



EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

2009 - 2014

Committee on Foreign Affairs

2010/2299(INI)

22.3.2011

AMENDMENTS

1 - 284

Draft report
Roberto Gualtieri
(PE458.483v03-00)

development of the common security and defence policy following the entry
into force of the Lisbon Treaty
(2010/2299(INI))

AM\861179EN.doc

PE460.912v01-00

EN

United in diversity

EN

AM_Com_NonLegReport

Amendment 1
Marie Eleni Koppa

Motion for a resolution
Citation 1

Motion for a resolution

- having regard to the Title V of the Treaty on European Union,

Amendment

- having regard to the Title V of the Treaty on European Union **and to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union**

Or. en

Amendment 2
Marie Eleni Koppa

Motion for a resolution
Citation 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

- having regard to the Charter of the United Nations;

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 3
Ioan Mircea Paşcu

Motion for a resolution
Citation 3

Motion for a resolution

– having regard to the Foreign Affairs (Defence) Council conclusions on the CSDP adopted on 9 December 2010,

Amendment

– having regard to the Foreign Affairs (Defence) Council conclusions on the CSDP adopted on 9 December 2010, **and on 31 January 2011;**

Or. en

Amendment 4

Krzysztof Lisek, Arnaud Danjean, Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution

Citation 4

Motion for a resolution

– having regard to the outcome of **the** UK-France Summit on security and defence cooperation on 2 November 2010,

Amendment

– having regard to the outcome of UK-France Summit on security and defence cooperation on 2 November 2010,

Or. en

Amendment 5

Ioan Mircea Pașcu

Motion for a resolution

Citation 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- having regard to the Internal Security Strategy for the European Union, endorsed by the European Council on 25-26 March 2010,

Or. en

Amendment 6

Anneli Jäätteenmäki

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Recalls that the international system is undergoing rapid and profound change, driven by the shift of power towards emerging international actors and deepening interdependence encompassing economic and financial affairs, climate

Amendment

1. Recalls that the international system **and the whole international risk map** is undergoing rapid and profound change, driven by the shift of power towards emerging international actors and deepening interdependence encompassing

change, energy and resource scarcity, and interconnected security challenges;

economic and financial affairs, climate change, energy and resource scarcity, and interconnected security challenges;

Or. en

Amendment 7
Maria Eleni Koppa

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Recalls that the international system is undergoing rapid and profound change, driven by the shift of power towards emerging international actors and deepening interdependence encompassing economic and financial affairs, climate change, energy and resource scarcity, and interconnected security challenges;

Amendment

1. Recalls that the international system is undergoing rapid and profound change, driven by the shift of power towards emerging international actors and deepening interdependence, encompassing economic and financial affairs, ***environmental deterioration and*** climate change, energy and resource scarcity, and interconnected security challenges

Or. en

Amendment 8
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Recalls that the international system is undergoing rapid and profound change, driven by the shift of power towards emerging international actors and deepening interdependence encompassing economic and financial ***affairs***, climate change, energy and resource scarcity, and interconnected security challenges;

Amendment

1. Recalls that the international system is undergoing rapid and profound change, driven by the shift of power towards emerging international actors and deepening interdependence encompassing economic and financial ***problems***, climate change, energy and resource scarcity, and interconnected security challenges;

Or. en

Amendment 9
Maria Eleni Koppa

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1a. considers that these developments should bring more attention to the human security dimension in the context of the European Security Strategy;

Or. en

Amendment 10
Sabine Lösing

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1a. Points out that with the implementation of the Lisbon Treaty the militarisation of the European Union will be expedited in an even stronger way and more rapid pace; stresses that in general military interventions or a military approach to solve conflicts or allegedly stabilise countries or regions are the wrong way, therefore calls for a commitment of the EU to a peaceful and civil foreign policy in line with international law to ensure that the European Union becomes a nonviolent player in the world, acts as mediator which seeks only for civil and peaceful solutions to conflicts, eradicates poverty, promotes sustainable development and the Millennium Development Goals, which further commits to arms-control, NPT-regime and nuclear disarmament, and fair distribution of the world resources

and wealth to ensure stability and prosperity in the EU and the world;

Or. en

Amendment 11
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Recognises that, in a turbulent global context and at a time of economic and financial crisis, the EU is being called upon to ***become an autonomous strategic actor to uphold its values, pursue its interests, and protect its citizens by developing a shared vision of the main challenges and threats and aligning its resources to respond to them***, thereby contributing to the preservation of international peace and stability, including by pursuing effective multilateralism;

Amendment

2. Recognises that, in a turbulent global context and at a time of economic and financial crisis, the EU is being called upon to ***act in a fiscally responsible manner, avoiding duplication and supporting trusted security organisations such as NATO***, thereby contributing to the preservation of international peace and stability, including by pursuing effective multilateralism;

Or. en

Amendment 12
Elena Băsescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Recognises that, in a turbulent global context and at a time of economic and financial crisis, the EU is being called upon to become an autonomous strategic actor to ***uphold*** its values, pursue its interests, and protect its citizens by developing a shared vision of the main challenges and threats and aligning its ***resources*** to respond to

Amendment

2. Recognises that, in a turbulent global context and at a time of economic and financial crisis, the EU is being called upon to become an autonomous strategic actor to ***promote*** its values, pursue its interests, and protect its citizens by developing a shared vision of the main challenges and threats and aligning its ***capabilities to adequately***

them, thereby contributing to the preservation of international peace and stability, including by *pursuing* effective multilateralism;

respond to them, thereby contributing to the preservation of international peace and stability, including by *implementing* effective multilateralism;

Or. en

Amendment 13
Ioan Mircea Paşcu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Recognises that, in a turbulent global context and at a time of economic and financial crisis, the EU is being called upon to *become an autonomous strategic actor* to uphold its values, pursue its interests, and protect its citizens by developing a shared vision of the main challenges and threats and aligning its resources to respond to them, thereby contributing to the preservation of international peace and stability, including by pursuing effective multilateralism;

Amendment

2. Recognises that, in a turbulent global context and at a time of economic and financial crisis, the EU is being called upon to *enhance its strategic autonomy* to uphold its values, pursue its interests, and protect its citizens by developing a shared vision of the main challenges and threats and *by* aligning its resources to respond to them, thereby contributing to the preservation of international peace and stability, including by pursuing effective multilateralism;

Or. en

Amendment 14
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Recognises that, in a turbulent global context and at a time of economic and financial crisis, the EU is being called upon to become an autonomous strategic actor to uphold its values, pursue its interests, and protect its citizens by developing a shared

Amendment

2. Recognises that, in a turbulent global context and at a time of economic and financial crisis, the EU is being called upon to become an autonomous strategic actor to uphold its values, pursue its interests, and protect its citizens by developing a shared

vision of the main challenges and threats and aligning its resources to respond to them, thereby contributing to the preservation of international peace and **stability**, including by pursuing effective multilateralism;

vision of the main challenges and threats and aligning its resources to respond to them, thereby contributing to the preservation of international peace and **global security**, including by pursuing effective multilateralism;

Or. en

Amendment 15
Reinhard Bütikofer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Recognises that, in a turbulent global context and at a time of economic and financial crisis, the EU is being called upon to become an **autonomous strategic** actor to uphold its values, pursue its interests, and protect its citizens by developing a shared vision of the main challenges and threats and aligning its resources to respond to them, thereby contributing to the preservation of international peace and stability, including by pursuing effective multilateralism;

Amendment

2. Recognises that, in a turbulent global context and at a time of economic and financial crisis, the EU is being called upon to become an **effective** actor to uphold its values, pursue its interests, and protect its citizens by developing a shared vision of the main challenges and threats and aligning its resources to respond to them, thereby contributing to the preservation of international peace and stability, including by pursuing effective multilateralism;

Or. en

Amendment 16
Maria Eleni Koppa

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

2a. Reiterates that the EU fully respects the provisions and the principles of the United Nations Charter and recognises that the primary responsibility for the

Amendment

maintenance of international peace and security in the world lies with the UN Security Council;

Or. en

Amendment 17
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3. Recalls that strategic autonomy in security affairs entails, for the EU, the capacity to agree common political objectives and strategic guidelines, to establish strategic partnerships with a wide range of international organisations and states, to collect adequate information and generate joint analyses and assessments, to harness and where necessary pool financial, military, and civilian resources, to plan and run effective crisis management operations across the entire range of the Petersberg tasks, and to frame and implement a common defence policy, laying the first tangible foundations on which to build common defence;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 18
Nirj Deva

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3. Recalls that strategic autonomy in security affairs entails, for the EU, the

3. Recalls that strategic autonomy in security affairs entails, for the EU, the

capacity to agree common political objectives and strategic guidelines, to establish strategic partnerships with a wide range of international organisations and states, to collect adequate information and generate joint analyses and assessments, to harness and where necessary pool financial, military, and civilian resources, to plan and run effective crisis management operations across the entire range of the Petersberg tasks, **and to frame and implement a common defence policy, laying the first tangible foundations on which to build common defence;**

capacity to agree common political objectives and strategic guidelines, to establish strategic partnerships with a wide range of international organisations and states, to collect adequate information and generate joint analyses and assessments, to harness and where necessary pool financial, military, and civilian resources, **and** to plan and run effective crisis management operations across the entire range of the Petersberg tasks;

Or. en

Amendment 19
Elena Băsescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Recalls that strategic autonomy in security affairs entails, for the EU, the capacity to agree common political objectives and strategic guidelines, to establish strategic partnerships with a wide range of international **organisations and states**, to collect adequate information and generate joint analyses and assessments, to harness and where necessary pool financial, military, and civilian resources, to plan and run effective crisis management operations across the entire range of the Petersberg tasks, and to frame and implement a common defence policy, laying the first tangible foundations on which to build common defence;

Amendment

3. Recalls that strategic autonomy in security affairs entails, for the EU, the capacity to agree **upon** common political objectives and strategic guidelines, to establish strategic partnerships with a wide range of international **actors**, to collect adequate information and generate joint analyses and assessments, to harness and where necessary pool financial, military, and civilian resources, to plan and run effective crisis management operations across the entire range of the Petersberg tasks, and to frame and implement a common defence policy, laying the first tangible foundations on which to build common defence;

Or. en

Amendment 20
Anneli Jäätteenmäki

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Recalls that strategic autonomy in security affairs entails, for the EU, the capacity to agree common political objectives and strategic guidelines, to establish strategic partnerships with a wide range of international organisations and states, to collect adequate information and generate joint analyses and assessments, to harness and where necessary pool financial, military, *and civilian* resources, to plan and run effective crisis management operations across the entire range of the Petersberg tasks, and to frame and implement a common defence policy, laying the first tangible foundations on which to build common defence;

Amendment

3. Recalls that strategic autonomy in security affairs entails, for the EU, the capacity to agree common political objectives and strategic guidelines, to establish strategic partnerships with a wide range of international organisations and states, to collect adequate information and generate joint analyses and assessments, to harness and where necessary pool financial, *civilian and* military resources, to plan and run effective crisis management operations across the entire range of the Petersberg tasks, and to frame and implement a common defence policy, laying the first tangible foundations on which to build common defence;

Or. en

Amendment 21
Ioan Mircea Paşcu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Recalls that strategic autonomy in security affairs entails, for the EU, the capacity to agree common political objectives and strategic guidelines, to establish strategic partnerships with *a wide range of* international organisations and states, to collect adequate information and generate joint analyses and assessments, to harness and where necessary pool financial, military, and civilian resources, to plan and run effective crisis

Amendment

3. Recalls that strategic autonomy in security affairs entails, for the EU, the capacity to agree common political objectives and strategic guidelines, to establish strategic partnerships with *relevant* international organisations and states, to collect adequate information and generate joint analyses and assessments, to harness and where necessary pool financial, military, and civilian resources, to plan and run effective crisis

management operations across the entire range of the Petersberg tasks, and to frame and implement a common defence policy, laying the first tangible foundations on which to build common defence;

management operations across the entire range of the Petersberg tasks, and to frame and implement a common defence policy, laying the first tangible foundations on which to build common defence;

Or. en

Amendment 22

Krzysztof Lisek, Vytautas Landsbergis, Arnaud Danjean, Michael Gahler, Tunne Kelam, Petru Constantin Luhan

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Recalls that strategic autonomy in security affairs entails, for the EU, the capacity to agree common political objectives and strategic guidelines, to establish strategic partnerships with a wide range of international organisations and states, to collect adequate information and generate joint analyses and assessments, to harness and where necessary pool financial, military, and civilian resources, to plan and run effective crisis management operations across the entire range of the Petersberg tasks, and to frame and implement a common defence policy, laying the first tangible foundations on which to build common defence;

Amendment

3. Recalls that strategic autonomy in security affairs entails, for the EU, the capacity to agree common political objectives and strategic guidelines, to establish strategic partnerships with a wide range of international organisations and *trustful* states, to collect adequate information and generate joint analyses and assessments, to harness and where necessary pool financial, military, and civilian resources, to plan and run effective crisis management operations across the entire range of the Petersberg tasks, and to frame and implement a common defence policy, laying the first tangible foundations on which to build common defence;

Or. en

Amendment 23

Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Recalls that strategic autonomy in security affairs entails, for the EU, the capacity to agree common political objectives and strategic guidelines, to establish strategic partnerships with a wide range of international organisations and states, to collect adequate information and generate joint analyses and assessments, to harness and where necessary pool financial, military, and civilian resources, to plan and run effective crisis management operations across the *entire* range of the Petersberg tasks, and to frame and implement a common defence policy, laying the first tangible foundations on which to build common defence;

Amendment

3. Recalls that strategic autonomy in security affairs entails, for the EU, the capacity to agree common political objectives and strategic guidelines, to establish strategic partnerships with a wide range of international organisations and states, to collect adequate information and generate joint analyses and assessments, to harness and where necessary pool financial, military, and civilian resources, to plan and run effective crisis management operations across the *extended* range of the Petersberg tasks, and to frame and implement a common defence policy, laying the first tangible foundations on which to build common defence;

Or. en

Amendment 24
Reinhard Bütikofer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Recalls that *strategic autonomy* in security affairs entails, for the EU, the capacity to agree common political objectives and strategic guidelines, to establish strategic partnerships with a wide range of international organisations and states, to collect adequate information and generate joint analyses and assessments, to harness and where necessary pool financial, military, and civilian resources, to plan and run effective crisis management operations across the *entire* range of the Petersberg tasks, and to frame and implement a common defence policy, laying the first tangible foundations on which to build common defence;

Amendment

3. Recalls that *capacity to act* in security affairs entails, for the EU, the capacity to agree common political objectives and strategic guidelines, to establish strategic partnerships with a wide range of international organisations and states, to collect adequate information and generate joint analyses and assessments, to harness and where necessary pool financial, military, and civilian resources, to plan and run effective crisis management operations across the *entire* range of the Petersberg tasks, and to frame and implement a common defence policy, laying the first tangible foundations on which to build common defence;

Amendment 25
Vytautas Landsbergis

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Recalls that strategic *autonomy* in security affairs entails, for the EU, the capacity to agree common political objectives and strategic guidelines, to establish strategic partnerships with a wide range of international organisations and states, to collect adequate information and generate joint analyses and assessments, to harness and where necessary pool financial, military, and civilian resources, to plan and run effective crisis management operations across the entire range of the Petersberg tasks, and to frame and implement a common defence policy, laying the first tangible foundations on which to build common defence;

Amendment

3. Recalls that strategic *interest* in security affairs entails, for the EU, the capacity to agree common political objectives and strategic guidelines, to establish strategic partnerships with a wide range of international organisations and *trustful* states, to collect adequate information and generate joint analyses and assessments, to harness and where necessary pool financial, military, and civilian resources, to plan and run effective crisis management operations across the entire range of the Petersberg tasks, and to frame and implement a common defence policy, laying the first tangible foundations on which to build common defence;

Amendment 26
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Regrets that, in the context of recent events in Libya, there is no robust mindset within the EU Council for strong action to give timely and practical support to those opposing tyranny, and considers that every support should be given to Member States that wish to consider military action and that the most appropriate

forum for the positive discussion of such action is NATO;

Or. en

Amendment 27
Vytautas Landsbergis

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Emphasises that the new common security and defence policy (CSDP) introduced by the Lisbon Treaty provides a firm political statement of the Union's intention to act as a force for stability in the world and provides a clear legal framework for reinforcing its capacities in pursuing its foreign and security policy through a comprehensive approach drawing upon all the instruments available to the EU and its Member States, to prevent and manage crises and conflicts, *and to build* lasting peace;

Amendment

4. Emphasises that the new common security and defence policy (CSDP) introduced by the Lisbon Treaty provides a firm political statement of the Union's intention to *build up and* act as a force for stability in the world and provides a clear legal framework for reinforcing its capacities in pursuing its foreign and security policy through a comprehensive approach drawing upon all the instruments available to the EU and its Member States, to prevent and manage crises and conflicts, *in a name of* lasting peace;

Or. en

Amendment 28
Ioan Mircea Pașcu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 – point a

Motion for a resolution

(a) the CFSP and the CSDP, which is an integral part of the former, have been placed within the legally binding institutional framework of EU principles (democracy, the rule of law, the universality and indivisibility of human rights and fundamental freedoms, respect

Amendment

(a) the CFSP and the CSDP, which is an integral part of the former, have been placed within the legally binding institutional framework of EU principles (democracy, the rule of law, the universality and indivisibility of human rights and fundamental freedoms, respect

for human dignity, the principles of equality and solidarity, and respect for the principles of the United Nations and *the charter* of international law), and their objectives have been merged with the general objectives of the EU's external action;

for human dignity, the principles of equality and solidarity, and respect for the principles of the United Nations *Charter* and of international law), and their objectives have been merged with the general objectives of the EU's external action;

Or. en

Amendment 29
Maria Eleni Koppa

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 – point a

Motion for a resolution

(a) the CFSP and the CSDP, which is an integral part of the former, have been placed within the legally binding institutional framework of EU principles (democracy, the rule of law, the universality and indivisibility of human rights and fundamental freedoms, respect for human dignity, the principles of equality and solidarity, and respect for the *principles* of the United Nations and the *charter* of international law), and their objectives have been merged with the general objectives of the EU's external action;

Amendment

(a) the CFSP and the CSDP, which is an integral part of the former, have been placed within the legally binding institutional framework of EU principles (democracy, the rule of law, the universality and indivisibility of human rights and fundamental freedoms, respect for human dignity, the principles of equality and solidarity, and respect for the *charter* of the United Nations and the *principles* of international law), and their objectives have been merged with the general objectives of the EU's external action;

Or. en

Amendment 30
Krzysztof Lisek, Michael Gahler, Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 – point a

Motion for a resolution

(a) the CFSP and the CSDP, which is an

Amendment

(a) the CFSP and the CSDP, which is an

integral part *of the former*, have been placed within the legally binding institutional framework of EU principles (democracy, the rule of law, the universality and indivisibility of human rights and fundamental freedoms, respect for human dignity, the principles of equality and solidarity, and respect for the principles of the United Nations and the charter of international law), and their objectives have been merged with the general objectives of the EU's external action;

integral part *thereof*, have been placed within the legally binding institutional framework of EU principles (democracy, the rule of law, the universality and indivisibility of human rights and fundamental freedoms, respect for human dignity, the principles of equality and solidarity, and respect for the principles of the United Nations and the charter of international law), and their objectives have been merged with the general objectives of the EU's external action;

Or. en

Amendment 31
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 – point a

Motion for a resolution

(a) the CFSP and the CSDP, which is an integral part of the former, have been placed within the legally binding institutional framework of EU principles (democracy, the rule of law, the universality and indivisibility of human rights and fundamental freedoms, respect for human dignity, the principles of equality and solidarity, and respect for the principles of the United Nations and *the charter* of international law), and their objectives have been merged with the general objectives of the EU's external action;

Amendment

(a) the CFSP and the CSDP, which is an integral part of the former, have been placed within the legally binding institutional framework of EU principles (democracy, the rule of law, the universality and indivisibility of human rights and fundamental freedoms, respect for human dignity, the principles of equality and solidarity, and respect for the principles of the United Nations *Charter* and of international law, *including the Responsibility to Protect*), and their objectives have been merged with the general objectives of the EU's external action;

Or. en

Amendment 32
Maria Eleni Koppa

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 – point a a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(a a) The European Council regularly assesses the threats in order to enable the Union and its Member States to take effective common action;

Or. en

Amendment 33
Teresa Riera Madurell

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 – point b

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(b) when conducting foreign and security policy, not least under the CSDP, the EU must ensure consistency between the different areas of its external action and between these and other policies;

(b) when conducting foreign and security policy, not least under the CSDP, the EU must ensure consistency between the different areas of its external action and between these and other policies; ***regrets that as a consequence of the important progress on defence cooperation, the CSDP has acquired certain autonomy and seems unrelated to Union's common policies; calls for a CSPD as real instrument at the service of the UE external action and international role;***

Or. en

Amendment 34
Andrew Duff, Marietje Schaake

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 – point b

Motion for a resolution

(b) when conducting foreign and security policy, **not least under the CSDP, the** EU must ensure consistency between the different areas of its external action and between **these** and **other** policies;

Amendment

(b) when conducting foreign and security policy the EU must ensure consistency between the different areas of its external action and between **external** and **internal** policies; **notes that the HR has a special responsibility in this matter;**

Or. en

Amendment 35

Nirj Deva

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5 – point b

Motion for a resolution

(b) when conducting foreign and security policy, not least under the CSDP, the EU must ensure consistency between the different areas of its external action and between these and other policies;

Amendment

(b) when conducting foreign and security policy, not least under the CSDP, the EU must ensure consistency **and coherence** between the different areas of its external action and between these and other policies;

Or. en

Amendment 36

Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5 – point b a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(b a) the 2003 European Security Strategy, as well as its implementation report of 2008, outline the need to apply a holistic and crosscutting approach to EU external action to adequately prevent and counter security threats arising from multiple and diverse factors, both

internally and externally, thus using all the tools through effective coordination and complementarity between all the instruments and resources;

Or. en

Amendment 37

Krzysztof Lisek, Arnaud Danjean, Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5 – point c

Motion for a resolution

(c) the **HR** conducts the CFSP, proposes CSDP decisions, missions, and the use of national resources and Union instruments together with the Commission, and, where appropriate, coordinates their civilian and military aspects, and chairs the Foreign Affairs Council, serving also as the Commission Vice-President in charge both of the Commission's external relations responsibilities and of coordinating, and providing consistency in, EU external action as a whole;

Amendment

(c) the **VP/HR, in close cooperation with the Member States**, conducts the CFSP, proposes CSDP decisions, missions, and the use of national resources and Union instruments together with the Commission, and, where appropriate, coordinates their civilian and military aspects, and chairs the Foreign Affairs Council, serving also as the Commission Vice-President in charge both of the Commission's external relations responsibilities and of coordinating, and providing consistency in, EU external action as a whole;

Or. en

Amendment 38

Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5 – point c

Motion for a resolution

(c) the HR conducts the CFSP, proposes CSDP decisions, missions, and the use of national resources and Union instruments **together with the Commission**, and, where appropriate, coordinates their civilian and

Amendment

(c) the HR conducts the CFSP, proposes CSDP decisions, missions, and the use of national resources and Union instruments and, where appropriate, coordinates their civilian and military aspects, and chairs the

military aspects, and chairs the Foreign Affairs Council, serving also as the Commission Vice-President in charge both of the Commission's external relations responsibilities and of coordinating, and providing consistency in, EU external action as a whole;

Foreign Affairs Council, serving also as the Commission Vice-President in charge both of the Commission's external relations responsibilities and of coordinating, and providing consistency in EU external action as a whole;

Or. en

Amendment 39
Andrew Duff, Marietje Schaake

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 – point c a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(c a) the HR has powers to make proposals to the Council in common foreign and security policy, either on her own initiative or at the request of the European Council, and under the overall direction of the European Council - in which case the Council may act by QMV;

Or. en

Amendment 40
Maria Eleni Koppa

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 – point c a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(c a) the treaties of the European Union include now the solidarity clause and the mutual defence clause which establish an obligation for the member states to provide aid and assistance by all means in the event a member state becomes the victim of a terrorist attack or of an armed aggression on its territory, in accordance

with Article 51 of the UN Charter and without prejudice for the neutrality of certain Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 41
Nirj Deva

