



EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

2009 - 2014

---

*Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development*

---

**2011/2051(INI)**

22.3.2011

# **AMENDMENTS**

## **593 - 818**

**Draft report**  
**Albert Deß**  
(PE458.545v02)

The CAP towards 2020: Meeting the food, natural resources and territorial challenges of the future  
(COM(2010)0672 – 2011/2051(INI))

AM\861364EN.doc

PE460.935v01-00

**EN**

*United in diversity*

**EN**

AM\_Com\_NonLegReport

**Amendment 593**

**George Lyon, Marit Paulsen, Sylvie Goulard, Anne E. Jensen, Britta Reimers**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 19**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***19. Considers that direct payments should be made only to active farmers; realises that, under the system of decoupled direct payments, each farmer who uses farmland for production or who tends it in order to maintain GAEC should receive direct payments; calls on the Commission therefore to devise a definition of ‘active farmer’ which the Member States can administer without additional administrative effort, while it should be ensured that traditional farming activities (full-time and various degrees of part-time) are classified as active farming;*** ***deleted***

Or. en

**Amendment 594**

**Béla Glattfelder**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 19**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***19. Considers that direct payments should be made only to active farmers; realises that, under the system of decoupled direct payments, each farmer who uses farmland for production or who tends it in order to maintain GAEC should receive direct payments; calls on the Commission therefore to devise a definition of ‘active farmer’ which the Member States can administer without additional administrative effort, while it should be*** ***deleted***

*ensured that traditional farming activities (full-time and various degrees of part-time) are classified as active farming;*

Or. hu

**Amendment 595**  
**Mairead McGuinness**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 19**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*19. Considers that direct payments should be made only to active farmers; realises that, under the system of decoupled direct payments, each farmer who uses farmland for production or who tends it in order to maintain GAEC should receive direct payments; calls on the Commission therefore to devise a definition of ‘active farmer’ which the Member States can administer without additional administrative effort, while it should be ensured that traditional farming activities (full-time and various degrees of part-time) are classified as active farming;*

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 596**  
**Hynek Fajmon**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 19**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

19. Considers that direct payments should be made only to active farmers; realises that, under the system of decoupled direct payments, each farmer who uses farmland for production or who tends it in order to

19. Considers that direct payments should be made only to active farmers; ***considers that, in this respect, current EU legislation already incorporates the instruments needed to address the***

maintain GAEC should receive direct payments; calls on the Commission therefore to devise a definition of ‘active farmer’ which the Member States can administer without additional administrative effort, while it should be ensured that traditional farming activities (full-time and various degrees of part-time) are classified as active farming;

*problems of granting direct payments for farmland, but the existing laws must be better enforced in practice; considers that if a narrower definition of ‘active farmer’ is necessary, the decision should be left to the Member States to take in accordance with their national needs and specificities, subject to a pre-defined degree of flexibility;*

Or. cs

**Amendment 597**  
**Jan Březina**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 19**

*Motion for a resolution*

19. Considers that direct payments should be made only to active farmers; *realises that, under the system of decoupled direct payments, each farmer who uses farmland for production or who tends it in order to maintain GAEC should receive direct payments; calls on the Commission therefore to devise a definition of ‘active farmer’ which the Member States can administer without additional administrative effort, while it should be ensured that traditional farming activities (full-time and various degrees of part-time) are classified as active farming;*

*Amendment*

19. Considers that direct payments should be made only to active farmers; *considers that, in this respect, current EU legislation already incorporates the instruments needed to address the problems of granting direct payments for farmland, but the existing laws must be better enforced in practice; considers that if a narrower definition of ‘active farmer’ is necessary, the decision should be left to the Member States to take in accordance with their national needs and specificities, subject to a pre-defined degree of flexibility;*

Or. cs

**Amendment 598**  
**Olga Sehnalová**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 19**

*Motion for a resolution*

19. Considers that direct payments should be made only to active farmers; ***realises that, under the system of decoupled direct payments, each farmer who uses farmland for production or who tends it in order to maintain GAEC should receive direct payments; calls on the Commission therefore to devise a definition of ‘active farmer’ which the Member States can administer without additional administrative effort, while it should be ensured that traditional farming activities (full-time and various degrees of part-time) are classified as active farming;***

*Amendment*

19. Considers that direct payments should be made only to active farmers; ***considers that, in this respect, current EU legislation already incorporates the instruments needed to address the problems of granting direct payments for farmland, but the existing laws must be better enforced in practice; considers that if a narrower definition of ‘active farmer’ is necessary, the decision should be left to the Member States to take in accordance with their national needs and specificities, subject to a pre-defined degree of flexibility;***

Or. cs

**Amendment 599**

**Stéphane Le Foll, Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos, Marc Tarabella, Iratxe García Pérez, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Csaba Sándor Tabajdi, Wojciech Michał Olejniczak, Luís Paulo Alves, Salvatore Caronna, Spyros Danellis, Vasilica Viorica Dăncilă, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Paolo De Castro**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 19**

*Motion for a resolution*

19. Considers that direct payments should be ***made*** only ***to*** active farmers; realises that, under the system of decoupled direct payments, each farmer who uses farmland for production or who tends it in order to maintain GAEC should receive direct payments; ***calls on the Commission therefore to devise a definition of ‘active farmer’ which the Member States can administer without additional administrative effort, while it should be ensured that traditional farming activities (full-time and various degrees of part-time) are classified as active farming;***

*Amendment*

19. Considers that direct payments should be ***reserved*** only ***for*** active farmers; realises that, under the system of decoupled direct payments, each farmer who uses farmland for production or who tends it in order to maintain GAEC should receive direct payments; ***considers that ‘active farmer’ means any natural or legal person whose principal activity is the exercise of an agricultural activity and/or is linked to an agricultural activity (agrotourism, forestry, etc.); considers it necessary to specify that the definition of an active farmer should exclude cases in which the administrative costs would***

*previously have been higher than the amount of support that certain beneficiaries would have been able to receive;*

Or. fr

**Amendment 600**  
**Sergio Paolo Francesco Silvestris**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 19**

*Motion for a resolution*

19. Considers that direct payments should be made only to active farmers; realises that, under the system of decoupled direct payments, each farmer who uses farmland for production or who tends it in order to maintain GAEC should receive direct payments; *calls on the Commission therefore to devise a definition of ‘active farmer’ which the Member States can administer without additional administrative effort, while it should be ensured that traditional farming activities (full-time and various degrees of part-time) are classified as active farming;*

*Amendment*

19. Considers that direct payments should be made only to active farmers, *i.e. to farms that are market-oriented and operate through forms of concentration and integration, and which, through their activities, create income and produce food, having a positive impact on society;* realises that, under the system of decoupled direct payments, each farmer who uses farmland for production or who tends it in order to maintain GAEC should receive direct payments;

Or. it

**Amendment 601**  
**Martin Häusling, José Bové, Bas Eickhout, Margrete Auken, Alyn Smith, Jill Evans**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 19**

*Motion for a resolution*

19. Considers that direct payments should be made only to active farmers; *realises that, under the system of decoupled direct payments, each farmer who uses farmland*

*Amendment*

19. Considers that direct payments should be made only to active farmers; *where farmers are tenants direct payments should not be paid to landowners, unless*

*for production* or who *tends it in order to maintain GAEC should receive direct payments*; calls on the Commission therefore to *devise a* definition of ‘active farmer’ which the Member States can *administer* without additional administrative effort, while it should be ensured that traditional farming activities (full-time and various degrees of part-time) are classified as active farming;

*they farm themselves; direct payments should also be made to farmers or land managers who actively keep the land in good environmental condition or cultivation*; calls on the Commission therefore to *offer this core* definition of ‘active farmer’ which the Member States can *apply* without additional administrative effort, while it should be ensured that traditional farming activities (full-time and various degrees of part-time) are classified as active farming;

Or. en

**Amendment 602**  
**Alfreds Rubiks**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 19**

*Motion for a resolution*

19. Considers that direct payments should be made only to active farmers; realises that, under the system of decoupled direct payments, each farmer who uses farmland for production *or who tends it in order to maintain GAEC* should receive direct payments; calls on the Commission therefore to devise *a definition* of ‘active farmer’ which the Member States can administer without additional administrative effort, while it should be ensured that traditional farming activities (*full-time and various degrees of part-time*) are classified as active farming;

*Amendment*

19. Considers that direct payments should be made only to active farmers; realises that, under the system of decoupled direct payments, each farmer who uses farmland for production should receive direct payments; calls on the Commission therefore to devise *definitions* of ‘active farmer’ *and of ‘fair distribution of resources’* which the Member States can administer without additional administrative effort, while it should be ensured that traditional farming activities are classified as active farming;

Or. lv



**Amendment 603**  
**Richard Ashworth**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 19**

*Motion for a resolution*

19. Considers that direct payments should be made only to active farmers; realises that, under the system of decoupled direct payments, each farmer who uses farmland for production or who tends it in order to maintain GAEC should receive direct payments; calls on the Commission therefore to devise a definition of '**active** farmer' which the Member States can administer without additional administrative effort, **while it should be ensured** that traditional farming activities (full-time and various degrees of part-time) are classified as active farming;

*Amendment*

19. Considers that direct payments should be made only to active farmers; realises that, under the system of decoupled direct payments, each farmer who uses farmland for production or who tends it in order to maintain GAEC should receive direct payments; calls on the Commission therefore to devise a definition of '**inactive** farmer' **to clearly identify** which **farmers or landowners would not qualify for payments reserved only for 'active farmers' which** the Member States can administer without additional administrative effort; **reaffirms** that traditional farming activities (full-time and various degrees of part-time) are classified as active farming;

Or. en

**Amendment 604**  
**James Nicholson, Richard Ashworth, Brian Simpson**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 19**

*Motion for a resolution*

19. Considers that direct payments should be made only to active farmers; realises that, under the system of decoupled direct payments, each farmer who uses farmland for production or who tends it in order to maintain GAEC should receive direct payments; calls on the Commission therefore to devise a definition of '**active** farmer' which the Member States can administer without additional

*Amendment*

19. Considers that direct payments should be made only to active farmers; realises that, under the system of decoupled direct payments, each farmer who uses farmland for production or who tends it in order to maintain GAEC should receive direct payments; calls on the Commission therefore to devise a definition of "**inactive** farmer", **to clearly identify** which **farmers or landowners would not qualify**

administrative effort, *while it should be ensured* that traditional farming activities (full-time and various degrees of part-time) are classified as active farming;

*for payments reserved only for "active farmers" which* the Member States can administer without additional administrative effort; *reaffirms* that traditional farming activities (full-time and various degrees of part-time) are classified as active farming *and that contract farming arrangements as well as management of common land are taken into account*;

Or. en

**Amendment 605**  
**Jill Evans, Alyn Smith**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 19**

*Motion for a resolution*

19. Considers that direct payments should be made only to active *farmers*; realises that, under the system of decoupled direct payments, each farmer who uses farmland for production or who tends it in order to maintain GAEC should receive direct payments; calls on the Commission therefore to devise a definition of ‘active *farmer*’ which the Member States can administer without additional administrative effort, while it should be ensured that traditional farming activities (full-time and various degrees of part-time) are classified as active farming;

*Amendment*

19. Considers that direct payments should be made only to *those engaged in active farming*; realises that, under the system of decoupled direct payments, each farmer who uses farmland for production or who tends it in order to maintain GAEC should receive direct payments; calls on the Commission therefore to devise a definition of ‘active *farming*’ which the Member States can administer without additional administrative effort, while it should be ensured that traditional farming activities (full-time and various degrees of part-time) are classified as active farming *and that contract farming arrangements as well as management of common land are taken into account*;

Or. en

**Amendment 606**  
**Georgios Papastamkos**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 19**

*Motion for a resolution*

19. Considers that direct payments should be made only to active farmers; realises that, under the system of decoupled direct payments, each farmer who uses farmland for production *or who tends it in order to maintain* GAEC should receive direct payments; calls on the Commission therefore to devise a definition of ‘active farmer’ which the Member States can administer without additional administrative effort, while it should be ensured that traditional farming activities (full-time and various degrees of part-time) are classified as active farming;

*Amendment*

19. Considers that direct payments should be made only to active farmers; realises that, under the system of decoupled direct payments, each farmer who uses farmland for production *and maintains* GAEC should receive direct payments; calls on the Commission therefore to devise a definition of ‘active farmer’ which the Member States can administer without additional administrative effort, while it should be ensured that traditional farming activities (full-time and various degrees of part-time) are classified as active farming;

Or. el

**Amendment 607**  
**Michel Dantin, Agnès Le Brun, Maria do Céu Patrão Neves**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 19**

*Motion for a resolution*

19. Considers that direct payments should be made only to active farmers; realises that, under the system of decoupled direct payments, each farmer who uses farmland for production or who tends it in order to maintain GAEC should receive direct payments; calls on the Commission therefore to devise a definition of ‘active farmer’ which the Member States can administer without additional administrative effort, while it should be ensured that traditional farming activities (full-time and various degrees of part-time)

*Amendment*

19. Considers that direct payments should be made only to active farmers, *i.e. to professionals whose activities entail the mastery and pursuit of one or more of the steps required in a plant or animal biological cycle, and those whose activities are performed further to the act of production, who use an agricultural holding for this purpose or whose production emanates from a minimum of 50% of materials from an agricultural holding*; realises that, under the system of decoupled direct payments, each farmer

are classified as active farming;

who uses farmland for production or who tends it in order to maintain GAEC should receive direct payments; calls on the Commission therefore to devise a definition of 'active farmer' which the Member States can administer without additional administrative effort, while it should be ensured that traditional farming activities (full-time and various degrees of part-time) are classified as active farming;

Or. fr

**Amendment 608**  
**Bairbre de Brún**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 19**

*Motion for a resolution*

19. Considers that direct payments should be made only to active farmers; realises that, under the system of decoupled direct payments, each farmer who uses farmland for production or who tends it in order to maintain GAEC should receive direct payments; calls on the Commission therefore to devise a definition of 'active farmer' which the Member States can administer without additional administrative effort, while it should be ensured that traditional farming activities (full-time and various degrees of part-time) are classified as active farming;

*Amendment*

19. Considers that direct payments should be made only to active farmers; realises that, under the system of decoupled direct payments, each farmer who uses farmland for production or who tends it in order to maintain GAEC should receive direct payments; calls on the Commission therefore to devise a definition of 'active farmer' which the Member States can administer without additional administrative effort, while it should be ensured that traditional farming activities (full-time and various degrees of part-time) are classified as active farming; ***stresses that the definition of 'active farmer' must not result in an overall reduction of land entered into Pillar 1 and Pillar 2 schemes, nor a distortion of land markets by disrupting traditional landlord and tenant relationships;***

Or. en

**Amendment 609**  
**Rareș-Lucian Niculescu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 19**

*Motion for a resolution*

19. Considers that direct payments should be made only to active farmers; realises that, under the system of decoupled direct payments, each farmer who uses farmland for production or who tends it in order to maintain GAEC should receive direct payments; calls on the Commission therefore to devise a definition of ‘active farmer’ which the Member States can administer without additional administrative effort, while it should be ensured that traditional farming activities (full-time and various degrees of part-time) are classified as active farming;

*Amendment*

19. Considers that direct payments should be made only to active farmers; realises that, under the system of decoupled direct payments, each farmer who uses farmland for production or who tends it in order to maintain GAEC should receive direct payments; calls on the Commission therefore to devise a definition of ‘active farmer’ which the Member States can administer without additional administrative effort *or expenditure*, while it should be ensured that traditional farming activities (full-time and various degrees of part-time) are classified as active farming;

Or. ro

**Amendment 610**  
**Peter Jahr**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 19**

*Motion for a resolution*

19. Considers that direct payments should be made only to active farmers; realises that, under the system of decoupled direct payments, each farmer who uses farmland for production or who tends it in order to maintain GAEC should receive direct payments; calls on the Commission therefore to devise a definition of ‘active farmer’ which the Member States can administer without additional administrative effort, while it should be ensured that traditional farming activities

*Amendment*

19. Considers that direct payments should be made only to active farmers; realises that, under the system of decoupled direct payments, each farmer who uses farmland for production or who tends it in order to maintain GAEC should receive direct payments; calls on the Commission therefore to devise a definition of ‘active farmer’ which the Member States can administer without additional administrative effort, while it should be ensured that traditional farming activities

(full-time and various degrees of part-time) are classified as active farming;

(full-time and various degrees of part-time), **regardless of the legal status**, are classified as active farming;

Or. de

**Amendment 611**  
**Vincenzo Iovine**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 19**

*Motion for a resolution*

19. Considers that direct payments should be made only to active farmers; realises that, under the system of decoupled direct payments, each farmer who uses farmland for production **or** who tends it in order to maintain GAEC should receive direct payments; calls on the Commission therefore to devise a definition of ‘active farmer’ which the Member States can administer without additional administrative effort, while it should be ensured that traditional farming activities (full-time and various degrees of part-time) are classified as active farming;

*Amendment*

19. Considers that direct payments should be made only to active farmers; realises that, under the system of decoupled direct payments, each farmer who uses farmland for production **and** who tends it in order to maintain GAEC should receive direct payments;

Or. it

**Amendment 612**  
**Michel Dantin, Agnès Le Brun, Maria do Céu Patrão Neves**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 19 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***19a. Calls on the European Commission to study the feasibility of flexibility mechanisms for granting direct payments, which could come in three forms: at Community budget level, the carryover of***

*CAP funds not used in a given year and their transfer to a new financial tool (crisis management rapid-response reserve fund); at sectoral level, the transfer of some direct aid between the plant and animal sectors at times of major crisis (mutual solidarity mechanism); at farmer level, the placing in reserve of some of the direct aid not used in a given year (precautionary savings, multiannual investment plan, etc);*

Or. fr

**Amendment 613**

**Stéphane Le Foll, Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos, Marc Tarabella, Iratxe García Pérez, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Csaba Sándor Tabajdi, Wojciech Michał Olejniczak, Luís Paulo Alves, Salvatore Caronna, Spyros Danellis, Ismail Ertug, Ulrike Rodust, Vasilica Viorica Dăncilă, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Paolo De Castro**

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 19 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*19a. Considers it important that the CAP, like all other EU policies, be involved in the 2020 Strategy, and that it seems logical under such circumstances that the redistribution of direct aid take account of factors such as employment, the environment and combating climate change;*

Or. fr

**Amendment 614**

**Göran Färm, Åsa Westlund, Marita Ulvskog, Christel Schaldemose**

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 19 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***19a. Considers that in a democratic society taxpayers have a right to be kept informed of the use made of public funds, including funds from the CAP; calls therefore for more openness and transparency in the allocation of these funds;***

