proposed by the Commission

(30) Any out-of-court settlement reached within the context of a representative action or based on a final declaratory decision should be approved by the relevant court or the administrative authority to ensure its legality and fairness, taking into consideration the interests and rights of all parties concerned. Individual consumers concerned shall be given the possibility to accept or to refuse to be bound by such a settlement.

Amendment

(30) Any out-of-court settlement reached within the context of a representative action should be approved by the relevant court or the administrative authority to ensure its legality and fairness, taking into consideration the interests and rights of all parties concerned. The settlement are binding upon all parties without prejudice to any additional rights to redress that the consumers concerned may have under Union or national law.

Amendment 23

Proposal for a directive
Recital 32

Text proposed by the Commission

(32) To be effective, the information should be adequate and proportional to the circumstances of the case. The infringing trader should adequately inform all consumers concerned of a final injunction and redress orders issued within the representative action as well as of a settlement approved by a court or administrative authority. Such information may be provided for instance on the trader’s website, social media, online market places, or in popular newspapers, including those distributed exclusively by electronic means of communication. If possible, consumers should be informed individually through electronic or paper letters. This information should be provided in accessible formats for persons with disabilities upon request.

Amendment

(32) To be effective, the information should be adequate and proportional to the circumstances of the case. Member States should ensure that the court or the administrative authority may require the defeated party to adequately inform all consumers concerned of a final decision concerning injunction and redress issued within the representative action, and both parties in cases of a settlement approved by a court or administrative authority. Such information may be provided for instance on the website, social media, online market places, or in popular newspapers, including those distributed exclusively by electronic means of communication. This information should be provided in accessible formats for persons with disabilities upon request. The defeated party shall bear the costs of consumer information.

Amendment 24
Proposal for a directive
Recital 32 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(32a) **Member States should be encouraged to set up a national register for representative actions free of charge, which could further enhance the transparency obligations.**

Amendment 25

Proposal for a directive
Recital 33

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(33) To enhance legal certainty, avoid inconsistency in the application of Union law and to increase the effectiveness and procedural efficiency of representative actions and of possible follow-on actions for redress, the finding of an infringement established in a final decision, including a final injunction order under this Directive, issued by an administrative authority or a court should **not be relitigated in subsequent legal actions related to the same infringement by the same trader as regards the nature of the infringement and its material, personal, temporal and territorial scope as determined by that final decision.** Where an action seeking measures eliminating the continuing effects of the infringement, including for redress, is brought in a Member State other than the Member State where a final decision establishing this infringement was issued, the decision should constitute **a rebuttable presumption** that the infringement has occurred.

*Amendment*

(33) To enhance legal certainty, avoid inconsistency in the application of Union law and to increase the effectiveness and procedural efficiency of representative actions and of possible follow-on actions for redress, the finding of an infringement or a non-infringement established in a final decision, including a final injunction order under this Directive, issued by an administrative authority or a court should be binding upon all parties, which participated in the representative action. The final decision should be without prejudice to any additional rights to redress that the consumers concerned may have under Union or national law. The redress obtained through the settlement should also be binding upon cases involving the same practice, the same trader and the same consumer. Where an action seeking measures eliminating the continuing effects of the infringement, including for redress, is brought in a Member State other than the Member State where a final decision establishing this infringement or a non-infringement was issued, the decision should constitute **an evidence** that the infringement has or has not occurred in related cases. Member States shall ensure
that a final decision of a court of one Member State establishing the existence or non-existence of the infringement for the purposes of any other actions seeking redress before their national courts in another Member State against the same trader for the same infringement shall be considered as a rebuttable presumption.

Amendment 26
Proposal for a directive
Recital 35

Text proposed by the Commission

(35) Actions for redress based on the establishment of an infringement by a final injunction order or by a final declaratory decision regarding the liability of the trader towards the harmed consumers under this Directive should not be hindered by national rules on limitation periods. The submission of a representative action shall have the effect of suspending or interrupting the limitation periods for any redress actions for the consumers concerned by this action.

Amendment

(35) Actions for redress based on the establishment of an infringement by a final injunction order regarding the liability of the trader towards the harmed consumers under this Directive should not be hindered by national rules on limitation periods. The submission of a representative action shall have the effect of suspending or interrupting the limitation periods for any redress actions for the consumers concerned by this action.

Amendment 27
Proposal for a directive
Recital 39

Text proposed by the Commission

(39) Having regard to the fact that representative actions pursue a public interest by protecting the collective interests of consumers, Member States should ensure that qualified entities are not prevented from bringing representative actions under this Directive because of the costs involved with the procedures.

Amendment

(39) Having regard to the fact that representative actions pursue a public interest by protecting the collective interests of consumers, Member States should ensure that qualified representative entities are not prevented from bringing representative actions under this Directive because of the costs involved with the procedures. However, subject to the relevant conditions under national law,
this should be without prejudice to the fact that the party that loses a representative action reimburses necessary legal costs borne by the winning party ('loser pays principle'). However, the court or administrative authority should not award costs to the unsuccessful party to the extent that they were unnecessarily incurred or are disproportionate to the claim.

Amendment 28

Proposal for a directive
Recital 39 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

(39a) Member States should ensure that contingency fees are avoided and lawyers’ remuneration and the method by which it is calculated do no create any incentive to litigation that is unnecessary from the point of view of the interest of consumers or any of the parties concerned and could prevent consumers from fully benefiting from the representative action. The Member States that allow for contingency fees should ensure that such fees do not prevent obtaining full compensation by consumers.

Amendment 29

Proposal for a directive
Recital 40

Text proposed by the Commission

(40) Cooperation and exchange of information between qualified entities from different Member States have proven to be useful in addressing cross-border infringements. There is a need for continuing and expanding the capacity-building and cooperation measures to a larger number of qualified entities across

Amendment

(40) Cooperation and exchange of information, good practices and experience between qualified representative entities from different Member States have proven to be useful in addressing cross-border infringements. There is a need for continuing and expanding the capacity-building and
the Union in order to increase the use of representative actions with cross-border implications.

coopration measures to a larger number of qualified representative entities across the Union in order to increase the use of representative actions with cross-border implications.

Amendment 30

Proposal for a directive
Recital 41 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(41a) In order to explore the possibility of having a procedure at Union level for cross-border representative actions, the Commission should assess the possibility of establishing a European Ombudsman for collective redress.

Amendment 31

Proposal for a directive
Article 1 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. This Directive sets out rules enabling qualified representatives entities to seek representative actions aimed at the protection of the collective interests of consumers and thereby, in particular, achieve and enforce a high level of protection and access to justice, while at the same time ensuring appropriate safeguards to avoid abusive litigation.

Amendment 32

Proposal for a directive
Article 1 – paragraph 2
Text proposed by the Commission

2. This Directive shall not prevent Member States from adopting or maintaining in force provisions designed to grant qualified entities or any other persons concerned other procedural means to bring actions aimed at the protection of the collective interests of consumers at national level.

Amendment

2. This Directive shall not prevent Member States from adopting or maintaining in force provisions designed to grant qualified representative entities or any public body other procedural means to bring actions aimed at the protection of the collective interests of consumers at national level. The implementation of this Directive shall under no circumstances constitute grounds for the reduction of consumer protection in fields covered by the scope of Union law.

Amendment 33

Proposal for a directive
Article 2 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. This Directive shall apply to representative actions brought against infringements of provisions of the Union law listed in Annex I that harm or may harm the collective interests of consumers. It shall apply to domestic and cross-border infringements, including where those infringements have ceased before the representative action has started or before the representative action has been concluded.

Amendment

1. This Directive shall apply to representative actions brought against infringements with a broad consumer impact by traders of provisions of the Union law listed in Annex I that protect the collective interests of consumers. It shall apply to domestic and cross-border infringements, including where those infringements have ceased before the representative action has started or before the representative action has been concluded.

Amendment 34

Proposal for a directive
Article 2 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. This Directive is without prejudice to the Union rules on private international law.

Amendment

3. This Directive is without prejudice to the Union rules on private international law.
law, in particular rules related to court jurisdiction and applicable law.

law, in particular rules related to court jurisdiction, to the recognition and enforcement of judgements in civil and commercial matters and rules on the law applicable to contractual and non-contractual obligations, which apply to the representative actions set out by this Directive.

Amendment 35
Proposal for a directive
Article 2 – paragraph 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3 a. This Directive is without prejudice to other forms of redress mechanisms provided for in national law.

Amendment 36
Proposal for a directive
Article 2 – paragraph 3 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3 b. This Directive respects the fundamental rights, and observes the principles, recognised by the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union and the European Convention on Human Rights, and in particular the right to a fair and impartial trial and the right to an effective remedy.

Amendment 37
Proposal for a directive
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 1 a (new)
(1 a) ‘consumer organisation’ means any group that seeks to protect consumers’ interests from illegal acts or omissions committed by traders.

Amendment 38
Proposal for a directive
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 2

Text proposed by the Commission

(2) ‘trader’ means any natural person or any legal person, irrespective of whether privately or publicly owned, who is acting, including through any other person acting in their name or on their behalf, for purposes relating to their trade, business, craft or profession;

Amendment

(2) ‘trader’ means any natural person or any legal person, irrespective of whether privately or publicly owned, who is acting in civil capacity under the rules of civil law, including through any other person acting in their name or on their behalf, for purposes relating to their trade, business, craft or profession;

Amendment 39
Proposal for a directive
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 3

Text proposed by the Commission

(3) ‘collective interests of consumers’ means the interests of a number of consumers;

Amendment

(3) ‘collective interests of consumers’ means the interests of a number of consumers or of data subjects as defined in Regulation(EU)2016/679 (General Data Protection Regulation);

Amendment 40
Proposal for a directive
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 6 a (new)
(6 a) "consumer law" means Union and national law adopted to protect consumers;

Amendment 41

Proposal for a directive
Article 4 – title

Text proposed by the Commission
Qualified entities

Amendment
Qualified representative entities

Amendment 42

Proposal for a directive
Article 4 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission
Member States or its courts shall designate within their respective territory at least one qualified representative entities for the purpose of bringing representative actions within the meaning of Article 3(4).

Amendment
Member States shall designate an entity as qualified representative entity if it complies with all of the following criteria:

Amendment 43

Proposal for a directive
Article 4 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission
it has a legitimate interest in ensuring that provisions of Union law covered by this Directive are complied

Amendment
its statutes or another governance document and its continued activity involving the defence and protection of
consumers interests demonstrate its legitimate interest in ensuring that provisions of Union law covered by this Directive are complied with;

Amendment 44

Proposal for a directive
Article 4 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c a) it acts in a way that is independent from other entities and from persons other than consumers who might have an economic interest in the outcome of the representative actions, in particular from market operators;

Amendment 45

Proposal for a directive
Article 4 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point c b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c b) it does not have financial agreements with plaintiff law firms beyond a normal service contract;

Amendment 46

Proposal for a directive
Article 4 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point c c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c c) it has established internal procedures to prevent a conflict of interest between itself and its funders;
Amendment 47

Proposal for a directive
Article 4 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Member States shall provide that the qualified representative entities disclose publicly, by appropriate means, such as on its website, in plain and intelligible language, how it is financed, its organisational and management structure, its objective and its working methods as well as its activities.

Amendment

Member States shall assess on a regular basis whether a qualified entity continues to comply with these criteria. Member States shall ensure that the qualified entity loses its status under this Directive if it no longer complies with one or more of the criteria listed in the first subparagraph.

