



EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

2009 - 2014

---

*Committee on Transport and Tourism*

---

**2010/2154(INI)**

22.3.2011

# **AMENDMENTS**

## **1 - 190**

**Draft report**  
**Luis de Grandes Pascual**  
(PE450.741v01-00)

on aviation security, with a special focus on security scanners  
(2010/2154(INI))

AM\861667EN.doc

PE460.986v01-00

**EN**

*United in diversity*

**EN**

AM\_Com\_NonLegReport

**Amendment 1**  
**Sabine Wils**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Recital A a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*Aa. whereas the use of security scanners represents a severe intrusion into passenger privacy that violates the personal rights and dignity of passengers; whereas this technology reveals information which is subject to medical confidentiality,*

Or. de

**Amendment 2**  
**Sabine Wils**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Recital A b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*Ab. whereas security scanners only scan the surface of the body and do not reveal objects hidden between body folds or inside the body,*

Or. de

**Amendment 3**  
**Sabine Wils**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Recital C**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

C. whereas a number of Member States are currently using security scanners *on a*

C. whereas a number of Member States are currently using *new technologies aiming*

*temporary basis* - for a maximum of 30 months - *at their airports, thereby exercising their right to conduct trials with new technologies* (Chapter 12.8 of the annex to Commission Regulation (EU) No 185/2010),

*to assess in an experimental and temporary basis new methodologies concerning security scanners at their airports, thereby exercising these trials under a European Commission supervision, who has to be informed in advance and can authorise the Member States wishing to use new methodologies for a maximum period of 18 months, possibly extended to 12 additional months if adequate justification is provided by the concerned Member State* (Chapter 12.8 of the annex to Commission Regulation (EU) No 185/2010),

Or. en

**Amendment 4**  
**Sabine Wils**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Recital C a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*Ca. whereas air travel security measures are also used in other places such as stations, museums or public libraries; whereas people would face more of the inconveniences and dangers of security scanners in their daily lives, if these were to be introduced for air travel;*

Or. de

**Amendment 5**  
**Eva Lichtenberger**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Recital D**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

D. whereas Member States are entitled to

D. whereas Member States are entitled to

apply more stringent measures than the common basic standards required by European legislation and may thus introduce **security** scanners on their territory; whereas, in this case, they must act on the basis of a risk assessment and in compliance with EU law; whereas these measures must be relevant, objective, non-discriminatory and proportional to the risk that is being addressed (Article 6 of Regulation (EC) No 300/2008),

apply more stringent measures than the common basic standards required by European legislation and may thus introduce **body** scanners on their territory; whereas, in this case, they must act on the basis of a risk assessment and in compliance with EU law; whereas these measures must be relevant, objective, non-discriminatory and proportional to the risk that is being addressed (Article 6 of Regulation (EC) No 300/2008),

*(This amendment applies throughout the text. Adopting it will necessitate corresponding changes throughout.)*

Or. en

## **Amendment 6** **Sabine Wils**

### **Motion for a resolution** **Recital D**

#### *Motion for a resolution*

D. whereas Member States are entitled to apply more stringent measures than the common basic standards required by European legislation and may thus **introduce security scanners on their territory; whereas, in this case, they must** act on the basis of a risk assessment and in compliance with EU law; whereas these measures must be relevant, objective, non-discriminatory and proportional to the risk that is being addressed (Article 6 of Regulation (EC) No 300/2008),

#### *Amendment*

D. whereas Member States are entitled to apply more stringent measures than the common basic standards required by European legislation and may thus act on the basis of a risk assessment and in compliance with EU law; whereas these measures must be relevant, objective, non-discriminatory and proportional to the risk that is being addressed (Article 6 of Regulation (EC) No 300/2008),

Or. en

**Amendment 7**  
**Eva Lichtenberger**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Recital E**

*Motion for a resolution*

E. whereas the introduction of **security** scanners by the Member States in either of the above two cases makes genuine one-stop security impossible; whereas if the present situation continues the operating conditions that apply to the Member States will not be uniform and will therefore not benefit passengers,

*Amendment*

E. whereas the introduction of **body** scanners by the Member States in either of the above two cases makes genuine one-stop security impossible; whereas if the present situation continues the operating conditions that apply to the Member States will not be uniform and will therefore not benefit passengers,

Or. en

**Amendment 8**  
**Sabine Wils**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Recital E**

*Motion for a resolution*

E. whereas the introduction of security scanners by the Member States in either of the above two cases makes genuine one-stop security impossible; whereas if the present situation continues the operating conditions that apply to the Member States will not be uniform and will therefore not benefit passengers,

*Amendment*

E. whereas the **eventual** introduction of security scanners **on a voluntary and experimental basis** by the Member States in either of the above two cases makes genuine one-stop security impossible; whereas if the present situation continues the operating conditions that apply to the Member States will not be uniform and will therefore not benefit passengers,

Or. en

**Amendment 9**  
**Hella Ranner**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Recital E a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***Ea. whereas the discussion about security scanners should not be held outside of the general debate on an integrated overall security policy for Europe's airports;***

Or. de

**Amendment 10**

**Peter van Dalen**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Recital F**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

F. whereas health represents an asset to be preserved and exposure to ionising radiation from certain types of scanners, such as those emitting X-rays with cumulative effects, needs to be ***analysed; whereas this represents a risk that should be avoided,***

F. whereas health represents an asset to be preserved and ***the exposure of passengers*** to ionising radiation from certain types of scanners, such as those emitting X-rays with cumulative effects, needs to be ***prohibited;***

Or. nl

**Amendment 11**

**Jörg Leichtfried, Tanja Fajon, Knut Fleckenstein**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Recital F**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

F. whereas health represents an asset to be preserved and exposure to ionising radiation from certain types of scanners, such as those emitting X-rays with cumulative effects, ***needs to be analysed; whereas this represents a risk that should be avoided,***

F. whereas health represents an asset to be preserved and exposure to ionising radiation from certain types of scanners, such as those emitting X-rays with cumulative effects, ***represent a risk and must not be permitted,***

**Amendment 12**  
**Sabine Wils**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Recital F**

*Motion for a resolution*

F. whereas health represents an asset to be preserved and exposure to ionising radiation from *certain types of* scanners, *such as those* emitting X-rays with cumulative effects, *needs to be analysed; whereas this represents* a risk that should be avoided,

*Amendment*

F. whereas health represents an asset to be preserved and exposure to ionising radiation from *security scanners needs to be analysed; whereas* scanners emitting X-rays with cumulative effects, *and thus representing* a risk that should be avoided, *should therefore be rejected*,

Or. de

**Amendment 13**  
**Eva Lichtenberger**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Recital F**

*Motion for a resolution*

F. whereas health represents *an asset* to be *preserved* and exposure to ionising radiation from certain types of scanners, such as those emitting X-rays with cumulative effects, *needs to be analysed; whereas this represents a risk that should be avoided*,

*Amendment*

F. whereas health represents *a right* to be *protected* and exposure to ionising radiation from certain types of scanners, such as those emitting X-rays with cumulative effects, *needs to be prevented*,

Or. en

**Amendment 14**  
**Sabine Wils**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Recital F a (new)**



*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***Fa. whereas 100% aviation security cannot be guaranteed,***

Or. de

**Amendment 15**  
**Peter van Dalen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Recital G**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

G. whereas both EU legislation and the laws of the Member States already lay down rules on protection against health hazards that may arise from the use of technologies emitting ionising radiation and on limits for exposure to such radiation,

G. whereas both EU legislation and the laws of the Member States already lay down rules on protection against health hazards that may arise from the use of technologies emitting ionising radiation and on limits for exposure to such radiation, ***whereas, therefore, scanners using ionising radiation should be prohibited in the European Union;***

Or. nl

**Amendment 16**  
**Sabine Wils**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Recital H a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***Ha. whereas preference should be given when using security scanners to systems that only produce images in the style of stick figures or mannequins,***

Or. de

**Amendment 17**  
**Sabine Wils**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Recital I**

*Motion for a resolution*

I. whereas concerns over the rights to privacy, freedom of thought, conscience and religion, non-discrimination and data protection *can* be duly guaranteed where security scanners are used *properly*,

*Amendment*

I. whereas concerns over the rights to privacy, freedom of thought, conscience and religion, non-discrimination and data protection *cannot* be duly guaranteed where security scanners are used,

Or. de

**Amendment 18**  
**Eva Lichtenberger**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Recital I**

*Motion for a resolution*

I. whereas concerns over the rights to privacy, freedom of thought, conscience and religion, non-discrimination and data protection *can* be *duly guaranteed where security scanners are used properly*,

*Amendment*

I. whereas concerns over *health*, the rights to privacy, freedom of thought, conscience and religion, non-discrimination and data protection *need to be addressed both in terms of technology and use before the introduction of body scanners can be considered*,

Or. en

**Amendment 19**  
**Inés Ayala Sender**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Recital I a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Ia. whereas security scanners, in addition to ensuring a greater level of security than current equipment, should help speed up*

*Amendment*

*checks on passengers and cut waiting times,*

Or. es

**Amendment 20**  
**Bogdan Kazimierz Marcinkiewicz**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Recital K**

*Motion for a resolution*

K. whereas the ban on carrying liquids, aerosols and gels in hand luggage will end in April 2011 for a limited number of passengers (transit passengers carrying liquids, aerosols and gels acquired at a third-country airport or on board a plane belonging to a non-Community carrier, carried in a bag that complies with the security screening guidelines recommended by the International Civil Aviation Organisation, provided that the bag displays satisfactory evidence that the purchase was made within the previous 36 hours in the airport operating area or on board the aircraft),

*Amendment*

K. whereas the ban on carrying liquids, aerosols and gels in hand luggage will end in April 2011 for a limited number of passengers (transit passengers carrying liquids, aerosols and gels acquired at a third-country airport or on board a plane belonging to a non-Community carrier, carried in a bag that complies with the security screening guidelines recommended by the International Civil Aviation Organisation, provided that the bag displays satisfactory evidence that the purchase was made within the previous 36 hours, *or that despite changing planes as part of a single journey the passenger has remained at all times*, in the airport operating area or on board the aircraft),

Or. pl

**Amendment 21**  
**Jörg Leichtfried, Knut Fleckenstein**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Recital O a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*Oa. whereas cargo and mail are loaded onto passenger planes and therefore present a target for terrorist attacks, taken*

*into account that security control for cargo and mail is much lower than for passengers, security measures must be tightened for mail and cargo which is loaded onboard of passenger planes,*

Or. en

**Amendment 22**  
**Eva Lichtenberger**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Recital S**

*Motion for a resolution*

S. whereas training for security staff *is a* crucial *element* that *is* necessary in order to guarantee a high level of aviation security, which must be compatible with a way of treating passengers that preserves their dignity as individuals,