Or. en

**Amendment 615**  
**Rareş-Lucian Niculescu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 19 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***19a. Rejects the introduction of an upper limit for direct payments;***

Or. ro

**Amendment 616**  
**Esther Herranz García, Gabriel Mato Adrover, Astrid Lulling, Giovanni La Via**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 19 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***19a. Is opposed to the ‘greening’ of the first pillar of the CAP, as direct aid is not sufficient to compensate for the extra costs caused by this measure; considers that the CAP has already been ‘greened’ by the principle of ecological cross compliance and the agri-environmental measures in the rural development policy; asks the Commission, however, to look into strengthening environmental***



*measures under the second pillar without transferring direct aid funds; believes that the introduction of new constraints on farmers should be accompanied by appropriate compensation;*

Or. fr

**Amendment 617**  
**Rareş-Lucian Niculescu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 19 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*19b. Suggests that the Commission assess the possibility of providing fresh incentives for the long-term leasing (e.g. 50 years) of land, with the option of introducing a pre-emptive right of purchase by the lessee; points out that measures of this type would contribute to greater aggregation of farmland, in a perspective of resolving the structural problems in some Member States;*

Or. ro

**Amendment 618**  
**Martin Häusling, José Bové, Bas Eickhout, Margrete Auken, Alyn Smith, Jill Evans**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 19 c(new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*19c. Believes that agriculture and rural development need distinct policies; therefore agrees that two funds are necessary to distinguish instruments and measures for agriculture and for rural development;*

**Amendment 619**

**Martin Häusling, José Bové, Bas Eickhout, Margrete Auken, Alyn Smith, Jill Evans**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 19 d(new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***19d. Calls for a fair distribution of CAP funding for the first and second pillars both among Member States and among farmers within a Member State; deplores major disparities in the distribution of these funds among Member States; calls for a system of direct payments which assures equity in levels of payments between farmers and member states tracked in relation to national purchasing power parity;***

***Considers that preserving the diversity of farming and of its production locations in the EU is a central objective and therefore advocates taking account of the specific conditions in the Member States;***

Or. en

**Amendment 620**

**Martin Häusling, José Bové, Bas Eickhout, Margrete Auken, Alyn Smith, Jill Evans**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 19 e(new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***19e. Considers that meeting the environmental challenges and providing full legitimacy for public support to farmers requires a comprehensive approach to rewarding public goods. Calls for a coherent system of environmental incentives comprising of:***

- *a solid cross compliance baseline, based on the polluter pays principle;*
- *a farm level mandatory greening component within pillar 1 which consists of a simple package of meaningful good agronomic practices such as crop rotation, farm level green infrastructures, soil cover and nutrient balance;*
- *specific pillar 1 top ups for farmers in Natura 2000 sites, organic farms and for extensively managed pasture and meadows;*
- *a well targeted and well resourced Pillar 2, which should include effective, voluntary, multi-annual schemes to support farmers going beyond basic good practice and contributing to the achievement of EU objectives on climate change, biodiversity and water.*

Or. en

**Amendment 621**  
**Martin Häusling**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 19 f(new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*19f. Stresses that the extent of the new tasks of the CAP should be based primarily on the financial allocation in the multiannual financial framework.*

Or. en

**Amendment 622**  
**Martin Häusling, José Bové, Bas Eickhout, Margrete Auken, Alyn Smith, Jill Evans**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 19 g (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**19g. Considers that meeting the environmental challenges and providing full legitimacy for public support to farmers requires a comprehensive approach to rewarding public goods. Calls for a coherent system of environmental incentives comprising of:**

**- a solid cross compliance baseline, based on the polluter pays principle;**

**- a farm level mandatory greening component within pillar 1 which consists of a simple package of meaningful good agronomic practices such as crop rotation, farm level green infrastructures, soil cover and nutrient balance;**

**- specific pillar 1 top ups for farmers in Natura 2000 sites, organic farms and for extensively managed pasture and meadows;**

**- a well targeted and well resourced Pillar 2, which should include effective, voluntary, multi-annual schemes to support farmers going beyond basic good practice and contributing to the achievement of EU objectives on climate change, biodiversity and water.**

Or. en

**Amendment 623**

**George Lyon, Marit Paulsen, Sylvie Goulard, Anne E. Jensen, Britta Reimers**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Subheading before paragraph 20**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***Resource protection and environmental policy component***

***deleted***

Or. en

**Amendment 624**  
**Riikka Manner, Hannu Takkula**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Subheading before paragraph 20**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**Resource** protection and **environmental policy** component

**Natural resource** protection and **greening** component **for direct payments**

Or. en

**Amendment 625**  
**Stéphane Le Foll, Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos, Marc Tarabella, Iratxe García Pérez, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Csaba Sándor Tabajdi, Wojciech Michał Olejniczak, Luís Paulo Alves, Salvatore Caronna, Spyros Danellis, Ismail Ertug, Ulrike Rodust, Vasilica Viorica Dăncilă, Daciana Octavia Sârbu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Subheading before paragraph 20**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

Resource protection and environmental policy component

**III.** Resource protection and environmental policy component

Or. fr

**Amendment 626**  
**George Lyon, Marit Paulsen, Liam Aylward, Sylvie Goulard, Anne E. Jensen, Britta Reimers**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 20**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**20. Considers that better resource protection is an element in sustainable farming, which should involve separate support for environmental measures going beyond the requirements of Cross**

**deleted**

*Compliance (CC), which already entail many environmental measures, and being geared to multiannual applications, as a result of which greater environmental benefits can be attained;*

Or. en

**Amendment 627**  
**Martin Häusling**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 20**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*20. Considers that better resource protection is an element in sustainable farming, which should involve separate support for environmental measures going beyond the requirements of Cross Compliance (CC), which already entail many environmental measures, and being geared to multiannual applications, as a result of which greater environmental benefits can be attained;*

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 628**  
**Herbert Dorfmann, Elisabeth Köstinger**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 20**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

20. Considers that better resource protection is an element in sustainable farming, *which should involve separate support for environmental measures going beyond* the requirements of Cross Compliance (CC), *which already entail many environmental measures, and being*

20. Considers that better resource protection is an element in sustainable farming; *takes the view that this should be secured at present in the first pillar by the requirements of Cross Compliance (CC), and in the second pillar by voluntary agri-environmental programmes; considers*

*geared to multiannual applications, as a result of which greater environmental benefits can be attained;*

*that both instruments have essentially proved their worth but must be strengthened in future with appropriate incentive components;*

Or. de

**Amendment 629**  
**Mairead McGuinness**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 20**

*Motion for a resolution*

20. Considers that better resource protection *is* an element in sustainable farming, *which should involve separate support for environmental measures going beyond the requirements of Cross Compliance (CC), which already entail many environmental measures, and being geared to multiannual applications, as a result of which greater environmental benefits can be attained;*

*Amendment*

20. Considers that *cross compliance and GAEC have provided a valuable basis for the protection of resources essential for sustainable farming; endorses* better resource protection *as* an *essential* element in sustainable farming, *suggests that there are a number of ways in which this could be done, e.g. through extension of the GAEC, introduction of additional agri-environment measures in pillar 1 or expansion of agri-environmental measures in pillar 2; underlines that any further greening must be simple and cost effective;*

Or. en

**Amendment 630**  
**Diane Dodds**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 20**

*Motion for a resolution*

20. Considers that *better resource* protection is *an* element in sustainable farming, *which should involve separate support for* environmental measures *going*

*Amendment*

20. Considers that *environmental* protection is *a key* element in sustainable farming *and that previous reforms of the CAP have increased the* environmental

*beyond the requirements of Cross Compliance (CC), which already entail many environmental measures, and being geared to multiannual applications, as a result of which greater environmental benefits can be attained;*

*sustainability of the CAP notably through the introduction of cross-compliance to ensure an adequate baseline and through the development of agri-environmental measures under pillar 2.*

Or. en

**Amendment 631**  
**Esther de Lange**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 20**

*Motion for a resolution*

20. Considers that better resource protection is *an* element in sustainable farming, which *should involve* separate support for environmental measures going beyond the requirements of Cross Compliance (CC), which *already entail many environmental measures, and being* geared to *multiannual* applications, as a result of which greater environmental benefits can be attained;

*Amendment*

20. Considers that better resource protection is *a central* element in sustainable farming, which *justifies, within the framework of the new challenges and objectives of the EU 2020 strategy, a* separate support for environmental measures going beyond the requirements of Cross Compliance (CC) *and beyond the already existing agri-environmental programs; welcomes in this regard the proposed greening of the CAP, which meets this goal by effectively recognizing the environmental services delivered by farmers; considers that this greening should be* geared to *simple* applications, *widespread and accessible to as many farmers as possible, as* a result of which greater environmental benefits can be attained *and the competitive position of the individual farmer is improved at the same time; demands that the implementation of such measures is accompanied by a simplification of the cross-compliance criteria; considers that farmers already participating to a great extent in agri-environmental programs should not be discriminated under the new system;*



**Amendment 632**

**Michel Dantin, Giovanni La Via, Elisabeth Jeggle, Georgios Papastamkos, Sergio Paolo Francesco Silvestris, Esther de Lange, Carlo Fidanza, Giancarlo Scottà, Lorenzo Fontana, Mariya Nedelcheva, Agnès Le Brun, Maria do Céu Patrão Neves**

**Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 20***Motion for a resolution*

20. Considers that better resource protection is **an** element in sustainable farming, which **should involve separate** support for environmental measures going beyond the requirements of Cross Compliance (CC), which **already entail many** environmental measures, and **being geared to multiannual applications**, as a result of which greater environmental benefits can be attained;

*Amendment*

20. Considers that better resource protection is **a central** element in sustainable farming, which **justifies, within the framework of the new challenges and objectives of the EU 2020 strategy, special** support for environmental measures going beyond the requirements of Cross Compliance (CC) **and beyond the already existing agri-environmental programs; welcomes in this regard the proposed greening of the CAP**, which **meets this goal by effectively recognizing the environmental services delivered by farmers; considers that this greening should be applied through simple** measures, **widespread and accessible to as many farmers as possible**, as a result of which greater environmental benefits can be attained; **demands that the implementation of such measures is accompanied by a simplification of the cross-compliance rules; considers that farmers already participating to a great extent in agri-environmental programs should not be discriminated under the new system;**

**Amendment 633**  
**Albert Deß, Peter Jahr**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 20**

*Motion for a resolution*

20. Considers that better resource protection is **an** element in sustainable farming, which **should involve separate support** for environmental measures **going beyond the requirements of Cross Compliance (CC), which already entail many environmental measures, and being geared to multiannual applications, as a result of which greater environmental benefits can be attained;**

*Amendment*

20. Considers that better **natural** resource protection is **a central** element in sustainable farming, which **justifies special funding** for **the many** environmental measures, **which already go** beyond the requirements of Cross Compliance (CC), **notes in this regard the proposed greening of the CAP, which could play an important role in enhancing the environmental benefits delivered by farmers;**

Or. en

**Amendment 634**  
**Stéphane Le Foll, Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos, Marc Tarabella, Iratxe García Pérez, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Csaba Sándor Tabajdi, Wojciech Michał Olejniczak, Luís Paulo Alves, Salvatore Caronna, Spyros Danellis, Ismail Ertug, Ulrike Rodust, Vasilica Viorica Dăncilă, Daciana Octavia Sârbu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 20**

*Motion for a resolution*

20. Considers that better resource protection is an element in sustainable farming, which should involve separate support for environmental measures going beyond the requirements of Cross Compliance (CC), **which already entail many environmental measures, and being geared to multiannual applications, as a result of which greater environmental benefits can be attained;**

*Amendment*

20. Considers that better resource protection is an element in sustainable farming, which should involve separate support for environmental measures going beyond the requirements of Cross Compliance (CC);

Or. fr

**Amendment 635**  
**Esther Herranz García, Gabriel Mato Adrover**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 20**

*Motion for a resolution*

20. Considers that better resource protection is an element in sustainable farming, which should involve separate support for environmental measures ***going beyond the requirements of Cross Compliance (CC), which already entail many environmental measures, and being*** geared to multiannual applications, as a result of which greater environmental benefits can be attained;

*Amendment*

20. Considers that better resource protection is an element in sustainable farming, which should involve separate support for environmental measures ***and be*** geared to multiannual applications, as a result of which greater environmental benefits can be attained;

Or. fr

**Amendment 636**  
**Riikka Manner, Hannu Takkula**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 20**

*Motion for a resolution*

20. Considers that better resource protection is an element in sustainable farming, which ***should involve separate support for*** environmental ***measures*** going beyond the requirements of Cross Compliance (CC), which already entail many environmental ***measures***, and being geared to multiannual applications, as a result of which greater environmental benefits can be attained;

*Amendment*

20. Considers that better ***natural*** resource protection is an element in sustainable farming, which ***require wider*** environmental ***operations*** going beyond the requirements of Cross Compliance (CC), which already entail many environmental ***actions***, and being geared to multiannual applications, as a result of which greater environmental benefits can be attained;

Or. en

**Amendment 637**  
**Rareş-Lucian Niculescu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 20**

*Motion for a resolution*

20. Considers that better resource protection is an element in sustainable farming, which should involve *separate* support for environmental measures going beyond the requirements of Cross Compliance (CC), which already entail many environmental measures, and being geared to multiannual applications, as a result of which greater environmental benefits can be attained;

*Amendment*

20. Considers that better resource protection is an element in sustainable farming, which should involve *additional* support for environmental measures going beyond the requirements of Cross Compliance (CC), which already entail many environmental measures, and being geared to multiannual applications, as a result of which greater environmental benefits can be attained;

Or. ro

**Amendment 638**  
**Brian Simpson**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 20**

*Motion for a resolution*

20. Considers that better resource protection is an element in sustainable farming, which should involve separate support for environmental measures going beyond the requirements of Cross Compliance (CC), which already entail many environmental measures, and being geared to multiannual applications, as a result of which greater environmental benefits can be attained;

*Amendment*

20. Considers that better resource protection is an element in sustainable farming, which should involve separate support for environmental measures going beyond the requirements of Cross Compliance (CC), which already entail many environmental measures, and being geared to multiannual applications *as recognized by the resolution of 8 July 2010 on the future of the CAP after 2013*, as a result of which greater environmental benefits can be attained;

Or. en

**Amendment 639**  
**Béla Glattfelder**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 20**

*Motion for a resolution*

20. Considers that **better** resource protection is an element in sustainable farming, which should involve separate support for environmental measures going beyond the requirements of Cross Compliance (CC), which already entail many environmental measures, and being geared to multiannual applications, as a result of which greater environmental benefits can be attained;

*Amendment*

20. Considers that resource protection is an element in sustainable farming, which should involve separate support for environmental measures going beyond the requirements of Cross Compliance (CC), which already entail many environmental measures, and being geared to multiannual applications, as a result of which greater environmental benefits can be attained;

Or. en

**Amendment 640**  
**Alfreds Rubiks**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 20 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

***20a. Considers therefore that farming practices should be encouraged and ensured under the first pillar on farmland which is not subject to natural handicaps and which would contribute to environmentally sustainable agriculture, while under the second pillar farmers should be compensated for losses which they have suffered and income which they have forgone in farming land with natural handicaps and special nature conservation areas, including NATURA 2000 areas, and that costs of investing in environmental improvements and expenditure arising from agrienvironmental commitments in excess of cross-compliance requirements should***

*Amendment*

*be compensated;*

Or. lv

**Amendment 641**  
**Mariya Nedelcheva**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 20 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*20a. Insists that ‘greening’ must not change the basic characteristic of direct payments, namely simplification of the application procedure and relaxing of the eligibility criteria; considers the drawing-up of individual programmes by farmers and the procedure for their approval to be linked with applying for consultancy services, and that this will deprive small farmers of the possibility of applying for direct payments;*

Or. bg

**Amendment 642**  
**Béla Glattfelder**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 20 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*20a. Stresses that any further greening should be simple and cost-effective, avoid any overlap between pillars and must be based upon the experience of the CAP's current green policy measures, with a further simplification of them;*

Or. en

**Amendment 643**  
**Lena Ek**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 20 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***20a. Calls for the CAP to include targets for the use of sustainable energy; believes that the agriculture sector could use 40% renewable fuels by 2020 and be fossil free by 2030;***

Or. en

**Amendment 644**  
**Albert Deß, Peter Jahr**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 20 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***20a. Rejects the implementation of a new additional payment, control and sanction system for the greening of Pillar 1; stresses that any greening measures should be simple to implement and should not result in new administrative burdens or costs for farmers or Member States, in particular regarding additional controls; insists that greening measures should treat all farmers equally;***

Or. en

**Amendment 645**  
**Mariya Nedelcheva**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 20 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***20b. Expresses its opposition to the implementation of a new additional monitoring system for the greening of the CAP; insists that greening measures must be easy to implement and must not result in additional administrative burdens or expenditure for farmers; considers that special attention must be awarded to small farmers under the new system and urges the Commission to explore the possibility of greening measures not applying to such farmers as they will find these difficult to finance, and their contribution to greening would be minimal;***

Or. bg

**Amendment 646**

**George Lyon, Marit Paulsen, Sylvie Goulard, Anne E. Jensen, Britta Reimers**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 21**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***21. Considers that resource protection should be directly linked to the granting of direct payments in order to attain these environmental objectives to the maximum without the need to introduce new, bureaucratic environmental conditions into the first pillar; considers that a flat-rate income payment, as envisaged in a top-up model in the first pillar, must cover costs and income losses;***

*deleted*

Or. en



**Amendment 647**  
**Béla Glattfelder**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 21**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**21. Considers that resource protection should be directly linked to the granting of direct payments in order to attain these environmental objectives to the maximum without the need to introduce new, bureaucratic environmental conditions into the first pillar; considers that a flat-rate income payment, as envisaged in a top-up model in the first pillar, must cover costs and income losses;**

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 648**  
**Mairead McGuinness**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 21**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**21. Considers that resource protection should be directly linked to the granting of direct payments in order to attain these environmental objectives to the maximum without the need to introduce new, bureaucratic environmental conditions into the first pillar; considers that a flat-rate income payment, as envisaged in a top-up model in the first pillar, must cover costs and income losses;**

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 649**  
**Diane Dodds**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 21**

*Motion for a resolution*

21. Considers that *resource protection* should be *directly linked to* the granting of *direct payments in order to attain these environmental objectives to the maximum without the need to introduce new, bureaucratic environmental conditions into the first pillar; considers that a flat-rate income payment, as envisaged in a top-up model in the first pillar, must cover costs and income losses;*

