



EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

2009 - 2014

Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

2009/2105(INI)

18.11.2009

AMENDMENTS

1 - 159

Draft report
Giancarlo Scotta'
(PE430.362v01-00)

on Agricultural product quality policy: what strategy to follow?
(2009/2105(INI))

AM\796241EN.doc

PE430.687v01-00

EN

United in diversity

EN

AM_Com_NonLegReport

Amendment 1
Krisztina Morvai

Motion for a resolution
Recital A a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A a. Whereas support for small and medium-sized farms, whose production and consumption levels meet local requirements, sustains both traditional and empirical farming methods and ensure they are applied in accordance with the highest standards of quality and safety;

Or. en

Amendment 2
Krisztina Morvai

Motion for a resolution
Recital B a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

B a. Whereas it has been proven that small and medium-sized farms are more environmentally friendly since they produce less CO₂, due, amongst other things, to their considerably lesser transportation needs, and since such farms strengthen local identity, biodiversity and animal welfare in Europe;

Or. en

Amendment 3
George Becali

Motion for a resolution
Recital E a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ea. whereas existing policy concerning the distribution chain affects small producers' chances of reaching a wide target group;

Or. ro

Amendment 4
Rareş-Lucian Niculescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1. Welcomes the Commission's Communication and the incorporation therein of several of Parliament's recommendations, following the reflection process launched through the Green Paper on agricultural product quality; wishes to see the measures proposed by Parliament in this resolution implemented as soon as possible, so as to effectively put into practice the feedback received from farmers and producers during the consultation process;

1. Welcomes the Commission's Communication and the incorporation therein of several of Parliament's recommendations, following the reflection process launched through the Green Paper on agricultural product quality; wishes to see the measures proposed by Parliament in this resolution implemented as soon as possible, so as to effectively put into practice the feedback received from farmers and producers during the consultation process ***and with a view to assessing the desirability, necessity and proportionality of the proposed regulatory framework;***

Or. ro

Amendment 5
George Becali

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Welcomes the Commission's Communication and the incorporation therein of several of Parliament's recommendations, following the reflection process launched through the Green Paper on agricultural product quality; wishes to see the measures proposed by Parliament in this resolution implemented as soon as possible, so as to effectively put into practice the feedback received from farmers and producers during the consultation process;

Amendment

1. Welcomes the Commission's Communication and the incorporation therein of several of Parliament's recommendations, following the reflection process launched through the Green Paper on agricultural product quality; wishes to see the measures proposed by Parliament in this resolution implemented as soon as possible, so as to effectively put into practice the feedback received from farmers and producers during the consultation process ***while taking account of the effects of the economic crisis and the need not to generate additional costs or burdens for the producers;***

Or. ro

Amendment 6

Rareş-Lucian Niculescu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Emphasises that quality is a key issue for the entire food chain and an essential asset for ***European agrifood products***; considers that quality can constitute the grounds for important business advantages for European producers;

Amendment

2. Emphasises that quality is a key issue for the entire food chain and an essential asset for ***supporting the competitiveness of European agrifood producers***; considers that quality can constitute the grounds for important business advantages for European producers ***and can make an indirect contribution to rural development***;

Or. ro

Amendment 7

Stéphane Le Foll

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Considers that the EU quality policy can bring about increased competitiveness and added value to the economy of Europe's regions; that quality production is often the only chance for many rural areas with limited production alternatives; further considers quality as an engine for product diversity;

Amendment

3. Considers that the EU quality policy, ***based on farmers' know-how as regards production methods and sustainable development practices geared to each region***, can bring about increased competitiveness and added value to the economy of Europe's regions; that quality production is often the only chance for many rural areas with limited production alternatives; further considers quality as an engine for product diversity;

Or. fr

Amendment 8
Rareş-Lucian Niculescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Considers that the EU quality policy can bring about increased competitiveness and added value to the economy of Europe's regions; that quality production is often the only chance for many rural areas with limited production alternatives; further considers quality as an engine for product diversity;

Amendment

3. Considers that the EU quality policy can bring about increased competitiveness and added value to the economy of Europe's regions ***and that quality agricultural and agri-food*** production is often the only chance for many rural areas with limited production alternatives; further considers quality as an engine for product diversity ***and a means of developing workforce skills***;

Or. ro

Amendment 9
Iratxe García Pérez, Miguel Angel Martínez Martínez

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3a. Advocates greater monitoring and coordination between the Commission and the Member States so as to ensure that imported food products meet the EU's quality and food safety standards, as well as its environmental and social standards;

Or. es

Amendment 10
Czesław Adam Siekierski

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3a. Considers the protected designations of origin and geographical indications system to be one of the CAP instruments intended to support the development of rural areas, protect the cultural heritage of regions and foster the diversification of employment in rural areas;

Or. pl

Amendment 11
George Becali

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4. Is confident that quality policy can bring about important developments in European agriculture, being an area generating a high volume of agricultural products, in the case

4. Is confident that quality policy can bring about important developments in European agriculture ***but cannot be dissociated from the future of the CAP or the challenges of***

of geographical indications already exceeding EUR 14 billion;

climate change, being an area generating a high volume of agricultural products, in the case of geographical indications already exceeding EUR 14 billion;

Or. ro

Amendment 12

Iratxe García Pérez, Miguel Angel Martínez Martínez

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4a. Stresses that as things stand the private certification systems do not provide more information on the quality of the products concerned: rather, they are in many cases becoming a financial and administrative burden as regards farmers' access to the market;

Or. es

Amendment 13

Rareş-Lucian Niculescu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4a. Believes that European quality policy must be closely linked to the reform of the CAP after 2013; takes the view that in the context of that policy the EU needs to offer financial support with a view to obtaining agri-food production of high quality; believes that such support should take the form of developing, diversifying and stimulating the accessibility of the second pillar of the CAP, especially as regards the modernisation of farms and

the creation and development of microbusinesses in the countryside; stresses that financial support for product quality development can help produce a shift towards market orientation for semi-subsistence farmers; believes that producers' organisations need more support, especially so as not to disadvantage small producers;

Or. ro

Amendment 14
Stéphane Le Foll

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4a. Considers that, in an increasingly open market, it is essential that, in the WTO negotiations, the EU uphold the principle of quality products being protected and enjoying effective protection through the intellectual property safeguard arrangements;

Or. fr

Amendment 15
Czesław Adam Siekierski

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4a. Takes the view that the new EU quality policy should be more 'open' to products from the new Member States, which gained access to the system for registering geographical indications only a few years ago; believes that the

requirements to be met in order to register a given product should be transparent and understandable not just to applicants (producers) but also to consumers;

Or. pl

Amendment 16
Brian Simpson, Ulrike Rodust, Christel Schaldemose

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4 a. stresses the need for quality standards that ensure effective communication with consumers about the way that products have been produced and that offer incentives for improving these standards, thus contributing to wider EU policy objectives;

Or. en

Amendment 17
Paolo Bartolozzi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4a. Stresses that diversity should continue to be Europe's fundamental asset and that all quality products that meet the criteria laid down by the EU should be recognised and protected; considers that after 2013 the CAP should support the quality policy and the efforts of producers to promote more environment-friendly production methods in particular; points out that regions are the CAP's partners, and co-finance and manage rural development;

adds that, by their geographical proximity, regions are the partners of producers and, in particular, producers of traditional and organic products; takes the view that regions should be involved in the recognition and promotion of products with an indication, traditional products and organic products;

Or. fr

Amendment 18
Martin Häusling, José Bové

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Stresses the need for a formal recognition of the efforts made by European producers in meeting EU farming requirements with regard to quality, environmental, animal welfare and health standards;

Amendment

5. Stresses the need, ***given that diversity is Europe's fundamental asset***, for a formal recognition of the efforts made by European producers in meeting EU farming requirements with regard to quality, environmental, animal welfare and health standards; ***considers that after 2013 the CAP should support the quality policy and quality efforts, especially among producers, who have responsibility for using more environment-friendly production methods; calls for regions, in view of their proximity, to be given a more important role in the recognition and promotion of products with an indication of origin, traditional products and organic products;***

Or. fr

Amendment 19
Peter Jahr

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5a. Takes the view that an EU quality label should be introduced as standard in addition to existing geographical indications; points out that this is of great importance for the marketing of exports outside the EU;

Or. de

Amendment 20

Esther Herranz García, Pilar Ayuso, Gabriel Mato Adrover

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6. Calls in this respect on the Commission to conduct a study on the various options available for giving European producers the possibility of displaying on their products their commitment to quality, food safety and respect of all European standards of production, ***including through the option of*** a European Union quality logo, which ***should be made available only to agricultural goods resulting entirely from European production;***

6. Calls in this respect on the Commission to conduct a study on the various options available for giving European producers the possibility of displaying on their products their commitment to quality, food safety and respect of all European standards of production; ***also calls on the Commission to examine the viability of introducing*** a European Union quality logo, ***of a simple nature and not involving additional burdens, which would apply only to Community production, with the objective of raising the profile of producers' efforts in the field of quality and food safety;***