Member States shall assess on a regular basis whether a qualified representative entity continues to comply with these criteria. Member States shall ensure that the qualified representative entity loses its status under this Directive if it no longer complies with one or more of the criteria listed in the first subparagraph.

Member States shall establish a list of representative entities complying with the criteria listed in paragraph 1 and make it publicly available. They shall communicate the list to the Commission updated where necessary.

The Commission shall publish the list of representative entities received from the Member States on a publicly accessible online portal.

Amendment 48

Proposal for a directive
Article 4 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

I a. Member States may provide that public bodies already designated before the entry into force of this Directive in
accordance with national law shall remain eligible for the status of representative entity within the meaning of this Article.

Amendment 49
Proposal for a directive
Article 4 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Member States may designate a qualified entity on an ad hoc basis for a particular representative action, at its request, if it complies with the criteria referred to in paragraph 1.

Amendment

deleted

Amendment 50
Proposal for a directive
Article 4 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Member States shall ensure that in particular consumer organisations and independent public bodies are eligible for the status of qualified entity. Member States may designate as qualified entities consumer organisations that represent members from more than one Member State.

Amendment

3. Member States shall ensure that consumer organisations meeting the criteria listed in paragraph 1 and public bodies are eligible for the status of qualified representative entity. Member States may designate as qualified representative entities consumer organisations that represent members from more than one Member State.

Amendment 51
Proposal for a directive
Article 4 – paragraph 4
4. Member States may set out rules specifying which qualified entities may seek all of the measures referred to in Articles 5 and 6, and which qualified entities may seek only one or more of these measures.

Amendment 52

Proposal for a directive
Article 4 – paragraph 5

5. The compliance by a qualified entity with the criteria referred to in paragraph 1 is without prejudice to the right of the court or administrative authority to examine whether the purpose of the qualified entity justifies its taking action in a specific case in accordance with Article 5(1).

Amendment 53

Proposal for a directive
Article 5 – paragraph 1

1. Member States shall ensure that representative actions can be brought before national courts or administrative authorities by qualified entities provided that there is a direct relationship between the main objectives of the entity and the rights granted under Union law that are claimed to have been violated in respect of which the action is brought.
The qualified representative entities are free to choose any procedure available under national or EU law ensuring the higher level of protection of collective consumer interest.

Member States shall ensure that no other ongoing action has been brought before a court or administrative authority of Member State regarding the same practice, the same trader and the same consumers.

Amendment 54

Proposal for a directive
Article 5 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

_text proposed by the Commission_

Member States shall ensure that qualified entities are entitled to bring representative actions seeking the following measures:

Amendment

Member States shall ensure that qualified representative entities, including public bodies that have been designated in advance, are entitled to bring representative actions seeking the following measures:

Amendment 55

Proposal for a directive
Article 5 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 2

_text proposed by the Commission_

In order to seek injunction orders, qualified entities shall not have to obtain the mandate of the individual consumers concerned or provide proof of actual loss or damage on the part of the consumers concerned or of intention or negligence on the part of the trader.

Amendment

In order to seek injunction orders, qualified representative entities shall not have to obtain the mandate of the individual consumers concerned and provide proof of actual loss or damage on the part of the consumers concerned or of intention or negligence on the part of the trader.

Amendment 56
Proposal for a directive
Article 5 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) an injunction order as an interim measure for stopping the practice or, if the practice has not yet been carried out but is imminent, prohibiting the practices;

Amendment

(a) an injunction order as an interim measure for stopping the illegal practice or, if the practice has not yet been carried out but is imminent, prohibiting the illegal practices;

Amendment 57

Proposal for a directive
Article 5 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Member States shall ensure that qualified entities are entitled to bring representative actions seeking measures eliminating the continuing effects of the infringement. These measures shall be sought on the basis of any final decision establishing that a practice constitutes an infringement of Union law listed in Annex I harming collective interests of consumers, including a final injunction order referred to in paragraph (2)(b).

Amendment

3. Member States shall ensure that qualified representative entities are entitled to bring representative actions seeking measures eliminating the continuing effects of the infringement.

Amendment 58

Proposal for a directive
Article 5 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Without prejudice to Article 4(4), Member States shall ensure that qualified entities are able to seek the measures eliminating the continuing effects of the infringement together with measures referred to in paragraph 2 within a single

Amendment

deleted
representative action.

Amendment 59

Proposal for a directive
Article 5 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission  Amendment

Article 5a

Registry of collective redress actions

1. Member States may set up a national register for representative actions, which shall be available free of charge to any interested person through electronic means and/or otherwise.

2. Websites publishing the registries shall provide access to comprehensive and objective information on the available methods of obtaining compensation, including out of court methods as well as the pending representative actions.

3. The national registries shall be interlinked. Article 35 of Regulation (EU) 2017/2394 shall apply.

Amendment 60

Proposal for a directive
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission  Amendment

1. For the purposes of Article 5(3), Member States shall ensure that qualified entities are entitled to bring representative actions seeking a redress order, which obligates the trader to provide for, inter alia, compensation, repair, replacement, price reduction, contract termination or reimbursement of the price paid, as appropriate. A Member State may require the mandate of the individual consumers concerned before a declaratory decision is
made or a redress order is issued.

Amendment 61
Proposal for a directive
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission
Amendment

1a. If a Member State does not require a mandate of the individual consumer to join the representative action, this Member State shall nevertheless allow those individuals who are not habitually resident in the Member State where the action occurs, to participate in the representative action, in the event they gave their explicit mandate to join the representative action within the applicable time limit.

Amendment 62
Proposal for a directive
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission
Amendment

The qualified entity shall provide sufficient information as required under national law to support the action, including a description of the consumers concerned by the action and the questions of fact and law to be resolved.

The qualified representative entity shall provide all the necessary information as required under national law to support the action, including a description of the consumers concerned by the action and the questions of fact and law to be resolved.

Amendment 63
Proposal for a directive
Article 6 – paragraph 2
2. By derogation to paragraph 1, Member States may empower a court or administrative authority to issue, instead of a redress order, a declaratory decision regarding the liability of the trader towards the consumers harmed by an infringement of Union law listed in Annex I, in duly justified cases where, due to the characteristics of the individual harm to the consumers concerned the quantification of individual redress is complex.

Amendment 64

Proposal for a directive
Article 6 – paragraph 3

3. Paragraph 2 shall not apply in the cases where:

(a) consumers concerned by the infringement are identifiable and suffered comparable harm caused by the same practice in relation to a period of time or a purchase. In such cases the requirement of the mandate of the individual consumers concerned shall not constitute a condition to initiate the action. The redress shall be directed to the consumers concerned;

(b) consumers have suffered a small amount of loss and it would be disproportionate to distribute the redress to them. In such cases, Member States shall ensure that the mandate of the individual consumers concerned is not required. The redress shall be directed to a public purpose serving the collective interests of consumers.
Amendment 65
Proposal for a directive
Article 6 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The redress obtained through a final decision in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 shall be without prejudice to any additional rights to redress that the consumers concerned may have under Union or national law.

Amendment

4. The redress obtained through a final decision in accordance with paragraph 1 shall be without prejudice to any additional rights to redress that the consumers concerned may have under Union or national law. The res judicata principle shall be respected in the application of this provision.

Amendment 66
Proposal for a directive
Article 6 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

4 a. The redress measures aim to grant consumers concerned full compensation for their loss. In case of unclaimed amount left from the compensation, a court shall decide on the beneficiary of the remaining unclaimed amount. This unclaimed amount shall not go to the qualified representative entity nor to the trader.

Amendment

4 a. The redress measures aim to grant consumers concerned full compensation for their loss. In case of unclaimed amount left from the compensation, a court shall decide on the beneficiary of the remaining unclaimed amount. This unclaimed amount shall not go to the qualified representative entity nor to the trader.

Amendment 67
Proposal for a directive
Article 6 – paragraph 4 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

4 b. In particular, punitive damages, leading to overcompensation in favour of the claimant party of the damage suffered, shall be prohibited. For instance, the
compensation awarded to consumers harmed collectively shall not exceed the amount owed by the trader in accordance with the applicable national or Union law in order to cover the actual harm suffered by them individually.

Amendment 68
Proposal for a directive
Article 7 – title

Text proposed by the Commission
Amendment

Funding
Admissibility of a representative action

Amendment 69
Proposal for a directive
Article 7 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission
Amendment

1. The qualified entity seeking a redress order as referred in Article 6(1) shall declare at an early stage of the action the source of the funds used for its activity in general and the funds that it uses to support the action. It shall demonstrate that it has sufficient financial resources to represent the best interests of the consumers concerned and to meet any adverse costs should the action fail.

1. The qualified representative entity seeking a redress order as referred in Article 6(1) shall submit to the court or administrative authority at the earliest stage of the action a complete financial overview, listing all sources of funds used for its activity in general and the funds that it uses to support the action in order to demonstrate the absence of conflict of interest. It shall demonstrate that it has sufficient financial resources to represent the best interests of the consumers concerned and to meet any adverse costs should the action fail.

Amendment 70
Proposal for a directive
Article 7 – paragraph 2
2. Member States shall ensure that in cases where a representative action for redress is funded by a third party, it is prohibited for the third party:

(2) The representative action may be declared inadmissible by the national court if it establishes that the funding by the third party would:

Amendment 71

Proposal for a directive
Article 7 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) to influence decisions of the qualified entity in the context of a representative action, including on settlements;

Amendment

(a) to influence decisions of the qualified representative entity in the context of a representative action, including the initiation of representative actions and decisions on settlements;

Amendment 72

Proposal for a directive
Article 7 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Member States shall ensure that courts and administrative authorities are empowered to assess the circumstances referred to in paragraph 2 and accordingly require the qualified entity to refuse the relevant funding and, if necessary, reject the standing of the qualified entity in a specific case.

Amendment

3. Member States shall ensure that courts and administrative authorities assess the absence of conflict of interest referred to in paragraph 1 and the circumstances referred to in paragraph 2 at the stage of admissibility of the representative action and at a later stage during the court proceedings if the circumstances only yield then.

Amendment 73

Proposal for a directive
Article 7 – paragraph 3 a (new)
**Text proposed by the Commission**

3 a. **Member States shall ensure that the court or administrative authority have the authority to dismiss manifestly unfounded cases at the earliest possible stage of proceedings.**

**Amendment 74**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 7 a (new)**

**Text proposed by the Commission**

**Amendment**

3 a. **Member States shall ensure that the court or administrative authority have the authority to dismiss manifestly unfounded cases at the earliest possible stage of proceedings.**

**Amendment 74**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 7 a (new)**

**Text proposed by the Commission**

**Amendment**

**Article 7 a**

Loser pay principle  
*Member States shall ensure that the party that loses a collective redress action reimburses the legal costs borne by the winning party, subject to the conditions provided for in national law. However, the court or administrative authority shall not award costs to the unsuccessful party to the extent that they were unnecessarily incurred or are disproportionate to the claim.*

**Amendment 75**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 8 – paragraph 1**

**Text proposed by the Commission**

**Amendment**

1. Member States may provide that a qualified entity and a trader who have reached a settlement regarding redress for consumers affected by an allegedly illegal practice of that trader can jointly request a court or administrative authority to approve it. *Such a request should be admitted by the court or administrative authority only*

1. Member States may provide that a qualified *representative* entity and a trader who have reached a settlement regarding redress for consumers affected by an allegedly illegal practice of that trader can jointly request a court or administrative authority to approve it.
if there is no other ongoing representative action in front of the court or administrative authority of the same Member State regarding the same trader and regarding the same practice.

