



EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

2009 - 2014

---

*Committee on Culture and Education*

---

**2010/0044(COD)**

4.10.2010

## **AMENDMENTS 51 - 146**

**Draft Report**  
**Chrysoula Paliadeli**  
(PE442.830v01-00)

European Heritage Label

Proposal for a decision  
(COM(2010)0076 – C7-0071/2010 – 2010/0044(COD))

AM\_Com\_LegReport

**Amendment 51**  
**Oriol Junqueras Vies**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Recital 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(1) The Treaty aims at an ever closer union among the peoples of Europe and Article 167 in particular gives the European Union the task of contributing to the flowering of the cultures of the Member States, while respecting their national and regional diversity and at the same time bringing the common cultural heritage to the fore.

*Amendment*

(1) ***The Treaty on European Union, in particular Article 3(3), Article 4(2) and Article 5(3), lays down that the Union shall respect its rich cultural and linguistic diversity as well as local and regional autonomy.*** The Treaty ***on the Functioning of the European Union*** aims at an ever closer union among the peoples of Europe and Article 167 in particular gives the European Union the task of contributing to the flowering of the cultures of the Member States, while respecting their national and regional diversity and at the same time bringing the common cultural heritage to the fore.

Or.fr

*Justification*

*Implementation of the Label must take into account the principle of national and regional cultural and linguistic diversity as set out in Article 3(3), Article 4(2) and Article 5(3) of the Treaty on European Union.*

**Amendment 52**  
**Georgios Papanikolaou**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Recital 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(1) The Treaty aims at an ever closer union among the peoples of Europe and Article 167 in particular gives the European Union the task of contributing to the flowering of the cultures of the Member States, while respecting their national and regional

*Amendment*

(1) The Treaty aims at an ever closer union among the peoples of Europe and Article 167 in particular gives the European Union the task of contributing to the flowering of the cultures of the Member States, while respecting their national and regional

diversity and at the same time bringing the common cultural heritage to the fore.

diversity and at the same time bringing the common cultural heritage to the fore.  
***Furthermore, Article 167(2) specifies that, in accordance with the principle of proportionality, the Union shall contribute to improvement of the knowledge and dissemination of the culture and history of the European peoples.***

Or.el

**Amendment 53**  
**Marie-Thérèse Sanchez-Schmid**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Recital 3**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(3) The Treaty also establishes citizenship of the Union, which complements national citizenship of the respective Member States, and which is an important element in safeguarding and strengthening the process of European integration. For citizens to give their full support to European integration, greater emphasis should be placed on their common values, history and culture as key elements of their membership of a society founded on the principles of freedom, democracy, respect for human rights, cultural diversity, tolerance and solidarity.

*Amendment*

(3) The Treaty also establishes citizenship of the Union, which complements national citizenship of the respective Member States, and which is an important element in safeguarding and strengthening the process of European integration. For citizens to give their full support to European integration, greater emphasis should be placed on their common values, history and culture as key elements of their membership of a society founded on the principles of freedom, democracy, respect for human rights, cultural ***and linguistic*** diversity, tolerance and solidarity.

Or.fr

**Amendment 54**  
**Emma McClarkin**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Recital 7**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(7) The European Heritage Label should

*Amendment*

(7) The European Heritage Label should

seek *synergies and complementarities with other* initiatives such as the UNESCO World Heritage List and the Council of Europe's "European Cultural Routes". The added value of the new European Heritage Label should be based on the contribution made by the selected sites to European history and culture, on a clear educational dimension reaching out to citizens, including young people, and on networking between the sites to share experiences and best practices. The main focus of the initiative should be on the promotion and the access of the sites, and on the quality of the explanations given and of the activities proposed, rather than on the conservation of the sites, which should be guaranteed by existing protection regimes.

seek *to supplement but not duplicate* initiatives such as the UNESCO World Heritage List and the Council of Europe's "European Cultural Routes". The added value of the new European Heritage Label should be based on the contribution made by the selected sites to European history and culture, on a clear educational dimension reaching out to citizens, including young people, and on networking between the sites to share experiences and best practices. The main focus of the initiative should be on the promotion and the access of the sites, and on the quality of the explanations given and of the activities proposed, rather than on the conservation of the sites, which should be guaranteed by existing protection regimes.

Or.en

#### *Justification*

*The European Heritage Label will have strong symbolic value. In order to make this initiative worthwhile, the European Heritage Label should supplement existing Heritage labels such as the UNESCO World Heritage list, but should not duplicate their work.*

#### **Amendment 55**

**Malika Benarab-Attou**

#### **Proposal for a decision**

#### **Recital 7**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

(7) The European Heritage Label should seek synergies and complementarities with other initiatives such as the UNESCO World Heritage List *and* the Council of Europe's 'European Cultural Routes'. The added value of the new European Heritage Label should be based on the contribution made by the selected sites to European history and culture, on a clear educational dimension reaching out to citizens, including young people, and on networking

##### *Amendment*

(7) The European Heritage Label should seek synergies and complementarities with other initiatives such as the UNESCO World Heritage List, *the UNESCO Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of Humanity*, the Council of Europe's 'European Cultural Routes' and *all existing initiatives which the EU supports, such as the European Network of Cultural Centres – Historic Monuments, Europa Nostra and the*

between the sites to share experiences and best practices. The main focus of the initiative should be on the promotion and the access of the sites, and on the quality of the explanations given and of the activities proposed, rather than on the conservation of the sites, which should be guaranteed by existing protection regimes.

**‘Réseau Art Nouveau’ network.** The added value of the new European Heritage Label should be based on the contribution made by the selected sites to European history and culture, on a clear educational dimension reaching out to citizens, including young people, and on networking between the sites to share experiences and best practices. The main focus of the initiative should be on the promotion and the access of the sites, and on the quality of the explanations given and of the activities proposed, rather than on the conservation of the sites, which should be guaranteed by existing protection regimes.