Or. es

Amendment 21

Krisztina Morvai

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. calls in this respect on the Commission to conduct a study on the various options available for giving **European** producers the possibility of displaying on their products their commitment to quality, food safety and respect of all European standards of production, including through the option of a European Union quality logo, which should be made available only to agricultural goods resulting entirely from European production;

Amendment

6. calls in this respect on the Commission to conduct a study on the various options available for giving **a diverse range of Member State** producers the possibility of displaying on their products their commitment to quality, food safety and respect of all European standards of production, including through the option of a European Union quality logo, **in addition to certificates, designations of origin and standards that already exist within the Member States, and** which should be made available only to agricultural goods resulting entirely from European production;

Or. en

Amendment 22

Iratxe García Pérez, Miguel Angel Martínez Martínez

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Calls in this respect on the Commission to conduct a study on the various options available for giving European producers the possibility of displaying on their products their commitment to quality, food safety and respect of all European standards of production, including through the option of a European Union quality logo, which should be made available **only** to agricultural goods resulting entirely from **European** production;

Amendment

6. Calls in this respect on the Commission to conduct a study on the various options available for giving European producers the possibility of displaying on their products their commitment to quality, food safety and respect of all European standards of production, including through the option of a European Union quality logo, which should be made available **exclusively** to agricultural goods resulting entirely from production **in the EU, and which, since it would certify compliance with the legislation subject to official controls, should in no circumstances involve any additional cost to operators or any financial or administrative burden on the Member States exercising the**

controls;

Or. es

Amendment 23
James Nicholson

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. calls in this respect on the Commission to conduct a study on the various options available for giving European producers the possibility of displaying on their products their commitment to quality, food safety and respect of all European standards of *production, including through the option of a European Union quality logo, which should be made available only to agricultural goods resulting entirely from European* production;

Amendment

6. calls in this respect on the Commission to conduct a study on the various options available for giving European producers the possibility of displaying on their products their commitment to quality, food safety and respect of all European standards of production;

Or. en

Amendment 24
George Lyon

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. calls in this respect on the Commission to conduct a study on the various options available for giving European producers the possibility of displaying on their products their commitment to quality, food safety and respect of all European standards of production, *including through the option of a European Union quality logo, which should be made available only*

Amendment

6. calls in this respect on the Commission to conduct a study on the various options available for giving European producers the possibility of displaying on their products their commitment to quality, food safety and respect of all European standards of production; *considers in this regard that a European Union quality logo would have no resonance with* European

*to agricultural goods resulting entirely
from European production;*

consumers;

Or. en

Amendment 25
Marit Paulsen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Calls in this respect on the Commission to conduct a study on the various options available for giving European producers the possibility of displaying on their products their commitment to quality, food safety and respect of all European standards of production, including through the option of a European Union quality logo, which should be made available only to agricultural goods resulting entirely from European production; .

Amendment

6. Calls in this respect on the Commission to conduct a study on the various options available for giving European producers the possibility of displaying on their products their commitment to quality, food safety and respect of all European standards of production, including through the option of a European Union quality logo, which should be made available only to agricultural goods resulting entirely from European production; ***such a study should start from the assumption that all producers, without exception, should be able to prove scientifically every assertion about the qualities of their products; furthermore, it should be possible to restrict labelling to the information most important to the consumer, provided that detailed additional information is made available on the producers' websites;***

Or. sv

Amendment 26
Brian Simpson, Ulrike Rodust, Christel Schaldemose

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. calls in this respect on the Commission to conduct a study on the various options available for giving European producers the possibility of displaying on their products their commitment to quality, food safety and respect of all European standards of *production, including through the option of a European Union quality logo, which should be made available only to agricultural goods resulting entirely from European* production;

Amendment

6. calls in this respect on the Commission to conduct a study on the various options available for giving European producers the possibility of displaying on their products their commitment to quality, food safety and respect of all European standards of production;

Or. en

Amendment 27

Alyn Smith

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. calls in this respect on the Commission to conduct a study on the various options available for giving European producers the possibility of displaying on their products their commitment to quality, food safety and respect of all European standards of *production, including through the option of a European Union quality logo, which should be made available only to agricultural goods resulting entirely from European* production;

Amendment

6. calls in this respect on the Commission to conduct a study on the various options available for giving European producers the possibility of displaying on their products their commitment to quality, food safety and respect of all European standards of production;

Or. en

Amendment 28

Martin Häusling, José Bové

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Considers that marketing standards still retain an important role in the production chain, and consequently they should be kept; they render transparent the changes on the market and allow purchasers to compare prices, sizes and quality of products and ensure a level playing-field in European competition;

Amendment

7. Considers that marketing standards still retain an important role in the production chain, and consequently they should be kept; they render transparent the changes on the market and allow purchasers to compare prices, sizes and quality of products and ensure a level playing-field in European competition; ***considers also that quality standards should include criteria specific to 'population' seeds and 'traditional, small-scale farming and on-site conservation' breeds forming part of our arable and animal biodiversity, which account for an increasing proportion of consumer demand;***

Or. fr

Amendment 29
Iratxe García Pérez, Miguel Angel Martínez Martínez

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Considers that marketing standards ***still retain*** an important role in the production chain, and consequently they should be kept; they render transparent the changes on the market and allow purchasers to compare prices, sizes and quality of products and ensure a level playing-field in European competition;

Amendment

7. Considers that ***sectoral*** marketing standards ***play*** an important role in the production chain, and consequently they should be kept; they render transparent the changes on the market and allow purchasers to compare prices, sizes and quality of products and ensure a level playing-field in European competition ***and a common language for operators;***

Or. es

Amendment 30
Rareș-Lucian Niculescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Considers that marketing standards ***still retain an important role in the production chain, and consequently they should be kept; they render transparent the changes on the market and*** allow purchasers to compare prices, sizes and quality of products and ensure a level playing-field in European competition;

Amendment

7. Considers that marketing standards allow purchasers to compare prices, sizes and quality of products and ensure a level playing-field in European competition; ***nonetheless, believes that the rules need to be simplified with a view to cutting red tape for producers and processors;***

Or. ro

Amendment 31
Esther Herranz García, Gabriel Mato Adrover, Pilar Ayuso

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. considers that marketing standards still retain an important role in the production chain, and consequently they should be kept; thy render transparent the changes on the market and allow purchasers to compare prices, sizes and quality of products and ensure a level playing-field in European competition;

Amendment

7. considers that ***specific*** marketing standards still retain an important role in the production chain, and consequently they should be kept; thy render transparent the changes on the market and allow purchasers to compare prices, sizes and quality of products and ensure a level playing-field in European competition;

Or. en

Amendment 32
George Lyon

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7 a. calls on the Commission to simplify EU marketing standards in order to lay down compulsory rules in a general basic marketing code and to address stakeholders' demands for clarification and simplification;

Or. en

Amendment 33

Iratxe García Pérez, Miguel Angel Martínez Martínez

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7a. Calls for the restoration of marketing rules for fruit and vegetables that can supply uniform standardisation parameters and objectives which, at all stages of the food chain, can strengthen the transparency of commercial operations and establish consumer-friendly quality criteria;

Or. es

Amendment 34

Esther Herranz García, Pilar Ayuso, Gabriel Mato Adrover

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7a. Regrets the near-total dismantling of the Community marketing rules in the fruit and vegetables sector; calls on the Member States and the Commission to launch a detailed debate with a view to

studying the impact of this measure in order to restore, if necessary, a solid Community framework which can boost the transparency of commercial operations and establish a common language for operators and consumer-friendly quality criteria;

Or. es

Amendment 35
Iratxe García Pérez, Miguel Angel Martínez Martínez

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8. Is in favour of allowing stakeholders to develop marketing standards on their own, within trade associations and organisations such as the CEN (European Committee on Standardisation); however these standards should only be supplementary and must not conflict with European rules;

Deleted

Or. es

Amendment 36
George Lyon

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8. is in favour of allowing stakeholders to develop marketing standards on their own, within trade associations and organisations such as the CEN (European Committee on Standardisation); however these standards should only be supplementary and must not conflict with

deleted

European rules;

Or. en

Amendment 37

Esther Herranz García, Pilar Ayuso, Gabriel Mato Adrover

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8. Is in favour of allowing stakeholders to develop marketing standards on their own, within trade associations and organisations such as the CEN (European Committee on Standardisation); however these standards should only be supplementary and must not conflict with European rules;

Deleted

Or. es

Amendment 38

Elisabeth Jeggle

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8. is in favour of allowing stakeholders to develop marketing standards on their own, within trade associations and organisations such as the CEN (European Committee on Standardisation); however these standards should only be supplementary and must not conflict with European rules;

deleted

Or. de

Amendment 39
Stéphane Le Foll

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Is in favour of allowing stakeholders to develop marketing standards on their own, within trade associations **and organisations such as the CEN (European Committee on Standardisation)**; however these standards should only be supplementary and must not conflict with European rules;