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Underlines that the duty of consistency as defined by the Treaty, the new wording of Article 40 TEU (which states that the implementation of both the CFSP and the other EU policies shall not affect the application of the respective procedures), and recent ECJ case law (see the SALW case) protect both the primacy of the Community method and the distinguishing features and prerogatives of the CFSP, while encouraging the convergence of different policies, instruments, resources, and legal bases in a holistic, comprehensive approach, whereby security becomes a cross-cutting objective of EU external and internal action and the CSDP is one of its instruments; in this context, notes that civilian and military assets can be deployed in *situations other than CSDP missions*, as *has been shown in practice by the EU Military Staff* coordination of military capabilities during the Pakistan floods in summer 2010;

Amendment

6. Underlines that the duty of consistency as defined by the Treaty, the new wording of Article 40 TEU (which states that the implementation of both the CFSP and the other EU policies shall not affect the application of the respective procedures), and recent ECJ case law (see the SALW case) protect both the primacy of the Community method and the distinguishing features and prerogatives of the CFSP, while encouraging the convergence of different policies, instruments, resources, and legal bases in a holistic, comprehensive approach, whereby security becomes a cross-cutting objective of EU external and internal action and the CSDP is one of its instruments; in this context, notes that civilian and military assets can be *also* deployed in *the event of natural and man-made disasters*, as *previously seen* in the coordination of military capabilities during the Pakistan floods in summer 2010;

Or. en

Amendment 42
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Underlines that the duty of consistency as defined by the Treaty, the new wording of Article 40 TEU (which states that the implementation of both the CFSP and the other EU policies shall not affect the application of the respective procedures), and recent ECJ case law (see the SALW case) protect both the primacy of the Community method and the distinguishing features and prerogatives of the CFSP, while encouraging the convergence of different policies, instruments, resources, and legal bases in a holistic, comprehensive approach, whereby security becomes a cross-cutting objective of EU external and internal action and the CSDP is one of its instruments; in this context, notes that civilian and military assets *can be* deployed in situations other than CSDP missions, *as has been shown in practice by the EU Military Staff coordination of military capabilities during the Pakistan floods in summer 2010*;

Amendment

6. Underlines that the duty of consistency as defined by the Treaty, the new wording of Article 40 TEU (which states that the implementation of both the CFSP and the other EU policies shall not affect the application of the respective procedures), and recent ECJ case law (see the SALW case) protect both the primacy of the Community method and the distinguishing features and prerogatives of the CFSP, while encouraging the convergence of different policies, instruments, resources, and legal bases in a holistic, comprehensive approach, whereby security becomes a cross-cutting objective of EU external and internal action and the CSDP is one of its instruments; in this context, notes that civilian and military assets *of European countries have been more effectively* in situations other than CSDP missions;

Or. en

Amendment 43
Roberto Gualtieri

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Underlines that the duty of consistency as defined by the Treaty, the new wording of Article 40 TEU (which states that the implementation of both the CFSP and the

Amendment

6. Underlines that the duty of consistency as defined by the Treaty, the new wording of Article 40 TEU (which states that the implementation of both the CFSP and the

other EU policies shall not affect the application of the respective procedures), and recent ECJ case law (see the SALW case) protect both the primacy of the Community method and the distinguishing features and prerogatives of the CFSP, while encouraging the convergence of different policies, instruments, resources, and legal bases in a holistic, comprehensive approach, whereby security becomes a cross-cutting objective of EU external and internal action and the CSDP is one of its instruments; in this context, notes that *civilian and* military assets can be deployed in situations other than CSDP missions, as has been shown in practice by the EU Military Staff coordination of military capabilities during the Pakistan floods in summer 2010;

other EU policies shall not affect the application of the respective procedures), and recent ECJ case law (see the SALW case) protect both the primacy of the Community method and the distinguishing features and prerogatives of the CFSP, while encouraging the convergence of different policies, instruments, resources, and legal bases in a holistic, comprehensive approach, whereby security becomes a cross-cutting objective of EU external and internal action and the CSDP is one of its instruments; in this context, notes that military assets can be deployed in *support to civilian actions in* situations other than CSDP missions, as has been shown in practice by the EU Military Staff coordination of military capabilities during the Pakistan floods in summer 2010 *in accordance with the applicable UN Guidelines on the use of Military and Civil Defence Assets in international disaster relief (Oslo Guidelines) and following the request by the Commission;*

Or. en

Amendment 44
Reinhard Bütikofer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Underlines that the duty of consistency as defined by the Treaty, the new wording of Article 40 TEU (which states that the implementation of both the CFSP and the other EU policies shall not affect the application of the respective procedures), and recent ECJ case law (see the SALW case) protect both the primacy of the Community method and the distinguishing features and prerogatives of the CFSP, while encouraging the convergence of

Amendment

6. Underlines that the duty of consistency as defined by the Treaty, the new wording of Article 40 TEU (which states that the implementation of both the CFSP and the other EU policies shall not affect the application of the respective procedures), and recent ECJ case law (see the SALW case) protect both the primacy of the Community method and the distinguishing features and prerogatives of the CFSP, while encouraging the convergence of

different policies, instruments, resources, and legal bases in a holistic, comprehensive approach, whereby security becomes a cross-cutting objective of EU external and internal action and the CSDP is one of its instruments; *in this context*, notes that civilian and military assets can be deployed in situations other than CSDP missions, as *has been* shown in practice by the EU Military Staff coordination of military capabilities during the Pakistan floods in summer 2010;

different policies, instruments, resources, and legal bases in a holistic, comprehensive approach, whereby security becomes a cross-cutting objective of EU external and internal action and the CSDP is one of its instruments; notes that civilian and military assets can be deployed in situations other than CSDP missions, as *was* shown in practice by the EU Military Staff coordination of military capabilities *in support of civilian-led humanitarian relief operations* during the Pakistan floods in summer 2010 *in accordance with the applicable UN Guidelines on the use of Military and Civil Defence Assets in international disaster relief (Oslo Guidelines) and following the request by the Commission*;

Or. en

Amendment 45
Anneli Jäätteenmäki

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Underlines that the duty of consistency as defined by the Treaty, the new wording of Article 40 TEU (which states that the implementation of both the CFSP and the other EU policies shall not affect the application of the respective procedures), and recent ECJ case law (see the SALW case) protect both the primacy of the Community method and the distinguishing features and prerogatives of the CFSP, while encouraging the convergence of different policies, instruments, resources, and legal bases in a holistic, comprehensive approach, whereby security becomes a cross-cutting objective of EU external and internal action and the CSDP is one of its instruments; in this context,

Amendment

6. Underlines that the duty of consistency as defined by the Treaty, the new wording of Article 40 TEU (which states that the implementation of both the CFSP and the other EU policies shall not affect the application of the respective procedures), and recent ECJ case law (see the SALW case) protect both the primacy of the Community method and the distinguishing features and prerogatives of the CFSP, while encouraging the convergence of different policies, instruments, resources, and legal bases in a holistic, comprehensive approach, whereby *contributing to peace and security in the world* becomes a cross-cutting objective of EU external and internal action and the

notes that civilian and military assets can be deployed in situations other than CSDP missions, as has been shown in practice by the EU Military Staff coordination of military capabilities during the Pakistan floods in summer 2010;

CSDP is one of its instruments; in this context, notes that civilian and military assets can be deployed in situations other than CSDP missions, as has been shown in practice by the EU Military Staff coordination of military capabilities during the Pakistan floods in summer 2010;

Or. en

Amendment 46
Krzysztof Lisek, Michael Gahler, Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Underlines that the duty of consistency as defined by the Treaty, the new wording of Article 40 TEU (which states that the implementation of both the CFSP and the other EU policies shall not affect the application of the respective procedures), and recent ECJ case law (see the SALW case) protect both the primacy of the Community method and the distinguishing features and prerogatives of the CFSP, while encouraging the convergence of different policies, instruments, resources, and legal bases in a holistic, comprehensive approach, whereby security becomes a cross-cutting objective of EU external and internal action and the CSDP is one of its instruments; in this context, notes that civilian and military assets can be deployed in situations other than CSDP missions, as has been shown in practice by the EU Military Staff coordination of military capabilities during the Pakistan floods in summer 2010;

Amendment

6. Underlines that the duty of consistency as defined by the Treaty, the new wording of Article 40 TEU (which states that the implementation of both the CFSP and the other EU policies shall not affect the application of the respective procedures), and recent ECJ case law (see the SALW case) protect both the primacy of the Community method and the distinguishing features and prerogatives of the CFSP, while encouraging the convergence of different policies, instruments, resources, and legal bases in a holistic, comprehensive approach, whereby security becomes a cross-cutting objective of EU external and internal action and the CSDP is one of its instruments; in this context, notes that civilian and military assets can be deployed in situations other than CSDP missions, as has been shown in practice by the EU Military Staff coordination of military capabilities during the Pakistan floods in summer ***and after the Haiti earthquake in*** 2010;

Or. en

Amendment 47
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Underlines that the duty of consistency as defined by the Treaty, the new wording of Article 40 TEU (which states that the implementation of both the CFSP and the other EU policies shall not affect the application of the respective procedures), and recent ECJ case law (see the SALW case) protect both the primacy of the Community method and the distinguishing features and prerogatives of the CFSP, while encouraging the convergence of different policies, instruments, resources, and legal bases in a holistic, comprehensive approach, whereby security becomes a cross-cutting objective of EU external and internal action and the CSDP is one of its instruments; in this context, notes that civilian and military assets can be deployed in *situations* other than CSDP missions, as has been shown in practice by the EU Military Staff coordination of military capabilities during the Pakistan floods in summer 2010;

Amendment

6. Underlines that the duty of consistency as defined by the Treaty, the new wording of Article 40 TEU (which states that the implementation of both the CFSP and the other EU policies shall not affect the application of the respective procedures), and recent ECJ case law (see the SALW case) protect both the primacy of the Community method and the distinguishing features and prerogatives of the CFSP, while encouraging the convergence of different policies, instruments, resources, and legal bases in a holistic, comprehensive approach, whereby security becomes a cross-cutting objective of EU external and internal action and the CSDP is one of its instruments; in this context, notes that civilian and military assets can be deployed in *emergencies* other than CSDP missions, as has been shown in practice by the EU Military Staff coordination of military capabilities during the Pakistan floods in summer 2010;

Or. en

Amendment 48
Sabine Lösing

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6a. Stresses that disasters must not be used as a pretext leading to the deployment or transfer of military forces inside or outside the EU; instead, the

necessary resources should be made available to enable civil protection forces to carry out the task in an adequate manner only within a civilian framework;

Or. en

Amendment 49
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7. Regrets, therefore, that, more than one year after the entry into force of the Lisbon Treaty, there are not yet clear signs of a post-Lisbon EU holistic approach enabling traditional procedural and institutional barriers to be overcome, while preserving the respective legal prerogatives when European citizens' security is at stake;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 50
Andrew Duff, Marietje Schaake

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7. Regrets, therefore, that, more than one year after the entry into force of the Lisbon Treaty, there are not yet clear signs of a post-Lisbon EU holistic approach enabling traditional procedural and institutional barriers to be overcome, while preserving the respective legal prerogatives when European citizens' security is at stake;

deleted

Amendment 51

Krzysztof Lisek, Petru Constantin Luhan, Arnaud Danjean, Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. **Regrets**, therefore, that, more than one year after the entry into force of the Lisbon Treaty, there are not yet clear signs of a post-Lisbon EU **holistic** approach enabling traditional procedural and institutional barriers to be overcome, while preserving the respective legal prerogatives when European citizens' security is at stake;

Amendment

7. **Expresses concern**, therefore, that, more than one year after the entry into force of the Lisbon Treaty, there are not yet clear signs of a post-Lisbon EU **comprehensive** approach enabling traditional procedural and institutional barriers to be overcome, while preserving the respective legal prerogatives when European citizens' security is at stake;

Amendment 52

Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Is convinced that a credible external security policy requires **deepened interdependence between the Member States and improved internal cohesion and mutual trust and solidarity, similar to what has been achieved in the internal security sphere through Schengen cooperation (whereby Schengen countries, by protecting their own borders, protect the borders of the other Member States, national rules acquire continental scope, and tasks related to the protection of national security can also be performed on the territory of another state or in joint teams operating in accordance with**

Amendment

8. Is convinced that a credible external security policy requires **a common strategic vision among European Countries which does not exist,**

European standards);

Or. en

Amendment 53

Nirj Deva

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Is convinced that a credible external security policy requires deepened ***interdependence*** between the Member States and improved internal cohesion and mutual trust and solidarity, ***similar to what has been achieved in the internal security sphere through Schengen cooperation (whereby Schengen countries, by protecting their own borders, protect the borders of the other Member States, national rules acquire continental scope, and tasks related to the protection of national security can also be performed on the territory of another state or in joint teams operating in accordance with European standards);***

Amendment

8. Is convinced that a credible external security policy requires deepened ***coordination*** between the Member States and improved internal cohesion and mutual trust and solidarity;

Or. en

Amendment 54

Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Urges the European Council to carry out its task of identifying the strategic interests and political objectives of the EU by drawing up a European ***foreign policy strategy geared to international***

Amendment

9. Urges the European Council to carry out its task of identifying the strategic interests and political objectives of the EU by drawing up a European ***Foreign Policy Strategy*** which should ***promote and***

developments, which should *be based on* real convergence of the different dimensions of EU external action and subject to regular review;

require real convergence of *EU Member States foreign action, encompassing* the different dimensions of EU external action and *being* subject to regular review; *calls on the HR/VP and the Council to build on the concepts of human security and the Responsibility to Protect to make them central to the European Foreign Policy Strategy and translate them into tangible policy guidelines;*

Or. en

Amendment 55
Maria Eleni Koppa

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. *Urges* the European Council to carry out its task of identifying the strategic interests and political objectives of the EU by drawing up a European *foreign policy strategy* geared to international developments, which should be based on real convergence of the different dimensions of EU external action and subject to regular review;

Amendment

9. *Underlines the importance of linking more closely the internal and the external aspects of the European security and urges the European Council* to carry out its task of identifying the strategic interests and political objectives of the EU by drawing up a European *Foreign Policy Strategy* geared to international developments, which should be based on real convergence of the different dimensions of EU external action and subject to regular review;

Or. en

Amendment 56
Andrew Duff, Marietje Schaake

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Urges the European Council to carry out

Amendment

9. Urges the European Council to carry out

its task of identifying the strategic interests and political objectives of the EU by drawing up a European foreign policy strategy geared to international developments, which should be based on real convergence of the different dimensions of EU external action and subject to regular review;

its task of identifying the strategic interests and political objectives of the EU by drawing up a European foreign policy strategy geared to international developments, which should be based on real convergence of the different dimensions of EU external action and ***increasing conformity of the national policies of the Member States to the common objectives of the EU, and*** subject to regular review;

Or. en

Amendment 57
Reinhard Bütikofer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Urges the European Council to carry out its task of identifying the strategic interests and political objectives of the EU by drawing up a European foreign policy strategy geared to international developments, which should be based on real convergence of the different dimensions of EU external action and subject to regular review;

Amendment

9. Urges the European Council to carry out its task of identifying the strategic interests and political objectives of the EU by drawing up a European foreign policy strategy geared to international developments, which should be based on real convergence of the different dimensions of EU external action and subject to regular review; ***calls on the VP/HR to start work on an European White Paper on defence and military crisis management which precisely defines possible scenarios, political and military criteria for the deployment of EU military missions, possible exit strategies and also explicit benchmarks for evaluating the impact of military CSDP missions in specific countries and regions;***

Or. en

Amendment 58
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10. Calls on the European Council and its President to set about this task by engaging in political dialogue with the European Parliament and to discuss Parliament's recommendations; maintains that such a dialogue is required in the light of the new Treaty provisions and of the need to lay down and implement the foreign policy strategy, proceeding from an effective comprehensive approach; *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 59
Andrew Duff

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10. Calls on the European Council and its President to set about this task by engaging in political dialogue with the European Parliament and to discuss Parliament's recommendations; maintains that such a dialogue is required in the light of the new Treaty provisions and of the need to lay down and implement the foreign policy strategy, proceeding from an effective comprehensive approach; *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 60

Nirj Deva

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Calls on the European Council and its President to set about this task by engaging in political dialogue with the European Parliament and to discuss Parliament's recommendations; maintains that such a dialogue is required in the light of the new Treaty provisions and of the need to lay down and implement the foreign policy strategy, proceeding from an effective comprehensive approach;

Amendment

10. Calls on the European Council and its President to set about this task by engaging in political dialogue with the European Parliament and to discuss Parliament's recommendations; maintains that such a dialogue is required in the light of the new Treaty provisions and of the need to lay down and implement the foreign policy strategy, proceeding from an effective comprehensive approach; ***suggests that such a dialogue needs to take place on a regular basis, and to focus on progress achieved as much as on prospects;***

Or. en

Amendment 61

Anneli Jäätteenmäki

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Calls on the European Council and its President to set about this task by engaging in political dialogue with the European Parliament and ***to discuss Parliament's*** recommendations; maintains that such a dialogue is required in the light of the new Treaty provisions and of the need to lay down and implement the foreign policy strategy, proceeding from an effective comprehensive approach;

Amendment

10. Calls on the European Council and its President to set about this task by engaging in political dialogue with the European Parliament, ***Member States,*** and ***civil society and discussing the*** recommendations; maintains that such a dialogue is required in the light of the new Treaty provisions and of the need to lay down and implement the foreign policy strategy, proceeding from an effective comprehensive approach;

Or. en

Amendment 62

Krzysztof Lisek, Arnaud Danjean, Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Points out that ***the fact of transcending the pillar-based institutional structure, the full integration of the CFSP/CSDP into the framework of EU objectives and principles, and the role conferred on*** the European Parliament as the body directly representing EU citizens make Parliament a vital source of democratic legitimacy for the CFSP/CSDP and lend weight to its right to expect that its opinions and recommendations will be taken properly into consideration;

Amendment

11. Points out that the role conferred ***to*** the European Parliament as the body directly representing EU citizens make Parliament a vital source of democratic legitimacy for the CFSP/CSDP and lend weight to its right to expect that its opinions and recommendations will be taken properly into consideration;

Or. en

Amendment 63

Sabine Lösing

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11a. Calls for the establishment of an Inter-Parliamentary Assembly of the national Parliaments to monitor the CFSP and the ESDP; the Inter-Parliamentary Assembly shall be entitled:

- to refuse or consent to any measures of the CFSP and all civilian and military CFSP missions,

- to be consulted and informed before any CFSP measures; to assent or not to any CFSP measures or missions; and to visit CFSP and CSDP missions on the ground

Amendment 64
Krzysztof Lisek, Michael Gahler, Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12. Points out in addition that, by virtue of the Treaty, the High Representative is subject to a vote of consent by the European Parliament and Parliament participates in the decision-taking on the EU external action budget, including CFSP and CSDP civilian missions and the administrative costs arising from EU military coordination, and that its consent is essential in order to translate EU strategies into laws and to conclude international agreements, including agreements relating mainly to the CFSP, the one exception being agreements relating solely to the CFSP; ***deleted***

Amendment 65
Luis Yáñez-Barnuevo García

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12a. Also draws attention to the supervisory role played by national parliaments, and notes with satisfaction the initiative taken by some Member States which have adopted legislation which makes parliamentary authorisation mandatory before deploying troops abroad;

Amendment 66
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. ***Considers*** the termination of the WEU Treaty and the disbandment of the WEU Assembly ***to be consistent with the new legal framework created by the Lisbon Treaty, and does not believe that disbandment of the Assembly will leave any form of vacuum in which the VP/HR, the Council, and the Commission could act outside of parliamentary control; declares its willingness to enhance cooperation with EU national parliaments in exercising democratic scrutiny over the CFSP and the CSDP, with the goal of mutually reinforcing their respective influence on the political choices made by the other European institutions and by the Member States;***

Amendment

13. ***Is concerned by the inevitable decline of National Parliamentary scrutiny over CFSP following the*** termination of the WEU Treaty and the disbandment of the WEU Assembly;

Amendment 67
Andrew Duff, Marietje Schaake

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. ***Considers the termination of the WEU Treaty and the disbandment of the WEU Assembly to be consistent with the new legal framework created by the Lisbon Treaty, and does not believe that disbandment of the Assembly will leave any form of vacuum in which the VP/HR,***

Amendment

13. ***Wishes*** to enhance cooperation with EU national parliaments in exercising democratic scrutiny over the CFSP and the CSDP, with the goal of mutually reinforcing their respective influence on the political choices made by the other European institutions and by the Member

the Council, and the Commission could act outside of parliamentary control; declares its willingness to enhance cooperation with EU national parliaments in exercising democratic scrutiny over the CFSP and the CSDP, with the goal of mutually reinforcing their respective influence on the political choices made by the other European institutions and by the Member States;

States; *looks forward to reaching agreement with national parliaments on new forms of interparliamentary cooperation in the field of CFSP which fully respect the distinct mandates and functions of the two parliamentary levels yet which combine to hold national ministers and the EU institutions to account for their performance in the field of foreign affairs and which reassure the citizen of the democratic character of the emerging common policies at EU level;*

Or. en

Amendment 68
Ioan Mircea Pașcu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Considers the termination of the WEU Treaty and the disbandment of the WEU Assembly to be consistent with the new legal framework created by the Lisbon Treaty, and ***does not believe that disbandment of the Assembly will leave any form of vacuum in which the VP/HR, the Council, and the Commission could act outside of parliamentary control; declares*** its willingness to enhance cooperation with EU national parliaments in exercising democratic scrutiny over the CFSP and the CSDP, with the goal of mutually reinforcing their respective influence on the political choices made by the other European institutions and by the Member States;

Amendment

13. Considers the termination of the WEU Treaty and the disbandment of the WEU Assembly to be consistent with the new legal framework created by the Lisbon Treaty and the ***enhanced role of the European Parliament in the area of CFSP/CSDP; reaffirms*** its willingness to enhance cooperation with EU national parliaments in exercising democratic scrutiny over the CFSP and the CSDP, with the goal of mutually reinforcing their respective influence on the political choices made by the other European institutions and by the Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 69
Reinhard Bütikofer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Considers the termination of the WEU Treaty and the disbandment of the WEU Assembly to be consistent with the new legal framework created by the Lisbon Treaty, and does not believe that disbandment of the Assembly will leave any form of vacuum in which the VP/HR, the Council, and the Commission could act outside of parliamentary control; declares its willingness to enhance cooperation with EU national parliaments in **exercising** democratic scrutiny over the CFSP and the CSDP, with the goal of mutually reinforcing their respective influence on the political choices made by the other European institutions and by the Member States;

Amendment

13. Considers the termination of the WEU Treaty and the disbandment of the WEU Assembly to be consistent with the new legal framework created by the Lisbon Treaty, and does not believe that disbandment of the Assembly will leave any form of vacuum in which the VP/HR, the Council, and the Commission could act outside of parliamentary control; declares its willingness to enhance cooperation with EU national parliaments **to implement article 9 of Protocol 1 of the Lisbon Treaty in order to exercise** democratic scrutiny over the CFSP and the CSDP, with the goal of mutually reinforcing their respective influence on the political choices made by the other European institutions and by the Member States **while fully respecting national parliaments defence policy prerogatives**;

Or. en

Amendment 70
Krzysztof Lisek, Arnaud Danjean, Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14a. insists that a common response to the developments in Libya is essential to formulate a credible new approach for our southern neighbourhood policy thereafter underlines that the elaboration of a strategy for the Sahel region and the Horn of Africa is yet another concrete

opportunity to demonstrate the ability of the EU to act both on security and development challenges;

Or. en

Amendment 71
Andrew Duff, Marietje Schaake

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

15. Points out that the powers and responsibilities of the High Representative do not just constitute ‘double hatting’, but also amount to merging of functions and legal bases, making her central to the process of bringing the various instruments, actors, and procedures of EU external action into a coherent relationship; calls on the High Representative to interpret her role as one that is constantly evolving and requires her, while carrying on a constructive dialogue with Parliament, to commit herself to the twofold effort of actively fostering a political consensus among the Member States on the strategic directions and policy options for the CFSP and the CSDP, and of bringing coherence to, effectively coordinating, and fully exploiting the potential for the CFSP-CSDP to act synergistically with the other sectors of EU external action and with EU internal policies having an impact and implications at the external level;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 72

Nirj Deva

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Points out that the powers and responsibilities of the High Representative do not just constitute ‘double hatting’, but also amount to merging of functions and legal bases, making her central to the process of bringing the various instruments, actors, and procedures of EU external action into a coherent relationship; calls on the High Representative to interpret her role as one that is constantly evolving and requires her, while carrying on a constructive dialogue with Parliament, to commit herself to the *twofold* effort of actively *fostering a political consensus among the Member States on the strategic directions and policy options for the CFSP and the CSDP, and of* bringing coherence to, effectively coordinating, and fully exploiting the potential for the CFSP-CSDP to act synergistically with the other sectors of EU external action and with EU internal policies having an impact and implications at the external level;