Or.fr

**Amendment 56**  
**Silvia Costa**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Recital 7**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(7) The European Heritage Label should seek synergies and complementarities with other initiatives such as the UNESCO World Heritage List and the Council of Europe’s ‘European Cultural Routes’. The added value of the new European Heritage Label should be based on the contribution made by the selected sites to European history and culture, on a clear educational dimension reaching out to citizens, including young people, and on networking between the sites to share experiences and best practices. The main focus of the initiative should be on the promotion and the access of the sites, and on the quality of the explanations given and of the activities proposed, rather than on the conservation of the sites, which should be guaranteed by existing protection regimes.

*Amendment*

(7) The European Heritage Label should seek synergies and complementarities with other initiatives such as the UNESCO World Heritage List, **the UNESCO Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of Humanity**, and the Council of Europe’s ‘European Cultural Routes’. The added value of the new European Heritage Label should be based on the contribution made by the selected sites to European history and culture, **including the creation of the European Union**, on a clear educational dimension reaching out to citizens, including young people, and on networking between the sites to share experiences and best practices. The main focus of the initiative should be on the promotion and the access of the sites, and on the quality of the explanations given and of the activities proposed, rather than on the conservation

of the sites, which should be guaranteed by existing protection regimes.

Or.it

**Amendment 57**  
**Nessa Childers**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Recital 7**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(7) The European Heritage Label should seek synergies and complementarities with other initiatives such as the UNESCO World Heritage List and the Council of Europe's "European Cultural Routes". The added value of the new European Heritage Label should be based on the contribution made by the selected sites to European history and culture, on a clear educational dimension reaching out to citizens, including young people, and on networking between the sites to share experiences and best practices. The main focus of the initiative should be on the promotion and the access of the sites, and on the quality of the explanations given and of the activities proposed, rather than on the conservation of the sites, which should be guaranteed by existing protection regimes.

*Amendment*

(7) The European Heritage Label should seek synergies and complementarities with other initiatives such as the UNESCO World Heritage List and the Council of Europe's "European Cultural Routes". The added value of the new European Heritage Label should be based on the contribution made by the selected sites to European history and culture, on a clear educational dimension reaching out to citizens, including young people, and on networking between the sites to share experiences and best practices. The main focus of the initiative should be on the promotion and the access of the sites, ***thereby contributing to a shared historical and cultural heritage within the Union***, and on the quality of the explanations given and of the activities proposed, rather than on the conservation of the sites, which should be guaranteed by existing protection regimes.

Or.en

**Amendment 58**  
**Georgios Papanikolaou**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Recital 7**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(7) The European Heritage Label should

*Amendment*

(7) The European Heritage Label should

seek synergies and complementarities with other initiatives such as the UNESCO World Heritage List and the Council of Europe's 'European Cultural Routes'. The added value of the new European Heritage Label should be based on the contribution made by the selected sites to European history and culture, on a clear educational dimension reaching out to citizens, **including** young people, and on networking between the sites to share experiences and best practices. The main focus of the initiative should be on the promotion and the access of the sites, and on the quality of the explanations given and of the activities proposed, rather than on the conservation of the sites, which should be guaranteed by existing protection regimes.

seek synergies and complementarities with other initiatives such as the UNESCO World Heritage List and the Council of Europe's 'European Cultural Routes'. The added value of the new European Heritage Label should be based on the contribution made by the selected sites to European history and culture, on a clear educational dimension reaching out to citizens, **especially** young people, and on networking between the sites to share experiences and best practices. The main focus of the initiative should be on the promotion and the access of the sites, and on the quality of the explanations given and of the activities proposed, rather than on the conservation of the sites, which should be guaranteed by existing protection regimes.

Or.el

**Amendment 59**  
**Emma McClarkin**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Recital 11 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(11a) The funding of the European Heritage Label from 2013 should come from the Culture Programme (or another existing programme) and should not be funded through the margins of the Union's budget. The EUR 1 million set aside for 2013 in this decision should be sourced from existing monies through a re-prioritisation of a current programme, such as the Culture Programme. Due to the relatively small amount in question, this can be achieved with a minimal impact on the source programme.***

Or.en



**Amendment 60**  
**Oriol Junqueras Vies**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 2**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

For the purposes of this Decision, ‘sites’ shall mean monuments, natural or urban sites, cultural landscapes, places of remembrance, cultural goods and objects, intangible heritage attached to a place, including contemporary heritage.

*Amendment*

For the purposes of this Decision, ‘sites’ shall mean ***cultural goods declared under the relevant legislation to be*** monuments, natural or urban sites, cultural landscapes, places of remembrance, cultural goods and objects, intangible heritage attached to a place, including contemporary heritage, ***and that resulting from economic activity inherent to an area such as its industrial heritage.***

Or.es

*Justification*

*Todas las administraciones tienen legislaciones que determinan diversos grados de protección de los bienes Culturales de interés Nacional y de Interés Local. Esto supone un primer filtro, el hecho que ya esté declarado por su administración como de interés y por este motivo sea objeto de protección. En el caso que no se defina lo que es un monumento nos podríamos encontrar con un alud de bienes que solicitaran ser considerados así. El patrimonio industrial hoy en día explica la historia de buena parte de los territorios de Europa, además de ser en la actualidad un atractivo para las nuevas generaciones que desconocen lo que para sus padres o abuelos era su medio de vida, además de disponer de edificios de una gran calidad y funcionalidad y haber transformado paisajes como en la cuenca minera del Ruhr.*

**Amendment 61**  
**Marietje Schaake**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 2**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

For the purposes of this Decision, "sites" shall mean monuments, natural or urban sites, cultural landscapes, places of remembrance, cultural goods and objects, intangible heritage attached to a place,

*Amendment*

For the purposes of this Decision, "sites" shall mean monuments, natural or urban sites, cultural landscapes, places of remembrance, cultural goods and objects, intangible heritage, ***such as European***

including contemporary heritage.

*values, respect for democratic principles and human rights*, attached to a place, including contemporary heritage.