Amendment

8. Is in favour of allowing stakeholders to develop marketing standards on their own, within trade associations; however these standards should only be supplementary and must not conflict with European rules;

Or. fr

Amendment 40
Hans-Peter Mayer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. is in favour of allowing stakeholders to develop marketing standards on their own, within trade associations and organisations such as the CEN (European Committee on Standardisation); however these standards should only be supplementary and must not conflict with European rules;

Amendment

8. Is in favour of allowing stakeholders to develop marketing standards on their own, within trade associations and organisations such as the CEN (European Committee on Standardisation); however these standards should only be supplementary and must not conflict with European rules; ***takes the view that the industry's own marketing standards should be monitored exclusively by its own monitoring systems, including the application of penalty schemes;***

Or. de

Amendment 41
Martin Häusling, José Bové

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Is in favour of allowing stakeholders to develop marketing standards on their own, within trade associations and organisations such as the CEN (European Committee on Standardisation); however these standards should only be supplementary and must not conflict with European rules;

Amendment

8. Is in favour of allowing stakeholders to develop marketing standards on their own, within trade associations and organisations such as the CEN (European Committee on Standardisation); however these standards should only be supplementary; ***considers, however, that an agency-type structure should be set up in Member State regions to exercise oversight over associations or organisations, with a view to coordinating their proposals, which*** must not conflict with European rules, ***and to monitoring the application thereof;***

Or. fr

Amendment 42
Csaba Sándor Tabajdi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

8 a. is in support of optional labelling programmes in the case of GMO-exempt products, concerning the possible use of the optional reserved term "GMO-free"; considers that the Commission should establish clear guidelines regarding the use of the optional reserved term "GMO-free";

Or. en

Amendment 43
Georgios Papastamkos

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8a. Calls for an inventory of all private quality certification systems which European producers are required to implement in addition to the quality specifications already imposed under EU legislation; supports the establishment of a Community Legislative Framework of Basic Principles for the transparent implementation of the private certification systems in question.

Or. el

Amendment 44
Stéphane Le Foll

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8a. Supports the establishment of tools for the collective promotion and publicising of small traditional, local and artisanal products linked to specific areas and bearing a geographical name, for which PDO/PGI access procedures would be too cumbersome and costly;

Or. fr

Amendment 45
George Lyon

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. supports the introduction of additional optional reserved terms, especially in terms of the provision of a clear definition and usage of the terms "mountain products" and "low carbon"; further expresses support for the harmonisation at Community level of the term "mountain products", which is currently regulated in only a few Member States;

Amendment

9. supports the introduction of additional optional reserved terms **and the adoption of EU guidelines concerning their use**, especially in terms of the provision of a clear definition and usage of the terms "mountain products" and "low carbon"; further expresses support for the harmonisation at Community level of the term "mountain products", which is currently regulated in only a few Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 46
Esther De Lange

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. supports the introduction of additional optional reserved terms, especially in terms of the provision of a clear definition and usage of the terms 'mountain products' and 'low carbon'; further expresses support for the harmonisation at Community level of **the term** 'mountain products', which **is** currently regulated in only a few Member States;

Amendment

9. Supports the introduction of additional optional reserved terms, especially in terms of the provision of a clear definition and usage of the terms 'mountain products' and 'low carbon'; further expresses support for the harmonisation at Community level of **geographical indications such as** 'mountain products' **and terms concerning animal welfare**, which **are** currently regulated in only a few Member States;

Or. nl

Amendment 47
Spyros Danellis

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Supports the introduction of additional optional reserved terms, especially in terms of the provision of a clear definition and usage of the terms "mountain **products**" and "low carbon"; further expresses support for the harmonisation at Community level of the term "mountain **products**", which is currently regulated in only a few Member States;

Amendment

9. Supports the introduction of additional optional reserved terms, especially in terms of the provision of a clear definition and usage of the terms "mountain", "**island**" and "low carbon"; further expresses support for the harmonisation at Community level of the term "mountain", which is currently regulated in only a few Member States;

Or. el

Amendment 48

Esther Herranz García, Pilar Ayuso, Gabriel Mato Adrover

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9**

Motion for a resolution

9. supports the introduction of additional optional reserved terms, especially in terms of the provision of a clear definition and usage of the terms "mountain products" **and "low carbon"**; further expresses support for the harmonisation at Community level of the term "mountain products", which is currently regulated in only a few Member States;

Amendment

9. supports the introduction of additional optional reserved terms, especially in terms of the provision of a clear definition and usage of the terms "mountain products"; further expresses support for the harmonisation at Community level of the term "mountain products", which is currently regulated in only a few Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 49

Iratxe García Pérez, Miguel Angel Martínez Martínez

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9**

Motion for a resolution

9. Supports the introduction of additional

Amendment

9. Supports the introduction of additional

optional reserved terms, especially in terms of the provision of a clear definition and usage of the terms "mountain products" and "**low carbon**"; further expresses support for the harmonisation at Community level of the term "mountain products", which is currently regulated in only a few Member States;

optional reserved terms, especially in terms of the provision of a clear definition and usage of the terms "mountain products" and "**local products**"; further expresses support for the harmonisation at Community level of the term "mountain products", which is currently regulated in only a few Member States;

Or. es

Amendment 50
Elisabeth Jeggle

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. supports the introduction of additional optional reserved terms, especially in terms of the provision of a clear definition and usage of the **terms** 'mountain products' and '**low carbon**'; further expresses support for the harmonisation at Community level of the term 'mountain products', which is currently regulated in only a few Member States;

Amendment

9. Supports the introduction of additional optional reserved terms, especially in terms of the provision of a clear definition and usage of the **term** 'mountain products'; further expresses support for the harmonisation at Community level of the term 'mountain products', which is currently regulated in only a few Member States;

Or. de

Amendment 51
Csaba Sándor Tabajdi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 – subparagraph 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

, calls on the Commission, in view of the fact that measuring "carbon-footprint" is a very complex and complicated task, to conduct a study on the available scientific instruments which measure in an

informal way comparable levels of the carbon impact of products;

Or. en

Amendment 52
Csaba Sándor Tabajdi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 – subparagraph 1 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

calls on the Commission to conduct a study on further developing the "carbon-footprint" labelling towards a more comprehensive "ecological-footprint" measurement, since labels or terms which refer only to carbon levels neglect other key environmental aspects, such as the impact on water resources and biodiversity;

Or. en

Amendment 53
George Lyon

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10. is in support of providing the consumer with the **maximum** amount of information available; is in favour of the introduction of **comprehensive and** compulsory legislation for "place of farming"-labelling; considers that this **may** be done in a manner that takes into account the costs of such a Europe-wide operation, as well as the specificities of particular sectors, **such as that of processed agricultural goods;**

10. is in support of providing the consumer with the **relevant** amount of information available; is in favour of the introduction of compulsory legislation for "place of farming"-labelling **for primary products on a case-by-case basis;** considers that this **must** be done in a manner that takes into account the costs of such a Europe-wide operation, as well as the specificities of particular sectors;

Amendment 54
Esther De Lange

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. is in support of providing the consumer with the maximum amount of information available; *is in favour of the introduction of comprehensive and compulsory legislation for 'place of farming'-labelling; considers that this may be done in a manner that takes into account the costs of such a Europe-wide operation, as well as the specificities of particular sectors, such as that of processed agricultural goods;*

Amendment

10. Is in support of providing the consumer with the maximum amount of information available; *draws attention, in view of the limited space that a label affords, to the role that the new communications media such as websites can play in providing further information such as 'place of farming' labelling;*

Amendment 55
Csaba Sándor Tabajdi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. is in support of providing the consumer with the maximum amount of information available; is in favour of the introduction of comprehensive and compulsory legislation for "place of farming"-labelling; considers that this may be done in a manner that takes into account the costs of such a Europe-wide operation, as well as the specificities of particular sectors, such as that of processed agricultural goods;

Amendment

10. is in support of providing the consumer with the maximum amount of information available; is in favour of the introduction of comprehensive and compulsory legislation for "place of farming"-labelling; considers that this may be done in a manner that takes into account the costs of such a Europe-wide operation, as well as the specificities of particular sectors, such as that of processed agricultural goods; *calls on the Commission to provide a clear definition of main raw material / main*

ingredient of processed food products;

Or. en

Amendment 56

Peter Jahr

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. is in support of providing the consumer with the maximum amount of information available; is in favour of the introduction of comprehensive and compulsory legislation for 'place of farming'-labelling; considers that this may be done in a manner that takes into account the costs of such a Europe-wide operation, as well as the specificities of particular sectors, such as that of processed agricultural goods;