Amendment

15. Points out that the powers and responsibilities of the High Representative do not just constitute ‘double hatting’, but also amount to merging of functions and legal bases, making her central to the process of bringing the various instruments, actors, and procedures of EU external action into a coherent relationship; calls on the High Representative to interpret her role as one that is constantly evolving and requires her, while carrying on a constructive dialogue with Parliament, to commit herself to the effort of actively bringing coherence to, effectively coordinating, and fully exploiting the potential for the CFSP-CSDP to act synergistically with the other sectors of EU external action and with EU internal policies having an impact and implications at the external level;

Or. en

Amendment 73

Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Considers that the EEAS has a key role to play in bringing about an effective comprehensive approach based on full integration of the CSDP, the CFSP, and

Amendment

deleted

the other dimensions of EU external action, starting with development cooperation policy; welcomes the outcome of the negotiations, which has served to establish the EEAS as a structure to assist the EU institutions and the various dimensions of EU external action and conferred a wide range of powers and responsibilities on it while providing a solid link to the Commission without in any way encroaching on the Commission's prerogatives; and hopes that the responsibility assigned to the EEAS for strategic planning of the main financial instruments related to EU external action will translate into genuinely coherent use thereof to further EU principles and objectives;

Or. en

Amendment 74

Anneli Jäätteenmäki

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Considers that the EEAS has a key role to play in bringing about an effective comprehensive approach based on full integration of the CSDP, the CFSP, and the other dimensions of EU external action, **starting with** development cooperation policy; welcomes the outcome of the negotiations, which has served to establish the EEAS as a structure to assist the EU institutions and the various dimensions of EU external action and conferred a wide range of powers and responsibilities on it while providing a solid link to the Commission without in any way encroaching on the Commission's prerogatives; and hopes that the responsibility assigned to the EEAS for

Amendment

16. Considers that the EEAS has a key role to play in bringing about an effective comprehensive approach based on full integration of the CSDP, the CFSP, and the other dimensions of EU external action, **such as** development cooperation policy, **trade, energy, environment, climate change, agriculture, fisheries etc**; welcomes the outcome of the negotiations, which has served to establish the EEAS as a structure to assist the EU institutions and the various dimensions of EU external action and conferred a wide range of powers and responsibilities on it while providing a solid link to the Commission without in any way encroaching on the Commission's prerogatives; and hopes that

strategic planning of the main financial instruments related to EU external action will translate into genuinely coherent use thereof to further EU principles and objectives;

the responsibility assigned to the EEAS for strategic planning of the main financial instruments related to EU external action will translate into genuinely coherent use thereof to further EU principles and objectives;

Or. en

Amendment 75
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Considers that the EEAS has a key role to play in bringing about an effective comprehensive approach based on full integration of the CSDP, the CFSP, and the other dimensions of EU external action, **starting with** development cooperation **policy**; welcomes the outcome of the negotiations, which has served to establish the EEAS as a structure to assist the EU institutions and the various dimensions of EU external action and conferred a wide range of powers and responsibilities on it while providing a solid link to the Commission without in any way encroaching on the Commission's prerogatives; and hopes that the responsibility assigned to the EEAS for strategic planning of the main financial instruments related to EU external action will translate into genuinely coherent use thereof to further EU principles and objectives;

Amendment

16. Considers that the EEAS has a key role to play in bringing about an effective comprehensive approach based on full integration of the CSDP, the CFSP, and the other dimensions of EU external action, **notably** development cooperation, **trade and energy security policies**; welcomes the outcome of the negotiations, which has served to establish the EEAS as a structure to assist the EU institutions and the various dimensions of EU external action and conferred a wide range of powers and responsibilities on it while providing a solid link to the Commission without in any way encroaching on the Commission's prerogatives; and hopes that the responsibility assigned to the EEAS for strategic planning of the main financial instruments related to EU external action will translate into genuinely coherent use thereof to further EU principles and objectives;

Or. en

Amendment 76
Krzysztof Lisek, Michael Gahler, Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16a. Security and defence

(This is a subheading after the paragraph 16 and before paragraph 17)

Or. en

Amendment 77
Nirj Deva

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17. Reiterates its view that the civilian and military crisis management structures and capabilities should be coordinated more closely and act more synergistically within the comprehensive approach, without altering the distinctions between civilian and military roles and the different decision-making procedures and chains of command;

17. Reiterates its view that the civilian and military crisis management structures and capabilities should be coordinated more closely and act more synergistically within the comprehensive approach, without altering the distinctions between civilian and military roles and the different decision-making procedures and chains of command; ***points out that missions must be conducted with a view to the humanitarian context as well as long term development, which by definition implies coherence with the respective humanitarian framework and development strategy;***

Or. en

Amendment 78
Luis Yáñez-Barnuevo García

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17a. Notes with satisfaction the European Council conclusions on military capability development, of 9 December 2010, concerning civil-military synergies; calls for greater cooperation between the European Defence Agency, the European Commission and the EEAS in order to develop and use dual capabilities in crisis management, which will undoubtedly benefit the defence of human rights and strengthen the rule of law;

Or. es

Amendment 79
Sabine Lösing

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17a. Reiterates that civil-military cooperation involves the incalculable risk of civilians being used to achieve military objectives with far-reaching consequences: in the eyes of the local populace they forfeit their neutral and purely humanitarian status; for this reason, civil and military operations should remain strictly separate in every area;

Or. en

Amendment 80
Andrew Duff, Marietje Schaake

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

18. Deplores the fact that the provisional organisation chart of the EEAS does not include the ‘appropriate structure’ which, under the Madrid accords, is to integrate the various units dealing with crisis response planning and programming, conflict prevention, and peace-building with the CSDP structures; calls for a crisis management board to be set up, to be staffed by the CMPD, the CCPC, the EUMS, the EU SITCEN, the peace-building, conflict prevention, mediation, and security policy units, the Chair of the PSC, the geographical desks and other policy departments concerned, according to the circumstances, and the Commission humanitarian aid and civil protection structures, placed under the authority of the HR and the executive Secretary-General, and coordinated by the Managing Director for Crisis Response; calls on the High Representative and the Commission to equip the board with an efficient alert and emergency system and a large unified operations room, located within the EEAS, so as to enable surveillance to be carried out 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, hence avoiding the present operational overlapping (seven operations rooms), which hardly squares with the need for a proper surveillance and rapid reaction system to deal with crises;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 81

Krzysztof Lisek, Arnaud Danjean, Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Deplores the fact that the provisional organisation chart of the EEAS does not include the ‘appropriate structure’ which, under the Madrid accords, is to integrate the various units dealing with crisis response planning and programming, conflict prevention, and peace-building with the CSDP structures; ***calls for a crisis management board to be set up, to be staffed by the CMPD, the CCPC, the EUMS, the EU SITCEN, the peace-building, conflict prevention, mediation, and security policy units, the Chair of the PSC, the geographical desks and other policy departments concerned, according to the circumstances, and the Commission humanitarian aid and civil protection structures, placed under the authority of the HR and the executive Secretary-General, and coordinated by the Managing Director for Crisis Response; calls on the High Representative and the Commission to equip the board with an efficient alert and emergency system and a large unified operations room, located within the EEAS, so as to enable surveillance to be carried out 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, hence avoiding the present operational overlapping (seven operations rooms), which hardly squares with the need for a proper surveillance and rapid reaction system to deal with crises;***

Amendment

18. Deplores the fact that the provisional organisation chart of the EEAS does not include the ‘appropriate structure’ which, under the Madrid accords, is to integrate the various units dealing with crisis response planning and programming, conflict prevention, and peace-building with the CSDP structures;

Or. en

Amendment 82
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Deplores the fact that the provisional organisation chart of the EEAS does not include the ‘appropriate structure’ which, under the Madrid accords, is to integrate the various units dealing with crisis response planning and programming, conflict prevention, and peace-building with the CSDP structures; calls for a crisis management board to be set up, to be staffed by the CMPD, the CCPC, the EUMS, the EU SITCEN, the peace-building, conflict prevention, mediation, and security policy units, the Chair of the PSC, the geographical desks and other policy departments concerned, according to the circumstances, and the Commission humanitarian aid and civil protection structures, placed under the authority of the HR and the executive Secretary-General, and coordinated by the Managing Director for Crisis Response; ***calls on the High Representative and the Commission to equip the board with an efficient alert and emergency system and a large unified operations room, located within the EEAS, so as to enable surveillance to be carried out 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, hence avoiding the present operational overlapping (seven operations rooms), which hardly squares with the need for a proper surveillance and rapid reaction system to deal with crises;***

Amendment

18. Deplores the fact that the provisional organisation chart of the EEAS does not include the ‘appropriate structure’ which, under the Madrid accords, is to integrate the various units dealing with crisis response planning and programming, conflict prevention, and peace-building with the CSDP structures; calls for a crisis management board to be set up, to be staffed by the CMPD, the CCPC, the EUMS, the EU SITCEN, the peace-building, conflict prevention, mediation, and security policy units, the Chair of the PSC, the geographical desks and other policy departments concerned, according to the circumstances, and the Commission humanitarian aid and civil protection structures, placed under the authority of the HR and the executive Secretary-General, and coordinated by the Managing Director for Crisis Response;

Or. en

Amendment 83

Franziska Katharina Brantner, Reinhard Bütikofer

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Deplores the fact that the provisional organisation chart of the EEAS does not include the ‘appropriate structure’ which, under the Madrid accords, is to integrate the various units dealing with crisis response planning and programming, conflict prevention, and peace-building with the CSDP structures; calls for a crisis management board to be set up, to be **staffed by** the CMPD, the CCPC, the EUMS, the EU SITCEN, the peace-building, conflict prevention, mediation, and security policy units, the Chair of the PSC, the geographical desks and other policy departments concerned, according to the circumstances, and the Commission humanitarian aid and civil protection structures, **placed under the authority of the HR and the executive Secretary-General**, and coordinated by the Managing Director for Crisis Response; calls on the **High Representative** and the Commission to equip the board with an efficient alert and emergency system and a large unified operations room, located within the EEAS, so as to enable surveillance to be carried out 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, hence avoiding the present operational overlapping (seven operations rooms), which hardly squares with the need for a proper surveillance and rapid reaction system to deal with crises;

Amendment

18. Deplores the fact that the provisional organisation chart of the EEAS does not include the ‘appropriate structure’ which, under the Madrid accords, is to integrate the various units dealing with crisis response planning and programming, conflict prevention, and peace-building with the CSDP structures; calls **first** for a crisis management board to be set up, to be **composed of** the CMPD, the CCPC, the EUMS, the EU SITCEN, the peace-building, conflict prevention, mediation, and security policy units, the Chair of the PSC, the geographical desks and other policy departments concerned, according to the circumstances, **placed under the authority of the VP/HR and the executive Secretary-General, and the** Commission humanitarian aid and civil protection structures **according to the circumstances**, and coordinated by the Managing Director for Crisis Response; calls on the **VP/HR** and the Commission to equip the board with an efficient alert and emergency system and a large unified operations room, located within the EEAS, so as to enable surveillance to be carried out 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, hence avoiding the present operational overlapping (seven operations rooms), which hardly squares with the need for a proper surveillance and rapid reaction system to deal with crises; **calls for regular coordination and exchange to be ensured between this system and the European Emergency Response Centre currently being developed by the Commission to guarantee appropriate synergies while respecting each other specific mandates; secondly calls for a permanent working**

structure among the above mentioned actors beyond acute crisis management in order to develop common approaches, such as in the area of rule of law or security sector reform; thirdly calls in the midterm on a review of the current setting in order to establish truly integrated strategic planning and conceptual development in the field of crisis management and peace building for the external action service;

Or. en

Amendment 84

Anneli Jäätteenmäki

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Deplores the fact that the provisional organisation chart of the EEAS does not include the ‘appropriate structure’ which, under the Madrid accords, is to integrate the various units dealing with crisis response planning and programming, conflict prevention, and peace-building with the CSDP structures; calls for a crisis management board to be set up, to be staffed by the CMPD, the CCPC, the EUMS, the EU SITCEN, the peace-building, conflict prevention, mediation, and security policy units, the Chair of the PSC, the geographical desks and other policy departments concerned, according to the circumstances, and the Commission humanitarian aid and civil protection structures, placed under the authority of the HR and the executive Secretary-General, and coordinated by the Managing Director for Crisis Response; calls on the High Representative and the Commission to equip the board with an efficient alert and emergency system and a large unified

Amendment

18. Deplores the fact that the provisional organisation chart of the EEAS does not include the ‘appropriate structure’ which, under the Madrid accords, is to integrate the various units dealing with crisis response planning and programming, conflict prevention, and peace-building with the CSDP structures; calls for a **conflict prevention and** crisis management board to be set up, to be staffed by the CMPD, the CCPC, the EUMS, the EU SITCEN, the peace-building, conflict prevention, mediation, and security policy units, the Chair of the PSC, the geographical desks and other policy departments concerned, according to the circumstances, and the Commission humanitarian aid and civil protection structures, placed under the authority of the HR and the executive Secretary-General, and coordinated by the Managing Director for Crisis Response; calls on the **national crisis management boards and crisis management centres to be directly**

operations room, located within the EEAS, so as to enable surveillance to be carried out 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, hence avoiding the present operational overlapping (seven operations rooms), which hardly squares with the need for a proper surveillance and rapid reaction system to deal with crises;

connected to this process, by assuring the flow of situational information to the both directions; calls on the High Representative and the Commission to equip the board with an efficient alert and emergency system and a large unified operations room, located within the EEAS, so as to enable surveillance to be carried out 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, hence avoiding the present operational overlapping (seven operations rooms), which hardly squares with the need for a proper surveillance and rapid reaction system to deal with crises;

Or. en

Amendment 85
Roberto Gualtieri

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Deplores the fact that the provisional organisation chart of the EEAS does not include the ‘appropriate structure’ which, under the Madrid accords, is to integrate the various units dealing with crisis response planning and programming, conflict prevention, and peace-building with the CSDP structures; calls for a crisis management board to be set up, to be staffed by the CMPD, the CCPC, the EUMS, the EU SITCEN, the peace-building, conflict prevention, mediation, and security policy units, the Chair of the PSC, the geographical desks and other policy departments concerned, according to the circumstances, ***and the Commission humanitarian aid and civil protection structures***, placed under the authority of the HR and the executive Secretary-General, and coordinated by the Managing Director for Crisis Response; calls on the

Amendment

18. Deplores the fact that the provisional organisation chart of the EEAS does not include the ‘appropriate structure’ which, under the Madrid accords, is to integrate the various units dealing with crisis response planning and programming, conflict prevention, and peace-building with the CSDP structures; calls for a crisis management board to be set up, to be staffed by the CMPD, the CCPC, the EUMS, the EU SITCEN, the peace-building, conflict prevention, mediation, and security policy units, the Chair of the PSC, the geographical desks and other policy departments concerned, according to the circumstances, placed under the authority of the HR and the executive Secretary-General, and coordinated by the Managing Director for Crisis Response; ***the Commission humanitarian aid and civil protection structures should be***

High Representative and the Commission to equip the board with an efficient alert and emergency system and a large unified operations room, located within the EEAS, so as to enable surveillance to be carried out 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, hence avoiding the present operational overlapping (seven operations rooms), which hardly squares with the need for a proper surveillance and rapid reaction system to deal with crises;

invited to be associated to the board as appropriate; calls on the High Representative and the Commission to equip the board with an efficient alert and emergency system and a large unified operations room, located within the EEAS, so as to enable surveillance to be carried out 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, hence avoiding the present operational overlapping (seven operations rooms), which hardly squares with the need for a proper surveillance and rapid reaction system to deal with crises; ***regular coordination and exchange should be ensured between this system and the European Emergency Response Centre currently being developed by the Commission to guarantee appropriate synergies while respecting each other competencies;***

Or. en

Amendment 86
Krzysztof Lisek, Arnaud Danjean, Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

18a. Calls for a permanent civil-military headquarter to be set up, to be composed of the CMPD, the CCPC, the EUMS, the EU SITCEN, the peace-building, conflict prevention, mediation, and security policy units, the Chair of the PSC, the relevant geographical desks and other policy departments concerned, and the Commission humanitarian aid and civil protection structures, placed under the authority of the VP/HR and the executive Secretary-General; calls on the VP/HR, the EEAS and the Commission to have an efficient alert and emergency system and a large unified operations room, located

within the EEAS, so as to enable surveillance to be carried out 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, hence avoiding the present operational overlapping (seven operations rooms), which hardly squares with the need for a proper surveillance and rapid reaction system to deal with crises;

Or. en

Amendment 87
Andrew Duff, Marietje Schaake

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

19. Believes that the Crisis Management Board should be responsible on the one hand for forward planning in relation to potential theatres and crisis scenarios and secondly for practical crisis response management, working both in Brussels and on the ground to coordinate the use of the various financial instruments and deployment of capabilities available to the EU, without undermining the specific decision-making procedures and legal bases applying to the deployment of civilian and military capabilities under the CFSP/CSDP or to the use of Community instruments;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 88
Krzysztof Lisek, Arnaud Danjean, Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

19. Believes that the Crisis Management Board should be responsible on the one hand for forward planning in relation to potential theatres and crisis scenarios and secondly for practical crisis response management, working both in Brussels and on the ground to coordinate the use of the various financial instruments and deployment of capabilities available to the EU, without undermining the specific decision-making procedures and legal bases applying to the deployment of civilian and military capabilities under the CFSP/CSDP or to the use of Community instruments;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 89

Anneli Jäätteenmäki

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

19. Believes that the Crisis Management Board should be responsible on the one hand for forward planning in relation to potential theatres and crisis scenarios and **secondly for** practical crisis response management, working both in Brussels and on the ground to coordinate the use of the various financial instruments and deployment of capabilities available to the EU, without undermining the specific decision-making procedures and legal bases applying to the deployment of civilian and military capabilities under the CFSP/CSDP or to the use of Community instruments;

19. Believes that the Crisis Management Board should be responsible on the one hand for forward planning in relation to potential theatres and crisis scenarios, **long term systematic conflict prevention**, and practical crisis response management, working both in Brussels and on the ground to coordinate the use of the various financial instruments and deployment of capabilities available to the EU, without undermining the specific decision-making procedures and legal bases applying to the deployment of civilian and military capabilities under the CFSP/CSDP or to the use of Community instruments;

Or. en

Amendment 90
Maria Eleni Koppa

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

19a. welcomes the democratic change in Northern Africa, reiterating however its grave concern over the situation in Libya; calls on the Council and the HR to exploit every possibility offered by UNSC resolution 1970 in order to support humanitarian efforts in the region, including if necessary through a CSDP Joint Action; condemns attacks against civilians in Libya and warns that such actions constitute a grave violation of international humanitarian law; stresses, therefore, that no option provided by the UN charter should be ruled out and, in case of an explicit UNSC mandate, supports more drastic measures in close cooperation with the African Union, the Arab League and single countries of the region;

Or. en

Amendment 91
Andrew Duff

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20. Points to the need to strengthen the civilian crisis response structures, departments, and units within the EEAS and the Commission, spreading them out and organising them in a more rational way, and in particular:

deleted

(a) calls for expansion of the CPCC unit responsible for operational planning of civilian missions;

(b) renews its call for the Commission staff who have in the past planned and programmed the Instrument for Stability Article 3 crisis response measures to be integrated into the EEAS crisis management and peacebuilding structures and specifically for the former Relex/A2 posts assigned to Unit 2 of the new foreign policy instruments (12 AD and 5 AST) to be transferred to the EEAS; and points out that this transfer is a condition which has to be satisfied in order to release the reserve under the corresponding heading in the Commission budget;

(c) supports the projected shared services centre for the management of CSDP missions, that is to say, an interinstitutional office combining the Commission's Unit 3 (CFSP Operations of the Foreign Policy Instruments – formerly Relex/A3) and the CPCC Mission support Unit; notes that by relieving the heads of missions from administrative duties, the new office would address the personnel, logistics, procurement, and financial responsibilities of the civilian CSDP missions (which would acquire legal personality) with a view to guaranteeing greater efficiency both by pooling administrative functions, starting with the selection and recruitment of personnel, and by centralising procurement and equipment management;

Or. en

Amendment 92

Krzysztof Lisek, Arnaud Danjean, Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 20 – introductory part

Motion for a resolution

20. Points to the need to strengthen the civilian crisis response structures, departments, and units within the EEAS and the Commission, spreading them out and organising them in a more rational way, and in particular:

Amendment

20. Points to the need to strengthen the civilian **and military** crisis response structures, departments, and units within the EEAS and the Commission, spreading them out and organising them in a more rational way, and in particular:

Or. en

Amendment 93

Nirj Deva

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 20 – point a a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(a a) calls for the expansion and adequate resourcing of the Peacebuilding, Conflict Prevention and Mediation Unit, with an emphasis on local knowledge expertise necessary for designing missions tailored on an understanding of the root causes of conflict, keeping in mind that a successful response to crisis consists of longer term peacebuilding alongside the immediate measures;

Or. en

Amendment 94

Krzysztof Lisek, Michael Gahler, Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 20 – point b

Motion for a resolution

(b) renews its call for the **Commission staff who have in the past planned and programmed** the Instrument for Stability Article 3 crisis response measures to be integrated into the EEAS crisis management and peacebuilding structures **and specifically for the former Relex/A2 posts assigned to Unit 2 of the new foreign policy instruments (12 AD and 5 AST) to be transferred to the EEAS**; and points out that this transfer is a condition which has to be satisfied in order to release the reserve under the corresponding heading in the Commission budget;

Amendment

(b) renews its call for the **Foreign Policy Instruments Service (FPIS) in charge of planning and programming** the Instrument for Stability Article 3 crisis response measures to be integrated into the EEAS crisis management and peacebuilding structures, and points out that this transfer is a condition which has to be satisfied in order to release the reserve under the corresponding heading in the Commission budget;

Or. en

Amendment 95
Roberto Gualtieri

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20 – point c

Motion for a resolution

(c) supports the **projected shared services centre for** the management of CSDP missions, that is to say, an interinstitutional office **combining** the Commission's Unit 3 (CFSP Operations of the Foreign Policy Instruments – formerly Relex/A3) and the CPCC Mission support Unit; notes that **by relieving the heads of missions from administrative duties, the new office would address** the personnel, logistics, procurement, and financial responsibilities of the civilian CSDP missions **(which would acquire legal personality) with a view to guaranteeing** greater efficiency both by pooling administrative functions, starting with the selection and recruitment of personnel, and by centralising procurement and equipment management;

Amendment

(c) supports the **establishment of a Shared Services Centre for** the management of CSDP missions, that is to say, an interinstitutional office **comprising** the Commission's Unit 3 (CFSP Operations of the Foreign Policy Instruments – formerly Relex/A3) and the CPCC Mission support Unit; notes that the new **Service, by addressing** the personnel, logistics, procurement, and financial responsibilities of the civilian CSDP missions **and by relieving the Head of Mission from part of their administrative duties, would guarantee** greater efficiency both by pooling administrative functions, starting with the selection and recruitment of personnel, and by centralising procurement and equipment management;

Amendment 96
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20 – point c a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(c a) renews its call for the creation of a European Civil Protection Force, based on the existing European Civil Protection Mechanism, able to be deployed in emergencies outside the EU territory, as much as inside, under the adequate CSDP/CPCC coordination;

Or. en

Amendment 97
Andrew Duff

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21. Deplores the scant results achieved by the Civilian Headline Goal 2010 process regarding civilian capabilities, and in particular the discrepancy between the personnel assigned by Member States on paper and the numbers actually available for missions, the modest progress as regards the training of human resources (no common standards, limited number of training programmes uploaded to the Schoolmaster training opportunities programme within the Goalkeeper software environment); calls on the High Representative, the Council, and the Member States to take coordinated steps to reactivate the development of civilian capabilities, especially where recruitment,

deleted

training, and deployment are concerned;

Or. en

Amendment 98

Maria Eleni Koppa

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. ***Deplores*** the scant results achieved by the Civilian Headline Goal 2010 process regarding civilian capabilities, and in particular the discrepancy between the personnel assigned by Member States on paper and the numbers actually available for missions, the modest progress as regards the training of human resources (no common standards, limited number of training programmes uploaded to the Schoolmaster training opportunities programme within the Goalkeeper software environment); calls on the ***High Representative***, the Council, and the Member States to take coordinated steps to reactivate the development of civilian capabilities, especially where recruitment, training, and deployment are concerned;