Or.en

**Amendment 62**  
**Olga Sehnalová**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 2**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

For the purposes of this Decision, ‘sites’ shall mean monuments, natural or urban sites, cultural landscapes, places of remembrance, cultural goods and objects, intangible heritage attached to a place, including contemporary heritage.

*Amendment*

For the purposes of this Decision, ‘sites’ shall mean monuments, natural, **industrial** or urban sites, cultural landscapes, places of remembrance, cultural goods and objects, intangible heritage attached to a place, including contemporary heritage.

Or.cs

*Justification*

*The definition should also include industrial heritage sites as an integral part of European history and the life of Europeans.*

**Amendment 63**  
**Marco Scurria, Santiago Fisas Ayxela, Marie-Thérèse Sanchez-Schmid**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 2**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

For the purposes of this Decision, ‘sites’ shall mean monuments, natural or urban sites, cultural landscapes, places of remembrance, cultural goods and objects, intangible heritage attached to a place, including contemporary heritage.

*Amendment*

For the purposes of this Decision, ‘sites’ shall mean monuments, natural or urban sites, cultural landscapes, places of remembrance, cultural goods and objects, **cultural routes and** intangible heritage attached to a place, including contemporary heritage.

Or.it

**Amendment 64**  
**Georgios Papanikolaou**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 2**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

For the purposes of this Decision, ‘sites’ shall mean monuments, natural or urban sites, cultural landscapes, places of remembrance, cultural goods and objects, intangible heritage attached to a place, including contemporary heritage.

*Amendment*

For the purposes of this Decision, ‘sites’ shall mean monuments, natural or urban sites, cultural landscapes, places of remembrance, cultural goods and objects, intangible heritage **and tradition** attached to a place, including contemporary heritage.

Or.el

**Amendment 65**  
**Rui Tavares**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 3 – paragraph 1 – indent 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

– **Strengthen** European citizens’ *sense of belonging to the European Union, based on shared elements of history* and cultural heritage, *as well as an appreciation of diversity*;

*Amendment*

– **Strengthening** European citizens’ *access* to European *historic* and cultural *common* heritage;

Or.en

**Amendment 66**  
**Nessa Childers**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 3 – paragraph 1 – indent 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

– Strengthen European citizens’ sense of belonging to the European Union, based on

*Amendment*

– Strengthen European citizens’ sense of belonging to the European Union, **in**

shared *elements of* history and cultural heritage, *as well as an appreciation of diversity*;

*particular that of young people*, based on shared *values and a diverse yet inter-related* history and cultural heritage;

Or.en

**Amendment 67**  
**Emma McClarkin**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 3 – paragraph 1 – indent 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

– Strengthen European citizens’ *sense of belonging to the* European *Union*, based on shared elements of history and cultural heritage, as well as an appreciation of diversity;

*Amendment*

– Strengthen European citizens’ *awareness* of European *heritage, in particular that of young people*, based on shared elements of history and cultural heritage, as well as an appreciation of diversity;

Or.en

*Justification*

*The European Heritage label must be focussed on delivering for citizens.*

**Amendment 68**  
**Oriol Junqueras Vies**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 3 – paragraph 1 – indent 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

– Strengthen European citizens’ sense of belonging to the European Union, based on shared elements of history and cultural heritage, as well as an appreciation of diversity;

*Amendment*

– Strengthen European citizens’ sense of belonging to the European Union, based on shared elements of history and cultural heritage, as well as an appreciation of diversity *as a constituent element of European identity*;

Or.es

*Justification*

*The level of mutual awareness between the regions of Europe is not so high as to enable them to dispense with genuine interregional dialogue seeking to underpin European identity.*

**Amendment 69**

**Seán Kelly**

**Proposal for a decision**

**Article 3 – paragraph 1 – indent 1 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**– Promoting co-existing cultural diversity within the European Union;**

Or.en

*Justification*

*It is important to actively promote existing cultural diversity in our societies and within the European Union.*

**Amendment 70**

**Oriol Junqueras Vies**

**Proposal for a decision**

**Article 3 – paragraph 1 – indent 2**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

– Strengthen intercultural dialogue.

– Strengthen intercultural **and inter-territorial** dialogue.

Or.es

*Justification*

*The level of mutual awareness between the regions of Europe is not so high as to enable them to dispense with genuine interregional dialogue seeking to underpin European identity.*

**Amendment 71**  
**Emma McClarkin**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 3 – paragraph 2**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**2. The intermediate objectives of the action shall be to:** *deleted*

*– Enhance the value and profile of sites which have played a key role in the history and the building of the European Union;*

*– Increase European citizens’ understanding of the building of Europe, and of their common yet diverse cultural heritage, especially related to democratic values and human rights that underpin the process of European integration.*

Or.en

**Amendment 72**  
**Oriol Junqueras Vies**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 3 – paragraph 2 – indent 2**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*– Increase European citizens’ understanding of the building of Europe, and of their common yet diverse cultural heritage, especially related to democratic values and human rights that underpin the process of European integration.*

Increase European citizens’ understanding of the building of Europe, and of their common yet diverse ***tangible and intangible*** cultural heritage, especially related to democratic values and human rights that underpin the process of European integration.