Amendment 76

Proposal for a directive
Article 8 – paragraph 6

*Text proposed by the Commission*

6. **Individual consumers concerned shall be given the possibility to accept or to refuse to be bound by settlements referred to in paragraphs 1, 2 and 3.** The redress obtained through an approved settlement in accordance with paragraph 4 shall be without prejudice to any additional rights to redress that the consumers concerned may have under Union or national law.

*Amendment*

6. The redress obtained through an approved settlement in accordance with paragraph 4 shall be **binding upon all parties** without prejudice to any additional rights to redress that the consumers concerned may have under Union or national law.

Amendment 77

Proposal for a directive
Article 9 – paragraph -1 (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

-1 **Member States shall ensure that the representative entities:**

(a)inform consumers about the claimed violation of rights granted under Union law and the intention to seek an injunction or to pursue an action for damages,

(b)explain to consumers concerned already on beforehand the possibility to join the action in order to ensure that the relevant documents and other information necessary for the action are kept.

*Amendment*

-1 **Member States shall ensure that the representative entities:**

(a)inform consumers about the claimed violation of rights granted under Union law and the intention to seek an injunction or to pursue an action for damages,

(b)explain to consumers concerned already on beforehand the possibility to join the action in order to ensure that the relevant documents and other information necessary for the action are kept.
Amendment 78

Proposal for a directive
Article 9 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall ensure that the court or administrative authority shall require the infringing trader to inform affected consumers at its expense about the final decisions providing for measures referred to in Articles 5 and 6, and the approved settlements referred to in Article 8, by means appropriate to the circumstance of the case and within specified time limits, including, where appropriate, through notifying all consumers concerned individually.

Amendment

1. Where a settlement or final decision benefits consumers who may be unaware of it, Member States shall ensure that the court or administrative authority shall require the defeated party or both parties to inform affected consumers at its expense about the final decisions providing for measures referred to in Articles 5 and 6, and the approved settlements referred to in Article 8, by means appropriate to the circumstance of the case and within specified time limits. Members States may provide that the information obligation can be complied with through publically available and easily accessible website.

Amendment 79

Proposal for a directive
Article 9 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

1 a. The defeated party shall bear the costs of consumer information in accordance with the principle laid down in Article 7.

Amendment

1 a. The defeated party shall bear the costs of consumer information in accordance with the principle laid down in Article 7.

Amendment 80

Proposal for a directive
Article 9 – paragraph 2
2. The information referred to in paragraph 1 shall include in intelligible language an explanation of the subject-matter of the representative action, its legal consequences and, if relevant, the subsequent steps to be taken by the consumers concerned.

Amendment 81

Proposal for a directive
Article 9 – paragraph 2 a (new)

2 a. Member States shall ensure that information is made available to the public in an accessible way, on upcoming, ongoing and closed collective actions, including via media and online through a public website when a court has decided that the case is admissible.

Amendment 82

Proposal for a directive
Article 9 – paragraph 2 b (new)

2 b. Member States shall ensure that public communications by qualified entities about claims are factual and take into account both the right for consumers to receive information and defendants’ reputational rights and rights to business secrecy.
Amendment 83
Proposal for a directive
Article 10 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall ensure that an infringement harming collective interests of consumers established in a final decision of an administrative authority or a court, including a final injunction order referred to in Article 5(2)(b), is deemed as irrefutably establishing the existence of that infringement for the purposes of any other actions seeking redress before their national courts against the same trader for the same infringement.

Amendment

1. Member States shall ensure that a final decision of an administrative authority or a court, including a final injunction order referred to in Article 5(2)(b), considered as evidence establishing the existence or non-existence of that infringement for the purposes of any other actions seeking redress before their national courts against the same trader for the same facts providing that the same damage cannot be compensated twice to the same consumers concerned.

Amendment 84
Proposal for a directive
Article 10 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Member States shall ensure that a final decision referred to in paragraph 1, taken in another Member State is considered by their national courts or administrative authorities as a rebuttable presumption that an infringement has occurred

Amendment

2. Member States shall ensure that a final decision referred to in paragraph 1, taken in another Member State is considered by their national courts or administrative authorities at least as evidence that an infringement has occurred

Amendment 85
Proposal for a directive
Article 10 – paragraph 2 a (new)
Text proposed by the Commission  

2 a. Member States shall ensure that a final decision of a court of one Member State establishing the existence or non-existence of the infringement for the purposes of any other actions seeking redress before their national courts in another Member State against the same trader for the same infringement shall be considered as a rebuttable presumption.

Amendment 86
Proposal for a directive  
Article 10 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission  

3. Member States shall ensure that a final declaratory decision referred to in Article 6(2) is deemed as irrefutably establishing the liability of the trader towards the harmed consumers by an infringement for the purposes of any actions seeking redress before their national courts against the same trader for that infringement. Member States shall ensure that such actions for redress brought individually by consumers are available through expedient and simplified procedures.

Amendment 87
Proposal for a directive  
Article 11 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission  

In accordance with national law, Member States shall ensure that the submission of a representative action as referred to in Articles 5 and 6 shall have the effect of suspending or interrupting limitation periods applicable to any redress
actions for the *consumers* concerned, if the relevant rights are subject to a limitation period under Union or national law.

periods applicable to any redress actions for the *individuals* concerned, if the relevant rights are subject to a limitation period under Union or national law.

Amendment 88

Proposal for a directive
Article 13 – paragraph 1

*Text proposed by the Commission*

Member States shall ensure that, at the request of a *qualified entity* that has presented reasonably available facts and *evidence* sufficient to support *the representative action*, and has indicated further evidence which lies in the control of the *defendant*, the court or administrative authority may order, in accordance with national procedural rules, that such evidence be presented by *the defendant*, subject to the applicable Union and national rules on confidentiality.

*Amendment*

Member States shall ensure that, at the request of *one of the parties* that has presented reasonably available facts and sufficient *evidence and a substantive explanation* to support *its views*, and has indicated further *specific and clear defined evidence* which lies in the control of the *other party*, the court or administrative authority may order, in accordance with national procedural rules, that such evidence be presented by *this party, as narrowly as possible on the basis of reasonably available facts*, subject to the applicable Union and national rules on confidentiality. *The order must be adequate and proportionate in the respective case and must not create an imbalance between the two parties involved.*

Amendment 89

Proposal for a directive
Article 13 – paragraph 1 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

1a. *Member States shall ensure that the courts limit the disclosure of evidence to what is proportionate. To determine whether any disclosure requested by a representative entity is proportionate, the*
court shall consider the legitimate interest of all parties concerned, namely to which extent the request for disclosure of evidence is supported by available facts and evidence and whether the evidence the disclosure of which is requested contains confidential information.

Amendment 90

Proposal for a directive
Article 13 – paragraph 1 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1b. Member States shall ensure that national courts have the power to order the disclosure of evidence containing information where they consider it relevant to the action for damages.

Amendment 91

Proposal for a directive
Article 14 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. Member States shall ensure that penalties may take the form of fines.

3. Member States shall ensure that penalties may take, inter alia, the form of fines.

Amendment 92

Proposal for a directive
Article 14 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. When deciding about the allocation of revenues from fines Member States shall take into account the collective interests of consumers.

Member States may decide for such revenues to be allocated to a fund created for the purpose of financing...
representative actions.

Amendment 93
Proposal for a directive
Article 15 – title

Text proposed by the Commission
Assistance for qualified entities

Amendment
Assistance for qualified representative entities

Amendment 94
Proposal for a directive
Article 15 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission
1. Member States shall take the necessary measures to ensure that procedural costs related to representative actions do not constitute financial obstacles for qualified entities to effectively exercise the right to seek the measures referred to in Articles 5 and 6, such as limiting applicable court or administrative fees, granting them access to legal aid where necessary, or by providing them with public funding for this purpose.

Amendment
1. Member States shall be encouraged, in line with Article 7, to ensure that qualified representative entities have sufficient funds available for representative actions. They shall take the necessary measures to facilitate access to justice and shall ensure that procedural costs related to representative actions do not constitute financial obstacles for qualified entities to effectively exercise the right to seek the measures referred to in Articles 5 and 6, such as limiting applicable court or administrative fees or granting them access to legal aid where necessary, or by providing them with public funding for this purpose.

Amendment 95
Proposal for a directive
Article 15 – paragraph 1 a (new)
1. Member States shall provide structural support to entities acting as qualified entities within the scope of this Directive.

Amendment 96

Proposal for a directive
Article 15a (new)

1. Member States shall provide structural support to entities acting as qualified entities within the scope of this Directive.

Amendment 97

Proposal for a directive
Article 16 – paragraph 1

1. Member States shall take the measures necessary to ensure that any qualified entity designated in advance in one Member State in accordance with Article 4(1) may apply to the courts or administrative authorities of another Member State upon the presentation of the publicly available list referred to in that Article. The courts or administrative authorities shall accept this list as proof of the legal standing of the qualified entity without prejudice to their right to examine whether the purpose of the qualified entity
justifies its taking action in a specific case.

Amendment 98

Proposal for a directive
Article 16 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2 a. Member State where a collective redress takes place may require a mandate from the consumers who are resident in this Member State and shall require a mandate from individual consumers based in another Member State when the action is cross-border. In such circumstances, a consolidated list of all consumers from other Member States who have given such a mandate will be provided to the court or administrative authority and the defendant at the beginning of an action.

Amendment 99

Proposal for a directive
Article 16 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. If a Member State or the Commission raises concerns regarding the compliance by a qualified entity with the criteria laid down in Article 4(1), the Member State that designated that entity shall investigate the concerns and, where appropriate, revoke the designation if one or more of the criteria are not complied with.

Amendment 100
Proposal for a directive  
Article 16 a (new)  

Text proposed by the Commission 

Amendment  

Article 16a  

Public Register  

Member States shall ensure that the relevant national competent authorities set up a publicly accessible register of unlawful acts that have been subject to injunction orders in accordance with the provisions of this Directive.

Amendment 101  

Proposal for a directive  
Article 18 – paragraph 2  

Text proposed by the Commission 

Amendment  

2. No later than one year after the entry into force of this Directive, the Commission shall assess whether the rules on air and rail passenger rights offer a level of protection of the rights of consumers comparable to that provided for under this Directive. Where that is the case, the Commission intends to make appropriate proposals, which may consist in particular in removing the acts referred to in points 10 and 15 of Annex 1 from the scope of application of this Directive as defined in Article 2.

Amendment 102  

Proposal for a directive  
Article 18 a (new)  

Text proposed by the Commission 

Amendment  

Article 18a  

Review clause
Without prejudice to Article 16, the Commission shall assess whether cross-border representative actions could be best addressed at Union level by establishing a European Ombudsman for collective redress. No later than three years after the entry into force of this Directive, the Commission shall draw up a report in this regard and submit it to the European Parliament and the Council, accompanied, if appropriate, by a relevant proposal.