Amendment

10. is in favour of the introduction of comprehensive and compulsory legislation for *voluntary* 'place of farming' labelling; considers that this may be done in a manner that takes into account the costs of such a Europe-wide operation, as well as the specificities of particular sectors, such as that of processed agricultural goods;

Or. de

Amendment 57

Iratxe García Pérez, Miguel Angel Martínez Martínez

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Is in support of providing the consumer with *the maximum amount of information available*; is in favour of *the introduction of comprehensive and compulsory legislation* for "place of farming"-labelling; considers that this *may* be done in a manner that takes into account the costs of such a Europe-wide operation, *as well as the specificities of particular sectors, such as that of processed agricultural goods*;

Amendment

10. Is in support of providing the consumer with *a suitable amount of information*; is in favour of *examining the possibilities which could be offered by new Community rules* for "place of farming"-labelling *in certain agri-food sectors*; considers that this *should* be done in a manner that takes into account the costs of such a Europe-wide operation *while also examining viability on a sector-by-sector basis*;

Amendment 58
Béla Glattfelder

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Is in support of providing the consumer with the maximum amount of information available; is in favour of the introduction of comprehensive and compulsory legislation for "place of farming"-labelling; ***considers that this may be done in a manner that takes into account the costs of such a Europe-wide operation, as well as the specificities of particular sectors, such as that of processed agricultural goods;***

Amendment

10. Is in support of providing the consumer with the maximum amount of information available; is in favour of the introduction of comprehensive and compulsory legislation for "place of farming"-labelling ***in the case of goods originating outside the EU;***

Or. hu

Amendment 59
James Nicholson

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. is in support of providing the consumer with the ***maximum*** amount of information available; is in favour of the introduction of comprehensive and compulsory legislation for "place of farming"-labelling; considers that this may be done in a manner that takes into account the costs of such a Europe-wide operation, as well as the specificities of particular sectors, such as that of processed agricultural goods;

Amendment

10. is in support of providing the consumer with the ***appropriate*** amount of information available; is in favour of the introduction of comprehensive and compulsory legislation for "place of farming"-labelling; considers that this may be done in a manner that takes into account the costs of such a Europe-wide operation, as well as the specificities of particular sectors, such as that of processed agricultural goods;

Or. en

Amendment 60

Esther Herranz García, Pilar Ayuso, Gabriel Mato Adrover

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. is in support of providing the consumer with the **maximum** amount of information available; is in favour of **the introduction of comprehensive and compulsory legislation for "place of farming"-labelling; considers that this may be done in a manner that takes into account the costs of such a Europe-wide operation, as well as the specificities of particular sectors, such as that of processed agricultural goods;**

Amendment

10. is in support of providing the consumer with the **appropriate** amount of information available; is in favour of **a voluntary "place of farming"-labelling;**

Or. en

Amendment 61

Elisabeth Jeggle

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. is in support of providing the consumer with the maximum amount of information available; is in favour of the introduction of comprehensive and compulsory legislation for 'place of farming'-labelling; considers that this may be done in a manner that takes into account the costs of such a Europe-wide operation, as well as the specificities of particular sectors, such as that of processed agricultural goods;

Amendment

10. Is in support of providing the consumer with the maximum amount of information available; is in favour of the introduction of comprehensive and compulsory legislation for **optional** 'place of farming' labelling; considers that this may be done in a manner that takes into account the costs of such a Europe-wide operation, as well as the specificities of particular sectors, such as that of processed agricultural goods;

Or. de

Amendment 62
Giovanni La Via

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 – subparagraph 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

calls, with reference to the wine sector, for the obligatory provision of information concerning the grape variety and vintage of production for table wines to be made available through labelling;

Or. en

Amendment 63
Peter Jahr

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10a. Points out that supplementary and specific information is voluntary and that the total labelling content must not be overloaded; takes the view that the EU quality label should remain clearly recognisable as a priority;

Or. de

Amendment 64
Peter Jahr

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11a. Takes the view that there is an urgent need for indication of the EU quality label to be compulsory on exports outside the

EU;

Or. de

Amendment 65

Iratxe García Pérez, Miguel Angel Martínez Martínez

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12. Wishes to see legislation for "place of farming" labelling in place also for processed foods, taking account of the main raw materials used; Deleted

Or. es

Amendment 66

George Lyon

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12. wishes to see legislation for "place of farming" labelling in place also for processed foods, taking account of the main raw materials used; deleted

Or. en

Amendment 67

Esther Herranz García, Pilar Ayuso, Gabriel Mato Adrover

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12. wishes to see legislation for "place of farming" labelling in place also for processed foods, taking account of the main raw materials used;

deleted

Or. en

**Amendment 68
James Nicholson**

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12. wishes to see legislation for "place of farming" labelling in place also for processed foods, taking account of the main raw materials used;

deleted

Or. en

**Amendment 69
Marit Paulsen**

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12. Wishes to see legislation for 'place of farming' labelling in place also for processed foods, taking account of the main raw materials used.

12. Wishes to see legislation for 'place of farming' labelling in place also for processed foods, taking account of the main raw materials used, ***such as information on the quantity of wild-caught fish used in raising farmed fish;***

Or. sv

Amendment 70
Georgios Papastamkos

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. *Wishes to see legislation for* "place of farming" labelling *in place also for* processed foods, *taking account of the main raw materials used;*

Amendment

12. *Considers it necessary to introduce* "place of farming" labelling *taking account of the main raw materials used in* processed foods *where there is a risk of consumers being misled;*

Or. el

Amendment 71
Hans-Peter Mayer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. wishes to see legislation for 'place of farming' labelling in place also for processed foods, *taking account of the main raw materials used;*

Amendment

12. Wishes to see legislation for 'place of farming' labelling in place also for processed foods;

Or. de

Amendment 72
Elisabeth Jeggle

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. wishes to see legislation for 'place of farming' labelling in place also for processed foods, *taking account of the main raw materials used;*

Amendment

12. Wishes to see legislation for 'place of farming' labelling in place also for processed foods *in the case of fruit and vegetables;*

Or. de

Amendment 73
Stéphane Le Foll

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Wishes to see legislation for "place of farming" labelling in place also for processed foods, taking account of the main raw materials used;

Amendment

(Does not affect English version.)

Or. fr

Amendment 74
Béla Glattfelder

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12 a. Where the product is a homogenous mixture of products originating partly within, partly outside the EU (e.g. honey), the following rules should apply:

- the percentages of the individual products per place of origin should be shown, and listed in order of size;

- where the proportion of the product from within the EU is under 25%, no reference in any form should be made on the label to its EU origin;

Or. hu

Amendment 75
Rareş-Lucian Niculescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12a. Calls on the Commission to launch a reflection process on the possibility of introducing quality indicators related to the social conditions of production, e.g. producers' incomes and contractual relations between producers, processors and marketers;

Or. ro

Amendment 76
Stéphane Le Foll

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12a. Considers that products of mountain areas and GMO-free areas should be protected; calls on the Commission, therefore, to make every possible effort to ensure that such areas are appropriately protected;

Or. fr

Amendment 77
George Lyon

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13. believes that the effective costs of implementation should be analysed in a comprehensive Commission study on the matter, so that the new legislation does not

13. calls on the Commission to carry out a thorough technical and economic study to ensure that the new legislation does not impose excessive costs on the food

impose excessive costs on small and medium-sized producers; considers to that effect that several options should be considered in addition to labelling - such as barcodes or provision of information on websites;

processing industry, in particular on small and medium-sized producers; considers to that effect that several options should be considered in addition, ***and as possible alternatives***, to labelling - such as barcodes or *the* provision of information on websites; ***such study should cover the feasibility of introducing compulsory place of farming labelling for processed products which contain 'significant ingredients' (meaning an ingredient of a food product that represents more than 50% of the dry weight of that food product) or 'characterising ingredients' (meaning the ingredient of a food usually associated with the name of the food by consumers), as defined in the proposed EU Regulation on the provision of food information to consumers, Article 2;***

Or. en

Amendment 78

Esther Herranz García, Pilar Ayuso, Gabriel Mato Adrover

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. believes that the effective costs of implementation ***should be analysed in a comprehensive Commission study on the matter, so that the new legislation does not impose excessive costs on small and medium-sized producers; considers to that effect*** that ***several*** options should be considered in addition to labelling - such as barcodes or provision of information on websites;

Amendment

13. believes that the effective costs of ***mandatory*** implementation of ***"place of farming"*** labelling for Annex I products ***would be high; is in favour of voluntary place of farming labelling; is of the opinion*** that options ***other than labelling*** should be considered in addition to labelling - such as barcodes or provision of information on websites;

Or. en

Amendment 79
George Lyon

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13 a. calls on the Commission to maintain consistency in its proposals on agricultural product-quality policy in terms of the approach to country of origin labelling as regards its proposed regulation on the provision of food information to consumers;