Amendment

21. ***Regrets*** the scant results achieved by the Civilian Headline Goal 2010 process regarding civilian capabilities, and in particular the discrepancy between the personnel assigned by Member States on paper and the numbers actually available for missions, the modest progress as regards the training of human resources (no common standards, limited number of training programmes uploaded to the Schoolmaster training opportunities programme within the Goalkeeper software environment); calls on the ***VP/HR***, the Council, and the Member States to take coordinated steps to reactivate the development of civilian capabilities, especially where recruitment, ***gender balance***, training, and deployment are concerned; ***in particular, stresses the importance of continuing building on the heritage of the two Civilian Headline Goals that the EU has conducted so far in order to face these outstanding challenges;***

Or. en

Amendment 99

Krzysztof Lisek, Tunne Kelam, Arnaud Danjean, Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. Deplores the scant results achieved by the Civilian Headline Goal 2010 process regarding civilian capabilities, and in particular the discrepancy between the personnel assigned by Member States on paper and the numbers actually available for missions, the modest progress as regards the training of human resources (no common standards, limited number of training programmes uploaded to the Schoolmaster training opportunities programme within the Goalkeeper software environment); calls on the High Representative, the Council, and the Member States to take coordinated steps to reactivate the development of civilian capabilities, especially where recruitment, training, and deployment are concerned;

Amendment

21. Deplores the scant results achieved by the Civilian Headline Goal 2010 process regarding civilian capabilities, and in particular the discrepancy between the personnel assigned by Member States on paper and the numbers actually available for missions, the modest progress as regards the training of human resources (no common standards, limited number of training programmes uploaded to the Schoolmaster training opportunities programme within the Goalkeeper software environment); calls on the High Representative, the Council, and the Member States to take coordinated steps to reactivate the development of civilian capabilities, especially where recruitment, training, and deployment are concerned; ***calls on establishing a community mechanism for enhancing civilian capabilities, especially training and increasing the civil part of the European Security and Defence college;***

Or. en

Amendment 100

Krzysztof Lisek, Arnaud Danjean, Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution

Subheading 2

Motion for a resolution

Security and defence

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 101
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 22

Motion for a resolution

22. **Maintains** that reliable military capabilities are a sine qua non for **a self-contained** CSDP and an effective comprehensive approach and **could be brought to bear in many different ways**, not least for civilian **purposes**, in keeping with the principles underlying EU action on the international stage and the self-determined nature of the EU legal order;

Amendment

22. **Reaffirms** that **credible and** reliable military capabilities are a sine qua non for **an autonomous** CSDP and an effective comprehensive approach and **that Member States need to provide them; further stresses that those military capabilities can be applied for diverse purposes**, not least for civilian **ones**, in keeping with the principles underlying EU action on the international stage and the self-determined nature of the EU legal order;

Or. en

Amendment 102
Reinhard Bütikofer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 22

Motion for a resolution

22. Maintains that reliable military capabilities are a sine qua non for **a self-contained CSDP and** an effective comprehensive approach and could be brought to bear in many different ways, not least for civilian purposes, in keeping with the principles underlying EU action on the international stage and the self-determined nature of the EU legal order;

Amendment

22. Maintains that reliable military capabilities are a sine qua non for an effective **CSDP and a** comprehensive approach and could be brought to bear in many different ways, not least for civilian purposes, in keeping with the principles underlying EU action on the international stage and the self-determined nature of the EU legal order;

Or. en

Amendment 103
Elena Băsescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 22

Motion for a resolution

22. Maintains that reliable military capabilities are a sine qua non for a self-contained CSDP and an effective comprehensive approach and could be brought to bear in many different ways, not least for civilian purposes, in keeping with the principles underlying EU action on the international stage and the self-determined nature of the EU legal order;

Amendment

22. Maintains that reliable **and available** military capabilities are a sine qua non for a self-contained CSDP and an effective comprehensive approach and could be brought to bear in many different ways, not least for civilian purposes, in keeping with the principles underlying EU action on the international stage and the self-determined nature of the EU legal order;

Or. en

Amendment 104
Sabine Lösing

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 22 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

22a. Calls for disarmament, including the total nuclear disarmament; rejects any encouragement to arms build - up, both on the national and European level;

Or. en

Amendment 105
Nirj Deva

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 23

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

23. Regrets the sharp contrast between the EUR 200 billion per year spent by the

deleted

Member States on defence, the lack of means at the EU's disposal, and the painfully protracted force generation conferences for EU military operations at a time when there are redundant capabilities and personnel;

Or. en

Amendment 106
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 23

Motion for a resolution

23. **Regrets** the sharp contrast between the EUR 200 billion per year spent by the Member States on defence, the lack of means at the EU's disposal, and the painfully protracted force generation conferences for EU military operations at a time when there are redundant capabilities and personnel;

Amendment

23. **Acknowledges** the sharp contrast between the EUR 200 billion per year spent by the Member States on defence, the lack of means at the EU's disposal, and the painfully protracted force generation conferences for EU military operations at a time when there are redundant capabilities and personnel;

Or. en

Amendment 107
Reinhard Bütikofer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 23

Motion for a resolution

23. Regrets the sharp contrast between the EUR 200 billion per year spent by the Member States on defence, the lack of means at the EU's disposal, and the painfully protracted force generation conferences for EU military operations at a time when there are redundant capabilities and personnel;

Amendment

23. Regrets the sharp contrast between the EUR 200 billion per year spent by the Member States on defence, the lack of means at the EU's disposal, and the painfully protracted force generation conferences for EU military operations at a time when there are redundant capabilities and personnel; **deplores the fact that over**

more than twelve years the method of the force generation process has not yielded any de facto improvements regarding the quantity and quality of military capabilities available for CSDP missions; stresses the need to evaluate the improvements of military capabilities on a regular basis;

Or. en

Amendment 108
Teresa Riera Madurell

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 23

Motion for a resolution

23. Regrets the sharp contrast between the EUR 200 billion per year spent by the Member States on defence, the lack of means at the EU's disposal, and the painfully protracted force generation conferences for EU military operations at a time when there are redundant capabilities and personnel;

Amendment

23. Regrets the sharp contrast between the EUR 200 billion per year spent by the Member States on defence, the lack of means at the EU's disposal, and the painfully protracted force generation conferences for EU military operations at a time when there are redundant capabilities and personnel; ; *points out that there is an increasingly mismatch between increasing demand from abroad and the resources that member states make available to the Union;*

Or. en

Amendment 109
Nirj Deva

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

24. Notes *with anxiety* that the current economic austerity could lead to cuts that

Amendment

24. Notes that the current economic austerity could lead to cuts that were not

were not concerted at European level and to continuing overlapping that might call the CSDP as such into question, whereas the end effect should be to push the Member States towards smarter defence spending *whereby they would pool and share a larger proportion of their defence capabilities, budget, and requirements while achieving more security for their citizens*;

concerted at European level and to continuing overlapping that might call the CSDP as such into question, whereas the end effect should be to push the Member States towards smarter defence spending;

Or. en

Amendment 110
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

24. Notes *with anxiety* that the current economic austerity could lead to cuts that were not concerted at European level and *to continuing overlapping* that *might call* the CSDP as such into question, whereas the end effect should be to *push the* Member States towards smarter defence spending whereby they would *pool and share a larger proportion of* their defence capabilities, budget, and requirements while achieving more security for their citizens;

Amendment

24. Notes that the current economic austerity could lead to cuts that were not concerted at European level and that *unnecessary distractions such as* the CSDP as such *might be called* into question, whereas the end effect should be to *encourage* Member States towards smarter defence spending whereby they would *achieve synergies to address gaps in* their defence capabilities, budget, and requirements while achieving more security for their citizens;

Or. en

Amendment 111
Krzysztof Lisek, Arnaud Danjean, Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

24. Notes with anxiety that the current economic austerity could lead to cuts that were not concerted at European level and to continuing overlapping that might call the CSDP as such into question, whereas the end effect should be to push the Member States towards smarter defence spending whereby they would pool and share a larger proportion of their defence capabilities, budget, and requirements while achieving more security for their citizens;

Amendment

24. Notes with anxiety that the current economic austerity could lead to cuts that were not concerted at European level and to continuing overlapping that might call the CSDP as such into question, whereas the end effect should be to push the Member States towards smarter defence spending whereby they would pool and share a larger proportion of their defence capabilities, budget, and requirements while achieving more security for their citizens; ***calls on Member States to develop greater transparency regarding their respective defence budgets;***

Or. en

Amendment 112
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

24. Notes with ***anxiety*** that the current economic austerity could lead to cuts that were not concerted at European level and to continuing ***overlapping*** that might call the CSDP as such into question, whereas the ***end effect*** should be to push the Member States towards smarter defence spending whereby they would pool and share a larger proportion of their defence capabilities, budget, and requirements while achieving more security for their citizens;

Amendment

24. Notes with ***concern*** that the current economic austerity could lead to cuts ***in national defence budgets*** that were not concerted at European level and to continuing ***duplication*** that might call the CSDP as such into question, whereas the ***desirable result*** should be to push the Member States towards smarter defence spending, whereby they would pool and share a larger proportion of their defence capabilities, budget, and requirements, while achieving more security for their citizens ***and providing more opportunities for European industries and job creation;***

Or. en

Amendment 113
Reinhard Bütikofer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

24a. recalls that CFSP and CSDP, besides strengthening the EU's military and civilian capabilities, should also lead to disarmament and non-proliferations of weapons ranging from small and light weapons (SALW) to nuclear warheads and ballistic missiles; urges the VP/HR to give this policy priority by promoting a new series of pro-active measures addressing the issues of landmines, cluster munitions, depleted uranium ammunition, and small and light weapons, biological, chemical and nuclear weapons of mass destruction and their means of delivery; urges the VP/HR to report to the European Parliament about the implementation of the 2010 NPT Review Conference and its action plan on disarmament and non-proliferation on an annual basis;

Or. en

Amendment 114
Krzysztof Lisek, Arnaud Danjean, Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

25. Deplores the widespread overlapping of defence programmes in the EU, such as the more than 20 armoured vehicles programmes, the 6 different attack submarine programmes, the 5 ground-to-air missile programmes, and the 3 combat aircraft programmes, and its consequences,

25. Deplores the widespread overlapping of defence programmes in the EU, such as the more than 20 armoured vehicles programmes, the 6 different attack submarine programmes, the 5 ground-to-air missile programmes, and the 3 combat aircraft programmes, and its consequences,

namely that economies of scale are not achieved, limited economic resources are wasted, and the prices for European defence equipment are over-inflated;

namely that economies of scale are not achieved, limited economic resources are wasted, and the prices for European defence equipment are over-inflated;
moreover this leads to a maintained fragmentation of the European Defence Technological and Industrial Base (EDTIB), hampers the competitiveness of the whole security related industrial sector in Europe and in this regard directly endangers technological leadership and employment.

Or. en

Amendment 115
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25

Motion for a resolution

25. Deplores the widespread overlapping of defence programmes in the EU, such as the more than 20 armoured vehicles programmes, the 6 different attack submarine programmes, the 5 ground-to-air missile programmes, and the 3 combat aircraft programmes, and its consequences, namely that economies of scale are not achieved, limited economic resources are wasted, and the prices for European defence equipment are over-inflated;

Amendment

25. Deplores the widespread overlapping of defence programmes in the EU, such as the ***two costly national nuclear programmes, the*** more than 20 armoured vehicles programmes, the 6 different attack submarine programmes, the 5 ground-to-air missile programmes, and the 3 combat aircraft programmes, and its consequences, namely that economies of scale are not achieved, limited economic resources are wasted, and the prices for European defence equipment are over-inflated;

Or. en

Amendment 116
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26

Motion for a resolution

26. Maintains that all of the above points should be tackled by means of a clear-cut long-term political resolve, ***making full use of the potential offered by the Lisbon Treaty, and that any common defence policy intended to move gradually towards common defence must serve to strengthen the EU's ability to respond to crises and long-term peace-building, and above all guarantee Europe's strategic autonomy***, averting the danger that its standing might decline on the world stage; ***calls on the national parliaments to embark on an appropriate joint initiative in relation to their institutional partners and calls for a special European Council meeting to be given over to European defence; renews its call for a European defence White Paper***;

Amendment

26. Maintains that all of the above points should be tackled by means of a clear-cut long-term political resolve ***under the NATO umbrella***, averting the danger that its standing might decline on the world stage;

Or. en

Amendment 117
Andrew Duff, Marietje Schaake

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26

Motion for a resolution

26. Maintains that all of the above points should be tackled by means of a clear-cut long-term political resolve, making full use of the potential offered by the Lisbon Treaty, and that any common defence policy intended to move gradually towards common defence must serve to strengthen the EU's ability to respond to crises and long-term peace-building, and above all guarantee Europe's strategic autonomy, averting the danger that its standing might decline on the world stage; ***calls on the national parliaments to embark on an***

Amendment

26. Maintains that all of the above points should be tackled by means of a clear-cut long-term political resolve, making full use of the potential offered by the Lisbon Treaty, and that any common defence policy intended to move gradually towards common defence must serve to strengthen the EU's ability to respond to crises and long-term peace-building, and above all guarantee Europe's strategic autonomy, averting the danger that its standing might decline on the world stage;

appropriate joint initiative in relation to their institutional partners and calls for a special European Council meeting to be given over to European defence; renews its call for a European defence White Paper;

Or. en

Amendment 118

Krzysztof Lisek, Michael Gahler, Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 26

Motion for a resolution

26. **Maintains** that all of the above points should be tackled by means of a clear-cut long-term political resolve, making full use of the potential offered by the Lisbon Treaty, and that any common defence policy intended to move gradually towards common defence must serve to strengthen the EU's ability to respond to crises and long-term peace-building, and above all guarantee Europe's strategic autonomy, averting the danger that its standing might decline on the world stage; calls on the national parliaments to embark on an appropriate joint initiative in relation to their institutional partners and calls for **a special** European Council meeting to be given over to European defence; renews its call for a European defence White Paper;

Amendment

26. **Reaffirms** that all of the above points should be tackled by means of a clear-cut long-term **common** political resolve, making full use of the potential offered by the Lisbon Treaty, and that any common defence policy intended to move gradually towards common defence must serve to strengthen the EU's ability to respond to crises and **to provide for** long-term peace-building, and above all guarantee Europe's strategic autonomy, averting the danger that its standing might decline on the world stage; calls on the national parliaments to embark on an appropriate joint initiative in relation to their **respective** institutional partners and calls for **an extraordinary** European Council meeting to be given over to European **security and** defence; renews its call for **the drafting of** a European **security and** defence White Paper;

Or. en

Amendment 119

Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 26

Motion for a resolution

26. Maintains that all of the above points should be tackled by means of a clear-cut long-term political resolve, making full use of the potential offered by the Lisbon Treaty, and that any common defence policy intended to move gradually towards common defence must serve to strengthen the EU's ability to respond to crises and long-term peace-building, and above all guarantee Europe's strategic autonomy, ***averting the danger that its standing might decline on the world stage***; calls on the national parliaments to embark on an appropriate joint initiative in relation to their institutional partners and calls for a special European Council meeting to be given over to European defence; renews its call for a European defence White Paper;

Amendment

26. Maintains that all of the above points should be tackled by means of a clear-cut long-term political resolve, making full use of the potential offered by the Lisbon Treaty, and that any common defence policy intended to move gradually towards common defence must serve to strengthen the EU's ability to respond to crises and long-term peace-building, and above all guarantee Europe's strategic autonomy, ; calls on the national parliaments to embark on an appropriate joint initiative in relation to their institutional partners and calls for a special European Council meeting to be given over to European defence; renews its call for ***the urgent elaboration of a*** European defence White Paper;

Or. en

Amendment 120

Reinhard Bütikofer

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 26

Motion for a resolution

26. Maintains that all of the above points should be tackled by means of a clear-cut long-term political resolve, making full use of the potential offered by the Lisbon Treaty, and that any common defence policy intended to move gradually towards common defence must serve to strengthen the EU's ability to respond to crises and long-term peace-building, and above all

Amendment

26. Maintains that all of the above points should be tackled by means of a clear-cut long-term political resolve, making full use of the potential offered by the Lisbon Treaty, and that any common defence policy intended to move gradually towards common defence must serve to strengthen the EU's ability to respond to crises and long-term peace-building, and above all

guarantee Europe's *strategic autonomy*, averting the danger that its standing might decline on the world stage; calls on the national parliaments to embark on an appropriate joint initiative in relation to their institutional partners and calls for a special European Council meeting to be given over to European defence; renews its call for a European defence White Paper;

guarantee Europe's *capacity to act*, averting the danger that its standing might decline on the world stage; calls on the national parliaments to embark on an appropriate joint initiative in relation to their institutional partners and calls for a special European Council meeting to be given over to European defence; renews its call for a European defence White Paper;

Or. en

Amendment 121
Andrew Duff, Marietje Schaake

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

26a. Renews its call for a White Paper on European Defence to be based on national defence and security reviews in all the Member States which accord to a common template and allow for direct comparability of strengths and weaknesses in current capabilities and planning assumptions; urges that in the course of 2012 this White Paper be discussed at a special meeting of the European Council and debated in the European Parliament and between the European and national parliaments;

Or. en

Amendment 122
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

26a. Strongly calls on Member States to support the European Defence Agency as the expert EU agency entrusted with the role of identifying and developing defence capabilities in the field of crisis management and of promoting and enhancing European armaments cooperation;

Or. en

Amendment 123

Andrew Duff, Marietje Schaake

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 27

Motion for a resolution

27. Takes note *of* the Franco-British ***initiative of 2 November 2010*** on security and defence cooperation and hopes that *it* can act as a springboard for further progress at European level in line with the institutional framework and the requirements of rationalisation *and technological, industrial, and operational integration from which it stemmed*;

Amendment

27. Takes note ***that*** the Franco-British ***agreement*** on security and defence cooperation ***has been launched outside the framework of the Treaty on European Union*** and ***without regard to the provisions of that Treaty for permanent structured cooperation in defence***; hopes ***nevertheless*** that ***this latest attempt at Franco-British collaboration*** can act as a springboard for further progress at European level in line with the ***Union's*** institutional framework and the ***logical*** requirements of rationalisation, ***inter-operability*** and ***cost effectiveness***;

Or. en

Amendment 124

Anneli Jäätteenmäki

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 27

Motion for a resolution

27. Takes note of the Franco-British initiative of 2 November 2010 on security and defence cooperation and hopes that *it* can act as a springboard for further progress at European level in line with the institutional framework and the requirements of rationalisation and technological, industrial, and operational integration from which it stemmed;

Amendment

27. Takes note of the Franco-British initiative of 2 November 2010 on security and defence cooperation, ***the Nordic countries defence cooperation and surveillance system at the Gulf of Finland between Finland, Estonia, and Russia,*** and hopes that *they* can act as a springboard for further progress at European level in line with the institutional framework and the requirements of rationalisation and technological, industrial, and operational integration from which it stemmed;

Or. en

Amendment 125

Krzysztof Lisek, Arnaud Danjean, Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 27

Motion for a resolution

27. Takes note of the Franco-British initiative of 2 November 2010 on security and defence cooperation and hopes that it can act as a ***springboard*** for further progress at European level in line with the institutional framework and the requirements of ***rationalisation and*** technological, industrial, and operational integration from which it stemmed;

Amendment

27. Takes note of the Franco-British initiative of 2 November 2010 on security and defence cooperation and hopes that it can act as a ***catalyst*** for further progress at European level in line with the institutional framework and the requirements of technological, industrial, and operational ***rationalisation and*** integration from which it stemmed; ***underlines that the EDA could play a support role in this context;***

Or. en

Amendment 126
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 27

Motion for a resolution

27. Takes note of the Franco-British initiative of 2 November 2010 on security and defence cooperation and hopes that it can act as a springboard for further progress at European level in line with the institutional framework and the requirements of rationalisation and technological, industrial, and operational integration from which it stemmed;

Amendment

27. Takes note of the Franco-British initiative of 2 November 2010 on security and defence cooperation and hopes that it can act as a springboard for further progress at European level in line with the institutional framework and the requirements of rationalisation and technological, industrial, and operational integration from which it stemmed, ***as recommended by EDA;***

Or. en

Amendment 127
Reinhard Bütikofer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 27

Motion for a resolution

27. Takes note of the Franco-British initiative of 2 November 2010 on security and defence cooperation and hopes that it can act as a springboard for further progress at European level in line with the institutional framework and the requirements of rationalisation and technological, industrial, and operational integration from which it stemmed;

Amendment

27. Takes note of the Franco-British initiative of 2 November 2010 on security and defence cooperation and hopes that it can act as a springboard for further progress at European level in line with the institutional framework and the requirements of rationalisation and technological, industrial, and operational integration from which it stemmed; ***takes the view that this bilateral initiative could hamper further multilateral cooperation in the framework of the Ghent or Weimar initiative; urges the governments of France and the United Kingdom to commit to future European multilateral pooling and sharing arrangements with regard to all bilateral cooperation projects***

except those which concern the issues of nuclear weapons, aircraft carriers and submarines;

Or. en

Amendment 128
Teresa Riera Madurell

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 27

Motion for a resolution

27. Takes note of the Franco-British initiative of 2 November 2010 on security and defence cooperation and hopes that it can act as a springboard for further progress at European level in line with the institutional framework and the requirements of rationalisation and technological, industrial, and operational integration from which it stemmed;

Amendment

27. Takes note of the Franco-British initiative of 2 November 2010 on security and defence cooperation and hopes that it can act as a springboard for further progress at European level in line with the institutional framework and the requirements of rationalisation and technological, industrial, and operational integration from which it stemmed;
considers that, although the motivation of the Franco-British defence cooperation is not to produce a greater European defence capability, it should provide a roadmap to more effective European defence cooperation based on capability planning and mutual dependency;

Or. en

Amendment 129
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 28

Motion for a resolution

28. Notes that, in addition to being a political necessity, Permanent Structural Cooperation (PESCO), as provided for in

Amendment

deleted

the Treaty, takes the form of a legal obligation and not an option (i.e. Member States ‘shall establish’ and not ‘may establish’); calls on the Council and the Member States to remedy their failure hitherto to act in this area by determining the aims and substance of PESCO without further delay, involving the Member States on as broad a basis as possible and, not least, assessing the advisability of implementation based on variable geometry;

Or. en

Amendment 130

Krzysztof Lisek, Arnaud Danjean, Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 28

Motion for a resolution

28. Notes that, *in addition to being a political necessity*, Permanent *Structural Cooperation (PESCO)*, *as provided for in the Treaty, takes the form of a legal obligation and not an option (i.e. Member States ‘shall establish’ and not ‘may establish’)*; calls on the Council and the Member States *to remedy their failure hitherto to act in this area by determining* the aims and substance of PESCO without further delay, involving the Member States on as broad a basis as possible and, not least, assessing the advisability of *implementation* based on variable geometry;

Amendment

28. Notes that Permanent *Structured Cooperation (PESCO) is also an instrument to promote a better use of CSDP assets and to overcome a lack of consensus among the* Member States; calls on the Council and the Member States to *determine* the aims and substance of PESCO without further delay, involving the Member States on as broad a basis as possible and, not least, assessing the advisability of *implementations* based on variable geometry;

Or. en

Amendment 131

Nirj Deva

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 28

Motion for a resolution

28. Notes that, ***in addition to being a political necessity***, Permanent Structural Cooperation (PESCO), as provided for in the Treaty, takes the form of a legal obligation and not an option (i.e. Member States ‘shall establish’ and not ‘may establish’); calls on the Council and the Member States to remedy their failure hitherto to act in this area by determining the aims and substance of PESCO without further delay, involving the Member States on as broad a basis as possible and, not least, assessing the advisability of implementation based on variable geometry;

Amendment

28. Notes that ***the*** Permanent Structural Cooperation (PESCO), as provided for in the Treaty, takes the form of a legal obligation and not an option (i.e. Member States ‘shall establish’ and not ‘may establish’); calls on the Council and the Member States to remedy their failure hitherto to act in this area by determining the aims and substance of PESCO without further delay, involving the Member States on as broad a basis as possible and, not least, assessing the advisability of implementation based on variable geometry;