*Amendment*

21. Considers that *any further greening of the CAP must be based on voluntary incentives, should reinforce, rather than damage participation in existing agri-environmental measures and other voluntary programmes and should be positive (i.e. should seek out win-wins or measures that improve the environment and farming competitiveness);*

Or. en

**Amendment 650**  
**Georgios Papastamkos**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 21**

*Motion for a resolution*

21. Considers that resource protection should be *directly linked to* the granting of direct *payments in order to attain these environmental objectives to the maximum without the need to introduce new, bureaucratic environmental conditions into the first pillar; considers that a flat-rate income payment, as envisaged in a top-up model in the first pillar, must cover costs and income losses;*

*Amendment*

21. Considers that *the implementation of* resource protection *measures* should *not* be a *pre-condition* for the granting of direct *aid linked to cross-compliance under which farmers already contribute extensively to the above objective;*

Or. el

**Amendment 651**  
**Herbert Dorfmann**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 21**

*Motion for a resolution*

21. Considers that *resource protection should be directly linked to the granting of direct payments in order to attain these environmental objectives to the maximum without the need to introduce new, bureaucratic environmental conditions into the first pillar; considers that a flat-rate income payment, as envisaged in a top-up model in the first pillar, must cover costs and income losses;*

*Amendment*

21. Considers that *to do justice to society's demands on the CAP, such as extra climate protection, protection of the environment and resources, and food security, these aspects must be further incorporated in the shaping of cross-compliance and must thus be included in the first pillar as a condition for receipt of the farm payment;*

Or. de

**Amendment 652**  
**Michel Dantin, Giovanni La Via, Elisabeth Jeggle, Sergio Paolo Francesco Silvestris, Esther de Lange, Carlo Fidanza, Giancarlo Scottà, Lorenzo Fontana, Mariya Nedelcheva, Agnès Le Brun**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 21**

*Motion for a resolution*

21. Considers that resource protection should be directly linked to the granting of direct payments in order to attain these environmental objectives *to the maximum without the need to introduce new, bureaucratic environmental conditions into the first pillar;* considers that a flat-rate income payment, *as envisaged in a top-up model in the first pillar, must cover costs and income losses;*

*Amendment*

21. Considers *therefore* that resource protection should be directly linked to the granting of direct payments in order to attain these environmental objectives *as far as possible, should simplify the SPS scheme, avoid duplication of controls and the introduction of additional administrative procedures;* also considers that *the possibility of a flat-rate income payment, under the greening component, should be studied; stresses that these measures will have to balance environmental and economic performance, be relevant from an agronomic point of view and provide*

*appropriate incentives for farmers;*

Or. en

**Amendment 653**

**Jarosław Kalinowski, Czesław Adam Siekierski, Filip Kaczmarek, Artur Zasada, Janusz Wojciechowski, Jacek Włosowicz**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 21**

*Motion for a resolution*

21. Considers that resource protection *should be* directly linked to the granting of direct payments *in order to attain these environmental objectives to the maximum without* the need to introduce new, bureaucratic environmental conditions into the first pillar; considers that a flat-rate income payment, as envisaged in a top-up model in the first pillar, must cover costs and income losses;

*Amendment*

21. Considers that resource protection *is already* directly linked to the granting of direct payments, *which obviates* the need to introduce new, bureaucratic environmental conditions into the first pillar; considers that a flat-rate income payment, as envisaged in a top-up model in the first pillar, must cover costs and income losses; *is aware that good agricultural and environmental condition (GAEC) standards and other aspects of cross-compliance are not implemented in the same way in all the Member States; takes the view, accordingly, that harmonisation of GAEC standards and binding cross-compliance standards could make the first pillar greener and ensure a level playing field across the EU;*

Or. pl

**Amendment 654**

**Bairbre de Brún**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 21**

*Motion for a resolution*

21. *Considers that resource protection should be directly linked to the granting of direct payments in order to attain these*

*Amendment*

21. *Asks the Commission to provide details of what greening actions it envisages to further attain environmental*

environmental objectives *to the maximum without the need to introduce new, bureaucratic environmental conditions into the first pillar; considers that a flat-rate income payment, as envisaged in a top-up model in the first pillar, must cover costs and income losses;*

objectives *and how these could be delivered in practice;*

Or. en

**Amendment 655**  
**Albert Deß, Peter Jahr**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 21**

*Motion for a resolution*

21. Considers that resource protection should be directly linked to the granting of direct payments in order to attain these environmental objectives to the maximum *without the need to introduce new, bureaucratic environmental conditions into the first pillar; considers that a flat-rate income payment, as envisaged in a top-up model in the first pillar, must cover costs and income losses;*

*Amendment*

21. Considers *therefore* that *natural* resource protection should be directly linked to the granting of direct payments in order to attain these environmental objectives to the maximum *envisages* the *possibility of* a flat-rate income payment, *that* must cover costs and income *foregone; stresses that these measures will have to balance environmental and economic performance, be relevant from an agronomic point of view and provide appropriate incentives for farmers;*

Or. en

**Amendment 656**  
**Astrid Lulling**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 21**

*Motion for a resolution*

21. Considers that resource protection should be directly linked to the granting of direct payments in order to *attain* these

*Amendment*

21. Considers *therefore* that *natural* resource protection should be directly linked to the granting of direct payments in

environmental objectives to the maximum *without the need to introduce new, bureaucratic environmental conditions into the first pillar; considers that a flat-rate income payment, as envisaged in a top-up model in the first pillar, must cover costs and income losses;*

order to *fulfil* these environmental objectives to the maximum; *stresses that these measures will have to balance environmental and economic performance, be relevant from an agronomic point of view and provide appropriate incentives for farmers;*

Or. en

#### Amendment 657

Esther Herranz García, Gabriel Mato Adrover

#### Motion for a resolution

##### Paragraph 21

###### *Motion for a resolution*

21. Considers that *resource protection should be directly linked to the granting of direct payments in order to attain these environmental objectives to the maximum without the need to* introduce new, bureaucratic environmental conditions *into the first pillar*; considers that *a flat-rate income payment, as envisaged in a top-up model in the first pillar, must* cover costs and income losses;

###### *Amendment*

21. Considers that the *attainment of* environmental objectives *should not* introduce new, bureaucratic environmental conditions; considers that *payments to farmers should* cover costs and income losses *arising from environmental constraints*;

Or. fr

#### Amendment 658

Krisztina Morvai

#### Motion for a resolution

##### Paragraph 21

###### *Motion for a resolution*

21. Considers that resource protection should be directly linked to the granting of direct payments in order to attain these environmental objectives to the maximum *without the need to introduce new,*

###### *Amendment*

21. Considers that resource protection *with particular regard to halting the reduction in biodiversity, including bee mortality,* should be directly linked to the granting of direct payments, *effectively as a condition*

*bureaucratic environmental conditions into the first pillar; considers that a flat-rate income payment, as envisaged in a top-up model in the first pillar, must cover costs and income losses;*

*for these payments, in order to attain these environmental objectives to the maximum;*

Or. hu

#### **Amendment 659**

**Stéphane Le Foll, Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos, Marc Tarabella, Iratxe García Pérez, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Csaba Sándor Tabajdi, Wojciech Michał Olejniczak, Luís Paulo Alves, Salvatore Caronna, Spyros Danellis, Ismail Ertug, Ulrike Rodust, Vasilica Viorica Dăncilă, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Paolo De Castro**

#### **Motion for a resolution Paragraph 21**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

21. Considers that resource protection should be directly linked to the granting of direct payments *in order to attain these environmental objectives to the maximum without the need to introduce new, bureaucratic environmental conditions into the first pillar; considers that a flat-rate income payment, as envisaged in a top-up model in the first pillar, must cover costs and income losses;*

##### *Amendment*

21. Considers that resource protection should be directly linked to the granting of *targeted* direct payments *that provide incentives to maximise environmental benefits and sustainability, without however creating insurmountable practical hurdles for farmers or additional red tape for administrative authorities;*

Or. fr

#### **Amendment 660**

**Giovanni La Via, Sergio Paolo Francesco Silvestris**

#### **Motion for a resolution Paragraph 21**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

21. Considers that resource protection should be directly linked to the granting of direct payments in order to attain these environmental objectives to the maximum

##### *Amendment*

21. Considers that resource protection should be directly linked to the granting of direct payments in order to attain these environmental objectives to the maximum

without the need to introduce new, bureaucratic *environmental* conditions into the first pillar; ***considers that a flat-rate income payment, as envisaged in a top-up model in the first pillar, must cover costs and income losses;***

without the need to introduce new, bureaucratic conditions into the first pillar;

Or. it

**Amendment 661**  
**Véronique Mathieu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 21**

*Motion for a resolution*

21. Considers that resource protection should be directly linked to the granting of direct payments in order to attain these environmental objectives to the maximum ***without the need to introduce new, bureaucratic environmental conditions into the first pillar;*** considers that a flat-rate income payment, as envisaged in a top-up model in the first pillar, must cover costs and income losses;

*Amendment*

21. Considers that resource protection should be directly linked to the granting of direct payments in order to attain these environmental objectives to the maximum; considers that a flat-rate income payment, as envisaged in a top-up model in the first pillar, must cover costs and income losses; ***proposes that these direct payments be financed through environmental modulation applied at Member State or regional level, with a minimum rate set by the European Commission which each Member State is allowed to increase;***

Or. fr

**Amendment 662**  
**Riikka Manner, Hannu Takkula**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 21**

*Motion for a resolution*

21. Considers that resource protection should be directly linked to the granting of direct payments in order to attain these

*Amendment*

21. Considers that ***natural*** resource protection should be directly linked to the granting of direct payments in order to



environmental objectives to the maximum without the need to introduce new, bureaucratic environmental conditions into the first pillar; considers that a flat-rate income payment, as envisaged in a top-up model in the first pillar, must cover costs and income losses;

attain these environmental objectives to the maximum without the need to introduce new, bureaucratic environmental conditions into the first pillar; considers that a flat-rate income payment, as envisaged in a top-up model in the first pillar, must cover costs and income losses;

Or. en

**Amendment 663**  
**Alfreds Rubiks**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 21 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***21a. Considers that environmental benefits could be attained by introducing environmental activities with cross-compliance requirements; takes the view that Member States should be given the opportunity and flexibility to select for themselves from a list of environmental activities or to add others which they consider the most important with a view to solving environmental problems in their countries;***

Or. lv

**Amendment 664**  
**Iratxe García Pérez, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 21 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***21a. Takes the view that the link between the basic payment and the green component must be founded on the existence of a menu of green measures in***

*the first pillar that is sufficiently wide to cover all the Community's types of and approaches to farming;*

Or. es

**Amendment 665**  
**Krisztina Morvai**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 21 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*21a. Considers that the critical level of bee mortality which is being experienced in Europe is a general indicator of a dangerous reduction in biodiversity; accepts the scientific view that one cause of this is the excessive use of chemicals in agriculture; considers that halting bee mortality by means of the scientifically-determined selective and reduced use of chemicals must be identified as a top priority of greening under the first pillar;*

Or. hu

**Amendment 666**  
**Stéphane Le Foll, Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos, Marc Tarabella, Iratxe García Pérez, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Csaba Sándor Tabajdi, Wojciech Michał Olejniczak, Luís Paulo Alves, Salvatore Caronna, Spyros Danellis, Ismail Ertug, Ulrike Rodust, Vasilica Viorica Dăncilă, Daciana Octavia Sârbu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 22**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*22. Considers therefore that any environmental advantages can be attained more effectively and directly by means of second-pillar measures adopted by the Member States, which should ideally*

*deleted*

*build on existing agrienvironmental measures or should supplement measures which take into account climatic and geographical differences in the Member States; observes that resource protection programmes should be pursued everywhere by means of a priority catalogue of area-based measures in the second pillar which are subject to basic requirements, particularly in the fields of climate, environment and innovation (Annex I), and are 100% EU-financed; regards the greening of direct payments in the first pillar as lying in the fact that any recipient of direct payments in the EU must implement at least two priority area-based resource protection programmes in order to be eligible for the complete farm payment; believes that the administration involved in these measures can be minimised by managing them in accordance with the system of the existing agrienvironmental programmes, thus avoiding duplication of monitoring and additional application and administration procedures;*

Or. fr

**Amendment 667**

**George Lyon, Marit Paulsen, Sylvie Goulard, Anne E. Jensen, Britta Reimers**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 22**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*22. Considers therefore that any environmental advantages can be attained more effectively and directly by means of second-pillar measures adopted by the Member States, which should ideally build on existing agrienvironmental measures or should supplement measures which take into account climatic and geographical differences in the Member*

*deleted*

*States; observes that resource protection programmes should be pursued everywhere by means of a priority catalogue of area-based measures in the second pillar which are subject to basic requirements, particularly in the fields of climate, environment and innovation (Annex I), and are 100% EU-financed; regards the greening of direct payments in the first pillar as lying in the fact that any recipient of direct payments in the EU must implement at least two priority area-based resource protection programmes in order to be eligible for the complete farm payment; believes that the administration involved in these measures can be minimised by managing them in accordance with the system of the existing agrienvironmental programmes, thus avoiding duplication of monitoring and additional application and administration procedures;*

Or. en

**Amendment 668**  
**Mairead McGuinness**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 22**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*22. Considers therefore that any environmental advantages can be attained more effectively and directly by means of second-pillar measures adopted by the Member States, which should ideally build on existing agrienvironmental measures or should supplement measures which take into account climatic and geographical differences in the Member States; observes that resource protection programmes should be pursued everywhere by means of a priority catalogue of area-based measures in the*

*deleted*

*second pillar which are subject to basic requirements, particularly in the fields of climate, environment and innovation (Annex I), and are 100% EU-financed; regards the greening of direct payments in the first pillar as lying in the fact that any recipient of direct payments in the EU must implement at least two priority area-based resource protection programmes in order to be eligible for the complete farm payment; believes that the administration involved in these measures can be minimised by managing them in accordance with the system of the existing agrienvironmental programmes, thus avoiding duplication of monitoring and additional application and administration procedures;*

Or. en

**Amendment 669**

**Rareş-Lucian Niculescu, Mariya Nedelcheva**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 22**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*22. Considers therefore that any environmental advantages can be attained more effectively and directly by means of second-pillar measures adopted by the Member States, which should ideally build on existing agrienvironmental measures or should supplement measures which take into account climatic and geographical differences in the Member States; observes that resource protection programmes should be pursued everywhere by means of a priority catalogue of area-based measures in the second pillar which are subject to basic requirements, particularly in the fields of climate, environment and innovation (Annex I), and are 100% EU-financed;*

*deleted*

*regards the greening of direct payments in the first pillar as lying in the fact that any recipient of direct payments in the EU must implement at least two priority area-based resource protection programmes in order to be eligible for the complete farm payment; believes that the administration involved in these measures can be minimised by managing them in accordance with the system of the existing agrienvironmental programmes, thus avoiding duplication of monitoring and additional application and administration procedures;*

Or. en

**Amendment 670**  
**Béla Glattfelder**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 22**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*22. Considers therefore that any environmental advantages can be attained more effectively and directly by means of second-pillar measures adopted by the Member States, which should ideally build on existing agrienvironmental measures or should supplement measures which take into account climatic and geographical differences in the Member States; observes that resource protection programmes should be pursued everywhere by means of a priority catalogue of area-based measures in the second pillar which are subject to basic requirements, particularly in the fields of climate, environment and innovation (Annex I), and are 100% EU-financed; regards the greening of direct payments in the first pillar as lying in the fact that any recipient of direct payments in the EU must implement at least two priority area-*

*deleted*

*based resource protection programmes in order to be eligible for the complete farm payment; believes that the administration involved in these measures can be minimised by managing them in accordance with the system of the existing agrienvironmental programmes, thus avoiding duplication of monitoring and additional application and administration procedures;*

Or. en

**Amendment 671**  
**Alfreds Rubiks**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 22**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*22. Considers therefore that any environmental advantages can be attained more effectively and directly by means of second-pillar measures adopted by the Member States, which should ideally build on existing agrienvironmental measures or should supplement measures which take into account climatic and geographical differences in the Member States; observes that resource protection programmes should be pursued everywhere by means of a priority catalogue of area-based measures in the second pillar which are subject to basic requirements, particularly in the fields of climate, environment and innovation (Annex I), and are 100% EU-financed; regards the greening of direct payments in the first pillar as lying in the fact that any recipient of direct payments in the EU must implement at least two priority area-based resource protection programmes in order to be eligible for the complete farm payment; believes that the administration involved in these measures can be*

*deleted*

*minimised by managing them in accordance with the system of the existing agrienvironmental programmes, thus avoiding duplication of monitoring and additional application and administration procedures;*

Or. Iv

#### **Amendment 672**

**Michel Dantin, Giovanni La Via, Elisabeth Jeggle, Georgios Papastamkos, Sergio Paolo Francesco Silvestris, Esther de Lange, Carlo Fidanza, Giancarlo Scottà, Lorenzo Fontana, Agnès Le Brun, Maria do Céu Patrão Neves**

#### **Motion for a resolution Paragraph 22**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

**22. Considers** therefore *that any environmental advantages can be attained more effectively and directly by means of second-pillar measures adopted by the Member States, which should ideally build on existing agrienvironmental measures or should supplement measures which take into account climatic and geographical differences in the Member States*; observes that *resource protection programmes* should be pursued *everywhere* by means of a priority catalogue of area-based measures *in the second pillar which are subject to basic requirements, particularly in the fields of climate, environment and innovation (Annex I), and are 100% EU-financed*; *regards the greening of direct payments in the first pillar as lying in the fact* that any recipient of *direct payments in the EU* must implement *at least two priority area-based resource protection programmes* in order to *be eligible for the complete farm payment*; *believes that the administration involved in these measures can be minimised by managing them in accordance with the system of the existing*

##### *Amendment*

**22. Calls** therefore *on the Commission to submit, as soon as possible, both the details of its proposed new scheme of direct payments as well as an impact assessment of the administrative and bureaucratic conditions related to the implementation of the greening component*; observes that *the greening* should be pursued *across Member States* by means of a priority catalogue of area-based measures *that are 100% EU-financed*; *considers* that any recipient of *these particular* payments must implement *a certain number of greening measures, chosen from a national or a regional list established by the Member State on the basis of a broader EU list*; *demands*, in order to *streamline the administrative procedures associated with* these measures *that all agricultural controls are, as far as possible, operated concomitantly*;



