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Amendment 686
Paulo Rangel, Cristian Dan Preda, Constance Le Grip

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

26. Calls for the suppression of Article 126(10) TFEU in order that the European Court of Justice gain full jurisdiction over the operation of the EMU, as is appropriate in a democratic system of economic governance based on the rule of law and the principle of equality among Member States;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 687
Burkhard Balz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

26. Calls for the suppression of Article 126(10) TFEU in order that the European Court of Justice gain full jurisdiction over the operation of the EMU, as is appropriate in a democratic system of economic governance based on the rule of law and the principle of equality among Member States;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 688
Morten Messerschmidt, Ulrike Trebesius
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26

26. Calls for the suppression of Article 126(10) TFEU in order that the European Court of Justice gain full jurisdiction over the operation of the EMU, as is appropriate in a democratic system of economic governance based on the rule of law and the principle of equality among Member States;

Amendment 689
Esther de Lange

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26

26. Calls for the suppression of Article 126(10) TFEU in order that the European Court of Justice gain full jurisdiction over the operation of the EMU, as is appropriate in a democratic system of economic governance based on the rule of law and the principle of equality among Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 690
Eleftherios Synadinos

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26

26. Calls for the amendment of Article 126(10) TFEU in order that the European
Court of Justice gain full jurisdiction over the operation of the EMU, as is appropriate in a democratic system of economic governance based on the rule of law and the principle of equality among Member States;

Or. el

Amendment 691
Luke Ming Flanagan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 27

27. Calls, finally, for the banking union to be completed as soon as possible on the basis of a fast-track timetable;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 692
Paulo Rangel

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 27

27. Calls, finally, for the banking union to be completed as soon as possible on the basis of a fast-track timetable;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 693
Martina Anderson

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 27
27. Calls, finally, for the banking union to be completed as soon as possible on the basis of a fast-track timetable;

Amendment 694
Bernd Lucke

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 27

27. Calls, finally, for the banking union to be completed as soon as possible on the basis of a fast-track timetable;

Amendment 695
Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 27

27. Calls, finally, for the banking union to be completed as soon as possible on the basis of a fast-track timetable;

Amendment 696
Danuta Maria Hübner

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 27
Motion for a resolution

27. Calls, finally, for the banking union to be completed as soon as possible on the basis of a fast-track timetable;

Amendment

27. Calls, finally, for the banking union to be completed as soon as possible with a common deposit insurance or reinsurance system and with a fiscal backstop that should be fiscally neutral over the medium term on the basis of a fast-track timetable; stresses that, in this process, further recourse to intergovernmental agreements should be avoided unless they are absolutely necessary;

Or. en

Amendment 697
Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 27

Motion for a resolution

27. Calls, finally, for the banking union to be completed as soon as possible on the basis of a fast-track timetable;

Amendment

27. Calls, finally, for the banking union to be completed as soon as possible on the basis of a fast-track timetable; calls therefore in parallel for the strengthening of decentralised and bank-based finance by cleaning banks’ balance sheets from non-performing loans while putting an end to austerity, which dampens investment and credit demand;

Or. en

Amendment 698
Barbara Spinelli

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 27

Motion for a resolution

27. Calls, finally, for the banking union

Amendment

27. Calls, finally, for the banking union
to be completed as soon as possible on the basis of a fast-track timetable;

nevertheless, calls for the strengthening of decentralised and bank-based finance by cleaning banks’ balance sheets from non-performing loans while putting an end to austerity, which dampens investment and credit demand;

Or. en

Amendment 699
Burkhard Balz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 27

Motion for a resolution

27. Calls, finally, for the banking union to be completed as soon as possible on the basis of a fast-track timetable;

Amendment

27. Calls for the banking union to be completed step-by-step, and highlights that priority should at first be given to ensuring the full implementation and application of the existing banking union legislation and, thus, to the avoidance of moral hazard;

Or. en

Amendment 700
Pervenche Berès, Reimer Bögé

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 27

Motion for a resolution

27. Calls, finally, for the banking union to be completed as soon as possible on the basis of a fast-track timetable;

Amendment

27. Calls for the Banking union and the Capital market union to be completed as soon as possible, to ensure, risk reduction and a full financial market integration and among others, private risk sharing;

Or. en
Amendment 701
Ramon Tremosa i Balcells

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 27

27. Calls, finally, for the banking union to be completed as soon as possible on the basis of a fast-track timetable;

Amendment

27. Calls, finally, for the banking union to be completed as soon as possible on the basis of a fast-track timetable as soon as all the euro-area Member States comply with current legislation;

Or. en

Amendment 702
Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 27

27. Calls, finally, for the banking union to be completed as soon as possible on the basis of a fast-track timetable;

Amendment

27. Notes that the banking union is a mistake, and that completing it will still not be able to prevent further banking crises from occurring given the fact that the causes of the crisis lie in the failure of the euro itself;

Or. de

Amendment 703
Jo Leinen, Mercedes Bresso, Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Pedro Silva Pereira

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 27

27. Calls, finally, for the banking union to be completed as soon as possible on the basis of a fast-track timetable;

Amendment

27. Calls for the banking union and the Capital market union to be completed as
basis of a fast-track timetable;
soon as possible on the basis of a fast-track timetable;

Or. en

Amendment 704
Rainer Wieland

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 27

Motion for a resolution
Amendment
27. Calls, finally, for the banking union to be completed as soon as possible on the basis of a fast-track timeline;
27. Calls, finally, for the banking union to be gradually completed;

Or. de

Amendment 705
David McAllister, Markus Pieper

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 27

Motion for a resolution
Amendment
27. Calls, finally, for the banking union to be completed as soon as possible on the basis of a fast-track timeline;
27. Calls, finally, for the banking union to be gradually completed;

Or. de

Amendment 706
Esther de Lange

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 27

Motion for a resolution
Amendment
27. Calls, finally, for the banking union to be completed as soon as possible on the
27. Calls, finally, for the banking union to be completed step-by-step;
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27. Calls, finally, for the banking union to be completed as soon as possible on the basis of a fast-track timetable;

Or. en

Amendment 707
Dariusz Rosati

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 27

Motion for a resolution Amendment

27a. Believes that before completing the Banking Union, it is necessary to address the critical flaws in its current architecture, such as the exclusion of any common deposit insurance scheme, the absence of an effective national veto over the use of common financial resources, the fact that the Single Resolution Fund’s (SRF) pre-funded financial means amount to ‘only’ €55 billion, meaning that, in the event of a serious banking crisis, the SRF’s resources are unlikely to be sufficient (especially during the fund’s transitional period), the fact that, where the ESM will be allowed to intervene through its new direct recapitalisation instrument (DRI), this will be conditional on the implementation of the troika’s dreaded conditionalities, including where
appropriate those related to the general economic policies of the ESM Member concerned; asks, furthermore, for a thorough review of the bail-in rule;

Or. en

Amendment 709
Pervenche Berès, Jutta Steinruck

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 27 a (new)

*Motion for a resolution*  Amendment

27a. Recalls that the EJC allows economic freedom and competition rules, following articles 45, 49, 56, 101 and 102 of the TFEU, to prevail over social and labor rights settled in soft laws and in articles 12, 21, 28, 31 and 34 of the CFREU; calls therefore for these rights to have primacy and, conflict between them solved, by adding the principal that economic freedom and competition rules can be limited when necessary in order to protect social and labor rights;

Or. en

Amendment 710
Jo Leinen, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Mercedes Bresso, Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Pedro Silva Pereira, Pervenche Berès

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 27 a (new)

*Motion for a resolution*  Amendment

27a. Considers it necessary to lift the unanimity requirement for the harmonisation of certain taxes to allow the EU to set minimum tax rates and tax corridors with the aim of safeguarding the fair and smooth functioning of the
internal market and to avoid harmful tax competition between Member States;

Amendment 711
Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Jonás Fernández, Jo Leinen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 27 a (new)

27a. Calls for the inclusion of the fight against tax fraud and avoidance and tax havens, as a fundamental objective of the European Union, and for the establishment of a European Tax Agency;

Amendment 712
Max Andersson

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 27 a (new)

27a. Underlines the importance of an EU functioning of both Eurozone and non-Eurozone countries;

Amendment 713
Jo Leinen, Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Mercedes Bresso, Pedro Silva Pereira, Pervenchे Berès

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 27 b (new)
27b. Considers a strong social dimension indispensable for a comprehensive EMU and that Article 9 TFEU in its current form is not sufficient to guarantee a proper equilibrium between social rights and economic freedoms; calls therefore for these rights to be equally ranked and conflict between them solved by the principal that no right should be limited more than necessary in order to protect countervailing rights;

Or. en

Amendment 714
Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Jonás Fernández

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 27 b (new)

27b. Proposes the unification of the European Union external representation in the International Monetary Fund, the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development, and the Bank for International Settlements;

Or. en

Amendment 715
Max Andersson

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 27 b (new)

27b. Recognizes the fact that some Member States have derogations from the EMU and that others have chosen to
remain outside the Eurozone for the foreseeable future and that this situation should be regularized;

Or. sv

Amendment 716
Max Andersson

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 27 c (new)

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

27c. Calls for strengthening the safeguards for non-Eurozone countries so as to ensure that the deepening of the EMU does not lead to creation of divisions within the EU; considers that the EU must ensure that it is functioning for all the Member States;

Or. sv

Amendment 717
Max Andersson

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 27 d (new)

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

27d. Underlines that non-Eurozone countries must be able to observe the meetings of the Eurogroup and be able to participate in discussions that concern the whole of the union;

Or. sv

Amendment 718
Max Andersson
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 27 e (new)

27e. Considers that there is a need for balance between Eurozone and non-Eurozone countries; notes that if there is an institutional strengthening of the Eurozone such as the creation of a joint position combining the roles of president of the Eurogroup and vice president of the Commission, the non-Eurozone countries also need to be strengthened by the creation of a post in the Commission with the mission to ensure that the rights of the non-Eurozone countries are properly considered;

Or. sv

Amendment 719
Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 28

28. Recognises the geopolitical, economic and environmental need for the creation of a genuine European energy union; notes that this will require the removal of the constraint that EU policy must not affect a state’s right to determine the conditions for exploiting its energy sources, its choice between different energy sources and the general structure of its energy supply (Article 194(2) TFEU);

deleted

Or. de

Amendment 720
Eleftherios Synadinos
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 28

28. Recognises the geopolitical, economic and environmental need for the creation of a genuine European energy union; notes that this will require the removal of the constraint that EU policy must not affect a state’s right to determine the conditions for exploiting its energy sources, its choice between different energy sources and the general structure of its energy supply (Article 194(2) TFEU);

Amendment

28. Recognises the geopolitical, economic, energy and environmental need for the creation of a genuine European energy union;

Or. el

Amendment 721
Luke Ming Flanagan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 28

28. Recognises the geopolitical, economic and environmental need for the creation of a genuine European energy union; notes that this will require the removal of the constraint that EU policy must not affect a state’s right to determine the conditions for exploiting its energy sources, its choice between different energy sources and the general structure of its energy supply (Article 194(2) TFEU);

Amendment

28. Recognises the geopolitical, economic and environmental need for the creation of a genuine European energy union; notes that this will require the removal of the constraint that EU policy must not affect a state’s right to determine the conditions for exploiting its energy sources (especially the right of individual Member States to ban certain types of energy searches such as fracking), its choice between different energy sources and the general structure of its energy supply (Article 194(2) TFEU); calls also for a fair return to Member States from any energy sources discovered within those states;

Or. en
### Amendment 722
Jo Leinen, Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso, Pedro Silva Pereira

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 28**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Motion for a resolution</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28. Recognises the geopolitical, economic and environmental need for the creation of</td>
<td>28. Recognises the geopolitical, economic and environmental need for the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a genuine European energy union; notes that this will require the removal of the</td>
<td>creation of a genuine European energy union; notes that this will require</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>constraint that EU policy must not affect a state’s right to determine the conditions</td>
<td>the removal of the constraint that EU policy must not affect a state’s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for exploiting its energy sources, its choice between different energy sources and the</td>
<td>right to determine the conditions for exploiting its energy sources;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>general structure of its energy supply (Article 194(2) TFEU);</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Or. en</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Amendment 723
Esther de Lange

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 28**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Motion for a resolution</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28. Recognises the geopolitical, economic and environmental need for the creation of</td>
<td>28. Recognises the geopolitical, economic and environmental need for the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a genuine European energy union; notes that this will require the removal of the</td>
<td>creation of a genuine European energy union; notes that this will require</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>constraint that EU policy must not affect a state’s right to determine the conditions</td>
<td>the removal of the constraint that EU policy must not affect a state’s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for exploiting its energy sources, its choice between different energy sources and the</td>
<td>right to determine the conditions for exploiting its energy sources;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>general structure of its energy supply (Article 194(2) TFEU);</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Or. en</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Amendment 724
Rainer Wieland

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 28

Motion for a resolution

28. Recognises the geopolitical, economic and environmental need for the creation of a genuine European energy union; notes that this will require the removal of the constraint that EU policy must not affect a state’s right to determine the conditions for exploiting its energy sources, its choice between different energy sources and the general structure of its energy supply (Article 194(2) TFEU);

Amendment

28. Recognises the geopolitical, economic and environmental need for the creation of a genuine European energy union; notes that EU policy must not affect a state’s right to determine the conditions for exploiting its energy sources, its choice between different energy sources and the general structure of its energy supply (Article 194(2) TFEU);

Or. de

Amendment 725.
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a Resolution
Paragraph 28

Motion for a Resolution

28. Recognises the geopolitical, economic and environmental need for the creation of a genuine European energy union; notes that this will require the removal of the constraint that EU policy must not affect a state’s right to determine the conditions for exploiting its energy sources, its choice between different energy sources and the general structure of its energy supply (Article 194(2) TFEU);

Amendment

28. Recognises the need for a genuine European energy union to be created for European citizens, which is based on energy efficiency and renewable sources; notes that this could lead to a gradual elimination of national flexibility regarding the determination of the conditions of use of energy sources, with a view to achieving the objectives of increasing renewable sources in the general structure of a state’s energy supply (Article 194, paragraph 2, TFUE);

Or. it
Amendment 726
Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 28

28. Recognises the geopolitical, economic and environmental need for the creation of a genuine European energy union; notes that this will require the removal of the constraint that EU policy must not affect a state’s right to determine the conditions for exploiting its energy sources, its choice between different energy sources and the general structure of its energy supply (Article 194(2) TFEU);

Amendment

28. Recognises the need for greater convergence at regional level and cooperation between neighbouring states in some areas of the production chain; notes that this must not affect a state’s right to determine the conditions for exploiting its energy sources, its choice between different energy sources and the general structure of its energy supply (Article 194(2) TFEU);

Or. el

Amendment 727
Paulo Rangel

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 28

28. Recognises the geopolitical, economic and environmental need for the creation of a genuine European energy union; notes that this will require the removal of the constraint that EU policy must not affect a state’s right to determine the conditions for exploiting its energy sources, its choice between different energy sources and the general structure of its energy supply (Article 194(2) TFEU);

Amendment

28. Recognises the geopolitical, economic and environmental need for the creation of a genuine European energy union; notes that this may require the removal of the constraint that EU policy must not affect a state’s right to determine the conditions for exploiting its energy sources, its choice between different energy sources and the general structure of its energy supply (Article 194(2) TFEU);

Or. en

Amendment 728
Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 28

28. Recognises the geopolitical, economic and environmental need for the creation of a genuine European energy union; notes that this will require the removal of the constraint that EU policy must not affect a state’s right to determine the conditions for exploiting its energy sources, its choice between different energy sources and the general structure of its energy supply (Article 194(2) TFEU);

Amendment

28. Recognises the geopolitical, economic and environmental need for the creation of a European energy union; points out however that the Energy Union should be principally fostered through appropriate research and development investments in renewable energy sources, in line with the objectives of the EU as listed, for example, in article 3 TEU and 37 of the EU Charter of fundamental rights;

Or. en

Amendment 729
Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 28 a (new)

28a. Welcomes the outcomes of 2015 Paris Climate Conference on setting out standards for reducing global emissions; stresses, however, that environmental protection shall become a short-term top priority for the EU in the light of the current environmental degradation, and shall be mainstreamed in all policies and actions of the Union; moreover suggests, in order to better attain the above-mentioned objectives, to modify the Treaties by introducing a specific reference to the Right of Nature, as developed, for instance, in the Constitution of Ecuador;

Or. en
Amendment 730
Jo Leinen, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso, Pedro Silva Pereira, Pervenche Berès

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 28 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

28a. Underlines that climate change is one of the key global challenges facing the EU; stresses the need for the full ratification and implementation of the Paris Agreement and the adaptation of binding EU climate targets and actions accordingly; notes that the exclusive competence of the Member States to determine the conditions for exploiting its energy sources, its choice between different energy sources and the general structure of its energy supply (Article 194(2) TFEU) may undermine the successful implementation of common energy policies;

Or. en

Amendment 731
Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 28 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

28a. Stresses that the development of new and renewable energy resources should be incorporated into the Treaties as the prime objective for all Member States;

Or. de

Amendment 732
Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz
29. Notes that the Treaties provide ample means to set up a humane, well-functioning migration management system including a European Border and Coast Guard; believes, however, that the Treaties, particularly Article 79(5) TFEU, are too restrictive regarding other aspects of migration, especially on the establishment of a genuine European legal migration system; insists that democratic scrutiny by Parliament is needed on the implementation of border control, asylum and migration policies, and that the safeguarding of national security cannot be used as a pretext to circumvent European action;

Amendment

733.
Fabio Massimo Castaldo, Laura Ferrara

Motion for a Resolution
Paragraph 29

29. Notes that the Treaties provide ample means to set up a human rights-based, well-functioning migration and refugees policy rooted on article 80 TFEU and on the principles of solidarity, non-discrimination, non-refoulement, and on proactive search and rescue in line with the obligations deriving from the Geneva Convention, the Charter of fundamental rights of the European Union, the International Convention for the Safety of Life at Sea and the International Convention on Maritime Search and Rescue, while considering, at the same time, the establishment of safe and legal avenues for refugees fleeing from wars, dictatorships and environmentally-caused disasters; believes, however, that the Treaties, particularly Article 79(5) TFEU, are too restrictive regarding other aspects of migration, especially on the establishment of a genuine European legal migration system; insists that democratic control and co-decision by the Parliament is needed on the implementation of border control, asylum and migration policies, and that the safeguarding of national security cannot be used as a pretext to circumvent European action as far as asylum and inclusion policies are concerned;

Or. en
motion for a resolution

29. Notes that the Treaties provide ample means to set up a humane, well-functioning migration management system including a European Border and Coast Guard; believes however, that the Treaties, particularly Article 79(5) TFEU, are too restrictive regarding other aspects of migration, especially on the establishment of a genuine European legal migration system; insists that democratic scrutiny by Parliament is needed on the implementation of border control, asylum and migration policies, and that the safeguarding of national security cannot be used as a pretext to circumvent European action;

Amendment 734
Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 29

29. Notes that the Treaties provide ample means to set up a well-functioning migration management system and compliant with human rights including a European Border and Coast Guard; believes however, that the Treaties, particularly Article 79(5) TFEU, are too restrictive regarding other aspects of migration, especially on the establishment of a genuine European legal migration system; insists that democratic scrutiny by Parliament is needed on the implementation of border control, asylum and migration policies, and that the safeguarding of national security cannot be used as a pretext to circumvent European action; considers, however, that any intervention in this field must be preceded by a review of the Dublin system so as to achieve effective responsibility and equal distribution between Member States, giving full and concrete application of Article 80 TFEU and which also imposes a resettlement mechanism for the migration flow within the Union, so as not to further aggravate the situation of the Member States which are located on the borders of the migration flow;

Or. it
Treaties, particularly Article 79(5) TFEU, are too restrictive regarding other aspects of migration, especially on the establishment of a genuine European legal migration system; insists that democratic scrutiny by Parliament is needed on the implementation of border control, asylum and migration policies, and that the safeguarding of national security cannot be used as a pretext to circumvent European action;

Or. en

Amendment 735
Eleftherios Synadinos

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 29

29. Notes that the Treaties provide ample means to set up a humane, well-functioning migration management system including a European Border and Coast Guard; believes, however, that the Treaties, particularly Article 79(5) TFEU, are too restrictive regarding other aspects of migration, especially on the establishment of a genuine European legal migration system; insists that democratic scrutiny by Parliament is needed on the implementation of border control, asylum and migration policies, and that the safeguarding of national security cannot be used as a pretext to circumvent European action;

29. Notes that the Treaties provide ample means to set up a humane, well-functioning migration management system including a European Border and Coast Guard; believes that Article 79(5) TFEU is not an impediment to the common European action, as the choice by a Member State of the volume of third-country nationals admitted is an integral part of national sovereignty;