*Amendment*

S. whereas *qualification, satisfactory social standards and* training for security staff *are* crucial *elements* that *are* necessary in order to guarantee a high level of aviation security, which must be compatible with a way of treating passengers that preserves their dignity as individuals *and thus should be integrated into the review of the Directive 96/67/EC on Groundhandling Services at EU Airports,*

Or. en

**Amendment 23**  
**Georges Bach**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Recital S**

*Motion for a resolution*

S. whereas training for security staff is a crucial element that is necessary in order to guarantee a high level of aviation security, which must be compatible with a way of treating passengers that preserves their dignity as individuals,

*Amendment*

S. whereas *initial and further* training for security staff is a crucial element that is necessary in order to guarantee a high level of aviation security, which must be compatible with a way of treating passengers that preserves their dignity as

individuals,

Or. de

**Amendment 24**  
**Silvia-Adriana Țicău**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Recital S**

*Motion for a resolution*

S. whereas training for security staff is a crucial element that is necessary in order to guarantee a high level of aviation security, which must be compatible with a way of treating passengers that preserves their dignity as individuals,

*Amendment*

S. whereas training for security staff is a crucial element that is necessary in order to guarantee a high level of aviation security, which must be compatible with a way of treating passengers that preserves their dignity as individuals ***and protects their personal data,***

Or. ro

**Amendment 25**  
**Sabine Wils**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Recital S a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

***Sa. whereas good working conditions and secure standard employment contracts have a positive effect on the quality of security checks; whereas most airport operators in the EU get outside companies to carry out security checks in order to save on wage costs,***

Or. de

**Amendment 26**  
**Sabine Wils**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 1 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***1a. Takes the view that the protection of personal data cannot be guaranteed at all times and in all respects;***

Or. de

**Amendment 27**  
**Sabine Wils**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 2**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***2. Takes the view that some scanning methods that are effective and quick for passengers, with due respect for the time taken at checkpoints, constitute added value in aviation security;***

***deleted***

Or. de

**Amendment 28**  
**Eva Lichtenberger**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 2**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

2. Takes the view that ***some*** scanning methods that are effective and quick for passengers, with due respect for the time taken at checkpoints, constitute added value in aviation security;

2. Takes the view that ***it is not yet sufficiently proven that even those*** scanning methods that are effective and quick for passengers, with due respect for the time taken at checkpoints, ***would*** constitute ***considerable*** added value in aviation security; ***calls on the Commission***

*to consider its proposals within a broad context of proportionality and in a well balanced context, going beyond a focus, almost limited on technologic options;*

Or. en

**Amendment 29**  
**Eva Lichtenberger**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 2 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*2a. Calls on the Commission to research on the use of other techniques to detect explosives in the field of aviation security;*

Or. en

**Amendment 30**  
**Peter van Dalen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 3**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

3. Calls on the Commission and Member States to develop an integrated risk-analysis system for suspicious passengers and for checks on luggage and cargo, based on all available information, in particular the information provided by the police, intelligence services, customs and transport undertakings; takes the view that the entire system should be informed by the search for effectiveness, but based on respect for the principle of non-discrimination;

3. Calls on the Commission and Member States to develop an integrated risk-analysis system, ***making use in particular of profiling***, for suspicious passengers and for checks on luggage and cargo, based on all available information, in particular the information provided by the police, intelligence services, customs and transport undertakings; takes the view that the entire system should be informed by the search for effectiveness, but based on respect for the principle of non-discrimination;

Or. nl

**Amendment 31**  
**Eva Lichtenberger**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 3**

*Motion for a resolution*

3. Calls on the Commission and Member States to develop an integrated risk-analysis system for **suspicious passengers** and for checks on luggage and cargo, based on all available information, in particular the information provided by the police, intelligence services, customs and transport undertakings; takes the view that the entire system should be informed by the search for effectiveness, but based on respect for the principle of non-discrimination;

*Amendment*

3. Calls on the Commission and Member States to develop an integrated risk-analysis system for **body scanners** and for checks on luggage and cargo, based on all available information, in particular the information provided by the police, intelligence services, customs, **experienced air security services** and transport undertakings; takes the view that the entire system should be informed by the search for effectiveness, but based on respect for the principle of non-discrimination;

Or. en

**Amendment 32**  
**Georges Bach**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 3**

*Motion for a resolution*

3. Calls on the Commission and Member States to develop an integrated risk-analysis system for **suspicious** passengers and for checks on luggage and cargo, based on all available information, in particular the information provided by the police, intelligence services, customs and transport undertakings; takes the view that the entire system should be informed by the search for effectiveness, but based on respect for the principle of non-discrimination;

*Amendment*

3. Calls on the Commission and Member States to develop an integrated risk-analysis system for passengers **who may with good reason be suspected of having a terrorist background** and for checks on luggage and cargo, based on all available **and reliable** information, in particular the information provided by the police, intelligence services, customs and transport undertakings; takes the view that the entire system should be informed by the search for effectiveness, but based on respect for the principle of non-discrimination;



**Amendment 33**  
**Eva Lichtenberger**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 3 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***3a. Encourages the Commission while proposing a review of the Directive 96/67/EC on Groundhandling Services at EU Airports, to integrate efficient criteria for the employed in the field of security services at airports on their qualification, regular education, experience and social status; stresses the need to support and promote better security networking, management and motivation within the personal;***

Or. en

**Amendment 34**  
**Jacqueline Foster**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 3 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***3a. Acknowledges the importance of security scanners as an additional tool to protect the travelling public, but emphasises the fundamental importance of making proper use of cross-border intelligence, monitoring central reservation systems and passenger profiling in identifying potential terrorists threats;***

Or. en

**Amendment 35**  
**Eva Lichtenberger**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 3 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***3b. Stresses that in the procedure before being submitted to a body scan or related to the refusal of a body scan any form of profiling based on, for example, sex, race, colour, ethnicity, genetic features, language, religion or belief is unacceptable;***

Or. en

**Amendment 36**  
**Eva Lichtenberger**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 4**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

4. Calls on the Commission and Member States to ensure effective cooperation and exchange of information among all the authorities involved, and between the authorities and air transport undertakings, at both European and national level;

4. Calls on the Commission and Member States to ensure effective cooperation, ***security management*** and exchange of information among all the authorities ***and services*** involved, and between the authorities, ***security*** and air transport undertakings, at both European and national level;

Or. en

**Amendment 37**  
**Eva Lichtenberger**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 5**

*Motion for a resolution*

5. Calls on the Commission regularly to revise the list of authorised screening methods and the conditions for their implementation, in line with technological progress, in order to provide a high level of detection performance in keeping with that progress;

*Amendment*

5. Calls on the Commission regularly to revise the list of authorised screening methods and the conditions for their implementation, in line with ***possible problems, experienced in practise, and*** technological progress, in order to provide a high level of detection performance ***and passengers and workers rights and interests*** in keeping with that progress;

Or. en

**Amendment 38**  
**Gesine Meissner**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 5**

*Motion for a resolution*

5. Calls on the Commission regularly to revise the list of authorised screening methods and the conditions for their implementation, in line with technological progress, in order to provide a high level of detection performance in keeping with that progress;

*Amendment*

5. Calls on the Commission regularly to revise the list of authorised screening methods and the conditions ***and minimum standards*** for their implementation, in line with technological progress, in order to provide a high level of detection performance in keeping with that progress;

Or. en

**Amendment 39**  
**Marian-Jean Marinescu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 5**

*Motion for a resolution*

5. Calls on the Commission ***regularly*** to revise the list of authorised screening methods and the conditions for their

*Amendment*

5. Calls on the Commission to revise ***regularly*** the list of authorised screening methods and the conditions for their

implementation, in line with technological progress, in order to provide a high level of detection performance in keeping with that progress;

implementation, in line with technological progress, in order to provide a high level of detection performance in keeping with that progress;

Or. en

**Amendment 40**  
**Sabine Wils**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 5 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***5a. Calls on the Commission and Member States to ensure that airport operators only employ their own staff on secure standard employment contracts to conduct security checks, so that no outside companies may be used for this key sector of air safety;***

Or. de

**Amendment 41**  
**Zigmantas Balčytis**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 5 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***5a. Recalls that security scanners at airports offer a reliable and effective screening method and a real possibility to reinforce passenger security;***

Or. en

**Amendment 42**  
**Marian-Jean Marinescu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 5 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**5a. Calls on the Commission to draw up a set of common EU rules to ensure the adequate implementation of security measures;**

Or. en

**Amendment 43**  
**Nuno Teixeira**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 6**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

6. Calls on the Commission to propose adding security scanners to the list of authorised screening methods, together with appropriate rules for their use, as set out in this resolution;

6. Calls on the Commission to propose adding security scanners to the list of authorised screening methods, **with scanners chosen that are not harmful to the health of the European public**, together with appropriate rules for their use, as set out in this resolution;

Or. pt

**Amendment 44**  
**Gesine Meissner**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 6**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

6. Calls on the Commission to propose adding security scanners to the list of authorised screening methods, together with appropriate rules for their use, as set

6. Calls on the Commission to propose adding security scanners to the list of authorised screening methods, together with appropriate rules **and common**

out in this resolution;

*minimum standards* for their use, as set out in this resolution;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 45**

**Sabine Wils**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 6**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

6. Calls on the Commission **to propose adding** security scanners **to** the list of authorised screening methods, **together with appropriate rules for their use, as set out in this resolution;**

###### *Amendment*

6. Calls on the Commission **not to include** security scanners **in** the list of authorised screening methods;

Or. de

#### **Amendment 46**

**Silvia-Adriana Țicău**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 6**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

6. Calls on the Commission to propose adding security scanners to the list of authorised screening methods, together with appropriate rules for their use, as set out in this resolution;

###### *Amendment*

6. Calls on the Commission, **only after carrying out an impact survey to show that the devices do not constitute a risk to passenger health, personal data, the individual dignity and privacy of passengers or effectiveness,** to propose adding security scanners to the list of authorised screening methods, together with appropriate rules for their use, as set out in this resolution;

Or. ro

**Amendment 47**  
**Eva Lichtenberger**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 6**

*Motion for a resolution*

6. Calls on the Commission to propose **adding security** scanners to the list of authorised screening methods, together with appropriate rules for their use, as set out in this resolution;

*Amendment*

6. Calls on the Commission to **ensure that concerns over health, the rights to privacy, freedom of thought, conscience and religion, non-discrimination and data protection are addressed before proposing to add body scanners to the list of authorised screening methods; if the Commission does decide to propose to introduce body** scanners to the list of authorised screening methods **it should do so** together with appropriate rules for their use, as set out in this resolution;