*agrienvironmental programmes, thus avoiding duplication of monitoring and additional application and administration procedures;*

Or. en

**Amendment 673**  
**Esther de Lange**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 22**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*22. Considers therefore that any environmental advantages can be attained more effectively and directly by means of second-pillar measures adopted by the Member States, which should ideally build on existing agrienvironmental measures or should supplement measures which take into account climatic and geographical differences in the Member States; observes that resource protection programmes should be pursued everywhere by means of a priority catalogue of area-based measures in the second pillar which are subject to basic requirements, particularly in the fields of climate, environment and innovation (Annex I), and are 100% EU-financed; regards the greening of direct payments in the first pillar as lying in the fact that any recipient of direct payments in the EU must implement at least two priority area-based resource protection programmes in order to be eligible for the complete farm payment; believes that the administration involved in these measures can be minimised by managing them in accordance with the system of the existing agrienvironmental programmes, thus avoiding duplication of monitoring and additional application and administration procedures;*

*22. 22. Calls therefore on the Commission to submit, as soon as possible, both the details of its proposed new scheme of direct payments as well as an impact assessment on the administrative and bureaucratic conditions related to the implementation of the greening component; observes that the greening should be pursued everywhere by means of a priority catalogue of area-based and/or farm-level measures that are 100% EU-financed; considers that any recipient must implement a certain number of greening measures, chosen from a national or a regional list established by the Member State on the basis of a broader EU list; demands, in order to streamline the administrative procedures associated with these measures that all agricultural controls are, as far as possible, operated concomitantly;*

**Amendment 674**  
**Bairbre de Brún**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 22**

*Motion for a resolution*

22. *Considers therefore that any environmental advantages can be attained more effectively and directly by means of second-pillar measures adopted by the Member States, which should ideally build on existing agrienvironmental measures or should supplement measures which take into account climatic and geographical differences in the Member States; observes that resource protection programmes should be pursued everywhere by means of a priority catalogue of area-based measures in the second pillar which are subject to basic requirements, particularly in the fields of climate, environment and innovation (Annex I), and are 100% EU-financed; regards the greening of direct payments in the first pillar as lying in the fact that any recipient of direct payments in the EU must implement at least two priority area-based resource protection programmes in order to be eligible for the complete farm payment; believes that the administration involved in these measures can be minimised by managing them in accordance with the system of the existing agrienvironmental programmes, thus avoiding duplication of monitoring and additional application and administration procedures;*

*Amendment*

22. *Stresses that any further greening of the CAP should ensure that the greening requirements can be clearly and unambiguously defined and that additional bureaucratic requirements on farmers and national administrations are kept to a minimum;*

**Amendment 675**  
**Christel Schaldemose**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 22**

*Motion for a resolution*

22. Considers *therefore* that *any* environmental *advantages can be attained more effectively and directly by means of second-pillar measures adopted by the Member States, which should ideally build on existing agrienvironmental measures or should supplement measures which take into account climatic and geographical differences in the Member States; observes that resource protection programmes should be pursued everywhere by means of a priority catalogue of area-based measures in the second pillar which are subject to basic requirements, particularly in the fields of climate, environment and innovation (Annex I), and are 100% EU-financed; regards the greening of direct payments in the first pillar as lying in the fact that any recipient of direct payments in the EU must implement at least two priority area-based resource protection programmes in order to be eligible for the complete farm payment; believes that the administration involved in these measures can be minimised by managing them in accordance with the system of the existing agrienvironmental programmes, thus avoiding duplication of monitoring and additional application and administration procedures;*

*Amendment*

22. Considers that *meeting the* environmental *challenges and providing full legitimacy for public support to farmers requires a comprehensive approach to the rewarding of public goods; calls for a coherent system of environmental incentives including :*

*- a solid cross compliance baseline, based on the polluter pays principle;*

*- a farm level mandatory greening component within pillar 1 which consists of a simple package of meaningful good agronomic practices such as crop rotation, farm level green infrastructures (resource care areas), soil cover and*

*nutrient balance;*

*- specific pillar 1 top ups for farmers in Natura 2000 sites, for maintenance of organic farming and extensively managed pasture and meadows;*

*- a well targeted and well resourced Pillar 2, which should include effective, voluntary, multi-annual schemes to support farmers going beyond basic good practices and contributing to the achievement of EU objectives on climate change, biodiversity and water.*

Or. en

**Amendment 676**  
**Véronique Mathieu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 22**

*Motion for a resolution*

22. Considers therefore that any environmental advantages can be attained more effectively and directly by means of **second**-pillar measures adopted by the Member States, which should ideally build on existing agrienvironmental measures or should supplement measures which take into account climatic and geographical differences in the Member States; observes that resource protection programmes should be pursued everywhere by means of a priority catalogue of area-based measures in the **second** pillar which are subject to basic requirements, particularly in the fields of climate, environment and innovation (Annex I), and are 100% EU-financed; regards the greening of direct payments in the first pillar as lying in the fact that any recipient of direct payments in the EU must implement at least two priority area-based resource protection programmes in order to be eligible for the

*Amendment*

22. Considers therefore that any environmental advantages can be attained more effectively and directly by means of **first**-pillar measures adopted by the Member States, which should ideally build on existing agrienvironmental measures or should supplement measures which take into account climatic and geographical differences in the Member States; observes that **compulsory** resource protection programmes should be pursued everywhere by means of a priority catalogue of area-based measures in the **first** pillar which are subject to basic requirements, particularly in the fields of climate, environment and innovation (Annex I), and are 100% EU-financed; regards the greening of direct payments in the first pillar as lying in the fact that any recipient of direct payments in the EU must implement at least two priority area-based resource protection programmes **applied to the whole farm** in

complete farm payment; believes that the administration involved in these measures can be minimised by managing them in accordance with the system of the existing agrienvironmental programmes, thus avoiding duplication of monitoring and additional application and administration procedures;

order to be eligible for the complete farm payment; believes that the administration involved in these measures can be minimised by managing them in accordance with the system of the existing agrienvironmental programmes, thus avoiding duplication of monitoring and additional application and administration procedures;

Or. fr

**Amendment 677**  
**Astrid Lulling**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 22**

*Motion for a resolution*

22. Considers therefore that any environmental advantages can be attained more effectively and directly by means of **second-pillar** measures adopted by the Member States, which should ideally build on existing **agrienvironmental** measures or should supplement measures which take into account climatic and geographical differences in the Member States; observes that resource protection programmes should be pursued everywhere by means of a priority catalogue of area-based measures **in the second pillar** which are subject to basic requirements, particularly in the fields of climate, environment and innovation (Annex I), and **are** 100% EU-financed; regards the greening of direct payments in the first pillar as lying in the fact that any recipient of direct payments in the EU must implement at least two priority area-based resource protection programmes in order to be eligible for the complete farm payment; believes that the administration involved in these measures can be **minimised by managing them in accordance with the system of the existing**

*Amendment*

22. Considers therefore that any environmental advantages can be attained more effectively and directly by means of measures adopted by the Member States, which should ideally build on existing **ecological cross compliance** measures or should supplement measures which take into account climatic and geographical differences in the Member States; observes that resource protection programmes should be pursued everywhere by means of a priority catalogue of area-based measures which are subject to basic requirements, particularly in the fields of climate, environment and innovation (Annex I), and **may be up to** 100% EU-financed; regards the greening of direct payments in the first pillar as lying in the fact that any recipient of direct payments in the EU must implement at least two priority area-based resource protection programmes in order to be eligible for the complete farm payment; believes that the administration involved in these measures can be **kept at the same level by including them in existing first-pillar ecological cross compliance**

*agrienvironmental programmes*, thus avoiding duplication of monitoring and additional application and administration procedures;

*controls*, thus avoiding duplication of monitoring and additional application and administration procedures;

Or. fr

**Amendment 678**  
**Albert Deß, Peter Jahr**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 22**

*Motion for a resolution*

22. Considers therefore that *any* environmental advantages can be attained *more effectively and directly by means of second-pillar measures adopted by the Member States, which should ideally build on existing agrienvironmental measures or should supplement measures which* take into account climatic and geographical differences in the Member States; observes that *resource protection programmes* should be pursued *everywhere* by means of a priority catalogue of area-based measures *in the second pillar which* are *subject to basic requirements, particularly in the fields of climate, environment and innovation (Annex I), and are* 100% EU-financed; *regards the greening of direct payments in the first pillar as lying in the fact that any recipient of direct payments in the EU must implement at least two priority area-based resource protection programmes in order to be eligible for the complete farm payment; believes that the administration involved in these measures can be minimised by managing them in accordance with the system of the existing agrienvironmental programmes, thus avoiding duplication of monitoring and additional application and administration procedures;*

*Amendment*

22. Considers therefore that *significant* environmental advantages can be attained *through the implementation of comprehensive measures to supplement the targeted agri-environmental measures under the second pillar, stresses that supplementary measures must* take into account climatic and geographical differences in the Member States; observes that *further greening of the CAP* should be pursued *across the Member States* by means of a priority catalogue of area-based measures *that* are 100% EU-financed; *considers that this greening should result in a mandatory implementation at the farmer level, unless the farmer already participates to a large extent in agri-environmental programmes under the second pillar; demands that in order to streamline the administrative burden associated with these measures, that all agricultural controls are, as far as possible, operated concomitantly; therefore proposes that greening should be achieved through either:*

- a mandatory system for greening within Pillar 1;

- a system which conditions the receipt of full direct payments on the participation in agri-environmental schemes within the existing structures, i.e. Pillar 2;

Or. en

## Amendment 679

Riikka Manner, Hannu Takkula

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 22

##### *Motion for a resolution*

22. Considers therefore that *any* environmental *advantages* can be attained more effectively and directly by means of second-pillar measures *adopted by the Member States*, which should ideally build on existing *agrienvironmental* measures or should *supplement* measures which take into account *climatic* and geographical differences in the Member States; observes that resource protection programmes should be pursued everywhere by means of a priority catalogue of area-based measures in the second pillar which are subject to basic requirements, *particularly in the fields of climate, environment and innovation (Annex I)*, and are 100% EU-financed; regards the greening of direct payments in the first pillar as lying in the fact that any recipient of direct payments in the EU must implement at least two *priority area-based resource protection programmes* in order to be eligible for the complete farm payment; believes that the administration involved in these measures can be minimised by managing them in accordance with the system of the existing *agrienvironmental programmes*, thus avoiding duplication of monitoring and additional application and administration

##### *Amendment*

22. Considers therefore that *wider* environmental *benefits* can be attained more effectively and directly by means of second-pillar measures *in Rural Development Programmes*, which *where greening element* should ideally build on existing *agri-environmental* measures or should *can also concern other suitable* measures which take into account *climate, environment and innovation (Annex I) and* geographical differences in the Member States; observes that *nature* resource protection programmes should be pursued everywhere by means of a priority catalogue of area-based measures in the second pillar which are subject to basic requirements, and are 100% EU-financed; regards the greening of direct payments in the first pillar as lying in the fact that any recipient of direct payments in the EU must implement at least two *greening elements selected from the measures under Rural Development Programmes* in order to be eligible for the complete farm *direct* payment; believes that the administration involved in these measures can be minimised by managing them in accordance with the system of the existing *agri-environmental measures*, thus

procedures;

avoiding duplication of monitoring and additional application and administration procedures;

Or. en

**Amendment 680**  
**Diane Dodds**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 22**

*Motion for a resolution*

22. Considers therefore that any environmental advantages can be attained more effectively and directly by means of second-pillar measures adopted by the Member States, which should *ideally* build on existing *agrienvironmental* measures or should supplement measures which take into account climatic and *geographical differences* in the Member States; observes that *resource protection programmes* should be pursued *everywhere* by means of a *priority* catalogue of *area-based* measures in the second pillar which are subject to basic requirements, particularly in the fields of climate, environment and innovation (Annex I), and are 100% EU-financed; *regards the greening of direct payments in the first pillar as lying in the fact that any recipient of direct payments in the EU must implement at least two priority area-based resource protection programmes in order to be eligible for the complete farm payment*; believes that the administration involved in these measures can be minimised by managing them in accordance with the system of the existing *agrienvironmental* programmes, thus avoiding duplication of monitoring and additional application and administration procedures;

*Amendment*

22. Considers therefore that any environmental advantages can be attained more effectively and directly by means of second-pillar measures adopted by the Member States, which should build on existing *agri-environmental* measures or should supplement measures which take into account climatic *difference* and *environmental priorities* in the Member States *and across regions*; observes that *environmental measures* should be pursued by means of a catalogue of measures in the second pillar which are subject to basic requirements, particularly in the fields of climate, environment and innovation (Annex I), and are 100% EU-financed; believes that the administration involved in these measures can be minimised by managing them in accordance with the system of the existing *agri-environmental* programmes, thus avoiding duplication of monitoring and additional application and administration procedures;

Or. en



**Amendment 681**  
**Herbert Dorfmann**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 22**

*Motion for a resolution*

22. *Considers therefore that any environmental advantages can be attained more effectively and directly by means of second-pillar measures adopted by the Member States, which should ideally build on existing agrienvironmental measures or should supplement measures which take into account climatic and geographical differences in the Member States; observes that resource protection programmes should be pursued everywhere by means of a priority catalogue of area-based measures in the second pillar which are subject to basic requirements, particularly in the fields of climate, environment and innovation (Annex I), and are 100% EU-financed; regards the greening of direct payments in the first pillar as lying in the fact that any recipient of direct payments in the EU must implement at least two priority area-based resource protection programmes in order to be eligible for the complete farm payment; believes that the administration involved in these measures can be minimised by managing them in accordance with the system of the existing agrienvironmental programmes, thus avoiding duplication of monitoring and additional application and administration procedures;*

*Amendment*

22. *Considers that although voluntary agri-environmental programmes have been included in the second pillar of the CAP since 1992, their acceptance varies considerably in the Member States; therefore calls for every Member State to be required to reserve at least 10 % of the total farming budget for voluntary agri-environmental programmes in the second pillar, as a condition for receipt of the farm payment in the first pillar;*

Or. de

**Amendment 682**

**Giovanni La Via, Sergio Paolo Francesco Silvestris, Carlo Fidanza**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 22**

*Motion for a resolution*

22. Considers ***therefore*** that ***any*** environmental advantages can be attained ***more effectively and directly by means of second-pillar measures adopted by the Member States, which should ideally build on existing agrienvironmental measures or should supplement measures which take into account climatic and geographical differences in the Member States; observes that resource protection programmes should be pursued everywhere by means of a priority catalogue of area-based measures in the second pillar which are subject to basic requirements, particularly in the fields of climate, environment and innovation (Annex I), and are 100% EU-financed; regards the greening of direct payments in the first pillar as lying in the fact that any recipient of direct payments in the EU must implement at least two priority area-based resource protection programmes in order to be eligible for the complete farm payment; believes that the administration involved in these measures can be minimised by managing them in accordance with the system of the existing agrienvironmental programmes, thus avoiding duplication of monitoring and additional application and administration procedures;***

*Amendment*

22. Considers that ***the*** environmental advantages ***of the CAP*** can be ***better*** attained ***through specific*** measures ***under the second pillar;***

Or. it

**Amendment 683**

**Hynek Fajmon**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 22**

*Motion for a resolution*

22. Considers therefore that any environmental advantages can be attained more effectively and directly by means of second-pillar measures adopted by the Member States, ***which should ideally build on existing agrienvironmental measures or should supplement measures which take into account climatic and geographical differences in the Member States; observes that resource protection programmes should be pursued everywhere by means of a priority catalogue of area-based measures in the second pillar which are subject to basic requirements, particularly in the fields of climate, environment and innovation (Annex I), and are 100% EU-financed; regards the greening of direct payments in the first pillar as lying in the fact that any recipient of direct payments in the EU must implement at least two priority area-based resource protection programmes in order to be eligible for the complete farm payment; believes that the administration involved in these measures can be minimised by managing them in accordance with the system of the existing agrienvironmental programmes, thus avoiding duplication of monitoring and additional application and administration procedures;***

*Amendment*

22. Considers therefore that any environmental advantages can be attained more effectively and directly ***either*** by means of ***simple*** second-pillar measures adopted by the Member States ***or by the voluntary*** greening of direct payments ***up to a rate of 10%***;

Or. cs

**Amendment 684**  
**Jan Březina**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 22**

*Motion for a resolution*

22. Considers therefore that any environmental advantages can be attained more effectively and directly by means of second-pillar measures adopted by the Member States, ***which should ideally build on existing agrienvironmental measures or should supplement measures which take into account climatic and geographical differences in the Member States; observes that resource protection programmes should be pursued everywhere by means of a priority catalogue of area-based measures in the second pillar which are subject to basic requirements, particularly in the fields of climate, environment and innovation (Annex I), and are 100% EU-financed; regards the greening of direct payments in the first pillar as lying in the fact that any recipient of direct payments in the EU must implement at least two priority area-based resource protection programmes in order to be eligible for the complete farm payment; believes that the administration involved in these measures can be minimised by managing them in accordance with the system of the existing agrienvironmental programmes, thus avoiding duplication of monitoring and additional application and administration procedures;***

*Amendment*

22. Considers therefore that any environmental advantages can be attained more effectively and directly ***either*** by means of second-pillar measures adopted by the Member States ***or by the voluntary*** greening of direct payments ***up to a rate of 10%***;

Or. cs

**Amendment 685**  
**Olga Sehnalová**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 22**

*Motion for a resolution*

22. Considers therefore that any environmental advantages can be attained more effectively and directly by means of second-pillar measures adopted by the Member States, ***which should ideally build on existing agrienvironmental measures or should supplement measures which take into account climatic and geographical differences in the Member States; observes that resource protection programmes should be pursued everywhere by means of a priority catalogue of area-based measures in the second pillar which are subject to basic requirements, particularly in the fields of climate, environment and innovation (Annex I), and are 100% EU-financed; regards the greening of direct payments in the first pillar as lying in the fact that any recipient of direct payments in the EU must implement at least two priority area-based resource protection programmes in order to be eligible for the complete farm payment; believes that the administration involved in these measures can be minimised by managing them in accordance with the system of the existing agrienvironmental programmes, thus avoiding duplication of monitoring and additional application and administration procedures;***

*Amendment*

22. Considers therefore that any environmental advantages can be attained more effectively and directly ***either*** by means of second-pillar measures adopted by the Member States ***or by the voluntary*** greening of direct payments ***up to a rate of 10%***;