Or. el

Amendment 736
Kazimierz Michal Ujazdowski, Ulrike Trebesius
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 29

29. Notes that the Treaties provide ample means to set up a humane, well-functioning migration management system including a European Border and Coast Guard; believes, however, that the Treaties, particularly Article 79(5) TFEU, are too restrictive regarding other aspects of migration, especially on the establishment of a genuine European legal migration system; insists that democratic scrutiny by Parliament is needed on the implementation of border control, asylum and migration policies, and that the safeguarding of national security cannot be used as a pretext to circumvent European action;

Amendment

29. Notes that the Treaties provide ample means to set up a humane, well-functioning migration management system including a European Border and Coast Guard; believes that forms of solidarity in this field require mutual trust between the Union and the Member States, which should remain competent in the issues of asylum and immigration policies;

Or. pl

Amendment 737
Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 29

29. Notes that the Treaties provide ample means to set up a humane, well-functioning migration management system including a European Border and Coast Guard; believes, however, that the Treaties, particularly Article 79 (5) TFEU, are too restrictive regarding other aspects of migration, especially on the establishment of a genuine European legal migration system; insists that democratic scrutiny by Parliament is needed on the implementation of border control, asylum and migration policies, and that the safeguarding of national security cannot be used as a pretext to

Amendment

29. Notes that the Treaties provide ample means to set up a humane, well-functioning migration management system including a European Border and Coast Guard; stresses that Article 79 should not expressly affect the right of the Member States to determine the volumes of admission of third-country nationals coming from third countries to their territory in order to seek work in accordance with Article 79(5) of the Treaty;
circumvent European action;

Amendment 738
Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 29

Motion for a resolution  

Amendment

29. Notes that the Treaties provide ample means to set up a humane, well-functioning migration management system including a European Border and Coast Guard; believes, however, that the Treaties, particularly Article 79(5) TFEU, are too restrictive regarding other aspects of migration, especially on the establishment of a genuine European legal migration system; insists that democratic scrutiny by Parliament is needed on the implementation of border control, asylum and migration policies, and that the safeguarding of national security cannot be used as a pretext to circumvent European action;

Or. de

Amendment 739
Maite Pagazaurtundúa Ruiz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 29

Motion for a resolution  

Amendment

29. Notes that the Treaties provide ample means to set up a humane, well-functioning migration management system including a European Border and Coast Guard; believes, however, that the Treaties, particularly Article 79(5) TFEU, are too

Or. el
restrictive regarding other aspects of migration, especially on the establishment of a genuine European legal migration system; insists that democratic scrutiny by Parliament is needed on the implementation of border control, asylum and migration policies, and that the safeguarding of national security cannot be used as a pretext to circumvent European action;

restrictive regarding other aspects of migration, especially on the establishment of a genuine European legal migration system; underlines that the future EU migration system must synergize with its foreign aid, its foreign policy, and unify national criteria for granting asylum as well as access to the labour market; insists that democratic scrutiny by Parliament is needed on the implementation of border control, asylum and migration policies, and that the safeguarding of national security cannot be used as a pretext to circumvent European action;

Amendment 740
Martina Anderson

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 29

Motion for a resolution

29. Notes that the Treaties provide ample means to set up a humane, well-functioning migration management system including a European Border and Coast Guard; believes, however, that the Treaties, particularly Article 79(5) TFEU, are too restrictive regarding other aspects of migration, especially on the establishment of a genuine European legal migration system; insists that democratic scrutiny by Parliament is needed on the implementation of border control, asylum and migration policies, and that the safeguarding of national security cannot be used as a pretext to circumvent European action;

Amendment

29. Believes in the parliamentary scrutiny of migration, asylum and refugee systems to ensure that they are humane and comply with all human rights laws and existing European law; believes that this humanitarian crisis can only be resolved with humanitarian solutions, including, but not limited to, safe passage for refugees, the proliferation of humanitarian visas, family and vulnerable persons relocation initiatives and collective European responsibility; notes that certain Member States which have seen a rise in far right political parties, xenophobia, and anti-immigrant sentiment are often the very states that have destabilised the countries which these refugees are coming from, either through military action or through the supply of arms and munitions to conflict areas;
Amendment 741
Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 29

Motion for a resolution

29. Notes that the Treaties provide ample means to set up a humane, well-functioning migration management system including a European Border and Coast Guard; believes, however, that the Treaties, particularly Article 79(5) TFEU, are too restrictive regarding other aspects of migration, especially on the establishment of a genuine European legal migration system; insists that democratic scrutiny by Parliament is needed on the implementation of border control, asylum and migration policies, and that the safeguarding of national security cannot be used as a pretext to circumvent European action;

Amendment

29. Notes that the Treaties provide ample means to set up a humane, well-functioning migration management system including a European Border and Coast Guard; believes, however, that the Treaties, particularly Article 79(5) TFEU, are too restrictive regarding other aspects of migration, especially on the establishment of a genuine European legal migration system; insists that democratic scrutiny by Parliament is needed on the implementation of border control, asylum and migration policies;

Or. en

Amendment 742
Pascal Durand
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 29

Motion for a resolution

29. Notes that the Treaties provide ample means to set up a humane, well-functioning migration management system including a European Border and Coast Guard; believes, however, that the Treaties, particularly Article 79(5) TFEU, are too restrictive regarding other aspects of

Amendment

29. Notes that the Treaties provide ample means to set up a humane, well-functioning migration management system including a European Border and Coast Guard; believes, however, that the Treaties, particularly Article 79(5) TFEU, are too restrictive regarding other aspects of
migration, especially on the establishment of a genuine European legal migration system; insists that democratic scrutiny by Parliament is needed on the implementation of border control, asylum and migration policies, and that the safeguarding of national security cannot be used as a pretext to circumvent European action;

Amendment 743
Morten Messerschmidt, Ulrike Trebesius

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 29

Motion for a resolution

29. Notes that the Treaties provide ample means to set up a humane, well-functioning migration management system including a European Border and Coast Guard; believes, however, that the Treaties, particularly Article 79(5) TFEU, are too restrictive regarding other aspects of migration, especially on the establishment of a genuine European legal migration system; insists that democratic scrutiny by Parliament is needed on the implementation of border control, asylum and migration policies, and that the safeguarding of national security cannot be used as a pretext to circumvent European action;

Amendment

29. Recalls that mass immigration to the European Union undermines the Schengen agreements which now need urgent and serious reform; notes that the Treaties should have provided ample means to set up a humane, well-functioning migration management system including a European Border and Coast Guard, believes however that the right of asylum and the right to accept refugees should be solely the competence of the Member States and highlighting that if one doesn’t protect its own borders nobody else will;

Amendment 744
Jo Leinen, Enrique Guerrero Salom, Mercedes Bresso, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Pedro Silva Pereira, Pervenche Berès
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 29

29. Notes that the Treaties provide ample means to set up a humane, well-functioning migration management system including a European Border and Coast Guard; believes, however, that the Treaties, particularly Article 79(5) TFEU, are too restrictive regarding other aspects of migration, especially on the establishment of a genuine European legal migration system; insists that democratic scrutiny by Parliament is needed on the implementation of border control, asylum and migration policies, and that the safeguarding of national security cannot be used as a pretext to circumvent European action;

Amendment

29. Notes that the Treaties provide ample means to set up a humane, well-functioning migration management system including a European Border and Coast Guard and welcomes the progress in this regards; believes, however, that the Treaties, particularly Article 79(5) TFEU, are too restrictive regarding other aspects of migration, especially on the establishment of a genuine European legal migration system; insists that democratic scrutiny by Parliament is needed on the implementation of border control, asylum and migration policies, and that the safeguarding of national interests cannot be used as a pretext to circumvent European action;

Or. en

Amendment 745
Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 29 a (new)

29a. Points out that further steps are necessary to ensure that the Common European Asylum System becomes a truly uniform system; calls on Member States to harmonize their legislation and practices with regards to the standards as to who qualifies as a beneficiary of international protection, guarantees on international protection procedures and reception conditions following the jurisprudence of the ECtHR and CJUE and established best practices in fellow Member States; stresses that a new
asylum and migration framework should build upon fundamental rights of the migrant;

Or. en

Amendment 746
Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 29 a (new)

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

29a. Points out the need to include in the drawing up of asylum policies the local and regional authorities managing services which should offer the first response to the humanitarian crises caused by immigration;

Or. es

Amendment 747
Kazimierz Michal Ujazdowski, Ulrike Trebesius

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 29 a (new)

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

29a. Takes the view that the European Union urgently needs an effective external border control instrument, which is a pre-condition for the survival of the Schengen area;

Or. pl

Amendment 748
Izaskun Bilbao Barandica
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 29 b (new)

29b. Takes the view that there is a need to establish a minimum framework of benefits and entitlements to give content to the European social mode, and it should cover social benefits, social security systems, education, health, unemployment benefits, income guarantees and equality policies; stresses that the dedicated programmes must avoid social breakdown and inequality and should be considered an investment and not an expense; points out that establishing these minimums is the best tool for combatting social dumping in the EU;

Or. es

Amendment 749
Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 29 b (new)

29b. Reaffirms that the Union must adopt a long-term strategy to address the root causes of migration in third countries (persecution, conflict, generalised violence, climate change and natural disasters or extreme poverty) and create safe and regular channels to access the EU;

Or. en

Amendment 750
Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 29 c (new)

Motion for a resolution  Amendment

29c. Furthermore, considers it necessary to proceed to a formal recognition of the environmental refugees, as those who are obliged to leave their home countries due to environmental causes, hence to guarantee them full access to EU asylum procedures;

Or. en

Amendment 751
Max Andersson

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 30

Motion for a resolution  Amendment

30. Considers it necessary, in view of the intensity of the terrorist threat, to upgrade the EU’s capacities in the fight against terrorism and international organised crime; stresses that, beyond strengthening coordination between the competent authorities and agencies in the Member States, Europol and Eurojust must receive genuine investigation and prosecution competences and capabilities;

deleted

Or. sv

Amendment 752
Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 30
Motion for a resolution

30. **Considers it necessary**, in view of the intensity of the terrorist threat, to upgrade the EU’s capacities in the fight against terrorism and international organised crime; stresses that, beyond **strengthening** coordination between the competent authorities and agencies in the Member States, Europol and Eurojust must receive genuine investigation and prosecution competences and capabilities;

Amendment

30. **Points out that the concept of ‘national security’ should be revised**, in view of the fact that the intensity of the terrorist threat has demonstrated that it is ineffective when it comes to addressing this problem which can only be addressed by means of a proper European intelligence service; calls for the EU’s capacities in the fight against terrorism and international organised crime to be upgraded by following that course; stresses that to develop that coordinated action, the first step needs to be taken in the Member States themselves, which should carry out this work in all the bodies and agencies with the capacity to prevent, investigate and eradicate terrorist crimes and carry out citizen security work; That is the only way to achieve proper and effective coordination between the competent authorities and agencies in the Member States and to enable Europol and Eurojust to receive genuine investigation and prosecution competences and capabilities that will provide the foundations for a proper European intelligence system;

Or. es
competent authorities and agencies in the Member States, Europol and Eurojust must receive genuine investigation and prosecution competences and capabilities; key areas such as vigilance, intelligence and justice in order to adapt to the new and future non-conventional threats; stresses that, beyond strengthening coordination between the competent authorities and agencies in the Member States, Europol and Eurojust must receive genuine investigation and prosecution competences and capabilities; **considers it necessary to include the need to improve cybernetic security of the Member States**;

**Or. en**

**Amendment 754**
Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

**Motion for a resolution**
**Paragraph 30**

**Motion for a resolution**

30. Considers it necessary, in view of the intensity of the terrorist threat, to upgrade the EU’s capacities in the fight against terrorism and international organised crime; stresses that, beyond strengthening coordination between the competent authorities and agencies in the Member States, Europol and Eurojust must receive genuine investigation and prosecution competences and capabilities;

**Amendment**

30. Considers it necessary, in view of the intensity of the terrorist threat, to upgrade the EU’s capacities in the fight against terrorism and international organised crime; stresses that, beyond strengthening coordination between the competent authorities and agencies in the Member States, Europol and Eurojust must receive genuine investigation and prosecution competences and capabilities; **points out, however, that the fight against terrorism shall not become a justification for lowering down existing human rights standards, including protection of privacy in internet and encryption of data**;

**Or. en**

**Amendment 755.**
Fabio Massimo Castaldo, Laura Ferrara

**Motion for a Resolution**
**Paragraph 30**
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Motion for a Resolution

30. Considers it necessary, in view of the intensity of the terrorist threat, to upgrade the EU’s capacities in the fight against terrorism and international organised crime; stresses that, beyond strengthening coordination between the competent authorities and agencies in the Member States, Europol and Eurojust must receive genuine investigation and prosecution competences and capabilities;

Amendment

30. Considers it necessary, in view of the intensity of the terrorist threat, to upgrade the EU’s capacities in the fight against terrorism and international organised crime; stresses that, beyond strengthening coordination between the competent authorities and agencies in the Member States, Europol and Eurojust must receive genuine investigation and prosecution competences and capabilities as well as give effect to the full functioning of the mechanisms for the exchange of information between Member States, which must fully comply with the principle of helpfulness;

Or. it

Amendment 756
Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 30

Motion for a resolution

30. Considers it necessary, in view of the intensity of the terrorist threat, to upgrade the EU’s capacities in the fight against terrorism and international organised crime; stresses that, beyond strengthening coordination between the competent authorities and agencies in the Member States, Europol and Eurojust must receive genuine investigation and prosecution competences and capabilities;

Amendment

30. Considers it necessary, in view of the intensity of the terrorist threat, to upgrade the capacities of the Member States in the fight against terrorism and international organised crime; stresses that coordination between the competent authorities and agencies in the Member States must be strengthened;

Or. de

Amendment 757
Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Jo Leinen
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 30

30. Considers it necessary, in view of the intensity of the terrorist threat, to upgrade the EU’s capacities in the fight against terrorism and international organised crime; stresses that, beyond strengthening coordination between the competent authorities and agencies in the Member States, Europol and Eurojust must receive genuine investigation and prosecution competences and capabilities;

Amendment

30. Considers it necessary, in view of the intensity of the terrorist threat, to upgrade the EU’s capacities in the fight against terrorism and international organised crime; stresses that, beyond strengthening coordination between the competent authorities and agencies in the Member States, Europol and Eurojust must receive genuine investigation and prosecution competences and capabilities, by its transformation in a true European Bureau of Investigation and Counter-Terrorism;

Or. en

Amendment 758
Martina Anderson

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 30

30. Considers it necessary, in view of the intensity of the terrorist threat, to upgrade the EU’s capacities in the fight against terrorism and international organised crime; stresses that, beyond strengthening coordination between the competent authorities and agencies in the Member States, Europol and Eurojust must receive genuine investigation and prosecution competences and capabilities;

Amendment

30. Considers defence to be a national, not an EU responsibility; Member States must retain the authority to decide whether they wish to involve themselves in a particular EU security initiative; the wishes of Member States, particularly those in neutral countries must be respected;

Or. en

Amendment 759
Pascal Durand
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 30

Motion for a resolution

30. Considers it necessary, in view of the intensity of the terrorist threat, to upgrade the EU’s capacities in the fight against terrorism and international organised crime; stresses that, beyond strengthening coordination between the competent authorities and agencies in the Member States, Europol and Eurojust must receive genuine investigation and prosecution competences and capabilities;

Amendment

30. Considers it necessary, in view of the intensity of the terrorist threat, to upgrade the EU’s capacities in the fight against terrorism and international organised crime; stresses that, beyond strengthening coordination between the competent authorities and agencies in the Member States, Europol and Eurojust must receive genuine investigation and prosecution competences and capabilities, while being subject to stronger parliamentary scrutiny;

Or. en

Amendment 760
Kazimierz Michal Ujazdowski, Ulrike Trebesius

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 30

Motion for a resolution

30. Considers it necessary, in view of the intensity of the terrorist threat, to upgrade the EU’s capacities in the fight against terrorism and international organised crime; stresses that, beyond strengthening coordination between the competent authorities and agencies in the Member States, Europol and Eurojust must receive genuine investigation and prosecution competences and capabilities;

Amendment

30. Considers it necessary, in view of the intensity of the terrorist threat, to upgrade the EU’s capacities in the fight against terrorism and international organised crime; stresses that it is necessary to enhance coordination between the competent authorities and agencies in the Member States through the activities within Europol and Eurojust agencies;

Or. pl

Amendment 761
Gérard Deprez, Guy Verhofstadt
30a. Concludes that the various terrorist attacks on European soil have proven that national security should be better ensured if it wasn’t an exclusive competence of the member states; proposes therefore to amend Article 4 TEU to make the national security a shared competence between EU and member states in order to, among others, facilitate the creation of a European intelligence service, possibly within Europol; stipulates that in the meantime in accordance with Article 73 TFEU nothing prevents the Member States to create these forms of cooperation between their services;

Or. fr

Amendment 762
Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

30a. Is of the opinion that external military interventions as well as war rhetoric are both counterproductive and dangerous in the fight against terrorism; emphasises, in this respect, the need to adopt an holistic approach by accompanying the necessary internal security measures with actions in the fields of education, social integration and urban-planning, especially in the suburbs;

Or. en
Amendment 763
Kazimierz Michal Ujazdowski

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 30 a (new)

30a. Draws attention to the fact that any instruments that result in Member State sovereignty being eroded will be ineffective;

Or. pl

Amendment 764
Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution
Subheading 5

Strengthening our foreign policy

Realigning international relations

Or. de

Amendment 765
Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution
Subheading 5

Strengthening our foreign policy

For an ancillary foreign policy

Or. el

Amendment 766
Luke Ming Flanagan
31. Notes that its efforts in initiating a common security and defence policy have not been particularly successful;

Or. el

Amendment 768
Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 31

Motion for a resolution

31. Regrets, as stated in its resolution of XXXXX on the improvement of the functioning of the European Union building on the potential of the Lisbon Treaty, that the EU has not made more progress in developing its capacity to agree and to implement a common foreign and security policy (CFSP); notes that its efforts in initiating a common security and defence policy have not been particularly successful; Amendment

Amendment

31. Notes, as stated in its resolution of XXXXX on the improvement of the functioning of the European Union building on the potential of the Lisbon Treaty, that the EU has not made any progress in developing its capacity to agree and to implement a common foreign and security policy (CFSP); recommends the strengthening of the role of the Community policies in the development of a coherent external action of the EU and a corresponding CFSP through concrete proposals on how the trade, development, climate or agricultural and fisheries policies have to contribute to implement the foreign policy objectives as stipulated in the Treaty; calls on the Commission to submit proposals to the European Parliament;

Or. en

Amendment 769.
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a Resolution
Paragraph 31

Motion for a Resolution

31. Regrets, as stated in its resolution of XXXXX on the improvement of the functioning of the European Union building on the potential of the Lisbon Treaty, that the EU has not made more progress in developing its capacity to agree and to implement a common foreign and security policy (CFSP); notes that its efforts in initiating a common security and defence policy have not been particularly successful; Amendment

Amendment

31. Regrets, as stated in its resolution of XXXXX on the improvement of the functioning of the European Union building on the potential of the Lisbon Treaty, that the EU has not made more progress in developing its capacity to agree and to implement a common foreign and security policy (CFSP); notes that its efforts in initiating a common security and defence policy have not been particularly successful also because of the gradual establishment of the subgroups of the
Member States within the council which have policy priorities which often conflict, thereby preventing not only the pursuit, but also the identification of a genuinely common interest;

Or. it

Amendment 770
Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 31

Motion for a resolution

31. Regrets, as stated in its resolution of XXXXX on the improvement of the functioning of the European Union building on the potential of the Lisbon Treaty, that the EU has not made more progress in developing its capacity to agree and to implement a common foreign and security policy (CFSP); notes that its efforts in initiating a common security and defence policy have not been particularly successful;

Amendment

31. Notes that efforts in initiating a common security and defence policy have not been particularly successful, and hence calls for jurisdiction over foreign policy to be devolved to the Member States;

Or. de

Amendment 771
Jo Leinen, Ramón Jáuregui Atundo, Enrique Guerrero Salom, Mercedes Bresso, Pedro Silva Pereira, Pervenche Berès

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 31

Motion for a resolution

31. Regrets, as stated in its resolution of XXXXX on the improvement of the functioning of the European Union building on the potential of the Lisbon Treaty, that the EU has not made more progress in developing its capacity to agree

Amendment

31. Regrets, as stated in its resolution of XXXXX on the improvement of the functioning of the European Union building on the potential of the Lisbon Treaty, that the EU has not made more progress in developing its capacity to agree
and to implement a common foreign and security policy (CFSP); notes that its efforts in initiating a common security and defence policy have not been particularly successful;