Or. en

**Amendment 48**  
**Spyros Danellis, Tanja Fajon**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 6**

*Motion for a resolution*

6. Calls on the Commission to **propose** adding security scanners to the list of authorised screening methods, **together with appropriate rules for their use, as set out in this resolution;**

*Amendment*

6. Calls on the Commission to **request the opinion of a scientific committee, for e.g. by drawing on the expertise of SCENIHR on the health effects of electromagnetic fields, with a view to** adding security scanners to the list of authorised screening methods **in the future, provided that existing health concerns are allayed in a conclusive manner.**

Or. en

**Amendment 49**  
**Marian-Jean Marinescu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 6 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**6a. Considers that the acceptance and certification of security scanners should only be given after a comprehensive study made by the responsible authorities;**

Or. en

**Amendment 50**  
**Eva Lichtenberger**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 7**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

7. Believes that the use of **security** scanners must be based on common rules that not only lay down detection performance but also impose the necessary safeguards to protect the health and fundamental rights of passengers and workers;

7. Believes that the use of **body** scanners must be based on common rules that not only lay down detection performance but also impose the necessary safeguards to protect the health and fundamental rights **and interests** of passengers and workers;

Or. en

**Amendment 51**  
**Georges Bach**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 7**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

7. Believes that the use of security scanners must be based on common rules that not only lay down detection performance but also impose the necessary safeguards to

7. Believes that the use of security scanners must be based on common rules that not only lay down detection performance but also impose the necessary safeguards to



protect the health and fundamental rights of passengers and *workers*;

protect the health and fundamental rights of passengers, *crew* and *security staff*;

Or. fr

**Amendment 52**  
**Nuno Teixeira**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 7**

*Motion for a resolution*

7. Believes that the use of security scanners must be based on common rules that not only lay down detection performance but also impose the necessary safeguards to protect the health and fundamental rights of passengers and workers;

*Amendment*

7. Believes that the use of security scanners must be based on common rules that not only lay down detection performance but also impose the necessary safeguards to protect the health and fundamental rights of passengers and workers, *in line with the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union and the health standards laid down in Union law*,

Or. pt

**Amendment 53**  
**Carlo Fidanza, Antonio Cancian**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 7**

*Motion for a resolution*

7. Believes that the use of security scanners must be *based on* common *rules* that not only lay down detection performance but also impose the necessary safeguards to protect the health and fundamental rights of passengers and workers;

*Amendment*

7. Believes that the use of security scanners must be *regulated by* common *EU performance standards* that not only lay down detection performance but also impose the necessary safeguards to protect the health and fundamental rights of passengers and workers;

Or. en

**Amendment 54**  
**Sabine Wils**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 7**

*Motion for a resolution*

7. Believes that the use of security scanners must be based on common rules that not only lay down detection performance but also impose the necessary safeguards to protect the health and fundamental rights of passengers and workers;

*Amendment*

7. Believes that the ***eventual decision on the*** use of security scanners must be based on common rules that not only lay down detection performance but also impose the necessary safeguards to protect the health and fundamental rights of passengers and workers;

Or. en

**Amendment 55**  
**Silvia-Adriana Țicău**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 7**

*Motion for a resolution*

7. Believes that the use of security scanners must be based on common rules that not only lay down detection performance but also impose the necessary safeguards to protect the health and fundamental rights of passengers and workers;

*Amendment*

7. Believes that the use of security scanners must be based on common rules, ***procedures and standards*** that not only lay down detection performance but also impose the necessary safeguards to protect the health and fundamental rights of passengers and workers;

Or. ro

**Amendment 56**  
**Marian-Jean Marinescu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 7**

*Motion for a resolution*

7. Believes that the use of security scanners

*Amendment*

7. Believes that the use of security scanners

must be ***based on*** common ***rules*** that not only lay down detection performance but also impose the necessary safeguards to protect the health and fundamental rights of passengers and workers;

must be ***regulated by*** common ***EU standards*** that not only lay down detection performance but also impose the necessary safeguards to protect the health and fundamental rights of passengers and workers;

Or. en

**Amendment 57**  
**Inés Ayala Sender**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 7 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***7a. Believes that security scanners should be an instrument to speed up the pace and tempo of checks at airports and reduce inconvenience to passengers, and thus calls on the Commission to take this aspect into account in its proposed legislation;***

Or. es

**Amendment 58**  
**Marian-Jean Marinescu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 7 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***7a. Considers that luggage scanners should also be subject to EU common standards, especially regarding the radiation limits, since they afterwards come in direct contact with people;***

Or. en

**Amendment 59**  
**Peter van Dalen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 8**

*Motion for a resolution*

8. Proposes, more specifically, that the Commission should revise the rules on the use of security scanners to ensure that the provisions on the protection of health and fundamental rights are adapted to technological progress;

*Amendment*

8. Proposes, more specifically, that the Commission should revise the rules on the use of security scanners to ensure that the provisions on the protection of health and fundamental rights are adapted to technological progress, **with the proviso that the use of scanners using ionising radiation should be prohibited**;

Or. nl

**Amendment 60**  
**Eva Lichtenberger**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 8**

*Motion for a resolution*

8. Proposes, more specifically, that the Commission should revise the rules on the use of **security** scanners to ensure that the provisions on the protection of health and fundamental rights are adapted to technological progress;

*Amendment*

8. Proposes, more specifically, that the Commission should revise the rules on the use of **body** scanners to ensure that the provisions on the protection of health and fundamental rights are adapted to technological progress;

Or. en

**Amendment 61**  
**Jörg Leichtfried, Knut Fleckenstein**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 8**

*Motion for a resolution*

8. Proposes, more specifically, that the

*Amendment*

8. Proposes, more specifically, that the

Commission should revise the rules on the use of security scanners to ensure that the provisions on the protection of health and fundamental rights are adapted to technological progress;

Commission should revise the rules on the use of security scanners *on a regularly basis* to ensure that the provisions on the protection of health and fundamental rights are adapted to technological progress;

Or. en

**Amendment 62**  
**Sabine Wils**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 8**

*Motion for a resolution*

8. Proposes, more specifically, that the Commission should revise the rules on the use of security scanners to ensure that the provisions on the protection of health and fundamental rights are adapted to technological progress;

*Amendment*

8. Proposes, more specifically, that the Commission should revise the rules on the use of security scanners to ensure that the provisions on the protection of health, *privacy, personal data* and fundamental rights are adapted to technological progress;

Or. de

**Amendment 63**  
**Silvia-Adriana Țicău**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 8**

*Motion for a resolution*

8. Proposes, *more specifically*, that the Commission should revise the rules on the use of security scanners to ensure that the provisions on the protection of health and fundamental rights are adapted to technological progress;

*Amendment*

8. Proposes, *before adding security scanners to the list of authorised security checks*, that the Commission should revise the rules on the use of security scanners to ensure that the provisions on the protection of health and fundamental rights are adapted to technological progress;

Or. ro

**Amendment 64**  
**Giommaria Uggias**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 8**

*Motion for a resolution*

8. Proposes, more specifically, that the Commission should revise the rules on the use of security scanners to ensure that the provisions on the protection of health and fundamental rights are ***adapted to technological progress***;

*Amendment*

8. Proposes, more specifically, that the Commission should revise the rules on the use of security scanners to ensure that the provisions on the protection of health rights are ***properly upheld by means of an anticipatory report listing in full the risks arising from the use of such devices; calls on the Commission moreover to draw up a report indicating the current locations of operational body scanners, scanner types and modes of operation, identifying the manufacturers and fitters (as well as the professional bodies to which they belong) and giving a comprehensive financial breakdown of the total costs arising from their use***;

Or. it

**Amendment 65**  
**Luis de Grandes Pascual**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 8**

*Motion for a resolution*

8. Proposes, more specifically, that the Commission ***should revise the*** rules on the use of security scanners to ***ensure that*** the provisions on the protection of health and fundamental rights ***are adapted to*** technological progress;

*Amendment*

8. Proposes, more specifically, that the Commission, ***having established common*** rules on the use of security scanners, ***should revise these rules when necessary, to adapt*** the provisions on the protection of health and fundamental rights to technological progress;

**Amendment 66**  
**Sabine Wils**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 9**

*Motion for a resolution*

9. Believes that the *escalating* terrorist threat means that public authorities must take the protective and preventive measures demanded by democratic societies;

*Amendment*

9. Believes that the terrorist threat means that public authorities must take the protective and preventive measures demanded by democratic societies;

**Amendment 67**  
**Philip Bradbourn**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 10**

*Motion for a resolution*

***10. Takes the view that, even though 100% aviation security cannot be guaranteed, the detection performance of security scanners is higher than that offered by current metal detectors, particularly with regard to non-metallic objects and liquids, whilst frisking (full hand-search) causes more irritation and is more likely to be rejected than a scanner;***

*Amendment*

*deleted*

**Amendment 68**  
**Eva Lichtenberger**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 10**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**10. Takes the view that, even though 100% aviation security cannot be guaranteed, the detection performance of security scanners is higher than that offered by current metal detectors, particularly with regard to non-metallic objects and liquids, whilst frisking (full hand-search) causes more irritation and is more likely to be rejected than a scanner;**

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 69**  
**Jacqueline Foster**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 10**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

10. Takes the view that, ***even though 100% aviation security cannot be guaranteed***, the detection performance of security scanners is higher than that offered by current metal detectors, particularly with regard to non-metallic objects and liquids, ***whilst frisking (full hand-search) causes more irritation and is more likely to be rejected than a scanner;***

10. Takes the view that the detection performance of security scanners is higher than that offered by current metal detectors, particularly with regard to non-metallic objects and liquids.