Or. en

Amendment 80
George Becali

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13a. Considers that provided the food safety criteria are met, marketing standards should not deny market access to products on grounds of their appearance, shape or size;

Or. ro

Amendment 81
Michel Dantin, Herbert Dorfmann, Giovanni La Via, Astrid Lulling, Christophe Béchu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14a. Considers that the current EU rules on IG should be supplemented to ensure that the role played by organisations

designated or recognised by the Member States as responsible for managing, protecting and/or promoting intellectual property rights conferred by registration as a GI is fully recognised and enhanced;

Or. fr

Amendment 82
Esther Herranz García

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14 a. considers that the current EU regulations on geographical indications (GIs) should be amended, so that the role played by the organisations designated or recognised by the Member States for the purposes of managing, protecting and/or promoting the intellectual property right conferred by the GI registration, is fully recognised and strengthened;

Or. en

Amendment 83
George Becali

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

15. Further considers that the two instruments in place (the PDO - protected designation of origin and PGI - protected geographical indication) should also be kept in the future, given their high degree of recognition and success; holds that for the consumer, a clearer distinction between PDO and PGI needs to be made and that

15. Further considers that the two instruments in place (the PDO - protected designation of origin and PGI - protected geographical indication) should also be kept in the future, given their high degree of recognition and success; holds that for the consumer, a clearer distinction between PDO and PGI needs to be made and that

this can be achieved through an overall information and promotion effort;

this can be achieved through an overall information and promotion effort,
involving Community financial support in the context of both the international market and third countries, inter alia by increasing the percentage of Community cofinancing;

Or. ro

Amendment 84
Czesław Adam Siekierski

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

15a. Takes the view that there is no need to introduce further Community-wide foodstuff certification systems, as this would devalue the existing systems and confuse consumers;

Or. pl

Amendment 85
Martin Häusling, José Bové

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16. Emphasises that, on the basis of producers' experience, it has emerged that the management of the product quality through the PDOs and PGIs specifications, and the protection against usurpations are not sufficient for the further development of GI products; calls for an in-depth assessment to be carried out to identify suitable instruments for the management of the volume of production for PDO and PGI

16. Emphasises that, on the basis of producers' experience, it has emerged that the management of the product quality through the PDOs and PGIs specifications, and the protection against usurpations are not sufficient for the further development of GI products; calls for an in-depth assessment to be carried out to identify suitable instruments for the management of the volume of production for PDO and PGI

products;

products; *proposes enhancing the role of geographical indication owners' consortia¹ in order to define the specific criteria in Community legislation;*

¹*For example, 'consortium' in Italy, 'consejo regulador' in Spain and 'organisme de défense et de gestion' or 'détenteur d'IG' in France.*

Or. fr

Amendment 86

Michel Dantin, Herbert Dorfmann, Paolo De Castro, Astrid Lulling, Giovanni La Via, Albert Deß, Elisabeth Jeggle, Christophe Béchu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. **Emphasises** that, on the basis of producers' experience, it has emerged that the management of the product quality through the PDOs and PGIs specifications, and the protection against usurpations are not sufficient for the further development of GI products; calls for an in-depth assessment to be carried out to identify suitable instruments for the management of the volume of production for PDO and PGI products;

Amendment

16. **Considers** that, on the basis of producers' experience, it has emerged that the management of the product quality through the PDOs and PGIs specifications, and the protection against usurpations are not sufficient for the further development of GI products; **takes the view that EU legislation should be amended so as to enable Member States to authorise organisations which they designate or recognise as responsible** for the management, **protection and/or promotion of GIs to adapt the production potential to market demands, on the basis of fair and non-discriminatory rules;**

Or. fr

Amendment 87

Esther Herranz García

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. emphasises that, on the basis of producers' experience, it has emerged that the management of the product quality through the PDOs and PGIs specifications, and the protection against usurpations are not sufficient for the further development of GI products; calls for an in-depth assessment to be carried out to identify suitable instruments for the management of the volume of production for PDO and PGI products;

Amendment

16. emphasises that, on the basis of producers' experience, it has emerged that the management of the product quality through the PDOs and PGIs specifications, and the protection against usurpations are not sufficient for the further development of GI products; calls for an in-depth assessment to be carried out to identify suitable instruments for the management of the volume of production for PDO and PGI products; ***believes that current EU legislation should be modified so that Member States can allow the organisations designated or recognised by them with regard to the management, protection and/or promotion of the GI to adapt the production potential to the requirements of the market on the basis of fair and non-discriminatory principles.***

Or. en

Amendment 88
Paolo Bartolozzi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16a. Proposes enhancing the role of geographical indication owners' consortia with regard to both volume management and use of geographical indications in the goods produced; considers that consortia should be able to play a role in the coordination of economic operators with a view to bringing the quantities produced and placed on the market as closely into line as possible with the quantities which the market can absorb, and in promotion measures vis-à-vis farmers and consumers; considers that this would

more effectively guarantee the long-term viability of the different stages of production, processing and distribution, which is essential to the life of rural areas; adds that quantity control is one of the requirements of quality control; takes the view that the definition of the role of consortia should be included in Community legislation; considers that the practices and experiences identified in the various EU Member States could be recorded and used to define the rights and duties of consortia;

Or. fr

Amendment 89
James Nicholson

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. considers that no additional criteria should be added to the certification process for any of those instruments, but rather the aim should be simplification;

Amendment

17. considers that no additional criteria should be added to the certification process for any of those instruments, but rather the aim should be simplification; ***notes that the current procedures for registration of PDO and PGI's are complex and lengthy. Urges the Commission to find ways by which this process could be speeded up***

Or. en

Amendment 90
Spyros Danellis

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Considers that no additional criteria

Amendment

17. Considers that no additional criteria

should be added to the *certification* process for *any of those instruments*, but rather the aim should be simplification;

should be added to the process for *entry of designation in the Community PDO and PGI Register*, but rather the aim should be simplification;

Or. el

Amendment 91

Michel Dantin, Astrid Lulling, Giovanni La Via, Christophe Béchu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Considers that no additional criteria should be added to the *certification* process for any of those instruments, but rather the aim should be simplification;

Amendment

17. Considers that no additional criteria should be added to the *registration* process for any of those instruments, but rather the aim should be simplification;

Or. fr

Amendment 92

Rareş-Lucian Niculescu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Considers that no additional criteria should be added to the certification process for any of those instruments, but rather the aim should be simplification;

Amendment

17. Considers that no additional criteria should be added to the certification process for any of those instruments, but rather the aim should be simplification; *advocates simplifying the process for registering designations of origin and shortening the time required;*

Or. ro

Amendment 93

Georgios Papastamkos

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Considers that no additional criteria should be added to the **certification** process for **any of those instruments**, but rather the aim should be simplification;

Amendment

17. Considers that no additional criteria should be added to the process for **entry of designation in the Community PDO and PGI Register**, but rather the aim should be simplification; **supports, with regard to PDO or PGI registration, the Commission proposal to extend certification requirements to various undertakings in the supply chain, as is already the case for organic products;**

Or. el

Amendment 94
Esther Herranz García, Pilar Ayuso, Gabriel Mato Adrover

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. considers that no additional criteria should be added to the **certification** process for any of those instruments, but rather the aim should be simplification;

Amendment

17. considers that no additional criteria should be added to the **registration** process for any of those instruments, but rather the aim should be simplification;

Or. en

Amendment 95
Spyros Danellis

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17a. Considers that, where appropriate, certification requirements should be extended to various undertakings in the

supply chain (e.g. import and distribution), as is already the case for organic products;

Or. el

Amendment 96
Astrid Lulling

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17 a. believes that the actual EU system for the protection of GI products should be maintained and that a protection at the EU level should be accorded to all GIs. New parallel national or regional system of protection should not be established;

Or. en

Amendment 97
Michel Dantin, Giovanni La Via

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17a. Considers that the current European IG protection system should be maintained and that all GIs should be afforded EU protection; takes the view that no parallel protection system should be established at national or regional level;

Or. fr

Amendment 98

Esther Herranz García, Pilar Ayuso, Gabriel Mato Adrover

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17 a. believes that the actual EU system for the protection of GI products should be maintained and that protection at EU level should be accorded to all GIs. Parallel national or regional systems of recognition should not be established as they could lead to different levels of protection;

Or. en

Amendment 99

Czesław Adam Siekierski

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17a. Stresses the need to streamline the processing of applications for registration, but does not support the idea of shortening the scrutiny process by arbitrarily rejecting applications which the Commission deems incomplete at an early stage; deplores the fact that, in many cases, the Commission's initial views are formed too hastily or do not fit the case in hand, owing to an imperfect understanding of the specific characteristics of the product or the local market;

Or. pl

Amendment 100
George Lyon

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. calls *for* the **mandatory provision of information through** labelling (and all other means available) **with regard to the "place of farming" of raw materials, where this is different from the place indicated by the geographical indication and to the name of the producer when the product is** marketed under the private trade name of a retailer;