Or.es

*Justification*

*The European cultural heritage is both tangible and intangible in nature and this should once more be made clear.*

**Amendment 73**  
**Milan Zver**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 3 – paragraph 2 – indent 2 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*– Raise awareness, in the case of sites connected with negative periods and events in European history, about those periods to ensure that they will never be repeated.*

Or.sl

**Amendment 74**  
**Rui Tavares**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 3 – paragraph 3 – indent 2**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*– Raise young people’s awareness of their common cultural heritage;*

*– enhance young people’s tools of cultural exchange inside and outside the European Union in accordance with emancipatory and intercultural European values;*

Or.en

**Amendment 75**  
**Oriol Junqueras Vies**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 3 – paragraph 3 – indent 2**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*– Raise young people’s awareness of their common cultural heritage;*

*– Raise the awareness of young people in particular and European citizens in general of their common cultural heritage;*

*Justification*

*Young people are not alone in needing to have their attention drawn to their common cultural heritage. The notion has not yet taken hold so widely in Europe as to render unnecessary measures to raise public awareness.*

**Amendment 76**

**Nessa Childers**

**Proposal for a decision**

**Article 3 – paragraph 3 – indent 4 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*– encourage Member States to limit as much as possible the financial cost of visiting the selected heritage sites;*

Or.en

**Amendment 77**

**Seán Kelly**

**Proposal for a decision**

**Article 3 – paragraph 3 – indent 5**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

– Increase intercultural dialogue, especially among young people, through artistic, cultural and *historical* education;

– Increase intercultural dialogue, especially among young people, through artistic, cultural, *historical* and *interactive online* education;

Or.en

*Justification*

*Young people socialise, network, learn and interact through the use of ICT and social networking sites. It is very easy for the younger generation to express themselves via blogs, facebook and other educational sites. Interactive online learning is the best and most cost effective tool to advance intercultural dialogue and therefore should be included in the amendment.*



**Amendment 78**  
**Oriol Junqueras Vies**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 3 – paragraph 3 – indent 6 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***– Foster interaction between the cultural heritage and the economic activities growing up around it, while fully respecting the integrity thereof and contributing to its sustainability and that of its surroundings;***

Or.es

*Justification*

*The cultural heritage of an area may be one of its principal assets, with the potential to generate a flourishing economy fuelled by cultural tourism, cultural industries etc. Furthermore, interaction with productive economic activity will enable heritage sites to contribute to their own upkeep, given that the high costs involved can often make the task of running them extremely onerous.*

**Amendment 79**  
**Nessa Childers**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 3 – paragraph 3 – indent 7**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

– Contribute to the attractiveness and ***the*** sustainable development of the regions.

– Contribute to the attractiveness, ***cultural influence, tourist development*** and sustainable development of the regions.

Or.en

**Amendment 80**  
**Georgios Papanikolaou**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 3 – paragraph 3 – indent 7**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

– Contribute to the attractiveness and the sustainable development of the regions.

*Amendment*

– Contribute to ***the promotion***, the attractiveness, ***the tourist development*** and the sustainable development of the regions.

Or.el

**Amendment 81**  
**Oriol Junqueras Vies**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 3 – paragraph 3 – indent 7 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

– ***Encourage the creation of European networks to enhance the common European heritage.***

Or.es

*Justification*

*The European heritage is extremely diverse and interregional networks could highlight the common European theme running through very different periods and artistic styles. Networks could for example be created for the Cistercian Order, the Roma, Modernism or Art Nouveau, solstice rites etc, thus helping European citizens to appreciate that much of what they consider to be their own cultural heritage exists throughout Europe.*

**Amendment 82**  
**Marie-Thérèse Sanchez-Schmid**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 4**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

The action shall be open to the participation of the Member States of the European Union. ***This participation shall be*** on a voluntary basis.

The action shall be open to the participation of the Member States of the European Union ***and third countries taking part in the EU Culture Programme*** on a voluntary basis.

**Amendment 83**  
**Cătălin Sorin Ivan**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 4**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

The action shall be open to the participation of the Member States of the European Union. This participation shall be on a voluntary basis.

*Amendment*

The action shall be open to the participation of the Member States of the European Union. This participation shall be on a voluntary basis, ***with the possibility of extending the initiative to third countries participating in the Culture Programme.***

Or.en

**Amendment 84**  
**Iosif Matula**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 4**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

The action shall be open to the participation of the Member States of the European Union. This participation shall be on a voluntary basis.

*Amendment*

The action shall be open to the participation of the Member States of the European Union. This participation shall be on a voluntary basis. ***The action may also be extended to include other European States who have concluded agreements with the EU in this respect.***

Or.ro

**Amendment 85**  
**Emma McClarkin**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 5**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

The Commission and the Member States shall ensure ***the complementarity of*** the European Heritage Label ***with*** other initiatives in the field of cultural heritage such as the UNESCO World Heritage List and the Council of Europe's "European Cultural Routes".

*Amendment*

The Commission and the Member States shall ensure ***that*** the European Heritage Label ***supplements but does not duplicate*** other initiatives in the field of cultural heritage such as the UNESCO World Heritage List and the Council of Europe's "European Cultural Routes".

Or.en

*Justification*

*The European Heritage Label will have strong symbolic value. In order to make this initiative worthwhile, the European Heritage Label should supplement existing Heritage labels such as the UNESCO World Heritage list, but should not duplicate their work.*

**Amendment 86**  
**Emma McClarkin**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 7 – point 1 – introductory wording**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(1) Candidates for the label shall have a symbolic European value and shall have played a key role in the history and the building of ***the*** European ***Union***. The candidates shall therefore justify:

*Amendment*

(1) Candidates for the label shall have a symbolic European value and shall have played a key role in the history and the building of European ***heritage***. The candidates shall therefore justify:

Or.en

**Amendment 87**  
**Hella Ranner**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 7 – point 1 – introductory wording**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(1) Candidates for the label shall have a symbolic European value and shall have played a key role in the history ***and*** the

*Amendment*

(1) Candidates for the label shall have a symbolic European value and shall have played a key role in the history ***and/or*** the

building of the European Union. The candidates shall therefore justify:

building of the European Union. The candidates shall therefore justify:

Or.de

**Amendment 88**  
**Hella Ranner**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 7 – point 1 – indent 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

– their cross-border or pan-European nature: ***the past and present influence and attraction of a candidate site must go beyond the national borders of a Member State;***

*Amendment*

– their cross-border or pan-European nature;

Or.de

**Amendment 89**  
**Cătălin Sorin Ivan**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 7 – point 1 – indent 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

– their cross-border or pan-European nature: the past ***and*** present influence and attraction of a candidate site must go beyond the national borders of a Member State;

*Amendment*

– their cross-border or pan-European nature: the past ***and/or*** present influence and ***the present*** attraction of a candidate site must go beyond the national borders of a Member State;