Amendment 103
Proposal for a directive
Annex I – point 59 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment 104
Proposal for a directive
Annex I – point 59 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment 105
Proposal for a directive
Annex I – point 59 c (new)
Text proposed by the Commission


Amendment 106
Proposal for a directive
Annex I – point 59 d (new)

Text proposed by the Commission


Amendment 107
Proposal for a directive
Annex I – point 59 e (new)

Text proposed by the Commission


Amendment 108
Proposal for a directive
Annex I – point 59 f (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

OPINION OF THE COMMITTEE ON THE INTERNAL MARKET AND CONSUMER PROTECTION

for the Committee on Legal Affairs


Rapporteur for opinion: Dennis de Jong

(*) Associated committees – Rule 54 of the Rules of Procedure

SHORT JUSTIFICATION

The Rapporteur agrees with the underlying principles of the Commission proposal and is of the opinion that the Commission strikes the right balance of facilitating representative actions, without opening the doors to abusive practices. In particular, the Rapporteur considers it vital that only not-for-profit organisations can act as ‘qualified entities’ in the sense of the Directive.

Minimum harmonisation

In Article 1, the current formulation is rather vague. The Rapporteur therefore suggests making it very clear that the Directive aims at minimum harmonisation and that Member States remain free to adopt or maintain provisions that are more favourable to consumers.
Qualified entities and representative measures
Although most Member States have independent bodies dealing with consumer rights, this is not the case in, for example, Germany. This Directive is not the suitable tool to require all Member States to establish such bodies. Hence, the Rapporteur added the words ‘where applicable’ in Article 4.

With respect to Article 5, the launch of representative actions for redress should not have to wait until the final decision establishes that a practice constitutes an infringement of Union law. Otherwise, procedures may take so long that the actual evidence of damage may be lost.

Redress measures
In Article 6, the Rapporteur suggests to limit the possibility for Member States to empower a court or administrative authority to issue a declaratory decision in order to prevent that cases will too easily be marked as complex. Secondly, the Rapporteur proposes to give all consumers the right to receive their compensation, regardless of the amount of loss. A small amount of loss is a subjective term, since a small amount for one consumer can mean a lot for another.

Scope
Although the Rapporteur would not be in favour of taking out passengers’ rights from the scope of the Directive, he refrained from deleting the references to an evaluation of this aspect, as the latest Commission legislative proposals on air and rail passenger rights are still under negotiation. Once these negotiations have been finalised, a more complete picture will emerge. Re-assessment after one year after the entry into force of the Directive, seems too soon, however, to establish whether the new rules on passenger rights offer an adequate level of protection comparable to that provided in the Directive.

In the Annex I the Rapporteur included a number of legislative instruments which have proven to be important for consumers, thus broadening the material scope of the Directive.
AMENDMENTS

The Committee on the Internal Market and Consumer Protection calls on the Committee on Legal Affairs, as the committee responsible, to take into account the following amendments:

Amendment 1

Proposal for a directive
Recital 3

Text proposed by the Commission

(3) A representative action should offer an effective and efficient way of protecting the collective interests of consumers. It should allow qualified entities to act with the aim of ensuring compliance with relevant provisions of Union law and to overcome the obstacles faced by consumers within individual actions, such as the uncertainty about their rights and available procedural mechanisms, psychological reluctance to take action and the negative balance of the expected costs and benefits of the individual action.

Amendment 2

Proposal for a directive
Recital 6

Text proposed by the Commission

(6) This Directive should cover a variety of areas such as data protection, financial services, travel and tourism, energy, telecommunications and environment. It should cover infringements of provisions of Union law which protect the interests of consumers, regardless of whether they are referred to as consumers or as travellers, users, customers, retail investors, retail clients or other in the relevant Union law. To ensure adequate

(6) This Directive should cover a variety of areas such as data protection, financial services, travel and tourism, energy, telecommunications, environment and health. It should cover infringements of provisions of Union law, which protect the collective interests of consumers, regardless of whether they are referred to as consumers or as travellers, users, customers, retail investors, retail clients or other in the relevant Union law, as well as
response to infringement to Union law, the form and scale of which is quickly evolving, it should be considered, each time where a new Union act relevant for the protection of the collective interests of consumers is adopted, whether to amend the Annex to the present Directive in order to place it under its scope.

the collective interests of data subjects within the meaning of the GDPR Regulation. To ensure adequate response to infringement to Union law, the form and scale of which is quickly evolving, it should be considered, each time where a new Union act relevant for the protection of the collective interests of individuals is adopted, whether to amend the Annex to the present Directive in order to place it under its scope.

Amendment 3

Proposal for a directive
Recital 7

Text proposed by the Commission

(7) The Commission has adopted legislative proposals for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council amending Regulation (EC) No 261/2004 establishing common rules on compensation and assistance to passengers in the event of denied boarding and of cancellation or long delay of flights and Regulation (EC) No 2027/97 on air carrier liability in respect of the carriage of passengers and their baggage by air and for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on rail passengers' rights and obligations. It is therefore appropriate to provide that, one year after the entry into force of this Directive, the Commission assesses whether the Union rules in the area of air and rail passengers’ rights offer an adequate level of protection for consumers, comparable to that provided for in this Directive, and draws any necessary conclusions as regards the scope of this Directive.


Amendment 4
Proposal for a directive
Recital 9 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission


Amendment 5
Proposal for a directive
Recital 10

Text proposed by the Commission

(10) As only qualified entities can bring the representative actions, to ensure that the collective interests of consumers are adequately represented the qualified entities should comply with the criteria established by this Directive. In particular, they would need to be properly constituted according to the law of a Member State, which could include for example requirements regarding the number of members, the degree of permanence, or transparency requirements on relevant

Amendment

(10) As only qualified entities can bring the representative actions, to ensure that the collective interests of consumers are adequately represented the qualified entities should comply with the criteria established by this Directive. In particular, they should be registered in a Member State of the European Union as having been properly constituted according to the law of that Member State. In particular, they should have a non-profit making character and a governance structure.
aspects of their structure such as their constitutive statutes, management structure, objectives and working methods. They should also be not for profit and have a legitimate interest in ensuring compliance with the relevant Union law. These criteria should apply to both qualified entities designated in advance and to ad hoc qualified entities that are constituted for the purpose of a specific action.

Amendment 6
Proposal for a directive
Recital 11

Text proposed by the Commission

(11) Independent public bodies and consumer organisations in particular should play an active role in ensuring compliance with relevant provisions of Union law and are all well placed to act as qualified entities. Since these entities have access to different sources of information regarding traders' practices towards consumers and hold different priorities for their activities, Member States should be free to decide on the types of measures that may be sought by each of these qualified entities in representative actions.

Amendment

(11) Independent public bodies, consumer organisations, and citizens' advice groups in particular should play an active role in ensuring compliance with relevant provisions of Union law and are all well placed to act as qualified entities. Since these entities have access to different sources of information regarding traders' practices towards consumers and hold different priorities for their activities, Member States should be free to decide on the types of measures that may be sought by each of these qualified entities in representative actions.

Amendment 7
Proposal for a directive
Recital 11 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

(11 a) Qualified entities should have no structural or financial interrelationship with a third person or organisation that financially benefits of the action by providing legal assistance or financial support.
Amendment 8
Proposal for a directive
Recital 13

Text proposed by the Commission

(13) To increase the procedural effectiveness of representative actions, qualified entities should have the possibility to seek different measures within a single representative action or within separate representative actions. These measures should include interim measures for stopping an ongoing practice or prohibiting a practice in case the practice has not been carried out but there is a risk that it would cause serious or irreversible harm to consumers, measures establishing that a given practice constitutes an infringement of law and, if necessary, stopping or prohibiting the practice for the future, as well as measures eliminating the continuing effects of the infringement, including redress. If sought within a single action, qualified entities should be able to seek all relevant measures at the moment of bringing the action or first seek relevant injunctions order and subsequently and if appropriate redress order.

Amendment

(13) To increase the procedural effectiveness of representative actions, qualified entities should have the possibility to seek different measures within a single representative action or within separate representative actions. These measures should include interim measures for stopping an ongoing practice or prohibiting a practice in case the practice has not been carried out but there is a risk that it would cause serious or irreversible harm to consumers, measures establishing that a given practice constitutes an infringement of law and, if necessary, stopping or prohibiting the practice for the future, as well as measures eliminating the continuing effects of the infringement, including redress. If sought within a single action, qualified entities should be able to seek all relevant measures at the moment of bringing the action.

Amendment 9
Proposal for a directive
Recital 16

Text proposed by the Commission

(16) Qualified entities should be able to seek measures aimed at eliminating the continuing effects of the infringement. These measures should take the form of a redress order obligating the trader to provide for, inter alia, compensation, repair, replacement, price reduction,

Amendment

(16) Qualified entities should be able to seek measures aimed at eliminating the continuing effects of the infringement. These measures should take the form of a redress order for a material or non-material damage obligating the trader to provide for, inter alia, compensation,
contract termination or reimbursement of the price paid, as appropriate and as available under national laws. repair, replacement, removal, price reduction, contract termination or reimbursement of the price paid, as appropriate and as available under national laws.

Amendment 10

Proposal for a directive
Recital 20

Text proposed by the Commission

(20) Where consumers concerned by the same practice are identifiable and they suffered comparable harm in relation to a period of time or a purchase, such as in the case of long-term consumer contracts, the court or administrative authority may clearly define the group of consumers concerned by the infringement in the course of the representative action. In particular, the court or administrative authority could ask the infringing trader to provide relevant information, such as the identity of the consumers concerned and the duration of the practice. For expediency and efficiency reasons, in these cases Member States in accordance with their national laws could consider to provide consumers with the possibility to directly benefit from a redress order after it was issued without being required to give their individual mandate before the redress order is issued.

Amendment 11

Proposal for a directive
Recital 21

Text proposed by the Commission

(21) In low-value cases most consumers are unlikely to take action in order to enforce their rights because the efforts would outweigh the individual

deleted
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benefits. However, if the same practice concerns a number of consumers, the aggregated loss may be significant. In such cases, a court or authority may consider that it is disproportionate to distribute the funds back to the consumers concerned, for example because it is too onerous or impracticable. Therefore the funds received as redress through representative actions would better serve the purposes of the protection of collective interests of consumers and should be directed to a relevant public purpose, such as a consumer legal aid fund, awareness campaigns or consumer movements.

Amendment 12

Proposal for a directive
Recital 22

Text proposed by the Commission

(22) Measures aimed at eliminating the continuing effects of the infringement may be sought only on the basis of a final decision, establishing an infringement of Union law covered by the scope of this Directive harming collective interest of consumers, including a final injunction order issued within the representative action. In particular, measures eliminating the continuing effects of the infringement may be sought on the basis of final decisions of a court or administrative authority in the context of enforcement activities regulated by Regulation (EU) 2017/2394 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 December 2017 on cooperation between national authorities responsible for the enforcement of consumer protection laws and repealing Regulation (EC) No 2006/2004.32

Amendment

(22) Measures aimed at eliminating the continuing effects of the infringement may be sought only on the basis of a final decision, establishing an infringement of Union law covered by the scope of this Directive harming collective interest of consumers, including a final injunction order issued within the representative action. In particular, measures eliminating the continuing effects of the infringement may be sought on the basis of final decisions of a court or administrative authority in the context of enforcement activities regulated by Regulation (EU) 2017/2394 of the European Parliament and of the Council. However, in order not to prolong procedures and not to increase the risk that consumers may lose evidence which supports their case and may cease to have an interest in the case, the actions for those measures may be launched in parallel with the actions seeking injunction orders and decided upon simultaneously with the decision establishing an infringement of Union
Amendment 13
Proposal for a directive
Recital 24

Text proposed by the Commission

(24) This Directive does not replace existing national collective redress mechanisms. Taking into account their legal traditions, it leaves it to the discretion of the Member States whether to design the representative action set out by this Directive as a part of an existing or future collective redress mechanism or as an alternative to these mechanisms, insofar as the national mechanism complies with the modalities set by this Directive.