31. Regrets, as stated in its resolution of XXXXX on the improvement of the functioning of the European Union building on the potential of the Lisbon Treaty, that the EU has not made more progress in developing its capacity to agree and to implement a common foreign and security policy (CFSP); notes that its efforts in initiating a common security and defence policy have not been particularly successful;

Or. en

Amendment 772
Martina Anderson

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 31

31. Regrets, as stated in its resolution of XXXXX on the improvement of the functioning of the European Union building on the potential of the Lisbon Treaty, that the EU has not made more progress in developing its capacity to agree and to implement a common foreign and security policy (CFSP); notes that its efforts in initiating a common security and defence policy have not been particularly successful;

Or. en

Amendment 773
Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 31

31. Relieved, in contrast to resolution of XXXXX on the improvement of the functioning of the European Union building on the potential of the Lisbon Treaty, that the EU has not made more progress in developing its capacity to agree
and to implement a common foreign and security policy (CFSP); notes that its efforts in initiating a common security and defence policy have not been particularly successful;

Amendment 774
Paulo Rangel, Cristian Dan Preda, Viviane Reding, Alain Lamassoure

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 31 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

 Amendment

31a. Notes that only by enhancing the Common Foreign and Security Policy can the EU bring credible answers to the new security threats and challenges, fighting terrorism, bringing peace, stability and order to its neighbourhood;

Or. en

Amendment 775
Dennis de Jong

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 31 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

 Amendment

31a. Stresses that there is no need for an EU minister of Foreign Affairs and disapproves of the use of a qualified majority vote with regard to foreign policy in the Council;

Or. nl

Amendment 776
Luke Ming Flanagan
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Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 32

32. Is of the opinion, while reiterating that more progress could and should be made under the terms of the Lisbon Treaty, including as regards use of the provisions to act by qualified majority voting, that the Vice-President / High Representative should be named EU Foreign Minister and be supported in her efforts to become the main external representative of the European Union in international fora, not least at the level of the UN; considers it essential that, owing to the broad and heavy workload, the Foreign Minister should be able to appoint political deputies; proposes a review of the functionality of the current European External Action Service;

Amendment 777
Martina Anderson

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 32

32. Is of the opinion, while reiterating that more progress could and should be made under the terms of the Lisbon Treaty, including as regards use of the provisions to act by qualified majority voting, that the Vice-President / High Representative should be named EU Foreign Minister and be supported in her efforts to become the main external representative of the European Union in international fora, not least at the level of the UN; considers it essential that, owing to the broad and heavy workload, the
Foreign Minister should be able to appoint political deputies; proposes a review of the functionality of the current European External Action Service;

Amendment 778
Morten Messerschmidt, Ulrike Trebesius

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 32

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

32. Is of the opinion, while reiterating that more progress could and should be made under the terms of the Lisbon Treaty, including as regards use of the provisions to act by qualified majority voting, that the Vice-President/High Representative should be named EU Foreign Minister and be supported in her efforts to become the main external representative of the European Union in international fora, not least at the level of the UN; considers it essential that, owing to the broad and heavy workload, the Foreign Minister should be able to appoint political deputies; proposes a review of the functionality of the current European External Action Service;

Amendment 779
Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 32

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

32. Is of the opinion, while reiterating that more progress could and should be

32. proposes a review of the functionality of the current European
made under the terms of the Lisbon Treaty, including as regards use of the provisions to act by qualified majority voting, that the Vice-President / High Representative should be named EU Foreign Minister and be supported in her efforts to become the main external representative of the European Union in international fora, not least at the level of the UN; considers it essential that, owing to the broad and heavy workload, the Foreign Minister should be able to appoint political deputies; proposes a review of the functionality of the current European External Action Service;

Amendment 780
Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 32

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

32. Is of the opinion, while reiterating that more progress could and should be made under the terms of the Lisbon Treaty, including as regards use of the provisions to act by qualified majority voting, that the Vice-President / High Representative should be named EU Foreign Minister and be supported in her efforts to become the main external representative of the European Union in international fora, not least at the level of the UN; considers it essential that, owing to the broad and heavy workload, the Foreign Minister should be able to appoint political deputies; proposes a review of the functionality of the current European External Action Service;

Or. de

Or. el
Amendment 781
Barbara Spinelli

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 32

32. Is of the opinion, while reiterating that more progress could and should be made under the terms of the Lisbon Treaty, including as regards use of the provisions to act by qualified majority voting, that the Vice-President / High Representative should be named EU Foreign Minister and be supported in her efforts to become the main external representative of the European Union in international fora, not least at the level of the UN; considers it essential that, owing to the broad and heavy workload, the Foreign Minister should be able to appoint political deputies; proposes a review of the functionality of the current European External Action Service;

Amendment

32. Is of the opinion, while reiterating that more progress could and should be made under the terms of the Lisbon Treaty, that the Vice-President / High Representative should be supported in her/his efforts to become the main external representative of the European Union in international fora, not least at the level of the UN; considers it essential that, owing to the broad and heavy workload, the European External Action Service be equipped with the necessary budgetary resources;

Or. en

Amendment 782.
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a Resolution
Paragraph 32

32. Is of the opinion, while reiterating that more progress could and should be made under the terms of the Lisbon Treaty, including as regards use of the provisions to act by qualified majority voting, that the Vice-President / High Representative should be named EU Foreign Minister and be supported in her efforts to become the main external representative of the European Union in international fora, not least at the level of the UN; considers it

Motion for a Resolution

32. Is of the opinion, while reiterating that more progress could and should be made under the terms of the Lisbon Treaty, including as regards use of the provisions to act by qualified majority voting, that the Vice-President / High Representative should be named EU Foreign Minister and be supported in her efforts to become the main external representative of the European Union in international fora, not least at the level of the UN; considers it
essential that, owing to the broad and heavy workload, the Foreign Minister should be able to appoint political deputies; proposes a review of the functionality of the current European External Action Service;

the UN; considers it essential that, owing to the broad and heavy workload, the Foreign Minister should be able to appoint political deputies \textit{that are still fully subject to the law of code of conduct applicable to EU commissioners}; proposes a review of the functionality of the current European External Action Service;

\textbf{Amendment 783}  
\textbf{Maite Pagazaurtundúa Ruiz}  

\textbf{Motion for a resolution}  
\textbf{Paragraph 32}  

\textit{32.} Is of the opinion, while reiterating that more progress could and should be made under the terms of the Lisbon Treaty, including as regards use of the provisions to act by qualified majority voting, that the Vice-President / High Representative should be named EU Foreign Minister and be supported in her efforts to become the main external representative of the European Union in international fora, not least at the level of the UN; considers it essential that, owing to the broad and heavy workload, the Foreign Minister should be able to appoint political deputies; proposes a review of the functionality of the current European External Action Service;

\textbf{Amendment}  

\textit{32.} Is of the opinion, while reiterating that more progress could and should be made under the terms of the Lisbon Treaty, including as regards use of the provisions to act by qualified majority voting, that the Vice-President / High Representative should be named EU Foreign Minister and be supported in her efforts to become the main external representative of the European Union in international fora, not least at the level of the UN; considers it essential that, owing to the broad and heavy workload, the Foreign Minister should be able to appoint political deputies; proposes a review of the functionality of the current European External Action Service, \textit{with new and better financed instruments of action};

\textbf{Or. en}  

\textbf{Amendment 784}  
\textbf{Jo Leinen, Mercedes Bresso, Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Pedro Silva Pereira}  

\textbf{Motion for a resolution}  
\textbf{Paragraph 32}  
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32. Is of the opinion, while reiterating that more progress could and should be made under the terms of the Lisbon Treaty, including as regards use of the provisions to act by qualified majority voting, that the Vice-President / High Representative should be named EU Foreign Minister and be supported in her efforts to become the main external representative of the European Union in international fora, not least at the level of the UN; considers it essential that, owing to the broad and heavy workload, the Foreign Minister should be able to appoint political deputies; proposes a review of the functionality of the current European External Action Service;

Amendment

32. Is of the opinion, while reiterating that more progress could and should be made under the terms of the Lisbon Treaty, including as regards use of the provisions to act by qualified majority voting and that the Vice-President / High Representative should be named EU Foreign Minister and be supported in her efforts to become the main external representative of the European Union in international fora, not least at the level of the UN; considers that the Foreign Minister should be able to appoint political deputies; proposes a review of the functionality of the current European External Action Service;

Or. en

Amendment 785
Eleftherios Synadinos

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 32

32. Is of the opinion, while reiterating that more progress could and should be made under the terms of the Lisbon Treaty, including as regards use of the provisions to act by qualified majority voting, that the Vice-President / High Representative should be named EU Foreign Minister and be supported in her efforts to become the main external representative of the European Union in international fora, not least at the level of the UN; considers it essential that, owing to the broad and heavy workload, the Foreign Minister should be able to appoint political deputies; proposes a review of the functionality of the current European External Action Service;

Amendment

32. Is of the opinion, while reiterating that more progress could and should be made under the terms of the Lisbon Treaty, including as regards use of the provisions to act by qualified majority voting and that the Vice-President / High Representative could, under conditions, become the main external representative of the European Union in international fora, not least at the level of the UN; considers it essential that, owing to the broad and heavy workload, the Vice-President / High Representative should be able to appoint political deputies, subject to the final approval of the Parliament; proposes a review of the functionality of the current European
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 32

Motion for a resolution

32. Is of the opinion, while reiterating that more progress could and should be made under the terms of the Lisbon Treaty, including as regards use of the provisions to act by qualified majority voting, that the Vice-President / High Representative should be named EU Foreign Minister and be supported in her efforts to become the main external representative of the European Union in international fora, not least at the level of the UN; considers it essential that, owing to the broad and heavy workload, the Foreign Minister could be able to appoint political deputies; proposes a review of the functionality of the current European External Action Service;

Amendment

32. Is of the opinion, while reiterating that more progress could and should be made under the terms of the Lisbon Treaty, including as regards use of the provisions to act by qualified majority voting, that the Vice-President / High Representative should be named EU Foreign Minister and be supported in her efforts to become the main external representative of the European Union in international fora, not least at the level of the UN; considers that, owing to the broad and heavy workload, the Foreign Minister could be able to appoint political deputies; proposes a review of the functionality of the current European External Action Service;

Amendment 787
Rainer Wieland

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 32

Motion for a resolution

32. Is of the opinion, while reiterating that more progress could and should be made under the terms of the Lisbon Treaty, including as regards use of the provisions to act by qualified majority voting, that the
Vice-President / High Representative should be named EU Foreign Minister and be supported in her efforts to become the main external representative of the European Union in international fora, not least at the level of the UN; considers it essential that, owing to the broad and heavy workload, the Foreign Minister should be able to appoint political deputies; proposes a review of the functionality of the current European External Action Service;

Amendment 788
David McAllister, Markus Pieper

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 32

32. Is of the opinion, while reiterating that more progress could and should be made under the terms of the Lisbon Treaty, including as regards use of the provisions to act by qualified majority voting, that the Vice-President / High Representative should be named EU Foreign Minister and be supported in her efforts to become the main external representative of the European Union in international fora, not least at the level of the UN; considers it essential that, owing to the broad and heavy workload, the Foreign Minister should be able to appoint political deputies; proposes a review of the functionality of the current European External Action Service;

Amendment

32. Is of the opinion, while reiterating that more progress could and should be made under the terms of the Lisbon Treaty, including as regards use of the provisions to act by qualified majority voting, that the Vice-President / High Representative should be named EU Foreign Minister and be supported in her efforts to become the main external representative of the European Union in international fora, not least at the level of the UN; considers it essential that, owing to the broad and heavy workload, the Foreign Minister should be able to appoint political deputies; proposes a review of the functionality of the current European External Action Service;

Amendment 789
Diane James
33. Stresses that for the Union to strengthen the defence of the EU territory, as a pillar within NATO, which remains the cornerstone of the European security architecture, and to enable the Union to act autonomously in operations abroad, mainly with a view to stabilising its neighbourhood, the Treaties should provide for the possibility of establishing a European defence union;
Motion for a resolution

33. Stresses that for the Union to strengthen the defence of the EU territory, as a pillar within NATO, which remains the cornerstone of the European security architecture, and to enable the Union to act autonomously in operations abroad, mainly with a view to stabilising its neighbourhood, the Treaties should provide for the possibility of establishing a European defence union;

Or. en

Amendment 792
Paulo Rangel, Cristian Dan Preda, Viviane Reding, Alain Lamassoure

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33

33. Calls for a swift establishment of a European Defence Union, that shall be headed by the Vice-president of the Commission/High Representative, and thus improve the EU’s role as guarantor of its own defence and as a security provider. Aside from the EEAS, a DG Defence taking care of the internal aspects of the Common Security and defence Policy shall be established; calls for the establishment of a defence ministerial Council and the introduction of a bi-annual thematic dialogue on CSDP in the European Council meetings to keep defence and security at the top of the agenda; The European Defence Union needs to strengthen our external actions and engagements either in the UN, NATO or coalitions of the willing;

Or. en
Amendment 793.
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a Resolution
Paragraph 33

Motion for a Resolution

33. Stresses that for the Union to strengthen the defence of the EU territory, as a pillar within NATO, which remains the cornerstone of the European security architecture and to enable the Union to act autonomously in operations abroad, mainly with a view to stabilising its neighbourhood, the Treaties should provide for the possibility of establishing a European defence union;

Amendment

33. Stresses that for the Union to strengthen its own capacity for the defence of the EU territory, also in view of a rebalance within the EU-NATO strategic partnership, as well as for efficiency and a desirable reduction of the military expenses of individual Member States (deriving from the savings achievable through joint projects and economies of scale), and to enable the Union to act autonomously in operations abroad, mainly with a view to conducting conflict prevention operations and peacekeeping in its neighbourhood, in compliance with the principles of the United Nations, the Treaties should provide the possibility of establishing a European defence union;

Or. it

Amendment 794
Max Andersson

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33

Motion for a resolution

33. Stresses that for the Union to strengthen the defence of the EU territory, as a pillar within NATO, which remains the cornerstone of the European security architecture, and to enable the Union to act autonomously in operations abroad, mainly with a view to stabilising its neighbourhood, the Treaties should provide for the possibility of establishing a European defence union;

Amendment

33. Considers that the militarisation of the EU must be terminated; notes that the plans for a joint army, defence alliance and equipment must be removed from the Treaty;
Amendment 795
Sylvie Goulard, Charles Goerens

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33

Motion for a resolution  
Amendment

33. Stresses that for the Union to strengthen the defence of the EU territory, as a pillar within NATO, which remains the cornerstone of the European security architecture, and to enable the Union to act autonomously in operations abroad, mainly with a view to stabilising its neighbourhood, the Treaties should provide for the possibility of establishing a European defence union;

33. Stresses that for the Union to strengthen the defence of the EU territory, as a pillar within NATO, which remains the cornerstone of the European security architecture, and to enable the Union to act autonomously in operations abroad, mainly with a view to stabilising its neighbourhood, the Treaties should provide for the possibility of establishing a European defence union; draws attention to the Franco-German initiative of September 2016, which provides a useful contribution to this issue;

Or. en

Amendment 796
Dennis de Jong

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33

Motion for a resolution  
Amendment

33. Stresses that for the Union to strengthen the defence of the EU territory, as a pillar within NATO, which remains the cornerstone of the European security architecture, and to enable the Union to act autonomously in operations abroad, mainly with a view to stabilising its neighbourhood, the Treaties should provide for the possibility of establishing a European defence union;

33. Calls for an undertaking to guarantee the sovereignty of Member States and therefore to combat the creeping development towards a military Union by refraining from reinforcing the European Defence Agency and taking any steps towards establishing a European army;

Or. sv
Amendment 797
Martina Anderson

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33

Motion for a resolution

33. Stresses that for the Union to strengthen the defence of the EU territory, as a pillar within NATO, which remains the cornerstone of the European security architecture, and to enable the Union to act autonomously in operations abroad, mainly with a view to stabilising its neighbourhood, the Treaties should provide for the possibility of establishing a European defence union;

Amendment

33. Stresses that for Member States to strengthen the defence of their territory, there should be encouragement for cooperation and information sharing on criminals and criminality between Member States providing that data protection laws and the rights of individuals are respected;

Or. en

Amendment 798
Pascal Durand
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33

Motion for a resolution

33. Stresses that for the Union to strengthen the defence of the EU territory, as a pillar within NATO, which remains the cornerstone of the European security architecture, and to enable the Union to act autonomously in operations abroad, mainly with a view to stabilising its neighbourhood, the Treaties should provide for the possibility of establishing a European defence union;

Amendment

33. Stresses that for the Union to strengthen its defence policy, and to enable the Union to act autonomously in operations abroad, in accordance with the principles of the United Nations Charter, the Treaties should provide for the possibility of establishing a European defence union;

Or. en
Amendment 799
Morten Messerschmidt, Ulrike Trebesius

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33

Motion for a resolution

33. Stresses that for the Union to strengthen the defence of the EU territory, as a pillar within NATO, which remains the cornerstone of the European security architecture, and to enable the Union to act autonomously in operations abroad, mainly with a view to stabilising its neighbourhood, the Treaties should provide for the possibility of establishing a European defence union;

Amendment

33. Stresses that for the Union to strengthen the defence of the EU territory, as a pillar within NATO, which remains the cornerstone of the European security architecture, and to enable the Union to act autonomously in operations abroad, mainly with a view to stabilising its neighbourhood;

Or. en

Amendment 800
Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33

Motion for a resolution

33. Stresses that for the Union to strengthen the defence of the EU territory, as a pillar within NATO, which remains the cornerstone of the European security architecture, and to enable the Union to act autonomously in operations abroad, mainly with a view to stabilising its neighbourhood, the Treaties should provide for the possibility of establishing a European defence union;

Amendment

33. Stresses that for the Union to strengthen the defence of the EU territory, as a pillar within NATO, which remains the cornerstone of the European security architecture, and to enable the Union to act autonomously in operations abroad, mainly with a view to stabilising its neighbourhood, the Treaties should support the creation of a European defence union that enables an authentic European army to be arranged;

Or. es
Amendment 801
Eleftherios Synadinos

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33

Motion for a resolution

33. Stresses that for the Union to strengthen the defence of the EU territory, as a pillar within NATO, which remains the cornerstone of the European security architecture, and to enable the Union to act autonomously in operations abroad, mainly with a view to stabilising its neighbourhood, the Treaties should provide for the possibility of establishing a European defence union;

Amendment

33. Stresses that for the Union to strengthen the defence of the EU territory, as a pillar within NATO, which remains the cornerstone of the European security architecture, and to enable the Union to act autonomously in peacekeeping operations abroad, mainly with a view to stabilising and developing its neighbourhood, the Treaties should provide for the possibility of establishing a European defence union;

Or. el

Amendment 802
Jo Leinen, Enrique Guerrero Salom, Mercedes Bresso, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Pedro Silva Pereira

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33

Motion for a resolution

33. Stresses that for the Union to strengthen the defence of the EU territory, as a pillar within NATO, which remains the cornerstone of the European security architecture, and to enable the Union to act autonomously in operations abroad, mainly with a view to stabilising its neighbourhood, the Treaties should provide for the possibility of establishing a European defence union;

Amendment

33. Stresses the need to create a proper European Defence Union, which in strategic partnership with NATO could enable the Union to act autonomously in operations abroad, mainly with a view to stabilising its neighbourhood; stresses that the European Parliament should be fully involved in all steps of the creation of the European Defence Union and have the right of consent in case of operations abroad;

Or. en
Amendment 803  
Beatrix von Storch  

Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 33  

Motion for a resolution  

33. Stresses that for the Union to strengthen the defence of the EU territory, as a pillar within NATO, which remains the cornerstone of the European security architecture, and to enable the Union to act autonomously in operations abroad, mainly with a view to stabilising its neighbourhood, the Treaties should provide for the possibility of establishing a European defence union;

Amendment  

33. Stresses that for the Union to strengthen the defence of the EU territory, as a pillar within NATO, which remains the cornerstone of the European security architecture, and with a view to stabilising its neighbourhood through non-intervention, the Treaties should prevent the possibility of establishing a European defence union;  

Or. en  

Amendment 804  
Beatrix von Storch  

Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 33  

Motion for a resolution  

33. Stresses that for the Union to strengthen the defence of the EU territory, as a pillar within NATO, which remains the cornerstone of the European security architecture, and to enable the Union to act autonomously in operations abroad, mainly with a view to stabilising its neighbourhood, the Treaties should provide for the possibility of establishing a European defence union;