Amendment

18. calls *on* the **Commission to conduct a study with regard to the appropriate** information (labelling and all other means available) **required for PDO/PGI products** marketed under the private trade name of a retailer;

Or. en

Amendment 101
Spyros Danellis

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Calls for the mandatory provision of information through labelling (and all other means available) with regard to the "place of **farming**" of raw materials, where this is different from the place indicated by the geographical indication and **to the name of the producer when the product is marketed under the private trade name of a retailer**;

Amendment

18. Calls for the mandatory provision of information through labelling (and all other means available) with regard to the "place of **production**" of raw materials, where this is different from the place indicated by the geographical indication and **where there is a risk of consumers being misled**;

Or. el

Amendment 102
Iratxe García Pérez, Miguel Angel Martínez Martínez

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Calls for ***the mandatory provision of*** information through labelling (and all other means available) with regard to the "place of farming" of raw materials, where this is different from the place indicated by the geographical indication and to the name of the producer when the product is marketed under the private trade name of a retailer;

Amendment

18. Calls for ***it to be permitted to provide*** information through labelling (and all other means available) with regard to the "place of farming" of raw materials, where this is different from the place indicated by the geographical indication and to the name of the producer when the product is marketed under the private trade name of a retailer;

Or. es

Amendment 103
Georgios Papastamkos

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Calls for the mandatory provision of information through labelling (and all other means available) with regard to the "place of farming" of raw materials, where this is different from the place indicated by the geographical indication and ***to*** the name of the producer when the product is marketed under the private trade name of a retailer;

Amendment

18. Calls for the mandatory provision of information through labelling (and all other means available) with regard to ***(a)*** the "place of farming" of raw materials, where this is different from the place indicated by the geographical indication ***in cases where there is a risk of consumers being misled,*** and ***(b)*** the name of the producer when the product is marketed under the private trade name of a retailer;

Or. el

Amendment 104
Hans-Peter Mayer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. calls for ***the mandatory provision of information through labelling (and all other means available) with regard to the ‘place of farming’ of raw materials, where this is different from the place indicated by the geographical indication and to the name of the producer when the product is marketed under the private trade name of a retailer;***

Amendment

18. Calls for ***indication*** of the name of the producer when the product is marketed under the private trade name of a retailer;

Or. de

Amendment 105
Elisabeth Jeggle

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. calls for the mandatory provision of information through labelling (and all other means available) with regard to the ‘place of farming’ of raw materials, where ***this is different from the place indicated by the geographical indication and to the name of the producer when the product is marketed under the private trade name of a retailer;***

Amendment

18. Calls for the mandatory provision of information through labelling (and all other means available) with regard to the ‘place of farming’ of raw materials, where ***as a result of the option of making positive claims the risk of error as to the origin of the raw materials cannot be ruled out (for instance, protected geographical indication);***

Or. de

Amendment 106
Esther Herranz García, Pilar Ayuso, Gabriel Mato Adrover

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. calls ***for the mandatory provision of***

Amendment

18. calls ***on the Commission to conduct a***

information *through* labelling (and all other means available) *with regard to the "place of farming" of raw materials, where this is different from the place indicated by the geographical indication and to the name of the producer when the product is* marketed under the private trade name of a retailer;

study with regard to the appropriate information (labelling and all other means available) *required for PDO/PGI products* marketed under the private trade name of a retailer;

Or. en

Amendment 107
Spyros Danellis

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

18a. Calls for the compulsory PDO and PGI registration of the producer's name where the product is marketed under the private trade name of a retailer;

Or. el

Amendment 108
Esther Herranz García, Pilar Ayuso, Gabriel Mato Adrover

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

18 a. considering the importance of the EU market for GI producers, the European Commission and the Member States should provide more financial resources for promotional campaigns within the single market whilst continuing to increase the budget for promotional campaigns in third countries;

Or. en

Amendment 109

Michel Dantin, Giovanni La Via, Astrid Lulling, Paolo De Castro, Christophe Béchu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 18 a(new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

18a. Considers that the Commission should establish clear guidelines regarding the use of the names of IG products used as ingredients on the labels of processed products, so as to avoid consumers being misled;

Or. fr

Amendment 110

Michel Dantin, Astrid Lulling, Christophe Béchu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 18 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

18b. Considers that, where a product protected by a GI is used as an ingredient, the body responsible for the GI or the competent authority should be able to lay down rules governing the use of its name in the sales names of processed products, and should be authorised to carry out specific checks to verify that the GI product's characteristics, image and reputation have not been adulterated;

Or. fr

Amendment 111

Michel Dantin, Astrid Lulling, Herbert Dorfmann, Christophe Béchu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 18 c (new)

PE430.687v01-00

54/78

AM\796241EN.doc

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

18c. Endorses the establishment of Community rules to enable GI management bodies to lay down packaging rules for their products in order to ensure that their high quality is in no way diminished;

Or. fr

Amendment 112

Michel Dantin, Herbert Dorfmann, Paolo De Castro, Astrid Lulling, Albert Deß, Elisabeth Jeggle, Christophe Béchu

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18 d (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

18d. Considers that, in view of the importance of the European market to GI producers, the Commission and the Member States should provide additional financial resources for promotion programmes within the internal market, while continuing to increase the budget for promotion campaigns in third countries;

Or. fr

Amendment 113

Michel Dantin, Astrid Lulling, Giovanni La Via, Albert Deß, Elisabeth Jeggle, Christophe Béchu

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

19. Is against the idea that geographical indications can be replaced by trademarks,

19. Is against the idea that geographical indications can be replaced by trademarks,

as these are fundamentally different legal instruments;

as these are fundamentally different legal instruments; *stresses that the differences between trademarks and geographical indications need to be better explained; calls for effective implementation of existing Community rules making it impossible for a trademark containing or referring to PDOs/PGIs to be registered by operators who do not represent the producers' organisations for such PDOs/PGIs;*

Or. fr

Amendment 114
Esther Herranz García

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. is against the idea that geographical indications can be replaced by trademarks, as these are fundamentally different legal instruments;

Amendment

19. is against the idea that geographical indications can be replaced by trademarks, as these are fundamentally different legal instruments; *insists on the necessity to further explain the differences that exist between trademarks and GIs; calls for the effective implementation of existing Community rules concerning the impossibility of registering a trademark including or referring to a PDO/PGI by operators that do not represent the organisation in charge of that same PDO/PGI;*

Or. en

Amendment 115
Iratxe García Pérez, Miguel Angel Martínez Martínez

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20

Motion for a resolution

20. Further demands thorough ex-officio protection of geographical indications, as an obligation for authorities in all Member States; wishes to see this specifically addressed through a revision of Regulation (EC) 510/2006 of 20 March 2006 on the protection of geographical indications and designations of origin for agricultural products and foodstuffs¹ and through a better definition of the control procedures applicable;

¹ OJ L 93, 31.3.2006, p. 12.

Amendment

20. Further demands thorough ex-officio protection of geographical indications, as an obligation for authorities in all Member States; wishes to see this specifically addressed through a revision of Regulation (EC) 510/2006 of 20 March 2006 on the protection of geographical indications and designations of origin for agricultural products and foodstuffs² and through a better definition of the control procedures applicable ***at all stages, both 'before' and 'after', of the marketing of products;***

¹ OJ L 93, 31.3.2006, p. 12.

Or. es

Amendment 116
George Lyon

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. considers that the instrument of Traditional Specialties Guaranteed (TSG) must be kept, whilst the corresponding rules for registration need further simplification; recalls that TSG is a relatively recent instrument, which explains its slow development; considers that this instrument should be better communicated to producers and left to

¹ OJ L 93, 31.3.2006, p. 12.

² OJ L 93, 31.3.2006, p. 12.

