



EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

2009 - 2014

---

*Special committee on the policy challenges and budgetary resources for a sustainable European Union after 2013*

---

**2010/2211(INI)**

05.4.2011

# **AMENDMENTS**

## **637 - 860**

**Draft report**  
**Salvador Garriga Polledo**  
(PE458.649v02-00)

Investing in the future: a new Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF) for a competitive, sustainable and inclusive Europe  
(2010/2211(INI))

AM\864090EN.doc

PE462.731v02-00

**EN**

*United in diversity*

**EN**

AM\_Com\_NonLegReport

**Amendment 637**

**Jürgen Klute, Cornelia Ernst, Gabriele Zimmer**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 63**

*Motion for a resolution*

63. Stresses that the EAV of cohesion policy is uncontested, as this policy constitutes a well-established mechanism of delivering growth and jobs and has been one of the Union's most significant, visible, and successful policies for decades; points out, however, that a modern cohesion policy must undertake a number of **structural** reforms, respond to the new **challenges** facing the Union, and promote synergies with other policies and instruments on the ground;

*Amendment*

63. Stresses that the EAV of cohesion policy is uncontested, as this policy **facilitates the pursuit of modernisation and sustainable growth as well as demonstrating European solidarity, aiming at reducing disparities between the levels of development of European regions, it** constitutes a well-established mechanism of delivering growth and jobs and has been one of the Union's most significant, visible, and successful policies for decades; **underlines that cohesion policy is the core of European social policy;** points out, however, that a modern cohesion policy must undertake a number of reforms, **in particular the simplification of policy implementation, and** respond to the new facing the Union, and promote synergies with other policies and instruments on the ground;

Or. en

**Amendment 638**

**François Alfonsi, Elisabeth Schroedter, Bas Eickhout**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 63**

*Motion for a resolution*

63. Stresses that the EAV of cohesion policy is uncontested, as this policy constitutes a well-established mechanism of delivering growth and jobs and has been

*Amendment*

63. Stresses that the EAV of cohesion policy is uncontested, as this policy constitutes a well-established mechanism of **promoting sustainable development of**

one of the Union's most significant, visible, and successful policies for decades; points out, however, that a modern cohesion policy must undertake a number of structural reforms, respond to the **new** challenges facing the Union, and promote synergies with other policies and instruments on the ground;

**all regions and of** delivering growth and jobs and has been one of the Union's most significant, visible, and successful policies for decades; points out, however, that a modern cohesion policy must undertake a number of structural reforms, respond to the **main** challenges facing the Union, and promote synergies with other policies and instruments on the ground;

Or. en

**Amendment 639**  
**Marta Andreasen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 63**

*Motion for a resolution*

63. Stresses that the EAV of cohesion policy **is uncontested, as** this policy constitutes a well-established mechanism of delivering growth and jobs and has been one of the Union's most significant, visible, and successful policies for decades; **points out, however, that a modern cohesion policy must undertake a number of structural reforms, respond to the new challenges facing the Union, and promote synergies with other policies and instruments on the ground;**

*Amendment*

63. Stresses that the EAV of cohesion policy **has not been measured, and even if some claim that** this policy constitutes a well-established mechanism of delivering growth and jobs and has been one of the Union's most significant, visible, and successful policies for decades **the rate of error reported by the auditors in this area does not allow for such a conclusion;**

Or. en

**Amendment 640**  
**Carl Haglund**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 63**

*Motion for a resolution*

63. Stresses that the EAV of cohesion

*Amendment*

63. Stresses that the EAV of cohesion

policy is uncontested, as this policy constitutes a well-established mechanism of delivering growth and jobs and has been one of the Union's most significant, visible, and successful policies for decades; points out, however, that a modern cohesion policy must undertake a number of structural reforms, respond to the new challenges facing the Union, and promote synergies with other policies and instruments on the ground;

policy is uncontested ***but often hard to prove in economic terms***, as this policy constitutes a well-established mechanism of delivering growth and jobs and has been one of the Union's most significant, visible, and successful policies for decades; points out, however, that a modern cohesion policy must undertake a number of structural reforms, respond to the new challenges facing the Union, and promote synergies with other policies and instruments on the ground;

Or. en

**Amendment 641**  
**Gunnar Hökmark**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 63**

*Motion for a resolution*

63. Stresses that the EAV of cohesion policy is uncontested, as this policy constitutes a well-established mechanism of delivering growth and jobs ***and has been one of the Union's most significant, visible, and successful policies for decades***; points out, however, that a modern cohesion policy must undertake a number of structural reforms, respond to the new challenges facing the Union, and promote synergies with other policies and instruments on the ground;

*Amendment*

63. Stresses that the EAV of cohesion policy is uncontested, as this policy constitutes a well-established mechanism of delivering growth and jobs; points out, however, that a modern cohesion policy must undertake a number of structural reforms, respond to the new challenges facing the Union, and promote synergies with other policies and instruments on the ground;

Or. en

**Amendment 642**  
**Göran Färm, Ivailo Kalfin, Eider Gardiazábal Rubial on behalf of the S&D Group**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 63**

*Motion for a resolution*

63. Stresses that the EAV of cohesion policy is uncontested, as this policy constitutes a well-established mechanism of delivering growth and jobs and has been one of the Union's most significant, visible, and successful policies for decades; points out, however, that a modern cohesion policy must undertake a number of structural reforms, respond to the new challenges facing the Union, and promote synergies with other policies and instruments on the ground;