Or. en

Amendment 132

Andrew Duff, Marietje Schaake

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 28

Motion for a resolution

28. Notes that, in addition to being a political necessity, Permanent ***Structural*** Cooperation (***PESCO***), as ***provided for*** in the Treaty, ***takes the form of a legal obligation and not an option (i.e. Member States ‘shall establish’ and not ‘may establish’)***; calls on the Council and the Member States to remedy their failure hitherto to act in this area by ***determining*** the aims and substance of ***PESCO without further delay***, involving the Member States ***on as broad a basis as possible and, not***

Amendment

28. Notes that, in addition to being a political necessity, Permanent ***Structured*** Cooperation, as ***laid down*** in the Treaty, ***provides*** legal ***safeguards*** and ***obligations***; calls on the Council and the Member States to remedy their failure hitherto to act in this area by ***using*** the ***Defence White Paper to determine the*** aims and substance of ***such enhanced cooperation in the military field*** involving ***all*** the Member States ***which prove themselves to be both politically willing and militarily capable***;

least, assessing the advisability of implementation based on variable geometry;

Or. en

Amendment 133
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 28

Motion for a resolution

28. Notes that, *in addition to* being a political necessity, Permanent Structural Cooperation (PESCO), as provided for in the Treaty, *takes the form of a legal obligation and not an option (i.e. Member States ‘shall establish’ and not ‘may establish’)*; calls on the Council and the Member States to *remedy their failure hitherto to act in this area by determining* the aims and substance of PESCO *without further delay*, involving the Member States on as broad a basis as possible *and, not least, assessing the advisability of implementation based on variable geometry;*

Amendment

28. Notes that, being a political necessity, Permanent Structural Cooperation (PESCO), as provided for in the Treaty, calls on the Council and the Member States to *determine* the aims, *terms* and substance of PESCO, involving the Member States on as broad a basis as possible;

Or. en

Amendment 134
Ioan Mircea Pașcu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 28

Motion for a resolution

28. Notes that, in addition to being a political necessity, Permanent *Structural* Cooperation (PESCO), as provided for in the Treaty, takes the form of a legal

Amendment

28. Notes that, in addition to being a political necessity, Permanent *Structured* Cooperation (PESCO), as provided for in the Treaty, takes the form of a legal

obligation and not an option (i.e. Member States ‘shall establish’ and not ‘may establish’); calls on the Council and the Member States to remedy their failure hitherto to act in this area by determining the aims and substance of PESCO without further delay, involving the Member States on as broad a basis as possible and, not least, assessing the advisability of implementation based on variable geometry;

obligation and not an option (i.e. Member States ‘shall establish’ and not ‘may establish’); calls on the Council and the Member States to remedy their failure hitherto to act in this area by determining the aims and substance of PESCO without further delay, involving the Member States on as broad a basis as possible and, not least, assessing the advisability of implementation based on variable geometry;

Or. en

Amendment 135
Luis Yáñez-Barnuevo García

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 28 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

28a. Considers that, at a time of financial crisis which is forcing Member States to make drastic cuts in their defence budgets, the Permanent Structural Cooperation mechanism can encourage a more supportive and generous approach to the process of integration, thereby improving the effectiveness and efficiency of defence structures and allowing the creation of real synergies;

Or. es

Amendment 136
Ioan Mircea Pașcu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 29

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

29. Believes that the role of the Defence

29. Believes that the role of the Defence

Ministers needs to be strengthened both within the Council's Foreign Affairs configuration and within the EDA; *maintains that the number of meetings should be higher than at present;*

Ministers needs to be strengthened both within the Council's Foreign Affairs configuration and within the EDA, *therefore reaffirms the value of setting a Defence Council within the Foreign Affairs Council;*

Or. en

Amendment 137

Krzysztof Lisek, Arnaud Danjean, Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 29

Motion for a resolution

29. Believes that the role of the Defence Ministers needs to be strengthened *both* within the *Council's* Foreign Affairs configuration *and within the EDA;* *maintains that the number of meetings should be higher than at present;*

Amendment

29. Believes that the role of the Defence Ministers needs to be strengthened within the Foreign Affairs *Council* configuration;

Or. en

Amendment 138

Andrew Duff, Marietje Schaake

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 29

Motion for a resolution

29. Believes that the role of the Defence Ministers needs to be strengthened both within the Council's Foreign Affairs configuration and within the EDA; *maintains that the number of meetings should be higher than at present;*

Amendment

29. Believes that the role of the Defence Ministers needs to be strengthened both within the Council's Foreign Affairs configuration and within the EDA;

Or. en

Amendment 139
Andrew Duff, Marietje Schaake

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 30

Motion for a resolution

30. Recommends that serious thought be given to the *true* significance of the clause on mutual assistance in the event of armed aggression on the territory of a Member State, *tackling the unresolved problems regarding the implementing provisions, which were removed from the draft treaty on the functioning of the European Union; calls for political guidelines to be drawn up, an imperative need which has arise not least from the recent termination of the modified Treaty of Brussels (WEU);*

Amendment

30. Recommends that serious thought be given *in the White Paper and its follow-up* to the significance *and implications* of the clause on mutual assistance in the event of armed aggression on the territory of a Member State;

Or. en

Amendment 140
Ioan Mircea Pașcu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 30

Motion for a resolution

30. Recommends that serious thought be given to the *true* significance of the clause on mutual assistance in the event of armed aggression on the territory of a Member State, *tackling the unresolved problems regarding the implementing provisions, which were removed from the draft treaty on the functioning of the European Union; calls for political guidelines to be drawn up, an imperative need which has arise not least from the recent termination of the modified Treaty of Brussels (WEU);*

Amendment

30. Recommends that serious thought be given to the significance of the clause on mutual assistance in the event of armed aggression on the territory of a Member State, *as formulated in Article 42 (7) of the Treaty on European Union; calls for political guidelines to be drawn up, an imperative need which has arise not least from the recent termination of the modified Treaty of Brussels (WEU);*

Or. en

Amendment 141
Elena Băsescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 30

Motion for a resolution

30. Recommends that serious thought be given to the true significance of the clause on mutual assistance in the event of armed aggression on the territory of a Member State, tackling the unresolved problems regarding the implementing provisions, which were removed from the draft treaty on the functioning of the European Union; calls for political guidelines to be drawn up, an imperative need which has *arise* not least from the recent termination of the modified Treaty of Brussels (WEU);

Amendment

30. Recommends that serious thought be given to the true significance of the clause on mutual assistance in the event of armed aggression on the territory of a Member State, tackling the unresolved problems regarding the implementing provisions, which were removed from the draft treaty on the functioning of the European Union; calls for political guidelines to be drawn up, an imperative need which has *arisen* not least from the recent termination of the modified Treaty of Brussels (WEU);

Or. en

Amendment 142
Reinhard Bütikofer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 30

Motion for a resolution

30. Recommends that serious thought be given to the true significance of the clause on mutual assistance in the event of armed aggression on the territory of a Member State, tackling the unresolved problems regarding the implementing provisions, which were removed from the draft treaty on the functioning of the European Union; calls for political guidelines to be drawn up, an imperative need which has *arise* not least from the recent termination of the modified Treaty of Brussels (WEU);

Amendment

30. Recommends that serious thought be given to the true significance of the clause on mutual assistance in the event of armed aggression on the territory of a Member State, tackling the unresolved problems regarding the implementing provisions, which were removed from the draft treaty on the functioning of the European Union; calls for political guidelines to be drawn up, an imperative need which has *arise* not least from the recent termination of the modified Treaty of Brussels (WEU);
rejects the introduction of a nuclear

dimension of CSDP and to this end opposes to link British or French nuclear weapons to the clause of mutual assistance;

Or. en

Amendment 143
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 31

Motion for a resolution

31. Recognises that within the CSDP development process, the *time has now come for the* political achievement and the institutional achievement to *be followed by a genuine* achievement as regards military capabilities; *points out that the provisions introduced by the Lisbon Treaty offer great potential for promoting the development of those capabilities and laying down a progressive framework for the EU's defence policy and maintains that they need to be put to effective use as a matter of urgency;*

Amendment

31. Recognises that within the CSDP development process, *despite* the political achievement and the institutional achievement *the political will does not exist to ensure concrete* achievement as regards military capabilities *at an* EU level;

Or. en

Amendment 144
Anneli Jäätteenmäki

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 31

Motion for a resolution

31. Recognises that within the CSDP development process, the time has now come for the political achievement and the institutional achievement to be followed by a genuine achievement as regards military

Amendment

31. Recognises that within the CSDP development process, the time has now come for the political achievement and the institutional achievement to be followed by a genuine achievement as regards *civilian*

capabilities; points out that the provisions introduced by the Lisbon Treaty offer great potential for promoting the development of those capabilities and laying down a progressive framework for the EU's defence policy and maintains that they need to be put to effective use as a matter of urgency;

and military capabilities; points out that the provisions introduced by the Lisbon Treaty offer great potential for promoting the development of those capabilities and laying down a progressive framework for the EU's defence policy and maintains that they need to be put to effective use as a matter of urgency;

Or. en

Amendment 145
Anneli Jäätteenmäki

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 31 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

31a. Regrets the lack of resources in civilian crisis management; stresses the need for continuous development of training, expertise and strategic level planning taking better into account the realities on the ground; recalls civilian expertise in the executive and management levels of planning and conducting civilian crisis management missions; advises the EEAS to make constant efforts in improving the working conditions of the civilian crisis management workers and to invest in their professional well being and safety;

Or. en

Amendment 146
Reinhard Bütikofer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 32

Motion for a resolution

32. Recommends that Member States commit themselves fully to the provision and sustainability of military capabilities, matching the trend towards growing emphasis on the qualitative aspect; endorses the requests made at the Ghent informal Defence Ministers' meeting and in the German-Swedish paper and the Weimar initiative and calls for the operative phase to begin without delay, in line with the December 2010 Council conclusions, in which the Defence Ministers agreed that EDA should intensify its work to facilitate the identification of areas for pooling and sharing military capabilities; calls on the Agency to **list** new potential cooperation projects (for instance in areas such as satellite communications, medical support, and naval logistics) so as to avoid overlapping of costs and increase interoperability;

Amendment

32. Recommends that Member States commit themselves fully to the provision and sustainability of military capabilities, matching the trend towards growing emphasis on the qualitative aspect; endorses the requests made at the Ghent informal Defence Ministers' meeting and in the German-Swedish paper and the Weimar initiative and calls for the operative phase to begin without delay, in line with the December 2010 Council conclusions, in which the Defence Ministers agreed that EDA should intensify its work to facilitate the identification of areas for pooling and sharing military capabilities; calls on the Agency **stresses the need to make this new approach to capability development a success; calls on the Member States to respect the deadline set by the December 2010 Council; reminds that the Chiefs of Staff of all 27 EU armed forces have been tasked to screen their capabilities until may 2011, that the EU Military Staff has been commissioned to use this data for producing an overview by mid 2011 and that EU Ministers of Defence will reach final conclusions by the end of this year; calls on the Agency to make this new initiative its priority and to list** potential **new** cooperation projects (for instance in areas such as satellite communications, medical support, and naval logistics) so as to avoid overlapping of costs and increase interoperability;

Or. en

Amendment 147

Krzysztof Lisek, Arnaud Danjean, Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 32

Motion for a resolution

32. Recommends that Member States commit themselves fully to the provision and sustainability of military capabilities, matching the trend towards growing emphasis on the qualitative *aspect*; endorses the requests made at the Ghent informal Defence Ministers' meeting *and* in the German-Swedish paper and the Weimar initiative and calls for the operative phase to begin without delay, in line with the December 2010 Council conclusions, in which the Defence Ministers agreed that EDA should intensify its work to facilitate the identification of areas for pooling and sharing military capabilities; calls on the Agency to list new *potential* cooperation projects (for instance in areas such as satellite communications, medical support, and naval logistics) so as to avoid overlapping of costs and increase interoperability;

Amendment

32. Recommends that Member States commit themselves fully to the provision and sustainability of military capabilities, matching the trend towards growing emphasis on the qualitative *aspects*; endorses the requests made at the Ghent informal Defence Ministers' meeting in the German-Swedish paper and the Weimar initiative and calls for the operative phase to begin without delay, in line with the December 2010 Council conclusions, in which the Defence Ministers agreed that EDA should intensify its work to facilitate the identification of areas for pooling and sharing military capabilities, *including through the support of a team of wise men*; calls on the Agency to list *potential* new cooperation projects (for instance in areas such as satellite communications, medical support, and naval logistics) so as to avoid overlapping of costs and increase interoperability;

Or. en

Amendment 148

Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 32

Motion for a resolution

32. Recommends that Member States commit themselves fully to the provision and sustainability of military capabilities, matching the trend towards growing emphasis on the qualitative aspect; endorses the requests made at the Ghent informal Defence Ministers' meeting and in the German-Swedish paper and the Weimar initiative and calls for the operative phase to begin without delay, in

Amendment

32. Recommends that Member States commit themselves fully to the provision and sustainability of military capabilities, matching the trend towards growing emphasis on the qualitative aspect; endorses the requests made at the Ghent informal Defence Ministers' meeting and in the German-Swedish paper and the Weimar initiative and calls for the operative phase to begin without delay, in

line with the December 2010 Council conclusions, in which the Defence Ministers agreed that EDA should intensify its work to facilitate the identification of areas for pooling and sharing military capabilities; calls on the Agency to list new potential cooperation projects (for instance in areas such as satellite communications, medical support, *and* naval logistics) so as to avoid overlapping of costs and increase interoperability;

line with the December 2010 Council conclusions, in which the Defence Ministers agreed that EDA should intensify its work to facilitate the identification of areas for pooling and sharing military capabilities; calls on the Agency to list new potential cooperation projects (for instance in areas such as satellite communications, medical support, naval logistics *and cyber security*) so as to avoid overlapping of costs and increase interoperability;

Or. en

Amendment 149
Andrew Duff

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

33. Supports the recommendations of the January 2011 Foreign Affairs Council, which called on the High Representative to pursue the subjects raised in the Weimar initiative so as to enable practical measures to be taken on the basis of a report that she is to submit to the Foreign Affairs Council by mid-2011, with a view to achieving concrete results by the end of the year as far as possible, including the potential for extending such initiatives to include other interested Member States;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 150
Nirj Deva

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

34. Points to the need to overcome the current imbalance in terms of planning capabilities and the conduct of civilian and military operations by providing the EU with a permanent military-strategic level of command or Operational Headquarters (OHQ) to serve as a counterpart to the CPCC; points out that the Berlin Plus arrangements have been put to only limited use, having been confined to date to takeovers of pre-existing NATO missions, and draws attention to the problems connected with the framework nation track, which is based on the use of five national OHQs, and in particular to the fragmented nature of political and strategic operations planning (Crisis Management Concept, Military Strategic Options, Initiating Military Directive), adding to the difficulty of force generation, as well as making the use of civilian and military capabilities more complex to coordinate;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 151
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

34. Points to the need to overcome the current imbalance in terms of planning capabilities and the conduct of civilian and military operations by providing the EU with a permanent military-strategic level of command or Operational Headquarters (OHQ) to serve as a counterpart to the CPCC; points out that the Berlin Plus arrangements have been put

34. *Regrets* that the Berlin Plus arrangements have been put to only limited use;

to only limited use, *having been confined to date to takeovers of pre-existing NATO missions, and draws attention to the problems connected with the framework nation track, which is based on the use of five national OHQs, and in particular to the fragmented nature of political and strategic operations planning (Crisis Management Concept, Military Strategic Options, Initiating Military Directive), adding to the difficulty of force generation, as well as making the use of civilian and military capabilities more complex to coordinate;*

Or. en

Amendment 152
Andrew Duff

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34

Motion for a resolution

34. Points to the need to overcome the current imbalance in terms of planning capabilities and the conduct of civilian and military operations by providing the EU with a permanent military-strategic level of command or Operational Headquarters (OHQ) to serve as a counterpart to the **CPCC**; points out that the Berlin Plus arrangements have been put to only limited use, having been confined to date to takeovers of pre-existing NATO missions, *and draws attention to the problems connected with the framework nation track, which is based on the use of five national OHQs, and in particular to the fragmented nature of political and strategic operations planning (Crisis Management Concept, Military Strategic Options, Initiating Military Directive), adding to the difficulty of force generation, as well as making the use of*

Amendment

34. Points to the need to overcome the current imbalance in terms of planning capabilities and the conduct of civilian and military operations by providing the EU with a permanent military-strategic level of command or Operational Headquarters (OHQ) to serve as a counterpart to the **Common Planning and Conduct Capability**; points out that the Berlin Plus arrangements have been put to only limited use, having been confined to date to takeovers of pre-existing NATO missions;

civilian and military capabilities more complex to coordinate;

Or. en

Amendment 153

Christian Ehler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 34

Motion for a resolution

34. Points to the need to overcome the current imbalance in terms of planning capabilities and the conduct of civilian and military operations by providing the EU with a permanent ***military-strategic level of command or*** Operational Headquarters (OHQ) to serve as a counterpart to the CPCC; points out that the Berlin Plus arrangements have been put to only limited use, having been confined to date to takeovers of pre-existing NATO missions, and draws attention to the problems connected with the framework nation track, which is based on the use of five national OHQs, and in particular to the fragmented nature of political and strategic operations planning (Crisis Management Concept, Military Strategic Options, Initiating Military Directive), adding to the difficulty of force generation, as well as making the use of civilian and military capabilities more complex to coordinate;

Amendment

34. Points to the need to overcome the current imbalance in terms of planning capabilities and the conduct of civilian and military operations by providing the EU with a permanent Operational Headquarters (OHQ) to serve as a counterpart to the CPCC, ***which should be established based on a cost-efficiency analysis and the review of the EEAS structures by 2013 at the latest***; points out that the Berlin Plus arrangements have been put to only limited use, having been confined to date to takeovers of pre-existing NATO missions, and draws attention to the problems connected with the framework nation track, which is based on the use of five national OHQs, and in particular to the fragmented nature of political and strategic operations planning (Crisis Management Concept, Military Strategic Options, Initiating Military Directive), adding to the difficulty of force generation, as well as making the use of civilian and military capabilities more complex to coordinate;

Or. en

Amendment 154

Krzysztof Lisek, Arnaud Danjean, Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 34

Motion for a resolution

34. ***Points to*** the need to overcome the current imbalance in terms of planning ***capabilities*** and ***the*** conduct of civilian and military operations by providing the EU with a permanent ***military-strategic level of command*** or Operational Headquarters (OHQ) ***to serve as a counterpart to the CPCC***; points out that the Berlin Plus arrangements have been put to only limited use, having been confined to date to takeovers of pre-existing NATO missions, and draws attention to the problems connected with the framework nation track, which is based on the use of five national OHQs, ***and in particular to the fragmented nature of political and strategic operations planning (Crisis Management Concept, Military Strategic Options, Initiating Military Directive), adding to the difficulty of force generation, as well as making the use of civilian and military capabilities more complex to coordinate;***

Amendment

34. ***Reaffirms*** the need to overcome the current imbalance in terms of planning and conduct ***capabilities*** of civilian and military operations by providing the EU with a permanent ***civil-military planning and conduct capacity*** or Operational Headquarters (OHQ) ***which will allow for a more reactive and cost-effective EU response***; points out that the Berlin Plus arrangements have been put to only limited use, having been confined to date to takeovers of pre-existing NATO missions, and draws attention to the problems connected with the framework nation track, which is based on the use of five national OHQs, ***adding the lack of pre-planning to the difficulties in force generation and increased complexity of coordinating civilian and military capabilities;***

Or. en

Amendment 155

Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 35

Motion for a resolution

35. ***Considers that the existing Operation Centre, though constituting a welcome first step, falls short of the requirements (it is no coincidence that it has never been***

Amendment

deleted

used) and that it must instead be made permanent and put in a position to manage missions beyond the present limited size (some 2 000 troops), the ways to do so being to increase its staff substantially and deal with the unreliability of the EU's communications and information systems infrastructure, the main reason for which is that there is no permanent C2 structure (or corresponding legal framework), a fact which can also adversely affect situational awareness; maintains that the military OHQ should be set up alongside the civilian HQ, thus making it possible to carry out the whole range of military and civilian operations, exploiting potential synergistic effects to the full while respecting the distinctive civilian and military chains of command and the different decision-making procedures and financing arrangements;

Or. en

Amendment 156

Andrew Duff

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 35

Motion for a resolution

35. Considers that the existing Operation Centre, though constituting a welcome first step, falls short of *the* requirements (*it is no coincidence that it has never been used*) and that it must instead be made permanent and put in a position to manage missions *beyond the present limited size (some 2 000 troops), the ways to do so being to increase its staff substantially* and deal with the unreliability of the EU's communications and information systems infrastructure, *the main reason for which is that there is no permanent C2 structure*

Amendment

35. Considers that the existing Operation Centre, though constituting a welcome first step, falls short of requirements and that it must instead be made permanent and put in a position to manage *larger* missions, and *to* deal with the unreliability of the EU's communications and information systems infrastructure; maintains that the military OHQ should be set up alongside the civilian HQ, thus making it possible to carry out the whole range of military and civilian operations, exploiting potential synergistic effects to the full while

(or corresponding legal framework), a fact which can also adversely affect situational awareness; maintains that the military OHQ should be set up alongside the civilian HQ, thus making it possible to carry out the whole range of military and civilian operations, exploiting potential synergistic effects to the full while respecting the distinctive civilian and military chains of command and the different decision-making procedures and financing arrangements;

respecting the distinctive civilian and military chains of command and the different decision-making procedures and financing arrangements;

Or. en

Amendment 157

Krzysztof Lisek, Arnaud Danjean, Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 35

Motion for a resolution

35. Considers that the existing Operation Centre, though constituting a welcome first step, falls short of the requirements (it is no coincidence that it has never been used) and that it must instead be made permanent and put in a position to manage missions beyond the present limited size (some 2 000 troops), the ways to do so being to increase its staff substantially and deal with the unreliability of the EU's communications and information systems infrastructure, the main reason for which is that there is no permanent C2 structure (*or corresponding* legal framework), a fact which can also adversely affect situational awareness; *maintains that* the military OHQ *should be set up alongside* the civilian HQ, *thus making it possible to carry out* the whole range of military and civilian operations, exploiting potential synergistic effects to the full while respecting the distinctive civilian and military chains of command and the

Amendment

35. Considers that the existing Operation Centre, though constituting a welcome first step, falls short of the requirements, *is inadequate with the level of ambition of a permanent OHQ* (it is no coincidence that it has never been used) and that it must instead be made permanent and put in a position to manage missions beyond the present limited size (some 2 000 troops), the ways to do so being to increase its staff substantially, *to grant it with adequate operational infrastructures* and *to* deal with the unreliability of the EU's communications and information systems infrastructure, the main reason for which is that there is no permanent *command and control (C2)* structure (*and relevant* legal framework), a fact which can also adversely affect situational awareness; *advocates co-location of* the military OHQ *with* the civilian HQ, *in order to allow* the whole range of military and civilian operations *to be carried out*, exploiting

different decision-making procedures and financing arrangements;

potential synergistic effects to the full while respecting the distinctive civilian and military chains of command and the different decision-making procedures and financing arrangements;

Or. en

Amendment 158
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 36

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

36. Welcomes the fact that, in her reply to the Weimar initiative, the HR recognised the need for an EU military conduct capability; maintains that the cost efficiency analysis called for by the HR should also factor in the costs arising because the EU has no OHQ; declares its intention of promoting a study on that point and on the possible cost of, and financing arrangements for, the new structure;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 159
Nirj Deva

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 36

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

36. Welcomes the fact that, in her reply to the Weimar initiative, the HR recognised the need for an EU military conduct capability; maintains that the cost efficiency analysis called for by the HR should also factor in the ***costs arising***

36. Welcomes the fact that, in her reply to the Weimar initiative, the HR recognised the need for an EU military conduct capability; maintains that the cost efficiency analysis called for by the HR should also factor in the ***future decision*** of

because the EU has no OHQ; declares its intention of promoting a study on that point and on the possible cost of, and financing arrangements for, the new structure;

establishing a new structure to act as OHQ or instead making use of the already existing structure and numerous adjacent units;

Or. en

Amendment 160
Andrew Duff, Marietje Schaake

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 36

Motion for a resolution

36. Welcomes the fact that, in her reply to the Weimar initiative, the HR recognised the need for an EU military conduct capability; maintains that the cost efficiency analysis called for by the HR should also factor in the costs arising because the EU has no OHQ; *declares its intention of promoting a study on that point and on the possible cost of, and financing arrangements for, the new structure;*

