



EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

2009 – 2014

Committee on Culture and Education

2010/2142(DEC)

1.2.2011

AMENDMENTS

1 - 4

Draft opinion
Morten Løkkegaard
(PE454.376v01-00)

Discharge in respect of the implementation of the European Union general budget for the financial year 2009, Section III – Commission
(SEC(2010)0963 – C7-0211/2010 – 2010/2142(DEC))

AM_Com_NonLegOpinion

Amendment 1
Cătălin Sorin Ivan

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Welcomes the fact that, within the ‘Education and Citizenship’ policy group, the Court of Auditors found no errors in respect of advance payments; notes however the *error rate* of *just* above 2 % *for the more risk-prone payments of closures and the shift of focus towards this area* in the *Court of Auditors’ report*;

Amendment

1. Welcomes the fact that, within the ‘Education and Citizenship’ policy group, the Court of Auditors found no errors in respect of advance payments; *which account for 87 % of payments for the whole policy group*; notes however *that the estimated impact of quantifiable errors for the whole policy group is slightly above 2 % in 2009, whereas, in 2008, it was under 2 %, and that this is mainly due to the errors for closure payments*;

Or. en

Amendment 2
Georgios Papanikolaou

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Expresses its satisfaction with the extension of the use of IT tools, as this can help considerably to facilitate procedures for applicants and reduce the time needed for the processing of applications;

Amendment

4. Expresses its satisfaction with the extension of the use of IT tools, as this *cuts red tape and* can help considerably to facilitate procedures for applicants and reduce the time needed for the processing of applications;

Or. el

Amendment 3
Morten Løkkegaard

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. **Recommends that** the Commission, the Education, Audiovisual and Culture Executive Agency and national agencies continue **to** work on further reducing payment delays, since beneficiaries are entitled to expect that time-schedules indicated in programme guides or other official communications are respected.

Amendment

5. **Urges** the Commission, the Education, Audiovisual and Culture Executive Agency and national agencies **to** continue **their** work on further reducing payment delays, since beneficiaries are entitled to expect that time-schedules indicated in programme guides or other official communications are respected.

Or. en

Amendment 4
Georgios Papanikolaou

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Recommends that the Commission, the Education, Audiovisual and Culture Executive Agency and national agencies continue to work on further reducing payment delays, since beneficiaries are entitled to expect that time-schedules indicated in programme guides or other official communications are respected.

Amendment

5. Recommends that the Commission, the Education, Audiovisual and Culture Executive Agency and national agencies continue to work on **flexible procedures** **and** further reducing payment delays, since beneficiaries are entitled to expect that time-schedules indicated in programme guides or other official communications are respected.

Or. el