*Amendment*

63. Stresses that the EAV of cohesion policy is uncontested, as this policy constitutes a well-established mechanism of delivering growth and jobs and ***a major tool of convergence and solidarity and*** has been one of the Union's most significant, visible, and successful policies for decades; ***notes that the partnership principle as well as the multi-level-governance are fundamental prerequisites for that success***; points out, however, that a modern cohesion policy must undertake a number of structural reforms, respond to the new challenges facing the Union, and promote synergies with other policies and instruments on the ground;

Or. en

**Amendment 643**

**Ricardo Cortés Lastra, María Irigoyen Pérez**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 63**

*Motion for a resolution*

63. Stresses that the EAV of cohesion policy is uncontested, as this policy constitutes a well-established mechanism of delivering growth and jobs and has been one of the Union's most significant, visible, and successful policies for decades; points out, however, that a modern cohesion policy must undertake a number of structural reforms, respond to the new challenges facing the Union, and promote synergies with other policies and instruments on the ground;

*Amendment*

63. Stresses that the EAV of cohesion policy is uncontested, as this policy constitutes a well-established mechanism of delivering growth and jobs and has been one of the Union's most significant, visible, and successful policies for decades; ***welcomes the proposal from the Commission that every region of the Union will benefit directly from cohesion policy***; points out, however, that a modern cohesion policy must undertake a number of structural reforms, respond to the new challenges facing the Union, and promote synergies with other policies and instruments on the ground;

**Amendment 644**  
**Pat the Cope Gallagher**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 63**

*Motion for a resolution*

63. Stresses that the EAV of cohesion policy is uncontested, as this policy constitutes a well-established mechanism of delivering growth and jobs and has been one of the Union's most significant, visible, and successful policies for decades; points out, however, that a modern cohesion policy must undertake a number of structural reforms, respond to the new challenges facing the Union, and promote synergies with other policies and instruments on the ground;

*Amendment*

63. Stresses that the EAV of cohesion policy is uncontested, as this policy constitutes a well-established mechanism of delivering growth and jobs and has been one of the Union's most significant, visible, and successful policies for decades; **welcomes the proposal from the European Commission that every region of the Union will benefit directly from cohesion policy**; points out, however, that a modern cohesion policy must undertake a number of structural reforms, respond to the new challenges facing the Union, and promote synergies with other policies and instruments on the ground;

**Amendment 645**  
**Lorenzo Fontana**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 63**

*Motion for a resolution*

63. Stresses that the EAV of cohesion policy is uncontested, as this policy constitutes a well-established mechanism of delivering growth and jobs and has been one of the Union's most significant, visible, and successful policies for decades; points out, however, that a modern cohesion policy must undertake a number of structural reforms, respond to the new

*Amendment*

63. Stresses that the EAV of cohesion policy is uncontested, as this policy constitutes a well-established mechanism of delivering growth and jobs and has been one of the Union's most significant, visible, and successful policies for decades; **welcomes the proposal from the Commission that every region of the Union will benefit from cohesion policy**;

challenges facing the Union, and promote synergies with other policies and instruments on the ground;

points out, however, that a modern cohesion policy must undertake a number of structural reforms, respond to the new challenges facing the Union, and promote synergies with other policies and instruments on the ground;

Or. en

**Amendment 646**  
**Cornelis de Jong**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 63**

*Motion for a resolution*

63. Stresses that the EAV of cohesion policy is uncontested, as this policy constitutes a well-established mechanism of delivering growth and jobs and has been one of the Union's most significant, visible, and successful policies for decades; points out, however, that a modern cohesion policy must undertake a number of structural reforms, respond to the new challenges facing the Union, and promote synergies with other policies and instruments on the ground;

*Amendment*

63. Stresses that the EAV of cohesion policy is uncontested, as this policy constitutes a well-established mechanism of delivering growth and jobs and has been one of the Union's most significant, visible, and successful **solidarity** policies for decades; points out, however, that a modern cohesion policy must undertake a number of structural reforms, ***inter alia by pooling all funds in one Cohesion Fund which focuses exclusively on the poorest Member States***, respond to the new challenges facing the Union, and promote synergies with other policies and instruments on the ground;

Or. en

**Amendment 647**  
**Miguel Portas**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 63**

*Motion for a resolution*

63. Stresses that the EAV of cohesion

*Amendment*

63. Stresses that the EAV of cohesion



policy is uncontested, as this policy constitutes a well-established mechanism of delivering growth and jobs and has been one of the Union's most significant, visible, and successful policies for decades; points out, however, that a modern cohesion policy must ***undertake a number of structural reforms, respond to the new challenges facing the Union, and promote synergies with other policies and instruments on the ground;***

policy is uncontested, as this policy constitutes a well-established mechanism of delivering growth and jobs and has been one of the Union's most significant, visible, and successful policies for decades; points out, however, that a modern cohesion policy must ***concentrate resources on the poorest regions of the EU, without prejudice to programmes and support for regions at an intermediate stage of development, which should be defined as such; takes the view that the cohesion policy's success also depends on the synergies that it promotes*** with other policies and instruments on the ground;

Or. pt

**Amendment 648**  
**Richard Ashworth**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 63**

*Motion for a resolution*

63. Stresses that the EAV of cohesion policy is uncontested, as this policy constitutes a well-established mechanism of delivering growth and jobs and has been one of the Union's most significant, visible, and successful policies for decades; points out, however, that a modern cohesion policy must undertake a number of structural reforms, respond to the new challenges facing the Union, and promote synergies with other policies and instruments on the ground;