Amendment

21. considers that the instrument of Traditional Specialties Guaranteed (TSG) must be kept, whilst the corresponding rules for registration need further simplification; ***calls, in this respect, on the Commission to review the TSG instrument, study the possibilities to shorten the time of the application procedure, and explore possibilities for***

become a familiar tool for quality promotion in Europe;

offering better product protection under this scheme, as well as any other means which may render this particular scheme more attractive to producers; recalls that TSG is a relatively recent instrument, which explains its slow development; considers that this instrument should be better communicated to producers and left to become a familiar tool for quality promotion in Europe;

Or. en

Amendment 117
Marit Paulsen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 a. Considers that, in order to prevent the disappearance of knowledge about traditional food and how it has been prepared for generations, the Commission should consider creating a European knowledge bank for old recipes and historical food preparation methods;

Or. sv

Amendment 118
Czesław Adam Siekierski

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21a. Takes the view that no new means of promoting traditional products should be introduced, as this could devalue the Traditional Specialties Guaranteed system;

Amendment 119

Esther Herranz García, Pilar Ayuso, Gabriel Mato Adrover

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21 a. Recalls that some GIs are systematically counterfeited in third countries; this undermines the reputation and image of the GI product and misleads consumers; emphasises that securing protection of a GI in a third country is a long and difficult procedure for producers, since each third country may have developed its own specific protection system; invites the European Commission to support technically and financially the organisations in charge of GIs in order to facilitate the resolution of usurpation problems;

Or. en

Amendment 120

Michel Dantin, Giovanni La Via, Astrid Lulling, Albert Deß, Elisabeth Jeggle

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 22

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

22. Calls for greater protection of geographical indications ***in third countries, through inclusion in international registries and international recognition within the WTO system;*** supports the Commission's aim to include geographical indications within the scope of the "Anti-counterfeiting trade agreement" and in the work of the future "European observatory

22. Calls for greater protection of geographical indications

on counterfeiting and piracy";

- in the WTO, both by extending protection under Article 23 of the TRIPS agreement to all GIs and by establishing a legally binding multilateral register for GIs;

- in third countries, by negotiating bilateral agreements, in particular with economically significant countries;

supports the Commission's aim to include geographical indications within the scope of the "Anti-counterfeiting trade agreement" and in the work of the future "European observatory on counterfeiting and piracy";

considers that the Commission should work more closely with bodies representing GI producers prior to the launch of trade negotiations and during the negotiating process;

Or. fr

Amendment 121
Georgios Papastamkos

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 22

Motion for a resolution

22. Calls for greater protection of geographical indications in third countries, through inclusion in international registries and international recognition within the WTO system; supports the Commission's aim to include geographical indications within the scope of the "Anti-counterfeiting trade agreement" and in the work of the future "European observatory on counterfeiting and piracy";

Amendment

22. Calls for greater protection of geographical indications in third countries, through inclusion in international registries and international recognition within the WTO system; *considers genuine progress regarding geographical indications to be an essential precondition for balanced agreement in the context of WTO agricultural negotiations;* supports the Commission's aim to include geographical indications within the scope of the "Anti-counterfeiting trade agreement" and in the work of the future "European observatory

on counterfeiting and piracy";

Or. el

Amendment 122
Rareş-Lucian Niculescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 22

Motion for a resolution

22. Calls for greater protection of geographical indications in third countries, through inclusion in international registries and international recognition within the WTO system; supports the Commission's aim to include geographical indications within the scope of the "Anti-counterfeiting trade agreement" and in the work of the future "European observatory on counterfeiting and piracy";

Amendment

22. Calls for greater protection of geographical indications in third countries, through inclusion in international registries and international recognition within the WTO system **and under bilateral agreements with trade partners**; supports the Commission's aim to include geographical indications within the scope of the "Anti-counterfeiting trade agreement" and in the work of the future "European observatory on counterfeiting and piracy";

Or. ro

Amendment 123
Esther Herranz García, Pilar Ayuso, Gabriel Mato Adrover

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 22

Motion for a resolution

22. calls for greater protection of geographical indications **in third countries**, through **inclusion in international registries** and **international recognition within the WTO system**; supports the **Commission's** aim to include geographical indications **within** the scope of the "Anti-counterfeiting trade agreement" and in the work of the future "European observatory

Amendment

22. calls for greater protection of geographical indications **at the WTO** through **both the extension of Article 23 TRIPs protection to all GI products** and the **establishment of a legally binding multilateral register for GIs**; supports the aim **of the Commission** to include geographical indications **in** the scope of the "Anti-counterfeiting trade agreement" and

on counterfeiting and piracy";

in the work of the future "European observatory on counterfeiting and piracy"; *considers that the European Commission should work more closely with GI producers' representatives before launching trade negotiations and throughout the negotiation process;*

Or. en

Amendment 124

Michel Dantin, Herbert Dorfmann, Astrid Lulling, Albert Deß, Elisabeth Jeggle, Christophe Béchu

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 22 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

22a. Points out that certain GIs are being systematically usurped on the territory of third countries and that this is misleading consumers and undermining the reputation of authentic products; points out that ensuring the protection of a GI in a third country is a particularly time-consuming and difficult process for producers, given that specific protection arrangements and procedures exist in each country; calls on the Commission to provide GI bodies with technical and financial support to resolve these usurpation problems;

Or. fr

Amendment 125

Esther Herranz García, Pilar Ayuso, Gabriel Mato Adrover

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 22 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

22 a. believes that it is essential to intensify information and promotional campaigns regarding the sui generis protection of GIs; calls on the Commission to pursue the promotion of the GI concept in third countries by increasing technical assistance programmes in close collaboration with European GI producers and/or their representative organisations;

Or. en

Amendment 126

Michel Dantin, Paolo De Castro, Astrid Lulling, Albert Deß, Elisabeth Jeggle, Christophe Béchu

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 22 b(new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

22b. Believes that it is essential to intensify information and promotion campaigns regarding the sui generis protection of GIs; calls on the Commission to continue to promote the GI concept with third countries, particularly by increasing technical assistance missions in conjunction with European GI producers and/or their representative organisations;

Or. fr

Amendment 127

Esther Herranz García, Pilar Ayuso, Gabriel Mato Adrover, Giovanni La Via

**Motion for a resolution
Subheading 3a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Integrated production

Or. es

Amendment 128

Esther Herranz García, Pilar Ayuso, Gabriel Mato Adrover, Giovanni La Via

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 22a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

22a. Believes it is necessary to promote production systems that are environment-friendly and based on a rationalisation of inputs, as is the case with 'integrated production'; stresses that introducing legislation at European level on integrated production would raise the profile of the efforts being made by the EU's farmers and stockbreeders in the areas of food safety, the environment and animal welfare vis-à-vis third-country imports; believes that there should simultaneously be a promotion and marketing campaign for European integrated production;

Or. es

Amendment 129

Iratxe García Pérez, Miguel Angel Martínez Martínez

Motion for a resolution

Subheading 4

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Organic farming

Organic farming ***and integrated production***

Amendment 130

Iratxe García Pérez, Miguel Angel Martínez Martínez

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 23

Motion for a resolution

23. Reaffirms its belief that organic farming *offers* European farmers a major growth opportunity; supports recent efforts to develop a new EU organic logo, applicable to all EU farmed products;

Amendment

23. Reaffirms its belief that organic farming *and integrated production offer* European farmers a major growth opportunity; supports recent efforts to develop a new EU organic logo, applicable to all EU farmed products;

Amendment 131

Daciana Octavia Sârbu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 23

Motion for a resolution

23. reaffirms its belief that organic farming offers European farmers a major growth opportunity; supports recent efforts to develop a new EU organic logo, applicable to all EU farmed products;

Amendment

23. reaffirms its belief that organic farming offers *health benefits to consumers as well as a guarantee that the production process involved avoids environmental damage associated with the use of fertilisers and also offers* European farmers a major growth opportunity; supports recent efforts to develop a new EU organic logo, applicable to all EU farmed products;

Amendment 132
Marit Paulsen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 23

Motion for a resolution

23. Reaffirms its belief that organic farming offers European farmers a major growth opportunity; supports recent efforts to develop a new EU organic logo, applicable to all EU farmed products.

Amendment

23. Reaffirms its belief that organic farming offers European farmers a major growth opportunity, ***even though it is not in itself the solution to the issue of future global food supplies***; supports recent efforts to develop a new EU organic logo, applicable to all EU farmed products.

Or. sv

Amendment 133
Vasilica Viorica Dăncilă

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 23a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

23a. Stresses that organic farming is a priority for the future of agriculture in the EU; in this connection, is concerned at the gaps existing between Member States, and advocates creating special funds for the Member States which joined the Union in the last two waves with a view to encouraging organic farming;

Or. ro

Amendment 134
Iratxe García Pérez, Miguel Angel Martínez Martínez

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

24. Takes the view that **greater standardisation is needed in the typology of control and certification bodies and procedures for organic products, so that consumers are provided with an assurance of safety and reliability in the form of the new EU logo, guaranteeing comparable production, control and certification criteria at Community level and helping to resolve problems and further promote the internal market,**

Amendment

24. Takes the view that **there should be a genuine single market in organic products, towards which goal the introduction of a compulsory Community logo represents a major contribution; in this connection, expresses its support for the framework established in Council Regulation (EC) No 834/2007 of 28 June 2007 on organic production and labelling of organic products and repealing Regulation (EEC) No 2092/91¹, and hopes that, even though it has recently come into force, it will fulfil all of its legislative potential as soon as possible;**

¹ OJ L 189, 20.7.2007, p. 1.