Or. en



**Amendment 70**  
**Nuno Teixeira**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 10**

*Motion for a resolution*

10. Takes the view that, even though 100% aviation security cannot be guaranteed, the detection performance of security scanners is higher than that offered by current metal detectors, particularly with regard to non-metallic objects and liquids, whilst frisking (full hand-search) **causes more irritation and is more likely to be rejected than a scanner;**

*Amendment*

10. Takes the view that, even though 100% aviation security cannot be guaranteed, the detection performance of security scanners is higher than that offered by current metal detectors, particularly with regard to non-metallic objects and liquids, whilst frisking (full hand-search) **is an alternative method where the passenger refuses to pass through the security scanner;**

Or. pt

**Amendment 71**  
**Sabine Wils**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 10**

*Motion for a resolution*

10. Takes the view that, **even though** 100% aviation security cannot be guaranteed, the detection performance of security scanners is **higher than** that offered by current metal detectors, **particularly with regard to non-metallic objects and liquids, whilst frisking (full hand-search) causes more irritation and is more likely to be rejected than a scanner;**

*Amendment*

10. Takes the view that 100% aviation security cannot be guaranteed **and** the detection performance of security scanners is **similar to** that offered by current metal detectors;

Or. de

**Amendment 72**  
**Silvia-Adriana Țicău**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 10**

*Motion for a resolution*

10. Takes the view that, even though 100% aviation security cannot be guaranteed, the detection performance of security scanners is higher than that offered by current metal detectors, particularly with regard to non-metallic objects and liquids, ***whilst frisking (full hand-search) causes more irritation and is more likely to be rejected than a scanner;***

*Amendment*

10. Takes the view that, even though 100% aviation security cannot be guaranteed, the detection performance of security scanners is higher than that offered by current metal detectors, particularly with regard to non-metallic objects and liquids;

Or. ro

**Amendment 73**  
**Inés Ayala Sender**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 10**

*Motion for a resolution*

10. Takes the view that, even though 100% aviation security cannot be guaranteed, the detection performance of security scanners is higher than that offered by current metal detectors, particularly with regard to non-metallic objects and liquids, whilst frisking (full hand-search) causes more irritation and is more likely to be rejected than a scanner;

*Amendment*

10. Takes the view that, even though 100% aviation security cannot be guaranteed, the detection performance of security scanners is higher than that offered by current metal detectors, particularly with regard to non-metallic objects and liquids, whilst frisking (full hand-search) causes more irritation and is more likely to be rejected than a scanner; ***points out, however, that security scanners do not solve the problem of unauthorised items when these are concealed in body cavities or inside the body;***

Or. es

**Amendment 74**  
**Marian-Jean Marinescu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 10**

*Motion for a resolution*

10. Takes the view that, even though 100% aviation security cannot be guaranteed, the detection performance of security scanners is higher than that offered by current metal detectors, particularly with regard to non-metallic objects and liquids, whilst frisking (full hand-search) causes more irritation and is more likely to be rejected than a scanner;

*Amendment*

10. Takes the view that, even though 100% aviation security cannot be guaranteed, the detection performance of security scanners is higher than that offered by current metal detectors, particularly with regard to non-metallic objects and liquids, whilst frisking (full hand-search) causes more irritation and ***time loss and*** is more likely to be rejected than a scanner;

Or. en

**Amendment 75**  
**Eva Lichtenberger**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 10**

*Motion for a resolution*

10. Takes the view that, even though 100% aviation security cannot be guaranteed, the detection performance of security scanners is higher than that offered by current metal detectors, particularly with regard to non-metallic objects and liquids, ***whilst frisking (full hand-search) causes more irritation and is more likely to be rejected than a scanner;***

*Amendment*

10. Takes the view that, even though 100% aviation security cannot be guaranteed, the detection performance of security scanners is ***potentially*** higher than that offered by current metal detectors, particularly with regard to non-metallic objects and liquids, ***though firm evidence of their effectiveness will need to be presented before we ask European Citizens and tax payers to contribute towards their acquisition; takes the view that body scanners using any form of x-ray technology will not offer additional security benefits given the many categories of people that will need to be exempted for reasons of health protection;***

Or. en

**Amendment 76**  
**Eva Lichtenberger**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 11**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**11. Takes the view that the use of security scanners does not go beyond what is necessary to achieve the objective of a high level of security, provided that the appropriate safeguards are guaranteed and taking into account that less demanding methods would not provide a similar degree of protection;**

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 77**  
**Sabine Wils**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 11**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

11. Takes the view that the use of security scanners does not **go** beyond what is necessary to achieve **the** objective **of a high level of security**, provided that the appropriate safeguards are guaranteed and taking into account that less demanding methods would not provide a similar degree of protection;

11. Takes the view that the **eventual** use of security scanners does not **negatively affect the overall level of security being attained as stated in annex 12.8.1 (b) of Regulation 185/2010, thus not going** beyond what is necessary to achieve **this** objective, provided that the appropriate safeguards are guaranteed and taking into account that less demanding methods would not provide a similar degree of protection;

Or. en

**Amendment 78**  
**Silvia-Adriana Țicău**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 11**

*Motion for a resolution*

11. Takes the view that the use of security scanners does not go beyond what is necessary to achieve the objective of a high level of security, provided that the appropriate safeguards are guaranteed and taking into account that less demanding methods would not provide a similar degree of *protection*;

*Amendment*

11. Takes the view that the use of security scanners does not go beyond what is necessary to achieve the objective of a high level of security, provided that the appropriate safeguards are guaranteed and taking into account that less demanding methods would not provide a similar degree of *safety*;

Or. ro

**Amendment 79**  
**Anne E. Jensen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 11**

*Motion for a resolution*

11. Takes the view that the use of security scanners does not go beyond what is necessary to achieve the objective of a high level of security, provided that the appropriate safeguards are guaranteed and taking into account that less demanding methods would not provide a similar degree of protection;

*Amendment*

11. Takes the view that the use of security scanners does not go beyond what is necessary to achieve the objective of a high level of security, provided that the appropriate safeguards are guaranteed and taking into account that less demanding methods would not provide a similar degree of protection; *recalls that in aviation security, the use of intelligence in a broad sense and well educated airport security staff should remain our core priorities.*

Or. en

**Amendment 80**  
**Carlo Fidanza, Antonio Cancian**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 11**

*Motion for a resolution*

11. Takes the view that the use of security scanners ***does not go beyond what is necessary to achieve the objective of a high level of security***, provided that the appropriate safeguards are guaranteed ***and taking into account that*** less demanding methods would not provide a similar degree of protection;

*Amendment*

11. Takes the view that the use of security scanners, provided that the appropriate safeguards are guaranteed, ***is preferable to other*** less demanding methods ***which*** would not ***guarantee*** a similar degree of protection;

Or. it

**Amendment 81**  
**Georges Bach**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 12**

*Motion for a resolution*

12. Takes the view that ***concerns and demands regarding*** privacy and health ***can be resolved with the technology and methods available***; considers that ***the*** technology ***now being developed is promising*** and that the ***best available*** technology should be used;

*Amendment*

12. Takes the view that ***there is still no study which provides the necessary guarantees in terms of protection of*** privacy and health ***or the detection performance of security scanners***; considers that ***good progress has been made in scanner*** technology and that, ***preferentially***, the ***best-performing*** technology should be used;

Or. fr

**Amendment 82**  
**Sabine Wils**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 12**

*Motion for a resolution*

12. Takes the view that concerns and demands regarding privacy and health **can** be resolved with the technology and methods available; considers that the technology now being developed **is promising and that the best available technology should be used**;

*Amendment*

12. Takes the view that concerns and demands regarding privacy and health **cannot** be resolved with the technology and methods available; considers that the technology now being developed **is similarly flawed**;

Or. de

**Amendment 83**  
**Inés Ayala Sender**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 12**

*Motion for a resolution*

12. Takes the view that concerns and demands regarding privacy and health can be resolved with the technology and methods available; considers that the technology now being developed is promising and that the best available technology **should** be used;

*Amendment*

12. Takes the view that concerns and demands regarding privacy and health can be resolved with the technology and methods available; considers that the technology now being developed is promising and that the best available technology **ought to** be used;

Or. es

**Amendment 84**  
**Eva Lichtenberger**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 13**

*Motion for a resolution*

13. Takes the view that the installation of **security** scanners, or the decision not to install them, falls within the responsibility and freedom of decision of the EU Member States;

*Amendment*

13. Takes the view that the installation of **body** scanners, or the decision not to install them, falls within the responsibility and freedom of decision of the EU Member States;

**Amendment 85**  
**Georges Bach**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 13**

*Motion for a resolution*

13. Takes the view that the installation of security scanners, or the decision not to install them, falls within the responsibility and freedom of decision of the EU Member States;

*Amendment*

13. Takes the view that the installation of security scanners, or the decision not to install them, falls within the responsibility and freedom of decision of the EU Member States; ***considers, however, that further harmonisation of the use of scanners is needed in order to create a coherent European aviation security area;***

Or. fr

**Amendment 86**  
**Sabine Wils**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 13**

*Motion for a resolution*

13. Takes the view that the installation of security scanners, or the decision not to install them, falls within the responsibility and freedom of decision of the EU Member States;

*Amendment*

13. Takes the view that the installation of security scanners, or the decision not to install them, falls within the responsibility and freedom of decision of the EU Member States, ***taking into account the Regulation 185/2010 provisions concerning the European Commission right of assessment and authorisation of introducing new technologies as stated in annex 12.8;***

Or. en



**Amendment 87**  
**Eva Lichtenberger**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 14**

*Motion for a resolution*

14. Takes the view that where an EU Member State decides to install **security** scanners, they must meet the minimum standards and requirements set by the EU for all the EU Member States, without prejudice to the right of the Member States to apply more stringent measures;

*Amendment*

14. Takes the view that where an EU Member State decides to install **body** scanners, they must meet the minimum standards and requirements set by the EU for all the EU Member States, without prejudice to the right of the Member States to apply more stringent measures;

Or. en

**Amendment 88**  
**Jacqueline Foster**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 14**

*Motion for a resolution*

14. Takes the view that **where an** EU Member **State decides to** install security scanners, they must meet the minimum standards and requirements set by the EU for all the EU Member States, without prejudice to the right of the Member States to apply more stringent measures;

*Amendment*

14. Takes the view that **when** EU Member **States** install security scanners, they must meet the minimum standards and requirements set by the EU for all the EU Member States, without prejudice to the right of the Member States to apply more stringent measures;

Or. en

**Amendment 89**  
**Marian-Jean Marinescu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 14 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**14a. Considers that Member States should supplement control points and security staff in order to ensure that passengers are not affected by the instalment of security scanners;**

Or. en

**Amendment 90**  
**Eva Lichtenberger**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 15**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

15. Takes the view that passengers should be given a choice in using **security** scanners whereby, if they refuse, they would be obliged to submit to alternative screening methods that guarantee security to at least the same levels of effectiveness as security scanners;

15. Takes the view that passengers should be given a choice in using **body** scanners whereby, if they refuse, they would be obliged to submit to alternative screening methods that guarantee security to at least the same levels of effectiveness as security scanners; ***underlines that passengers must be well informed about these options;***

Or. en

**Amendment 91**  
**Jacqueline Foster**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 15**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

15. Takes the view that passengers ***should be given a choice in using security scanners whereby, if they refuse, they would be obliged to submit to alternative screening methods that guarantee security to at least the same levels of effectiveness***

15. Takes the view that passengers ***who do not wish to pass through a security scanner (notwithstanding the possibility of EU-wide specific exemptions) should not be allowed to board an aircraft;***

as security scanners;

Or. en

**Amendment 92**  
**Sabine Wils**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 15**

*Motion for a resolution*

15. Takes the view that passengers should be given a choice in using security scanners *whereby, if they refuse, they would be obliged to submit to alternative screening methods that guarantee security to at least the same levels of effectiveness as security scanners;*