*Amendment*

63. Stresses that the EAV of cohesion policy is uncontested, as this policy constitutes a well-established mechanism of delivering growth and jobs and has been one of the Union's most significant, visible, and successful policies for decades; points out, however, that a modern cohesion policy must undertake a number of structural reforms, respond to the new challenges facing the Union, and promote synergies with other policies and instruments on the ground, ***in particular with areas of value creation, such as research and innovation;***

Or. en

**Amendment 649**  
**Gunnar Hökmark**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 63**

*Motion for a resolution*

63. Stresses that the EAV of cohesion policy is uncontested, as this policy constitutes a well-established mechanism of delivering growth and jobs and has been one of the Union's most significant, visible, and successful policies for decades; points out, however, that a modern cohesion policy must undertake a number of structural reforms, respond to the new challenges facing the Union, and promote synergies with other policies and instruments on the ground;

*Amendment*

63. Stresses that the EAV of cohesion policy is uncontested, as this policy constitutes a well-established mechanism of delivering growth and jobs and has been one of the Union's most significant, visible, and successful policies for decades; points out, however, that a modern cohesion policy must undertake a number of structural reforms, respond to the new challenges facing the Union, and promote synergies with other policies and instruments on the ground; ***the Union's cohesion policy efforts should be centred on those countries in the EU which have a clearly lower level of prosperity than the average.***

Or. en

**Amendment 650**  
**Reimer Böge, Danuta Maria Hübner, Lambert van Nistelrooij, Othmar Karas, José Manuel Fernandes on behalf of the EPP Group**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 63**

*Motion for a resolution*

63. Stresses that the EAV of cohesion policy is uncontested, as this policy constitutes a well-established mechanism of delivering growth and jobs and has been one of the Union's most significant, visible, and successful policies for decades; points out, however, that a modern cohesion policy must undertake a number of structural reforms, respond to the new challenges facing the Union, and promote

*Amendment*

63. Stresses that the EAV of cohesion policy is uncontested, as this policy constitutes a well-established mechanism of delivering growth and jobs and has been one of the Union's most significant, visible, and successful policies for decades; points out, however, that a modern cohesion policy must undertake a number of structural reforms, respond to the new challenges facing the Union, and promote

synergies with other policies and instruments on the ground;

synergies with other policies and instruments on the ground; *is convinced that the EU cohesion policy should continue to cover the whole EU territory in the next programming period;*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 651**

**François Alfonsi, Elisabeth Schroedter, Bas Eickhout**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 63 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*63a. Points to the increased importance of an autonomous cohesion policy following the entry into force of the Treaty of Lisbon, to the fact that a third pillar - territorial cohesion - has been added to it and to the recognition by the Treaties of the regional and local levels;*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 652**

**Bas Eickhout, Elisabeth Schroedter, François Alfonsi**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 63 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*63b. Points out that according to the Commission GDP in the EU25 as a whole is estimated to have been 0.7% higher in 2009 as a result of cohesion policy over the 2000/2006 period<sup>1</sup> and that there are not only positive impacts on recipient states but also on donor states through spill-over effects<sup>2</sup>*

<sup>1</sup> COM(2010) 700 final

<sup>2</sup> *The Economic Return of Cohesion Expenditure for Member States*,  
**DIRECTORATE GENERAL FOR  
INTERNAL POLICIES POLICY  
DEPARTMENT B: STRUCTURAL AND  
COHESION POLICIES**

Or. en

**Amendment 653**  
**Marta Andreasen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 64**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**64. Stresses the predominant role of cohesion policy for the accomplishment of the Europe 2020 objectives and takes the view that a sound autonomous cohesion policy is a prerequisite for the successful implementation of this strategy; stresses that, due to its horizontal character, cohesion policy contributes significantly to all three priorities of the Europe 2020 strategy, namely smart, sustainable and inclusive growth;**

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 654**  
**François Alfonsi, Elisabeth Schroedter, Bas Eickhout**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 64**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**64. Stresses *the predominant role of cohesion policy for the accomplishment of the Europe 2020 objectives and takes the view that a sound autonomous cohesion***

**64. Stresses that, due to its horizontal character, cohesion policy contributes significantly to all three priorities of the Europe 2020 strategy, namely smart,**

*policy is a prerequisite for the successful implementation of this strategy; stresses that, due to its horizontal character, cohesion policy contributes significantly to all three priorities of the Europe 2020 strategy, namely smart, sustainable and inclusive growth;*

sustainable and inclusive growth;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 655**

**Guy Verhofstadt, Carl Haglund, Riikka Manner, Giommaria Uggias, on behalf of the ALDE Group**

#### **Motion for a resolution Paragraph 64**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

64. Stresses the predominant role of cohesion policy for the accomplishment of the Europe 2020 objectives and takes the view that a sound autonomous cohesion policy is a prerequisite for the successful implementation of this strategy; stresses that, due to its horizontal character, cohesion policy contributes significantly to all three priorities of the Europe 2020 strategy, namely smart, sustainable and inclusive growth;