Amendment

36. Welcomes the fact that, in her reply to the Weimar initiative, the HR recognised the need for an EU military conduct capability; maintains that the cost efficiency analysis called for by the HR should also factor in the costs arising because the EU has no OHQ; *urges that the White Paper exercise deals with the question of the financing arrangements for the new structure;*

Or. en

Amendment 161
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 37

Motion for a resolution

37. Recognises the soundness of the Battlegroups, but calls for the concept and the structure of the groups, which have so far never been deployed, to be carefully reviewed; believes that

Amendment

deleted

– for every six-month rotation period there should be one Battlegroup in the form approved to date and one smaller group, which should, however, be specialised (niche capability) and/or suited to low-intensity conflicts entailing mixed civilian- military tasks;

– the operating costs should be charged to the ATHENA mechanism, which is due to be reviewed under the Polish Presidency;

Or. en

Amendment 162
Elena Băsescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 37 – introductory part

Motion for a resolution

37. Recognises the soundness of the Battlegroups, but calls for the concept and the structure of the groups, which have so far never been deployed, to be carefully reviewed; believes that

Amendment

37. Recognises the soundness of the Battlegroups, but calls for the concept and the structure of the groups, which have so far never been deployed, to be carefully reviewed ***for an increased degree of flexibility and efficiency***; believes that

Or. en

Amendment 163
Ioan Mircea Pașcu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 37 – introductory part

Motion for a resolution

37. Recognises the soundness of the Battlegroups, but calls for the concept and the structure of the groups, which have so far never been deployed, to be carefully reviewed; ***believes that***

Amendment

37. Recognises the soundness of the Battlegroups, but calls for the concept and the structure of the groups, which have so far never been deployed, to be carefully reviewed;

Amendment 164
Reinhard Bütikofer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 37 – introductory part

Motion for a resolution

37. Recognises the soundness of the Battlegroups, but calls for *the concept and the structure of the groups, which have so far never been deployed, to be carefully reviewed*; believes that

Amendment

37. Recognises the soundness of the Battlegroups, but calls for *a review*; believes that

Amendment 165
Krzysztof Lisek, Arnaud Danjean, Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 37 – indent 1

Motion for a resolution

– for every six-month rotation period there should be one Battlegroup in the form approved to date and one smaller group, which should, however, be specialised (niche capability) and/or suited to low-intensity conflicts entailing mixed civilian- military tasks;

deleted

Amendment

Amendment 166
Reinhard Bütikofer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 37 – indent 1

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– for every six-month rotation period there should be one Battlegroup in the form approved to date and one smaller group, which should, however, be specialised (niche capability) and/or suited to low-intensity conflicts entailing mixed civilian- military tasks;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 167
Ioan Mircea Paşcu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 37 – indent 1

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– for every six-month rotation period there should be one Battlegroup in the form approved to date and one smaller group, which should, however, be specialised (niche capability) and/or suited to low-intensity conflicts entailing mixed civilian- military tasks;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 168
Reinhard Bütikofer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 38

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

38. Encourages the head of the Agency/VP/HR and the Commission to seek strong cooperation between the EDA and the Commission with a view to enhancing dual-use capability in order to

deleted

make for better synergistic management of civilian-military resources, in particular through the security chapter of the framework programme for research and technological development; accordingly welcomes the prospect of the eighth framework programme, which will also cover external security;

Or. en

Amendment 169

Krzysztof Lisek, Arnaud Danjean, Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 38

Motion for a resolution

38. Encourages the head of the *Agency/VP/HR* and the Commission to seek strong cooperation between the *EDA* and the Commission with a view to enhancing dual-use *capability* in order to make for better *synergistic* management of civilian-military resources, in particular through the security *chapter* of the *framework programme* for *research* and *technological development*; accordingly welcomes the prospect of the *eighth framework programme*, which will also cover external security;

Amendment

38. Encourages the head of the *EDA/VP/HR* and the Commission to seek strong cooperation between the *Agency* and the Commission with a view to enhancing dual-use *capabilities* in order to *find the most comprehensive approach to security related research* and to make for better *synergic* management of civilian-military resources, in particular through the security *theme* of the *Framework Programme* for *Research* and *Technological Development*; accordingly welcomes the prospect of the *Eighth Framework Programme*, which will also cover external security; *and calls on the Commission to acknowledge the reality of the civil-military nature of crisis management and consider the financing of security and defence research having civilian applications with community funds;*

Or. en

Amendment 170
Andrew Duff, Marietje Schaake

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 38

Motion for a resolution

38. Encourages *the head of the Agency/VP/HR and the Commission to seek strong* cooperation between the EDA and the Commission with a view to enhancing dual-use capability in order to make for better synergistic management of civilian-military resources, in particular through the security chapter of the framework programme for research and technological development; accordingly welcomes the prospect of the eighth framework programme, which will also cover external security;

Amendment

38. Encourages the *close* cooperation between the EDA and the Commission with a view to enhancing dual-use capability in order to make for better synergistic management of civilian-military resources, in particular through the security chapter of the framework programme for research and technological development; accordingly welcomes the prospect of the eighth framework programme, which will also cover external security;

Or. en

Amendment 171
Christian Ehler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 38

Motion for a resolution

38. Encourages the head of the Agency/VP/HR and the Commission to seek strong cooperation between the EDA and the Commission with a view to enhancing dual-use capability in order to make for better synergistic management of civilian-military resources, in particular through the security chapter of the framework programme for research and technological development; accordingly welcomes the prospect of the eighth framework programme, which will also cover external security;

Amendment

38. Encourages the head of the Agency/VP/HR and the Commission to seek strong cooperation between the EDA and the Commission with a view to enhancing dual-use capability in order to make for better synergistic management of civilian-military resources, in particular through the security chapter of the framework programme for research and technological development; accordingly welcomes the prospect of the eighth framework programme, which will also cover external security; *notes, however, that this cooperation should not exceed*

what is necessary in view of civil-military cooperation in peace-keeping, conflict prevention and strengthening international security as well as crisis management activities;

Or. en

Amendment 172
Anneli Jäätteenmäki

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 38

Motion for a resolution

38. Encourages the head of the Agency/VP/HR and the Commission to seek strong cooperation between the EDA and the Commission with a view to enhancing dual-use capability in order to make for better synergistic management of civilian-military resources, in particular through the security chapter of the framework programme for research and technological development; accordingly welcomes the prospect of the eighth framework programme, which will also cover external security;

Amendment

38. Encourages the head of the Agency/VP/HR and the Commission to seek strong cooperation between the EDA and the Commission with a view to enhancing dual-use capability in order to make for better synergistic management of civilian-military resources, in particular through the security chapter of the framework programme for research and technological development; accordingly welcomes the prospect of the eighth framework programme, which will also cover external security; ***emphasises the need for integration and better targeting of the DG funded projects and Framework Programme projects;***

Or. en

Amendment 173
Krzysztof Lisek, Arnaud Danjean, Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 38 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

38a. Urges the head of the EDA (HR/VP)

as well as the Council to deliver timely a new Council Joint Action on Establishing EDA based on EDA's new role as described in the Treaty of Lisbon; questions the current legal basis of the EDA dating back to 2004 in view of the Treaty of Lisbon and its implications on EDA; calls on the Council to inform the European Parliament on the necessary changes to the Council Joint Action on Establishing EDA resulting from EDA's inclusion in the Treaty of Lisbon;

Or. en

Amendment 174
Reinhard Bütikofer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 39

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

39. Calls for a constructive dialogue between the Commission, Parliament, the EDA, and the participating Member States on the preparations for the eighth framework programme with a view to investment in technology areas of common interest at EU level, bearing in mind not least that the amount spent in Europe on investment in defence-related R & D is currently equivalent to about 10% of the US figure;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 175
Krzysztof Lisek, Arnaud Danjean, Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 39

Motion for a resolution

39. Calls for a ***constructive dialogue*** between the Commission, Parliament, the EDA, and the participating Member States on the preparations for the ***eighth framework programme*** with a view to ***investment*** in technology areas of common interest at EU level, bearing in mind not least that the amount spent in Europe on investment in defence-related R & D is currently equivalent to about 10% of the US figure;

Amendment

39. Calls for ***the establishment of a strong partnership*** between the Commission, Parliament, the EDA, and the participating Member States on the preparations for the ***Eighth Framework Programme*** with a view to ***investments*** in technology areas of common interest at EU level, bearing in mind not least that the amount spent in Europe on investment in defence-related R&D is currently equivalent to about 10% of the US figure;

Or. en

Amendment 176
Teresa Riera Madurell

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 39

Motion for a resolution

39. Calls for a constructive dialogue between the Commission, Parliament, the EDA, and the participating Member States on the preparations for the eighth framework programme with a view to investment in technology areas of common interest at EU level, bearing in mind not least that the amount spent in Europe on investment in defence-related R & D is currently equivalent to about 10% of the US figure;

Amendment

39. Calls for a constructive dialogue between the Commission, Parliament, the EDA, and the participating Member States on the preparations for the eighth framework programme with a view to investment in technology areas of common interest at EU level, bearing in mind not least that the amount spent in Europe on investment in defence-related R & D is currently equivalent to about 10% of the US figure; ***and has not developed a common strategic vision which would enable to make capabilities interoperable and interchangeable and avoid costly adaptations;***

Or. en

Amendment 177

Krzysztof Lisek, Arnaud Danjean, Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 39 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

39a. Calls for a strong cooperation between EDA and the Organisation Conjointe de Coopération en matière d'Armement (OCCAR); requests information from the head of the EDA (HR/VP) on the results of the negotiations on an Administrative Arrangement for their cooperation which started in April 2009;

Or. en

Amendment 178

Andrew Duff, Marietje Schaake

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 40

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

40. Maintains that one of the prerequisites for a ***self-contained*** CSDP is the establishment of a competitive European defence and security market, ***with an enhanced European defence technological and industrial base (EDTIB)*** (including identification of key industrial capabilities, security of supply between countries, ***increased competition in the defence equipment market***, a deepening and diversifying supplier base, and increased armaments cooperation);

40. Maintains that one of the prerequisites for a ***credible*** CSDP is the establishment of a ***more*** competitive European defence and security market ***open to public procurement*** (including identification of key industrial capabilities, security of supply between countries, a deepening and diversifying supplier base, and increased armaments cooperation);

Or. en

Amendment 179

Krzysztof Lisek, Arnaud Danjean, Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 40

Motion for a resolution

40. **Maintains** that one of the prerequisites for **a self-contained** CSDP is the establishment of a competitive European defence and security market, with an enhanced European **defence technological and industrial base** (EDTIB) (**including identification of** key industrial capabilities, security of supply between countries, **increased** competition in the defence equipment market, a deepening and diversifying supplier base, and increased armaments cooperation);

Amendment

40. **Reaffirms** that one of the prerequisites for **an autonomous** CSDP is the establishment of a competitive European defence and security market, with an enhanced European **Defence Technological and Industrial Base** (EDTIB) **that takes into account** key industrial capabilities, security of supply between countries, **adapted** competition in the defence equipment market, a deepening and diversifying supplier base, and increased armaments cooperation);

Or. en

Amendment 180

Reinhard Bütikofer

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 40

Motion for a resolution

40. Maintains that **one of the prerequisites for a self-contained CSDP is the** establishment of a competitive European defence and security market, with an enhanced European defence technological and industrial base (EDTIB) (including identification of key industrial capabilities, security of supply between countries, increased competition in the defence equipment market, a deepening and diversifying supplier base, and increased armaments cooperation);

Amendment

40. Maintains that the establishment of a competitive, **transparent and efficient** European defence and security market, with an enhanced European defence technological and industrial base (EDTIB) (including identification of key industrial capabilities, security of supply between countries, increased competition in the defence equipment market, a deepening and diversifying supplier base, and increased armaments cooperation) **is desirable**;

Or. en

Amendment 181
Teresa Riera Madurell

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 40

Motion for a resolution

40. Maintains that one of the prerequisites for a self-contained CSDP is the establishment of a competitive European defence and security market, with an enhanced European defence technological and industrial base (EDTIB) (including identification of key industrial capabilities, security of supply between countries, increased competition in the defence equipment market, a deepening and diversifying supplier base, and increased armaments cooperation);

Amendment

40. Maintains that one of the prerequisites for a self-contained CSDP is the establishment of a competitive European defence and security market, with an enhanced European defence technological and industrial base (EDTIB) (including identification of key industrial capabilities, security of supply between countries, increased competition in the defence equipment market, a deepening and diversifying supplier base, and increased armaments cooperation); ***reiterates that cooperation in defence R&T and R&D among member states is restricted and continues to be considerable fragmentation in the field of high-tech programmes, which undermines EU's operational autonomy;***

Or. en

Amendment 182
Andrew Duff, Marietje Schaake

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 41 – introductory part

Motion for a resolution

41. Points out that it is essential, for the defence market, for the following directives to be transposed into national law:

Amendment

41. Points out that it is essential, for the defence market, for the following directives to be transposed into national law ***by all Member States:***

Or. en

Amendment 183
Andrew Duff, Marietje Schaake

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 41

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

recommends that Member States comply strictly with the deadlines, under the Commission's supervision, and that they draw up the necessary implementing regulations and train the relevant staff to enforce the new rules;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 184
Krzysztof Lisek, Arnaud Danjean, Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 41

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

recommends that Member States comply strictly with the deadlines, under the Commission's supervision, and that they draw up the necessary implementing regulations and train the relevant staff to enforce the new rules;

recommends that Member States comply strictly with the deadlines, under the Commission's supervision, and that they draw up the necessary implementing regulations and train the relevant staff to enforce the new rules; *calls upon Member States to take the respective Guidance Notes issued by the Commission into account;*

Or. en

Amendment 185
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 41 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

41a. Recommends that the implementation of the Common Position defining unified rules on the control of technology and military exports adopted on December 8 2008 be urgently reviewed, in order to ensure strict and consistent compliance by all national authorities involved in each Member State;

Or. en

Amendment 186
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 41 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

41b. Urges Member States to abide by EDA's Code of conduct on defence procurement and its Code of conduct on offsets, so as to prevent violations of internal market rules and reduce opportunities for corruption;

Or. en

Amendment 187
Krzysztof Lisek, Arnaud Danjean, Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 42

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

42. **Maintains** that to foster the emerging European defence market, a remedy needs to be found for the vacuum in terms of regulations and standards, since this situation limits market opportunities for

42. **Underlines** that, **in order** to foster the emerging European **security and** defence market, a remedy needs to be found for the vacuum in terms of regulations and standards, since this situation limits market

both large players and SMEs and prevents security *system interoperability*; fully supports the work of the EDA in *keeping with* the new legal basis provided by the Lisbon Treaty; advocates close collaboration *with* the Commission, *which is legally competent as regards regulation of* the defence *and security market*;

opportunities for both large players and SMEs and prevents *interoperability among* security *systems*; fully supports the work of the EDA in the *framework of the* new legal basis provided by the Lisbon Treaty; advocates close collaboration *between* the *EDA and the* Commission *to create a European defence market; calls for the Commission to launch, in cooperation with the EDA, a first reflection on an European industrial policy in the field of security and* defence;

Or. en

Amendment 188
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 43

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

43. Urges the participating Member States to treat participation in the EDA as a permanent commitment and provide the Agency with the necessary human and economic resources; calls for the expenditure earmarked for operational projects and studies (which has hitherto accounted – on average – for about 25% of the budget) to be raised in the unwelcome event that vetoes on budget increases were to continue for a lengthy period;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 189
Anneli Jäätteenmäki

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 43

Motion for a resolution

43. Urges the participating Member States to treat participation in the EDA as a permanent commitment and provide the Agency with the necessary human and economic resources; ***calls for the expenditure earmarked for operational projects and studies (which has hitherto accounted – on average – for about 25% of the budget) to be raised in the unwelcome event that vetoes on budget increases were to continue for a lengthy period;***

Amendment

43. Urges the participating Member States to treat participation in the EDA as a permanent commitment and provide the Agency, ***CMPD, and CPCC*** with the necessary human and economic resources;

Or. en

Amendment 190
Nirj Deva

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 43

Motion for a resolution

43. Urges the participating Member States to treat participation in the EDA as a permanent commitment and provide the Agency with the necessary human and economic resources; ***calls for the expenditure earmarked for operational projects and studies (which has hitherto accounted – on average – for about 25% of the budget) to be raised in the unwelcome event that vetoes on budget increases were to continue for a lengthy period;***

Amendment

43. Urges the participating Member States to treat participation in the EDA as a permanent commitment and provide the Agency with the necessary human and economic resources;

Or. en

Amendment 191
Andrew Duff

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 44

Motion for a resolution

44. Calls on the EDA's participating Member States to give loyal and cooperative support to the work and initiatives presented by the VP/HR in her capacity as head of the Agency and urges the VP/HR to establish working methods allowing the participating Member States invariably to play an active role in decision-taking, consistent with the intergovernmental nature of the Agency and the provisions of the Treaty, the idea being to build a political consensus;

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 192
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 44

Motion for a resolution

44. Calls on the EDA's participating Member States to **give loyal and cooperative support to** the work and initiatives presented by the VP/HR in her capacity as head of the Agency and urges the VP/HR to establish working methods allowing the participating Member States invariably to play an active role in decision-taking, consistent with the intergovernmental nature of the Agency and the provisions of the Treaty, the idea being to build a political consensus;

Amendment

44. Calls on the EDA's participating Member States to **scrutinise** the work and initiatives presented by the VP/HR in her capacity as head of the Agency **in terms of cost-effectiveness** and urges the VP/HR to establish working methods allowing the participating Member States invariably to play an active role in decision-taking, consistent with the intergovernmental nature of the Agency and the provisions of the Treaty, the idea being to build a political consensus;

Or. en

Amendment 193

Krzysztof Lisek, Arnaud Danjean, Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 44

Motion for a resolution

44. Calls on the EDA's participating Member States to ***give loyal and cooperative support*** to the work and initiatives presented by the VP/HR in her capacity as head of the Agency and urges the VP/HR to establish working methods ***allowing*** the participating Member States ***invariably to play an active role in decision-taking***, consistent with the intergovernmental nature of the Agency and the provisions of the Treaty, the idea being to build a political consensus;

Amendment

44. Calls on the EDA's participating Member States to ***add*** to the work and initiatives ***to be*** presented by the VP/HR in her capacity as head of the Agency and urges the VP/HR to establish working methods ***improving the capacity of the participating Member States to take responsibility as decision makers, and*** consistent with the intergovernmental nature of the Agency and the provisions of the Treaty, the idea being to build a political consensus;

Or. en

Amendment 194

Roberto Gualtieri

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 44 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

44a. Considers that the setting up of EU regulatory measures including a comprehensive normative system for the establishment, registration, licensing, monitoring and reporting on violations of applicable law by private military and security (PMS) companies - both at internal and external level – is necessary;

Or. en

Amendment 195
Roberto Gualtieri

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 44 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

44b. Calls therefore on the Commission and the Council to initiate appropriate actions:

- for the internal level, the drafting of a Recommendation paving the way for a Directive aimed at harmonizing national measures regulating PMSC services, including service providers and the procurement of services;

- for the external level, the drafting of a Code of Conduct paving the way for a Decision regulating the export of PMSC services to third states to the extent not covered by the above mentioned Directive;

Or. en

Amendment 196
Reinhard Bütikofer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 45

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

45. Considers that the internal and external aspects of EU security should be treated as complementary dimensions of the same strategy, as the European Council has made clear since its meetings in Tampere (1999), Feira, and Stockholm (2010), when it adopted the European freedom, security, and justice area objectives for the period 2010-2014;

45. Considers that the internal and external aspects of EU security should be treated as complementary dimensions of the same strategy, as the European Council has made clear since its meetings in Tampere (1999), Feira, and Stockholm (2010), when it adopted the European freedom, security, and justice area objectives for the period 2010-2014; ***stresses that under no circumstances core values and norms as human rights, fundamental rights and freedoms and humanitarian law are***

negotiable in the context of the fight against international terrorism and that one of the conclusions of the European Parliaments Temporary Committee on the alleged use of European countries by the CIA for the transportation and illegal detention of prisoners is that national and EU anti-terrorism policies and measures need more parliamentary oversight;

Or. en

Amendment 197
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 46

Motion for a resolution

46. Considers that it ***is more and more evident*** in modern times, and especially since September 11, that many transnational threats such as terrorism, organised crime, cybercrime, drugs, and trafficking in human beings cannot be neutralised without coordinated action involving external security policies and ‘internal’ legislative and political measures and tools ***which have*** already ***been announced with*** the first European anti-terrorism ***programme*** (2001) and the ***counterterrorism strategy*** (2005);

Amendment

46. Considers that it ***has become increasingly clear*** in modern times, and especially since September 11, that many transnational threats such as terrorism, ***proliferation of weapons of mass destruction***, organised crime, cybercrime, drugs, and trafficking in human beings cannot be neutralised without coordinated action involving ‘external’ security policies and ‘internal’ legislative and political measures and tools, ***as*** already ***highlighted in*** the first European ***Union*** anti-terrorism ***Action plan*** (2001) and the ***European Union counter-terrorism Strategy*** (2005); ***recalls that the 2008 Council implementation report of the European Security Strategy reminds that state failure affects European security, as the Somalia case illustrates;***

Or. en

Amendment 198
Reinhard Bütikofer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 46

Motion for a resolution

46. Considers that it is more and more evident in modern times, and especially since September 11, that many transnational threats such as terrorism, organised crime, cybercrime, drugs, and trafficking in human beings cannot be **neutralised** without coordinated action involving external security policies and ‘internal’ legislative and political measures and tools which have already been announced with the first European anti-terrorism programme (2001) and the counterterrorism strategy (2005);

Amendment

46. Considers that it is more and more evident in modern times, and especially since September 11, that many transnational threats such as terrorism, organised crime, cybercrime, drugs, and trafficking in human beings cannot be **addressed** without coordinated action involving external security policies and ‘internal’ legislative and political measures and tools which have already been announced with the first European anti-terrorism programme (2001) and the counterterrorism strategy (2005);

Or. en

Amendment 199
Luis Yáñez-Barnuevo García

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 46 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

46a. Considers that it is similarly evident in modern times that a natural disaster or catastrophe may endanger security, for example by disrupting energy supply;

Amendment

Or. es

Amendment 200
Andrew Duff, Marietje Schaake

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 47

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

47. Acknowledges that the connections between internal and external security policies have become more and more evident in the Member States and notably in third countries such as the US, where the Department of Homeland Security, established in 2003 by the merger of 22 federal agencies, now employs more than 200 000 officials and has an annual budget of more than \$40 billion; considers it to be no surprise that the main missions of the DHS are to some extent the same as those which the European Union has linked to the creation of the area of freedom, security, and justice (protection of external borders, migration, anti-terrorism);

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 201
Reinhard Bütikofer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 47

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

47. Acknowledges that the connections between internal and external security policies have become more and more evident in the Member States and notably in third countries such as the US, where the Department of Homeland Security, established in 2003 by the merger of 22 federal agencies, now employs more than 200 000 officials and has an annual budget of more than \$40 billion; considers it to be no surprise that the main missions of the DHS are to some extent the same as those which the European Union has linked to the creation of the area of freedom, security,

deleted

and justice (protection of external borders, migration, anti-terrorism);

Or. en

Amendment 202

Nirj Deva

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 47

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

47. Acknowledges that the connections between internal and external security policies have become more and more evident in the Member States and notably in third countries such as the US, where the Department of Homeland Security, established in 2003 by the merger of 22 federal agencies, now employs more than 200 000 officials and has an annual budget of more than \$40 billion; considers it to be no surprise that the main missions of the DHS are to some extent the same as those which the European Union has linked to the creation of the area of freedom, security, and justice (protection of external borders, migration, anti-terrorism);

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 203

Luis Yáñez-Barnuevo García

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 47 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

47a. Having recognised the indissoluble link between old and new security threats, considers that the solidarity and mutual

aid clauses are dual dimensions of a strengthened European security, capable of dealing both with terrorism and natural or man-made disasters and with territorial attacks on Member States;

Or. es

Amendment 204
Andrew Duff, Marietje Schaake

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 48 – introductory part

Motion for a resolution

48. Welcomes the fact that key provisions of the Lisbon Treaty *have allowed for a context of the kind described above and* reflect the need to exploit the synergies between external and internal security, including the following:

Amendment

48. Welcomes the fact that key provisions of the Lisbon Treaty reflect the need to exploit the synergies between external and internal security, including the following:

Or. en

Amendment 205
Andrew Duff, Marietje Schaake

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 48 – indent 1

Motion for a resolution

– expansion of the CSDP to include wider Petersberg-type missions that could contribute to counterterrorism, not least through support to help third countries fight terrorism on their territory;
recommends that these provisions be interpreted in sweeping terms;