*Amendment*

15. Takes the view that passengers should be given a choice in using security scanners;

Or. de

**Amendment 93**  
**Carlo Fidanza, Antonio Cancian**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 15**

*Motion for a resolution*

15. **Takes** the view that passengers should be given a choice in using security scanners *whereby, if they refuse, they would be obliged to submit to alternative screening methods that guarantee security to at least the same levels of effectiveness as security scanners;*

*Amendment*

15. **takes** the view that passengers should **not** be given a choice in using security scanner, *except for vulnerable groups, such as pregnant women, children, elderly people and people with disabilities, and people with implanted medical devices (e.g. orthopaedic prostheses and pacemakers);*

Or. en

**Amendment 94**  
**Jacqueline Foster**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 16 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***16a. Calls on the Member States to deploy technology which is the least harmful for human health and which offers acceptable solutions to the public's privacy concerns;***

Or. en

**Amendment 95**  
**Georges Bach**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 17**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

17. Takes the view that the rules for the effective operation of security scanners must pay particular attention to vulnerable groups and to people who are frequently exposed to radiation;

17. Takes the view that the rules for the effective operation of security scanners must pay particular attention to vulnerable groups and to people who are frequently exposed to radiation, ***who could be passengers, crew or security staff;***

Or. fr

**Amendment 96**  
**Nuno Teixeira**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 17**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

17. Takes the view that the rules for the effective operation of security scanners must pay particular attention to vulnerable groups and to people who are frequently

17. Takes the view that the rules for the effective operation of security scanners must pay particular attention to vulnerable groups and to people who are frequently

exposed to radiation;

exposed to radiation, *providing for the use instead of other control methods of similar effectiveness;*

Or. pt

**Amendment 97**  
**Marian-Jean Marinescu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 17**

*Motion for a resolution*

17. Takes the view that the rules for the effective operation of security scanners must pay particular attention to vulnerable groups and to people who are frequently exposed to radiation;

*Amendment*

17. Takes the view that the rules for the effective operation of security scanners must pay particular attention to vulnerable groups and to people who are frequently exposed to radiation *especially when considering the maximum allowed radiation limit;*

Or. en

**Amendment 98**  
**Peter van Dalen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 18**

*Motion for a resolution*

18. Takes the view that exposure to doses of ionising radiation above the recommended annual limits cannot be acceptable; *believes, therefore, that X-ray transmission imaging should not be used in systematic security screening;*

*Amendment*

18. Takes the view that exposure to doses of ionising radiation above the recommended annual limits cannot be acceptable *and therefore calls for scanners using ionising radiation to be prohibited in the European Union;*

Or. nl

**Amendment 99**  
**Philip Bradbourn**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 18**

*Motion for a resolution*

18. Takes the view that exposure to doses of ionising radiation above the recommended annual limits cannot be acceptable; *believes, therefore, that X-ray transmission imaging should not be used in systematic security screening;*

*Amendment*

18. Takes the view that exposure to doses of ionising radiation above the recommended annual limits cannot be acceptable *and therefore calls for scanners using ionising radiation to be prohibited within the EU;*

Or. en

**Amendment 100**  
**Eva Lichtenberger**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 18**

*Motion for a resolution*

18. Takes the view that exposure to doses of ionising radiation *above the recommended annual limits cannot be acceptable; believes, therefore, that X-ray transmission imaging should not be used in systematic security screening;*

*Amendment*

18. Takes the view that *any possible health risk, including long-term risks due to exposure to doses of ionising radiation should be excluded; calls in this regard for any form of X-ray technology to be explicitly excluded from the usage in security screening;*

Or. en

**Amendment 101**  
**Jörg Leichtfried, Tanja Fajon, Knut Fleckenstein**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 18**

*Motion for a resolution*

18. Takes the view that exposure to doses of ionising radiation *above the*

*Amendment*

18. Takes the view that exposure to doses of ionising radiation cannot be acceptable;

*recommended annual limits* cannot be acceptable; believes, therefore, that X-ray transmission imaging should not be used in systematic security screening;

believes, therefore, that X-ray transmission imaging should not be used in systematic security screening;

Or. en

**Amendment 102**  
**Gesine Meissner**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 18**

*Motion for a resolution*

18. Takes the view that exposure to doses of ionising radiation above the recommended annual limits cannot be acceptable; believes, therefore, that X-ray transmission imaging should not be used *in systematic security screening*;

*Amendment*

18. Takes the view that exposure to doses of ionising radiation above the recommended annual limits cannot be acceptable; believes, therefore, that X-ray transmission imaging should not be used;

Or. en

**Amendment 103**  
**Silvia-Adriana Țicău**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 18**

*Motion for a resolution*

18. Takes the view that exposure to doses of ionising radiation above the recommended annual limits cannot be acceptable; believes, therefore, that X-ray transmission imaging should not be used in *systematic* security screening;

*Amendment*

18. Takes the view that exposure to doses of ionising radiation above the recommended annual limits cannot be acceptable; believes, therefore, that X-ray transmission imaging should not be used in security screening;

Or. ro

**Amendment 104**  
**Sabine Wils**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 18**

*Motion for a resolution*

18. Takes the view that exposure to doses of ionising radiation **above** the **recommended annual limits** cannot be acceptable; believes, therefore, that X-ray transmission imaging should not be used in systematic security screening;

*Amendment*

18. Takes the view that, **taking into account that no minimum safe threshold of human** exposure to doses of ionising radiation **is set by the WHO, new technologies using ionising radiation** cannot be acceptable; believes, therefore, that X-ray transmission imaging should not be used in systematic security screening;

Or. en

**Amendment 105**  
**Spyros Danellis**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 18**

*Motion for a resolution*

18. Takes the view that exposure to doses of ionising radiation above the recommended annual limits cannot be acceptable; believes, therefore, that X-ray transmission imaging should not be used in systematic security screening;

*Amendment*

18. Takes the view that exposure to doses of ionising radiation above the recommended annual limits cannot be acceptable; believes, therefore, that X-ray transmission imaging should not be used in systematic security screening, **and that the health effects of active millimetre and backscatter X-ray scanners need to be examined further.**

Or. en

**Amendment 106**  
**Sabine Wils**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 18 a (new)**



*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**18a. Points out that the technology based on backscatter x-ray scanning emits a low dose of X-rays; notes that clearly any exposure to ionising radiation, however low, may have long-term effects on health due to the cumulative effect of radiation; rejects therefore the use of this technology;**

Or. de

**Amendment 107**  
**Eva Lichtenberger**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 19**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

19. Points out that the Member States have a responsibility to assess risks **in accordance with Directive 96/29/Euratom** and they can adopt more stringent rules than those set out by **the EU in that directive**; points out, further, that some Member States do not permit the exposure of people to ionising radiation other than for medical purposes;

19. Points out that the Member States have a responsibility to assess risks **by radiation** and they can adopt more stringent rules than those set out by **international rules or EU legislation**; points out, further, that some Member States do not permit the exposure of people to ionising radiation other than for medical purposes;

Or. en

**Amendment 108**  
**Inés Ayala Sender**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 20**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

20. Calls on the Member States to periodically monitor the long-term effects

20. Calls on the Member States to periodically monitor the long-term effects

of exposure to body scanners, taking new scientific developments into account, and to check that the equipment has been correctly installed and is properly used and operated;

of exposure to body scanners, taking new scientific developments into account, and to check that the equipment has been correctly installed and is properly used and operated; ***calls on the Commission to adopt specific measures applicable to regularly exposed groups of people, such as pilots and cabin crew, airport workers and frequent flyers; calls for an evaluation of the potential cumulative effects, in relation to the minimum limits laid down in law, of exposure to body scanners that use other types of radiation;***

Or. es

**Amendment 109**  
**Sabine Wils**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 20 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***20a. Proposes, as the only acceptable solution in order to prevent possible harm to health, passive millimetre wave imaging systems using no radiation at all;***

Or. de

**Amendment 110**  
**Eva Lichtenberger**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 21**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***21. Calls on the Commission to adapt Directive 96/29/Euratom to technical progress;***

***deleted***

Or. en

**Amendment 111**  
**Georges Bach**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 21**

*Motion for a resolution*

21. Calls on the Commission to adapt Directive 96/29/Euratom to technical progress;

*Amendment*

21. Calls on the Commission to adapt Directive 96/29/Euratom **laying down basic safety standards for the protection of the health of workers and the general public against the dangers arising from ionising radiation** to ongoing technical progress; **stresses the importance of implementing the arrangements for the radiological protection of exposed workers;**

Or. fr

**Amendment 112**  
**Ádám Kósa**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 22**

*Motion for a resolution*

22. **Calls for** account **to** be taken of specific cases and special treatment **to** be given to vulnerable passengers (in terms of health), such as pregnant women, children, elderly people **and** people with disabilities, and people with implanted medical devices (e.g. orthopaedic prostheses and pacemakers);

*Amendment*

22. **Insists that** account be taken of specific cases and **that fair and personalised** treatment be given to vulnerable passengers (in terms of health **and communication**), such as pregnant women, children, elderly people, people with disabilities, and people with implanted medical devices (e.g. orthopaedic prostheses and pacemakers), **as well as all persons having with them the medicines and/or medical devices needed to maintain their health (e.g. syringes, insulin); considers, with this in mind, that there is a need for a full list to be compiled of the types of medical devices**

*and medicines which may be taken on board in hand baggage;*

Or. hu

**Amendment 113**  
**Jacqueline Foster**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 22**

*Motion for a resolution*

22. Calls *for account to be taken of specific cases and special treatment to be given to vulnerable passengers (in terms of health), such as pregnant women, children, elderly people and people with disabilities, and people with implanted medical devices (e.g. orthopaedic prostheses and pacemakers);*

*Amendment*

22. Calls *on the Commission to ensure that Member States deploy equipment which is considered to be safe for all the travelling public, including those with specific needs and conditions.*

Or. en

**Amendment 114**  
**Petra Kammerevert**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 23**

*Motion for a resolution*

23. Takes the view that operating rules must guarantee protection for images of passengers *for reasons of data protection* and *to* protect the right to human dignity, privacy and intimacy;

*Amendment*

23. Takes the view that operating rules must guarantee protection for images of passengers *in accordance with EU data protection rules* and *also* protect the right to human dignity, privacy and intimacy;

Or. de

**Amendment 115**  
**Peter van Dalen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 23**

*Motion for a resolution*

23. Takes the view that operating rules must **guarantee protection for** images of passengers for reasons of data protection and to protect the right to human dignity, privacy and intimacy;

*Amendment*

23. Takes the view that operating rules must **make clear that the use of** images of passengers **is unnecessary and prohibited** for reasons of data protection and to protect the right to human dignity, privacy and intimacy;