##### *Amendment*

64. Stresses the predominant role of cohesion policy for the accomplishment of the Europe 2020 objectives and takes the view that a sound autonomous cohesion policy is a prerequisite for the successful implementation of this strategy *in the whole of the territory of the EU as the regions and cities are best placed to implement the policies on an active basis*; stresses that, due to its horizontal character, cohesion policy contributes significantly to all three priorities of the Europe 2020 strategy, namely smart, sustainable and inclusive growth;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 656**

**Jürgen Klute, Cornelia Ernst, Gabriele Zimmer, Miguel Portas**

#### **Motion for a resolution Paragraph 64**

*Motion for a resolution*

64. Stresses the predominant role of cohesion policy for the accomplishment of the Europe 2020 objectives and takes the view that a sound autonomous cohesion policy is a prerequisite for the successful implementation of this strategy; stresses that, due to its horizontal character, cohesion policy contributes significantly to all three priorities of the Europe 2020 strategy, namely smart, sustainable and inclusive growth;

*Amendment*

64. Stresses the predominant role of cohesion policy for the accomplishment of the Europe 2020 objectives and takes the view that a sound autonomous cohesion policy is a prerequisite for the successful implementation of this strategy; stresses ***in this respect that the contribution of cohesion policy to implementing the Europe 2020 strategy must reflect the objectives set out in Article 174 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union and the cross-cutting objective of economic, social and territorial cohesion laid down in Article 3 of the Treaty on European Union by the Treaty of Lisbon; stresses that***, due to its horizontal character, cohesion policy contributes significantly to all three priorities of the Europe 2020 strategy, namely smart, sustainable and inclusive growth; ***is convinced that based on the predominant role of cohesion policy for the accomplishment of Europe 2020 objectives, all regions must continue to be eligible for assistance after 2013 and appropriate transitional rules must be provided for those regions that from 2014 will no longer be part of the 'convergence' objective in order to stabilise already achieved successes and grant a sustainable mobilisation of potentials;***

Or. en

**Amendment 657**  
**Cornelis de Jong, Miguel Portas**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 64**

*Motion for a resolution*

64. Stresses the predominant role of cohesion policy for the accomplishment of the Europe 2020 objectives and takes the view that a sound autonomous cohesion policy is a prerequisite for the successful implementation of this strategy; stresses that, due to its **horizontal** character, cohesion policy contributes significantly to all three priorities of the Europe 2020 strategy, namely smart, sustainable and inclusive growth;

*Amendment*

64. Stresses the predominant role of cohesion policy for the accomplishment of the Europe 2020 objectives and takes the view that a sound autonomous cohesion policy is a prerequisite for the successful implementation of this strategy; stresses that, due to its **solidarity** character, cohesion policy contributes significantly to all three priorities of the Europe 2020 strategy, namely smart, sustainable and inclusive growth;

Or. en

**Amendment 658**  
**Evelyn Regner**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 64**

*Motion for a resolution*

64. Stresses the predominant role of cohesion policy for the accomplishment of the Europe 2020 objectives and **takes the view that a sound autonomous cohesion policy is a prerequisite for the successful implementation of this strategy; stresses** that, due to its horizontal character, cohesion policy contributes significantly to all three priorities of the Europe 2020 strategy, namely smart, sustainable and inclusive growth;

*Amendment*

64. Stresses the predominant role of cohesion policy for the accomplishment of the Europe 2020 objectives and that, due to its horizontal character, cohesion policy contributes significantly to all three priorities of the Europe 2020 strategy, namely smart, sustainable and inclusive growth, **and that all cohesion policy funds must help to attain socioeconomic objectives in the fields of employment, education and combating poverty and exclusion in order to contribute substantially to the social dimension of Europe;**

Or. de

**Amendment 659**  
**Reimer Böge, László Surján, José Manuel Fernandes on behalf of the EPP Group**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 64**

*Motion for a resolution*

64. Stresses the predominant role of cohesion policy for the accomplishment of the Europe 2020 objectives and takes the view that a sound autonomous cohesion policy is a prerequisite for the successful implementation of this strategy; stresses that, due to its horizontal character, cohesion policy contributes significantly to all three priorities of the Europe 2020 strategy, namely smart, sustainable and inclusive growth;

*Amendment*

64. Stresses the predominant role of cohesion policy for the accomplishment of the Europe 2020 objectives and takes the view that a sound autonomous cohesion policy is a prerequisite for the successful implementation of this strategy; stresses that, due to its horizontal character, cohesion policy contributes significantly to all three priorities of the Europe 2020 strategy, namely smart, sustainable and inclusive growth; ***recalls, however, that the EU cohesion policy has its own traditional mission and objectives to fulfil that go beyond the Europe 2020 strategy; stresses that those should be preserved in the next programming period, especially given the enduring need for economic, social and territorial convergence in the Union;***

Or. en

**Amendment 660**  
**Ricardo Cortés Lastra, María Irigoyen Pérez**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 64**

*Motion for a resolution*

64. Stresses the predominant role of cohesion policy for the accomplishment of the Europe 2020 objectives and takes the view that a sound autonomous cohesion policy is a prerequisite for the successful implementation of this strategy; stresses that, due to its horizontal character, cohesion policy contributes significantly to all three priorities of the Europe 2020 strategy, namely smart, sustainable and inclusive growth;

*Amendment*

64. Stresses the predominant role of cohesion policy for the accomplishment of the Europe 2020 objectives and takes the view that a sound autonomous cohesion policy is a prerequisite for the successful implementation of this strategy; stresses that, due to its horizontal character, cohesion policy contributes significantly to all three priorities of the Europe 2020 strategy, namely smart, sustainable and inclusive growth; ***considers that being the***