Amendment 14
Proposal for a directive
Recital 26

Text proposed by the Commission

(26) Collective out-of-court settlements aimed at providing redress to harmed consumers should be encouraged both before the representative action is brought and at any stage of the representative action.

Amendment 15
Proposal for a directive
Recital 27

Text proposed by the Commission

(27) Collective out-of-court settlements, such as mediation, aimed at providing redress to harmed consumers should be encouraged both before the representative action is brought and at any stage of the representative action.
Member States may provide that a qualified entity and a trader who have reached a settlement regarding redress for consumers affected by an allegedly illegal practice of that trader can jointly request a court or administrative authority to approve it. Such request should be admitted by the court or administrative authority only if there is no other ongoing representative action regarding the same practice. A competent court or administrative authority approving such collective settlement must take into consideration the interests and rights of all parties concerned, including individual consumers. Individual consumers concerned shall be given the possibility to accept or to refuse to be bound by such a settlement.

Amendment 16

Proposal for a directive
Recital 29

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(29) In order to facilitate redress for individual consumers sought on the basis of final declaratory decisions regarding the liability of the trader towards the consumers harmed by an infringement issued within representative actions, the court or administrative authority that issued the decision should be empowered to request the qualified entity and the trader to reach a collective settlement.

Amendment 17

Proposal for a directive
Recital 31

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(31) Ensuring that consumers are

Ensuring that consumers are and file or pursue their corresponding individual action.
informed about a representative action is crucial for its success. Consumers should be informed of ongoing representative action, the fact that a trader's practice has been considered as a breach of law, their rights following the establishment of an infringement and any subsequent steps to be taken by consumers concerned, particularly for obtaining redress. The reputational risks associated with spreading information about the infringement are also important for deterring traders infringing consumer rights.

Amendment 18
Proposal for a directive
Recital 32

(Text proposed by the Commission)

(32) To be effective, the information should be adequate and proportional to the circumstances of the case. The infringing trader should adequately inform all consumers concerned of a final injunction and redress orders issued within the representative action as well as of a settlement approved by a court or administrative authority. Such information may be provided for instance on the trader's website, social media, online market places, or in popular newspapers, including those distributed exclusively by electronic means of communication. If possible, consumers should be informed individually through electronic or paper letters. This information should be provided in accessible formats for persons with disabilities upon request.

(32) To be effective, the information should be adequate and proportional to the circumstances of the case. The infringing trader should adequately inform all individuals concerned as well as the general public of a final injunction and redress orders issued within the representative action as well as of a settlement approved by a court or administrative authority. Such information may be provided for instance on the trader's website, social media, online market places, or in popular newspapers, including those distributed exclusively by electronic means of communication. If possible, individuals should be informed individually through electronic or paper letters. This information should be provided in accessible formats for persons with disabilities upon request.

Amendment 19
Proposal for a directive
Recital 33
(33) To enhance legal certainty, avoid inconsistency in the application of Union law and to increase the effectiveness and procedural efficiency of representative actions and of possible follow-on actions for redress, the finding of an infringement established in a final decision, including a final injunction order under this Directive, issued by an administrative authority or a court should not be relitigated in subsequent legal actions related to the same infringement by the same trader as regards the nature of the infringement and its material, personal, temporal and territorial scope as determined by that final decision. Where an action seeking measures eliminating the continuing effects of the infringement, including for redress, is brought in a Member State other than the Member State where a final decision establishing this infringement was issued, the decision should constitute a rebuttable presumption that the infringement has occurred.

Amendment 20

Proposal for a directive
Recital 34 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

(34 a) Members States should ensure that individuals are allowed to apply for a suspension of their own action for redress until the final decision of a corresponding representative action.

Amendment

Proposal for a directive
Article 1 – paragraph 1
1. This Directive sets out rules enabling qualified entities to seek representative actions aimed at the protection of the collective interests of consumers, while ensuring appropriate safeguards to avoid abusive litigation.

Amendment

1. This Directive sets out rules enabling qualified entities to seek representative actions aimed at the protection of the collective interests of consumers, thus achieving a high level of consumer protection and, in particular, of access to justice, while ensuring appropriate safeguards at EU and Member State level and their consistent EU-wide application to avoid abusive litigation.

Amendment 22

Proposal for a directive
Article 1 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. This Directive shall not prevent Member States from adopting or maintaining in force provisions designed to grant qualified entities or any other persons concerned other procedural means to bring actions aimed at the protection of the collective interests of consumers at national level.

Amendment

2. This Directive aims at minimum harmonisation and shall therefore not prevent Member States from adopting or maintaining in force provisions designed to ensure a higher level of consumer protection and to grant qualified entities or any other persons concerned other, procedural means to bring actions aimed at the protection of the collective interests of consumers at national level than those provided for in the Directive.

Amendment 23

Proposal for a directive
Article 2 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

1 a. This Directive shall not prevent Member States from adopting or maintaining additional areas of consumer protection than those to which this Directive shall apply.
Amendment 24
Proposal for a directive
Article 2 – paragraph 1 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1 b. The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 17a to amend Annex I for the purposes of adding to it provisions of the Union law to which article 2(1) refers.

Amendment 25
Proposal for a directive
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 1

Text proposed by the Commission

(1) ‘consumer’ means any natural person who is acting for purposes which are outside their trade, business, craft or profession;

Amendment

(1) ‘consumer’ means any natural person who is acting for purposes which are mainly outside their trade, business, craft or profession;

Amendment 26
Proposal for a directive
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 3

Text proposed by the Commission

(3) ‘collective interests of consumers’ means the interests of a number of consumers;

Amendment

(3) ‘collective interests of consumers’ means the interests of a number of consumers or of data subjects as defined in Regulation(EU)2016/679 (General Data Protection Regulation);

Amendment 27
Proposal for a directive
Article 4 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – introductory part
Member States shall designate an entity as qualified entity if it complies with the following criteria:

**Amendment 28**

Proposal for a directive
Article 4 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point a

(Text proposed by the Commission)  
(a) it is properly constituted according to the law of a Member State;

(Amendment)  
(a) it is properly constituted and registered according to the law of a Member State;

**Amendment 29**

Proposal for a directive
Article 4 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point b

(Text proposed by the Commission)  
(b) it has a legitimate interest in ensuring that provisions of Union law covered by this Directive are complied with;

(Amendment)  
(b) its statute or another relevant governance document demonstrates its legitimate interest in ensuring that provisions of Union law covered by this Directive are complied with;

**Amendment 30**

Proposal for a directive
Article 4 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point c

(Text proposed by the Commission)  
(c) it has a non-profit making character.

(Amendment)  
(c) it has a non-profit making character and a governance structure ensuring the non-profit criterion;

**Amendment 31**
Proposal for a directive
Article 4 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

(c a) it is, at all times, fully transparent about the source of funding of its activity in general and the funds that it uses to support the action;

Amendment 32

Proposal for a directive
Article 4 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point c b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

(c b) it has in place due procedures to identify, prevent and resolve conflicts of interest;

Amendment 33

Proposal for a directive
Article 4 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point c c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

(c c) it has a proper communication policy in place, through which it informs consumers in a general manner of costs and risks that could arise from a collective action;

Amendment 34

Proposal for a directive
Article 4 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point c d (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

(c d) it has no structural or financial interrelationship with a third person or organisation that financially benefits of the action by providing legal assistance or
financial support.

Amendment 35

Proposal for a directive
Article 4 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Member States shall ensure that in particular consumer organisations and independent public bodies are eligible for the status of qualified entity. Member States may designate as qualified entities consumer organisations that represent members from more than one Member State.

Amendment

3. Member States shall ensure that consumer organisations and, where applicable, independent public bodies are eligible for the status of qualified entity. Member States may designate as qualified entities consumer organisations that represent members from more than one Member State.

Amendment 36

Proposal for a directive
Article 5 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall ensure that representative actions can be brought before national courts or administrative authorities by qualified entities provided that there is a direct relationship between the main objectives of the entity and the rights granted under Union law that are claimed to have been violated in respect of which the action is brought.

Amendment

1. Member States shall ensure that representative actions according to Article 1 can be brought before national courts or administrative authorities by qualified entities.

Amendment 37

Proposal for a directive
Article 5 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Member States shall ensure that qualified entities are entitled to bring representative actions seeking measures eliminating the continuing effects of the
infringement. These measures shall be sought on the basis of any final decision establishing that a practice constitutes an infringement of Union law listed in Annex I harming collective interests of consumers, including a final injunction order referred to in paragraph (2)(b).

Although the decision on these representative actions can only be taken after it has been formally established that a practice constitutes an infringement of Union law, however, in order not to prolong procedures, the actions can be launched in parallel with the actions for injunction orders referred to in paragraph 2 or as part of a single representative action in accordance with national law.

Amendment 38
Proposal for a directive
Article 5 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Without prejudice to Article 4(4), Member States shall ensure that qualified entities are able to seek the measures eliminating the continuing effects of the infringement together with measures referred to in paragraph 2 within a single representative action.

Amendment

deleted

Amendment 39
Proposal for a directive
Article 5 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

4 a. Member States shall ensure that the 'loser pays' principle applies for all types of representative actions.

Amendment

Justification

The 2013 Commission Recommendation stipulates that the party that loses a collective
redress action reimburses necessary legal costs borne by the winning party.

Amendment 40

Proposal for a directive
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission
For the purposes of Article 5(3), Member States shall ensure that qualified entities are entitled to bring representative actions seeking a redress order, which obligates the trader to provide for, inter alia, compensation, repair, replacement, price reduction, contract termination or reimbursement of the price paid, as appropriate. A Member State may require the mandate of the individual consumers concerned before a declaratory decision is made or a redress order is issued.

Amendment
For the purposes of Article 5(3), Member States shall ensure that qualified entities are entitled to bring representative actions seeking a redress order for the economic and non-economic damages, which obligates the trader to provide for, inter alia, compensation, repair, replacement, removal, price reduction, contract termination or reimbursement of the price paid, as appropriate. Moreover, Member States may ensure that, in strictly exceptional, duly justified cases, qualified entities can seek a declaratory decision instead of a redress order.

A Member State may require the mandate of the individual consumers concerned before a declaratory decision is made or a redress order is issued, including, where applicable, on the type of remedies sought. Other affected consumers, including those who are not habitually resident in the Member State where the action occurs, shall be able to join the representative action seeking a declaratory decision or a redress order.

Amendment 41

Proposal for a directive
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission
The redress resulting from a representative action shall normally be allocated to affected consumers.

Amendment
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Amendment 42

Proposal for a directive
Article 6 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. By derogation to paragraph 1, Member States may empower a court or administrative authority to issue, instead of a redress order, a declaratory decision regarding the liability of the trader towards the consumers harmed by an infringement of Union law listed in Annex I, in duly justified cases where, due to the characteristics of the individual harm to the consumers concerned the quantification of individual redress is complex.

