



EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

2009 - 2014

---

*Committee on the Environment, Public Health and Food Safety*

---

2009/2068(DEC)

2.2.2010

## **AMENDMENTS**

### **1 - 4**

**Draft opinion**  
**Jutta Haug**  
(PE431.045v02-00)

Discharge for implementation of the European Union general budget for the financial year 2008, Section III- Commission and executive agencies  
SEC(2009)1089-C7-0172/2009-(2009/2068(DEC))

AM\_Com\_NonLegOpinion

### **Amendment 1**

**Jutta Haug**

#### **Draft opinion**

#### **Paragraph 6**

##### *Draft opinion*

6. Calls on the Commission to develop further assistance and specific training for applicants and user-friendly guidelines; stresses that **more** attention should be given to those programme parts where the level of implementation *is* low;

##### *Amendment*

6. Calls on the Commission to develop further assistance and specific training for applicants and user-friendly guidelines; stresses that **immediate** attention should be given to those programme parts where the level of implementation **has become** low **and to act accordingly**;

Or. en

### **Amendment 2**

**Thomas Ulmer**

#### **Draft opinion**

#### **Paragraph 6**

##### *Draft opinion*

6. Calls on the Commission to develop further assistance and specific training for applicants and user-friendly guidelines; stresses that more attention should be given to the parts of the programme that have a low level of implementation;

##### *Amendment*

6. Calls on the Commission to develop further assistance and specific training for applicants and user-friendly guidelines; stresses that more attention should be given to the parts of the programme that have a low level of implementation **or that these parts should be questioned**;

Or. de

### **Amendment 3**

**Jutta Haug**

#### **Draft opinion**

#### **Paragraph 7**

*Draft opinion*

7. Underlines the importance to provide further and focused assistance to applicants implementing projects under the Public Health programme, in order to avoid unreasonable cost claims and incomplete financial reports which result in lengthy procedures; additionally, calls for clear and user-friendly call for tenders to avoid project applications which are clearly not eligible for funding or of poor quality;

*Amendment*

7. Underlines the importance to provide further and focused assistance to applicants implementing projects under the Public Health programme, in order to avoid unreasonable cost claims and incomplete financial reports which result in lengthy procedures; additionally, calls for clear and user-friendly call for tenders to avoid project applications which are clearly not eligible for funding ***due to their size and associated high costs*** or of poor quality;

Or. en

**Amendment 4**  
**Thomas Ulmer**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 7 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

***7a. Calls for care to be taken to ensure that planned projects are worthwhile in terms of their size and financing.***

*Amendment*

Or. de