*only place-based development policy in Europe, all regions should benefit from Structural Funds according to their specific development needs and potential.*

Or. en

**Amendment 661**  
**Lorenzo Fontana**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 64**

*Motion for a resolution*

64. Stresses the predominant role of cohesion policy for the accomplishment of the Europe 2020 objectives and takes the view that a sound autonomous cohesion policy is a prerequisite for the successful implementation of this strategy; stresses that, due to its horizontal character, cohesion policy contributes significantly to all three priorities of the Europe 2020 strategy, namely smart, sustainable and inclusive growth;

*Amendment*

64. Stresses the predominant role of cohesion policy for the accomplishment of the Europe 2020 objectives and takes the view that a sound autonomous cohesion policy is a prerequisite for the successful implementation of this strategy; stresses that, due to its horizontal character, cohesion policy contributes significantly to all three priorities of the Europe 2020 strategy, namely smart, sustainable and inclusive growth; *considers that, being the only place-based development policy in Europe, all regions should benefit from Structural Funds according to their specific development needs and potential.*

Or. en

**Amendment 662**  
**Reimer Böge, Lambert van Nistelrooij, Danuta Maria Hübner, Jan Olbrycht, Iosif Matula, Markus Pieper, Sophie Auconie on behalf of the EPP Group**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 64 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*64a. Draws attention to the importance of integrated policy approach; points in this*

*respect to the cohesion policy as a factor integrating other policies; stresses therefore, that all the investments foreseen for the post-2013 programming period would have to be coordinated with the investments undertaken within the framework of cohesion policy in that programming period; underlines, that this policy is already conducted with a due care, drawn to tried and tested instruments and forms that are important in this respect, such as shared management; underlines as well the need to move towards better performance under multi-level governance, that will additionally contribute to the effects that such application of the cohesion policy can bring;*

Or. en

**Amendment 663**  
**Gunnar Hökmark**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 64 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*64b. Highlights that the most important objective of EU's cohesion policy must be to support knowledge dissemination, via investments in R&D, innovation, entrepreneurship, infrastructural projects, ICT and human capital, facilitating EU's transformation into a knowledge based society;*

Or. en

**Amendment 664**  
**Ilda Figueiredo, Eva-Britt Svensson**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 64 c (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**64c. Stresses that cohesion policy is a key to delivering inclusive growth and that this implies not only helping poorer regions to catch up but investing in people and in social cohesion in all Member States and regions through tackling social exclusion and discrimination, creating jobs and improving job quality and skills.**

Or. en

**Amendment 665**  
**Göran Färm, Ivailo Kalfin, Eider Gardiazábal Rubial on behalf of the S&D Group**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 64 d (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**64d. Strongly believes that EU Cohesion Policy should remain an EU wide policy giving access to resources, experiences and assistance to all EU regions to overcome obstacles to growth in a frame of a single and flexible Community policy, capable of intervening at the most appropriate scale; takes the view that all forms of territorial cooperation (cross-border, interregional and transnational cooperation) must be strengthened;**

Or. en

**Amendment 666**  
**Marta Andreasen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 65**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**65. Stresses that a successful and strengthened cohesion policy needs adequate funding, which should not be less than in the current financial programming period; reiterates, in this context, its strong request to ensure that, in the next MFF, the unspent or decommitted resources of cohesion funds remain in the cohesion budget and not be returned to the Member States;**

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 667**

**Richard Ashworth**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 65**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

65. Stresses that a successful and strengthened cohesion policy *needs adequate* funding, *which should not be less than* in the current *financial programming period; reiterates, in this context, its strong request to ensure* that, *in the next MFF, the unspent or decommitted resources of cohesion funds remain in the cohesion budget and not be returned to the Member States;*

65. Stresses that a successful and strengthened cohesion policy **requires appropriate** funding; **notes that** in the current *economic climate* that **rigorous fiscal discipline will be needed in all areas of EU spending, including** cohesion policy;

Or. en

**Amendment 668**

**François Alfonsi, Elisabeth Schroedter, Bas Eickhout**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 65**

*Motion for a resolution*

65. Stresses that a successful and strengthened cohesion policy needs **adequate funding, which should not be less than in the current** financial programming period; reiterates, in this context, its strong request to ensure that, in the next MFF, the unspent or decommitted resources of cohesion funds remain in the cohesion budget and not be returned to the Member States;

*Amendment*

65. Stresses that a successful and strengthened cohesion policy needs **that the amounts allocated to it in the budget year 2013** should be **at least maintained during** the **next** financial programming period; reiterates, in this context, its strong request to ensure that, in the next MFF, the unspent or decommitted resources of cohesion funds remain in the cohesion budget and not be returned to the Member States;

Or. en

**Amendment 669**  
**Cornelis de Jong**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 65**

*Motion for a resolution*

65. Stresses that a successful **and strengthened** cohesion policy needs **adequate funding, which should not be less than in the current financial programming period**; reiterates, in this context, its strong request to ensure that, in the next MFF, the unspent or decommitted resources of cohesion **funds** remain **in the cohesion budget and not** be returned to the Member States;

*Amendment*

65. Stresses that a successful cohesion policy needs **sufficient** funding; reiterates, in this context, its strong request to ensure that, in the next MFF, the unspent or decommitted resources of cohesion **policy** remain **to** be returned to the Member States;