Amendment  

33. Stresses that NATO shall also be the cornerstone of the international security architecture in future providing that NATO remains solely a defence union, and it calls on the Member States to strengthen the European section of the Atlantic alliance substantially by optimising the military capabilities of the national armed forces of all the Member States in order to meet strategic and military requirements;

Or. de  

Amendment 805  
Izaskun Bilbao Barandica  
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Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

33a. The intergovernmental formula should be replaced immediately by the Union method to dealing with foreign and security policy in order to give rise to a determinant EU role in the prevention of and negotiation and intervention in international conflicts; for those purposes it is important to make progress towards forming a qualified and respected European army that brings together the Member States’ human resources, intelligence and military technology to deal, in line with the values of the EU, with the external challenges facing us;

Or. es

Amendment 806
Pascal Durand
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

33a. Calls for the establishment of the Council of Defence Ministers format and the setting up of a permanent military EU Operational Headquarters for the effective implementation of the Petersberg Tasks and the abolishment of current ad hoc structures or structures which are dependent on the foreign policy of a lead Member State;[ATH1] urges not to merge military and civilian planning and conduct structures; [ATH1]This can be done in the framework of the current treaties, but it is definitely an evolution of the current institutional set up.
Amendment 807
Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

33a. Recalls that stability and security can be reached and guaranteed only by fair and equitable societal conditions and therefore any activity to promote stability and security should be brought forward by assuring the primacy of new forms of development which advantage local populations, especially in the field of agricultural production, and providing for economic and conflict-avoiding instruments and policies;

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 808
Cristian Dan Preda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

33a. Underlines the importance of making full use of the Treaties and CSDP legal potential by revising EU rapid reaction instruments and in particular the Battlegroups; to build a genuine European Defence Union, the EU needs to use all the instruments at its disposal; Article 44 and Article 42(7) of the Treaty on European Union are useful tools as well as the structured cooperation mechanism;

Amendment

Or. en
Amendment 809
Jo Leinen, Enrique Guerrero Salom, Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

33a. Considers that the Union should have a Commissioner for Security and Defence under the authority of the High Representative/Minister for Foreign Affairs as well as a more intense cooperation and integration of Member states defence capacities as the basis for the establishment of European Armed Forces;

Or. en

Amendment 810
Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

33b. Recalls that, according to article 21 TEU, the Union’s action in the field of CFSP shall be guided by its founding principles namely democracy, the rule of law, the universality and indivisibility of human rights and fundamental freedoms, respect for human dignity, the principles of equality and solidarity, and respect for the principles of the United Nations Charter and international law; is convinced therefore that CFSP should be developed along these lines, thus promoting peace and stability, the enforcement of the principles of the UN Charter and of the Helsinki Final Act and the development of mutual cooperation for the benefit of all the parties involved;
Amendment 811  
Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 34

Motion for a resolution

34. Believes, finally, that it is essential that the restrictions in Article 24(1) TEU on the authority of the European Court of Justice in the field of CFSP be removed; calls, in the same spirit, for Parliament to gain greater powers of scrutiny and accountability over CFSP, including full co-decision powers over the budget;

Amendment

34. Believes, finally, that it is essential that the restrictions in Article 24(1) TEU on the authority of the European Court of Justice in the field of CFSP be removed; calls, in the same spirit, for Parliament to gain greater powers of scrutiny and accountability over CFSP by, inter-alia, including full co-decision powers over the budget and establishing the need to consult the Parliament to set out the objectives and analyse the risks prior to decisions on new CSDP missions and operations and on its strategic review;

Or. de

Amendment 812  
Pascal Durand on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 34

Motion for a resolution

34. Believes, finally, that it is essential that the restrictions in Article 24(1) TEU on the authority of the European Court of Justice in the field of CFSP be removed; calls, in the same spirit, for Parliament to gain greater powers of scrutiny and accountability over CFSP, including full co-decision powers over the budget;

Or. en
Amendment 813  
Morten Messerschmidt, Ulrike Trebesius

Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 34

Motion for a resolution  

34. **Believes, finally, that it is essential that the restrictions in Article 24(1) TEU on the authority of the European Court of Justice in the field of CFSP be removed; calls, in the same spirit, for Parliament to gain greater powers of scrutiny and accountability over CFSP, including full co-decision powers over the budget;**

Amendment

34. **Calls for Parliament to gain greater powers of scrutiny and accountability over CFSP together with national parliaments;**

Or. en

Amendment 814  
Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 34

Motion for a resolution  

34. **Believes, finally, that it is essential that the restrictions in Article 24(1) TEU on the authority of the European Court of Justice in the field of CFSP be removed; calls, in the same spirit, for Parliament to gain greater powers of scrutiny and accountability over CFSP, including full co-decision powers over the budget;**

Amendment

34. **Notes that the new CFSP must be built around the solution of international controversies by peaceful means and respect strictly the strategic and diplomatic interests of all Member States;**

Or. el

Amendment 815  
Barbara Spinelli

Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 34
34. Believes, finally, that it is essential that the restrictions in Article 24(1) TEU on the authority of the European Court of Justice in the field of CFSP be removed; calls, in the same spirit, for Parliament to gain greater powers of scrutiny and accountability over CFSP, including full co-decision powers over the budget;

Amendment
34. Believes, finally, that it is essential that the restrictions in Article 24(1) TEU on the authority of the European Court of Justice in the field of CFSP be removed; calls, in the same spirit, for Parliament to gain greater powers of scrutiny and accountability over CFSP, including full co-decision powers over the budget and policies of the CFSP;

Or. en

Amendment 816
Martina Anderson

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34

Motion for a resolution
34. Believes, finally, that it is essential that the restrictions in Article 24(1) TEU on the authority of the European Court of Justice in the field of CFSP be removed; calls, in the same spirit, for Parliament to gain greater powers of scrutiny and accountability over CFSP, including full co-decision powers over the budget;

Amendment
34. Believes, finally, the best way to guarantee safety of European citizens is for cooperation between member states police forces around information sharing on criminals and criminality, providing that data protection laws and the rights of individuals are respected;

Or. en

Amendment 817
Eleftherios Synadinos

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34

Motion for a resolution
34. Believes, finally, that it is essential that the restrictions in Article 24(1) TEU on the authority of the European Court of
Justice in the field of CFSP be removed; calls, in the same spirit, for Parliament to gain greater powers of scrutiny and accountability over CFSP, including full co-decision powers over the budget;

Or. el

Amendment 818
Jo Leinen, Mercedes Bresso, Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Pedro Silva Pereira

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34

34. Believes, finally, that it is essential that the restrictions in Article 24(1) TEU on the authority of the European Court of Justice in the field of CFSP be removed; calls, in the same spirit, for Parliament to gain greater powers of scrutiny and accountability over CFSP, including full co-decision powers over the budget;

Or. en

Amendment 819
Jérôme Lavrilleux

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34 – point 1 (new)

(1) Points out that the excessive number and redundancy of emergency resolutions, but also of some subjects which address only the subsidiarity of Members States in the European Parliament’s reports, weaken their political and diplomatic impact;

Or. fr
Amendment 820
Andrey Kovatchev

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34 a (new)

Motion for a resolution  
Amendment

34a. Emphasises the necessity of expansion of resources intended for the Common Foreign and Security Policy, in order to achieve a fairer sharing of the cost for military operations, implemented within the Common Security and Defence Policy or the European Defence Union;

Or. bg

Amendment 821
Jérôme Lavrilleux, Arnaud Danjean, Marc Joulaud, Brice Hortefeux, Philippe Juvin, Françoise Grossetête, Franck Proust, Michel Dantin, Angélique Delahaye, Maurice Ponga, Michèle Alliot-Marie, Tokia Saïfi, Anne Sander, Renaud Muselier

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34 a (new)

Motion for a resolution  
Amendment

34a. Points out that the excessive number and redundancy of emergency resolutions, but also of some subjects which address only the subsidiarity of Members States in the European Parliament’s reports, weaken their political and diplomatic impact;

Or. fr

Amendment 822
Dennis de Jong

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34 a (new)
Motion for a resolution

Amendment

34a. Believes that Member States should jointly decide on international trade and that an end should be put to the exclusive competence of the Commission in this regard;

Or. nl

Amendment 823
Max Andersson

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

34a. Considers that the EU needs to improve its transparency and introduce freedom to communicate information and a genuine principle of public access to official records;

Or. sv

Amendment 824
Pervenche Berès, Christine Revault D’Allonnes Bonnefoy, Sylvie Guillaume, Edouard Martin

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

34a. Calls on the right to abortion to be enshrined in the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union;

Or. en
Amendment 825
Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Jo Leinen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34 a (new)

Motion for a resolution  Amendment
34a. Proposes the establishment of a European Intelligence Office in order to support the CFSP;

Or. en

Amendment 826
Jo Leinen, Mercedes Bresso, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Enrique Guerrero Salom, Pedro Silva Pereira, Pervenche Berès

Motion for a resolution
Subheading 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution  Amendment
Safeguarding the EU’s fundamental values

Or. en

Amendment 827
Maite Pagazaurtundúa Ruiz

Motion for a resolution
Subheading 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution  Amendment
Fundamental rights

Or. en

Amendment 828
Maite Pagazaurtundúa Ruiz
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34 a (new)

34a. Reiterates that the Commission is the guardian of the treaties and of its values referred to in Article 2; concludes, following the experience with several possible breaches of the values of the Union in various member states, that the current procedure in Article 7 TEU is deficient and cumbersome; proposes to make the Commission the executor of the Article 7 procedure with the Council and Parliament as decision makers, and to expunge the unanimity rule, and to review the sanction mechanism;

Or. en

Amendment 829
Jo Leinen, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso, Pedro Silva Pereira, Pervenche Berès

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34 a (new)

34a. Underlines that respect for and the safeguarding of the EU’s fundamental values are the cornerstone of the European Union as a community based on values and bind European Member States together;

Or. en

Amendment 830
Ramón Jáuregui Atondo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34 b (new)
Motion for a resolution

Amendment

34b. Calls for the unification of the European Union representation in the United Nations and other multilateral organisations;

Or. en

Amendment 831
Jo Leinen, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Mercedes Bresso, Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Pedro Silva Pereira

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

34b. Calls for a revision of the procedures laid down in Article 7 TEU to make the preventive mechanism and the sanctioning mechanism with regards to violations of the EU’s fundamental values relevant and applicable; proposes that the Council should act by qualified majority instead of a majority of four fifth of its members when determining a clear risk of a serious breach of the fundamental values under Article 7 (1) TEU, and that the European Council should act by qualified majority instead of unanimity when determining the existence of a serious and persistent breach under Article 7 (2) TEU;

Or. en

Amendment 832
Maite Pagazaurtundúa Ruiz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34 b (new)
Motion for a resolution

Amendment

34b. Proposes to make it possible for legislation, after its adoption but before implementation, to be referred to the Court of Justice by Parliament or Council on its compatibility with the treaties;

Or. en

Amendment 833
Jo Leinen, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Enrique Guerrero Salom, Mercedes Bresso

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

34c. Proposes to amend Article 258 TFEU in order to explicitly allow the Commission to take ‘systemic infringement action’ against Member States violating fundamental values; understands ‘systemic infringement action’ as the bundling of a group of related individual infringement actions suggesting a serious and persistent violation of Article 2 TEU by a Member State;

Or. en

Amendment 834
Maite Pagazaurtundúa Ruiz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

34c. Proposes to enlarge the right to all natural and legal persons who are directly and individually affected by an action to
bring actions before the ECJ for alleged violations of the Charter of Fundamental Rights either by EU institutions or by a Member State, by amending Articles 258 and 259 TFEU;

Or. en

Amendment 835
Maite Pagazaurtundúa Ruiz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

34d. Recommends the abolition of Article 51 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights, and the conversion of the Charter into a Bill of Rights of the Union;

Or. en

Amendment 836
Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

34a. Considers that the Union needs to further strengthen its democratic legitimacy by providing for the involvement of civil society in the decision-making process; to this end, stresses once again the need to revise Regulation 211/2011 in order to encourage the Commission to have a less restrictive approach on the legal admissibility of an ECI and to allow a successful initiative to have an appropriate and concrete follow-up;

Or. en
Amendment 837
Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

34b. Believes, moreover, that citizens should be endowed with more instruments of participatory democracy at Union’s level; therefore, proposes to evaluate the introduction, within the Treaties, of the provision for a EU referendum on matters relevant to Union’s actions and policies;

Or. en

Amendment 838
Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

34c. Calls on the Commission to explore a citizens’ social veto as a mechanism that can prevent the entry into force of EU legislation that would increase poverty and inequality or decrease social rights; in this regard, suggests to take into consideration, as a point of reference, the provisions of Protocol (No. 2) on the application of the principles of subsidiarity and proportionality;

Or. en

Amendment 839
Beatrix von Storch
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 35

Motion for a resolution

35. Proposes transforming the Commission into the principle executive authority or government of the Union with the aim of strengthening the ‘Union method’, increasing transparency and improving the efficiency and effectiveness of action taken at the level of the European Union;

Amendment 840
György Schöpflin

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 35

Motion for a resolution

35. Proposes transforming the Commission into the principle executive authority or government of the Union with the aim of strengthening the ‘Union method’, increasing transparency and improving the efficiency and effectiveness of action taken at the level of the European Union;

Amendment 841
Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 35

Motion for a resolution

35. Proposes transforming the Commission into the principle executive authority or government of the Union with the aim of strengthening the ‘Union method’, increasing transparency and improving the efficiency and effectiveness of action taken at the level of the European Union;
authority or government of the Union
with the aim of strengthening the ‘Union
method’, increasing transparency and
improving the efficiency and effectiveness
of action taken at the level of the
European Union;

Amendment 842
Kazimierz Michal Ujazdowski, Ulrike Trebesius

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 35

Motion for a resolution

35. Proposes transforming the
Commission into the principle executive
authority or government of the Union
with the aim of strengthening the ‘Union
method’, increasing transparency and
improving the efficiency and effectiveness
of action taken at the level of the
European Union;

Amendment 843
Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 35

Motion for a resolution

35. Proposes transforming the
Commission into the principle executive
authority or government of the Union
with the aim of strengthening the ‘Union
method’, increasing transparency and
improving the efficiency and effectiveness
of action taken at the level of the
European Union;

35. Proposes to explore the possibility
of transforming the Commission into an
executive authority of the Union;
nevertheless, considers that this cannot be
done without a prior redefinition of the
overall political strategy of the Union,
aiming at the full realisation of its main
principles and objectives as provided for,
in particular, in articles 2 TEU and 9 to
13 TFEU; is convinced that such a change first requires a re-evaluation of the role and prerogatives of this Institution in terms of functions, composition and strengthening of democratic accountability and transparency as well as of the system of checks and balances in the Union as a whole;

Or. en

Amendment 844
Maite Pagazaurtundúa Ruiz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 35

Motion for a resolution
35. Proposes transforming the Commission into the principle executive authority or government of the Union with the aim of strengthening the ‘Union method’, increasing transparency and improving the efficiency and effectiveness of action taken at the level of the European Union;

Amendment
35. Proposes transforming the Commission into the principle executive authority or government of the Union with the aim of strengthening the ‘Union method’, increasing transparency and improving the efficiency and effectiveness of action taken at the level of the European Union, thus avoiding a dogmatic interpretation of the EU law and procedures, preventing monolithic conceptions of the EU;

Or. en

Amendment 845
David McAllister, Markus Pieper

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 35

Motion for a resolution
35. Proposes transforming the Commission into the principle executive authority or government of the Union

Amendment
35. Proposes strengthening the ‘Union method’, increasing transparency and improving the efficiency and effectiveness
with the aim of strengthening the ‘Union method’, increasing transparency and improving the efficiency and effectiveness of action taken at the level of the European Union;
Amendment 848
Dennis de Jong

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 35

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

35. Proposes transforming the Commission into the principle executive authority or government of the Union with the aim of strengthening the ‘Union method’, increasing transparency and improving the efficiency and effectiveness of action taken at the level of the European Union;

Amendment

35. Rejects the Commission in its current form and wants the Commission to be nothing more than an executive and serving body; proposes to transfer the right of initiative to the Member States and the European Parliament;

Or. el

Amendment 849
Pascal Durand
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 35

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

35. Proposes transforming the Commission into the principle executive authority or government of the Union with the aim of strengthening the ‘Union method’, increasing transparency and improving the efficiency and effectiveness of action taken at the level of the European Union;

Amendment

35. Proposes transforming the Commission into the unique executive authority or government of the Union with the aim of strengthening the ‘Union method’, increasing transparency and improving the efficiency and effectiveness of action taken at the level of the European Union;

Or. en

Amendment 850
Luke Ming Flanagan
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 35

Motion for a resolution

35. Proposes transforming the Commission into the principle executive authority or government of the Union with the aim of strengthening the ‘Union method’, increasing transparency and improving the efficiency and effectiveness of action taken at the level of the European Union;

Amendment

35. Proposes transforming the Commission as follow: members should be elected directly by the electorate in individual Member States, thus increasing transparency and improving the efficiency and effectiveness of action taken at the level of the European Union;

Or. en

Amendment 851
Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 35 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

35a. Considers it necessary to enhance the political responsibility and accountability of the Commission to the European Parliament as far as the respect of the primary law, including the Charter of fundamental rights of the European Union, is concerned; in this respect, proposes to revise article 234 TFEU in order to strengthening the prerogatives of the European Parliament by allowing it to table a motion of censure also against single Commissioners;

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 852
Kazimierz Michal Ujazdowski, Ulrike Trebesius

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 35 a (new)
Motion for a resolution

Amendment

35a. Considers that a new debate on reforming the EU institutions to strengthen the Member States’ influence on the EU decision-making process could be a response to the threats;

Or. pl

Amendment 853
Dennis de Jong

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 35 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

35a. Proposes to allow Member States an ‘opt-out’ possibility in the case of new legislation in important areas such as criminal law;

Or. nl

Amendment 854
György Schöpflin

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 36

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

36. Reiterates its call for the size of the renewed Commission to be reduced substantially and for its vice-presidents to be reduced to two: the Finance Minister and the Foreign Minister; suggests that the same reduction be applied to the Court of Auditors;

deleted

Or. en
Amendment 855
Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 36

Amendment

36. Reiterates its call for the size of the renewed Commission to be reduced substantially and for its vice-presidents to be reduced to two: the Finance Minister and the Foreign Minister; suggests that the same reduction be applied to the Court of Auditors;

Or. pl

Amendment 856
Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 36

Amendment

36. Reiterates its call for the size of the renewed Commission to be reduced substantially and for its vice-presidents to be reduced to two: the Finance Minister and the Foreign Minister; suggests that the same reduction be applied to the Court of Auditors;

Or. el

Amendment 857
Pascal Durand
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 36
36. Reiterates its call for the size of the renewed Commission to be reduced substantially and for its vice-presidents to be reduced to two: the Finance Minister and the Foreign Minister; suggests that the same reduction be applied to the Court of Auditors;

Amendment 858
Esther de Lange

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 36

Motion for a resolution

36. Reiterates its call for the size of the renewed Commission to be reduced substantially and for its vice-presidents to be reduced to two, namely the Minister of Finance and the Minister of Foreign Affairs; suggests that the same reduction be applied to the Court of Auditors;

Amendment

36. Reiterates its call for the size of the renewed Commission to be reduced substantially; Considers that the composition of the Commission, the allocation of portfolios and the possible nomination of Vice-Presidents is a matter of political choice and should therefore not be enshrined in the primary law of the Union but rather depend on the decision of the President of the Commission elected and scrutinised by the Parliament;

Or. en

Amendment 859
Martina Anderson

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 36

Motion for a resolution

36. Reiterates its call for the size of the renewed Commission to be reduced substantially and for its vice-presidents to be reduced to two, namely the Minister of Finance and the Minister of Foreign Affairs; suggests that the same reduction be applied to the Court of Auditors;

Amendment

36. Reiterates its call for the size of the renewed Commission to be reduced substantially;

Or. nl
substantially and for its vice-presidents to be reduced to two: the Finance Minister and the Foreign Minister; suggests that the same reduction be applied to the Court of Auditors;

Or. en

Amendment 860
Eleftherios Synadinos

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 36

Motion for a resolution

36. Reiterates its call for the size of the renewed Commission to be reduced substantially and for its vice-presidents to be reduced to two: the Finance Minister and the Foreign Minister; suggests that the same reduction be applied to the Court of Auditors;

Amendment

36. Reiterates its call for the size of the renewed Commission to be reduced; suggests that the same reduction be applied to the Court of Auditors;

Or. el

Amendment 861.
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a Resolution
Paragraph 36