Or. cs

## Amendment 686

Milan Zver

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 22

##### *Motion for a resolution*

22. Considers therefore that any environmental advantages can be attained more effectively and directly by means of second-pillar measures adopted by the Member States, which should ideally build on existing agrienvironmental measures or should supplement measures which take into account climatic and geographical differences in the Member States; ***observes that resource protection programmes should be pursued everywhere by means of a priority catalogue of area-based measures in the second pillar which are subject to basic requirements, particularly in the fields of climate, environment and innovation (Annex I), and are 100% EU-financed; regards the greening of direct payments in the first pillar as lying in the fact that any recipient of direct payments in the EU must implement at least two priority area-based resource protection programmes in order to be eligible for the complete farm payment; believes that the administration involved in these measures can be minimised by managing them in accordance with the system of the existing agrienvironmental programmes, thus avoiding duplication of monitoring and additional application and administration procedures;***

##### *Amendment*

22. Considers therefore that any environmental advantages can be attained more effectively and directly by means of second-pillar measures adopted by the Member States, which should ideally build on existing agrienvironmental measures or should supplement measures which take into account climatic and geographical differences in the Member States;

Or. en

## Amendment 687

Esther Herranz García, Gabriel Mato Adrover

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 22

*Motion for a resolution*

22. Considers therefore that any environmental advantages can be attained more effectively and directly by means of second-pillar measures adopted by the Member States, which should ideally build on existing agrienvironmental measures or should supplement measures which take into account climatic and geographical differences in the Member States; observes that resource protection programmes should be pursued everywhere by means of a priority catalogue of area-based measures in the second pillar which are subject to basic requirements, particularly in the fields of climate, environment and innovation (Annex I), and are 100% EU-financed; ***regards the greening of direct payments in the first pillar as lying in the fact*** that any recipient of direct payments in the EU must implement at least two priority area-based resource protection programmes ***in order to be eligible for the complete farm payment***; believes that the administration involved in these measures can be minimised by managing them in accordance with the system of the existing agrienvironmental programmes, thus avoiding duplication of monitoring and additional application and administration procedures;

*Amendment*

22. Considers therefore that any environmental advantages can be attained more effectively and directly by means of second-pillar measures adopted by the Member States, which should ideally build on existing agrienvironmental measures or should supplement measures which take into account climatic and geographical differences in the Member States; observes that resource protection programmes should be pursued everywhere by means of a priority catalogue of area-based measures in the second pillar which are subject to basic requirements, particularly in the fields of climate, environment and innovation (Annex I), and are 100% EU-financed; ***considers*** that any recipient of direct payments in the EU must implement at least two priority area-based resource protection programmes; believes that the administration involved in these measures can be minimised by managing them in accordance with the system of the existing agrienvironmental programmes, thus avoiding duplication of monitoring and additional application and administration procedures;

Or. fr

**Amendment 688**

**Jarosław Kalinowski, Czesław Adam Siekierski, Filip Kaczmarek, Artur Zasada, Janusz Wojciechowski, Jacek Włosowicz**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 22**

*Motion for a resolution*

22. Considers therefore that any

*Amendment*

22. Considers therefore that any

environmental advantages can be attained more effectively and directly by means of second-pillar measures adopted by the Member States, which should ideally build on existing agrienvironmental measures or should supplement measures which take into account climatic and geographical differences in the Member States; observes that resource protection programmes should be pursued everywhere by means of a priority catalogue of area-based measures in the second pillar which are subject to basic requirements, particularly in the fields of climate, environment and innovation (Annex I), and are 100% EU-financed; regards the greening of direct payments in the first pillar as lying in the fact that any recipient of direct payments in the EU must implement at least two priority area-based resource protection programmes in order to be eligible for the complete *farm* payment; believes that the administration involved in these measures can be minimised by managing them in accordance with the system of the existing agrienvironmental programmes, thus avoiding duplication of monitoring and additional application and administration procedures;

environmental advantages can be attained more effectively and directly by means of second-pillar measures adopted by the Member States, which should ideally build on existing agrienvironmental measures or should supplement measures which take into account climatic and geographical differences in the Member States; observes that resource protection programmes should be pursued everywhere by means of a priority catalogue of area-based measures in the second pillar which are subject to basic requirements, particularly in the fields of climate, environment and innovation (Annex I), and are 100% EU-financed; regards the *further* greening of direct payments in the first pillar as lying in the fact that any recipient of direct payments in the EU must implement at least two priority area-based resource protection programmes in order to be eligible for the complete *direct* payment (*subject to penalties in the same way as under the cross-compliance arrangements*); believes that the administration involved in these measures can be minimised by managing them in accordance with the system of the existing agrienvironmental programmes, thus avoiding duplication of monitoring and additional application and administration procedures;

Or. pl

**Amendment 689**  
**Richard Ashworth**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 22**

*Motion for a resolution*

22. Considers therefore that any environmental advantages can be attained more effectively and directly by means of

*Amendment*

22. Considers therefore that any environmental advantages can be attained more effectively and directly by means of



second-pillar measures adopted by the Member States, which should ideally build on existing agrienvironmental measures or should supplement measures which take into account climatic and geographical differences in the Member States; observes that resource protection programmes should be pursued everywhere by means of a priority catalogue of area-based measures in the second pillar which are subject to basic requirements, particularly in the fields of climate, environment and innovation (Annex I), and are 100% EU-financed; regards the greening of direct payments in the first pillar as lying in the fact that any recipient of direct payments in the EU must implement at least two priority area-based resource protection programmes in order to be eligible for the complete farm payment; believes that the administration involved in these measures *can* be minimised by managing them in accordance with the system of the existing agrienvironmental programmes, *thus avoiding* duplication of monitoring and additional application and administration procedures;

second-pillar measures adopted by the Member States, which should ideally build on existing agrienvironmental measures or should supplement measures which take into account climatic and geographical differences in the Member States; observes that resource protection programmes should be pursued everywhere by means of a priority catalogue of area-based measures in the second pillar which are subject to basic requirements, particularly in the fields of climate, environment and innovation (Annex I), and are 100% EU-financed; regards the greening of direct payments in the first pillar as lying in the fact that any recipient of direct payments in the EU must implement at least two priority area-based resource protection programmes in order to be eligible for the complete farm payment; believes that the administration involved in these measures *must* be minimised; *considers that* by managing them in accordance with the system of the existing agrienvironmental programmes, duplication of monitoring and additional application and administration procedures *can be avoided*;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 690**

**Oldřich Vlasák, Hynek Fajmon**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 22**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

22. Considers therefore that any environmental advantages can be attained more effectively and directly by means of second-pillar measures adopted by the Member States, which should ideally build on existing agrienvironmental measures or should supplement measures which take into account climatic and geographical

##### *Amendment*

22. Considers therefore that any environmental advantages can be attained more effectively and directly by means of second-pillar measures adopted by the Member States, which should ideally build on existing agrienvironmental measures or should supplement measures which take into account climatic and geographical

differences in the Member States; observes that resource protection programmes should be pursued everywhere by means of a priority catalogue of area-based measures in the second pillar which are subject to basic requirements, particularly in the fields of climate, environment and innovation (Annex I), and are **100%** EU-financed; regards the greening of direct payments in the first pillar as lying in the fact that any recipient of direct payments in the EU must implement at least two priority area-based resource protection programmes in order to be eligible for the complete farm payment; believes that the administration involved in these measures can be minimised by managing them in accordance with the system of the existing agrienvironmental programmes, thus avoiding duplication of monitoring and additional application and administration procedures;

differences in the Member States; observes that resource protection programmes should be pursued everywhere by means of a priority catalogue of area-based measures in the second pillar which are subject to basic requirements, particularly in the fields of climate, environment and innovation (Annex I), and are EU-financed; regards the greening of direct payments in the first pillar as lying in the fact that any recipient of direct payments in the EU must implement at least two priority area-based resource protection programmes in order to be eligible for the complete farm payment; believes that the administration involved in these measures can be minimised by managing them in accordance with the system of the existing agrienvironmental programmes, thus avoiding duplication of monitoring and additional application and administration procedures;

Or. en

## **Amendment 691**

**Sergio Paolo Francesco Silvestris, Carlo Fidanza**

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 22**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

22. Considers therefore that any environmental advantages can be attained more effectively and directly by means of second-pillar measures adopted by the Member States, which should ideally build on existing agrienvironmental measures or should supplement measures which take into account climatic and geographical differences in the Member States; observes that resource protection programmes should be pursued everywhere by means of a priority catalogue of area-based measures in the second pillar which are subject to

##### *Amendment*

22. Considers therefore that any environmental advantages can be attained more effectively and directly by means of second-pillar measures adopted by the Member States, which should ideally build on existing agrienvironmental measures or should supplement measures which take into account climatic and geographical differences in the Member States; observes that resource protection programmes should be pursued everywhere by means of a priority catalogue of area-based measures in the second pillar which are subject to

basic requirements, particularly in the fields of climate, environment and innovation (Annex I), and are 100% EU-financed; regards the greening of direct payments in the first pillar as lying in the fact that any recipient of direct payments in the EU must implement at least two priority area-based resource protection programmes in order to be eligible for the complete farm payment;

believes that the administration involved in these measures can be minimised by managing them in accordance with the system of the existing agrienvironmental programmes, thus avoiding duplication of monitoring and additional application and administration procedures;

basic requirements, particularly in the fields of climate, environment, ***energy production from renewable sources in sufficient quantities to meet farm requirements, a more rational use of water by encouraging the greatest possible re-use of waste water purified through systems that take account of the crop water balance***, and innovation (Annex I), and are 100% EU-financed; regards the greening of direct payments in the first pillar as lying in the fact that any recipient of direct payments in the EU must implement at least two priority area-based resource protection programmes in order to be eligible for the complete farm payment; believes that the administration involved in these measures can be minimised by managing them in accordance with the system of the existing agrienvironmental programmes, thus avoiding duplication of monitoring and additional application and administration procedures;

Or. it

#### **Amendment 692**

**Stéphane Le Foll, Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos, Marc Tarabella, Iratxe García Pérez, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Csaba Sándor Tabajdi, Wojciech Michał Olejniczak, Luís Paulo Alves, Salvatore Caronna, Spyros Danellis, Ismail Ertug, Ulrike Rodust, Vasilica Viorica Dăncilă, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Paolo De Castro**

#### **Motion for a resolution Paragraph 22 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***22a. Rejects the option of a uniform flat-rate direct payment for the whole of the EU and recommends inclusion of the proposals already put forward in the European Parliament's own-initiative report on the future of the CAP after 2013, which provided much of the inspiration for the Commission Communication; considers that this***

*system of direct payments should be applicable to all hectares of farmland and could combine the following three objectives;*

*- the provision of basic aid to ensure not just the socio-economic viability of the competitive and multifunctional model for European agriculture, but also high-quality and wide-scale food safety, the supply of basic public goods, and agricultural activity that provides employment in rural areas, with safety in the workplace criteria obviously governing this employment;*

*- the payment of supplementary aid for enforcing simple, best-practice obligations to protect the environment (protection of soil, water, biodiversity, etc.) and combat global warming adapted to the climate and natural features of each region; some of these already exist through the GAEC, but are not harmonised among Member States, such as mandatory plant cover, environmental set-aside, compulsory rotation, crop diversity (including protein crops), rates of soil organic matter, tillage restrictions, or the presence of hedges, permanent pasture, grazing land and extensively managed crops of great environmental interest;*

*- the provision of specific aid to compensate for natural handicaps in order to maintain agricultural activity in mountain regions, environmentally-sensitive regions, regions within the Natura 2000 network and the outermost regions; this aid would supplement and complement second-pillar aid granted to less-favoured areas;*

Or. fr

**Amendment 693**  
**Albert Defß, Peter Jahr**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 22 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**22a. Calls on the Commission to present to the European Parliament and the Council, before it elaborates its final legislative proposals, detailed feasibility studies and impact assessments for the following models to enhance the environmental performance of the CAP:**

**- a mandatory system for greening within Pillar 1;**

**- a system which conditions the receipt of full direct payments on the participation in agri-environmental schemes within the existing structures, i.e. Pillar 2;**

**- emphasises that both models must be assessed in terms of: ease of implementation, compatibility with existing control systems, attractiveness to farmers to participate and expected environmental performance;**

Or. en

**Amendment 694**  
**Christel Schaldemose**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 22 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**22a. Suggests developing a policy to compensate, via the CAP, farmers who implement environmental technologies, to further reduce the impact of agriculture on the environment in line with the EU 2020 goals, and to stimulate research and development in agriculture; suggests to**

*link CAP payments to application of relevant Best Available Techniques (BAT) by the farmer, based on an objective list of BAT for agriculture; notes that the existing system of objectively assessing BAT established by the Industrial Emissions Directive (2010/75/EU) could be used as a model to draw up such a list; notes that the implementation of a system for rewarding BAT can be introduced by using existing administrative tools and research capacities in the EU institutions, to minimize administrative burdens for the farmer;*

Or. en

**Amendment 695**  
**Véronique Mathieu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 22 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*22a. Considers that the second-pillar environmental measures should be targeted at specific issues relating to conservation of the environment, water and biodiversity and combating climate change, and be offered to farmers and rural stakeholders throughout the territories of the Member States, without breaking these down into zones, so that these measures become widespread; considers that payments made for these measures should be higher than the additional costs and loss of revenue involved as a reward for the environmental services produced;*

Or. fr

**Amendment 696**  
**James Nicholson**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 22 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***22a. Stresses that this method involves no transfer of funds from the first pillar to the second pillar, but instead involves a proportion of the direct payment to farmers being paid only on condition that at least two of the priority area-based resource protection programmes are implemented; stresses that this system should be gradually phased in over the period of the 2013-2020 multiannual financial framework; proposes this method to cover 10% of direct payments in the period 2013-2017 and 15% in the period between 2017-2020.***

Or. en

**Amendment 697**  
**Astrid Lulling**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 22 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***22a. Calls on the Commission to present to the European Parliament and the Council, before it elaborates its final legislative proposals, detailed feasibility studies and impact assessments of the model to enhance the environmental performance of the CAP;***

Or. en

**Amendment 698**  
**Alfreds Rubiks**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 23**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**23. Calls for the resources allocated to greening to be reserved for recipients of direct payments and only disbursed in connection with greening;** *deleted*

Or. lv

**Amendment 699**

**George Lyon, Marit Paulsen, Britta Reimers, Liam Aylward, Sylvie Goulard, Anne E. Jensen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 23**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**23. Calls for the resources allocated to greening to be reserved for recipients of direct payments and only disbursed in connection with greening;** *deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 700**

**Herbert Dorfmann, Elisabeth Köstinger**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 24**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**24. Regards this model as making a substantial contribution to the simplification of the direct payments system and to the attainment of new compulsory environmental objectives; observes that, under this model, there is** *deleted*



*no need to step up the current rate of monitoring and the current monitoring capacities, as existing checks can be used, and that checks in the second pillar can be combined in the basic and regeneration programme; considers also that no new systems of payments or penalties need be introduced;*

Or. de

**Amendment 701**

**Milan Zver**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 23**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**23. Calls for the resources allocated to greening to be reserved for recipients of direct payments and only disbursed in connection with greening;** *deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 702**

**Béla Glattfelder**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 23**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**23. Calls for the resources allocated to greening to be reserved for recipients of direct payments and only disbursed in connection with greening;** *deleted*

Or. hu

**Amendment 703**  
**Mairead McGuinness**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 23**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**23. Calls for the resources allocated to greening to be reserved for recipients of direct payments and only disbursed in connection with greening;** *deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 704**  
**Esther Herranz García, Gabriel Mato Adrover**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 23**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**23. Calls for the resources allocated to greening to be reserved for recipients of direct payments and only disbursed in connection with greening;** *deleted*

Or. fr

**Amendment 705**  
**Giovanni La Via, Sergio Paolo Francesco Silvestris**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 23**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**23. Calls for the resources allocated to greening to be reserved for recipients of direct payments and only disbursed in connection with greening;** *deleted*

Or. it

**Amendment 706**

**Stéphane Le Foll, Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos, Marc Tarabella, Iratxe García Pérez, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Csaba Sándor Tabajdi, Wojciech Michał Olejniczak, Luís Paulo Alves, Salvatore Caronna, Spyros Danellis, Ismail Ertug, Ulrike Rodust, Vasilica Viorica Dăncilă, Daciana Octavia Sârbu**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 23**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**23. Calls for the resources allocated to greening to be reserved for recipients of direct payments and only disbursed in connection with greening;**

*deleted*

Or. fr

**Amendment 707**

**Michel Dantin, Giovanni La Via, Elisabeth Jeggle, Georgios Papastamkos, Sergio Paolo Francesco Silvestris, Esther de Lange, Carlo Fidanza, Giancarlo Scottà, Lorenzo Fontana, Mariya Nedelcheva, Agnès Le Brun**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 23**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**23. Calls for the resources allocated to greening to be reserved for recipients of direct payments and only disbursed in connection with greening;**

**23. Considers that the resources allocated to greening will be inextricably linked to the level of budgetary resources allocated to the CAP as a whole;**

Or. en

**Amendment 708**

**Maria do Céu Patrão Neves**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 23**

*Motion for a resolution*

23. Calls for the resources allocated to greening to be reserved for recipients of direct payments and only disbursed in connection with greening;

*Amendment*

23. Calls for the resources allocated to greening to be reserved for recipients of direct payments and only disbursed in connection with greening, ***in the light of budgetary constraints***;

Or. pt

**Amendment 709**  
**Hynek Fajmon**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 23**

*Motion for a resolution*

23. Calls for the resources allocated to greening to be reserved for recipients of direct payments ***and only disbursed in connection with greening***;

*Amendment*

23. Calls for the resources allocated to greening to be reserved, ***in connection with direct payments***, for recipients of direct payments;

Or. cs

**Amendment 710**  
**Jan Březina**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 23**

*Motion for a resolution*

23. Calls for the resources allocated to greening to be reserved for recipients of direct payments ***and only disbursed in connection with greening***;

*Amendment*

23. Calls for the resources allocated to greening to be reserved, ***in connection with direct payments***, for recipients of direct payments;

Or. cs

**Amendment 711**  
**Olga Sehnalová**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 23**

*Motion for a resolution*

23. Calls for the resources allocated to greening to be reserved for recipients of direct payments and only disbursed in connection with greening;

*Amendment*

23. Calls for the resources allocated to greening to be reserved, **in connection with direct payments**, for recipients of direct payments and only disbursed in connection with greening;

Or. cs

**Amendment 712**  
**Oldřich Vlasák, Hynek Fajmon**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 23**

*Motion for a resolution*

23. Calls for the resources allocated to greening to be reserved for recipients of direct payments and **only** disbursed in connection with greening;