Amendment 43

Proposal for a directive
Article 6 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Paragraph 2 shall not apply in the cases where:

(a) consumers concerned by the infringement are identifiable and suffered comparable harm caused by the same practice in relation to a period of time or a purchase. In such cases the requirement of the mandate of the individual consumers concerned shall not constitute a condition to initiate the action. The redress shall be directed to the consumers concerned;

(b) consumers have suffered a small amount of loss and it would be disproportionate to distribute the redress to them. In such cases, Member States shall ensure that the mandate of the individual consumers concerned is not required. The redress shall be directed to a public purpose serving the collective
**interests of consumers.**

**Amendment 44**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 6 – paragraph 4**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4. <strong>The redress obtained through a final decision in accordance with paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 shall be without prejudice to any additional rights to redress that the consumers concerned may have under Union or national law.</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4. <strong>The redress obtained through a final decision in accordance with paragraph 1 shall be without prejudice to any additional rights to redress that the consumers concerned may have under Union or national law.</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Amendment 45**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 7 – paragraph 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. <strong>The qualified entity seeking a redress order as referred in Article 6(1) shall declare at an early stage of the action the source of the funds used for its activity in general and the funds that it uses to support the action. It shall demonstrate that it has sufficient financial resources to represent the best interests of the consumers concerned and to meet any adverse costs should the action fail.</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. <strong>The qualified entity seeking a redress order as referred in Article 6(1) shall declare in detail at the stage of admissibility of the action the source of the funds used for its activity in general and the funds that it uses to support the action. This may include a guarantee or indemnity from a third party subject also to the provisions of paragraphs 2 and 3 of this Article.</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Amendment 46**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 7 – paragraph 1 a (new)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 a. <strong>The unsuccessful party shall bear the costs of the proceedings subject to the conditions provided for in the relevant national law.</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 a. <strong>The unsuccessful party shall bear the costs of the proceedings subject to the conditions provided for in the relevant national law.</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Amendment 47

Proposal for a directive
Article 7 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Member States shall ensure that in cases where a representative action for redress is funded by a third party, it is prohibited for the third party:

Amendment

2. Member States shall ensure that in cases where a representative action for redress is funded by a third party, transparency as to the origin of the funds is ensured and that it is prohibited for the third party:

Amendment 48

Proposal for a directive
Article 7 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) to influence decisions of the qualified entity in the context of a representative action, including on settlements;

Amendment

(a) to influence decisions of the qualified entity in the course of a representative action, including on settlements;

Amendment 49

Proposal for a directive
Article 7 – paragraph 2 – point a a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

(a a) to receive any direct or indirect financial benefit through the litigation process or decision;

Amendment

Amendment 50

Proposal for a directive
Article 7 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission


3. Member States shall ensure that courts and administrative authorities are empowered to assess the circumstances referred to in paragraph 2 and accordingly require the qualified entity to refuse the relevant funding and, if necessary, reject the standing of the qualified entity in a specific case.

Amendment 51
Proposal for a directive
Article 8 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. Individual consumers concerned shall be given the possibility to accept or to refuse to be bound by settlements referred to in paragraphs 1, 2 and 3. The redress obtained through an approved settlement in accordance with paragraph 4 shall be without prejudice to any additional rights to redress that the consumers concerned may have under Union or national law.

Amendment

6. Individual consumers concerned shall be given the possibility to accept or to refuse to be bound by settlements referred to in paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 and file or pursue their corresponding individual action. The redress obtained through an approved settlement in accordance with paragraph 4 shall be without prejudice to any additional rights to redress that the consumers concerned may have under Union or national law.

Amendment 52
Proposal for a directive
Article 9 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall ensure that the court or administrative authority shall require the infringing trader to inform affected consumers at its expense about the final decisions providing for measures referred to in Articles 5 and 6, and the approved settlements referred to in Article 8, by means appropriate to the circumstance of the case and within specified time limits, including, where appropriate, through notifying all consumers concerned individually.

Amendment

1. Member States shall ensure that the court or administrative authority shall require the infringing trader to inform affected in particular consumers and workers, as well as the general public at its expense about the final decisions providing for measures referred to in Articles 5 and 6, and the approved settlements referred to in Article 8, by means appropriate to the circumstance of the case and within specified time limits, including, where appropriate, through
notifying all consumers concerned individually. In addition to the channels of the trader who committed the infringement, this information may also be provided via relevant public authority channels or through the channels of designated qualified entities, in every case at the expense of the trader who committed the infringement.

Justification

The channels of the party who committed the infringement may not be regarded by the injured party as worthy of confidence. It would therefore be appropriate for consumers to be informed through channels perceived as reliable.

Amendment 53

Proposal for a directive
Article 9 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The information referred to in paragraph 1 shall include in intelligible language an explanation of the subject-matter of the representative action, its legal consequences and, if relevant, the subsequent steps to be taken by the consumers concerned.

Amendment

2. The information referred to in paragraph 1 shall include in intelligible language an explanation of the subject-matter of the representative action, its legal consequences and, if relevant, the subsequent steps to be taken by the consumers concerned. The modalities and timeframe of the information shall be designed in agreement with the court or administrative authority.

Amendment 54

Proposal for a directive
Article 10 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Member States shall ensure that a final decision referred to in paragraph 1, taken in another Member State is considered by their national courts or administrative authorities as a rebuttable presumption that an infringement has

Amendment

2. Member States shall ensure that a final decision referred to in paragraph 1, taken in another Member State is considered by their national courts or administrative authorities at least as a rebuttable presumption that an
occurred.

infringement has occurred.

Amendment 55
Proposal for a directive
Article 11 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

In accordance with national law, Member States shall ensure that the submission of a representative action as referred to in Articles 5 and 6 shall have the effect of suspending or interrupting limitation periods applicable to any redress actions for the consumers concerned, if the relevant rights are subject to a limitation period under Union or national law.

Amendment 56
Proposal for a directive
Article 13 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Member States shall ensure that, at the request of a qualified entity that has presented reasonably available facts and evidence sufficient to support the representative action, and has indicated further evidence which lies in the control of the defendant, the court or administrative authority may order, in accordance with national procedural rules, that such evidence be presented by the defendant, subject to the applicable Union and national rules on confidentiality.

Amendment 57
Proposal for a directive

Member States shall ensure that, at the request of a qualified entity that has presented reasonably available facts and evidence sufficient to support the representative action, and has indicated further evidence which lies in the control of the defendant, the court or administrative authority may order that such evidence be presented by the defendant. This decision should be based on an assessment of the need, scope and proportionality of the requested disclosure, in accordance with national procedural rules, and subject to the applicable Union and national rules on confidentiality.
Article 15 – paragraph 1

*Text proposed by the Commission*

1. Member States shall take the necessary measures to ensure that procedural costs related to representative actions do not constitute financial obstacles for qualified entities to effectively exercise the right to seek the measures referred to in Articles 5 and 6, such as limiting applicable court or administrative fees, granting them access to legal aid where necessary, or by providing them with public funding for this purpose.

*Amendment*

1. Member States shall take the necessary measures to ensure that procedural costs related to representative actions do not constitute financial obstacles for qualified entities to effectively exercise the right to seek the measures referred to in Articles 5 and 6, in particular limiting applicable court or administrative fees, granting them access to legal aid where necessary, or by providing them with public funding for this purpose.

**Amendment 58**

Proposal for a directive

Article 15 – paragraph 2

*Text proposed by the Commission*

2. Member States shall take the necessary measures to ensure that in cases where the qualified entities are required to inform consumers concerned about the ongoing representative action the related cost may be recovered from the trader if the action is successful.

*Amendment*

2. Member States shall take the necessary measures to ensure that the party that loses a collective redress action reimburses necessary legal costs borne by the winning party, subject to the conditions provided for in the relevant national law. In cases where the qualified entities are required to inform consumers concerned about the ongoing representative action the related cost may be recovered from the trader if the action is successful.

**Amendment 59**

Proposal for a directive

Article 16 – paragraph 1

*Text proposed by the Commission*

1. Member States shall take the measures necessary to ensure that any qualified entity designated in advance in one Member State in accordance with Article 4(1) may apply to the courts or
administrative authorities of another Member State upon the presentation of the publicly available list referred to in that Article. The courts or administrative authorities shall accept this list as proof of the legal standing of the qualified entity without prejudice to their right to examine whether the purpose of the qualified entity justifies its taking action in a specific case.

Amendment 60
Proposal for a directive
Article 16 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 16 a

In order to make the possibility of cross-border injunctions more visible, Member States shall ensure that the relevant domestic administrative authorities set up a registry of unlawful acts which have been subject to injunction orders in order to provide a basis for best practice and information to other Member State authorities.

Amendment 61
Proposal for a directive
Article 17 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 17 a

Exercise of the delegation
1. The power to adopt delegated acts is conferred on the Commission subject to the conditions laid down in this Article.

2. The power to adopt delegated acts referred to in Article 2 shall be conferred on the Commission for five years from... [insert the date of entry into force of this
Directive]. The delegation of power shall be tacitly extended for periods of an identical duration, unless the European Parliament or the Council opposes such extension not later than three months before the end of each period.

3. The delegation of power referred to in Article 2 may be revoked at any time by the European Parliament or by the Council. A decision to revoke shall put an end to the delegation of the power specified in that decision. It shall take effect the day following the publication of the decision in the Official Journal of the European Union or at a later date specified therein. It shall not affect the validity of any delegated acts already in force.

4. As soon as it adopts a delegated act, the Commission shall notify it simultaneously to the European Parliament and to the Council.

5. A delegated act adopted pursuant to Article 2 shall enter into force only if no objection has been expressed either by the European Parliament or the Council within a period of two months of notification of that act to the European Parliament and the Council or if, before the expiry of that period, the European Parliament and the Council have both informed the Commission that they will not object. That period shall be extended by two months at the initiative of the European Parliament or of the Council.

Amendment 62
Proposal for a directive
Article 18 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. No later than one year after the entry into force of this Directive, the Commission shall assess whether the rules on air and rail passenger rights offer a level of protection of the rights of
consumers comparable to that provided for under this Directive. Where that is the case, the Commission intends to make appropriate proposals, which may consist in particular in removing the acts referred to in points 10 and 15 of Annex I from the scope of application of this Directive as defined in Article 2.

Amendment 63

Proposal for a directive
Annex I – point 59 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission


Amendment 64

Proposal for a directive
Annex I – point 59 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission


Amendment 65

Proposal for a directive
Annex I – point 59 c (new)
Amendment 66
Proposal for a directive
Annex I – point 59 d (new)

Text proposed by the Commission


Amendment 67
Proposal for a directive
Annex I – point 59 e (new)

Text proposed by the Commission


Amendment 68
Proposal for a directive
Annex I – point 59 f (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment 69

Proposal for a directive
Annex I – point 59 g (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment


Amendment 70

Proposal for a directive
Annex I – point 59 h (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment


Amendment 71

Proposal for a directive
Annex I – point 59 i (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Amendment 72
Proposal for a directive
Annex I – point 59 j (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment


Amendment 73
Proposal for a directive
Annex I – point 59 k (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment


Amendment 74
Proposal for a directive
Annex I – point 59 l (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment


Amendment 75

Proposal for a directive
Annex I – point 59 m (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment


Amendment 76

Proposal for a directive
Annex I – point 59 n (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment


Amendment 77

Proposal for a directive
Annex I – point 59 o (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Amendment 78
Proposal for a directive
Annex I – point 59 p (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment


Amendment 79
Proposal for a directive
Annex I – point 59 q (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment


Amendment 80
Proposal for a directive
Annex I – point 59 r (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment


Amendment 81

Proposal for a directive
Annex I – point 59 s (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment


Amendment 82

Proposal for a directive
Annex I – point 59 t (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment


Amendment 83

Proposal for a directive
Annex I – point 59 u (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Amendment 84

Proposal for a directive
Annex I – point 59 v (new)

Text proposed by the Commission
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OPINION OF THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORT AND TOURISM

for the Committee on Legal Affairs


Rapporteur: Georg Mayer

SHORT JUSTIFICATION

The rapporteur welcomes the Commission’s proposed directive on representative actions, which should ensure that Member States fully apply, implement and enforce EU law and provide adequate redress for citizens.