Or. en

**Amendment 670**  
**Gunnar Hökmark**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 65**

*Motion for a resolution*

65. Stresses that a successful and strengthened cohesion policy needs adequate funding, ***which should not be less than in the current financial programming period***; reiterates, in this context, its strong request to ensure that, in the next MFF, the unspent or decommitted resources of cohesion funds remain in the cohesion budget and not be returned to the Member States;

*Amendment*

65. Stresses that a successful and strengthened cohesion policy needs adequate funding; reiterates, in this context, its strong request to ensure that, in the next MFF, the unspent or decommitted resources of cohesion funds remain in the cohesion budget and not be returned to the Member States;

Or. en

**Amendment 671**

**Göran Färm, Ivailo Kalfin, Eider Gardiazábal Rubial on behalf of the S&D Group**

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 65**

*Motion for a resolution*

65. Stresses that a successful and strengthened cohesion policy needs adequate funding, which should not be less than in the current financial programming period; reiterates, in this context, its strong request to ensure that, in the next MFF, the unspent or decommitted resources of cohesion funds remain in the cohesion budget and not be returned to the Member States;

*Amendment*

65. Stresses that a successful and strengthened cohesion policy needs adequate funding, which should not be less ***in real terms*** than in the current financial programming period; ***rejects any fragmentation of this policy across various budget headings or subheadings***; reiterates, in this context, its strong request to ensure that, in the next MFF, the unspent or decommitted resources of cohesion funds remain in the cohesion budget and not be returned to the Member States;

Or. en

**Amendment 672**

**Anne E. Jensen**

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 65**

*Motion for a resolution*

65. Stresses that a successful and strengthened cohesion policy needs adequate funding, which should ***not be less than in the current financial programming period***; reiterates, in this context, its strong request to ensure that, in the next MFF, the unspent or decommitted resources of cohesion funds remain in the ***cohesion*** budget and not be returned to the Member States;

*Amendment*

65. Stresses that a successful and strengthened cohesion policy needs adequate funding, which should ***reflect the absorption capacity in each member state***; reiterates, in this context, its strong request to ensure that, in the next MFF, the unspent or decommitted resources of cohesion funds remain in the ***EU*** budget and not be returned to the Member States;

Or. en

**Amendment 673**  
**Bastiaan Belder**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 65**

*Motion for a resolution*

65. Stresses that a successful and strengthened cohesion policy needs adequate funding, which should not be less than in the current financial programming period; ***reiterates, in this context, its strong request to ensure that, in the next MFF, the unspent or decommitted resources of cohesion funds remain in the cohesion budget and not be returned to the Member States***;

*Amendment*

65. Stresses that a successful and strengthened cohesion policy needs adequate funding, which should not be less than in the current financial programming period ***for Member States which need it; considers that cohesion policy is intended for Member States with a GNP per capita of less than 75% of the EU average; observes that the resultant saving will be more than €20 billion per annum; stresses that the vast majority of the appropriations released should be refunded to the other Member States in proportion to their contributions to the EU budget; emphasises that most of the Member States which will no longer be eligible for Structural Fund appropriations will nonetheless achieve net savings in their national budgets thanks to the reimbursement of the funds released; is willing, possibly, to use a limited part of the funds released for***

*important priorities and challenges at EU level, such as research, development and innovation in the agriculture, industry and services sectors if this can be accompanied by a substantial reduction in the burden of regulation and improved accessibility, including for SMEs;*

Or. nl

**Amendment 674**  
**Konrad Szymański**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 65**

*Motion for a resolution*

65. Stresses that a successful and strengthened cohesion policy needs adequate funding, which should not be less than in the current financial programming period; reiterates, in this context, its strong request to ensure that, in the next MFF, the unspent or decommitted resources of cohesion funds remain in the cohesion budget and not be returned to the Member States;

*Amendment*

65. Stresses that a successful and strengthened cohesion policy needs adequate funding, which should not be less than in the current financial programming period, ***in order to ensure that regional development disparities are reduced;*** reiterates, in this context, its strong request to ensure that, in the next MFF, the unspent or decommitted resources of cohesion funds remain in the cohesion budget and not be returned to the Member States;

Or. pl

**Amendment 675**  
**Miguel Portas**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 65**

*Motion for a resolution*

65. Stresses that a successful and strengthened cohesion policy needs adequate funding, which should not be less than in the current financial programming

*Amendment*

65. Stresses that a successful and strengthened cohesion policy needs adequate funding, which should not be less ***in percentage terms*** than in the current



period; reiterates, in this context, *its strong request to ensure* that, *in the next MFF*, the unspent or decommitted resources of cohesion funds remain in the cohesion budget *and not be returned to the Member States*;

financial programming period; reiterates, in this context, that the unspent or decommitted resources of cohesion funds *must* remain in the cohesion budget;

Or. pt

#### **Amendment 676**

**Reimer Böge, László Surján, José Manuel Fernandes on behalf of the EPP Group**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 65**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

65. Stresses that a successful and strengthened cohesion policy needs adequate funding, which should not be less than in the current financial programming period; reiterates, in this context, its strong request to ensure that, in the next MFF, the unspent or decommitted resources of cohesion funds remain in the cohesion budget and not be returned to the Member States;