*Amendment*

23. Calls for the resources allocated to greening to be reserved **mostly** for recipients of direct payments and **mostly** disbursed in connection with greening;

Or. en

**Amendment 713**  
**Albert Deß, Peter Jahr**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 23**

*Motion for a resolution*

23. Calls for the resources allocated to greening to be reserved for recipients of direct payments and only disbursed in connection with greening;

*Amendment*

23. Calls for the resources allocated to greening to be reserved for recipients of direct payments and only disbursed in connection with greening; **considers that the resources allocated to greening will be inextricably linked to the level of**

*budgetary resources allocated to the CAP  
as a whole;*

Or. en

**Amendment 714**  
**Hynek Fajmon**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 24**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*24. Regards this model as making a substantial contribution to the simplification of the direct payments system and to the attainment of new compulsory environmental objectives; observes that, under this model, there is no need to step up the current rate of monitoring and the current monitoring capacities, as existing checks can be used, and that checks in the second pillar can be combined in the basic and regeneration programme; considers also that no new systems of payments or penalties need be introduced;*

*deleted*

Or. cs

**Amendment 715**  
**Jan Březina**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 24**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*24. Regards this model as making a substantial contribution to the simplification of the direct payments system and to the attainment of new compulsory environmental objectives; observes that, under this model, there is*

*deleted*

*no need to step up the current rate of monitoring and the current monitoring capacities, as existing checks can be used, and that checks in the second pillar can be combined in the basic and regeneration programme; considers also that no new systems of payments or penalties need be introduced;*

Or. cs

**Amendment 716**

**George Lyon, Marit Paulsen, Liam Aylward, Sylvie Goulard, Anne E. Jensen, Britta Reimers**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 24**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*24. Regards this model as making a substantial contribution to the simplification of the direct payments system and to the attainment of new compulsory environmental objectives; observes that, under this model, there is no need to step up the current rate of monitoring and the current monitoring capacities, as existing checks can be used, and that checks in the second pillar can be combined in the basic and regeneration programme; considers also that no new systems of payments or penalties need be introduced;*

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 717**

**Milan Zver**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 24**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**24. Regards this model as making a substantial contribution to the simplification of the direct payments system and to the attainment of new compulsory environmental objectives; observes that, under this model, there is no need to step up the current rate of monitoring and the current monitoring capacities, as existing checks can be used, and that checks in the second pillar can be combined in the basic and regeneration programme; considers also that no new systems of payments or penalties need be introduced;**

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 718  
Béla Glattfelder**

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 24**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**24. Regards this model as making a substantial contribution to the simplification of the direct payments system and to the attainment of new compulsory environmental objectives; observes that, under this model, there is no need to step up the current rate of monitoring and the current monitoring capacities, as existing checks can be used, and that checks in the second pillar can be combined in the basic and regeneration programme; considers also that no new systems of payments or penalties need be introduced;**

*deleted*

Or. hu



**Amendment 719**

**Michel Dantin, Giovanni La Via, Elisabeth Jeggle, Georgios Papastamkos, Sergio Paolo Francesco Silvestris, Esther de Lange, Carlo Fidanza, Mairead McGuinness, Giancarlo Scottà, Lorenzo Fontana, Mariya Nedelcheva, Agnès Le Brun**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 24**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**24. Regards this model as making a substantial contribution to the simplification of the direct payments system and to the attainment of new compulsory environmental objectives; observes that, under this model, there is no need to step up the current rate of monitoring and the current monitoring capacities, as existing checks can be used, and that checks in the second pillar can be combined in the basic and regeneration programme; considers also that no new systems of payments or penalties need be introduced;**

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 720**

**Mairead McGuinness**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 24**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**24. Regards this model as making a substantial contribution to the simplification of the direct payments system and to the attainment of new compulsory environmental objectives; observes that, under this model, there is no need to step up the current rate of monitoring and the current monitoring capacities, as existing checks can be used, and that checks in the second pillar can be combined in the basic and**

*deleted*

*regeneration programme; considers also that no new systems of payments or penalties need be introduced;*

Or. en

**Amendment 721**

**Stéphane Le Foll, Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos, Marc Tarabella, Iratxe García Pérez, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Csaba Sándor Tabajdi, Wojciech Michał Olejniczak, Luís Paulo Alves, Salvatore Caronna, Spyros Danellis, Ismail Ertug, Ulrike Rodust, Vasilica Viorica Dăncilă, Daciana Octavia Sârbu**

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 24**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*24. Regards this model as making a substantial contribution to the simplification of the direct payments system and to the attainment of new compulsory environmental objectives; observes that, under this model, there is no need to step up the current rate of monitoring and the current monitoring capacities, as existing checks can be used, and that checks in the second pillar can be combined in the basic and regeneration programme; considers also that no new systems of payments or penalties need be introduced;*

*deleted*

Or. fr

**Amendment 722  
Olga Sehnalová**

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 24**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*24. Regards this model as making a substantial contribution to the*

*deleted*

*simplification of the direct payments system and to the attainment of new compulsory environmental objectives; observes that, under this model, there is no need to step up the current rate of monitoring and the current monitoring capacities, as existing checks can be used, and that checks in the second pillar can be combined in the basic and regeneration programme; considers also that no new systems of payments or penalties need be introduced;*

Or. cs

**Amendment 723**  
**Albert Deß, Peter Jahr**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 24**

*Motion for a resolution*

24. *Regards this model as making a substantial contribution to the simplification of the direct payments system and to the attainment of new compulsory environmental objectives; observes that, under this model, there is no need to step up the current rate of monitoring and the current monitoring capacities, as existing checks can be used, and that checks in the second pillar can be combined in the basic and regeneration programme; considers also that no new systems of payments or penalties need be introduced;*

*Amendment*

24. *Considers that this approach should make a substantial contribution to the simplification of the direct payments system and not result in new administrative costs, in particular regarding additional controls, and should also make a substantial contribution to the attainment of new compulsory environmental objectives, thereby further enhancing the legitimacy of the CAP from an agricultural, environmental and societal points of view;*

Or. en

**Amendment 724**  
**Maria do Céu Patrão Neves**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 24**

*Motion for a resolution*

24. **Regards this model as making a substantial contribution to the simplification of the direct payments system and to the attainment of new compulsory environmental objectives; observes that, under this model, there is no need to step up** the current rate of monitoring and the current monitoring capacities, **as existing checks can** be used, **and that checks in** the second pillar can be combined in the basic and **regeneration** programme; considers also that no new systems of payments or penalties need be introduced;

*Amendment*

24. **Points out that it is imperative that any model for greening the CAP that might be adopted should remove any and every possibility of stepping up** the current rate of monitoring and the current monitoring capacities, **which add to the bureaucratic burden on farmers and national administrations, contrary to the need for effective simplification; takes the view that, in the context of the first pillar, already existing checks should** be used **for conditionality, since with regard to** the second pillar, **checks can** be combined in the basic and **national or regional** programme; considers also that no new systems of payments or penalties need be introduced;

Or. pt

**Amendment 725**  
**James Nicholson, Richard Ashworth**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 24**

*Motion for a resolution*

24. Regards this model as making a substantial contribution to the **simplification of the direct payments system and to the attainment of new compulsory environmental objectives**; observes that, under this model, there is no need to step up the current rate of monitoring and the current monitoring capacities, as existing checks can be used, and that checks in the second pillar can be

*Amendment*

24. Regards this model as making a substantial contribution to **improving the environmental performance of farming**; observes that, under this model, there is no need to step up the current rate of monitoring and the current monitoring capacities, as existing checks can be used, and that checks in the second pillar can be combined in the basic and regeneration programme; considers also that no new

combined in the basic and regeneration programme; considers also that no new systems of payments or penalties need be introduced;

systems of payments or penalties need be introduced;

Or. en

**Amendment 726**  
**Diane Dodds**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 24**

*Motion for a resolution*

24. Regards this model as making a substantial contribution to the ***simplification of the direct payments system and to the attainment of new compulsory environmental objectives***; observes that, under this model, there is no need to step up the current rate of monitoring and the current monitoring capacities, as existing checks can be used, and that checks in the second pillar can be combined in the basic and regeneration programme; considers also that no new systems of payments or penalties need be introduced;

*Amendment*

24. Regards this model as making a substantial contribution to ***improving the environmental performance of farming***; observes that, under this model, there is no need to step up the current rate of monitoring and the current monitoring capacities, as existing checks can be used, and that checks in the second pillar can be combined in the basic and regeneration programme; considers also that no new systems of payments or penalties need be introduced;

Or. en

**Amendment 727**  
**Astrid Lulling**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 24**

*Motion for a resolution*

24. Regards this model as making a substantial contribution to ***the simplification of the direct payments system and to*** the attainment of new

*Amendment*

24. Regards this model as making a substantial contribution to the attainment of new compulsory environmental objectives; observes that, under this model, there is no

compulsory environmental objectives; observes that, under this model, there is no need to step up the current rate of monitoring and the current monitoring capacities, as existing checks can be used, **and that checks in the second pillar can be combined in the basic and regeneration programme**; considers also that no new systems of payments or penalties need be introduced;

need to step up the current rate of monitoring and the current monitoring capacities, as existing **ecological cross compliance** checks can be used in the **first** pillar; considers also that no new systems of payments or penalties need be introduced;

Or. fr

**Amendment 728**  
**Esther Herranz García, Gabriel Mato Adrover**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 24**

*Motion for a resolution*

24. Regards this model as making a substantial contribution to the simplification of the direct payments system and to the attainment of new compulsory environmental objectives; observes that, under this model, there is no need to step up the current rate of monitoring and the current monitoring capacities, as existing checks can be used, and that checks in the second pillar can be combined in the basic and regeneration programme; considers also that no new systems of payments or penalties need be introduced;

*Amendment*

24. Regards this model as making a substantial contribution to the attainment of new compulsory environmental objectives; observes that, under this model, there is no need to step up the current rate of monitoring and the current monitoring capacities, as existing checks can be used, and that checks in the second pillar can be combined in the basic and regeneration programme; considers also that no new systems of payments or penalties need be introduced;

Or. fr

**Amendment 729**  
**Alfreds Rubiks**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 24**

*Motion for a resolution*

24. Regards this model as making a substantial contribution to the simplification of the direct payments system and to the attainment of new compulsory environmental objectives; observes that, under this model, there is no need to step up the current rate of monitoring and the current monitoring capacities, as existing checks can be used, ***and that checks in the second pillar can be combined in the basic and regeneration programme***; considers also that no new systems of payments or penalties need be introduced;

*Amendment*

24. Regards this model as making a substantial contribution to the simplification of the direct payments system and to the attainment of new compulsory environmental objectives; observes that, under this model, there is no need to step up the current rate of monitoring and the current monitoring capacities, as existing checks can be used; considers also that no new systems of payments or penalties need be introduced;

Or. lv

**Amendment 730**  
**Herbert Dorfmann**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 24**

*Motion for a resolution*

24. Regards this model as making a substantial contribution ***to the simplification of the direct payments system and to the attainment of new compulsory*** environmental objectives; observes that, under this model, there is no need to step up the current rate of monitoring and the current monitoring capacities, as existing checks can be used, and that checks in the second pillar can be combined in the basic and regeneration programme; considers also that no new systems of payments or penalties need be introduced; considers also that no new systems of payments or penalties need be introduced;

*Amendment*

24. Regards this model as making a substantial contribution to ***strengthening the CAP's*** environmental objectives; observes that, under this model, there is no need to step up the current rate of monitoring and the current monitoring capacities, as existing checks can be used, and that checks in the second pillar can be combined in the basic and regeneration programme; considers also that no new systems of payments or penalties need be introduced;

Or. de

**Amendment 731**  
**Sergio Paolo Francesco Silvestris**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 24**

*Motion for a resolution*

24. Regards this model as making a substantial contribution to the simplification of the direct payments system and to the attainment of new compulsory environmental objectives; observes that, under this model, there is no need to step up the current rate of monitoring and the current monitoring capacities, as existing checks can be used, and that checks in the second pillar can be combined in the basic and regeneration programme; considers also that no new systems of payments or penalties need be introduced;

*Amendment*

24. Regards this model as making a substantial contribution to the simplification of the direct payments system and to the attainment of new compulsory environmental objectives ***and the achievement of energy self-sufficiency for farms which produce energy from renewable sources***; observes that, under this model, there is no need to step up the current rate of monitoring and the current monitoring capacities, as existing checks can be used, and that checks in the second pillar can be combined in the basic and regeneration programme; considers also that no new systems of payments or penalties need be introduced;

Or. it

**Amendment 732**  
**Alfreds Rubiks**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 25**

*Motion for a resolution*

***25. Realises that resources from the first pillar (as for a top-up model) should be used to pay for this environmental component; believes, however, that Member States where direct payments lie below the EU average should be given the option of making the payment by means of cofinancing from the first pillar or instead by means of financing entirely***

*Amendment*

***deleted***



*from the second pillar; observes that the Member States must notify the Commission of their decision on the financing by 31 July 2013; notes that individual Member States' modulation resources should be used;*

Or. lv

**Amendment 733**

**George Lyon, Marit Paulsen, Liam Aylward, Sylvie Goulard, Anne E. Jensen, Britta Reimers**

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 25**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*25. Realises that resources from the first pillar (as for a top-up model) should be used to pay for this environmental component; believes, however, that Member States where direct payments lie below the EU average should be given the option of making the payment by means of cofinancing from the first pillar or instead by means of financing entirely from the second pillar; observes that the Member States must notify the Commission of their decision on the financing by 31 July 2013; notes that individual Member States' modulation resources should be used;*

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 734**

**Giovanni La Via, Sergio Paolo Francesco Silvestris, Carlo Fidanza**

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 25**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**25. Realises that resources from the first pillar (as for a top-up model) should be used to pay for this environmental component; believes, however, that Member States where direct payments lie below the EU average should be given the option of making the payment by means of cofinancing from the first pillar or instead by means of financing entirely from the second pillar; observes that the Member States must notify the Commission of their decision on the financing by 31 July 2013; notes that individual Member States' modulation resources should be used;** *deleted*

Or. it

**Amendment 735**

**Stéphane Le Foll, Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos, Marc Tarabella, Iratxe García Pérez, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Csaba Sándor Tabajdi, Wojciech Michał Olejniczak, Luís Paulo Alves, Salvatore Caronna, Spyros Danellis, Ismail Ertug, Ulrike Rodust, Vasilica Viorica Dăncilă, Daciana Octavia Sârbu**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 25**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**25. Realises that resources from the first pillar (as for a top-up model) should be used to pay for this environmental component; believes, however, that Member States where direct payments lie below the EU average should be given the option of making the payment by means of cofinancing from the first pillar or instead by means of financing entirely from the second pillar; observes that the Member States must notify the Commission of their decision on the financing by 31 July 2013; notes that individual Member States' modulation** *deleted*

*resources should be used;*

Or. fr

**Amendment 736**

**Herbert Dorfmann, Elisabeth Köstinger**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 25**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*25. Realises that resources from the first pillar (as for a top-up model) should be used to pay for this environmental component; believes, however, that Member States where direct payments lie below the EU average should be given the option of making the payment by means of cofinancing from the first pillar or instead by means of financing entirely from the second pillar; observes that the Member States must notify the Commission of their decision on the financing by 31 July 2013; notes that individual Member States' modulation resources should be used;*

*deleted*

Or. de

**Amendment 737**

**Milan Zver**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 25**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*25. Realises that resources from the first pillar (as for a top-up model) should be used to pay for this environmental component; believes, however, that Member States where direct payments lie below the EU average should be given the*

*deleted*

*option of making the payment by means of cofinancing from the first pillar or instead by means of financing entirely from the second pillar; observes that the Member States must notify the Commission of their decision on the financing by 31 July 2013; notes that individual Member States' modulation resources should be used;*

Or. en

**Amendment 738**  
**Béla Glattfelder**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 25**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*25. Realises that resources from the first pillar (as for a top-up model) should be used to pay for this environmental component; believes, however, that Member States where direct payments lie below the EU average should be given the option of making the payment by means of cofinancing from the first pillar or instead by means of financing entirely from the second pillar; observes that the Member States must notify the Commission of their decision on the financing by 31 July 2013; notes that individual Member States' modulation resources should be used;*

*deleted*

Or. hu

**Amendment 739**

**Michel Dantin, Giovanni La Via, Elisabeth Jeggle, Georgios Papastamkos, Sergio Paolo Francesco Silvestris, Esther de Lange, Carlo Fidanza, Mairead McGuinness, Giancarlo Scottà, Lorenzo Fontana, Mariya Nedelcheva, Agnès Le Brun, Maria do Céu Patrão Neves**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 25**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*25. Realises that resources from the first pillar (as for a top-up model) should be used to pay for this environmental component; believes, however, that Member States where direct payments lie below the EU average should be given the option of making the payment by means of cofinancing from the first pillar or instead by means of financing entirely from the second pillar; observes that the Member States must notify the Commission of their decision on the financing by 31 July 2013; notes that individual Member States' modulation resources should be used;* *deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 740**

**Sandra Kalniete**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 25**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*25. Realises that resources from the first pillar (as for a top-up model) should be used to pay for this environmental component; believes, however, that Member States where direct payments lie below the EU average should be given the option of making the payment by means of cofinancing from the first pillar or instead by means of financing entirely* *deleted*

*from the second pillar; observes that the Member States must notify the Commission of their decision on the financing by 31 July 2013; notes that individual Member States' modulation resources should be used;*

Or. en

**Amendment 741**  
**Mairead McGuinness**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 25**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*25. Realises that resources from the first pillar (as for a top-up model) should be used to pay for this environmental component; believes, however, that Member States where direct payments lie below the EU average should be given the option of making the payment by means of cofinancing from the first pillar or instead by means of financing entirely from the second pillar; observes that the Member States must notify the Commission of their decision on the financing by 31 July 2013; notes that individual Member States' modulation resources should be used;* *deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 742**  
**Esther Herranz García, Gabriel Mato Adrover, Georgios Papastamkos**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 25**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*25. Realises that resources from the first pillar (as for a top-up model) should be used to pay for this environmental component; believes, however, that Member States where direct payments lie below the EU average should be given the option of making the payment by means of cofinancing from the first pillar or instead by means of financing entirely from the second pillar; observes that the Member States must notify the Commission of their decision on the financing by 31 July 2013; notes that individual Member States' modulation resources should be used;* *deleted*