The Representative Actions Directive does not intervene in existing mechanisms to improve or amend the directives referred to in Annex 1 through transport operators or tour operators in situ; it should rather be seen as an instrument for the consumer-friendly application of existing law. In the event of a dispute, the admissibility of a representative action falls within the jurisdiction of the Member State concerned (jurisdiction is determined by Regulation (EC) No 44/2001 - Brussels I).

The specific characteristics of the sectors in the area of transport and tourism concerned, such as air, rail, sea and package travel, are taken into account in the sector-specific directives to which the possibility of conducting a representative action will apply.

The rapporteur believes that, in the interest of holistic consumer protection, there is an urgent need for sector-specific directives to be overhauled, updated and brought into line with market developments. This is particularly true in the case of the Air Passenger Rights Directive. The Representative Actions Directive must not divert attention away from the fact that a revision of passenger rights is long overdue. However, at present it is too soon to say whether there will be a recast a year after the Representative Actions Directive has come into force, so that the deadline proposed by the Commission for the evaluation of continued retention in Annex 1 would seem to be of little value.

Collective redress procedures should not be used to carry out public service tasks such as prosecuting conduct which results in damage. Such tasks should not be contracted out to private bodies whereby private entities are provided with exclusive access to the
corresponding procedures.

The Directive does not consider the possibility of competing procedures which have a blocking effect on subsequent representative actions. In this case there is a need for detailed analysis and regulation if an excessive number of procedures, to the detriment of the courts, consumers and businesses, is to be avoided.

There must be no incentive to bring arbitrary, blackmailing actions against businesses, which could develop into a profitable business model.

In addition, the rapporteur sees a need for further improvement on the following points:

1. Definition of qualified entities: The prerequisite conditions need to be more tightly defined and all conditions must be sound in the relevant qualified entities for the duration of the representative action.

2. Opt-in regime: The rapporteur rejects representative actions under private law with no mandate from the consumers concerned, as a move away from this opt-in regime is not in line with our European legal tradition. A prerequisite for collective remedies must be the agreement of consumers that their claims be sustained. However, we must assume that the application of an opt-in regime in ‘small’ disputes would meet with little overall agreement among the putative injured persons, since any potential compensation would not benefit them directly.

3. Funding of qualified entities: Qualified entities should under no circumstances be funded with government support. The principle of equality must apply to complainants and defendants in this matter. Neither side may be given preferential treatment.

4. Lawyers’ fees: Representative actions should not provide an incentive for disputes which are unnecessary for the parties concerned. The Member States should ensure that there is no possibility for contingency fees.

5. Penal process: The amount of any potential compensation should not be greater than the extent of the remedy which might have been obtained in individual litigation.

AMENDMENTS

The Committee on Transport and Tourism calls on the Committee on Legal Affairs, as the committee responsible, to take into account the following amendments:

Amendment 1

Proposal for a directive

Recital 1

Text proposed by the Commission

(1) The purpose of this Directive is to enable qualified entities, which represent the collective interest of consumers, to seek remedy through representative actions

Amendment

(1) The purpose of this Directive is to enable qualified entities, which represent the collective interest of consumers, to seek remedy through representative actions
against infringements of provisions of Union law. The qualified entities should be able to ask for stopping or prohibiting an infringement, for confirming that an infringement took place and to seek redress, such as compensation, repair or price reduction as available under national laws.

Amendment 2

Proposal for a directive

Recital 7

Text proposed by the Commission

(7) The Commission has adopted legislative proposals for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council amending Regulation (EC) No 261/2004 establishing common rules on compensation and assistance to passengers in the event of denied boarding and of cancellation or long delay of flights and Regulation (EC) No 2027/97 on air carrier liability in respect of the carriage of passengers and their baggage by air and for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on rail passengers' rights and obligations. It is therefore appropriate to provide that, one year after the entry into force of this Directive, the Commission assesses whether the Union rules in the area of air and rail passengers' rights offer an adequate level of protection for consumers, comparable to that provided for in this Directive, and draws any necessary conclusions as regards the scope of this Directive.

Amendment 3
Proposal for a directive
Recital 16

Text proposed by the Commission

(16) Qualified entities should be able to seek measures aimed at eliminating the continuing effects of the infringement. These measures should take the form of a redress order obligating the trader to provide for, inter alia, compensation, repair, replacement, price reduction, contract termination or reimbursement of the price paid, as appropriate and as available under national laws.

Amendment

(16) Qualified entities should be able to seek measures aimed at eliminating the continuing effects of the infringement. These measures should take the form of a redress order obligating the trader to provide for, inter alia, compensation, repair, replacement, removal, price reduction, contract termination or reimbursement of the price paid, as appropriate and as available under national laws.

Amendment 4
Proposal for a directive
Recital 18

Text proposed by the Commission

(18) Member States may require qualified entities to provide sufficient information to support a representative action for redress, including a description of the group of consumers concerned by an infringement and the questions of fact and law to be resolved within the representative action. The qualified entity should not be required to individually identify all consumers concerned by an infringement in order to initiate the action. In representative actions for redress the court or administrative authority should verify at the earliest possible stage of the proceedings whether the case is suitable for being brought as a representative action, given the nature of the infringement and characteristics of the damages suffered by consumers concerned.

Amendment

(18) Member States may require qualified entities to provide sufficient information to support a representative action for redress, including a description of the group of consumers concerned by an infringement and the questions of fact and law to be resolved within the representative action. The qualified entity should be required to individually identify all consumers concerned by an infringement, and to obtain their prior consent to be involved in the representative action, in order to initiate the action. In representative actions for redress the court or administrative authority should verify at the earliest possible stage of the proceedings whether the case is suitable for being brought as a representative action, given the nature of the infringement and characteristics of the damages suffered by consumers concerned.
Amendment 5

Proposal for a directive
Recital 20

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(20) Where consumers concerned by the same practice are identifiable and they suffered comparable harm in relation to a period of time or a purchase, such as in the case of long-term consumer contracts, the court or administrative authority may clearly define the group of consumers concerned by the infringement in the course of the representative action. In particular, the court or administrative authority could ask the infringing trader to provide relevant information, such as the identity of the consumers concerned and the duration of the practice. For expediency and efficiency reasons, in these cases Member States in accordance with their national laws could consider to provide consumers with the possibility to directly benefit from a redress order after it was issued without being required to give their individual mandate before the redress order is issued.

*Amendment*

(20) Where consumers concerned by the same practice are identifiable and they suffered comparable harm in relation to a period of time or a purchase, such as in the case of long-term consumer contracts, the court or administrative authority may clearly define the group of consumers concerned by the infringement in the course of the representative action. In particular, the court or administrative authority could ask the infringing trader to provide relevant information, such as the identity of the consumers concerned and the duration of the practice. For expediency and efficiency reasons, in these cases Member States in accordance with their national laws could consider providing consumers with the possibility of directly benefiting from a redress order after it was issued, requiring them to give their individual mandate before the redress order is issued.

Amendment 6

Proposal for a directive
Recital 21

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(21) In low-value cases most consumers are unlikely to take action in order to enforce their rights because the efforts would outweigh the individual benefits. However, if the same practice concerns a number of consumers, the aggregated loss may be significant. In such cases, a court or authority may consider that it is disproportionate to distribute the funds back to the consumers concerned, for example because it is too onerous or

*Amendment*

(21) In low-value cases most consumers are unlikely to take action in order to enforce their rights because the efforts would outweigh the individual benefits. However, if the same practice concerns a number of consumers, the aggregated loss may be significant. In such cases, notwithstanding the right of individual consumers to claim compensation, a court or authority may consider that, it is disproportionate to distribute the funds
impracticable. Therefore the funds received as redress through representative actions would better serve the purposes of the protection of collective interests of consumers and should be directed to a relevant public purpose, such as a consumer legal aid fund, awareness campaigns or consumer movements.

back to the consumers concerned, for example because it is too onerous or impracticable. Therefore the funds received as redress through representative actions would better serve the purposes of the protection of collective interests of consumers and should be directed to a relevant public purpose, such as a consumer legal aid fund, awareness campaigns or consumer movements. The funds should not be assigned to the qualified entity having brought the action, to avoid conflicts of interest.

Amendment 7
Proposal for a directive
Recital 23

Text proposed by the Commission
(23) This Directive provides for a procedural mechanism, which does not affect the rules establishing substantive rights of consumers to contractual and non-contractual remedies in case their interests have been harmed by an infringement, such as the right to compensation for damages, contract termination, reimbursement, replacement, repair or price reduction. A representative action seeking redress under this Directive can only be brought where Union or national law provides for such substantive rights.

Amendment
(23) This Directive provides for a procedural mechanism, which does not affect the rules establishing substantive rights of consumers to contractual and non-contractual remedies in case their interests have been harmed by an infringement, such as the right to compensation for damages, contract termination, reimbursement, replacement, removal, repair or price reduction. A representative action seeking redress under this Directive can only be brought where Union or national law provides for such substantive rights.

Amendment 8
Proposal for a directive
Recital 25

Text proposed by the Commission
(25) Qualified entities should be fully transparent about the source of funding of their activity in general and regarding the funds supporting a specific representative action for redress in order to enable courts

Amendment
(25) Qualified entities should, throughout each phase of the process, be fully transparent about the source of funding of their activity in general and regarding the funds supporting a specific
or administrative authorities to assess whether there may be a conflict of interest between the third party funder and the qualified entity and to avoid risks of abusive litigation as well as to assess whether the funding third party has sufficient resources in order to meet its financial commitments to the qualified entity. The information provided by the qualified entity to the court or administrative authority overseeing the representative action should enable it to assess whether the third party may influence procedural decisions of the qualified entity in the context of the representative action, including on settlements and whether it provides financing for a representative action for redress against a defendant who is a competitor of the fund provider or against a defendant on whom the fund provider is dependant. If any of these circumstances is confirmed, the court or administrative authority should be empowered to require the qualified entity to refuse the relevant funding and, if necessary, reject standing of the qualified entity in a specific case.

Amendment 9

Proposal for a directive
Recital 31

Text proposed by the Commission

(31) Ensuring that consumers are informed about a representative action is crucial for its success. Consumers should be informed of ongoing representative action, the fact that a trader's practice has been considered as a breach of law, their rights following the establishment of an infringement and any subsequent steps to be taken by consumers concerned, particularly for obtaining redress. The reputational risks associated with spreading information about the infringement are also

Amendment

(31) Ensuring that consumers are informed about a representative action is crucial for its success. Consumers should be informed of ongoing representative action, the fact that a trader's practice has been considered as a breach of law, their rights following the establishment of an infringement and any subsequent steps to be taken by consumers concerned, particularly for obtaining redress. The reputational risks associated with spreading information about the infringement are also
important for deterring traders infringing consumer rights.

Amendment 10

Proposal for a directive
Article 1 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. This Directive sets out rules enabling qualified entities to seek representative actions aimed at the protection of the collective interests of consumers, while ensuring appropriate safeguards to avoid abusive litigation.

