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DRAFT EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT LEGISLATIVE RESOLUTION

(COM(2013)0250 – C7-0117/2013 – 2013/0133(COD))

(Ordinary legislative procedure: first reading)

The European Parliament,

– having regard to the Commission proposal to Parliament and the Council (COM(2013)0250),

– having regard to Article 294(2) and Article 43(2) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, pursuant to which the Commission submitted the proposal to Parliament (C7-0117/2013),

– having regard to Article 294(3) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,

– having regard to Rule 55 of its Rules of Procedure,

– having regard to the report of the Committee on Fisheries (A7-0102/2014),

1. Adopts its position at first reading hereinafter set out;

2. Calls on the Commission to refer the matter to Parliament again if it intends to amend its proposal substantially or replace it with another text;

3. Instructs its President to forward its position to the Council, the Commission and the national parliaments.

Amendment 1

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 4 a (new)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(4a) At its 23rd Regular Meeting in November 2013, ICCAT adopted Recommendation 13-08 complementing Recommendation 12-03, in order to allow modifications of the fishing seasons in the eastern Atlantic that do not affect the protection of the bluefin tuna spawning grounds. This ICCAT recommendation states that the Contracting Parties and</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cooperating non-Contracting Parties, Entities or Fishing Entities (CPCs) may specify a different starting date for the fishing seasons for their bait boats and towing boats operating in the eastern Atlantic, while keeping the total duration of the open season for these fisheries.

Amendment 2

Proposal for a regulation
Article 1
Regulation (EC) No 302/2009
Article 7 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 2 (new)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Notwithstanding the first subparagraph, for the years 2014 and 2015, and since it does not affect the protection of the spawning grounds, Member States may specify, in their annual national fishing plans, a different starting date for baitboats and towing boats flying their flag and operating in the eastern Atlantic, while keeping the total duration of the open season for these fisheries in line with the provisions of the first subparagraph.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Justification

This reflects the change made at the 2013 ICCAT meeting while maintaining flexibility for the Member States in the affected areas.

Amendment 3

Proposal for a regulation
Article 1
Regulation (EC) No 302/2009
Article 7 – paragraph 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6. Fishing for Bluefin tuna by other gears than those mentioned in paragraphs 1 to 5 shall be permitted throughout the year.</td>
<td>6. Fishing for Bluefin tuna by traps, small longliners (&lt; 24 m) and handliners shall be permitted throughout the year.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Amendment 4

Proposal for a regulation
Article 1 – point 1 a (new)
Regulation (EC) No 302/2009
Article 24 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

(1a) The following article is inserted:

"Article 24a

Use of stereoscopic cameras during caging operations

The use of stereoscopic cameras systems in the context of caging operations shall be conducted in accordance with the following:

1. The sampling intensity of live fish shall not be below 20 % of the amount of fish being caged. When technically possible, the sampling of live fish shall be sequential, by measuring one in every five specimens. Such a sample should be made up of fish measured at a distance between 2 and 8 meters from the camera.

2. The dimensions of the transfer gate connecting the donor cage and the receiving cage shall be set at maximum width of 10 meters and maximum height of 10 meters.

3. When the length measurements of the fish present a multi-modal distribution (two or more cohorts of distinct sizes), it shall be possible to use more than one conversion algorithm for the same caging operation. The most up to date algorithm(s) established by ICCAT’s Standing Committee on Research and Statistics (SCRS) shall be used to convert fork lengths into total weights, according to the size category of the fish measured during the caging operation.

4. Validation of the stereoscopical length
measurements shall be undertaken prior to each caging operation using a scale bar at a distance of 2 and 8 m.

5. When the results of the stereoscopical program are communicated, the information shall indicate the margin of error inherent to the technical specifications of the stereoscopic camera system, which shall not exceed +/- 5 percent.

6. All the technical specifications above, including the sampling intensity, the way of sampling, the distance from the camera, the dimensions of the transfer gate, algorithms (length-weight relationship) shall be reviewed by the SCRS at its 2014 meeting, and if necessary modified based on SCRS recommendations at the 2014 ICCAT Commission annual meeting.”
EXPLANATORY STATEMENT

The proposal by the Commission concerns an amendment to the recovery plan that was first adopted for bluefin tuna in 2006. At that time, the species was widely recognized to be over-exploited and depleted, but the Contracting Parties to the International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas (ICCAT - the regional management body responsible for the fishery) were loath to reduce catches and profits. Eventually, though, after years of political battles and a number of amendments to the recovery plan, the most recent scientific analysis of bluefin (2012) suggested that recent trends in several indicators indicate that stock recovery may have begun, although scientists are still extremely cautious and state that “in 2012, the Committee had too few information about the catch composition, effort and spatial distribution of the main Mediterranean fisheries to derive any conclusive statement.”

In addition, no new assessment of bluefin has been conducted for 2013, and the scientific committee (SCRS) states that it cannot scientifically justify a substantial change in the TAC. More specifically, it states “Nonetheless, the Committee notes that maintaining catches at around recent TACs under the current management scheme will likely allow the stock to increase during that period and is consistent with the goal of achieving F_{MSY} and B_{MSY} through 2022 with at least 60% of probability, given the quantified uncertainties."

Nonetheless, bluefin being such a profitable fishery, there will be pressure at the upcoming ICCAT meeting in Cape Town in November 2013 to increase the TAC.

It is your rapporteur's firm belief that it would be foolish and economically counter-productive to increase the TAC. The stock is still well below levels capable of producing MSY and the SCRS continues to stress that significant uncertainties remain about the status of the stock. The new objective of fisheries management in the CFP requires stocks to be restored to and maintained at levels above MSY - so the EU's policy dictates that we must be cautious about this fishery. Economics point in the same way, since if the stock were allowed to increase, so as to ensure recovery to more abundant levels, catches and profits could both increase. The most economically productive stocks are those which are well above levels that can produce MSY, and bluefin is still a long way from there.

Coming to the substance of the Commission's proposal, it is to amend one article of Regulation 301/2009, the current recovery plan. It is proposed, based on ICCAT Recommendation 12-03, to amend the fishing season. First, it changes from a closed season to an open season. It also changes the dates for purse seines, bait boats and trolling boats by a few days. Since these are from an ICCAT Recommendation, they must be transposed into EU law.

However, there is a third provision, which adds a new sentence to the EU Regulation - "Fishing for bluefin tuna by other gears than those mentioned in paragraphs 1 to 5 shall be permitted throughout the year".

Your rapporteur finds this too loose a phrase, even if it comes from ICCAT language. The Commission confirms that only three gears would be involved in the targeted fishery - traps, small longliners (< 24-m) and hand lines. So, given that management of this fishery has been
problematic and complicated for many years, in order to avoid all possible confusion over which gears are able to fish all year round, it is proposed to specify that the year-round season applies to these gears only. It is true that this differs from the ICCAT language but it serves simply to clarify it, in order to ensure that there are no unintended consequences later.
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