Or.en

**Amendment 90**  
**Emma McClarkin**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 7 – point 1 – indent 2**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

– and/or the place and role of a site in

*Amendment*

– and/or the place and role of a site in

European history *and European integration*, and its links with key European events or personalities, as well as with cultural, artistic, political, social, scientific, technological or industrial movements;

European history, and its links with key European events or personalities, as well as with cultural, artistic, political, social, scientific, technological or industrial movements;

Or.en

*Justification*

*The European Heritage Label should focus on European history and heritage, not on European Integration.*

**Amendment 91**  
**Oriol Junqueras Vies**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 7 – point 1 – indent 2**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

– and/or the place and role of a site in European history and European integration, and its links with key European events or personalities, as well as with cultural, artistic, political, social, scientific, technological or industrial movements;

*Amendment*

and/or the place and role of a site in European history and European integration, and its links with key European events or personalities, as well as with cultural, artistic, *religious*, political, social, scientific, technological or industrial movements;

Or.es

*Justification*

*Religion, in whatever form, has been of fundamental importance in a European context, leading to wars and influencing philosophy, thought and general culture, as well as much artistic output up to the nineteenth century, not to mention other issues concerning to religion. Furthermore, Europe's major monuments are essentially religious in nature, and it would therefore be absurd not to include religion.*

**Amendment 92**  
**Sari Essayah**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 7 – point 1 – indent 2**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

– and/or the place and role of a site in European history and European integration, and its links with key European events or personalities, as well as with cultural, artistic, political, social, scientific, technological or industrial movements;

*Amendment*

– and/or the place and role of a site in European history and European integration, and its links with key European events or personalities, as well as with cultural, artistic, **religious**, political, social, scientific, technological or industrial movements;

Or.en

**Amendment 93**  
**Marco Scurria**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 7 – point 1 – indent 2**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

and/or the place and role of a site in European history and European integration, and its links with key European events or personalities, as well as with cultural, artistic, political, social, scientific, technological or industrial movements;

*Amendment*

and/or the place and role of a site in European history and European integration, and its links with key European events or personalities, as well as with cultural, artistic, political, social, scientific, technological, **environmental** or industrial movements;

Or.it

**Amendment 94**  
**Hella Ranner**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 7 – point 1 – indent 2**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

– and/or the place and role of a site in European history and European integration, and its links with key European events or personalities, as well as with cultural, artistic, political, social, scientific, technological or industrial **movements**;

*Amendment*

– and/or the place and role of a site in European history and European integration, and its links with key European events or personalities, as well as with cultural, artistic, political, social, scientific, technological or industrial **developments**;

**Amendment 95**  
**Emma McClarkin**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 7 – point 1 – indent 3**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

– and/or the place and role of a site in the development and promotion of the common values that underpin European **integration** such as freedom, democracy, respect for human rights, cultural diversity, tolerance and solidarity.

*Amendment*

– and/or the place and role of a site in the development and promotion of the common values that underpin European **heritage** such as freedom, democracy, respect for human rights, cultural diversity, tolerance and solidarity.

Or.en

*Justification*

*The European Heritage Label should focus on European history and heritage, not on European Integration.*

**Amendment 96**  
**Emma McClarkin**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 7 – point 2 – introductory wording**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(2) Candidates for the label shall submit a project **which promotes their European dimension and commits them to** all the following elements:

*Amendment*

(2) Candidates for the label shall submit a project, **the implementation of which is to begin by the end of the designation year at the latest, and which includes** all the following elements:

Or.en

**Amendment 97**  
**Marietje Schaake**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 7 – point 2 – indent 1**



*Text proposed by the Commission*

– raising awareness on the European significance of the site, ***in particular through appropriate information activities, signage and staff training;***

*Amendment*

– raising awareness on the European significance of the site;

Or.en

**Amendment 98**

**Oriol Junqueras Vies**

**Proposal for a decision**

**Article 7 – point 2 – indent 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

– raising awareness on the European significance of the site, in particular through appropriate information activities, signage and staff training;

*Amendment*

– raising awareness on the European significance of the site, in particular through appropriate information activities, ***through any available channels and in particular online and other media,*** signage and staff training;

Or.es

*Justification*

*The relevant web pages are consulted with increasing frequency prior to undertaking a journey or a visit or, depending on the level of information they contain, for the purposes of study or even research.*

**Amendment 99**

**Hella Ranner**

**Proposal for a decision**

**Article 7 – point 2 – indent 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

– raising awareness on the European significance of the site, in particular through ***appropriate*** information ***activities,*** ***signage and staff training;***

*Amendment*

– raising awareness on the European significance of the site, in particular through ***the provision of*** information;

**Amendment 100**  
**Oriol Junqueras Vies**

Proposal for a decision  
**Article 7 – point 2 – indent 3**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

promoting multilingualism by using  
*several* languages *of the European Union*;

*Amendment*

promoting multilingualism *and regional diversity* by using languages *which are official, co-official and less widely used in the Member States*;

Or.fr

*Justification*

*Respect for the rich cultural and linguistic heritage is enshrined in the Treaty on European Union. It should therefore be specified that the use of several languages must include all the languages of the EU.*

**Amendment 101**  
**Georgios Papanikolaou**

Proposal for a decision  
**Article 7 – point 2 – indent 3**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

– promoting multilingualism by using  
several languages of the European Union;

*Amendment*

– promoting multilingualism by using  
several *official* languages of the European Union;

Or.el

**Amendment 102**  
**Hella Ranner**

Proposal for a decision  
**Article 7 – point 2 – indent 4**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

– *taking part in the activities of networks*

*Amendment*

– *cooperation with sites already awarded*

*of sites awarded the European Heritage Label in order to exchange experiences and initiate common projects;*

*the label;*

Or.de

**Amendment 103**  
**Seán Kelly**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 7 – point 2 – indent 4**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

– taking part in the activities of networks of sites awarded the European Heritage Label in order to exchange experiences and initiate common projects;