Amendment

1. This Directive sets out rules enabling qualified entities to seek representative actions aimed at the protection of the collective interests of consumers in case of mass harm, while ensuring appropriate safeguards to avoid abusive litigation.

Amendment 11

Proposal for a directive
Article 1 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. This Directive shall not prevent Member States from adopting or maintaining in force provisions designed to grant qualified entities or any other persons concerned other procedural means to bring actions aimed at the protection of the collective interests of consumers at national level.

Amendment

2. This Directive aims at minimum harmonisation and shall not prevent Member States from adopting or maintaining in force provisions designed to grant qualified entities or any other persons concerned other procedural means to bring actions aimed at the protection of the collective interests of consumers at national level.

Amendment 12

Proposal for a directive
Article 2 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. This Directive shall apply to representative actions brought against infringements by traders of provisions of the Union law listed in Annex I that harm

Amendment

1. This Directive shall apply to representative actions brought against infringements by traders of provisions of the Union law listed in Annex I that does
**or may harm** the collective interests of consumers. It shall apply to **domestic and cross-border** infringements, including where those infringements have ceased before the representative action has started or before the representative action has been concluded.

**or is likely to do mass harm to** the collective interests of consumers. It shall apply to infringements **with a Union dimension**, including where those infringements have ceased before the representative action has started or before the representative action has been concluded.

**Amendment 13**

Proposal for a directive

Article 2 – paragraph 1 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

**Amendment**

1a. The representative action shall not replace the right of consumer to receive individual compensation, provided by specific Union law, in case of infringement by traders of provisions of Union law.

**Amendment 14**

Proposal for a directive

Article 2 – paragraph 3 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

**Amendment**

3a. This Directive shall not apply to Union law under revision as listed in Annex III (new).

**Amendment 15**

Proposal for a directive

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 6 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

**Amendment**

(6a) ‘mass harm’ means a widespread infringement with a Union dimension by traders of provisions of the Union law listed in Annex I that harm or may harm the collective interest of a considerable
number of consumers;

Amendment 16
Proposal for a directive
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 6 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(6b) ‘widespread infringement with a Union dimension’ means a widespread infringement that has done, does or is likely to do harm to the collective interests of consumers in at least two-thirds of the Member States, accounting, together, for at least two-thirds of the population of the Union.

Amendment 17
Proposal for a directive
Article 4 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) it is properly constituted according to the law of a Member State; (a) it is properly constituted according to the law of a Member State and is registered on a list at the competent ministry of the company's Member State;

Amendment 18
Proposal for a directive
Article 4 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point a a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(aa) it has been established for at least three years and has been active continuously over the preceding three years;

Amendment 19
Proposal for a directive
Article 4 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ca) Member States communicate to the Commission the list of qualified entities and any updates.

Amendment 20

Proposal for a directive

Article 4 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point c b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(cb) it has sufficient capacity in terms of financial resources, human resources and legal expertise to represent multiple claimants acting in their best interest.

Amendment 21

Proposal for a directive

Article 4 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point c c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(cc) there should be a direct relationship between the main objectives of the entities and the rights granted under Union law that are claimed to have been violated and in respect of which the action is brought;

Amendment 22

Proposal for a directive

Article 4 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point c d (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(cd) it serves a public benefit purpose.

Amendment 23
Proposal for a directive
Article 4 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Member States shall ensure that in particular consumer organisations and independent public bodies are eligible for the status of qualified entity. Member States may designate as qualified entities consumer organisations that represent members from more than one Member State.

Amendment

Proposal for a directive
Article 5 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

In order to seek injunction orders, qualified entities shall not have to obtain the mandate of the individual consumers concerned or provide proof of actual loss or damage on the part of the consumers concerned or of intention or negligence on the part of the trader.

Amendment

Proposal for a directive
Article 5 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

2a. A redress order, which obligates the trader to provide for, inter alia, compensation, repair, replacement, removal, price reduction, contract termination or reimbursement of the price paid, as appropriate.

Amendment
Proposal for a directive
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission
For the purposes of Article 5(3), Member States shall ensure that qualified entities are entitled to bring representative actions seeking a redress order, which obligates the trader to provide for, inter alia, compensation, repair, replacement, price reduction, contract termination or reimbursement of the price paid, as appropriate. A Member State may require the mandate of the individual consumers concerned before a declaratory decision is made or a redress order is issued.

Amendment
For the purposes of Article 5(3), Member States shall ensure that qualified entities are entitled to bring representative actions seeking a redress order, which obligates the trader to provide for, inter alia, compensation, repair, replacement, removal, price reduction, contract termination or reimbursement of the price paid, as appropriate. A Member State shall require the mandate of the individual consumers concerned before a declaratory decision is made or a redress order is issued.

Amendment 27
Proposal for a directive
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission
The qualified entity shall provide sufficient information as required under national law to support the action, including a description of the consumers concerned by the action and the questions of fact and law to be resolved.

Amendment
The qualified entity must provide sufficient information as required under national law to support the action, including a description of the consumers concerned by the action and the questions of fact and law to be resolved and a demonstration that the claim procedure has been exhausted where consumers rights are protected by pre-defined claim-based regulations.

Amendment 28
Proposal for a directive
Article 6 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission
2. By derogation to paragraph 1, Member States may empower a court or administrative authority to issue, instead of a redress order, a declaratory decision

Amendment
2. Member States shall ensure that qualified entities can only lawfully receive the mandate from an individual consumer, after the qualified entity has
regarding the liability of the trader towards the consumers harmed by an infringement of Union law listed in Annex I, in duly justified cases where, due to the characteristics of the individual harm to the consumers concerned the quantification of individual redress is complex.

fully informed those individual consumers in writing about: (i) all relevant aspects of the collective procedure; (ii) the possibility for consumers to first claim directly with the trader without intervention of the qualified entity or represented by their lawyer or another representative allowed by national law; and (iii) any available options for individual recourse, including those under Directive 2013/11/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 May 2013 on alternative dispute resolution.

Amendment 29

Proposal for a directive
Article 6 – paragraph 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) consumers concerned by the infringement are identifiable and suffered comparable harm caused by the same practice in relation to a period of time or a purchase. In such cases the requirement of the mandate of the individual consumers concerned shall not constitute a condition to initiate the action. The redress shall be directed to the consumers concerned;

deleted

Amendment 30

Proposal for a directive
Article 6 – paragraph 3 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) consumers have suffered a small amount of loss and it would be disproportionate to distribute the redress to them. In such cases, Member States shall ensure that the mandate of the individual consumers concerned is not required. The redress shall be directed to a public purpose serving the collective
interests of consumers.

Amendment 31
Proposal for a directive
Article 6 – paragraph 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3a. The information to be provided by the qualified entities further to article 6.2.ii shall include the following: (i) the identity of the qualified entity and its legitimate interest in the relevant provisions of Union law; (ii) all possible steps of the collective procedure and their expected duration; (iii) ways or the lack thereof for the involved consumers to influence the decisions of the qualified entity with regard to the collective procedure individually or collectively; (iv) clear information on any costs related to the collective procedure which may be charged to or withheld in any way from the individual consumers, including a calculation example of how such costs may impact the possible compensation or other form of redress which individual consumers may receive; and (v) detailed information on how and when the individual consumers will receive their compensation or other form of redress in case the collective procedure proves to be successful.

Amendment 32
Proposal for a directive
Article 7 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. The qualified entity seeking a redress order as referred in Article 6(1) shall declare at an early stage of the action the source of the funds used for its activity in general and the funds that it uses to support the action. It shall demonstrate that

throughout the whole
it has sufficient financial resources to represent the best interests of the consumers concerned and to meet any adverse costs should the action fail.

procedure. It shall demonstrate in a transparent way that it has sufficient resources to represent the best interests of the consumers concerned and to meet any adverse costs should the action fail.

**Amendment 33**

Proposal for a directive
Article 7 – paragraph 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(3a) Member States shall ensure that any compensation owed by a company following a successful outcome in a representative action goes only to the consumers involved; any staff costs or legal costs incurred may be deducted if these are not refunded to the qualified entity by other means.

**Amendment 34**

Proposal for a directive
Article 7 – paragraph 3 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(3b) The costs incurred in an unsuccessful representative action must be borne by the qualified entity.

**Amendment 35**

Proposal for a directive
Article 9 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(1) Member States shall ensure that the court or administrative authority shall require the infringing trader to inform affected consumers at its expense about the final decisions providing for measures referred to in Articles 5 and 6, and the approved settlements referred to in Article
8, by means appropriate to the circumstance of the case and within specified time limits, including, where appropriate, through notifying all consumers concerned individually.

circumstance of the case and within specified time limits, including, where appropriate, through notifying all consumers concerned individually.

**Amendment 36**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 9 – paragraph 2 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

Amendment

2a.  
*Member States shall ensure that information is provided to the public in an accessible way on upcoming, ongoing and closed collective action, i.e. on a public website.*

**Amendment 37**

**Proposal for a directive**  
**Article 13 – paragraph 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

Amendment

Member States shall ensure that, at the request of a qualified entity that has presented reasonably available facts and evidence sufficient to support the representative action, and has indicated further evidence which lies in the control of the defendant, the court or administrative authority may order, in accordance with national procedural rules, that such evidence be presented by the defendant, subject to the applicable Union and national rules on confidentiality.

Member States shall ensure that, at the request of a qualified entity that has presented reasonably available facts and evidence sufficient to support the representative action, and has indicated further evidence which lies in the control of the defendant, the court or administrative authority may order that such evidence be presented by the defendant. *This order shall be based on an assessment of the need, scope and proportionality of the requested disclosure, in accordance with national procedural rules and subject to the applicable Union and national rules on confidentiality.*
Amendment 38
Proposal for a directive
Article 15 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

(1) Member States shall take the necessary measures to ensure that procedural costs related to representative actions do not constitute financial obstacles for qualified entities to effectively exercise the right to seek the measures referred to in Articles 5 and 6, such as limiting applicable court or administrative fees, granting them access to legal aid where necessary, or by providing them with public funding for this purpose.

Amendment

Member States shall take the necessary measures to ensure that procedural costs related to representative actions do not constitute financial obstacles for qualified entities to effectively exercise the right to seek the measures referred to in Articles 5 and 6, such as limiting applicable court or administrative fees, granting them access to legal aid where necessary.

Amendment 39
Proposal for a directive
Article 18 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. No later than one year after the entry into force of this Directive, the Commission shall assess whether the rules on air and rail passenger rights offer a level of protection of the rights of consumers comparable to that provided for under this Directive. Where that is the case, the Commission intends to make appropriate proposals, which may consist in particular in removing the acts referred to in points 10 and 15 of Annex I from the scope of application of this Directive as defined in Article 2.

Amendment

deleted

Amendment 40
Proposal for a directive
Annex I – point 10

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment
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Amendment 41
Proposal for a directive
Annex I – point 15

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment


Amendment 42
Proposal for a directive
Annex I – point 31

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment


Amendment 43
Proposal for a directive
Annex I – point 32

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(32) Regulation (EU) No 181/2011 of

Amendment 44
Proposal for a directive
Annex II a (new) - title

Text proposed by the Commission

ANNEX III LIST OF UNION LAW REFERRED TO IN ARTICLE 2(4)

Amendment 45
Proposal for a directive
Annex II a (new) - point 1

Text proposed by the Commission
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