###### *Amendment*

65. Stresses that a successful and strengthened cohesion policy needs adequate funding, which should not be less than in the current financial programming period; reiterates, in this context, its strong request to ensure that, in the next MFF, the unspent or decommitted resources of cohesion funds remain in the cohesion budget and not be returned to the Member States; *recalls its position that GDP must remain the main criterion for determining the eligibility for regional policy assistance*;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 677**

**Jan Mulder**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 65**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

65. Stresses that a successful and strengthened cohesion policy needs adequate funding, which should not be less

###### *Amendment*

65. Stresses that a successful and strengthened cohesion policy needs adequate funding, which should not be less

than in the current financial programming period; reiterates, in this context, its strong request to ensure that, in the next MFF, the unspent or decommitted resources of cohesion funds remain in the cohesion budget and not be returned to the Member States;

than in the current financial programming period; reiterates, in this context, its strong request to ensure that, in the next MFF, the unspent or decommitted resources of cohesion funds remain in the cohesion budget and not be returned to the Member States; ***is of the opinion that the cofinancing rates of the cohesion policy could vary. Poorer member states should have a lower national cofinancing rate than the richer ones so as to promote that needier countries can use their aid more effectively.***

Or. en

**Amendment 678**  
**Jan Olbrycht, Danuta Maria Hübner**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 65**

*Motion for a resolution*

65. Stresses that a successful and strengthened cohesion policy needs adequate funding, which should not be less than in the current financial programming period; reiterates, in this context, its strong request to ensure that, in the next MFF, the unspent or decommitted resources of cohesion funds remain in the cohesion budget and not be returned to the Member States;

*Amendment*

65. Stresses that a successful and strengthened cohesion policy needs adequate funding, which should not be less than in the current financial programming period; reiterates, in this context, its strong request to ensure that, in the next MFF, the unspent or decommitted resources of cohesion funds remain in the cohesion budget and not be returned to the Member States; ***is furthermore convinced in this respect, that in order to achieve the cohesion policy objectives, return to the multi-fund approach ought to be thoroughly considered;***

Or. en

**Amendment 679**  
**Jürgen Klute, Cornelia Ernst, Gabriele Zimmer, Miguel Portas**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 65 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**65a. Stresses that the Europe 2020 strategy, which is more thematic in nature, must be linked to the cross-cutting approach of cohesion policy in order to secure broad-based participation and make it effective in every regional and local authority area;**

Or. en

**Amendment 680**  
**Milan Zver**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 65 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**65b. Believes that any drastic changes in assuring sustainable growth prospects of the regions should be avoided; therefore stresses that adequate transitional arrangements should be agreed in terms of rational, fair and logical transition to enhanced competitiveness, especially for the regions likely to drop out of the 'convergence' objective.**

Or. en

**Amendment 681**  
**Ilda Figueiredo**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 65 c (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**65c. Considers it vital to push for a**

*significant increase in the Community budget to support economic and social cohesion - to at least double the current amounts - which should be accompanied by a sweeping change in its distribution;*

Or. pt

**Amendment 682**  
**Ilda Figueiredo**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 65 d (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*65d. Considers it vital that contributions to this increase should result from an increase in the payments made by Member States with the highest GNI and the highest per capita income, modifying the current contribution keys;*

Or. pt

**Amendment 683**  
**Ilda Figueiredo**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 65 e (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*65e. Considers it vital to reduce the mandatory component of national contributions, cutting it to a maximum of 10% of the project's value (public or private spending or investment), above all for cohesion countries (per capita GDP below the EU average);*

Or. pt

**Amendment 684**  
**Marta Andreasen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 66**

*Motion for a resolution*

66. **Requests that the Commission draws up concrete proposals to ensure a stronger thematic concentration of cohesion funding on the Europe 2020 priorities and considers that a more result-oriented system than the current ‘earmarking’ should be put in place; welcomes, in this respect,** the Commission’s intention to agree with each Member State or region -in the context of the development and investment partnership contracts and operational programmes- on specific terms and conditionalities for the achievement of established targets;

*Amendment*

66. **Takes note** of the Commission’s intention to agree with each Member State or region -in the context of the development and investment partnership contracts and operational programmes- on specific terms and conditionalities for the achievement of established targets;

Or. en

**Amendment 685**  
**François Alfonsi, Elisabeth Schroedter, Bas Eickhout**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 66**

*Motion for a resolution*

66. Requests that the **Commission draws up concrete proposals to ensure a stronger thematic concentration of cohesion funding on the Europe 2020 priorities and considers that a more result-oriented system than the current ‘earmarking’ should be put in place;** welcomes, in this respect, the Commission’s intention to agree with each Member State or **region - in** the context of the development and investment partnership contracts and operational programmes- on specific terms

*Amendment*

66. Requests that **in the future Member States and regions will concentrate EU and national resources on a small number of priorities responding to the specific challenges that they face; stresses that this could be achieved by establishing, in the cohesion policy regulations, a list of thematic priorities linked to the priorities, integrated guidelines and flagship initiatives of Europe 2020 and that, depending on the amount of EU funding involved, Member States and regions**

and conditionalities for the achievement of established targets;

*would be required to focus on more or fewer priorities*; welcomes, in this respect, the Commission's intention to agree with each Member State *and its regions* or *directly with the regions - in the* context of the development and investment partnership contracts and operational programmes- on specific terms and conditionalities for the achievement of established targets;

Or. en

### **Amendment 686**

**Jürgen Klute, Cornelia Ernst, Gabriele Zimmer, Miguel Portas**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 66**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