*Amendment*

– taking part in the activities of networks of sites awarded the European Heritage Label in order to exchange experiences, ***facilitate networking*** and initiate common projects;

Or.en

*Justification*

*In order to initiate common projects, facilitating networking is very important.*

**Amendment 104**  
**Oriol Junqueras Vies**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 7 – point 2 – indent 5**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

raising the profile and attractiveness of the site on a European scale, for example by using ***modern*** technology;

*Amendment*

raising the profile and attractiveness of the site on a European scale, for example by using ***new information and communication*** technology, ***particularly in the area of education, which promote interaction between citizens – especially young people – and labelled sites;***

Or.fr

*Justification*

*'Modern technology' needs to be defined more precisely, as the site's appeal will also depend on its ability to interact with citizens, particularly in the field of education. 'New information and communication technologies' means mainly IT, the Internet and telecommunications.*

**Amendment 105**  
**Oriol Junqueras Vies**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 7 – point 2 – indent 5 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*– ensuring that the site is made accessible to the European public while fully respecting its integrity.*

Or.es

*Justification*

*At the risk of stating the obvious, many monuments are not open to the public, making it difficult to identify with them in any way.*

**Amendment 106**  
**Sari Essayah**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 7 – point 2 – indent 6**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

– the organisation of artistic and cultural activities (for example events, festivals, residencies) which foster the mobility of European artists and collections, stimulate intercultural dialogue and encourage linkage between heritage and contemporary creation and creativity are welcomed whenever the specificity of the site allows it.

– the organisation of artistic and cultural activities (for example events, festivals, residencies) which foster the mobility of European ***cultural agents***, artists and collections, stimulate intercultural dialogue and encourage linkage between heritage and contemporary creation and creativity are welcomed whenever the specificity of the site allows it.

Or.en

**Amendment 107**  
**Hella Ranner**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 7 – point 3 – indent 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

– *ensuring the sound management of the site;*

*Amendment*

*deleted*

Or.de

**Amendment 108**  
**Rui Tavares**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 7 – point 3 – indent 4**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

– ensuring *access* for *the widest possible* public, *for example through site adaptations or staff training;*

*Amendment*

– ensuring *that each institution has a clear and comprehensive strategy* for *new sectors of the general public regarding local social and cultural specificities;*

Or.en

**Amendment 109**  
**Cătălin Sorin Ivan**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 7 – point 3 – indent 4**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

– ensuring access for the widest possible public, for example through site adaptations or staff training;

*Amendment*

– ensuring access for the widest possible public, for example through site adaptations or staff training, *including for elderly persons and people with disabilities;*

Or.en

**Amendment 110**  
**Marietje Schaake**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 7 – point 3 – indent 4**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

– ensuring access for the widest possible public, for example through site adaptations or staff training;

*Amendment*

– ensuring access for the widest possible public, for example through site adaptations or staff training, **and through use of the internet**;

Or.en

**Amendment 111**  
**Hella Ranner**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 7 – point 3 – indent 5**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

– according special attention to young people, **in particular by allowing them privileged access to the site**;

*Amendment*

– according special attention to young people, **regarding** access;

Or.de

**Amendment 112**  
**Hella Ranner**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 7 – point 3 – indent 8**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

– **ensuring** the management plan is **as** environmentally friendly **as possible in order to limit potential negative impacts of tourism**.

*Amendment*

– **taking account of the extent to which** the management plan is environmentally friendly.

Or.de

**Amendment 113**  
**Marietje Schaake**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 7 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**Article 7a**

***Online cultural heritage platforms***

***On online cultural heritage platforms, such as Europeana, the profile and attractiveness of sites shall be discussed on a European scale, since a virtual dialogue on labels can stimulate citizens' involvement in the project and increase the visibility and value of the European Heritage label. This dialogue will strengthen European citizens' sense of belonging to the European Union and foster awareness of Europe's history, the European Union's integration process and the democratic values and human rights that underpin that process.***

Or.en

**Amendment 114**  
**Cătălin Sorin Ivan**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 8 – paragraph 5**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

5. If a conflict of interest between a member of the panel and a specific site comes to light, the member of the panel shall not take part in the evaluation of the said site.

5. If a conflict of interest, ***or a dispute the nature of which is to be precisely defined and agreed on before the start of the selection procedure***, between a member of the panel and a specific site comes to light, the member of the panel shall not take part in the evaluation of the said site.

Or.en

**Amendment 115**

**Marco Scurria, Santiago Fisas Ayxela, Marie-Thérèse Sanchez-Schmid**

**Proposal for a decision**

**Article 8 – paragraph 6 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***6a. In the event that the panel of experts decides that neither of the two sites proposed by a Member State are eligible, the possibility will be assessed of awarding the label to one of the second sites proposed by the other Member States. However, no more than twenty-seven sites may be proposed for the European label each year.***

Or.it

**Amendment 116**

**Ildikó Gáll-Pelcz**

**Proposal for a decision**

**Article 10 – paragraph 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(1) The pre-selection of the sites for the attribution of the European Heritage Label shall be under the responsibility of the Member States.

(1) The ***standardised*** pre-selection of the sites for the attribution of the European Heritage Label shall be under the responsibility of the Member States.

Or.hu

**Amendment 117**

**Cătălin Sorin Ivan**

**Proposal for a decision**

**Article 10 – paragraph 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

1. The pre-selection of the sites for the attribution of the European Heritage Label shall be under the responsibility of the

1. The pre-selection of the sites for the attribution of the European Heritage Label shall be under the responsibility of the



Member States.

Member States, *in close cooperation with local and regional authorities*.

Or.en

**Amendment 118**  
**Marietje Schaake**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 10 – paragraph 2**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

2. Each Member State shall have the possibility to pre-select *up to a maximum* of two sites *per annum* in accordance with the calendar in the Annex. No selection procedure shall take place in the years reserved for the monitoring procedure.