*pillar (as for a top-up model) should be used to pay for this environmental component; believes, however, that Member States where direct payments lie below the EU average should be given the option of making the payment by means of cofinancing from the first pillar or instead by means of financing entirely from the second pillar; observes that the Member States must notify the Commission of their decision on the financing by 31 July 2013; notes that individual Member States' modulation resources should be used;*

Or. fr

**Amendment 743**  
**Olga Sehnalová**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 25**

*Motion for a resolution*

*25. Realises that resources from the first pillar (as for a top-up model) should be used to pay for this environmental component; believes, however, that Member States where direct payments lie below the EU average should be given the option of making the payment by means of cofinancing from the first pillar or instead by means of financing entirely from the second pillar; observes that the Member States must notify the Commission of their decision on the financing by 31 July 2013; notes that individual Member States' modulation resources should be used;*

*Amendment*

*25. Considers that the green component of direct payments should be funded from a single source in all Member States;*

Or. cs

**Amendment 744**  
**Hynek Fajmon**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 25**

*Motion for a resolution*

25. *Realises that resources from the first pillar (as for a top-up model) should be used to pay for this environmental component; believes, however, that Member States where direct payments lie below the EU average should be given the option of making the payment by means of cofinancing from the first pillar or instead by means of financing entirely from the second pillar; observes that the Member States must notify the Commission of their decision on the financing by 31 July 2013; notes that individual Member States' modulation resources should be used;*

*Amendment*

25. *Considers that the green component of direct payments should be funded from a single source in all Member States;*

Or. cs

**Amendment 745**  
**Jan Březina**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 25**

*Motion for a resolution*

25. *Realises that resources from the first pillar (as for a top-up model) should be used to pay for this environmental component; believes, however, that Member States where direct payments lie below the EU average should be given the option of making the payment by means of cofinancing from the first pillar or instead by means of financing entirely from the second pillar; observes that the Member States must notify the Commission of their decision on the*

*Amendment*

25. *Considers that the green component of direct payments should be funded from a single source in all Member States;*



*financing by 31 July 2013; notes that individual Member States' modulation resources should be used;*

Or. cs

**Amendment 746**

**Jarosław Kalinowski, Czesław Adam Siekierski, Filip Kaczmarek, Artur Zasada, Janusz Wojciechowski, Jacek Włosowicz**

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 25**

*Motion for a resolution*

25. Realises that resources from the *first* pillar (*as for a top-up model*) should be used to pay for this environmental component; believes, however, that Member States *where direct payments lie below the EU average should be given* the option of making the payment *by means of cofinancing from the first pillar or instead by means of financing entirely from the second pillar*; *observes that the Member States must notify the Commission of their decision on the financing by 31 July 2013; notes that individual Member States' modulation resources should be used;*

*Amendment*

25. Realises that, *as a rule*, resources from the *second* pillar should be used to pay for this environmental component; believes, however, that Member States should *have* the option of making the payment *from first-pillar funds*;

Or. pl

**Amendment 747**

**Albert Deß, Peter Jahr**

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 25**

*Motion for a resolution*

25. *Realises that resources from the first pillar (as for a top-up model) should be used to pay for* this environmental

*Amendment*

25. *Calls on the Commission to examine, how* this environmental component *can be financed and whether* Member States

component; *believes, however, that* Member States where direct payments lie below the EU average *should* be given the option of *making the payment by means of cofinancing from the first pillar or instead by means of financing* entirely from the second pillar; *observes that the Member States must notify the Commission of their decision on the financing by 31 July 2013; notes that individual Member States' modulation resources should be used;*

where direct payments lie below the EU average *could* be given the option of *financing this* by means entirely from the second pillar;

Or. en

**Amendment 748**  
**Ivari Padar**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 25**

*Motion for a resolution*

25. Realises that resources from the first pillar (as for a top-up model) should be used to pay for this environmental component; *believes, however, that Member States where direct payments lie below the EU average should be given the option of making the payment by means of cofinancing from the first pillar or instead by means of financing entirely from the second pillar; observes that the Member States must notify the Commission of their decision on the financing by 31 July 2013; notes that individual Member States' modulation resources should be used;*

*Amendment*

25. Realises that resources from the first pillar (as for a top-up model) should be used to pay for this environmental component; notes that individual Member States' modulation resources should be used;

Or. et

## Amendment 749

Brian Simpson

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 25

##### *Motion for a resolution*

25. Realises that resources from the first pillar (as for a top-up model) should be used to pay for this environmental component; believes, however, that Member States where direct payments lie below the EU average should be given the option of making the payment by means of cofinancing from the first pillar **or instead by means of financing entirely from the second pillar**; observes that the Member States must notify the Commission of their decision on the financing by 31 July 2013; **notes that individual Member States' modulation resources should be used**;

##### *Amendment*

25. Realises that resources from the first pillar (as for a top-up model) should be used to pay for this environmental component; believes, however, that Member States where direct payments lie below the EU average should be given the option of making the payment by means of cofinancing from the first pillar; observes that the Member States must notify the Commission of their decision on the financing by 31 July 2013;

Or. en

## Amendment 750

Riikka Manner, Hannu Takkula

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 25

##### *Motion for a resolution*

25. Realises that resources from the first pillar (as for a top-up model) should be used to pay for this **environmental** component; believes, however, that Member States where direct payments lie below the EU average should be given the option of making the payment by means of **cofinancing** from the first pillar or instead by means of financing entirely from the second pillar; observes that the Member States must notify the Commission of their decision on the financing by 31 July 2013; notes that individual Member States'

##### *Amendment*

25. Realises that resources from the first pillar (as for a top-up model) should be used to pay for this **greening** component; believes, however, that Member States where direct payments lie below the EU average should be given the option of making the payment by means of **co-financing** from the first pillar or instead by means of financing entirely from the second pillar; observes that the Member States must notify the Commission of their decision on the financing by 31 July 2013; notes that individual Member States'

modulation resources should be used;

modulation resources should be used;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 751**

**Jill Evans, Alyn Smith**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 25**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

25. Realises that resources from the first pillar (as for a top-up model) should be used to pay for this environmental component; believes, however, that Member States where direct payments lie below the EU average should be given the option of making the payment by means of cofinancing from the first pillar or instead by means of financing entirely from the second pillar; observes that the Member States must notify the Commission of their decision on the financing by 31 July 2013; notes that individual Member States' modulation resources should be used;

###### *Amendment*

25. Realises that resources from the first pillar (as for a top-up model) should be used to pay for this environmental component; believes, however, that Member States where direct payments lie below the EU average should be given the option of making the payment by means of cofinancing from the first pillar or instead by means of financing entirely from the second pillar; observes that the Member States must notify the Commission of their decision on the financing by 31 July 2013; notes that individual Member States' modulation resources should be used; ***warns, however, that the transfer of funds to finance the environmental component should not jeopardise support for food production;***

Or. en

#### **Amendment 752**

**Stéphane Le Foll, Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos, Marc Tarabella, Iratxe García Pérez, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Csaba Sándor Tabajdi, Wojciech Michał Olejniczak, Luís Paulo Alves, Salvatore Caronna, Spyros Danellis, Ismail Ertug, Ulrike Rodust, Vasilica Viorica Dăncilă, Daciana Octavia Sârbu**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 26**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**26. Advocates compensation for natural disadvantages in the second pillar and rejects a complementary payment in the first pillar on account of the additional administrative work involved;**

*deleted*

Or. fr

**Amendment 753**

**George Lyon, Marit Paulsen, Liam Aylward, Sylvie Goulard, Anne E. Jensen, Britta Reimers**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 26**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**26. Advocates compensation for natural disadvantages in the second pillar and rejects a complementary payment in the first pillar on account of the additional administrative work involved;**

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 754**

**Brian Simpson**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 26**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

26. Advocates *compensation* for natural disadvantages in the second pillar *and rejects a* complementary payment in the first pillar *on account of the additional administrative work involved;*

26. Advocates *support* for *the delivery of environmental outcomes in areas with* natural disadvantages in the second pillar. *Furthermore, calls for any* complementary payment in the first pillar *submitted by Member States to be subject to approval by the Commission under delegated acts to ensure that they do not distort*

*production and markets within the single market;*

Or. en

**Amendment 755**  
**Diane Dodds**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 26**

*Motion for a resolution*

26. Advocates compensation for natural disadvantages in the second pillar *and rejects a* complementary payment in the first pillar *on account of the additional administrative work involved;*

*Amendment*

26. Advocates compensation for natural disadvantages in the second pillar. *Furthermore, calls for any* complementary payment in the first pillar *submitted by Member States to be subject to approval by the Commission under delegated acts to ensure that they do not distort production and markets within the single market;*

Or. en

**Amendment 756**  
**Lorenzo Fontana, Giancarlo Scottà**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 26**

*Motion for a resolution*

26. Advocates compensation for natural disadvantages in the second pillar *and rejects a complementary payment in the first pillar on account of the additional administrative work involved;*

*Amendment*

26. Advocates compensation for natural disadvantages in the *first pillar if they are additional and not alternative to those to be retained in the* second pillar; *this will guarantee a certain degree of support to all active farmers in disadvantaged areas and will enable Member States to take action with additional specific policies, also taking account of the flexibility required;*

Or. it

**Amendment 757**  
**Mariya Nedelcheva**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 26**

*Motion for a resolution*

26. Advocates compensation for natural disadvantages *in the second pillar and rejects a complementary payment in the first pillar on account of the additional administrative work involved;*

*Amendment*

26. Advocates *the introduction of a first pillar component geared to* compensation for natural disadvantages;

Or. bg

**Amendment 758**  
**Herbert Dorfmann**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 26**

*Motion for a resolution*

26. Advocates compensation for natural disadvantages in the second pillar and rejects a complementary payment in the first pillar on account of the additional administrative work involved;

*Amendment*

26. Advocates compensation for natural disadvantages in the second pillar, *while the present upper limit of €250/ha must be appreciably increased, to do justice to particularly difficult conditions and continue to safeguard the management of farms in extreme situations;*

Or. de

**Amendment 759**  
**Jarosław Kalinowski, Czesław Adam Siekierski, Filip Kaczmarek, Artur Zasada, Janusz Wojciechowski, Jacek Włosowicz**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 26**

*Motion for a resolution*

26. Advocates compensation for natural disadvantages in the second pillar and rejects a complementary payment in the first pillar on account of the additional administrative work involved;

*Amendment*

26. Advocates compensation for natural disadvantages in the first pillar ***with a view to simplifying the CAP support system;***

Or. pl

**Amendment 760**

**Riikka Manner, Carl Haglund, Hannu Takkula**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 26**

*Motion for a resolution*

26. Advocates compensation for natural disadvantages in the second pillar and rejects a complementary payment in the first pillar on account of the additional administrative work involved;

*Amendment*

26. Advocates compensation for natural disadvantages in the second pillar; ***calls for its effectiveness to be increased*** and rejects a complementary payment in the first pillar on account of the additional administrative work involved;

Or. en

**Amendment 761**

**Herbert Dorfmann, Elisabeth Köstinger**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 26 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***26a. Considers that CC must be slimmed down to become substantially more efficient and targeted; takes the view that the starting points for this are reducing and harmonising the CC monitoring quota at 0.5 %, introducing far fewer key criteria applicable throughout the EU for CC on-the-spot inspections, recognition of***



*industry-issued certifications in the CC inspection, restricting CC to core areas of agriculture and to EU direct payments, dispensing with the monitoring of documentation requirements, dispensing with follow-up inspections for minor infringements and de minimis cases, and use of the small payments sum of €100 per year and measure for CC-related repayments;*

Or. de

**Amendment 762**  
**Göran Färm, Åsa Westlund, Marita Ulvskog**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 26 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*26a. Calls for measures to capture the potential to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from the agricultural sector, in accordance with the polluter pays principle, thereby contributing to the EU mitigation target for 2020 and the possibility to strengthen this target further;*

Or. en

**Amendment 763**  
**Lena Ek, Marit Paulsen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 26 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*26a. Calls for necessary measures within the CAP that would support research, education efforts, etc, with the goal of enabling the use of agricultural residues*

*in the production of sustainable energy,  
thereby adding an additional revenue  
stream to the income of farmers;*

Or. en

**Amendment 764**  
**Rareş-Lucian Niculescu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 26 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*26a. Believes that the future CAP should  
also take into account territorial balance  
in agricultural production, placing the  
emphasis on a more effective exploitation  
of unused and abandoned land and its  
reintegration into the agricultural circuit;*

Or. ro

**Amendment 765**  
**Béla Glattfelder**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 26 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*26a. Considers that direct selling and  
small-scale production for local markets  
should be subsidised from rural  
development resources;*

Or. hu

**Amendment 766**  
**Göran Färm, Åsa Westlund, Marita Ulvskog, Christel Schaldemose**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 26 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**26b. Believes that good standards of animal welfare are an important component of food quality and as such improve farmers' competitive position; accordingly calls for the new CAP to continue to include measures that enable support to be given to farmers who wish to attain high standards of animal welfare that go beyond EU minimum legislative requirements;**

Or. en

**Amendment 767**  
**Martin Häusling, José Bové, Bas Eickhout, Margrete Auken, Alyn Smith, Jill Evans**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Subheading before paragraph 27**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

Cross compliance and *simplification*

Making cross compliance *effective* and *efficient and simplifying the CAP*

Or. en

**Amendment 768**  
**Stéphane Le Foll, Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos, Marc Tarabella, Iratxe García Pérez, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Wojciech Michał Olejniczak, Csaba Sándor Tabajdi, Luís Paulo Alves, Salvatore Caronna, Spyros Danellis, Ismail Ertug, Ulrike Rodust, Vasilica Viorica Dăncilă, Daciana Octavia Sârbu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 27**

*Motion for a resolution*

27. Considers that direct payments are no longer justified without **cross compliance (CC)** and therefore that **the CC** system should apply to all recipients of direct payments;

*Amendment*

27. Considers that direct payments are no longer justified without **conditions** and therefore that **a cross compliance system that is less complicated in practice and at administrative level (controls)** should apply to all recipients of direct payments;

Or. fr

**Amendment 769**

**Michel Dantin, Agnès Le Brun**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 27**

*Motion for a resolution*

27. Considers that direct payments are no longer justified without cross compliance (CC) and therefore that **the CC** system should apply to all recipients of direct payments;

*Amendment*

27. Considers that direct payments are no longer justified without cross compliance (CC) and therefore that **a slimmer CC system which has been simplified in response to the greening of the CAP** should apply to all recipients of direct payments;

Or. fr

**Amendment 770**

**Albert Deß, Astrid Lulling, Ville Itälä, Peter Jahr, Elisabeth Jeggle**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 27**

*Motion for a resolution*

27. Considers that direct payments are no longer justified without **cross compliance (CC)** and therefore that the CC system should apply to all recipients of direct payments<sup>19</sup>;

*Amendment*

27. Considers that direct payments are no longer justified without **cross-compliance (CC)** and therefore that the CC system should apply **equally** to all recipients of direct payments<sup>19</sup>;

**Amendment 771**  
**Christel Schaldemose**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 27**

*Motion for a resolution*

27. Considers that direct payments are no longer justified without cross compliance (CC) and therefore that the CC system should apply to all recipients of *direct* payments<sup>19</sup> ;

*Amendment*

27. Considers that direct payments are no longer justified without cross compliance (CC) and therefore that the CC system should apply to all recipients of *CAP* payments<sup>19</sup> ;

Or. en

**Amendment 772**  
**Brian Simpson**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 27**

*Motion for a resolution*

27. Considers that direct payments are no longer justified without cross compliance (CC) and therefore that the CC system should apply to all recipients of direct payments<sup>19</sup> ;

*Amendment*

27. Considers that direct payments are no longer justified without cross compliance (CC) and therefore that the CC system should apply to all recipients of direct payments;

Or. en

**Amendment 773**  
**George Lyon, Marit Paulsen, Liam Aylward, Sylvie Goulard, Giommara Uggias, Anne E. Jensen, Britta Reimers**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 27**

*Motion for a resolution*

27. Considers that direct payments are no longer justified without cross compliance (CC) and therefore that the CC system should apply to all recipients of direct payments<sup>19</sup>;

*Amendment*

27. Considers that direct payments are no longer justified without cross compliance (CC) and therefore that the CC system should apply to all recipients of direct payments;

Or. en

**Amendment 774**

**Maria do Céu Patrão Neves**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 27**

*Motion for a resolution*

27. Considers that direct payments are no longer justified without cross compliance (CC) and therefore that the CC system should apply to all recipients of direct payments<sup>19</sup>;

*Amendment*

27. Considers that direct payments are no longer justified without cross compliance (CC) and therefore that the CC system should apply to all recipients of direct payments;

Or. pt

**Amendment 775**

**Mairead McGuinness**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 27**

*Motion for a resolution*

27. Considers that direct payments are no longer justified without cross compliance (CC) and therefore that the CC system should apply to all recipients of direct payments<sup>19</sup>;

*Amendment*

27. Considers that direct payments are no longer justified without cross compliance (CC) and therefore that the CC system should apply to all recipients of direct payments;

Or. en

**Amendment 776**  
**Michel Dantin, Agnès Le Brun**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 27**

*Motion for a resolution*

27. Considers that direct payments are no longer justified without cross compliance (CC) and therefore that the CC system should apply to all recipients of direct payments<sup>19</sup>;

*Amendment*

27. Considers that direct payments are no longer justified without cross compliance (CC) and therefore that the CC system should apply to all recipients of direct payments;

Or. fr

**Amendment 777**  
**Martin Häusling, José Bové, Bas Eickhout, Margrete Auken, Alyn Smith, Jill Evans**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 27**

*Motion for a resolution*

27. Considers that direct payments are no longer justified without cross compliance (CC) and therefore that the CC system should apply to all recipients of direct payments<sup>19</sup>;

*Amendment*

27. Considers that direct payments are no longer justified without cross compliance (CC) and therefore that the CC system should apply to all recipients of direct payments;

Or. en

**Amendment 778**  
**Béla Glattfelder**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 27**

*Motion for a resolution*

27. Considers that direct payments are no longer justified without cross compliance (CC) and therefore that the CC system should apply to all recipients of direct

*Amendment*

27. Considers that direct payments are no longer justified without cross compliance (CC) and therefore that the CC system should apply to all recipients of direct

payments<sup>19</sup>;

payments;

Or. hu

**Amendment 779**

**Esther Herranz García, Gabriel Mato Adrover, Georgios Papastamkos**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 27**

*Motion for a resolution*

27. Considers that direct payments are no longer justified without cross compliance (CC) and therefore that the CC system should apply to all recipients of direct payments<sup>19</sup>;

