



EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

2009 - 2014

Committee on Legal Affairs

2010/2245(INI)

4.3.2011

AMENDMENTS

1 - 14

Draft opinion
Cecilia Wikström
(PE454.702v01-00)

on Innovation Union: Transforming Europe for a post-crisis world
(2010/2245(INI))

AM\859720EN.doc

PE458.757v03-00

EN

United in diversity

EN

AM_Com_NonLegOpinion

Amendment 1
Marielle Gallo

Draft opinion
Recital A a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Aa. whereas IPR constitute a major prerequisite for capital-intensive research, development and innovation,

Or. en

Amendment 2
Marielle Gallo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

Amendment

1. Deplores the fact that a small minority of Member States in the Council have blocked the possibility of reaching a unanimous Council decision on the EU patent despite the fact that it is estimated to save innovative European businesses upwards of EUR 250 million annually; ***deleted***

Or. en

Amendment 3
Antonio López-Istúriz White, Cristina Gutiérrez-Cortines

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

Amendment

1. Deplores the fact that a small minority of Member States in the Council have blocked the possibility of reaching a unanimous Council decision on the EU ***deleted***

patent despite the fact that it is estimated to save innovative European businesses upwards of EUR 250 million annually;

Or. en

Amendment 4

Antonio López-Istúriz White, Cristina Gutiérrez-Cortines

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

Amendment

2. Welcomes the request by a number of Member States for enhanced cooperation in the area of the creation of unitary patent protection and in this respect calls on all Member States to participate in the enhanced cooperation;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 5

Marielle Gallo

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

Amendment

2. Welcomes the request by a number of Member States for enhanced cooperation in the area of the creation of unitary patent protection and in this respect calls on all Member States to participate in the enhanced cooperation;

2. *Regrets that the Council didn't reach a unanimous decision on the EU patent and welcomes the request by a number of Member States for enhanced cooperation in the area of the creation of unitary patent protection and in this respect calls on all Member States to participate in the enhanced cooperation;*

Or. en

Amendment 6
Marielle Gallo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Takes the view that *the territorial nature of copyright and the lack of harmonisation of national legislation create major obstacles to the mobility of knowledge in Europe;*

Amendment

5. Takes the view that *market fragmentation is also due to the current licensing practises and welcomes the commitment by the Commission to present a legislative proposal regarding collective rights management;*

Or. en

Amendment 7
Sajjad Karim

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Takes the view that the territorial nature of copyright *and the lack of harmonisation of national legislation create major obstacles* to the mobility of knowledge in Europe;

Amendment

5. Takes the view that the territorial nature of copyright *need not be a major obstacle* to the mobility of knowledge in Europe;

Or. en

Amendment 8
Marielle Gallo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Underlines in this respect that a short-term measure to deal with certain obstacles created by territoriality should include a reassessment of the optional

Amendment

deleted

nature of the exceptions and limitations introduced by Directive 2001/29/EC and that a review of this directive, as prescribed by its provisions, is now long overdue;

Or. en

Amendment 9
Sajjad Karim

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Underlines in this respect *that a short-term measure to deal with certain obstacles created by territoriality should include a reassessment of the optional nature of the exceptions and limitations introduced by Directive 2001/29/EC and that a review of this directive, as prescribed by its provisions, is now long overdue;*

Amendment

6. Underlines in this respect *the need for an effective and transparent system for collective rights management, involving a functional approach towards pan-European licensing, which will encourage and enable strong growth in the provision of services in the EU digital single market;*

Or. en

Amendment 10
Marielle Gallo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. *Takes the view that* the Commission *should* take into account the *specific problems encountered by SMEs when it comes to reinforcing the intellectual property rights corresponding to the principle of ‘Think Small First’ established by the Small Business Act for Europe, inter alia by applying the*

Amendment

8. *Calls on* the Commission *to* take into account the *interests of SMEs according to the "Think Small First" principle; notes, in that connection, that measures such as the establishment of a European patent and a modern Union trademark system contribute to the growth of SMEs;*

principle of non-discrimination for SMEs.

Or. en

Amendment 11
Marielle Gallo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8a. Takes the view that combating IPR infringements increases the incentives for companies to develop innovative products and therefore increases the offer of goods and services available to consumers;

Or. en

Amendment 12
Antonio López-Istúriz White, Cristina Gutiérrez-Cortines

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8a. Urges the European Commission to follow the recommendation of the Interim Evaluation of the Seventh Framework Programme (Expert Group) when it asks a moratorium on new instruments should be considered until the existing ones have been sufficiently developed and adequately evaluated, and care should be taken to avoid a confusing proliferation of instruments;

Or. en

Amendment 13

Antonio López-Istúriz White, Cristina Gutiérrez-Cortines

Draft opinion

Paragraph 8 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8b. Considers that in order to guarantee the success of the new instruments for innovation that are going to be developed in the EU2020 strategy its necessary to approve clear and specific 'Rules of Participation' that include an obligatory proportion of small enterprises;

Or. en

Amendment 14

Antonio López-Istúriz White, Cristina Gutiérrez-Cortines

Draft opinion

Paragraph 8 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8c. Asks the European Commission to present to the European Parliament an external evaluation of the innovation instruments created under the Seventh Framework Programme, such as, for example, technological platforms and JETIs (Joint European Technology Initiatives), whereby the evaluation should cover activities, calls for proposals, innovation projects and results (if any) and the economic contribution from public and private funds.

Or. en