Motion for a Resolution

36. Reiterates its call for the size of the renewed Commission to be reduced substantially and for its vice-presidents to be reduced to two: the Finance Minister and the Foreign Minister; suggests that the same reduction be applied to the Court of Auditors;

Amendment

36. Reiterates its call for the size of the renewed Commission to be reduced and for its vice presidents to be reduced in number; suggests that the same reduction be applied to the Court of Auditors;

Or. it
Amendment 863
Markus Pieper

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 36

Motion for a resolution

36. Reiterates its call for the size of the renewed Commission to be reduced substantially and for its vice-presidents to be reduced to two: the Finance Minister and the Foreign Minister; suggests that the same reduction be applied to the Court of Auditors;

Amendment

36. Reiterates its call for the size of the renewed Commission to be reduced substantially and for its vice-presidents to be reduced to two: the Finance Minister and the Foreign Minister;

Or. de

Amendment 864
Rainer Wieland

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 36

Motion for a resolution

36. Reiterates its call for the size of the renewed Commission to be reduced substantially and for its vice-presidents to be reduced to two: the Finance Minister and the Foreign Minister; suggests that the same reduction be applied to the Court of Auditors;

Amendment

36. Reiterates its call for the size of the renewed Commission to be reduced substantially and for the number of vice-presidents to be reduced; suggests that the same reduction be applied to the Court of Auditors;

Or. de
renewed Commission to be reduced substantially and for its vice-presidents to be reduced to two: the Finance Minister and the Foreign Minister; suggests that the same reduction be applied to the Court of Auditors;

renewed Commission to be reduced substantially and for the number of vice-presidents to be reduced; suggests that the same reduction be applied to the Court of Auditors;

Amendment 865
Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 36

Motion for a resolution

36. Reiterates its call for the size of the renewed Commission to be reduced substantially and for its vice-presidents to be reduced to two: the Finance Minister and the Foreign Minister; suggests that the same reduction be applied to the Court of Auditors;

Amendment

36. Reiterates its call for the size of the Commission to be reduced substantially and for its vice-presidents to be reduced to two; suggests that the same reduction be applied to the Court of Auditors;

Or. en

Amendment 866
Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 36

Motion for a resolution

36. Reiterates its call for the size of the renewed Commission to be reduced substantially and for its vice-presidents to be reduced to two: the Finance Minister and the Foreign Minister; suggests that the same reduction be applied to the Court of Auditors;

Amendment

36. Reiterates its call for the size of the renewed Commission to be reduced substantially and for its vice-presidents to be reduced to two; suggests that the same reduction be applied to the Court of Auditors;

Or. de
Amendment 867
Max Andersson

Motion for a resolution
Rule 36

36. Reiterates its call for the size of the renewed Commission to be reduced substantially and for its vice-presidents to be reduced to two: the Finance Minister and the Foreign Minister; suggests that the same reduction be applied to the Court of Auditors;

Amendment

36. Considers that all countries in the future as well should have their own commissioner and that the non-Eurozone countries should be strengthened by their own commissioner who is tasked with safeguarding the rights of non-Eurozone countries;

Or. sv

Amendment 868.
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a Resolution
Paragraph 36 (a new)

36(a) notes that, parallel to the procedures of national laws, the use of a motion of censure should be made possible in accordance with the process provided for in Article 234 TFEU as well as against individual Commissioners, providing however in this case that there is a majority threshold equal to three fifths of the votes cast in the Parliament;

Or. it

Amendment 869
Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 37
37. Welcomes the successful new procedure whereby European political parties promote their top candidates for the President of the European executive, but believes that they should be able to stand during the next elections as official candidates in all Member States; proposes, therefore, following its legislative proposal on the reform of the electoral law of the European Union, empowering the electorate by giving them two votes, one for the national or regional lists and a second one for the European party lists; these European lists will be headed by the parties’ nominees to become President of the European executive or government and will be composed of candidates drawn from at least one third of the Member States;
headed by the parties’ nominees to become President of the European executive or government and will be composed of candidates drawn from at least one third of the Member States;

Amendment 871
Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 37

37. Welcomes the successful new procedure whereby European political parties promote their top candidates for the President of the European executive, but believes that they should be able to stand during the next elections as official candidates in all Member States; proposes, therefore, following its legislative proposal on the reform of the electoral law of the European Union, empowering the electorate by giving them two votes, one for the national or regional lists and a second one for the European party lists; these European lists will be headed by the parties’ nominees to become President of the European executive or government and will be composed of candidates drawn from at least one third of the Member States;

Amendment 872
Max Andersson

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 37
37. Welcomes the successful new procedure whereby European political parties promote their top candidates for the President of the European executive, but believes that they should be able to stand during the next elections as official candidates in all Member States; proposes, therefore, following its legislative proposal on the reform of the electoral law of the European Union, empowering the electorate by giving them two votes, one for the national or regional lists and a second one for the European party lists; these European lists will be headed by the parties’ nominees to become President of the European executive or government and will be composed of candidates drawn from at least one third of the Member States;
headed by the parties’ nominees to become President of the European executive or government and will be composed of candidates drawn from at least one third of the Member States;

Amendment 874
Rainer Wieland

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 37

37. Welcomes the successful new procedure whereby European political parties promote their top candidates for the President of the European executive, but believes that they should be able to stand during the next elections as official candidates in all Member States; proposes, therefore, following its legislative proposal on the reform of the electoral law of the European Union, empowering the electorate by giving them two votes, one for the national or regional lists and a second one for the European party lists; these European lists will be headed by the parties’ nominees to become President of the European executive or government and will be composed of candidates drawn from at least one third of the Member States;

Amendment 875
Kazimierz Michal Ujazdowski, Ulrike Trebesius

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 37
37. Welcomes the successful new procedure whereby European political parties promote their top candidates for the President of the European executive, but believes that they should be able to stand during the next elections as official candidates in all Member States; proposes, therefore, following its legislative proposal on the reform of the electoral law of the European Union, empowering the electorate by giving them two votes, one for the national or regional lists and a second one for the European party lists; these European lists will be headed by the parties’ nominees to become President of the European executive or government and will be composed of candidates drawn from at least one third of the Member States.

37. Believes that a mechanism should be introduced to ensure transparency in the election of the European Commission President; further notes that it is necessary to increase representativeness of the European Parliament and thereby to refrain from establishing compulsory electoral thresholds.

Amendment 876
György Schöpflin

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 37

37. Welcomes the successful new procedure whereby European political parties promote their top candidates for the President of the European executive, but believes that they should be able to stand during the next elections as official candidates in all Member States; proposes, therefore, following its legislative proposal on the reform of the electoral law of the European Union, empowering the electorate by giving them two votes, one for the national or regional lists and a second one for the European party lists; these European lists will be headed by the
parties’ nominees to become President of the European executive or government and will be composed of candidates drawn from at least one third of the Member States;

Amendment 877
Paulo Rangel, Constance Le Grip, Alain Lamassoure

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 37

37. Welcomes the successful new procedure whereby European political parties promote their top candidates for the President of the European executive, but believes that they should be able to stand during the next elections as official candidates in all Member States; proposes, therefore, following its legislative proposal on the reform of the electoral law of the European Union, empowering the electorate by giving them two votes, one for the national or regional lists and a second one for the European party lists; these European lists will be headed by the parties’ nominees to become President of the European executive or government and will be composed of candidates drawn from at least one third of the Member States;

Amendment 878
Ramon Tremosa i Balcells

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 37

37. Welcomes the successful new procedure whereby European political parties promote their lead candidates for the President of the European executive, elected by the European Parliament on a proposal by the European Council; suggests the integration of the ‘Spitzenkandidat procedure’ into the Union’s legal framework;
Motion for a resolution

37. **Welcomes the successful new procedure whereby European political parties promote their top candidates for the President of the European executive, but believes that they should be able to stand during the next elections as official candidates in all Member States; proposes, therefore, following its legislative proposal on the reform of the electoral law of the European Union, empowering the electorate by giving them two votes, one for the national or regional lists and a second one for the European party lists; these European lists will be headed by the parties’ nominees to become President of the European executive or government and will be composed of candidates drawn from at least one third of the Member States;**

Amendment

37. **Proposes to reform the procedure to choose the President of the European Commission, following its legislative proposal on the reform of the electoral law of the European Union, empowering the electorate by giving them two votes, one for the national or regional lists and a second one for the European party lists; these European lists will be headed by the parties’ nominees to become President of the European executive or government and will be composed of candidates drawn from at least one third of the Member States;**

Or. en

Amendment 879
Jo Leinen, Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Enrique Guerrero Salom, Mercedes Bresso

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 37

Motion for a resolution

37. **Welcomes the successful new procedure whereby European political parties promote their top candidates for the President of the European executive, but believes that they should be able to stand during the next elections as official candidates in all Member States; proposes, therefore, following its legislative proposal on the reform of the electoral law of the European Union, empowering the electorate by giving them two votes, one for the national or regional lists and a second one for the European party lists; these European lists will be headed by the parties’ nominees to become President of the European executive or government and will be composed of candidates drawn from at least one third of the Member States;**
parties’ nominees to become President of the European executive or government and will be composed of candidates drawn from at least one third of the Member States;

European political parties’ nominees to become President of the European executive or government and will be composed of candidates drawn from at least one third of the Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 880
Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 37

Motion for a resolution

37. Welcomes the successful new procedure whereby European political parties promote their top candidates for the President of the European executive, but believes that they should be able to stand during the next elections as official candidates in all Member States; proposes, therefore, following its legislative proposal on the reform of the electoral law of the European Union, empowering the electorate by giving them two votes, one for the national or regional lists and a second one for the European party lists; these European lists will be headed by the parties’ nominees to become President of the European executive or government and will be composed of candidates drawn from at least one third of the Member States;

Amendment

37. Welcomes the new procedure whereby European political parties promote their top candidates for the President of the European Commission, but believes that they should be able to stand during the next elections as official candidates in all Member States; proposes, therefore, following its legislative proposal on the reform of the electoral law of the European Union, empowering the electorate by giving them two votes, one for the national or regional lists and a second one for the European party lists; these European lists will be headed by the nominees to become President of the Commission and will be composed of candidates drawn from at least one third of the Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 881
Ramon Tremosa i Balcells

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 37 a (new)
Motion for a resolution

Amendment

37a. Strongly believes that a more democratically legitimate European Commission shall have the political strength to protect the rule of European law in all the Union and enforce, in a level playing field, the EU rule of law mechanism to all Member States that infringe basic democratic principles such as independence of the judiciary, actions against media pluralism, fundamental rights or persecution of political rivals with the tools of government;

Or. en

Amendment 882
Charles Goerens

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 37 a (new)

37a. Advocates to insert in the Treaties a European associate citizenship for those who feel and wish to be part of the European project but are nationals of a former Member State; offers these associate citizens the rights of freedom of movement and to reside on its territory as well as being represented in the Parliament through a vote in the European elections on the European lists;

Or. en

Amendment 883
Maite Pagazaurtundúa Ruiz, Charles Goerens, Sylvie Goulard

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 37 a (new)
Motion for a resolution

37a. Emphasises that involving citizens in the political process of their country of residence helps to build European democracy, and therefore calls for the electoral rights of citizens residing in a Member State of which they are not nationals, as set out in Article 22 TFEU, to be extended to include all remaining elections: provincial, regional, and national;

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 884
Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 37 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

37a. Stresses that electoral constituencies in the Member States must adapt to their own institutional, national, cultural and linguistic diversity in order to guarantee that all European minorities are appropriately represented and integrated in the European Parliament;

Amendment

Or. es

Amendment 885
Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 37 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

37a. Notes that the procedure whereby European political parties promoted their top candidates for the 2014 European
Parliament Elections neither had a solid political base, nor was it based on Community law, and as such should no longer be used in future;

Or. de

Amendment 886
Pascal Durand
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 37 a (new)

37a. Suggests, to this end, the creation of a constituency formed of the entire territory of the Union which shall be composed of a number of seats equivalent to those currently allocated to the United Kingdom;

Or. en

Amendment 887
Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 38

38. Recalls that Parliament, following the European Council Decision of 28 June 2013, will need to present before the end of 2016 a proposal to establish a system which will make it possible, before each election to the European Parliament, to reallocate the seats among Member States in an objective, fair, durable and transparent way, respecting the principle of degressive proportionality, while taking account of any change in the number of Member States and demographic trends;
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 38

38. **Recalls that Parliament, following the European Council Decision of 28 June 2013, will need to present before the end of 2016 a proposal** to establish a system which will make it possible, before each election to the European Parliament, to reallocate the seats among Member States in an objective, fair, durable and transparent way, respecting the principle of **degressive proportionality**, while taking account of any change in the number of Member States and demographic trends;

Amendment 888
Jo Leinen, Mercedes Bresso, Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Pedro Silva Pereira

38. **Supports** the European Council Decision of 28 June 2013 to establish a system which will make it possible, before each election to the European Parliament, to reallocate the seats among Member States in an objective, fair, durable and transparent way, respecting the principle of degressive proportionality, while taking account of any change in the number of Member States and demographic trends;

Amendment 889
Eleftherios Synadinos

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 38

38. **Recalls that Parliament, following the European Council Decision of 28 June 2013, will need to present before the end of 2016 a proposal** to establish a system which will make it possible, before each election to the European Parliament, to reallocate the seats among Member States in an objective, fair, durable and transparent way, respecting the principle of **degressive proportionality**, while taking account of any change in the number of Member States and demographic trends;

38. **Recalls that Parliament, following the European Council Decision of 28 June 2013, will need to present before the end of 2016 a proposal** to establish a system which will make it possible, before each election to the European Parliament, to reallocate the seats among Member States in an objective, fair, durable and transparent way, respecting the principle of **degressive proportionality**, while taking account of any change in the number of Member States and demographic **data**;
Amendment 890
Kazimierz Michal Ujazdowski, Ulrike Trebesius

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 38 a (new)

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

38a. Believes that any given Member of the European Parliament should be nominated as the President for a maximum of two terms of office, either consecutive ones or with an interval between the first and the second term of office;

Amendment 891
Anna Maria Corazza Bildt, Frédérique Ries, Pina Piccierno, Tomáš Zdechovský, Helga Stevens, Lynn Boylan, Michaela Šojdrová, Anneleen Van Bossuyt, Bart Staes, Beatriz Becerra Basterrechea, Merja Kyllönen, Richard Sulík, Ashley Fox, Ernest Urtasun, Nils Torvalds, Bodil Valero, Romana Tome, Bas Eickhout, Judith Sargentini, Afzal Khan, Jeppe Kofod, Jan Philipp Albrecht, Pascal Arimont, Nicola Caputo, Jan Zahradil, Ulrike Lunacek, Luigi Morgano, Salvatore Domenico Pogliese, Fredrick Federley, Jeroen Lenaers, Heidi Hautala, Dennis de Jong, Jens Geier, Pascal Durand, Giovanni La Via, Morten Messerschmidt, Ivo Belet

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 38 a (new)

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

38a. Reiterates its commitment to initiating an ordinary treaty revision procedure under Article 48 TEU with a view to proposing the changes to Article 341 TEU and Protocol 6 necessary to allow Parliament to decide on the location of its seat and its internal organisation;
Amendment 892
Constance Le Grip, Anne Sander, Philippe Juvin, Brice Hortefeux, Alain Lamassoure, Franck Proust, Marc Joulaud, Renaud Muselier, Angélique Delahaye, Alain Cadec, Arnaud Danjean, Tokia Saïfi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 39

Motion for a resolution

39. Reiterates its call for a single seat for the European Parliament; proposes that Parliament and the Council each decide the location of their own seat after having obtained the consent of the other; further proposes that the seats of all the other EU institutions, agencies and bodies be determined by Parliament and the Council on a proposal by the European executive, acting in accordance with a special legislative procedure;

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 893
Paulo Rangel

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 39

Motion for a resolution

39. Reiterates its call for a single seat for the European Parliament; proposes that Parliament and the Council each decide the location of their own seat after having obtained the consent of the other; further proposes that the seats of all the other EU institutions, agencies and bodies be determined by Parliament and the Council on a proposal by the European executive, acting in accordance with a special legislative procedure;

deleted

Or. en
Amendment 894
Cristian Dan Preda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 39

Motion for a resolution Amendment

39. Reiterates its call for a single seat for the European Parliament; proposes that Parliament and the Council each decide the location of their own seat after having obtained the consent of the other; further proposes that the seats of all the other EU institutions, agencies and bodies be determined by Parliament and the Council on a proposal by the European executive, acting in accordance with a special legislative procedure;

Or. en

Amendment 895
Kazimierz Michal Ujazdowski, Ulrike Trebesius

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 39

Motion for a resolution Amendment

39. Reiterates its call for a single seat for the European Parliament; proposes that Parliament and the Council each decide the location of their own seat after having obtained the consent of the other; further proposes that the seats of all the other EU institutions, agencies and bodies be determined by Parliament and the Council on a proposal by the European executive, acting in accordance with a special legislative procedure;

Or. pl
Amendment 896
Jérôme Lavrilleux, Anne Sander

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 39

Motion for a resolution

39. **Reiterates its call for a single seat for the European Parliament; proposes that Parliament and the Council each decide the location of their own seat after having obtained the consent of the other; further proposes that the seats of all the other EU institutions, agencies and bodies be determined by Parliament and the Council on a proposal by the European executive, acting in accordance with a special legislative procedure;**

Amendment

39. **Points out that the seat of the European Parliament is in Strasbourg and that its places of work can only be changed by means of an amendment to the Treaty on European Union:**

Or. fr

Amendment 897
Jérôme Lavrilleux, Anne Sander, Michèle Alliot-Marie, Tokia Saïfi, Arnaud Danjou, Marc Joulaud, Brice Hortefeux, Franck Proust, Françoise Grossetête, Philippe Juvin, Maurice Ponga, Michel Dantin, Renaud Muselier

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 39

Motion for a resolution

39. **Reiterates its call for a single seat for the European Parliament; proposes that Parliament and the Council each decide the location of their own seat after having obtained the consent of the other; further proposes that the seats of all the other EU institutions, agencies and bodies be determined by Parliament and the Council on a proposal by the European executive, acting in accordance with a special legislative procedure;**

Amendment

39. **Points out that the seat of the European Parliament is in Strasbourg and that its places of work can only be changed by means of an amendment to the Treaty on European Union:**

Or. fr
Amendment 898
Ramón Jáuregui Atondo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 39

Motion for a resolution

39. **Reiterates its call for a single seat for the European Parliament;** proposes that Parliament and the Council each decide the location of their own seat after having obtained the consent of the other; further proposes that the seats of all the other EU institutions, agencies and bodies be determined by Parliament and the Council on a proposal by the European executive, acting in accordance with a special legislative procedure;

Amendment

39. Proposes that Parliament and the Council decide the seats of all other EU institutions, agencies and bodies on a proposal by the European executive, acting in accordance with a special legislative procedure;

Or. en

Amendment 899
Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 39

Motion for a resolution

39. **Reiterates its call for a single seat for the European Parliament;** proposes that Parliament and the Council each decide the location of their own seat after having obtained the consent of the other; further proposes that the seats of all the other EU institutions, agencies and bodies be determined by Parliament and the Council on a proposal by the European executive, acting in accordance with a special legislative procedure;

Amendment

39. **Reiterates its call for a single seat for the European Parliament;** further proposes that the seats of all the other EU institutions, agencies and bodies be determined by Parliament and the Council on a proposal by the European executive, acting in accordance with a special legislative procedure; **reiterates the fact that 13 EU institutions are based in the Grand Duchy of Luxembourg;**

Or. de
Amendment 900
Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 39

39. Reiterates its call for a single seat for the European Parliament; proposes that Parliament and the Council each decide the location of their own seat after having obtained the consent of the other; further proposes that the seats of all the other EU institutions, agencies and bodies be determined by Parliament and the Council on a proposal by the European executive, acting in accordance with a special legislative procedure;

Amendment

39. Reiterates its call for a single seat for the European Parliament; proposes that Parliament and the Council each decide the location of their own seat after having obtained the consent of the other; further proposes that the seats of all the other EU institutions, agencies and bodies be determined by Parliament and the Council acting in accordance with a special legislative procedure;

Or. el

Amendment 901
Anna Maria Corazza Bildt, Pina Piccierno, Tomáš Zdechovský, Helga Stevens, Lynn Boylan, Michaela Šojdrová, Anneleen Van Bossuyt, Bart Staes, Beatriz Becerra Basterrechea, Merja Kyllönen, Richard Sulik, Ashley Fox, Ernest Urtasun, Nils Torvalds, Bodil Valero, Romana Tomc, Bas Eickhout, Judith Sargentini, Afzal Khan, Jeppe Kofod, Jan Philipp Albrecht, Pascal Arimont, Nicola Caputo, Jan Zahradil, Ulrike Lunacek, Luigi Morgano, Salvatore Domenico Pogliese, Fredrick Federley, Jeroen Lenaers, Heidi Hautala, Dennis de Jong, Jens Geier, Pascal Durand, Frédérique Ries, Giovanni La Via, Morten Messerschmidt, Ivo Belet