Or. nl

**Amendment 116**  
**Peter van Dalen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 24**

*Motion for a resolution*

24. Believes that such protection can be better achieved when a standard figure is used, and that the use of body images **should be permitted only with the appropriate guarantees and on an exceptional basis;**

*Amendment*

24. Believes that such protection can be better achieved when a standard figure is used, and that **no use should be made of** body images **when scanning passengers;**

Or. nl

**Amendment 117**  
**Eva Lichtenberger**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 24**

*Motion for a resolution*

24. Believes that such protection can be better achieved when a standard figure is used, **and that the use of body images**

*Amendment*

24. Believes that such protection can be better achieved when a standard figure is

*should be permitted only with the appropriate guarantees and on an exceptional basis;*

used;

Or. en

**Amendment 118**  
**Jacqueline Foster**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 24**

*Motion for a resolution*

24. Believes that such protection can be better achieved when a standard figure is used, and that the use of body images should be permitted ***only with the appropriate guarantees and on an exceptional basis;***

*Amendment*

24. Believes that such protection can be better achieved when a standard figure is used, and that the use of body images should be ***not*** permitted;

Or. en

**Amendment 119**  
**Dominique Vlasto**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 24**

*Motion for a resolution*

24. ***Believes that such protection can be better achieved when a standard figure is used, and that the use of body images should be permitted only with the appropriate guarantees and on an exceptional basis;***

*Amendment*

24. ***Insists that body scanners showing a representation of the passenger and indicating the position of a suspicious object must meet security requirements and respect the physical intimacy and medical confidentiality of the passenger concerned;***

Or. fr

## Amendment 120

Jörg Leichtfried, Tanja Fajon, Saïd El Khadraoui, Knut Fleckenstein

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 24

##### *Motion for a resolution*

24. Believes that ***such protection can be better achieved when a standard figure is used, and that the use of body images should be permitted only with the appropriate guarantees and on an exceptional basis;***

##### *Amendment*

24. Believes that ***only stick figures should be used and no body images should be taken.***

Or. en

## Amendment 121

Gesine Meissner, Alexander Alvaro

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 24

##### *Motion for a resolution*

24. Believes that such protection can be ***better*** achieved when ***a standard figure is*** used, and that the use of body images should be permitted ***only with the appropriate guarantees and on an exceptional basis;***

##### *Amendment*

24. Believes that such protection can ***only*** be achieved when ***standardized, fully anonymized gender- and body shape-neutral figures are*** used, and that the use of body images should ***not*** be permitted;

Or. en

## Amendment 122

Nuno Teixeira

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 24

##### *Motion for a resolution*

24. Believes that such protection can be better achieved when a standard figure is used, and that the use of body images

##### *Amendment*

24. Believes that such protection can be better achieved when a standard figure is used ***instead of producing real body***

should be permitted only with the appropriate guarantees and on an exceptional basis;

*images*, and that the use of body images should be permitted only with the appropriate guarantees and on an exceptional basis;

Or. pt

**Amendment 123**  
**Sabine Wils**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 24**

*Motion for a resolution*

24. Believes that such protection can be *better* achieved when a standard figure is used, and that the use of body images *should be permitted only with the appropriate guarantees and on an exceptional basis*;

*Amendment*

24. Believes that such protection can be *best* achieved when a standard *partial* figure is used, and that the use of body images *is banned without exception*;

Or. de

**Amendment 124**  
**Carlo Fidanza, Antonio Cancian**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 24**

*Motion for a resolution*

24. Believes that such protection can be better achieved when a standard figure is used, and that the use of body images should be permitted only with the appropriate guarantees and on an exceptional basis;

*Amendment*

24. Believes that such protection can be better achieved when a standard figure is used, and that the use of body images should be permitted only *in a remote area*, with the appropriate guarantees and on an exceptional basis;

Or. en



**Amendment 125**  
**Silvia-Adriana Țicău**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 24**

*Motion for a resolution*

24. Believes that such protection can be **better** achieved **when** a standard figure is used, and that the use of body images should be permitted **only with the appropriate guarantees and on an exceptional basis**;

*Amendment*

24. Believes that such protection can **only** be achieved **if** a standard figure is used, and that the use of body images should be **not** be permitted;

Or. ro

**Amendment 126**  
**Petra Kammerevert**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 25**

*Motion for a resolution*

25. Stresses that images should not be stored **for longer than is necessary to ensure aviation security, that they should be destroyed once they are no longer necessary for the intended purposes, and that they** should **not** be used for **purposes other than to detect** prohibited objects;

*Amendment*

25. Stresses that images should not be stored, **but** should **only** be used for **the short-term objective of detecting** prohibited objects;

Or. de

**Amendment 127**  
**Peter van Dalen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 25**

*Motion for a resolution*

25. Stresses that images should not be stored **for longer than is necessary to**

*Amendment*

25. Stresses that images should not be

*ensure aviation security, that they should be destroyed once they are no longer necessary for the intended purposes, and that they should not be used for purposes other than to detect prohibited objects;*

stored;

Or. nl

**Amendment 128**  
**Philip Bradbourn**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 25**

*Motion for a resolution*

25. Stresses that images *should* not be stored *for longer than is necessary to ensure aviation security, that they should be destroyed once they are no longer necessary for the intended purposes, and that they should not be used for purposes other than to detect prohibited objects;*

*Amendment*

25. Stresses that images *may* not be stored;

Or. en

**Amendment 129**  
**Eva Lichtenberger**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 25**

*Motion for a resolution*

25. Stresses that images should not be stored *for longer than is necessary to ensure aviation security, that they should be destroyed once they are no longer necessary for the intended purposes,* and that they should not be used for purposes other than to detect prohibited objects;

*Amendment*

25. Stresses that images should not be stored and that they should not be used for purposes other than to detect prohibited objects;

Or. en

**Amendment 130**  
**Jacqueline Foster**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 25**

*Motion for a resolution*

25. Stresses that images should not be stored for longer than is *necessary* to ensure aviation security, *that they should be destroyed once they are no longer necessary for the intended purposes, and that they should not be used for purposes other than to detect prohibited objects;*

*Amendment*

25. Stresses that images should not be stored for longer than is *required* to ensure aviation security, *and must be destroyed once the person has passed through security unless the image is required for legal purposes;*

Or. en

**Amendment 131**  
**Dominique Vlasto**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 25**

*Motion for a resolution*

25. Stresses that images should not be stored for longer than is necessary *to ensure aviation* security, that they should be destroyed once *they are no longer necessary for the intended purposes*, and that they should not be used for purposes other than to detect prohibited objects;

*Amendment*

25. Stresses that images should not be stored for longer than is necessary *for security control purposes*, that they should be destroyed once *the security control is complete*, and that they should not be used for purposes other than to detect prohibited objects;

Or. fr

**Amendment 132**  
**Jörg Leichtfried, Tanja Fajon, Saïd El Khadraoui, Knut Fleckenstein**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 25**

*Motion for a resolution*

25. Stresses that ***images should*** not be stored for ***longer than is necessary to ensure aviation security***, that they ***should*** be destroyed ***once they are no longer necessary for the intended purposes***, and that they should not be used for purposes other than to detect prohibited objects;

*Amendment*

25. Stresses that ***stick figures must*** not be stored, ***and can only be used*** for ***the amount of time*** necessary ***for the screening process***, that they ***must*** be destroyed ***directly afterwards***, and that they should not be used for purposes other than to detect prohibited objects;

Or. en

**Amendment 133**

**Gesine Meissner, Alexander Alvaro**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 25**

*Motion for a resolution*

25. Stresses ***that images should not be stored for longer than is necessary to ensure aviation security, that they should be destroyed once they are no longer necessary for the intended purposes, and*** that ***they should*** not be used for purposes other than to detect prohibited objects;

*Amendment*

25. Stresses that ***data storage shall*** not be ***possible***;

Or. en

**Amendment 134**

**Sabine Wils**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 25**

*Motion for a resolution*

25. Stresses that images should not be stored ***for longer than is necessary to ensure aviation security, that they should be destroyed once they are no longer necessary for the intended purposes, and***

*Amendment*

25. Stresses that images should not be stored and should not be used for purposes other than to detect prohibited objects;

*that they* should not be used for purposes other than to detect prohibited objects;

Or. de

**Amendment 135**  
**Silvia-Adriana Țicău**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 25**

*Motion for a resolution*

25. Stresses that images should not be stored *for longer than is necessary to ensure aviation security*, that they should be destroyed once they are no longer necessary for the intended purposes, and that they should not be used for purposes other than to detect prohibited objects;

*Amendment*

25. Stresses that images should not be stored, that they should be destroyed *automatically and irreversibly* once they are no longer necessary for the intended purposes, and that they should not be used for purposes other than to detect prohibited objects;

Or. ro

**Amendment 136**  
**Inés Ayala Sender**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 25**

*Motion for a resolution*

25. Stresses that images should not be stored *for longer than is necessary to ensure aviation security, that they should be destroyed once they are no longer necessary for the intended purposes*, and that they should not be used for purposes other than to detect prohibited objects;

*Amendment*

25. Stresses that images should not be stored and that they should not be used for purposes other than to detect prohibited objects;

Or. es

**Amendment 137**  
**Marian-Jean Marinescu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 25**

*Motion for a resolution*

25. Stresses that images should not be stored for longer than is necessary to ensure aviation security, that they should be destroyed once they are no longer necessary for the intended purposes, and that they should not be used for purposes other than to detect prohibited objects;

*Amendment*

25. Stresses that images should not be stored for longer than is necessary to ensure aviation security, that they should be destroyed once they are no longer necessary for the intended purposes, ***that they should only be seen by the operator*** and that they should not be used for purposes other than to detect prohibited objects;

Or. en

**Amendment 138**  
**Peter van Dalen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 26**

*Motion for a resolution*

26. Takes the view that the operating rules must ensure that people are not selected to pass through a security scanner on the basis of discriminatory criteria;

*Amendment*

26. Takes the view that the operating rules must ensure that people are not selected to pass through a security scanner on the basis of discriminatory criteria; ***further takes the view that, in line with this non-discriminatory approach, every passenger who refuses to go through the body scan must undergo a security check which is at least as thorough as a security check by a security scanner;***

Or. nl

**Amendment 139**  
**Philip Bradbourn**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 26**

*Motion for a resolution*

26. Takes the view that the operating rules must ensure that people are not selected to pass through a security scanner on the basis of discriminatory criteria;

*Amendment*

26. Takes the view that the operating rules must ensure that people are not selected to pass through a security scanner on the basis of discriminatory criteria, **though believes some form of passenger profiling is essential in selecting subjects for further scrutiny**;

Or. en

**Amendment 140**  
**Eva Lichtenberger**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 26**

*Motion for a resolution*

26. Takes the view that the operating rules must ensure that people are not selected to pass through a **security** scanner on the basis of discriminatory criteria;