Or. es

Amendment 135
Daciana Octavia Sârbu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

24. takes the view that greater standardisation is needed in the typology of control and certification bodies and procedures for organic products, so that consumers are provided with an assurance of safety and reliability in the form of the new EU logo, guaranteeing comparable production, control and certification criteria at Community level and helping to resolve problems and further promote the internal market;

Amendment

24. takes the view that greater standardisation is needed in the typology of control and certification bodies and procedures for organic products, so that consumers are provided with an assurance of safety and reliability in the form of the new EU logo, guaranteeing comparable production, control and certification criteria at Community level and helping to resolve problems and further promote the internal market, **and in this regard, calls for an improved harmonisation of inspection systems between Member States;**

Amendment 136
Vasilica Viorica Dăncilă

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

24a. Stresses that both the Member States and the EU have the duty to promote quality products and their protection at international level; believes, in this connection, that that more stringent controls are needed on organic products from third countries, in the interests of fair competition between EU and third-country organic products;

Or. ro

Amendment 137
George Becali

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

24a. Stresses that Council Regulation (EC) No 834/2007 on organic production and labelling of organic products, while it lays down uniform standards, generates costs as a result of the different certification procedures existing in the Member States;

Or. ro

Amendment 138
Krisztina Morvai

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

24 a. requests that the simplification of standards and the new European Union quality logo do not lower the anti-GMO alert level in the Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 139
Martin Häusling, José Bové

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

25. Considers that the appearance of non-organic products labelled in such a way as to suggest that they are products of organic farming harms the development of a single EU market in organic products; in this connection, expresses concern at attempts to extend the scope of the **organic label** to food products not produced in accordance with organic farming principles;

25. Considers that the appearance of non-organic products labelled in such a way as to suggest that they are products of organic farming harms the development of a single EU market in organic products; in this connection, expresses concern at attempts to extend the scope of the **Community eco-label (pursuant to Regulation (EC) No 1980/2000 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 July 2000 on a revised Community eco-label award scheme¹)** to food products not produced in accordance with organic farming principles;

¹ OJ L 237, 21.9.2000, p. 1.

Or. fr

Amendment 140
Rareş-Lucian Niculescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25

Motion for a resolution

25. Considers that the appearance of non-organic products labelled in such a way as to suggest that they are products of organic farming harms the development of a single EU market in organic products; in this connection, expresses concern at attempts to extend the scope of the organic label to food products not produced in accordance with organic farming principles;

Amendment

25. Considers that the appearance of non-organic products labelled in such a way as to suggest that they are products of organic farming harms the development of a single EU market in organic products; in this connection, expresses concern at attempts to extend the scope of the organic label to food products not produced in accordance with organic farming principles; ***calls on the Commission and the Member States to draw up a harmonisation plan and a control system in the context of means of implementing the eco-labelling of food products;***

Or. ro

Amendment 141
Czesław Adam Sikiński

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25 a

Motion for a resolution

25. considers that the appearance of non-organic products labelled in such a way as to suggest that they are products of organic farming ***harms*** the development of a single EU market in organic products; in this connection, expresses concern at ***attempts*** to extend the scope of the ***organic label*** to food products not produced in accordance with organic farming principles;

Amendment

25a. Considers that the appearance of non-organic products labelled in such a way as to suggest that they are products of organic farming ***may hamper*** the development of a single EU market in organic products; in this connection, expresses concern at ***the attempt*** to extend the scope of the ***ecolabel*** to ***cover*** food products not produced in accordance with organic farming principles;

Or. pl

Amendment 142
Michel Dantin

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

25a. Is concerned at the growing number of private organic labels in non-food products, a rapidly expanding sector which is not covered by Council Regulation (EC) No 834/2007 of 28 June 2007 on organic production and labelling of organic products and repealing Regulation (EEC) No 2092/91¹; calls on the Commission to assess whether the provisions should be extended to cover this sector;

¹ OJ L 189, 20.7.2007, p. 1.

Or. fr

**Amendment 143
Stéphane Le Foll**

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

25a. Is concerned at the fact that private organic labels are developing for non-food products, outside the scope of any legislation, and considers that this fast-expanding sector would require an extension of Community legislation;

Or. fr

**Amendment 144
Esther De Lange**

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26 – indent -1 (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– ensure that organic products from third countries fulfil the same requirements as organic products from the European Union and that monitoring for this is stepped up;

Or. nl

Amendment 145

Martin Häusling, José Bové

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 26 – indent 1

Motion for a resolution

- register the country of origin in the case of fresh and processed organic products imported from third countries, independently of whether the Community organic product logo is used,

Amendment

- register ***and indicate*** the country of origin in the case of fresh and processed organic products imported from third countries, independently of whether the Community organic product logo is used,

Or. fr

Amendment 146

Martin Häusling, José Bové

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 26 – indent 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

- harmonise the requirements for the labelling of origin on organic products in Regulation (EC) 834/2007 with EU rules on geographic indications and mandatory labelling of origin for certain products,

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 147

Lena Ek

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 27 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

27 a. Calls on the Commission to outline how it proposes to promote the local trading of environmentally friendly agricultural products;

Or. sv

Amendment 148

Iratxe García Pérez, Miguel Angel Martínez Martínez

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 27a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

27a. Supports promoting systems for producing quality food products using sustainable criteria, as in the case of integrated production; calls for the Community regulation of this area, with a view to unifying the criteria existing in the different Member States, to be backed up by a suitable promotion campaign informing the consumer of the main features of integrated production in Europe;

Or. es

Amendment 149

Esther Herranz García, Pilar Ayuso, Gabriel Mato Adrover

Motion for a resolution

Subheading 4a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Private certification systems

Or. es

Amendment 150

Esther Herranz García, Pilar Ayuso, Gabriel Mato Adrover

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 27a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

27a. Supports the Commission's initiative of drawing up guidelines for best practice for the operation of all systems related to agricultural product quality; these guidelines should be met by the operators and should include a set of concepts aimed at helping the productive sector develop the value added of its products, encouraging the mutual recognition of certification systems and the participation of the productive sector in drawing up those systems, and promoting, through producers' associations, the simplification of the administrative burden of certification with a view to reducing farmers' costs as much as possible;

Or. es

Amendment 151

Iratxe García Pérez, Miguel Angel Martínez Martínez

Motion for a resolution

Subheading 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Private certification regimes

Amendment 152

Iratxe García Pérez, Miguel Angel Martínez Martínez

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 27a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

27a. Supports the drawing-up of European guidelines for best practice for the operation of the private certification systems concerned with agricultural product quality; these guidelines should be met by all operators and should include a set of concepts aimed at helping the productive sector develop the value added of its products, encouraging the mutual recognition of certification systems and the participation of producers in drawing up those systems, and applying those systems through producers' associations with a view to reducing the costs of certification and implementation;

Amendment 153

Czesław Adam Siekierski

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 28

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

28. supports European action to communicate, as extensively as possible, the benefits of the EU's policies for food quality and safety; recommends that the Commission and Member States step up their information and promotion efforts regarding quality and food safety standards for Community products;

28. supports European action to communicate, as extensively ***and as effectively*** as possible, the benefits of the EU's policies for food quality and safety; recommends that the Commission and Member States step up their information and promotion efforts regarding quality and food safety standards for Community

products;

Or. pl

Amendment 154
Rareş-Lucian Niculescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 28a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

28a. Stresses that information policy should be targeted not only on consumers but also on producers, since the behaviour of the latter is closely linked to their knowledge of the market and of consumers' appreciation of their products' quality;

Or. ro

Amendment 155
Krisztina Morvai

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 28 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

28 a. requests that the simplification of standards and the enhancement of the credibility of the EU quality logo complement already-existing certificates, designations of local, regional or national origin in the Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 156
Giovanni La Via

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 28 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

28 a. These actions could be carried on GIs and community trademarks by public and /or private (individual or associations);

Or. en

Amendment 157
Daciana Octavia Sârbu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 29

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

29. Emphasises the potential role of EU funding in this area, especially by means available under the EAFRD (European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development); nonetheless stresses that credit requirements have now become tighter for small producers in the wake of the world financial crisis, and that this drastically limits their access to cofinancing, as provided for under rural development programmes;

29. Emphasises the potential role of EU funding in this area, especially by means available under the EAFRD (European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development); nonetheless stresses that credit requirements have now become tighter for small producers in the wake of the world financial crisis, and that this drastically limits their access to cofinancing, as provided for under rural development programmes; ***suggests, in this connection, that the Commission consider harmonising the system of agricultural credits at EU level;***

Or. fr

Amendment 158
Rareş-Lucian Niculescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 29 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

29a. Favours encouraging agricultural markets that are directly managed by the farmers as points of sale for seasonal local products, since this is a means of ensuring a fair price for quality products, while also consolidating the link between the product and its locality of origin and encouraging consumers to make informed choices based on quality; believes the Member States should encourage the creation of marketing units where producers can directly introduce consumers to their products;

Or. ro

Amendment 159
Rareş-Lucian Niculescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 29b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

29b. Calls for the establishment of programmes for the promotion of sales on the local market, with a view to supporting local and regional processing and marketing initiatives; believes this could be achieved, for instance, by the producers' cooperatives, in view of their contribution to enhancing value added in the countryside;

Or. ro