*Amendment*

2. Each Member State shall have the possibility to pre-select a *minimum* of two sites *every two years* in accordance with the calendar in the Annex. No selection procedure shall take place in the years reserved for the monitoring procedure.

Or.en

**Amendment 119**  
**Oriol Junqueras Vies**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 10 – paragraph 3**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

3. Each Member State shall establish its own procedures and its own calendar for the pre-selection of the sites in accordance with the principle of subsidiarity, ensuring that administrative arrangements remain as light *and* flexible as possible. It shall however notify the Commission of the results of the pre-selection at the latest on 31 January of the year of the selection procedure.

*Amendment*

3. *Without prejudice to paragraph 4*, each Member State shall establish its own procedures and its own calendar for the pre-selection of the sites in accordance with the principle of subsidiarity, *respecting their national and regional diversity and* ensuring that administrative arrangements remain as light, flexible *and transparent* as possible. It shall however *make public the list of preselected and non-preselected candidates and* notify the Commission of the results of the pre-selection at the latest on 31 January of the year of the selection procedure. *The list shall be accompanied by recommendations for non-preselected*

*sites aimed at helping them to improve their candidature and comply more closely with the pre-selection criteria.*

Or.fr

*Justification*

*La présélection des sites est certes effectuée conformément au principe de subsidiarité mais la procédure de présélection doit tenir compte de la diversité nationale et régionale, comme l'indique l'article 167 du traité sur le fonctionnement de l'Union Européenne. Le succès et la cohérence du label seront d'autant plus réussis que les procédures de présélections et de sélections seront transparentes et donc plus lisibles pour les citoyens européens. Dans ce souci de transparence, la publication de la liste des candidatures reçues par les Etats Membres permet à la fois aux candidats de comprendre les raisons pour lesquels ils n'ont pas été présélectionnés, mais aussi de leur donner la possibilité d'améliorer leurs candidatures les années suivantes.*

**Amendment 120**  
**Marietje Schaake**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 10 – paragraph 3**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

3. Each Member State shall establish its own procedures and its own calendar for the pre-selection of the sites in accordance with the principle of subsidiarity, ensuring that administrative arrangements remain as light and flexible as possible. *It* shall *however* notify the Commission of the results of the pre-selection at the latest on 31 January of the year of the selection procedure.

*Amendment*

3. Each Member State shall establish its own procedures and its own calendar for the pre-selection of the sites in accordance with the principle of subsidiarity, ensuring that administrative arrangements remain as light and flexible as possible. **Member States shall enable citizens to voice their opinion on the quality of the sites which apply for a label. Member States** shall notify the Commission of the results of the pre-selection at the latest on 31 January of the year of the selection procedure.

Or.en

**Amendment 121**  
**Oriol Junqueras Vies**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 10 – paragraph 4**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

4. The pre-selection shall be **based on** the criteria laid down in Article 7 and shall use the application form referred to in Article 9.

*Amendment*

4. The pre-selection procedure shall be **carried out according to** the criteria laid down in Article 7 and shall use the application form referred to in Article 9.

Or.fr

*Justification*

*Pre-selection must be carried out according to the same criteria and using the same application forms in each Member State.*

**Amendment 122**  
**Marie-Thérèse Sanchez-Schmid**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 10 – paragraph 4 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**4a. The Commission shall forward to the European Parliament, the Council and the Committee of the Regions a complete list of the sites proposed by the Member States.**

Or.fr

**Amendment 123**  
**Oriol Junqueras Vies**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 11 – paragraph 3**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

3. The final selection shall be **based on** the criteria laid down in Article 7 and shall use

*Amendment*

3. The final selection shall be **carried out according to** the criteria laid down in

the application form referred to in Article 9.

Article 7 and shall use the application form referred to in Article 9.

Or.fr

*Justification*

*Final selection at EU level must be carried out according to the same criteria and using the same application forms.*

**Amendment 124**  
**Cătălin Sorin Ivan**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 12 – paragraph 1 – indent 2**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

– One specific site which is geographically situated on the territory of at least two different Member States.

*Amendment*

– One specific site which is geographically situated on the territory of at least two different Member States ***or on the territory of a Member State and an adjacent State participating in the Culture Programme.***

Or.en

**Amendment 125**  
**Marietje Schaake**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 12 – paragraph 2**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

2. Applications for transnational sites shall follow the same procedure as applications for other sites. They shall be pre-selected by ***one of the*** Member States ***concerned within the limit of a maximum of two sites as laid down in Article 10,*** and proposed in the name of all the Member States concerned after consultation and agreement of these Member States.

*Amendment*

2. Applications for transnational sites shall follow the same procedure as applications for other sites. They shall be pre-selected by ***all*** Member States ***involved*** and proposed in the name of all the Member States concerned after consultation and agreement of these Member States.

Or.en

**Amendment 126**  
**Seán Kelly**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 12 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***Special consideration shall be given to transnational sites which foster the essence of cross-border European heritage, through their representation of tangible and intangible symbolism.***

Or.en

*Justification*

*It is very significant if a European Heritage Label is awarded to a transnational site as it would promote European heritage on a deeper level that would impact on more citizens. Peace is an example of intangible symbolism.*

**Amendment 127**  
**Iosif Matula**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 13 – paragraph 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

1. The Commission shall officially designate the sites to be awarded the European Heritage Label during the year following the selection procedure, in the light of the recommendation of the European panel. ***The Commission shall inform the*** European Parliament and the Council.

1. The Commission shall officially designate the sites to be awarded the European Heritage Label during the year following the selection procedure, in the light of the recommendation of the European panel, ***and after consulting the*** European Parliament and the Council.