*Amendment*

26. Takes the view that the operating rules must ensure that people are not selected to pass through a **body** scanner on the basis of discriminatory criteria;

Or. en

**Amendment 141**  
**Jörg Leichtfried, Knut Fleckenstein, Saïd El Khadraoui**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 26**

*Motion for a resolution*

26. Takes the view that the operating rules must ensure that **people are** not selected to pass through a security scanner on the basis

*Amendment*

26. Takes the view that the operating rules must ensure that **a random process of selection is applied and passengers must not be** selected to pass through a security

of discriminatory criteria;

scanner on the basis of discriminatory criteria;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 142**

**Nuno Teixeira**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 26**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

26. Takes the view that the operating rules must ensure that people are not selected to pass through a security scanner on the basis of discriminatory criteria;

##### *Amendment*

26. Takes the view that the operating rules must ensure that people are not selected to pass through a security scanner on the basis of discriminatory criteria, ***taking account of the rights of children and of the most vulnerable persons***;

Or. pt

#### **Amendment 143**

**Silvia-Adriana Țicău**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 26**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

26. Takes the view that the operating rules must ensure that people are not selected to pass through a security scanner on the basis of discriminatory criteria;

##### *Amendment*

26. Takes the view that the operating rules must ensure that ***passengers are given comprehensive and accurate information, are offered alternative security checks and give their explicit agreement and that*** people are not selected to pass through a security scanner on the basis of discriminatory criteria;

Or. ro



**Amendment 144**  
**Peter van Dalen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 26 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**26a. Takes the view that some form of passenger profiling is necessary to determine whether a passenger should be subjected to more detailed checks;**

Or. nl

**Amendment 145**  
**Gesine Meissner, Alexander Alvaro**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 27**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**27. Takes the view that, when images are used, they should not be linked to the passenger's identity and measures should be taken to ensure that passengers cannot be identified with images of any part of the body unless this is necessary;**

**deleted**

Or. en

**Amendment 146**  
**Peter van Dalen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 27**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**27. Takes the view that, when images are used, they should not be linked to the passenger's identity and measures should be taken to ensure that passengers cannot be identified with images of any part of**

**27. Takes the view that no security scanner at a European airport should make use of body images and that all security scanners should make use of a**

*the body unless this is necessary;*

*standard figure;*

Or. nl

**Amendment 147**  
**Philip Bradbourn**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 27**

*Motion for a resolution*

27. Takes the view that, when images are used, they should not be linked to the passenger's identity and measures should be taken to ensure that passengers cannot be identified with images of any part of the body *unless this is necessary*;

*Amendment*

27. Takes the view that, when images are used, they should not be linked to the passenger's identity and measures should be taken to ensure that passengers cannot be identified with images of any part of the body;

Or. en

**Amendment 148**  
**Eva Lichtenberger**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 27**

*Motion for a resolution*

27. Takes the view that, when images are used, they should not be linked to the passenger's identity and measures should be taken to ensure that passengers cannot be identified with images of any part of the body *unless this is necessary*;

*Amendment*

27. Takes the view that, when images are used, they should not be linked to the passenger's identity and measures should be taken to ensure that passengers cannot be identified with images of any part of the body;

Or. en

**Amendment 149**

**Jörg Leichtfried, Tanja Fajon, Knut Fleckenstein**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 27**

*Motion for a resolution*

27. Takes the view that, *when* images are used, *they should not be linked to the passenger's identity and measures should be taken to ensure that passengers cannot be identified with images of any part of the body unless this is necessary*;

*Amendment*

27. Takes the view that, *no* images are taken, *in order to secure passengers' identity*;

Or. en

**Amendment 150**

**Jörg Leichtfried, Saïd El Khadraoui, Knut Fleckenstein**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 27 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*27a. Stresses that the technology used must not have the capabilities to store or save data;*

*Amendment*

Or. en

**Amendment 151**

**Jörg Leichtfried, Knut Fleckenstein**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 27 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*27b. Calls on the Commission to grant, that staff working with the technology of security scanners must not be allowed to have any devices capable of capturing images during working shifts;*

*Amendment*

**Amendment 152**  
**Jacqueline Foster**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 28**

*Motion for a resolution*

28. Takes the view that *people undergoing checks* should *receive all the necessary information in advance, particularly regarding the operation of the scanner concerned, what images can be seen and by whom, the conditions in place to protect the rights to intimacy, privacy and data protection, and the possibility of refusing to pass through the scanner;*

*Amendment*

28. Takes the view that *comprehensive information on security scanners* should *be provided at airports in public view;*

Recognises that such information can *not* be given to *passengers on an individual basis due to time constraints.*

**Amendment 153**  
**Carlo Fidanza, Antonio Cancian**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 28**

*Motion for a resolution*

28. Takes the view that people undergoing checks should receive all the necessary information in advance, particularly regarding the operation of the scanner concerned, what images can be seen and by whom, the conditions in place to protect the rights to intimacy, privacy and data protection, and the possibility of refusing to pass through the scanner;

*Amendment*

28. Takes the view that people undergoing checks should receive all the necessary information in advance, particularly regarding the operation of the scanner concerned, what images can be seen and by whom, the conditions in place to protect the rights to intimacy, privacy and data protection, and the possibility of refusing to pass through the scanner *for vulnerable groups, as indicated in paragraph 15 of this resolution;*

**Amendment 154**  
**Inés Ayala Sender**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 28**

*Motion for a resolution*

28. Takes the view that people undergoing checks should receive all the necessary information in advance, particularly regarding the operation of the scanner concerned, what images can be seen and by whom, the conditions in place to protect the rights to intimacy, privacy and data protection, and the possibility of refusing to pass through the scanner;

*Amendment*

28. Takes the view that people undergoing checks should receive all the necessary information in advance, particularly regarding the operation of the scanner concerned, what images can be seen and by whom, the conditions in place to protect the rights to intimacy, privacy and data protection, ***the impact on human health***, and the possibility of refusing to pass through the scanner;

Or. es

**Amendment 155**  
**Marian-Jean Marinescu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 28**

*Motion for a resolution*

28. Takes the view that people undergoing checks should receive all the necessary information in advance, particularly regarding the operation of the scanner concerned, what images can be seen and by whom, the conditions in place to protect the rights to intimacy, privacy and data protection, and the possibility of refusing to pass through the scanner;

*Amendment*

28. Takes the view that people undergoing checks should receive all the necessary information in advance, particularly regarding the operation of the scanner concerned, ***its technical features***, what images can be seen and by whom, the conditions in place to protect the rights to intimacy, privacy and data protection, ***possible health risks*** and the possibility of refusing to pass through the scanner, ***as well as alternative screening methods***;

Or. en

**Amendment 156**  
**Inés Ayala Sender**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 28 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**28a. Calls for Commission information campaigns on air passenger rights to include a section which also details their rights regarding security screening and security scanners;**

Or. es

**Amendment 157**  
**Marian-Jean Marinescu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 28 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**28a. Asks the Commission to initiate the information process regarding security scanners based on a set of common rules meant to facilitate a better information campaign in the EU airports;**

Or. en

**Amendment 158**  
**Philip Bradbourn**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 29**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

29. Calls on the Commission to propose that special training that takes into account the impact on personal dignity, health and

29. Calls on the Commission to propose that special training that takes into account the impact on personal dignity, health and

the protection of personal data be provided for security staff responsible for using security scanners;

the protection of personal data be provided for security staff responsible for using security scanners, **and that the penalty for misuse of such data shall be criminal prosecution**;

Or. en

**Amendment 159**  
**Eva Lichtenberger**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 29**

*Motion for a resolution*

29. Calls on the Commission to propose that special training that takes into account the impact on personal dignity, health and the protection of personal data be provided for security staff responsible for using **security** scanners;

*Amendment*

29. Calls on the Commission to propose that special training that takes into account the impact on personal dignity, health and the protection of personal data be provided for security staff responsible for using **body** scanners;

Or. en

**Amendment 160**  
**Silvia-Adriana Țicău**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 29**

*Motion for a resolution*

29. Calls on the Commission to propose that special training that takes into account the impact on personal dignity, health and the protection of personal data be provided for security staff responsible for using security scanners;

*Amendment*

29. Calls on the Commission **and Member States to ensure** that special training takes into account the impact on personal dignity, health and the protection of personal data be provided for security staff responsible for using security scanners;

Or. ro

**Amendment 161**  
**Georges Bach**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 29 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***29a. Points out that it is hugely important for accurate and effective data evaluation to have well-trained and trustworthy staff, who should enjoy social and labour conditions that are commensurate to the very high level of responsibility placed upon them;***

Or. de

**Amendment 162**  
**Eva Lichtenberger**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 30**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

30. Calls on the Commission, in conjunction with the Member States, to draw up a code of conduct on the use of **security** scanners which requires airports to carry out scanning in such a way as to respect passengers' fundamental rights;

30. Calls on the Commission, in conjunction with the Member States, to draw up a code of conduct on the use of **body** scanners which requires airports to carry out scanning in such a way as to respect passengers' fundamental rights;

Or. en

**Amendment 163**  
**Ádám Kósa**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 30**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

30. Calls on the Commission, in conjunction with the Member States, to

30. Calls on the Commission, in conjunction with the Member States, to



draw up a code of conduct on the use of security scanners which requires airports to carry out scanning in such a way as to respect passengers' fundamental rights;

draw up a code of conduct on the use of security scanners which requires airports to carry out scanning in such a way as to respect ***the effects this has on*** passengers' ***health as well as their*** fundamental ***human*** rights;

Or. hu

**Amendment 164**  
**Nuno Teixeira**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 30**

*Motion for a resolution*

30. Calls on the Commission, in conjunction with the Member States, to draw up a code of conduct on the use of security scanners which requires airports to carry out scanning in such a way as to respect passengers' fundamental rights;

*Amendment*

30. Calls on the Commission, in conjunction with the Member States ***and bodies in the sector***, to draw up a code of conduct on the use of security scanners which requires airports to carry out scanning in such a way as to respect passengers' fundamental rights ***and includes a set of rules relating to vulnerable persons, workers in the sector, the right to one's human dignity and privacy, and data protection***;

Or. pt

**Amendment 165**  
**Sabine Wils**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 30**

*Motion for a resolution*

30. Calls on the Commission, in conjunction with the Member States, to draw up a code of conduct on the use of security scanners which requires airports to carry out scanning in such a way as to

*Amendment*

30. Calls on the Commission, in conjunction with the Member States, to draw up a code of conduct on the use of security scanners which requires airports to ***only*** carry out scanning in such a way as to

respect passengers' fundamental rights;

respect passengers' fundamental rights,  
*privacy, intimacy and data protection;*