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 39

39. Reiterates its call for a single seat for the European Parliament; proposes that Parliament and the Council each decide the location of their own seat after having obtained the consent of the other; further proposes that the seats of all the other EU institutions, agencies and bodies be determined by Parliament and the Council on a proposal by the European executive, acting in accordance with a special legislative procedure;

Amendment

39. Reiterates its call for a single seat for the European Parliament; proposes that Parliament and the Council each decide the location of their own seat; further proposes that the seats of all the other EU institutions, agencies and bodies be determined by Parliament and the Council on a proposal by the European executive, acting in accordance with a special legislative procedure;
legislative procedure;

Amendment 902
Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 39

Motion for a resolution

39. Reiterates its call for a single seat for the European Parliament; proposes that Parliament and the Council each decide the location of their own seat after having obtained the consent of the other; further proposes that the seats of all the other EU institutions, agencies and bodies be determined by Parliament and the Council on a proposal by the European executive, acting in accordance with a special legislative procedure;

Amendment

39. Reiterates its call for a single seat for the European Parliament; proposes that Parliament and the Council each decide the location of their own seat after having obtained the consent of the other; further proposes that the seats of all the other EU institutions, agencies and bodies be determined by Parliament and the Council on a proposal by the European Commission, acting in accordance with a special legislative procedure;

Amendment 903
Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 39 – subparagraph 1 (new)

Motion for a resolution

(1) Stresses also the need to discuss the issue of introducing an article into the Treaties containing the symbols of the Union to strengthen the common European identity of Citizens;

Amendment

(1) Stresses also the need to discuss the issue of introducing an article into the Treaties containing the symbols of the Union to strengthen the common European identity of Citizens;
Amendment 904
Sylvie Goulard

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 39 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

39a. Believes that a delay of at least ten years will be required between deciding on a single seat for the European Parliament and this becoming a reality, to allow for a sufficient budgetary endowment to be established, in order to ensure the sustainable financing of a permanent centre for exchange in the former buildings of the Parliament in Strasbourg; considers that this centre should ensure meetings and exchanges of best practice between Europeans, for example citizens, experts, politicians, academics;

Amendment

39a. or en

Amendment 905
Jo Leinen, Enrique Guerrero Salom, Mercedes Bresso, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Pedro Silva Pereira

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 39 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

39a. Stresses the need to better inform citizens about the EU, their role in European decision-making and their rights, which can be achieved amongst other means through civic education across the EU; reiterates its call from 28 October 2015 to reform the European Citizens Initiative to ensure that citizens engage directly with the EU institutions and become more actively involved in the framing of European policies and legislation;

Amendment
Amendment 906
Jérôme Lavrilleux, Anne Sander, Michèle Alliot-Marie, Marc Joulaud, Brice Hortefeux, Franck Proust, Philippe Juvin, Michel Dantin, Maurice Ponga, Renaud Muselier

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 39 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

39a. Calls, in the interests of transparency, for a study to be carried out on the cost of all the European Union’s agencies and bodies being spread across the Member States; calls for a study to be carried out to calculate the savings that would be made by moving all the European Union’s decentralised bodies to its capital: Strasbourg;

Or. fr

Amendment 907
Morten Messerschmidt, Ulrike Trebesius

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 39 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

39a. Reiterates its call for a single seat for the European Parliament; reminds that the European Parliament is the only EU institution directly elected by EU citizens; recalls that over one million of them endorsed a petition asking for a single seat; proposes accordingly changes to Article 341 TFEU and Protocol 6 and to allow the EP to decide on its internal organisation;

Or. en
Amendment 908
Constance Le Grip, Anne Sander, Alain Lamassoure, Franck Proust, Marc Joulaud, Renaud Muselier, Arnaud Danjean, Alain Cadec, Angélique Delahaye, Tokia Saïfi, Philippe Juvin, Brice Hortefeux

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 39 a (new)

Motion for a resolution
39a. Calls, in the light of the decentralised European Union institutions and the need for citizens to see and feel close to the European Parliament, for the permanent recognition as part of treaty revisions of the fact that the European Parliament has officially and historically had its headquarters in Strasbourg;

Or. fr

Amendment 909
Jo Leinen, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Mercedes Bresso, Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Pedro Silva Pereira

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 39 b (new)

Motion for a resolution
39b. Calls for an increase in the capacity of the European Economic and Social Committee (EESC) and the Committee of the Regions (CoR) to directly impact the policy-shaping and legislative processes of the European Union by including them at the earliest stage possible in the Union’s legislative programming and by formally acknowledging their role in the assessment of EU policies and legislations;

Or. en
Amendment 910
Andrey Kovatchev

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 40

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

40. Notes that, despite the prohibition deleted in Article 15(1) TEU, the European Council has undertaken various legislative initiatives; proposes abolishing Article 15(1) and integrating the European Council into a Council of States that could engage legitimately in the law-making process and provide direction and coherence to the other specialised Council configurations;

Or. bg

Amendment 911
Cristian Dan Preda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 40

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

40. Notes that, despite the prohibition deleted in Article 15(1) TEU, the European Council has undertaken various legislative initiatives; proposes abolishing Article 15(1) and integrating the European Council into a Council of States that could engage legitimately in the law-making process and provide direction and coherence to the other specialised Council configurations;

Or. en

Amendment 912
Notis Marias
40. Notes that, despite the prohibition in Article 15(1) TEU, the European Council has undertaken various legislative initiatives; **proposes abolishing Article 15(1) and integrating the European Council into a Council of States that could engage legitimately in the law-making process and provide direction and coherence to the other specialised Council configurations**;

**Or. el**

**Amendment 913**
David McAllister, Markus Pieper

40. Notes that, despite the prohibition in Article 15(1) TEU, the European Council has undertaken various legislative initiatives, **and expressly calls for compliance with Article 15(1)**;

**Or. de**

**Amendment 914**
Rainer Wieland
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Motion for a resolution

40. Notes that, despite the prohibition in Article 15(1) TEU, the European Council has undertaken various legislative initiatives; proposes abolishing Article 15(1) and integrating the European Council into a Council of States that could engage legitimately in the law-making process and provide direction and coherence to the other specialised Council configurations;

Amendment

40. Notes that, despite the prohibition in Article 15(1) TEU, the European Council has undertaken various legislative initiatives, and expressly calls for compliance with Article 15(1);

Or. de

Amendment 915
Barbara Spinelli

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 40

Motion for a resolution

40. Notes that, despite the prohibition in Article 15(1) TEU, the European Council has undertaken various legislative initiatives; proposes abolishing Article 15(1) and integrating the European Council into a Council of States that could engage legitimately in the law-making process and provide direction and coherence to the other specialised Council configurations;

Amendment

40. Notes that, despite the prohibition in Article 15(1) TEU, the European Council has undertaken various legislative initiatives; considers that the legitimacy of these initiatives should be assessed by the Court of justice following an action ex article 263 TFEU; proposes, furthermore, abolishing Article 15(1) and integrating the European Council into the General Affairs Council configuration in order to allow it to engage legitimately in the law-making process and provide direction and coherence to the other specialised Council configurations;

Or. en

Amendment 916
Paulo Rangel, Cristian Dan Preda
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 40

40. Notes that, despite the prohibition in Article 15(1) TEU, the European Council has undertaken various legislative initiatives; proposes abolishing Article 15(1) and integrating the European Council into a Council of States that could engage legitimately in the law-making process and provide direction and coherence to the other specialised Council configurations;

Amendment

40. Notes that the EU needs further transparent parliamentarisation, and calls for an enhanced parliamentary democracy within the EU, with European Parliament and Council acting as the two chambers of the Legislative Branch; stresses that the Council has to change its statute in accordance with the following: the specialised Council configurations should be granted the status of committees of the Council; the Council, acting as a legislator and as a plenary of all committees, should meet in public;

Or. en

Amendment 917
Jo Leinen, Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso, Pedro Silva Pereira

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 40

40. Notes that, despite the prohibition in Article 15(1) TEU, the European Council has undertaken various legislative initiatives; proposes abolishing Article 15(1) and integrating the European Council into a Council of States that could engage legitimately in the law-making process and provide direction and coherence to the other specialised Council configurations;

Amendment

40. Notes that, despite the prohibition in Article 15(1) TEU, the European Council has undertaken various legislative initiatives; proposes to integrate the European Council as a Council configuration into the Council of the European Union, where it could provide direction and coherence to the specialised Council configurations;

Or. en

Amendment 918
Martina Anderson
41. Considers that this Council and its specialised configurations, as the second chamber of the EU legislature, should, in the interest of specialism, professionalism and continuity, replace the practice of the rotating six-month presidency with a system of permanent chairs chosen from their midst; suggests that the idea of creating a special Law Council should be favourably reconsidered;
41. **Considers that this Council and its specialised configurations, as the second chamber of the EU legislature, should, in the interest of specialism, professionalism and continuity, replace the practice of the rotating six-month presidency with a system of permanent chairs chosen from their midst; suggests that the idea of creating a special Law Council should be favourably reconsidered;**

41. **Calls for the reform of the institutional structure of the Council of the European Union with the objective of setting up a second chamber thus creating a genuine bi-cameral legislative system;**

---

**Amendment 921**

**Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 41**

41. **Considers that this Council and its specialised configurations, as the second chamber of the EU legislature, should, in the interest of specialism, professionalism and continuity, replace the practice of the rotating six-month presidency with a system of permanent chairs chosen from their midst; suggests that the idea of creating a special Law Council should be favourably reconsidered;**

41. Consider it appropriate to redefine the competences of the Council vis-à-vis those of the European Parliament with the aim of strengthening the co-decision procedure and increasing the transparency of the whole legislative process; calls, furthermore, on the Council, Commission and European Parliament to strongly limit the recourse to Trilogues in the ordinary legislative procedure, while guaranteeing, at the same time, their full transparency;
Motion for a resolution

41. Considers that this Council and its specialised configurations, as the second chamber of the EU legislature, should, in the interest of specialism, professionalism and continuity, replace the practice of the rotating six-month presidency with a system of permanent chairs chosen from their midst; suggests that the idea of creating a special Law Council should be favourably reconsidered;

Amendment

41. Considers that this Council and its specialised configurations, as the second chamber of the EU legislature, should, in the interest of specialism, professionalism and continuity, replace the practice of the rotating six-month presidency with a system of permanent chairs chosen from their midst;

Or. en

Amendment 923
Eleftherios Synadinos

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 41

Motion for a resolution

41. Considers that this Council and its specialised configurations, as the second chamber of the EU legislature, should, in the interest of specialism, professionalism and continuity, replace the practice of the rotating six-month presidency with a system of permanent chairs chosen from their midst; suggests that the idea of creating a special Law Council should be favourably reconsidered;

Amendment

41. Considers that this Council and its specialised configurations, as the second chamber of the EU legislature, should, in the interest of specialism, professionalism and continuity, replace the practice of the rotating six-month presidency with a system of chairs, with a time bound term of office chosen from their midst; suggests that the idea of creating a special Law Council should be favourably reconsidered;

Or. el

Amendment 924
Jo Leinen, Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso, Pedro Silva Pereira

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 41
41. Considers that *this* Council and its specialised configurations, as the second chamber of the EU legislature, should, in the interest of specialism, professionalism and continuity, replace the practice of the rotating six-month presidency with a system of permanent chairs chosen from their midst; suggests that the idea of creating a special Law Council should be favourably reconsidered;

41. Considers that *the* Council and its specialised configurations, as the second chamber of the EU legislature, should, in the interest of specialism, professionalism and continuity, replace the practice of the rotating six-month presidency with a system of permanent chairs chosen from their midst; suggests that the idea of creating a special Law Council should be favourably reconsidered;

**Amendment 925**

**Andrey Kovatchev**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 41 a (new)**

41a. *Believes that, in order to achieve better transparency and to improve EU citizens' understanding of the operation of the institutional framework of the EU, the Council should be transformed into an European Senate, consisting of equal number of representatives of each Member State and functioning in accordance with the common European traditions of the two-chamber parliamentarism;*

**Amendment 926**

**Cristian Dan Preda**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 42**
42. Suggests that Member States should be able to determine the composition of their national representation in the specialised Council configurations, whether consisting of representatives of their respective national parliaments, governments or a combination of both;
configurations, whether consisting of representatives of their respective national parliaments, governments or a combination of both;

Or. el

Amendment 929
Maite Pagazaurtundúa Ruiz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 42 a (new)

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

42a. Recommends Article 2 TEU and the Charter to become a legal basis for legislative measures to be adopted under the ordinary legislative procedure; Enabling national courts under Article 2 TEU and the Charter to bring before the Court of Justice proceedings relating to the legality of Member States’ actions;

Or. en

Amendment 930
Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 42 a (new)

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

42a. Ecofin should take into account, in accordance with the case-law of the European Court of Justice, the existence of autonomous European treasuries with economic, institutional and regulatory independence in some Member States;

Or. es
Amendment 931
Cristian Dan Preda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 43

43. Stresses that, following the creation of the role of EU Finance Minister, the Eurogroup should be considered as a specialised configuration of the Council with legislative and control functions but no executive tasks;

Amendment

Amendment 932
Martina Anderson

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 43

43. Stresses that, following the creation of the role of EU Finance Minister, the Eurogroup should be considered as a specialised configuration of the Council with legislative and control functions but no executive tasks;

Amendment

Amendment 933
Morten Messerschmidt, Ulrike Trebesius

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 43

43. Stresses that, following the creation of the role of EU Finance Minister, the Eurogroup should be

Amendment
considered as a specialised configuration of the Council with legislative and control functions but no executive tasks;

Amendment 934
Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 43

43. Stresses that, following the creation of the role of EU Finance Minister, the Eurogroup should be considered as a specialised configuration of the Council with legislative and control functions but no executive tasks;

Amendment 935
Jo Leinen, Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso, Pedro Silva Pereira

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 43

43. Stresses that, following the creation of the role of EU Finance Minister, the Eurogroup should be considered as a formal specialised configuration of the Council with legislative and control functions but no executive tasks;
Amendment 936
Barbara Spinelli

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 43

43. Stresses that, following the creation of the role of EU Finance Minister, the Eurogroup should be considered as a specialised configuration of the Council with legislative and control functions but no executive tasks;

Amendment

43. Stresses that the functions of the Eurogroup should be taken over by the relevant specialised configuration of the Council;

Or. en

Amendment 937
Rainer Wieland

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 43

43. Stresses that, following the creation of the role of EU Finance Minister, the Eurogroup should be considered as a specialised configuration of the Council with legislative and control functions but no executive tasks;

Amendment

43. Stresses that the Eurogroup should be considered as a specialised configuration of the Council with legislative and control functions;

Or. de

Amendment 938
Markus Pieper

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 43
Motion for a resolution

43. Stresses that, following the creation of the role of EU Finance Minister, the Eurogroup should be considered as a specialised configuration of the Council with legislative and control functions but no executive tasks;

Amendment

43. Stresses that the Eurogroup should be considered as a specialised configuration of the Council with legislative and control functions;

Or. de

Amendment 939
Eleftherios Synadinos

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 43

Motion for a resolution

43. Stresses that, following the creation of the role of EU Finance Minister, the Eurogroup should be considered as a specialised configuration of the Council with legislative and control functions but no executive tasks;

Amendment

43. Stresses that, following any institutional changes, the Eurogroup remains as the main economic political institution;

Or. el

Amendment 940.
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a Resolution
Paragraph 43

Motion for a Resolution

43. Stresses that, following the creation of the role of EU Finance Minister, the Eurogroup should be considered as a specialised configuration of the Council with legislative and control functions but no executive tasks;

Amendment

43. stresses that the Eurogroup should be considered as a specialised configuration of the Council with legislative and control functions but no executive tasks;

Or. it
Amendment 941
Luke Ming Flanagan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 43

43. Stresses that, following the creation of the role of EU Finance Minister, the Eurogroup should be considered as a specialised configuration of the Council with legislative and control functions but no executive tasks;

Amendment

43. Stresses that the Eurogroup should be considered as merely a specialised configuration of the Council with legislative and control functions but no executive tasks;

Or. en

Amendment 942
Roberto Gualtieri

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 43 a (new)

43a. Calls for a switch, with limited exceptions, of the voting procedures in the Council which require unanimity to qualified majority, and for the existing special legislative procedures to be converted into ordinary legislative procedures;

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 943
Jo Leinen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 43 a (new)
Motion for a resolution

Amendment

43a. Calls for the creation of an independent European Competition Authority;

Or. en

Amendment 944
Roberto Gualtieri

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 43 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

43b. Underlines that such limited exceptions referred to in par 43a should not concern tax matters under art. 113 TFEU, art. 192(2)a and art. 194(3) as well as for the deletion of the exclusion clause in art. 114(2) TFEU as far as fiscal provisions are concerned;

Or. en

Amendment 945
Max Andersson

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 44

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

44. Proposes that, when Parliament and the Council vote on legislation specific to the euro area, only MEPs elected in the euro area and respectively representatives of its Member States, can take part in the vote;

deleted

Or. sv
Amendment 946
Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 44

  Motion for a resolution  Amendment

44. Proposes that, when Parliament and the Council vote on legislation specific to the euro area, only MEPs elected in the euro area and respectively representatives of its member states, can take part in the vote; deleted Or. el

Amendment 947
Alain Lamassoure

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 44

  Motion for a resolution  Amendment

44. Proposes that, when Parliament and the Council vote on legislation specific to the euro area, only MEPs elected in the euro area and respectively representatives of its member states, can take part in the vote; deleted Or. fr

Amendment 948
Barbara Spinelli

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 44

  Motion for a resolution  Amendment

44. Proposes that, when Parliament and the Council vote on legislation specific to the euro area, only MEPs elected in the euro area and respectively deleted
representatives of its member states, can take part in the vote;

Or. en

Amendment 949
Cristian Dan Preda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 44

Motion for a resolution  Amendment

44. Proposes that, when Parliament and the Council vote on legislation specific to the euro area, only MEPs elected in the euro area and respectively representatives of its member states, can take part in the vote;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 950
György Schöpflin

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 44

Motion for a resolution  Amendment

44. Proposes that, when Parliament and the Council vote on legislation specific to the euro area, only MEPs elected in the euro area and respectively representatives of its member states, can take part in the vote;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 951
Charles Goerens, Maite Pagazaurtundúa Ruiz
Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 44

44. Proposes that, when Parliament and the Council vote on legislation specific to the euro area, only MEPs elected in the euro area and respectively representatives of its member states, can take part in the vote;
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 44

Motion for a resolution

44. Proposes that, when Parliament and the Council vote on legislation specific to the euro area, only MEPs elected in the euro area and respectively representatives of its member states, can take part in the vote;

Amendment

44. Proposes that, when Parliament and the Council vote on legislation specific to the euro area, only MEPs elected in the euro area and respectively representatives of its member states, can take part in the vote in order to ensure that democratic control and accountability is undertaken by the members of the Eurozone;

Or. en

Amendment 954
Luke Ming Flanagan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 44

Motion for a resolution

44. Proposes that, when Parliament and the Council vote on legislation specific to the euro area, only MEPs elected in the euro area and respectively representatives of its member states, can take part in the vote;

Amendment

44. Rejects the proposal that when Parliament and the Council vote on legislation specific to the euro area, only MEPs elected in the euro area and respectively representatives of its member states, can take part in the vote, given that any such decision impacts right across the EU;

Or. en

Amendment 955
Diane James

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 44

Motion for a resolution

44. Proposes that, when Parliament and

Amendment

44. Proposes that, when Parliament and
the Council vote on legislation specific to the euro area, only MEPs elected in the euro area and respectively representatives of its member states, can take part in the vote;

the Council vote on legislation specific to the euro area, all MEPs take part in the vote;

Amendment 956
Pascal Durand
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 44

Motion for a resolution

44. Proposes that, when Parliament and the Council vote on legislation specific to the euro area, only MEPs elected in the euro area and respectively representatives of its member states, can take part in the vote;

Amendment

44. Proposes that, when Council vote on legislation specific to the euro area, only representatives of its member states, can take part in the vote;

Amendment 957
Morten Messerschmidt

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 44 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

44a. Believes that when the Parliament and the Council vote on legislation, irrespective of whether it is specific Eurozone measure or not, all elected MEPs and respective representatives of its Member States should take part in the vote;

Amendment

Or. en
Amendment 958
Jo Leinen, Mercedes Bresso, Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Pedro Silva Pereira, Pervenche Berès

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 44 a (new)

Motion for a resolution Amendment

44a. Calls on Member States without a derogation to clarify their engagement with regard to the common currency, and on those of them who fulfil the accession criteria to adopt the euro as soon as possible;

Or. en

Amendment 959
Jo Leinen, Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso, Pedro Silva Pereira

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 45

Motion for a resolution Amendment

45. Believes that, in strengthening the governance of the euro area, due respect should be paid to the interests of Member States that are not yet part of the euro (the ‘pre-ins’);

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 960
Andrey Kovatchev

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 45

Motion for a resolution Amendment

45. Believes that, in strengthening the governance of the euro area, due respect should be paid to the interests of Member

45. Believes that, in strengthening the governance of the euro area, due respect should be paid to the interests of Member
States that are not yet part of the euro (the ‘pre-ins’); Believes further that, the measures for strengthening the governance should not hamper the transition to the euro area of the Member States that are not yet part of it; Recommends that, the Member States that want to participate in all of EU policies, should approve the roadmap for adoption of the euro on an urgent basis and within a predefined timetable;

Or. bg

Amendment 961
Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 45

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

45. Believes that, in strengthening the governance of the euro area, due respect should be paid to the interests of Member States that are not yet part of the euro (the ‘pre-ins’);

45. Believes that, in redefining the governmental functions of the euro area, due respect should be paid to the interests of Member States that are not yet part of the euro (the ‘pre-ins’);

Or. en

Amendment 962
Max Andersson

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 45

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

45. Believes that, in strengthening the governance of the euro area, due respect should be paid to the interests of Member States that are not part of the euro (the ‘pre-ins’);

45. Believes that, in strengthening the governance of the euro area, due respect should be paid to the interests of Member States that are not part of the euro (the ‘pre-ins’);

Or. sv
Amendment 963
Daciana Octavia Sârbu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 45 a (new)

45a. Underlines the important contribution that the European Economic and Social committee and the Committee of Regions can make to enhancing the democratic legitimacy of the European Union and calls for the increase in their capacity to directly impact on policy-shaping and legislative processes by including them at the earliest stage possible in the EU’s legislative programming and through a formal acknowledgement of their role in the assessment of EU’s policies and legislation.