Amendment

– expansion of the CSDP to include wider Petersberg-type missions that could contribute to counterterrorism, not least through support to help third countries fight terrorism on their territory;

Or. en

Amendment 206

Nirj Deva

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 48 – indent 1

Motion for a resolution

– **expansion** of the **CSDP to include wider** Petersberg-type missions that could contribute to counterterrorism, not least through support to help third countries fight terrorism on their territory; recommends that these provisions be interpreted in sweeping terms;

Amendment

– **adequate internal and external coordination and coherence** of the Petersberg-type missions that could contribute to counterterrorism, not least through support to help third countries fight terrorism on their territory; recommends that these provisions be interpreted in sweeping terms;

Or. en

Amendment 207

Maria Eleni Koppa

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 48 – indent 1

Motion for a resolution

– expansion of the CSDP to include wider Petersberg-type missions that could contribute to counterterrorism, not least through support to help third countries fight terrorism on their territory; recommends that these provisions be interpreted in sweeping terms;

Amendment

– expansion of the CSDP to include wider Petersberg-type missions that could contribute to counterterrorism, not least through support to help third countries fight terrorism on their territory; recommends that these provisions be interpreted in sweeping terms ***in line with the relevant UN resolutions and with full respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms; reminds, however, that military response it is not by itself enough to defeat international terrorism and calls for sustained international efforts to indentify and deal with legitimate grievances behind the phenomenon, enhancing, at the same time, dialogue and broadening understanding among civilizations;***

Amendment 208
Andrew Duff, Marietje Schaake

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 48 – indent 2

Motion for a resolution

– ***solidarity clause: agrees that this instrument must be made operative and*** welcomes the fact that the Commission and the HR/VP have promised to submit a cross-cutting proposal in 2011 in order to provide the basis for the EU's collective commitment to putting the solidarity clause into practice;

Amendment

– welcomes the fact that the Commission and the HR/VP have promised to submit a cross-cutting proposal in 2011 in order to provide the basis for the EU's collective commitment to putting the solidarity clause into practice;

Or. en

Amendment 209
Maria Eleni Koppa

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 48 – indent 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

– ***mutual assistance clause: considers it as an important political statement in favour of effective solidarity in the event of an external attack against any of the member states of the EU, this without contradicting the role of NATO in the European security architecture and, at the same time, respecting the neutrality of some EU member states;***

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 210
Andrew Duff, Marietje Schaake

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 49

Motion for a resolution

49. Considers that the European Security Strategy (2003) and the Internal Security Strategy (2010) coherently identify a number of common areas – such as terrorism, organised crime, and cybersecurity – with implications for both security dimensions; agrees, therefore, that the way of bringing together the internal and external dimensions needs to be improved, ***an idea which has been developed by the Commission in its communication entitled ‘The EU internal security Strategy: five steps towards a more secure Europe’***;

Amendment

49. Considers that the European Security Strategy (2003) and the Internal Security Strategy (2010) coherently identify a number of common areas – such as terrorism, organised crime, and cybersecurity – with implications for both security dimensions; agrees, therefore, that the way of bringing together the internal and external dimensions needs to be improved;

Or. en

Amendment 211
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 49

Motion for a resolution

49. Considers that the European Security Strategy (2003) and the Internal Security Strategy (2010) coherently identify a number of common areas – such as terrorism, organised crime, and cybersecurity – with implications for both security dimensions; agrees, therefore, that the way of bringing together the internal and external dimensions needs to be improved, ***an idea which has been developed by the Commission in its communication entitled ‘The EU internal security Strategy: five steps towards a***

Amendment

49. Considers that the European Security Strategy (2003) and the Internal Security Strategy (2010) coherently identify a number of common areas – such as terrorism, organised crime and cybersecurity – with implications for both security dimensions; agrees, therefore, that the way of bringing together the internal and external dimensions needs to be improved, ***an idea which has been developed by the Commission in its communication entitled ‘The EU internal security Strategy: five steps towards a***

more secure Europe’;

more secure Europe’, *including space security*;

Or. en

Amendment 212
Ágnes Hankiss

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 49 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

49a. Considers that finding the most efficient way of the optimisation of cooperation and information exchange is the most important goal of the EU Internal Security Strategy, therefore a clear distinction and division of tasks and responsibilities is needed between the ‘external’ security policies and ‘internal’ legislative and political measures and tools and at the same time the appropriate forms of cooperation and collaboration needs to be defined;

Or. en

Amendment 213
Ágnes Hankiss

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 49 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

49b. Considers that in accordance with the Lisbon Treaty, national security remains the sole responsibility of the Member States, and the Union shall respect the equality of Member States before the Treaties, therefore bodies and offices of Member State coordination, particularly the COSI and the Counter-

terrorism Coordinator shall be treated on equal footing, upholding their independence from other Union institutions, at the same time guaranteeing the principle of subsidiarity and multi-dimensional democratic control.

Or. en

Amendment 214

Krzysztof Lisek, Arnaud Danjean, Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 50 – indent 2

Motion for a resolution

– a security information model will be developed by connecting the Schengen Information System to all the other Europe-wide networks such as the VIS and Eurodac using the *model developed by the US, which interconnects the US State Department and the DHS information networks linked to the prevention of terrorism;*

Amendment

– a security information model will be developed by connecting the Schengen Information System to all the other *relevant* Europe-wide networks such as the VIS and Eurodac using the *experience* and *best practice from other countries;*

Or. en

Amendment 215

Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 50 – indent 2

Motion for a resolution

– a security information model will be developed by connecting the Schengen Information System to all the other Europe-wide networks such as the VIS and Eurodac *using* the model developed by the US, which interconnects the US State

Amendment

– a security information model will be developed by connecting the Schengen Information System to all the other Europe-wide networks such as the VIS and Eurodac *exploring* the model developed by the US, which interconnects the US State

Department and the DHS information networks linked to the prevention of terrorism;

Department and the DHS information networks linked to the prevention of terrorism;

Or. en

Amendment 216
Anneli Jäätteenmäki

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 50 – indent 2

Motion for a resolution

– a security information model will be developed by connecting the Schengen Information System to all the other Europe-wide networks such as the VIS and Eurodac using the model developed by the US, which interconnects the US State Department and the DHS information networks linked to the prevention of terrorism;

Amendment

– a security information model will be developed by connecting the Schengen Information System to all the other Europe-wide networks such as the VIS and Eurodac using the model developed by the US, which interconnects the US State Department and the DHS information networks linked to the prevention of terrorism; ***stresses that the risks to privacy and the ethical implications of this need to be taken into account with the interconnection;***

Or. en

Amendment 217
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 50 – indent 3

Motion for a resolution

– the tracking of terrorism financing ***has recently been improved*** by the EU-US TFTP agreement and by all the legislative measures imposing the traceability of suspect transactions;

Amendment

– the tracking of terrorism financing ***as enacted*** by the EU-US TFTP agreement and by all the legislative measures imposing the traceability of suspect transactions;

Or. en

Amendment 218
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 50 – indent 4

Motion for a resolution

– the definition of the European critical infrastructures takes into account the impact of man-made actions (terrorist attacks);

Amendment

– the definition of the European critical infrastructures takes into account the impact of man-made actions *such as* terrorist attacks *and cyber attacks*;

Or. en

Amendment 219
Andrew Duff, Marietje Schaake

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 51

Motion for a resolution

51. Is of the view that all the initiatives listed above could therefore be launched only with a sound legal basis and legislative measures which can be adopted under the internal ordinary EU competence, for which a qualified majority in the Council is the rule and which also involves codecision in Parliament and, last but not least, judicial review by the Court of Justice;

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 220
Maria Eleni Koppa

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 51 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

51a. underlines the need to improve the European security by integrating maritime policy making and by linking military and civilian assets with a view of creating a common maritime surveillance network that guarantees efficient exchange of information, enhances situational awareness and provides preparedness for eventual common operations in the framework of CSDP; welcomes the Draft Roadmap towards establishing the Common Information Sharing Environment for the surveillance of the EU maritime domain, presented by the Commission in October 2010, as a first step in this direction;

Or. en

Amendment 221
Andrew Duff, Marietje Schaake

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 52

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

52. Is of the view that logic will then imply that, when the same threat requires the activation of external and internal security measures, the EU should give priority to the more efficient – and legally sound – measures available, the latter being those arising from internal competence; considers that Parliament's role should also be decisive as regards the related specific CFSP strategies and measures;

52. Insists that where measures needed to safeguard external security mirror the internal competences of the EU, the ordinary legislative procedure should be used;

Or. en

Amendment 222
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 52

Motion for a resolution

52. Is of the view that logic will then imply that, when the same threat requires the activation of external and internal security measures, the EU should give priority to the more efficient – and legally sound – measures available, the latter being those arising from internal competence;
considers that Parliament's role should also be decisive as regards the related specific CFSP strategies and measures;

Amendment

52. Is of the view that logic will then imply that, when the same threat requires the activation of external and internal security measures, the EU should give priority to the more efficient – and legally sound – measures available, the latter being those arising from internal competence;

Or. en

Amendment 223
Krzysztof Lisek, Petru Constantin Luhan, Michael Gahler, Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 54

Motion for a resolution

54. ***Recognises*** that since 2003 the EU has undertaken numerous ***missions*** (24) in three continents involving different types of intervention, the bulk being accounted for by civilian missions specialising in policing, security sector reform (SSR), and consolidation of the rule of law;

Amendment

54. ***Welcomes the fact*** that since 2003 the EU has undertaken numerous ***operations*** (24) in three continents involving different types of intervention, the bulk being accounted for by civilian missions specialising in policing, security sector reform (SSR), and consolidation of the rule of law;

Or. en

Amendment 224
Teresa Riera Madurell

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 54

Motion for a resolution

54. Recognises that since 2003 the EU has undertaken numerous missions (24) in three continents involving different types of intervention, the bulk being accounted for by civilian missions specialising in policing, security sector reform (SSR), and consolidation of the rule of law;

Amendment

54. Recognises that since 2003 the EU has undertaken numerous missions (24) in three continents involving different types of intervention, the bulk being accounted for by civilian missions specialising in policing, security sector reform (SSR), and consolidation of the rule of law; ***considers that the EU has proved the credibility of its military capability bringing stability to different parts of the world; believes that the development of the CSDP's crisis management capability is crucial to the objective of strengthening the EU as a global actor;***

Or. en

Amendment 225
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 54

Motion for a resolution

54. Recognises that since 2003 the EU has undertaken numerous missions (24) in three continents involving different types of intervention, the bulk being accounted for by civilian missions specialising in policing, security sector reform (SSR), and consolidation of the rule of law;

Amendment

54. Recognises that since 2003 the EU has undertaken numerous missions (24) in three continents involving different types of intervention, the bulk being accounted for by civilian missions specialising in policing, security sector reform (SSR), and consolidation of the rule of law; ***Calls on the council and commission to establish an independent assessment of these missions in terms of impact and value for money***

Or. en

Amendment 226
Reinhard Bütikofer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 54

Motion for a resolution

54. Recognises that since 2003 the EU has undertaken numerous missions (24) in three continents involving different types of intervention, the bulk being accounted for by civilian missions specialising in policing, security sector reform (SSR), and consolidation of the rule of law;

Amendment

54. Recognises that since 2003 the EU has undertaken numerous missions (24) in three continents involving different types of intervention, the bulk being accounted for by civilian missions specialising in policing, security sector reform (SSR), and consolidation of the rule of law; ***notes that out of 24 CSDP missions so far 16 have been of civilian nature;***

Or. en

Amendment 227
Krzysztof Lisek, Arnaud Danjean, Michael Gahler, Petru Constantin Luhan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 56

Motion for a resolution

56. Welcomes the ongoing revision of the existing CSDP concepts; notes in particular that the rule of law will be ***considered as an overarching*** concept covering police, justice, civilian administration, customs, border monitoring, and other relevant areas of use to planners and experts on the ground in setting up and conducting missions with strengthening and/or substitution (executive) tasks; endorses the work being done to develop the concept of CSDP justice missions, while observing that needless overlapping with possible Community programmes has to be avoided; ***doubts whether the kinds of tasks carried out to date in the EULEX Iraq***

Amendment

56. Welcomes the ongoing revision of the existing ***civilian*** CSDP concepts; notes in particular that the rule of law will be ***seen as a central*** concept ***for civilian missions*** covering police, justice, civilian administration, customs, border monitoring, and other relevant areas of use to planners and experts on the ground in setting up and conducting missions with strengthening and/or substitution (executive) tasks; endorses the work being done to develop the concept of CSDP justice missions, while observing that needless overlapping with possible Community programmes has to be avoided;

mission conform to the characteristics of a CSDP mission;

Or. en

Amendment 228
Roberto Gualtieri

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 56

Motion for a resolution

56. Welcomes the ongoing revision of the existing CSDP concepts; notes in particular that the rule of law will be considered as an overarching concept covering police, justice, civilian administration, customs, border monitoring, and other relevant areas of use to planners and experts on the ground in setting up and conducting missions with strengthening and/or substitution (executive) tasks; endorses the work being done to develop the concept of CSDP justice missions, while observing that needless overlapping with possible Community programmes has to be avoided; doubts whether the kinds of tasks carried out to date in the *EULEX* Iraq mission conform to the characteristics of a CSDP mission;

Amendment

56. Welcomes the ongoing revision of the existing CSDP concepts; notes in particular that the rule of law will be considered as an overarching concept covering police, justice, civilian administration, customs, border monitoring, and other relevant areas of use to planners and experts on the ground in setting up and conducting missions with strengthening and/or substitution (executive) tasks; endorses the work being done to develop the concept of CSDP justice missions, while observing that needless overlapping with possible Community programmes has to be avoided; doubts whether the kinds of tasks carried out to date in the *EUJUST LEX -* Iraq mission conform to the characteristics of a CSDP mission;

Or. en

Amendment 229
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 56

Motion for a resolution

56. Welcomes the ongoing revision of the existing CSDP concepts; notes in particular

Amendment

56. Welcomes the ongoing revision of the existing CSDP concepts; notes in particular

that the rule of law will be considered as an overarching concept covering police, justice, civilian administration, customs, border monitoring, and other relevant areas of use to planners and experts on the ground in setting up and conducting missions with strengthening and/or substitution (executive) tasks; endorses the work being done to develop the concept of CSDP justice missions, while observing that needless overlapping with possible Community programmes has to be avoided; doubts whether the kinds of tasks carried out to date in the EULEX Iraq mission conform to the characteristics of a CSDP mission;

that the rule of law ***and the Responsibility to Protect*** will be considered as an overarching concept covering police, justice, civilian administration, customs, border monitoring, and other relevant areas of use to planners and experts on the ground in setting up and conducting missions with strengthening and/or substitution (executive) tasks; endorses the work being done to develop the concept of CSDP justice missions, while observing that needless overlapping with possible Community programmes has to be avoided; doubts whether the kinds of tasks carried out to date in the EULEX Iraq mission conform to the characteristics of a CSDP mission; ***calls, in this light, for urgent detailed information to be provided by the HR/VP to the European Parliament on the hiring of private security and military companies (PMSCs) in CSDP and CFSP missions, specifying professional requirements and corporate standards demanded from contractors, applicable regulations and legal responsibilities and obligations, monitoring mechanisms, effectiveness evaluation and costs involved;***

Or. en

Amendment 230
Anneli Jäätteenmäki

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 58

Motion for a resolution

58. ***Urges*** that the ***experience acquired be turned to account in order to give new impetus to missions in quantitative terms (the EUTM Somalia mission is the only new intervention to have been undertaken in the last two years) and above all qualitative terms, since missions are the***

Amendment

58. ***Stresses*** that the ***quality of the*** missions is ***more important than the quantity; urges that the effectiveness of the missions shall be measured and the idea of conflict prevention missions shall be elaborated; stresses that the EU needs proper impact assessment of current and previous***

acid test of the *CSDP mandate* and an *important touchstone* of the EU's *credibility as an international player*;

missions;

Or. en

Amendment 231
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 58

Motion for a resolution

58. Urges that the experience acquired be turned to account in order to give new impetus to missions *in quantitative terms* (the EUTM Somalia mission is the only new intervention to have been undertaken in the last two years) *and above all qualitative terms*, since missions are the acid test of the CSDP mandate and an important touchstone of the EU's credibility as an international player;

Amendment

58. Urges that the experience acquired be turned to account in order to give new impetus to missions (the EUTM Somalia mission is the only new intervention to have been undertaken in the last two years), since missions are the acid test of the CSDP mandate and an important touchstone of the EU's credibility as an international player;

Or. en

Amendment 232
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 58 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

58a. calls the HR/VP to inform the European Parliament on the preparedness degree to use CSDP naval and aerial assets to enforce UNSC resolutions on arms embargos, such as the one imposed on the Gaddafi regime by the UNSC 1970/2011;

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 233
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 59

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

59. Points out that clear-cut progress is needed urgently as regards technical, legal, operational, and above all political and strategic aspects; maintains in particular that every mission should be encompassed within a clear (medium- and long-term) political strategy; considers such linkage to be essential in order to ensure the operational success of interventions and, more generally, break the vicious circle in which the CSDP, rather than being a tool of the CFSP, is tending to replace it, with all the inconsistencies which that entails;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 234
Andrew Duff, Marietje Schaake

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 59

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

59. Points out that clear-cut progress is needed urgently as regards technical, legal, operational, and above all political and strategic aspects; maintains in particular that every mission should be encompassed within a clear (medium- and long-term) political strategy; considers such linkage to be essential in order to ensure the operational success of interventions and, more generally, break the vicious circle in which the CSDP,

59. Calls for the stricter evaluation of all CSDP missions and for the clearer establishment of operational and strategic objectives, leading to the introduction of more robust procedures;

rather than being a tool of the CFSP, is tending to replace it, with all the inconsistencies which that entails;

Or. en

Amendment 235
Tunne Kelam

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 59

Motion for a resolution

59. Points out that clear-cut progress is needed urgently as regards technical, legal, operational, and above all political and strategic aspects; maintains in particular that every mission should be encompassed within a clear (medium- and long-term) political strategy; considers such linkage to be essential in order to ensure the operational success of interventions and, more generally, break the vicious circle in which the CSDP, rather than being a tool of the CFSP, is tending to replace it, with all the inconsistencies which that entails;

Amendment

59. Points out that clear-cut progress is needed urgently as regards technical, legal, operational, and above all political and strategic aspects; maintains in particular that every mission should be encompassed within a clear (medium- and long-term) political strategy ***and underlines that missions are not taken as substitute for policy***; considers such linkage to be essential in order to ensure the operational success of interventions and, more generally, break the vicious circle in which the CSDP, rather than being a tool of the CFSP, is tending to replace it, with all the inconsistencies which that entails;

Or. en

Amendment 236
Krzysztof Lisek, Arnaud Danjean, Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 60 – indent 1

Motion for a resolution

EUPOL Afghanistan is having ***very little*** impact ***because there is no clear strategy*** and ***the mission is inevitably being absorbed into the US AFPAK strategy***;

Amendment

EUPOL Afghanistan is having ***only a targeted*** impact ***concentrating only on high level officials*** and ***was only recently embedded in the EU AFPAK action plan***;

Amendment 237
Roberto Gualtieri

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 60 – indent 1

Motion for a resolution

EUPOL Afghanistan is having *very little* impact because there is no clear strategy and the mission is inevitably being absorbed into the US AFPAK strategy;

Amendment

EUPOL Afghanistan is having *an insufficient* impact because there is no clear strategy and the mission is inevitably being absorbed into the US AFPAK strategy;

Or. en

Amendment 238
Krzysztof Lisek, Arnaud Danjean, Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 60 – indent 2

Motion for a resolution

EULEX Kosovo has been undermined by, among other things, disagreements among the Member States about recognition of the territory's independence *and the logical and expedient takeover of the NATO KFOR mission*;

Amendment

EULEX Kosovo has been undermined by, among other things, disagreements among the Member States about recognition of the territory's independence;

Or. en

Amendment 239
María Muñoz De Urquiza, Maria Eleni Koppa

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 60 – indent 2

Motion for a resolution

EULEX Kosovo *has been undermined by, among other things, disagreements among the Member States about recognition of the territory's independence and the logical and expedient takeover of the NATO KFOR mission;*

Amendment

EULEX Kosovo, the *most important civilian mission* of the EU, *encountered many obstacles, mainly due to the lack of supporting legislation and staff constraints. However, it played an important role in the field of the rule of law and continues to provide stability in the region.*

Or. en

Amendment 240
Roberto Gualtieri

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 60 – indent 3

Motion for a resolution

EUBAM Rafah and EUPOL COPPS have not significantly *affected* developments in the conflict, because they are not based on any clear cut political and diplomatic strategy, which, however, needs to be sought in order to pave the way for a renewed commitment in the Palestinian territories;

Amendment

EUBAM Rafah and EUPOL COPPS, *which is widely recognised and accepted as the key international expert interlocutor on policing issues in the Palestinian territories*, have not *been in a position to significantly affect* developments in the conflict, because they are not based on any clear cut political and diplomatic strategy, which, however, needs to be sought in order to pave the way for a renewed commitment in the Palestinian territories;

Or. en

Amendment 241
Krzysztof Lisek, Arnaud Danjean, Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 60 – indent 5

Motion for a resolution

-in spite of its high profile and the successes which it has achieved, EU NAVFOR *Somalia* is being hampered by the lack of a clear regional strategy to tackle the causes of piracy and deal effectively with the chronic instability in the Horn of Africa;

Amendment

- the *EU* has **successfully taken the lead of international efforts in the fight against piracy through operation Atlanta** but that **the issue of judicial treatment of pirates needs to be urgently solved, notably based on the Lang report recently submitted to the UN Security Council** ; EU NAVFOR *Atlanta* is being hampered by the lack of **implementation of** a clear regional strategy to tackle the **root** causes of piracy and deal effectively with the chronic instability in the Horn of Africa ; **actions enhancing regional maritime surveillance capabilities should be taken urgently**;

Or. en

Amendment 242
Reinhard Bütikofer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 60 – indent 5

Motion for a resolution

-in spite of its high profile and **the** successes which it has achieved, EU NAVFOR *Somalia* is being hampered by the lack of a clear regional strategy to tackle the causes of piracy and deal effectively with the chronic instability in the Horn of Africa;

Amendment

-in spite of its high profile and **some** successes which it has achieved, EU NAVFOR *Somalia* is being hampered by the lack of a clear regional strategy to tackle the causes of piracy and deal effectively with the chronic instability in the Horn of Africa;

Or. en

Amendment 243
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 60 – indent 5

Motion for a resolution

-in spite of its high profile and the successes which it has achieved, EU NAVFOR Somalia is being hampered by the lack of a clear regional strategy to tackle the causes of piracy and deal effectively with the chronic instability in the Horn of Africa;

Amendment

-in spite of its high profile and the successes which it has achieved, EU NAVFOR Somalia is being hampered by the lack of a clear regional strategy to tackle the causes of piracy and deal effectively with the chronic instability in the Horn of Africa ***and EUTM may prove contra-productive by enhancing military capabilities for possible militia recruits in Somalia;***

Or. en

Amendment 244
Reinhard Bütikofer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 60 – indent 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

EUTM Somalia also lacks a strategic and political framework e.g. an EU Horn of Africa or a EU Somalia strategy; in addition there is a great risk that the Somali security forces, once there are trained and equipped by the EU and back in Somalia, will not fight for the Transitional Federal Government (TFG), but for the group that pays the highest salary which, as a consequence, would worsen the security situation in the country;

Or. en

Amendment 245
Reinhard Bütikofer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 60 – indent 5 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

EUPOL RD Congo and EUSEC RD Congo are in the country since 2007 and 2005 respectively but have only had limited positive effects on target groups if any; recommends a stronger focus on the issue of sexual violence in order to increase the effectiveness of both missions

Or. en

Amendment 246
Andrew Duff, Marietje Schaake

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 61 – introductory part

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

61. Calls for closer coordination on the ground, in which the heads of ***delegation (now EEAS officials and no longer Commission officials)*** and the EUSRs will have a crucial role to play; considers that such coordination should apply at several levels, in particular:

61. Calls for closer coordination on the ground, in which the heads of EEAS ***delegations*** and the EUSRs will have a crucial role to play; considers that such coordination should apply at several levels, in particular:

Or. en

Amendment 247
Roberto Gualtieri

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 61 – indent 1

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

– between missions operating in the same theatre, so as to avoid inconsistencies and overlapping of forces of the kind that occurred in, for example, Bosnia-Herzegovina because of the divergences in