Or.ro

**Amendment 128**  
**Marco Scurria**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 13 – paragraph 2 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***2a. The attribution of the European label shall not entail any obligation of an urban planning, judicial, landscaping, mobility or architectural nature. The sole law applicable shall be the local law.***

Or.it

**Amendment 129**

**Iosif Matula**

**Proposal for a decision**

**Article 14 – paragraph 4 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***4a. The report shall be forwarded to the Commission, the European Parliament and the Council for approval.***

Or.ro

**Amendment 130**

**Georgios Papanikolaou**

**Proposal for a decision**

**Article 15 – paragraph 3 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***3a. If, for any major reason and after the European panel has issued its recommendation, a Member State requests withdrawal of the label from a site within its territory.***

Or.el

**Amendment 131**  
**Iosif Matula**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 15 – paragraph 5 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***5a. A Member State may request that the European Heritage Label be rescinded for one or more of the sites proposed by it and confirmed by the European panel.***

Or.ro

**Amendment 132**  
**Marietje Schaake**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 16 – paragraph 2**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***2. The Commission shall be responsible for the communication and the visibility of the European Heritage Label at Union level, in particular through the creation and the maintenance of a specific website.***

***deleted***

Or.en

**Amendment 133**  
**Georgios Papanikolaou**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 17 – paragraph 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

1. The Commission shall ensure the external and independent evaluation of the European Heritage Label action. This evaluation shall take place every six years in accordance with the calendar set out in the Annex and shall examine all elements, including the efficiency of the processes

1. The Commission shall ensure the external and independent evaluation of the European Heritage Label action. This evaluation shall take place every six years in accordance with the calendar set out in the Annex and shall examine all elements, including the efficiency of the processes

involved in running the action, the number of sites, the impact of the action, how it could be improved and whether the European Heritage Label should be continued.

involved in running the action, ***the extent to which the action contributes to achieving the basic objective which is to foster among European citizens a greater sense of belonging to the EU and to encourage intercultural dialogue***, the number of sites, the impact of the action, how it could be improved and whether the European Heritage Label should be continued.

Or.el

**Amendment 134**  
**Marie-Thérèse Sanchez-Schmid**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 18 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

A new ***application*** shall be submitted for these sites ***on the basis of*** the new criteria and procedures laid down in Articles 6 to 9 and shall be transmitted to the Commission by the relevant Member States at the latest on 31 January [of the year in question].

*Amendment*

New ***applications*** for these sites shall be ***subject to*** the new criteria and procedures laid down in Articles 6 to 9 and shall be transmitted to the Commission by the relevant Member States at the latest on 31 January [of the year in question].

Or.fr

**Amendment 135**  
**Marie-Thérèse Sanchez-Schmid**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 18 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 7**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

***The European Heritage Label shall be awarded to the sites covered by this paragraph in principle on a permanent basis under the conditions laid down in Articles 14 and 15 and subject to the continuation of the action.***

*Amendment*

***deleted***

Or.fr



**Amendment 136**  
**Marie-Thérèse Sanchez-Schmid**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 18 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 8**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*Candidates which were not retained in the final list may submit a new application for the pre-selection at national level in the following years.* **deleted**

Or.fr

**Amendment 137**  
**Iosif Matula**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 18 – paragraph 1 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***1a. States which have obtained the label for fewer than four sites in the framework of the intergovernmental initiative shall be entitled to propose a total of four objectives in the year following the entry into force of this decision.***

Or.ro

**Amendment 138**  
**Marie-Thérèse Sanchez-Schmid**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 18 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***2. Member States which did not participate in the intergovernmental European Heritage Label shall have the possibility to propose up to a maximum of*** **deleted**

*four sites for the attribution of the European Heritage Label in [the second year following the entry into force of the present Decision].*

Or.fr

**Amendment 139**  
**Marie-Thérèse Sanchez-Schmid**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 18 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 2**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*An application shall be submitted for these sites on the basis of the criteria and procedures laid down in Articles 6 to 9 and shall be transmitted to the Commission by the relevant Member States at the latest on 31 January [of the year in question].* **deleted**

Or.fr

**Amendment 140**  
**Marie-Thérèse Sanchez-Schmid**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 18 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 3**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*The new applications shall be assessed by the European panel. If one of the sites proposed by a specific Member State does not fulfil the criteria or if further information is needed, the European panel shall initiate a dialogue with the Member State via the Commission in order to examine whether the application can be improved before a final decision is taken. Visits to the site may be organised if necessary.* **deleted**

Or.fr

**Amendment 141**  
**Marie-Thérèse Sanchez-Schmid**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 18 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 4**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*The European panel shall deliver a report on sites with a recommendation for the attribution of the European Heritage Label by the end of [the year in question] unless further clarifications are necessary from the Member State.* *deleted*

Or.fr

**Amendment 142**  
**Marie-Thérèse Sanchez-Schmid**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 18 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 5**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*The Commission shall then officially designate the sites.* *deleted*

Or.fr

**Amendment 143**  
**Marie-Thérèse Sanchez-Schmid**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 18 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 6**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*The European Heritage Label shall be awarded to the sites covered by this paragraph in principle on a permanent basis under the conditions laid down in Articles 14 and 15 and subject to the continuation of the action.* *deleted*

Or.fr

**Amendment 144**  
**Marie-Thérèse Sanchez-Schmid**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 18 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 7**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***Candidates which were not retained in the final list may submit a new application for the pre-selection at national level in the following years.***      ***deleted***

Or.fr

**Amendment 145**  
**Emma McClarkin**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 19 – paragraph 1 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***1a. From 1 January 2013 the European Heritage Label shall be funded from within the existing Culture Programme.***

Or.en

*Justification*

*In principle, but also due to the major austerity measures that are being implemented across Member States, the European Heritage Label should not be funded by using new money from the margins of the EU budget. The total amount (€1.350.000) should be sourced from existing monies through the re-prioritisation of a current programme, such as the Culture Programme. Due to the small amount in question, this can be achieved with minimal impact to the Source Programme.*

**Amendment 146**  
**Iosif Matula**

**Proposal for a decision**  
**Article 19 – paragraph 2 a (new)**

PE448.952v01-00

44/45

AM\831627EN.doc

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***2a. Sufficient resources shall be provided for presentation and information actions and materials under the financial perspectives to follow, in order to raise public awareness of this initiative.***

Or.en