Or. ro

Amendment 964
Daciana Octavia Sârbu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 45 b (new)

45b. Considers that the European Economic and Social Committee should be an active part in the policy shaping and legislative processes at the earliest stage possible and contribute more effectively to these processes, as a result of enhanced dialogue with civil society organisations and reinforced social dialogue.

Or. ro
Amendment 965
Morten Messerschmidt, Ulrike Trebesius

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 46

46. **Recognises** the significant role played by national parliaments in the constitutional order of the European Union, and in particular their role in transposing EU legislation into national law and the role they **would** play in both ex-ante and ex-post control of legislative decisions and policy choices made by their members of the new Council of States, including its specialised configurations; suggests therefore complementing and enhancing the powers of national parliaments by introducing a ‘green card’ procedure whereby national parliaments could submit legislative proposals to the Council for its consideration;

Amendment

46. **Recognizes** the significant role **that should now be** played by national parliaments in the constitutional order **and the democratic legitimation** of the European Union, and in particular their role in transposing EU legislation into national law and the role they **should** play in both ex-ante and ex-post control of legislative decisions and policy choices made by their members of the new Council of States, including its specialised configurations; suggests therefore complementing and enhancing the powers of national parliaments by introducing a ‘green card’ procedure whereby national parliaments could submit legislative binding instructions to the Commission in the exercise of its initiative power; as well emphasizes the need to strengthen the role of national parliaments by an efficient use of ‘yellow’ and ‘red card’ to make it possible for a group of national parliaments acting together to block an indicated legislative proposal whose author would then be forced to withdraw it;

Or. en

Amendment 966
Cristian Dan Preda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 46

46. **Recognises** the significant role played by national parliaments in the

Amendment

46. **Recognises** the significant role played by national parliaments in the
constitutional order of the European Union, and in particular their role in transposing EU legislation into national law and the role they would play in both ex-ante and ex-post control of legislative decisions and policy choices made by their members of the new Council of States, including its specialised configurations; suggests therefore complementing and enhancing the powers of national parliaments by introducing a ‘green card’ procedure whereby national parliaments could submit legislative proposals to the Council for its consideration; 

Amendment 967
Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski, Ulrike Trebesius

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 46

46. Recognises the significant role played by national parliaments in the constitutional order of the European Union, and in particular their role in transposing EU legislation into national law and the role they would play in both ex-ante and ex-post control of legislative decisions and policy choices made by their members of the new Council of States, including its specialised configurations; suggests therefore complementing and enhancing the powers of national parliaments by introducing a ‘green card’ procedure whereby national parliaments could submit legislative proposals to the Council for its consideration; also stresses the need to
Recognises the significant role played by national parliaments in the constitutional order of the European Union, and in particular their role in transposing EU legislation into national law and the role they would play in both ex-ante and ex-post control of legislative decisions and policy choices made by their members of the new Council of States, including its specialised configurations; suggests therefore complementing and enhancing the powers of national parliaments by introducing a ‘green card’ procedure whereby national parliaments could submit legislative proposals to the Council for its consideration;

Amendment 968
Martina Anderson

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 46

46. Recognises the significant role played by national parliaments in the constitutional order of the European Union, and in particular their role in transposing EU legislation into national law and the role they would play in both ex-ante and ex-post control of legislative decisions and policy choices made by their members of the new Council of States, including its specialised configurations; suggests therefore complementing and enhancing the powers of national parliaments by introducing a ‘green card’ procedure whereby national parliaments could submit legislative proposals to the Council for its consideration;

Amendment 969
Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 46

46. Recognises the significant role played by national parliaments in the constitutional order of the European Union, and in particular their role in transposing EU legislation into national law; suggests enhancing the powers of national parliaments by introducing a ‘green card’ procedure whereby national parliaments could submit legislative proposals to the Council for its consideration;

Or. pl

Or. en
played by national parliaments in the constitutional order of the European Union, and in particular their role in transposing EU legislation into national law and the role they would play in both ex-ante and ex-post control of legislative decisions and policy choices made by their members of the new Council of States, including its specialised configurations; suggests therefore complementing and enhancing the powers of national parliaments by introducing a ‘green card’ procedure whereby national parliaments could submit legislative proposals to the Council for its consideration;

played by national parliaments in the constitutional order of the European Union, and in particular their role in transposing EU legislation into national law and the role they would play in both ex-ante and ex-post control of legislative decisions and policy choices made by their members of the new Council of States, including its specialised configurations; suggests therefore immediately complementing and enhancing the powers of national parliaments by introducing a ‘green card’ procedure whereby national parliaments could submit legislative proposals to the Council for its consideration;

Or. el

Amendment 970
Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 46

46. Recognises the significant role played by national parliaments in the constitutional order of the European Union, and in particular their role in transposing EU legislation into national law and the role they would play in both ex-ante and ex-post control of legislative decisions and policy choices made by their members of the new Council of States, including its specialised configurations; suggests therefore complementing and enhancing the powers of national parliaments by introducing a ‘green card’ procedure whereby national parliaments could submit legislative proposals to the Council for its consideration;

Amendment

46. Recognises the significant role played by national parliaments in the current institutional order of the European Union, and in particular their role in transposing EU legislation into national law and the role they would play in both ex-ante and ex-post control of legislative decisions and policy choices made by their members of the Council, including its specialised configurations; suggests therefore complementing and enhancing the powers of national parliaments by introducing a ‘green card’ procedure whereby national parliaments could submit legislative proposals to the Council for its consideration;

Or. en
Amendment 971
Jo Leinen, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso, Pedro Silva Pereira

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 46

Amendment

46. Recognises the significant role played by national parliaments in the constitutional order of the European Union, and in particular their role in transposing EU legislation into national law and the role they would play in both ex-ante and ex-post control of legislative decisions and policy choices made by their members of the new Council of States, including its specialised configurations; suggests therefore complementing and enhancing the powers of national parliaments by introducing a ‘green card’ procedure whereby national parliaments could submit legislative proposals to the Council for its consideration;

46. Recognises the significant role played by national parliaments in the constitutional order of the European Union, and in particular their role in transposing EU legislation into national law and the role they play in both ex-ante and ex-post control of legislative decisions and policy choices made by their members of the new Council of the European Union; considers that the political dialogue between national parliaments and the European Parliament should be intensified and made more meaningful and substantial, without overstepping the limits of their respective constitutional competences;

Or. en

Amendment 972
Paulo Rangel

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 46

46. Recognises the significant role played by national parliaments in the constitutional order of the European Union, and in particular their role in transposing EU legislation into national law and the role they would play in both ex-ante and ex-post control of legislative decisions and policy choices made by their members of the new Council of States, including its specialised configurations; suggests therefore complementing and enhancing

46. Recognises the significant role played by national parliaments in the constitutional order of the European Union, and in particular their role in transposing EU legislation into national law and the role they would play in both ex-ante and ex-post control of legislative decisions and policy choices made by the members of the Council, including its specialised configurations; suggests therefore complementing and enhancing the powers
the powers of national parliaments by introducing a ‘green card’ procedure whereby national parliaments could submit legislative proposals to the Council for its consideration;

or.

Amendment 973.
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a Resolution
Paragraph 46 a (new)

Motion for a Resolution

46a. Considers it necessary, in view of the full respect for democratic principles that are the foundation of the Union and for the constitutional traditions common to the Member States that comprise it, the insertion in the Treaty, of the possibility for a Member State to withdraw membership from the Euro zone, through a process assisted by the ECB and the European Commission acting as much in the interests of the withdrawing State as in those of the remaining members from the risk of speculative attacks and economic shocks, especially during the transition period;

or.

Amendment 974
Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 46 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

46a. Points out the need to include regions with legislative powers in this process with a view to improving the time
frames and procedures established in Annex 2 to the current subsidiarity protocol of the TFEU; national reports should compulsorily include the observations on subsidiarity issued by the regional authorities, which should have longer than the eight-week time frame currently provided for in the protocol;

Amendment 975
Paulo Rangel, Cristian Dan Preda, Viviane Reding, Alain Lamassoure

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 46 a (new)

Motion for a resolution Amendment

46a. Albeit respecting the role of national parliaments and the principle of subsidiarity, acknowledges the EU’s exclusive competences on Common Commercial Policy; calls for a clear delimitation of competences between the Union and the Member States in this respect; notes that this delimitation would have positive effects on jobs and growth both in the EU and in its trading partners;

Amendment 976
Kazimierz Michal Ujazdowski, Ulrike Trebesius

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 46 a (new)

Motion for a resolution Amendment

46a. Further suggests that the application by national parliaments of the yellow card procedure referred to in Article 7 of the Protocol on the application of the principles of
subsidiarity and proportionality was a sufficient reason to stop a legislative procedure;

Amendment 977
Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 47

Motion for a resolution
Amendment
47. Proposes moreover that, in line with the common practice in a number of Member States, both chambers of the EU legislature, Parliament and the Council, should be given the right of legislative initiative, without prejudice to the basic legislative prerogative of the European executive or government;

Amendment 978
Sylvie Goulard, Charles Goerens

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 47

Motion for a resolution
Amendment
47. Proposes moreover that, in line with the common practice in a number of Member States, both chambers of the EU legislature, Parliament and the Council, should be given the right of legislative initiative, as long as the initiative is supported by a range of Member States and that the rights of smaller Member States and minority positions are protected, without prejudice to the basic legislative prerogative of the European executive or government;
Amendment 979.
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a Resolution
Paragraph 47

Motion for a Resolution

47. Proposes moreover that, in line with the common practice in a number of Member States, both chambers of the EU legislature, Parliament and the Council, should be given the right of legislative initiative, without prejudice to the basic legislative prerogative of the European executive or government;

Amendment

47. proposes moreover, that in line with the common practice in a number of Member States, both chambers of the EU legislature, the Council and in particular the Parliament, as the only institution directly elected by citizens, should be given the right of legislative initiative, without prejudice to the basic legislative prerogative of the European executive or government;

Or. en

Amendment 980
Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 47

Motion for a resolution

47. Proposes moreover that, in line with the common practice in a number of Member States, both chambers of the EU legislature, Parliament and the Council, should be given the right of legislative initiative, without prejudice to the basic legislative prerogative of the European executive or government;

Amendment

47. Proposes moreover that, in line with the common practice in a number of Member States, both chambers of the EU legislature, Parliament and the Council, should be given the right of legislative initiative;

Or. el
Amendment 981
Esther de Lange

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 47

Motion for a resolution

47. Proposes moreover that, in line with the common practice in a number of Member States, both chambers of the EU legislature, Parliament and the Council, should be given the right of legislative initiative, without prejudice to the basic legislative prerogative of the European executive or government;

Amendment

47. Proposes moreover that, in line with the common practice in a number of Member States, both chambers of the EU legislature, Parliament and the Council, should be given the right of legislative initiative;

Or. nl

Amendment 982
Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 47

Motion for a resolution

47. Proposes moreover that, in line with the common practice in a number of Member States, both chambers of the EU legislature, Parliament and the Council, should be given the right of legislative initiative, without prejudice to the basic legislative prerogative of the European executive or government;

Amendment

47. Proposes moreover that, in line with the common practice in a number of Member States, both chambers of the EU legislature, Parliament and the Council, should be given the right of legislative initiative, without prejudice to the basic legislative prerogative of the European Commission;

Or. en

Amendment 983
Sylvie Goulard, Charles Goerens

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 47 a (new)
Motion for a resolution

47a. Recalls that legislation provides for the suspension of ESI Funds if a Member State fails to take action concerning the violation of the Stability and Growth Pact (Excessive Deficit Procedure); believes a fortiori that the EU should link the payment of all EU funds with the respect of European values, as laid out in the Treaties;

Or. en

Amendment 984
Roberto Gualtieri

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 47 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

47a. Advocates the introduction of the ordinary legislative procedure for the adoption of the broad guidelines of the economic policies of the Member States and of the Union under Article 121(2) TFEU and of the employment guidelines under Article 148(2) TFEU;

Or. en

Amendment 985
Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Jo Leinen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 47 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

47a. Considers that the ‘unanimity rule’ in the Council, wherever it is still applied as in foreign and defence matters, fiscal affairs and social policy, needs to be
abolished;

Amendment 986
Jo Leinen, Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Mercedes Bresso, Pedro Silva Pereira

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 47 a (new)

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

47a. Calls for the full replacement of the consultation procedure by co-decision between Parliament and Council;

Amendment 987
Jo Leinen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 47 b (new)

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

47b. Is of the opinion that under Articles 245 and 247 TFEU the European Parliament, and not only the Council and the Commission, should have the right to take action before the European Court of Justice in case a member or former member of the European Commission breaches his obligations under the Treaties, is guilty of serious misconduct, or does not longer fulfil the conditions required for the performance of his duties;
Amendment 988
Roberto Gualtieri

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 47 b (new)

Motion for a resolution Amendment

47b. Favour inclusion in the decision-making procedures under Article 121(4) TFEU and Article 148(4) TFEU of the right of Parliament to propose amendments to a Commission proposal for a recommendation before its adoption by the Commission, while acknowledging that the multilateral surveillance procedure requires quick decision-making;

Or. en

Amendment 989
Roberto Gualtieri

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 47 c (new)

Motion for a resolution Amendment

47c. Calls for the inclusion of Parliament in the budgetary surveillance procedure under Article 126 TFEU, with the right to amend a Commission proposal for a recommendation which can be rejected by a qualified majority of the Council if the Commission delivers a negative opinion;

Or. en

Amendment 990
Beatrix von Stork

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 48
Motion for a resolution

48. Insists that Parliament’s right of inquiry should be reinforced and be granted specific, genuine and clearly delimited powers which are more in line with its political stature and competences, including the right to summon witnesses, to have full access to documents, to conduct on-the-spot investigations and to impose sanctions for non-compliance;

Amendment

48. Insists that Parliament’s right of inquiry should be reinforced and be granted specific, genuine and clearly delimited powers;

Or. de

Amendment 991
Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 48

Motion for a resolution

48. Insists that Parliament’s right of inquiry should be reinforced and be granted specific, genuine and clearly delimited powers which are more in line with its political stature and competences, including the right to summon witnesses, to have full access to documents, to conduct on-the-spot investigations and to impose sanctions for non-compliance;

Amendment

48. Insists that Parliament’s right of inquiry should be reinforced and be granted specific, genuine and clear powers which are more in line with its political stature and competences, including the right to summon witnesses, to have full access to documents, to conduct on-the-spot investigations and to impose sanctions for non-compliance; therefore, calls on the Commission to advance the negotiations on the Parliament’s proposal on a regulation on Parliament’s Right of Inquiry;

Or. en

Amendment 992
Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 48 a (new)
Motion for a resolution

Amendment

48a. Points out that corruption is one of the problems that undermines the credibility of state and European institution and calls for a European framework of minimums to be established to prevent and eradicate such behaviour;

Or. es

Amendment 993
Martina Anderson

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 49

Amendment

49. Recalls its conviction that the financing of the EU budget should respect the letter and the spirit of the Treaty and return to a system of genuine, clear, simple and fair own resources; stresses that the reintroduction of such resources would put an end to the share of GNI-based contributions and thus lessen the burden on national treasuries; awaits with interest the proposals from the high-level group on own resources in this respect;

Or. en

Amendment 994
Beatrix von Storch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 49

Amendment

49. Recalls its conviction that the financing of the EU budget should respect the letter and the spirit of the Treaty and return to a system of genuine, clear, simple and fair own resources; stresses that the reintroduction of such resources would put an end to the share of GNI-based contributions and thus lessen the burden on national treasuries; awaits with interest the proposals from the high-level group on own resources in this respect;
simple and fair own resources; stresses that the reintroduction of such resources would put an end to the share of GNI-based contributions and thus lessen the burden on national treasuries; awaits with interest the proposals from the high-level group on own resources in this respect;

Amendment 995
Kazimierz Michal Ujazdowski, Ulrike Trebesius

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 49

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

49. Recalls its conviction that the financing of the EU budget should respect the letter and the spirit of the Treaty and return to a system of genuine, clear, simple and fair own resources; stresses that the reintroduction of such resources would put an end to the share of GNI-based contributions and thus lessen the burden on national treasuries; awaits with interest the proposals from the high-level group on own resources in this respect;

Amendment 996.
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a Resolution
Paragraph 49

Motion for a Resolution

Amendment

49. Recalls its conviction that the financing of the EU budget should respect the letter and the spirit of the Treaty and return to a system of genuine, clear, simple and fair own resources; stresses that the financing of the EU budget should respect the letter and the spirit of the Treaty and return to a system of genuine, clear, simple and fair own resources regarding
reintroduction of such resources would put an end to the share of GNI-based contributions and thus lessen the burden on national treasuries; awaits with interest the proposals from the high-level group on own resources in this respect;

corrective taxation of negative externalities arising from economic activity, as with taxes on financial transactions and Carbon tax; stresses that the reintroduction of such resources would put an end to the share of GNI-based contributions and thus lessen the burden on national treasuries; awaits with interest the proposals from the high-level group on own resources in this respect;

Amendment 997
Maite Pagazaurtundúa Ruiz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 49

49. Recalls its conviction that the financing of the EU budget should respect the letter and the spirit of the Treaty and return to a system of genuine, clear, simple and fair own resources; stresses that the reintroduction of such resources would put an end to the share of GNI-based contributions and thus lessen the burden on national treasuries; awaits with interest the proposals from the high-level group on own resources in this respect;

Amendment

49. Recalls its conviction that the financing of the EU budget should respect the letter and the spirit of the Treaty and return to a system of genuine, clear, simple and fair own resources; stresses that the reintroduction of such resources would put an end to the share of GNI-based contributions and thus lessen the burden on national treasuries, as well as give independence to financing pan-European projects and politics in all areas of EU; awaits with interest the proposals from the high-level group on own resources in this respect;

Or. en

Amendment 998
Eleftherios Synadinos

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 49
Motion for a resolution

49. Recalls its conviction that the financing of the EU budget should respect the letter and the spirit of the Treaty and return to a system of genuine, clear, simple and fair own resources; stresses that the reintroduction of such resources would put an end to the share of GNI-based contributions and thus lessen the burden on national treasuries; awaits with interest the proposals from the high-level group on own resources in this respect;

49. Recalls its conviction that the financing of the EU budget should respect the letter and the spirit of the Treaty and return to a system of genuine, clear, simple and fair own resources; stresses that the reintroduction of such resources, such as the EU bonds, would put an end to the share of GNI-based contributions and thus lessen the burden on national treasuries; awaits with interest the proposals from the high-level group on own resources in this respect;