– between missions operating in the same theatre, so as to avoid inconsistencies and overlapping of forces of the kind that occurred in ***the past***, for example, ***in*** Bosnia-Herzegovina because of the

the mandates of EUFOR Althea and the EUPM mission to combat organised crime;

divergences in the mandates of EUFOR Althea and the EUPM mission to combat organised crime;

Or. en

Amendment 248

Krzysztof Lisek, Arnaud Danjean, Michael Gahler, Tunne Kelam

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 61 – indent 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- between development cooperation projects and CSDP missions as a part of CFSP;

Or. en

Amendment 249

Reinhard Bütikofer

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 63

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

63. Welcomes the outcome achieved under the Madrid accords on the establishment of the EEAS, which has enabled three specific budget headings to be provided for the main CSDP missions (EULEX Kosovo, EUPOL Afghanistan, and EUMM Georgia) with a view to increasing transparency and improving parliamentary scrutiny of expenditure; declares its willingness to cooperate with the new permanent Chair of the of the PSC in order to improve, and enhance the effectiveness of, the joint consultation meetings on the CFSP, in keeping with the HR's statement on political accountability agreed in Madrid;

63. Welcomes the outcome achieved under the Madrid accords on the establishment of the EEAS, which has enabled three specific budget headings to be provided for the main CSDP missions (EULEX Kosovo, EUPOL Afghanistan, and EUMM Georgia) with a view to increasing transparency and improving parliamentary scrutiny of expenditure; ***stresses the need to allocate one budget line for each CSDP mission;*** declares its willingness to cooperate with the new permanent Chair of the of the PSC in order to improve, and enhance the effectiveness of, the joint consultation meetings on the CFSP, in keeping with the HR's statement on political accountability

agreed in Madrid; *declares its interest to learn from the US Congress and other national parliaments when it comes to procedures and methods on how to scrutinize security and defence policies;*

Or. en

Amendment 250
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 64

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

64. Calls for the establishment, as provided for in the Lisbon Treaty, of the start-up fund for preparatory activities in the lead-up to military operations to speed up the disbursement of funds, and for this measure to be covered by the ATHENA mechanism review proposal;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 251
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 66

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

66. Recommends, as regards gender mainstreaming in line with UNSCR 1325 and to make civilian and military missions more effective, that female personnel be involved in the appropriate manner at every level of crisis management;

66. Recommends that the most capable people are employed in order to make civilian missions more effective, regardless of race or gender;

Or. en

Amendment 252
Anneli Jäätteenmäki

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 66

Motion for a resolution

66. Recommends, as regards gender mainstreaming in line with UNSCR 1325 and to make civilian and military missions more effective, that female personnel be involved in the appropriate manner at every level of crisis management;

Amendment

66. Recommends, as regards gender mainstreaming in line with UNSCR 1325 and to make civilian and military missions more effective, that female personnel be involved in the appropriate manner at every level of crisis management; ***emphasises the need for women to be included in senior level decision-making positions, regular consultations with the civil society including women's organisations, and to enhance capacity to work on gender issues within missions;***

Or. en

Amendment 253
Reinhard Bütikofer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 66

Motion for a resolution

66. Recommends, as regards gender mainstreaming in line with UNSCR 1325 and to make civilian and military missions more effective, that female personnel be involved in the appropriate manner at every level of crisis management;

Amendment

66. Recommends, as regards gender mainstreaming in line with UNSCR 1325 and to make civilian and military missions more effective, that female personnel be involved in the appropriate manner at every level of crisis management; ***calls for the establishment of adequate public complaint procedures in the context of CSDP missions, which would particularly assist the reporting of sexual and gender-based violence; calls on the VP/HR to include a detailed report on women, peace and security in the six-monthly evaluation of CSDP missions; Stresses that it is important that the EU should appoint***

more female police officers and soldiers to CSDP missions, in which connection the contingent of female police officers within the UN peace-keeping force in Liberia could be used as a model;

Or. en

Amendment 254
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 67

Motion for a resolution

67. Calls on the HR/VP to *take the steps required to optimise the potential use of European resources and capabilities for civilian missions and notes with concern that high costs are being incurred for the security of the EUJUST LEX Iraq and EUPOL Afghanistan missions, the measures in question having been entrusted to private security companies;*

Amendment

67. Calls on the HR/VP to *assess whether the EUJUST LEX Iraq and EUPOL Afghanistan missions can be justified when huge resources have been dedicated to providing security for these missions, the measures in question having been entrusted to private security companies;*

Or. en

Amendment 255
Krzysztof Lisek, Arnaud Danjean, Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 67

Motion for a resolution

67. Calls on the HR/VP to take the steps required to optimise the potential use of European resources and capabilities for civilian missions and notes with concern that high costs are being incurred for the security of the EUJUST LEX Iraq and EUPOL Afghanistan missions, the measures in question having been entrusted

Amendment

67. Calls on the HR/VP to take the steps required to optimise the potential use of European resources and capabilities for civilian missions and notes with concern that high costs are being incurred for the security of the EUJUST LEX Iraq and EUPOL Afghanistan missions, the measures in question having been entrusted

to private security companies;

to private security companies *since no other alternative was available*;

Or. en

Amendment 256
Andrew Duff

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 68

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

68. Points to the need for more robust procedures, officially established at institutional level, to enable assessment to be brought to bear at regular intervals – on the basis of common criteria – on the conduct of missions on the ground; believes that this would enable the experience acquired to be turned to account from a political and strategic, technical, legal, and operational point of view and in the long term could provide a starting point for improving interventions under way and for criteria to be applied to emerging crises so as to make for the best possible balance between strategic interests and available resources;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 257
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 69

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

69. Maintains that the trend towards multipolarity in the international system and the establishment of strategic partnerships must be encompassed within

69. Maintains that the trend towards multipolarity in the international system and the establishment of strategic partnerships must be encompassed within

an active commitment to promoting multilateralism, given that this is the dimension most consistent with the specific nature of the EU and with the growing interdependence which characterises globalisation;

an active commitment to promoting multilateralism, given that this is the dimension most consistent with *respect for the rule of law universally, the* specific nature of the EU and with the growing interdependence which characterises globalisation;

Or. en

Amendment 258
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 70

Motion for a resolution

70. Considers the role of the United Nations to be central in this context; notes that the Lisbon Treaty imposes an obligation on the EU to promote multilateral solutions, in particular within the UN, and that EU international action must be based on the principles of the UN Charter;

Amendment

70. Considers the role of the United Nations to be central in this context; notes that the Lisbon Treaty imposes an obligation on the EU to promote multilateral solutions, in particular within the UN, and that EU international action must be based on the principles of the UN Charter, *international law and EU principles and values*;

Or. en

Amendment 259
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 71

Motion for a resolution

71. Acknowledges that, on a legal basis, the Lisbon Treaty has overcome the previous dichotomy between Union and Community policies by conferring a unique legal personality and by strengthening the autonomy of the EU

Amendment

deleted

legal order in terms of international law, even when international security is at stake, as already stated by the Court of Justice case law in the Kadi case (according to which ‘international law can permeate the EU legal order only under the conditions set by the constitutional principles of the Community’);

Or. en

Amendment 260
Andrew Duff, Marietje Schaake

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 71

Motion for a resolution

71. Acknowledges that, on a legal basis, the Lisbon Treaty has overcome the previous dichotomy between Union and Community policies by conferring a unique legal personality and by strengthening the autonomy of the EU legal order in terms of international law, *even when international security is at stake, as already stated by the Court of Justice case law in the Kadi case (according to which ‘international law can permeate the EU legal order only under the conditions set by the constitutional principles of the Community’);*

Amendment

71. Acknowledges that, on a legal basis, the Lisbon Treaty has overcome the previous dichotomy between Union and Community policies by conferring a unique legal personality and by strengthening the autonomy of the EU legal order in terms of international law;

Or. en

Amendment 261
Reinhard Bütikofer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 71

Motion for a resolution

71. Acknowledges that, on a legal basis, the Lisbon Treaty has overcome the previous dichotomy between Union and Community policies by conferring a unique legal personality and by strengthening the **autonomy** of the EU legal order in terms of international law, even when international security is at stake, as already stated by the Court of Justice case law in the Kadi case (according to which ‘international law can permeate the EU legal order only under the conditions set by the constitutional principles of the Community’);

Amendment

71. Acknowledges that, on a legal basis, the Lisbon Treaty has overcome the previous dichotomy between Union and Community policies by conferring a unique legal personality and by strengthening the **capacity** of the EU legal order in terms of international law, even when international security is at stake, as already stated by the Court of Justice case law in the Kadi case (according to which ‘international law can permeate the EU legal order only under the conditions set by the constitutional principles of the Community’);

Or. en

Amendment 262

Nirj Deva

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 72

Motion for a resolution

72. Calls on those Member States which have seats on the UN Security Council **to defend the positions and interests of the EU and** to ask the HR/VP to speak for the EU in that forum, in accordance with the Lisbon Treaty;

Amendment

72. Calls on those Member States which have seats on the UN Security Council to ask the HR/VP to speak for the EU in that forum, in accordance with the Lisbon Treaty;

Or. en

Amendment 263

Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 72

Motion for a resolution

72. Calls on those Member States which have seats on the UN Security Council to defend the positions and interests of **the EU and to ask the HR/VP to speak for the EU in that forum, in accordance with the Lisbon Treaty**;

Amendment

72. Calls on those Member States which have seats on the UN Security Council to defend the positions and interests of **other European allies**;

Or. en

Amendment 264

Andrew Duff, Marietje Schaake

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 72

Motion for a resolution

72. Calls on those Member States which have seats on the UN Security Council to defend **the** positions and interests of the EU and to ask the HR/VP to speak for the EU in that forum, in accordance with the Lisbon Treaty;

Amendment

72. Calls on those Member States which have seats on the UN Security Council to defend **common** positions and interests of the EU and to ask the HR/VP to speak for the EU in that forum, in accordance with the Lisbon Treaty;

Or. en

Amendment 265

Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 72

Motion for a resolution

72. Calls on those Member States which have seats on the UN Security Council to defend the positions and interests of the EU and to ask the HR/VP to **speak for the EU** in that **forum, in accordance with the Lisbon Treaty**;

Amendment

72. Calls on those Member States which have seats on the UN Security Council to defend the positions and interests of the EU and to ask the HR/VP to **ensure EU representation** in that **body and push Member States to agree on a rotation system, which will ensure a member seat**

for the EU at the UNSC in permanency;

Or. en

Amendment 266

Krzysztof Lisek, Michael Gahler, Arnaud Danjean, Petru Constantin Luhan

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 73

Motion for a resolution

73. Stresses the need to strengthen the cooperation between **UE** and UN in the area of crisis management, notably during the early stages of a crisis, in close connection **to** the appropriate structures of the newly established EEAS;

Amendment

73. Stresses the need to strengthen the cooperation between **EU** and UN in the area of crisis management, notably during the early stages of a crisis **and post-conflict reconstruction**, in close connection **with** the appropriate structures of the newly established EEAS;

Or. en

Amendment 267

Elena Băsescu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 73 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

73a. Calls on the Member States to take the necessary steps in order to streamline the EU's effective participation at the reunions of the UN General Assembly;

Or. en

Amendment 268

Andrew Duff, Marietje Schaake

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 74

Motion for a resolution

74. Recognises that NATO still constitutes the bedrock of collective defence for those Member States which belong to it; welcomes France's return to the integrated command structure of the Atlantic Alliance **and considers that this should help to dispel any resistance to the development of a common defence policy at EU level;**

Amendment

74. Recognises that NATO still constitutes the bedrock of collective defence for those Member States which belong to it; welcomes France's return to the integrated command structure of the Atlantic Alliance;

Or. en

Amendment 269

Krzysztof Lisek, Arnaud Danjean, Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 74

Motion for a resolution

74. Recognises that NATO *still* constitutes the bedrock of collective defence for those Member States which belong to it; **welcomes France's return to the integrated command structure of the Atlantic Alliance and considers that this should help to dispel any resistance to the development of a common defence policy at EU level;**

Amendment

74. Recognises that NATO constitutes the bedrock of collective defence for those Member States which belong to it; **recalls the need for constructive cooperation between the EU and NATO, particularly where the two organisations are active in the same theatres of operation; looks forward to the proposals of the High representative as tasked by the European Council conclusions of September 2010 referring to EU-NATO cooperation in crisis management;**

Or. en

Amendment 270

Teresa Riera Madurell

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 74

Motion for a resolution

74. Recognises that NATO still constitutes the bedrock of collective defence for those Member States which belong to it; welcomes France's return to the integrated command structure of the Atlantic Alliance and considers that this should help to dispel any resistance to the development of a common defence policy at EU level;

Amendment

74. Recognises that NATO still constitutes the bedrock of collective defence for those Member States which belong to it; ***reminds that the EU is not a military alliance but the added value of the broader ESDP approach to security has been demonstrated;*** welcomes France's return to the integrated command structure of the Atlantic Alliance and considers that this should help to dispel any resistance to the development of a common defence policy at EU level;

Or. en

Amendment 271
Tunne Kelam

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 74

Motion for a resolution

74. ***Recognises that*** NATO ***still constitutes*** the bedrock of collective defence for ***those*** Member States ***which belong to it;*** welcomes France's return to the integrated command structure of the Atlantic Alliance and considers that this should help to dispel any resistance to the development of a common defence policy at EU level;

Amendment

74. ***Confirms*** NATO's role as the bedrock of collective defence ***both*** for ***its*** Member States ***and Europe as a whole;*** welcomes France's return to the integrated command structure of the Atlantic Alliance and considers that this should help to dispel any resistance to the development of a common defence policy at EU level;

Or. en

Amendment 272
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 74

Motion for a resolution

74. Recognises that NATO still constitutes the bedrock of collective defence for those Member States which belong to it; welcomes France's return to the integrated command structure of the Atlantic Alliance and considers that this should help *to dispel any resistance* to the development of a common defence policy at EU level;

Amendment

74. Recognises that NATO still constitutes the bedrock of collective defence for those Member States which belong to it; welcomes France's return to the integrated command structure of the Atlantic Alliance and considers that this should help to *finally halt momentum towards* the development of a common defence policy at EU level;

Or. en

Amendment 273
Roberto Gualtieri

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 74

Motion for a resolution

74. Recognises that NATO still constitutes the *bedrock* of collective defence for those Member States which belong to it; welcomes France's return to the integrated command structure of the Atlantic Alliance and considers that this should help to dispel any resistance to the development of a common defence policy at EU level;

Amendment

74. Recognises that NATO still constitutes the *foundation* of collective defence for those Member States which belong to it; welcomes France's return to the integrated command structure of the Atlantic Alliance and considers that this should help to dispel any resistance to the development of a common defence policy at EU level;

Or. en

Amendment 274
Tunne Kelam

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 75

Motion for a resolution

75. Welcomes the agreement in NATO's new strategic concept on further

Amendment

75. Welcomes the agreement in NATO's new strategic concept on further

strengthening the EU-NATO strategic partnership; reaffirms the importance of enhancing EU-NATO cooperation in crisis management in the spirit of mutual reinforcement and with respect for their decision-making autonomy; ***draws attention to the necessity of avoiding*** unnecessary overlapping of effort and resources and ***invites*** the EU and NATO to deepen their cooperation, ***through their*** respective means, in the context of a comprehensive approach to crises in which both are engaged in the field;

strengthening the EU-NATO strategic partnership; reaffirms the importance of enhancing EU-NATO cooperation in crisis management in the spirit of mutual reinforcement and with respect for their decision-making autonomy; ***insists on applying concentrated measures to avoid*** unnecessary overlapping of effort and resources and ***urges*** the EU and NATO to deepen their cooperation ***by pooling as much as possible*** respective means, in the context of a comprehensive approach to crises in which both are engaged in the field;

Or. en

Amendment 275
Teresa Riera Madurell

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 75

Motion for a resolution

75. Welcomes the agreement in NATO's new strategic concept on further strengthening the EU-NATO strategic partnership; reaffirms the importance of enhancing EU-NATO cooperation in crisis management in the spirit of mutual reinforcement and with respect for their decision-making autonomy; draws attention to the necessity of avoiding unnecessary overlapping of effort and resources and invites the EU and NATO to deepen their cooperation, through their respective means, in the context of a comprehensive approach to crises in which both are engaged in the field;

Amendment

75. Welcomes the agreement in NATO's new strategic concept on further strengthening the EU-NATO strategic partnership; reaffirms ***that most of the threats identified in the new strategic concept are also shared by the EU and the*** importance of enhancing EU-NATO cooperation in crisis management in the spirit of mutual reinforcement and with respect for their decision-making autonomy; draws attention to the necessity of avoiding unnecessary overlapping of effort and resources and invites the EU and NATO to deepen their cooperation, through their respective means, in the context of a comprehensive approach to crises in which both are engaged in the field;

Or. en

Amendment 276
Reinhard Bütikofer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 75

Motion for a resolution

75. Welcomes the agreement in NATO's new strategic concept on further strengthening the EU-NATO strategic partnership; reaffirms the importance of enhancing EU-NATO cooperation in crisis management in the spirit of mutual reinforcement and with respect for their decision-making autonomy; draws attention to the necessity of avoiding unnecessary overlapping of effort and resources and invites the EU and NATO to deepen their cooperation, through their respective means, in the context of a comprehensive approach to crises in which both are engaged in the field;

Amendment

75. Welcomes the agreement in NATO's new strategic concept on further strengthening the EU-NATO strategic partnership; reaffirms the importance of enhancing EU-NATO cooperation in crisis management in the spirit of mutual reinforcement and with respect for their decision-making autonomy; draws attention to the necessity of avoiding unnecessary overlapping of effort and resources and invites the EU and NATO to deepen their cooperation, through their respective means, in the context of a comprehensive approach to crises in which both are engaged in the field; ***urges NATO to strictly limit the development of a civilian capability in order to avoid duplication;***

Or. en

Amendment 277
Andrew Duff, Marietje Schaake

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 76

Motion for a resolution

76. Points to the fundamental importance of the African continent for the EU's security and for peacekeeping and conflict prevention; supports close cooperation between the EU and the African Union within the Peace and Security Partnership in conjunction with the Africa-EU Joint

Amendment

76. Points to the fundamental importance of the African continent for the EU's security and for peacekeeping and conflict prevention; supports close cooperation between the EU and the African Union within the Peace and Security Partnership in conjunction with the Africa-EU Joint

Strategy; favours greater involvement of the African Union, especially where crisis management is concerned, and reaffirms the need for the Commission and the Member States to play their part by taking practical measures to combat trafficking in, and the spread of, light weapons and small arms; ***endorses the pledge in the Tripoli Declaration to make the African peace and security architecture fully operational;***

Strategy; favours greater involvement of the African Union, especially where crisis management is concerned, and reaffirms the need for the Commission and the Member States to play their part by taking practical measures to combat trafficking in, and the spread of, light weapons and small arms;

Or. en

Amendment 278
Anneli Jäätteenmäki

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 76

Motion for a resolution

76. Points to the fundamental importance of the African continent for the EU's security and for peacekeeping and conflict prevention; supports close cooperation between the EU and the African Union within the Peace and Security Partnership in conjunction with the Africa-EU Joint Strategy; favours greater involvement of the African Union, especially where crisis management is concerned, and reaffirms the need for the Commission and the Member States to play their part by taking practical measures to combat trafficking in, and the spread of, light weapons and small arms; endorses the pledge in the Tripoli Declaration to make the African peace and security architecture fully operational;

Amendment

76. Points to the fundamental importance of the African continent for the EU's security and for peacekeeping and conflict prevention; supports close cooperation between the EU and the African Union within the Peace and Security Partnership in conjunction with the Africa-EU Joint Strategy; favours greater involvement ***and responsibility*** of the African Union, especially where crisis management is concerned, and reaffirms the need for the Commission and the Member States to play their part by taking practical measures to combat trafficking in, and the spread of, light weapons and small arms; endorses the pledge in the Tripoli Declaration to make the African peace and security architecture fully operational;

Or. en

Amendment 279
Andrew Duff, Marietje Schaake

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 77

Motion for a resolution

77. Recommends in particular that African early warning and conflict capabilities be developed, ***that the ‘panel of the wise’ should be placed in a more effective position to mediate, and that study should focus on ways of giving effect to the recommendations in the Prodi report on the financing of African peacekeeping operations;*** urges ***that relations be pursued on a collaborative basis and*** that the capabilities of African sub-regional organisations be enhanced;

Amendment

77. Recommends in particular that African early warning and conflict capabilities be developed; urges that the capabilities of African sub-regional organisations be enhanced;

Or. en

Amendment 280
Andrew Duff, Marietje Schaake

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 78

Motion for a resolution

78. Recalls that, in addition to partnerships with other international organisations such as the UN, NATO, and the AU, cooperation with individual third countries should be enhanced in the context of the CSDP; ***notes that experience shows that third countries can bring important assets, human resources, and expertise to CSDP missions, such as in the context of EUFOR Chad/CAR, for which Russia provided much-needed helicopters, and EUFOR Althea, to which countries like Turkey and Morocco contributed substantial contingents of troops;*** believes, ***furthermore,*** that the

Amendment

78. Recalls that, in addition to partnerships with other international organisations such as the UN, NATO, and the AU, cooperation with individual third countries should be enhanced in the context of the CSDP; believes that the involvement of third countries can enhance the legitimacy of CSDP operations and help set up a broader security dialogue with partners;

involvement of third countries can enhance the legitimacy of CSDP operations and help set up a broader security dialogue with *important* partners;

Or. en

Amendment 281

Krzysztof Lisek, Arnaud Danjean, Michael Gahler, Petru Constantin Luhan

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 78

Motion for a resolution

78. Recalls that, in addition to partnerships with other international organisations such as the UN, NATO, and the AU, cooperation with individual third countries should be enhanced in the context of the CSDP; notes that experience shows that third countries can bring important assets, human resources, and expertise to CSDP missions, such as in the context of EUFOR Chad/CAR, for which Russia provided much-needed helicopters, and EUFOR Althea, to which countries like Turkey and Morocco contributed substantial contingents of troops; believes, furthermore, that the involvement of third countries can enhance the legitimacy of CSDP operations and help set up a broader security dialogue with important partners;

Amendment

78. Recalls that, in addition to partnerships with other international organisations such as the UN, NATO, and the AU, cooperation with individual third countries should be enhanced in the context of the CSDP; notes that experience shows that third countries can bring important assets, human resources, and expertise to CSDP missions, such as in the context of EUFOR Chad/CAR, for which Russia provided much-needed helicopters, and EUFOR Althea, to which countries like Turkey and Morocco contributed substantial contingents of troops; believes, furthermore, that the involvement of third countries can enhance the legitimacy of CSDP operations and help set up a broader security dialogue with important partners *while remaining committed to promoting respect of human rights and rule of law*;

Or. en

Amendment 282

Andrew Duff, Marietje Schaake

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 79

Motion for a resolution

79. Considers that such dialogue should address *respective* threat assessment, *involve (where relevant)* the participation of third countries in EU exercises and training activities *and lead to closer mutual engagement across the board; believes that procedural obstacles should be tackled in order to facilitate cooperation with third countries and avoid the delays that negotiating each specific contribution may entail;* takes the view that framework agreements and standard procedures could be established, *to this end,* with *some* third countries *to facilitate their contribution;*

Amendment

79. Considers that such dialogue should address threat assessment, *involving* the participation of third countries in EU exercises and training activities; takes the view that framework agreements and standard procedures could be established with third countries;

Or. en

Amendment 283

Krzysztof Lisek, Petru Constantin Luhan, Arnaud Danjean, Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 79 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

79a. Underlines the importance of cooperation on CSDP with our neighbours, it should be regionally balanced and provide a broad range of opportunities that would catalyze security sector reforms in the partner states; it would not only help generate civilian and military capabilities to enable our Eastern and Southern partners to participate in CSDP missions but also give us stronger support in managing regional security;

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 284
Andrew Duff, Marietje Schaake

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 80

Motion for a resolution

80. Instructs its President to forward this resolution to the Vice-President/High Representative, the Council, the Commission, the parliaments of the Member States, the NATO Parliamentary Assembly, and the Secretaries-General of the United Nations and NATO.

Amendment

80. Instructs its President to forward this resolution to the **European Council**, Vice-President/High Representative, the Council, the Commission, the parliaments of the Member States, the NATO Parliamentary Assembly, and the Secretaries-General of the United Nations and NATO.

Or. en