Or. de

**Amendment 166**

**Sabine Wils**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 30 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***30a. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to ensure providing adequate information to all users on the eventual introduction of new technologies concerning security scanner by the same large dissemination measures as applied to the Chart of Passenger rights if a decision is undertaken;***

Or. en

**Amendment 167**

**Inés Ayala Sender**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 30 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***30a. Calls on the sector concerned to draw up, in cooperation with the Commission and the Member States, a code of professional ethics for people responsible for using body scanners that takes into account those persons most vulnerable and those working in the sector, the right to personal privacy and dignity, and the relevant legislation on data protection;***

Or. es

**Amendment 168**

**Jörg Leichtfried, Knut Fleckenstein, Saïd El Khadraoui**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 33**

*Motion for a resolution*

33. Urges the Council to adopt a position on aviation security charges at first reading *as soon as possible*;

*Amendment*

33. Urges the Council to *immediately* adopt a position on aviation security charges at first reading, *due to the fact that legislation on aviation security and legislation on aviation security charges are intertwined*;

Or. en

**Amendment 169**

**Christine De Veyrac, Dominique Riquet, Artur Zasada, Jim Higgins, Jacqueline Foster**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 34**

*Motion for a resolution*

34. Reiterates and upholds its standpoint that the ban on carrying liquids should come to an end in **2011 and** 2013 if the technological effectiveness of the tools provided for the purpose of preserving security is confirmed;

*Amendment*

34. Reiterates and upholds its standpoint that the ban on carrying liquids should come to an end in 2013 if the technological effectiveness of the tools provided for the purpose of preserving security is confirmed;

Or. en

**Amendment 170**

**Saïd El Khadraoui**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 34**

*Motion for a resolution*

34. Reiterates and upholds its standpoint

*Amendment*

34. Reiterates and upholds its standpoint

that the ban on carrying liquids *should come to an end in 2011 and 2013 if the technological effectiveness of the tools provided for the purpose of preserving security is confirmed;*

that the ban on carrying liquids, *aerosols and gels in hand luggage must end in April 2013 for all passengers, in line with Regulation No 297/2010;*

Or. en

**Amendment 171**

**Christine De Veyrac, Dominique Riquet, Artur Zasada, Jim Higgins, Jacqueline Foster**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 34 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*34a. Asks the Commission to demonstrate, based on a risk assessment as well as on the results of operational tests, that the interim step, expected in April 2011, to relax the ban on carrying liquids will neither undermine aviation security nor disturb significantly passenger experience;*

Or. en

**Amendment 172**

**Inés Ayala Sender**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 36 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*36a. Expresses its concern at the unsubstantiated claim made by the Commission that the technology to check liquids is fully available; asks the Commission as soon as possible to present the technical report on which that statement was based; also asks the Commission to present the procedures available to guarantee non-discrimination*

*for passengers travelling within the Union  
and those from third countries;*

Or. es

**Amendment 173**  
**Petra Kammerevert**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 37**

*Motion for a resolution*

37. Urges the Member States, in coordination with airports, to carry out an appropriate information campaign on passengers' rights; calls on the Commission to cooperate as far as possible in this information work;

*Amendment*

37. Urges the Member States, in coordination with airports *and airlines*, to carry out an appropriate information campaign on passengers' rights; calls on the Commission to cooperate as far as possible in this information work;

Or. de

**Amendment 174**  
**Sabine Wils**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 37**

*Motion for a resolution*

37. Urges the Member States, in coordination with airports, to carry out an appropriate information campaign on passengers' rights; calls on the Commission to cooperate as far as possible in this information work;

*Amendment*

37. Urges the Member States, in coordination with airports, to carry out an appropriate information campaign on passengers' rights *as the one carried on the information on the Chart of Passenger Rights*; calls on the Commission to cooperate as far as possible in this information work;

Or. en

**Amendment 175**  
**Gesine Meissner**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 38 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***38a. Recalls that 100 % scanning of cargo is not practicable; asks the Member States to continue their efforts to implement Regulation (EC) No 300/2008 as well as corresponding Commission Regulation (EC) No 185/2010 in order to enhance security within the entire supply chain;***

Or. en

**Amendment 176**  
**Christine De Veyrac, Georges Bach**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 39**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

39. Takes the view that the level of security for cargo still varies from one Member State to another and the Member States should ***therefore*** ensure that the existing measures relating to European cargo and mail are correctly applied;

39. Takes the view that the level of security for cargo still varies from one Member State to another and ***that, with a view to achieving one-stop security***, the Member States should ensure that the existing measures relating to European cargo and mail are correctly applied ***and that regulated agents approved by another Member State are recognised***;

Or. fr

**Amendment 177**  
**Georges Bach**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 40**

*Motion for a resolution*

40. Believes that the **Commission's checks on** cargo and mail have been stepped up, and would therefore consider it highly useful to draw up a technical report **on** the weaknesses of the current cargo system and possible ways of remedying them;

*Amendment*

40. Believes that the **Member States' security measures for air** cargo and mail **and the Commission's inspection of these measures** have been stepped up, and would therefore consider it highly useful to draw up a technical report **with a view to identifying** the weaknesses of the current cargo system and possible ways of remedying them;

Or. fr

**Amendment 178**  
**Gesine Meissner**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 40**

*Motion for a resolution*

40. Believes that the Commission's checks on cargo and mail have been stepped up, and would therefore consider it **highly useful** to draw up a technical report on the weaknesses of the current cargo system and possible ways of remedying them;

*Amendment*

40. Believes that the Commission's checks on cargo and mail have been stepped up, and would therefore consider it **absolutely essential** to draw up a technical report on the weaknesses of the current cargo system and possible ways of remedying them;

Or. en

**Amendment 179**  
**Peter van Dalen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 41**

*Motion for a resolution*

41. Calls on the Commission and Member States to strengthen checks on air cargo; stresses the need, to this end, to have more inspectors available **both** at national level

*Amendment*

41. Calls on the Commission and Member States to strengthen checks on air cargo; stresses the need, to this end, to have more inspectors available at national level;

*and within the Commission;*

Or. nl

**Amendment 180**  
**Jacqueline Foster**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 41**

*Motion for a resolution*

41. *Calls* on the *Commission* and *Member States* to strengthen checks on air cargo; stresses the need, to this end, to have *more* inspectors available *both* at national level *and within the Commission;*

*Amendment*

41. *Without prejudice to Regulation (EC) No 300/2008, calls* on the *Members States* and *the airport authorities* to strengthen checks on air cargo; stresses the need, to this end, to have *a sufficient number of* inspectors available at national level;

Or. en

**Amendment 181**  
**Christine De Veyrac, Georges Bach**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 41**

*Motion for a resolution*

41. Calls on the Commission and Member States to strengthen checks on air cargo; stresses the need, to this end, to have more inspectors available both at national level and within the Commission;

*Amendment*

41. Calls on the Commission and Member States to strengthen *inspections concerning* air cargo, *including those relating to the validation of regulated agents for known consignors*; stresses the need, to this end, to have more inspectors available both at national level and within the Commission;

Or. fr



**Amendment 182**  
**Carlo Fidanza, Antonio Cancian**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 41**

*Motion for a resolution*

41. Calls on the Commission and Member States to strengthen checks on air cargo; stresses the need, to this end, to have more inspectors available both at national level and within the Commission;

*Amendment*

41. Calls on the Commission and Member States to strengthen ***screening and inspection*** checks on air cargo; stresses the need, to this end, to have more inspectors available both at national level and within the Commission;

Or. en

**Amendment 183**  
**Dominique Vlasto**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 41 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

***41a. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to improve cargo security throughout the logistics chain and to strengthen cooperation and information sharing among the competent authorities, including the relevant professionals, in particular by making use of the EU's Import Control System for improving cooperation between customs authorities;***

Or. fr

**Amendment 184**  
**Luis de Grandes Pascual**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 42**

*Motion for a resolution*

42. Stresses the **importance** of information **at customs in order to assess** the risk associated with **a specific consignment**; asks the Commission to **consider whether information equipment at customs offices should be expanded**;

*Amendment*

42. Stresses the **potential** of **Customs'** information **for calculating** the risk associated with specific **consignments and** asks the Commission to **continue its work on the possible use of Customs' electronic systems for aviation security purposes**;

Or. en

**Amendment 185**

**Christine De Veyrac, Georges Bach, Artur Zasada**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 43**

*Motion for a resolution*

43. Asks the Commission to take all the necessary steps to ensure the safe transport of cargo originating in third countries, identifying the responsibility of each of the various agents;

*Amendment*

43. Asks the Commission to take all the necessary steps to ensure the safe transport of cargo originating in third countries, **starting at the airport of origin, and to lay down criteria for determining high-risk cargo**, identifying the responsibility of each of the various agents;

Or. fr

**Amendment 186**

**Jacqueline Foster**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 43**

*Motion for a resolution*

43. *Asks* the **Commission** to take all the necessary steps to ensure the safe transport of cargo originating in third countries, identifying the responsibility of each of the various agents;

*Amendment*

43. **Calls on** the **airport authorities** to take all the necessary steps to ensure the safe transport of cargo originating in third countries, identifying the responsibility of each of the various agents;

**Amendment 187**  
**Sabine Wils**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 44**

*Motion for a resolution*

44. Asks the Commission to ensure that the security programme takes account of the specific characteristics of all the players affected and reconciles security measures relating to the exchange of mail and cargo ***with the need to ensure a dynamic economy that continues to encourage trade, service quality and the development of e-commerce;***

*Amendment*

44. Asks the Commission to ensure that the security programme takes account of the specific characteristics of all the players affected and reconciles security measures relating to the exchange of mail and cargo;

Or. de

**Amendment 188**  
**Georges Bach**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 45**

*Motion for a resolution*

45. Calls on the Commission to propose a harmonised system for training security staff in relation to cargo;

*Amendment*

45. Calls on the Commission to propose a harmonised system for ***the initial and further training of*** security staff in relation to cargo, ***in order to remain abreast of the latest technical developments in the field of security;***

Or. de

**Amendment 189**  
**Nuno Teixeira**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 46**

*Motion for a resolution*

46. Calls on the Commission and Member States to work with the ICAO and third countries on risk assessment and intelligence systems in the field of aviation security;

*Amendment*

46. Calls on the Commission and Member States to work with the ICAO and third countries on risk assessment and intelligence systems in the field of aviation security, ***recognising that security scanners are no more than an additional protection instrument and that it is necessary to reinforce intelligence services, monitor central reservation systems and determine passenger profiles in the face of possible threats to public safety;***

Or. pt

**Amendment 190**  
**Anne E. Jensen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 46 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

***46a. Underlines the necessity of carefully monitoring the lifting of the ban on liquids for transit passengers which will come into force in April 2011; Expects the European Parliament to be fully informed of the results of this monitoring process;***

Or. en