*Amendment*

27. Considers that direct payments are no longer justified without cross compliance (CC) and therefore that the CC system should apply to all recipients of direct payments;

Or. en

**Amendment 780**

**Martin Häusling, José Bové, Bas Eickhout, Margrete Auken, Alyn Smith, Jill Evans**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 27 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***27 a. Affirms that direct payments or support through rural development measures are not justified without cross compliance (CC) and that the CC system should apply to all recipients of CAP payments;***

Or. en

**Amendment 781**

**Ulrike Rodust**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 27 a (new)**



*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***27a. Calls on the Commission to ascertain whether as a result of introducing obligatory 'greening' for direct payments the present cross-compliance rule is still relevant as a penalty instrument; notes that this does not mean that the directives and regulations underlying cross-compliance should be amended but that compliance with and monitoring of them are no longer tied to the CAP support policy;***

Or. de

**Amendment 782**

**George Lyon, Marit Paulsen, Richard Ashworth, Liam Aylward, Sylvie Goulard, Anne E. Jensen, Britta Reimers**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 27 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***27a. Points out that the cross-compliance system makes the granting of direct payments subject to compliance with statutory requirements and the maintenance of farmland in good agricultural and environmental condition, and remains one of the appropriate means of optimising the provision of baseline eco-system services by farmers and meeting new environmental challenges by securing the provision of basic public goods; notes, however, that the introduction of cross-compliance has raised a whole range of problems relating to administrative issues and acceptance by farmers, who had the impression that they were losing a degree of freedom in their work; calls therefore for the administrative burden on farmers to be reduced through a simplified***

*implementation system for cross-compliance requirements;*

Or. en

**Amendment 783**

**Martin Häusling, José Bové, Bas Eickhout, Margrete Auken, Alyn Smith, Jill Evans**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 27 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*27b. Considers that simplification should already be built into the basic approach to the future CAP and that clear legal bases are needed, which must be submitted at an early stage;*

Or. en

**Amendment 784**

**George Lyon, Richard Ashworth, Britta Reimers**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 27 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*27b. Rejects, therefore, the introduction of burdensome and unclear requirements derived from the water framework directive into the cross-compliance system until clarification is established as regards the state of play of implementation of this directive in all Member States;*

Or. en

**Amendment 785**

**George Lyon, Marit Paulsen, Richard Ashworth, Liam Aylward, Sylvie Goulard, Lena Ek, Anne E. Jensen, Britta Reimers**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 27 c (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***27c. Believes that genuine efforts are being made to simplify the system and reduce the administrative red-tape placed on farmers; calls however for a simplified, more proportionate and risk-based approach by the Commission and Member States to the implementation of regulatory controls, the conduct of compliance audits and the system of penalties;***

Or. en

**Amendment 786**

**George Lyon, Marit Paulsen, Liam Aylward, Sylvie Goulard, Anne E. Jensen, Britta Reimers**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 27 d (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***27d. Is ready to consider the introduction of a small farmers' scheme under pillar 1, only if the primary objective of such a system is to simplify administrative procedures and paper work for small farmers and as long as it does not undermine competitiveness or frustrate the necessary modernisation of EU agriculture; such a scheme could consist of taking recipients of direct payments out of the mainstream basic direct payments system when they are currently below a certain amount of annual support; Takes the view that such a scheme should be voluntary on Member States and allow them sufficient flexibility to determine***

*who is eligible as a 'small farmer' in each country;*

Or. en

**Amendment 787**

**George Lyon, Marit Paulsen, Liam Aylward, Sylvie Goulard, Anne E. Jensen, Britta Reimers**

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 28**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**28. Calls, in view of the greater concentration of direct payments on resource protection and environmental measures, for a substantial reduction of the scope of CC; calls on the Commission to make significant progress in simplifying and harmonising rules on monitoring;**

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 788**

**Mairead McGuinness**

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 28**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**28. Calls, in view of the greater concentration of direct payments on resource protection and environmental measures, for a substantial reduction of the scope of CC; calls on the Commission to make significant progress in simplifying and harmonising rules on monitoring;**

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 789**

**Stéphane Le Foll, Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos, Marc Tarabella, Iratxe García Pérez, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Csaba Sándor Tabajdi, Wojciech Michał Olejniczak, Luís Paulo Alves, Salvatore Caronna, Spyros Danellis, Ismail Ertug, Ulrike Rodust, Vasilica Viorica Dăncilă, Daciana Octavia Sârbu**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 28**

*Motion for a resolution*

**28. Calls, in view of the greater concentration of direct payments on resource protection and environmental measures, for a substantial reduction of the scope of CC; calls on the Commission to make significant progress in simplifying and harmonising rules on monitoring;**

*Amendment*

**28. Considers that attaching conditions to direct aid was a necessary first step towards the CAP taking the environment, public health, and animal health and welfare into account; considers, however, that this mechanism has raised a whole range of problems relating to administrative issues and acceptance by farmers in their work; thinks that this system should be simplified and adapted to what farmers are actually able to do; considers, finally, that any future response to environmental challenges and combating climate change will require the gradual and voluntary adoption of new technical production methods, the practical arrangements for which will be included in the conditions governing the distribution of first-pillar direct aid;**

Or. fr

**Amendment 790**

**Martin Häusling, José Bové, Bas Eickhout, Margrete Auken, Alyn Smith, Jill Evans**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 28**

*Motion for a resolution*

**28. Calls, in view of the greater concentration of direct payments on**

*Amendment*

**28. Considers that better resource protection and management should be a**

resource protection and *environmental measures, for a substantial reduction of the scope of CC; calls on the Commission to make significant progress in simplifying and harmonising rules on monitoring;*

*basic element in farming within Cross Compliance (CC) as a result of which greater environmental benefits can be attained; calls for CC controls to become streamlined, effective and efficient, exchanging and mainstreaming best practice systems between paying agencies and control bodies, e.g. interoperability of databases and best use of appropriate technology, in order to reduce as much as possible the bureaucratic burden to farmers and administrations; considers that CC should be restricted to standards related to farming, which lend themselves to systematic monitoring;*

Or. en

**Amendment 791**  
**Göran Färm, Åsa Westlund, Marita Ulvskog**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 28**

*Motion for a resolution*

28. Calls, *in view of the greater concentration of direct payments on resource protection and environmental measures, for a substantial reduction of the scope of CC; calls on the Commission to make significant progress in simplifying and harmonising rules on monitoring;*

*Amendment*

28. Calls for the scope of CC *to be maintained*; calls on the Commission to make significant progress in simplifying and harmonising rules on monitoring;

Or. en

**Amendment 792**  
**Herbert Dorfmann, Elisabeth Köstinger**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 28**

*Motion for a resolution*

28. Calls, in view of the greater concentration of direct payments on resource protection and environmental measures, for a ***substantial reduction of the scope of CC***; calls on the Commission to make significant progress in simplifying and harmonising rules on monitoring;

*Amendment*

28. Calls, in view of the greater concentration of direct payments on resource protection and environmental measures, for a ***targeted approach to the scope of CC***; calls on the Commission to make significant progress in simplifying and harmonising ***the rules on, and procedures and documentation for,*** monitoring;

Or. de

**Amendment 793**  
**Véronique Mathieu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 28**

*Motion for a resolution*

28. Calls, in view of the greater concentration of direct payments on resource protection and environmental measures, ***for a substantial reduction of the scope of CC; calls on the Commission*** to make significant progress in simplifying and harmonising rules on monitoring;

*Amendment*

28. Calls ***on the Commission***, in view of the greater concentration of direct payments on resource protection and environmental measures, to make significant progress in simplifying and harmonising rules on monitoring;

Or. fr

**Amendment 794**  
**James Nicholson, Richard Ashworth**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 28**

*Motion for a resolution*

28. Calls, in view of the greater concentration of direct payments on resource protection and environmental

*Amendment*

28. Calls, in view of the greater concentration of direct payments on resource protection and environmental

measures, for a substantial reduction of the scope of CC; calls on the Commission to make significant progress in simplifying and harmonising rules on monitoring;

measures, for a substantial reduction of the scope of CC; calls on the Commission to make significant progress in simplifying and harmonising rules on monitoring; ***calls on the Commission to consider the introduction of tolerance levels and the application of proportionality within any penalty system;***

Or. en

#### **Amendment 795**

**Diane Dodds**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 28**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

28. Calls, in view of the greater concentration of direct payments on resource protection and environmental measures, for a substantial reduction of the scope of CC; calls on the Commission to make significant progress in simplifying and harmonising rules on monitoring;

###### *Amendment*

28. Calls, in view of the greater concentration of direct payments on resource protection and environmental measures, for a substantial reduction of the scope of CC; calls on the Commission to make significant progress in simplifying and harmonising rules on monitoring; ***calls on the Commission to consider the introduction of tolerance levels and the application of proportionality within any penalty system;***

Or. en

#### **Amendment 796**

**Richard Ashworth**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 28 a (new)**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

###### *Amendment*

***28a. Considers that any proposal to CAP payments to larger farms runs contrary to the principle of CAP simplification, as***



*farms will simply be split up to avoid losing direct payments;*

Or. en

**Amendment 797**

**George Lyon, Liam Aylward, Sylvie Goulard, Anne E. Jensen, Britta Reimers**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 29**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**29. Considers that CC should be restricted to monitoring for compliance with fundamental and recognised standards and standards closely related to farming, which lend themselves to systematic monitoring;** *deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 798**

**Martin Häusling**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 29**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**29. Considers that CC should be restricted to monitoring for compliance with fundamental and recognised standards and standards closely related to farming, which lend themselves to systematic monitoring;** *deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 799**  
**Ulrike Rodust**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 29**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**29. Considers that CC should be restricted to monitoring for compliance with fundamental and recognised standards and standards closely related to farming, which lend themselves to systematic monitoring;** *deleted*

Or. de

**Amendment 800**  
**Mairead McGuinness**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 29**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**29. Considers that CC should be restricted to monitoring for compliance with fundamental and recognised standards and standards closely related to farming, which lend themselves to systematic monitoring;** *deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 801**

**Stéphane Le Foll, Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos, Marc Tarabella, Iratxe García Pérez, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Csaba Sándor Tabajdi, Wojciech Michał Olejniczak, Luís Paulo Alves, Salvatore Caronna, Spyros Danellis, Ismail Ertug, Vasilica Viorica Dăncilă, Daciana Octavia Sârbu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 29**

*Motion for a resolution*

29. Considers that CC should be **restricted to monitoring for compliance with fundamental and recognised standards and standards** closely related to farming, **which lend themselves to systematic monitoring**;

*Amendment*

29. Considers that **the monitoring of CC** should be **linked more to fundamental evaluation criteria, based on the obligation to achieve results and** closely related to farming; **believes that farmers themselves should be more involved in this monitoring, given their observation skills and practical experience, and this would have the effect of setting an example and motivating less efficient farmers in particular**;

Or. fr

**Amendment 802**

**Michel Dantin, Agnès Le Brun, Maria do Céu Patrão Neves**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 29**

*Motion for a resolution*

29. Considers that CC should be restricted to monitoring for compliance with fundamental and recognised standards and standards closely related to farming, which lend themselves to **systematic** monitoring;

*Amendment*

29. Considers that CC should be restricted to monitoring for compliance with fundamental and recognised standards and standards closely related to farming which lend themselves to **straightforward and perhaps recurrent** monitoring;

Or. fr

**Amendment 803**

**Herbert Dorfmann**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 29**

*Motion for a resolution*

29. Considers that CC should be restricted to monitoring for compliance with

*Amendment*

29. Considers that CC should be restricted to monitoring for compliance with

fundamental and recognised standards and standards closely related to farming, which lend themselves to systematic monitoring;

fundamental and recognised standards and standards closely related to farming, which lend themselves to systematic monitoring; *further considers that, as an alternative, recognised monitoring and certification systems run by private bodies (such as GlobalGAP) should also be recognised;*

Or. de

**Amendment 804**  
**Vincenzo Iovine**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 30**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*30. Calls for an end to disproportionate burdens imposed on livestock farming by CC, and particularly for a critical review of certain hygiene and animal marking standards;*

*deleted*

Or. it

**Amendment 805**

**Stéphane Le Foll, Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos, Marc Tarabella, Iratxe García Pérez, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Csaba Sándor Tabajdi, Wojciech Michał Olejniczak, Luís Paulo Alves, Salvatore Caronna, Spyros Danellis, Vasilica Viorica Dăncilă, Daciana Octavia Sârbu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 30**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*30. Calls for an end to disproportionate burdens imposed on livestock farming by CC, and particularly for a critical review of certain hygiene and animal marking standards;*

*30. Calls for CC to be applied in a way that is adapted to the livestock sector which is currently in a very fragile situation as it has already made considerable efforts in terms of investment to upgrade the standards of buildings, installations and equipment;*

**Amendment 806**

**Martin Häusling, José Bové, Bas Eickhout, Margrete Auken, Alyn Smith, Jill Evans**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 30**

*Motion for a resolution*

30. Calls for ***an end to disproportionate burdens imposed on livestock farming by CC***, and ***particularly*** for a critical review of certain hygiene and animal marking standards;

*Amendment*

30. Calls for a critical review of certain hygiene and animal marking standards; ***calls on the Commission to consider simplification for hygiene, slaughter and other regulations applied to SMEs, local processors and marketing; calls on the Commission to create a European framework for public tendering rules, public procurement and catering systems so as to support local direct marketing and short food chains;***

Or. en

**Amendment 807**

**Michel Dantin, Georgios Papastamkos, Agnès Le Brun, Maria do Céu Patrão Neves**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 30**

*Motion for a resolution*

30. Calls for an end to disproportionate burdens imposed on livestock farming by CC, and ***particularly*** for a critical review of certain hygiene and animal marking standards;

*Amendment*

30. Calls for an end to disproportionate burdens imposed on livestock farming by CC, ***without prejudice to the basic principles of food safety and traceability***, and for a critical review of certain hygiene and animal marking standards;

Or. fr

**Amendment 808**  
**Herbert Dorfmann, Elisabeth Köstinger**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 30**

*Motion for a resolution*

30. Calls for an end to disproportionate burdens imposed on livestock farming by CC, and particularly for a critical review of certain hygiene and animal marking standards;

*Amendment*

30. Calls for an end to disproportionate burdens imposed on livestock farming by CC, and particularly for a critical review of certain hygiene, **animal health** and animal marking standards;

Or. de

**Amendment 809**  
**George Lyon, Sylvie Goulard, Anne E. Jensen, Britta Reimers**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 31**

*Motion for a resolution*

***31. Could envisage a modest adaptation of the requirements to maintain GAEC with regard to altered environmental and production conditions (climate change, biomass), if the introduction of the new requirements in a comparable way throughout Europe were guaranteed;***

*deleted*

*Amendment*

Or. en

**Amendment 810**  
**Elisabeth Köstinger**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 31**

*Motion for a resolution*

***31. Could envisage a modest adaptation of the requirements to maintain GAEC with regard to altered environmental and***

*deleted*

*Amendment*

*production conditions (climate change, biomass), if the introduction of the new requirements in a comparable way throughout Europe were guaranteed;*

Or. de

**Amendment 811**  
**Martin Häusling**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 31**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*31. Could envisage a modest adaptation of the requirements to maintain GAEC with regard to altered environmental and production conditions (climate change, biomass), if the introduction of the new requirements in a comparable way throughout Europe were guaranteed;* *deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 812**  
**Mairead McGuinness**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 31**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*31. Could envisage a modest adaptation of the requirements to maintain GAEC with regard to altered environmental and production conditions (climate change, biomass), if the introduction of the new requirements in a comparable way throughout Europe were guaranteed;* *deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 813**  
**Diane Dodds**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 31**

*Motion for a resolution*

31. *Could envisage a modest adaptation of the requirements to maintain GAEC with regard to altered environmental and production conditions (climate change, biomass), if the introduction of the new requirements in a comparable way throughout Europe were guaranteed;*

*Amendment*

31. *Envisages a modest adaptation to GAEC requirements to remove the optional GAEC measures that were introduced as part of the CAP Health Check agreement;*

Or. en

**Amendment 814**  
**Esther Herranz García, Gabriel Mato Adrover, Georgios Papastamkos**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 31**

*Motion for a resolution*

31. Could envisage a modest adaptation of the requirements to maintain GAEC with regard to altered environmental and production conditions (*climate change, biomass*), *if the introduction of the new requirements in a comparable way throughout Europe were guaranteed;*

*Amendment*

31. Could envisage a modest adaptation of the requirements to maintain GAEC with regard to altered environmental and production conditions;

Or. en

**Amendment 815**  
**Herbert Dorfmann**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 31**

*Motion for a resolution*

31. Could envisage a modest adaptation of

PE460.935v01-00

*Amendment*

31. Could envisage a modest adaptation of

128/130

AM\861364EN.doc



the requirements to maintain GAEC with regard to altered environmental and production conditions (climate change, biomass), if ***the introduction of*** the new requirements in a comparable way ***throughout Europe were guaranteed***;

the requirements to maintain GAEC with regard to altered environmental and production conditions (climate change, biomass), if the new requirements ***were introduced throughout Europe*** in a comparable way ***relevant to farming practice, and on a standard baseline***;

Or. de

#### **Amendment 816**

**Giovanni La Via, Lorenzo Fontana, Giancarlo Scottà, Sergio Paolo Francesco Silvestris, Carlo Fidanza**

#### **Motion for a resolution Paragraph 31**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

31. Could envisage a modest adaptation of the requirements to maintain GAEC with regard to altered environmental and production conditions (climate change, biomass), if the introduction of the new requirements in a comparable way throughout Europe were guaranteed;

##### *Amendment*

31. Could envisage a modest adaptation of the requirements to maintain GAEC with regard to altered environmental and production conditions (***for example***, climate change, biomass, ***etc.***), if the introduction of the new requirements in a comparable way throughout Europe were guaranteed;

Or. it

#### **Amendment 817**

**Jill Evans, Alyn Smith**

#### **Motion for a resolution Paragraph 31 a (new)**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

##### *Amendment*

***31a. Calls for a reform of the system of cross-compliance penalties, to replace flat-rate penalties and corrections with a system that is proportionate and targeted; proposes that the EU audit system for cross compliance to be reformed with the aim of achieving controls on CAP***

*payments which are proportionate and represent value for money; expresses the need for dedicated EU resources to help competent authorities develop integrated IT databases for cross compliance work;*

Or. en

**Amendment 818**  
**Ivari Padar**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 31 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*31a. to guarantee uniform interpretation and application of standards for compliance with requirements in all Member States;*

Or. et