Or. el

Amendment 999
Kazimierz Michal Ujazdowski, Ulrike Trebesius

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 50

Motion for a resolution

50. Proposes in this regard that the decision-making procedures for both own resources and the MFF should be shifted from unanimity to qualified majority voting, thereby inducing real co-decision between the Council and Parliament on all budgetary matters; repeats its call, furthermore, to make the MFF coterminous with the mandates of Parliament and the European executive, and insists that the finances of all Union agencies should become an integral part of the EU budget;

deleted

Or. pl

Amendment 1000
Martina Anderson
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 50

50. Proposes in this regard that the decision-making procedures for both own resources and the MFF should be shifted from unanimity to qualified majority voting, thereby inducing real co-decision between the Council and Parliament on all budgetary matters; repeats its call, furthermore, to make the MFF coterminous with the mandates of Parliament and the European executive, and insists that the finances of all Union agencies should become an integral part of the EU budget;

Amendment 1001
Max Andersson

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 50

50. Proposes in this regard that the decision-making procedures for both own resources and the MFF should be shifted from unanimity to qualified majority voting, thereby inducing real co-decision between the Council and Parliament on all budgetary matters; repeats its call, furthermore, to make the MFF coterminous with the mandates of Parliament and the European executive, and insists that the finances of all Union agencies should become an integral part of the EU budget;

Amendment 1002
Beatrix von Storch
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 50

50. Proposes in this regard that the decision-making procedures for both own resources and the MFF should be shifted from unanimity to qualified majority voting, thereby inducing real co-decision between the Council and Parliament on all budgetary matters; repeats its call, furthermore, to make the MFF coterminous with the mandates of Parliament and the European executive, and insists that the finances of all Union agencies should become an integral part of the EU budget;

Amendment

50. Proposes in this regard that the decision-making procedures for both own resources and the MFF should be shifted back to unanimity; repeats its call, furthermore, to make the MFF coterminous with the mandates of Parliament and the European executive, and insists that the finances of all Union agencies should become an integral part of the EU budget;

Or. de

Amendment 1003
Eleftherios Synadinos

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 50

50. Proposes in this regard that the decision-making procedures for both own resources and the MFF should be shifted from unanimity to qualified majority voting, thereby inducing real co-decision between the Council and Parliament on all budgetary matters; repeats its call, furthermore, to make the MFF coterminous with the mandates of Parliament and the European executive, and insists that the finances of all Union agencies should become an integral part of the EU budget;

Motion for a resolution

50. Proposes in this regard that the decision-making procedures for both own resources and the MFF should continue to be carried out on the basis of unanimity; repeats its call to make the MFF coterminous with the mandates of Parliament and the European executive, and insists that the finances of all Union agencies should become an integral part of the EU budget;

Amendment

50. Proposes in this regard that the decision-making procedures for both own resources and the MFF should continue to be carried out on the basis of unanimity; repeats its call to make the MFF coterminous with the mandates of Parliament and the European executive, and insists that the finances of all Union agencies should become an integral part of the EU budget;

Or. el
50. Proposes in this regard *that the decision-making procedures for both own resources and the MFF should be shifted from unanimity to qualified majority voting, thereby inducing real co-decision between the Council and Parliament on all budgetary matters*; repeats its call, furthermore, to make the MFF coterminous with the mandates of Parliament and the European executive, and insists that the finances of all Union agencies should become an integral part of the EU budget;

50. Proposes in this regard *to introduce co-decision between the Council and Parliament for own resources and the MFF and proposes that the decision-making procedure for the MFF should be shifted from unanimity to qualified majority voting in Council; repeats its call, furthermore, to make the MFF coterminous with the mandates of Parliament and the European executive, and insists that the finances of all Union agencies should become an integral part of the EU budget;*
Motion for a resolution Paragraph 50 a (new)

Motion for a resolution Amendment

50a. Calls for abolishing the unanimity requirement in areas relating to respect for and protection and promotion of fundamental rights, such as equality and non-discrimination;

Or. en

Amendment 1007
Roberto Gualtieri

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 50 b (new)

Motion for a resolution Amendment

50b. Calls on the next Convention to allow for the possibility for the Union to budget for a deficit which shall not exceed reference values to be specified in the Treaties, together with the establishment of proper mechanisms ensuring the avoidance of an excessive deficit at European level;

Or. en

Amendment 1008
Roberto Gualtieri

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 50 c (new)

Motion for a resolution Amendment

50c. Calls for the establishment of a legal base which empowers the Union to raise own taxes as a proper own resource for the benefit of the Union budget;
Amendment 1009  
Beatrix von Storch  

Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 52

52. Believes, finally, that the current Treaty ratification procedure is too rigid to befit such a supranational polity as the European Union; proposes allowing amendments to the Treaties to come into force if not by an EU-wide referendum then after being ratified by a qualified majority of four-fifths of the Member States, having obtained the consent of Parliament; correspondingly, once this threshold has been met, Member States which still decline to ratify the amended Treaty should decide, in accordance with their own constitutional requirements, whether to start the process of secession or to opt for an associate status;

Amendment 1010  
Cristian Dan Preda  

Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 52

52. Believes, finally, that the current Treaty ratification procedure is too rigid to befit such a supranational polity as the European Union; proposes allowing amendments to the Treaties to come into force if not by an EU-wide referendum then after being ratified by a qualified majority of four-fifths of the Member
States, having obtained the consent of Parliament; correspondingly, once this threshold has been met, Member States which still decline to ratify the amended Treaty should decide, in accordance with their own constitutional requirements, whether to start the process of secession or to opt for an associate status;

Amendment 1011
Luke Ming Flanagan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 52

Believes, finally, that the current Treaty ratification procedure is too rigid to befit such a supranational polity as the European Union; proposes allowing amendments to the Treaties to come into force if not by an EU-wide referendum then after being ratified by a qualified majority of four-fifths of the Member States, having obtained the consent of Parliament; correspondingly, once this threshold has been met, Member States which still decline to ratify the amended Treaty should decide, in accordance with their own constitutional requirements, whether to start the process of secession or to opt for an associate status;

Amendment 1012
György Schöpflin

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 52
52. Believes, finally, that the current Treaty ratification procedure is too rigid to befit such a supranational polity as the European Union; proposes allowing amendments to the Treaties to come into force if not by an EU-wide referendum then after being ratified by a qualified majority of four-fifths of the Member States, having obtained the consent of Parliament; correspondingly, once this threshold has been met, Member States which still decline to ratify the amended Treaty should decide, in accordance with their own constitutional requirements, whether to start the process of secession or to opt for an associate status;
Amendment 1014
David McAllister, Markus Pieper

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 52

52. Believes, finally, that the current Treaty ratification procedure is too rigid to befit such a supranational polity as the European Union; proposes allowing amendments to the Treaties to come into force if not by an EU-wide referendum then after being ratified by a qualified majority of four-fifths of the Member States, having obtained the consent of Parliament; correspondingly, once this threshold has been met, Member States which still decline to ratify the amended Treaty should decide, in accordance with their own constitutional requirements, whether to start the process of secession or to opt for an associate status;

Amendment

52. Believes, finally, that the current Treaty ratification procedure is very lengthy and linked with political uncertainties;

Amendment 1015
Kazimierz Michal Ujazdowski, Ulrike Trebesius

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 52

52. Believes, finally, that the current Treaty ratification procedure is too rigid to befit such a supranational polity as the European Union; proposes allowing amendments to the Treaties to come into force if not by an EU-wide referendum then after being ratified by a qualified majority of four-fifths of the Member

Amendment

52. Believes that respect for the Treaties, legitimacy and continuity of the Union require strict compliance with the Treaties revision procedure, as set out in Article 48 TEU;
States, having obtained the consent of Parliament; correspondingly, once this threshold has been met, Member States which still decline to ratify the amended Treaty should decide, in accordance with their own constitutional requirements, whether to start the process of secession or to opt for an associate status;

Amendment 1016
Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 52

52. Believes, finally, that the current Treaty ratification procedure is too rigid to befit such a supranational polity as the European Union; proposes allowing amendments to the Treaties to come into force if not by an EU-wide referendum then after being ratified by a qualified majority of four-fifths of the Member States, having obtained the consent of Parliament; correspondingly, once this threshold has been met, Member States which still decline to ratify the amended Treaty should decide, in accordance with their own constitutional requirements, whether to start the process of secession or to opt for an associate status;

Amendment 1017
Morten Messerschmidt, Ulrike Trebesius

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 52

52. Proposes allowing amendments to the Treaties to come into force by referendum at each state; notes that Member States which decline to ratify the amended Treaty should decide, in accordance with their own constitutional requirements, whether to start the process of secession or to opt for an associate status;
Motion for a resolution

52. Believes, finally, that the current Treaty ratification procedure is too rigid to befit such a supranational polity as the European Union; proposes allowing amendments to the Treaties to come into force if not by an EU-wide referendum then after being ratified by a qualified majority of four-fifths of the Member States, having obtained the consent of Parliament; correspondingly, once this threshold has been met, Member States which still decline to ratify the amended Treaty should decide, in accordance with their own constitutional requirements, whether to start the process of secession or to opt for an associate status;

Amendment

52. Believes that respect for the Treaties, its signatory Members’ legitimacy, State of Law, democratic will expressed through every referenda organized in Europe for more than 10 years, and viability of the Union itself require strict compliance with procedures for revision of the Treaties provided in Article 48-1 to 5 TEU;

Or. en

Amendment 1018
Paulo Rangel, Constance Le Grip

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 52

52. Believes, finally, that the current Treaty ratification procedure is too rigid to befit such a supranational polity as the European Union; proposes allowing amendments to the Treaties to come into force if not by an EU-wide referendum then after being ratified by a qualified majority of four-fifths of the Member States, having obtained the consent of Parliament; correspondingly, once this threshold has been met, Member States which still decline to ratify the amended Treaty should decide, in accordance with their own constitutional requirements, whether to start the process of secession or to opt for an associate status;

Motion for a resolution

52. Believes, finally, that the current Treaty ratification procedure is too rigid to befit such a supranational polity as the European Union; proposes allowing amendments to the Treaties to come into force after being ratified by a qualified majority of four-fifths of the Member States, having obtained the consent of the Parliament;
Amendment 1019
Jo Leinen, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Mercedes Bresso

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 52

52. Believes, finally, that the current Treaty ratification procedure is too rigid to befit such a supranational polity as the European Union; proposes allowing amendments to the Treaties to come into force if not by an EU-wide referendum then after being ratified by a qualified majority of four-fifths of the Member States, having obtained the consent of Parliament; correspondingly, once this threshold has been met, Member States which still decline to ratify the amended Treaty should decide, in accordance with their own constitutional requirements, whether to start the process of secession or to opt for an associate status;

Amendment

52. Believes that the Treaty ratification procedure could be amended allowing changes to the Treaties to come into force by an EU-wide referendum with a double majority, i.e. a majority of the European electorate and a positive public vote within a majority of the Member States or after being ratified by a qualified majority of four-fifths of the Member States, having obtained the consent of Parliament;

Amendment 1020
Martina Anderson

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 52

52. Believes, finally, that the current Treaty ratification procedure is too rigid to befit such a supranational polity as the European Union; proposes allowing amendments to the Treaties to come into force if not by an EU-wide referendum then after being ratified by a qualified majority of four-fifths of the Member States, through the democratic will of its people choose not to ratify a Treaty, then
States, having obtained the consent of Parliament; correspondingly, once this threshold has been met, Member States which still decline to ratify the amended Treaty should decide, in accordance with their own constitutional requirements, whether to start the process of secession or to opt for an associate status; that decision must be respected as that Treaty will have failed in one of its main responsibilities, to represent the views of the citizens of Europe;

Amendment 1021
Ramon Tremosa i Balcells

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 52

Motion for a resolution

52. Believes, finally, that the current Treaty ratification procedure is too rigid to befit such a supranational polity as the European Union; proposes allowing amendments to the Treaties to come into force if not by an EU-wide referendum then after being ratified by a qualified majority of four-fifths of the Member States, having obtained the consent of Parliament; correspondingly, once this threshold has been met, Member States which still decline to ratify the amended Treaty should decide, in accordance with their own constitutional requirements, whether to start the process of secession or to opt for an associate status;

Consideration
that the unanimity rule shall not be applied to the membership of new Member States.

Amendment

52. Believes, finally, that the current Treaty ratification procedure is too rigid to befit such a supranational polity as the European Union; proposes allowing amendments to the Treaties to come into force if not by an EU-wide referendum then after being ratified by a qualified majority of four-fifths of the Member States, having obtained the consent of Parliament; correspondingly, once this threshold has been met, Member States which still decline to ratify the amended Treaty should decide, in accordance with their own constitutional requirements, whether to start the process of secession or to opt for an associate status;
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 52

52. Believes, finally, that the current Treaty ratification procedure is too rigid to befit such a supranational polity as the European Union; proposes allowing amendments to the Treaties to come into force if not by an EU-wide referendum then after being ratified by a qualified majority of four-fifths of the Member States, having obtained the consent of Parliament; corresponsingly, once this threshold has been met, Member States which still decline to ratify the amended Treaty should decide, in accordance with their own constitutional requirements, whether to start the process of secession or to opt for an associate status;

Amendment

52. Believes, finally, that the current Treaty ratification procedure is too rigid to befit such a shift of supranational polity in the European Union; proposes allowing amendments to the Treaties to come into force by exploring the possibility to have an EU-wide referendum to be held simultaneously in all the Member States or after being ratified by the Member States, having obtained the consent of Parliament; Member States which decline to ratify the amended Treaty should negotiate their status and the future relationships with the Union;

Or. en

Amendment 1023.
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a Resolution
Paragraph 52

52. Believes, finally, that the current Treaty ratification procedure is too rigid to befit such a supranational polity as the European Union; proposes allowing amendments to the Treaties to come into force if not by an EU-wide referendum then after being ratified by a qualified majority of four-fifths of the Member States, having obtained the consent of Parliament; notes that, once this threshold has been met, Member States which still decline to ratify the amended Treaty should decide, in accordance with their own constitutional requirements, whether to start the process of secession or to opt

Amendment

52. Believes, finally, that the current Treaty ratification procedure is too rigid to befit such a supranational polity as the European Union; proposes allowing amendments to the Treaties to come into force if not by an EU-wide referendum then after being ratified by a qualified majority of four-fifths of the Member States, having obtained the consent of Parliament; notes that, once this threshold has been met, Member States which for governmental or popular reasons, decide not to ratify the amended Treaty should decide, in accordance with their own constitutional requirements, whether to
for an associate status; start the process of secession or to opt for an associate status;

Or. it

Amendment 1024
Eleftherios Synadinos

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 52

52. Believes, finally, that the current Treaty ratification procedure is *too rigid to befit such a supranational polity as the European Union*; proposes allowing amendments to the Treaties to come into force if not by an EU-wide referendum then after being ratified by a qualified majority of four-fifths of the Member States, having obtained the consent of Parliament; correspondingly, once this threshold has been met, Member States which still decline to ratify the amended Treaty should decide, in accordance with their own constitutional requirements, whether to start the process of secession or to opt for an associate status;

Or. el

Amendment 1025
Dennis de Jong

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 52 a (new)

52a. Underlines that European policy and decision-making pertaining to it should be transparent and open to the scrutiny of anyone; stresses that public access to policy papers should not be subject to the arbitrary decisions of
Amendment 1026
Ramon Tremosa i Balcells

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 52 a (new)

Amendment

52a. Believes that European citizens and enterprises inside current Member States that show a democratic will to keep being part of the EU shall be protected.

Or. en

Amendment 1027
Dennis de Jong

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 52 b (new)

Amendment

52b. Notes that large companies currently have a disproportionately strong influence on European legislation; stresses that the influence of lobbyists should be transparent and that all interests, including those of for instance SMEs, the environment, trade unions and consumers, should be taken into equal account;

Or. nl

Amendment 1028
Ramon Tremosa i Balcells

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 52 b (new)
52b. Believes that a time of rising euroskepticism and anti-European populism, pro-European movements inside Member States are welcome, even if they challenge their national status-quo.

Amendment 1029
Kazimierz Michal Ujazdowski, Ulrike Trebesius

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 53

Motion for a resolution

53. Commits itself to playing a leading part in these important constitutional developments, and is determined to make its own proposals for Treaty amendment in a timely fashion;

Amendment

53. Requests the Council and the European Commission to hold an interinstitutional debate that will initiate a process for enhancing the Union within the applicable Treaty framework and evaluate the execution of tasks and competences in the context of Union legitimacy, efficiency and accountability;

Amendment 1030
Eleftherios Synadinos

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 53

Motion for a resolution

53. Commits itself to playing a leading part in these important constitutional developments, and is determined to make its own proposals for Treaty amendment in a timely fashion;

Amendment

53. Commits itself to playing a constitutional part in these important constitutional developments, and is determined to make its own proposals for Treaty amendment in a timely fashion;

Or. pl

Or. el
Amendment 1031
Pascal Durand
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 53 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

53a. Underlines that primary law of the EU should be strictly limited to institutional provisions and should not deal with the content of policies; therefore parts three and five TFEU should no longer be integrated into the Treaties but rather be governed by framework laws;

Or. en

Amendment 1032
Pascal Durand
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 53 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

53b. Insists that the future Convention should have the greatest possible democratic legitimacy by also involving social partners, civil society and other stakeholders; reach its decisions in plenum according to full democratic rules; have adequate time for serious and thorough deliberation; operate with full transparency and have all its meetings open to the public;

Or. en

Amendment 1033
Martina Anderson
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 54

54. Is of the opinion that the 60th anniversary of the Treaty of Rome would be an appropriate moment to modernise the European Union and to start a Convention with the purpose of making the European Union ready for the decades ahead;

Amendment

54. Is of the opinion that the 60th anniversary of the Treaty of Rome would be an appropriate moment to modernise the European Union and to start a Convention with the purpose of making the European Union fit for purpose, currently and for the decades ahead; also sees this as an incredibly important time for the EU to address the many short fallings and irresponsible decisions which have created a never before seen disunity, mistrust, and bullying within the Union sees this as an opportunity to a social and caring Europe; hopes that this will also lead to a rejection of neoliberalism which has insidiously crept into the whole European project as well as EU institutions; further hopes that this ‘modernisation’ of the European Union will lead to an end to austerity and the repression of European citizens and democratically elected governments in the Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 1034
Barbara Spinelli, Helmut Scholz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 54

54. Is of the opinion that the 60th anniversary of the Treaty of Rome would be an appropriate moment to modernise the European Union and to start a Convention with the purpose of making the European Union ready for the decades ahead;

Amendment

54. Is of the opinion that the 60th anniversary of the Treaty of Rome would be an appropriate moment to rebuild the European Union and to start a Convention in order to try to reconnect the European Union with its citizens and to draw a line under the current and persistent slide towards disaggregation, division and
Amendment 1035
Jo Leinen, Mercedes Bresso, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Pedro Silva Pereira

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 54

54. Is of the opinion that the 60th anniversary of the Treaty of Rome would be an appropriate moment to modernise the European Union and to start a Convention with the purpose of making the European Union ready for the decades ahead;

Or. en

Amendment 1036
Rainer Wieland

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 54

54. Is of the opinion that the 60th anniversary of the Treaty of Rome would be an appropriate moment to modernise the European Union and to start a Convention with the purpose of making the European Union ready for the decades ahead;

Or. de

Amendment 1037
David McAllister, Markus Pieper
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 54

54. Is of the opinion that the 60th anniversary of the Treaty of Rome would be an appropriate moment to modernise the European Union and to start a Convention with the purpose of making the European Union ready for the decades ahead;

Amendment

54. Is of the opinion that the 60th anniversary of the Treaty of Rome would be an appropriate moment to modernise the European Union with the purpose of making the European Union ready for the decades ahead;

Or. de

Amendment 1038
Paulo Rangel, Cristian Dan Preda, Constance Le Grip

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 54

54. Is of the opinion that the 60th anniversary of the Treaty of Rome would be an appropriate moment to modernise the European Union and to start a Convention with the purpose of making the European Union ready for the decades ahead;

Amendment

54. Is of the opinion that the upcoming 60th anniversary of the Treaty of Rome would be an appropriate moment to launch the reflection on to the future of Europe and agree on a vision for the current and future generations of European citizens;

Or. en

Amendment 1039
Luke Ming Flanagan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 54

54. Is of the opinion that the 60th anniversary of the Treaty of Rome would be an appropriate moment to modernise the European Union and to start a Convention with the purpose of making the European Union ready for the decades;

Amendment

54. Is of the opinion that the 60th anniversary of the Treaty of Rome would be an appropriate moment to return to that which was working, the EEC community-based treaty to which the likes of Ireland signed up four decades ago.