66. Requests that the Commission draws up *concrete* proposals to ensure a *stronger* thematic *concentration* of cohesion funding *on* the Europe 2020 priorities and *considers* that *a more result-oriented system than the current* 'earmarking' should be *put in place*; welcomes, in this respect, the Commission's intention to agree with each Member State or region *-in* the context of the development and investment partnership contracts and operational *programmes- on specific terms* and *conditionalities* for the *achievement* of *established targets*;

##### *Amendment*

66. Requests that the Commission draws up proposals to ensure a thematic *reference* of cohesion funding *to* the Europe 2020 priorities; *stresses that it is important to involve regional and local authorities and social partners and NGOs to the greatest extent when priorities for cohesion policy are determined; is of the opinion* that *measures including* earmarking *and good practices* should be *assessed and common problems and their solutions identified*; welcomes, in this respect, the Commission's intention to agree with each Member State or region *- in* the context of the development and investment partnership contracts and operational *programmes; stresses the fact that multi-level governance is one of the key principles of Cohesion Policy and is fundamental to ensuring the quality of the decision making process, strategic planning and implementing the objectives; stresses that a better defined partnership principle and transparency are essential elements* for the *correct*

*implementation of cohesion policy and all EU policies and should be reinforced accordingly; locally and regionally adapted strategies that take different development needs into account must also continue to form the basis of cohesion policy;*

Or. en

**Amendment 687**  
**Cornelis de Jong, Miguel Portas**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 66**

*Motion for a resolution*

66. Requests that the Commission draws up concrete proposals to ensure a stronger thematic concentration of cohesion funding on the Europe 2020 priorities and considers that a more result-oriented system than the current ‘earmarking’ should be put in place; welcomes, in this respect, the Commission’s intention to agree with each Member State *or region* -in the context of the development and investment partnership contracts and operational programmes- on specific terms and conditionalities for the achievement of established targets;

*Amendment*

66. Requests that the Commission draws up concrete proposals to ensure a stronger thematic concentration of cohesion *policy* funding on the Europe 2020 priorities and considers that a more result-oriented system than the current ‘earmarking’ should be put in place; welcomes, in this respect, the Commission’s intention to agree with each *eligible* Member State -in the context of the development and investment partnership contracts and operational programmes- on specific terms and conditionalities for the achievement of established targets;

Or. en

**Amendment 688**  
**Ricardo Cortés Lastra, María Irigoyen Pérez**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 66**

*Motion for a resolution*

66. Requests that the Commission draws

*Amendment*

66. Requests that the Commission draws

up concrete proposals to ensure a stronger thematic concentration of cohesion funding on the Europe 2020 priorities **and considers** that a more result-oriented system than the current ‘earmarking’ should be put in place; welcomes, in this respect, the Commission’s intention to agree with each Member State or region -in the context of the development and investment partnership contracts and operational programmes- on specific terms and conditionalities for the achievement of established targets;

up concrete proposals to ensure a stronger thematic concentration of cohesion funding on the Europe 2020 priorities **with sufficient flexibility to allow for ‘region-specific’ investment needs to be addressed; believes** that a more result-oriented system than the current ‘earmarking’ should be put in place; welcomes, in this respect, the Commission’s intention to agree with each Member State or region -in the context of the development and investment partnership contracts and operational programmes- on specific terms and conditionalities for the achievement of established targets;

Or. en

**Amendment 689**  
**Lorenzo Fontana**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 66**

*Motion for a resolution*

66. Requests that the Commission draws up concrete proposals to ensure a stronger thematic concentration of cohesion funding on the Europe 2020 priorities **and considers** that a more result-oriented system than the current ‘earmarking’ should be put in place; welcomes, in this respect, the Commission’s intention to agree with each Member State or region -in the context of the development and investment partnership contracts and operational programmes- on specific terms and conditionalities for the achievement of established targets;

*Amendment*

66. Requests that the Commission draws up concrete proposals to ensure a stronger thematic concentration of cohesion funding on the Europe 2020 priorities **with sufficient flexibility to allow for ‘region-specific’ investment needs to be addressed; believes** that a more result-oriented system than the current ‘earmarking’ should be put in place; welcomes, in this respect, the Commission’s intention to agree with each Member State or region -in the context of the development and investment partnership contracts and operational programmes- on specific terms and conditionalities for the achievement of established targets;

Or. en



**Amendment 690**  
**Pat the Cope Gallagher**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 66**

*Motion for a resolution*

66. Requests that the Commission draws up concrete proposals to ensure a stronger thematic concentration of cohesion funding on the Europe 2020 priorities and **considers** that a more result-oriented system than the current ‘earmarking’ should be put in place; welcomes, in this respect, the Commission’s intention to agree with each Member State or region -in the context of the development and investment partnership contracts and operational programmes- on specific terms and conditionalities for the achievement of established targets;

*Amendment*

66. Requests that the Commission draws up concrete proposals to ensure a stronger thematic concentration of cohesion funding on the Europe 2020 priorities **with sufficient flexibility to allow for region-specific investment needs to be addressed** and **believes** that a more result-oriented system than the current ‘earmarking’ should be put in place; welcomes, in this respect, the Commission’s intention to agree with each Member State or region -in the context of the development and investment partnership contracts and operational programmes- on specific terms and conditionalities for the achievement of established targets;

Or. en

**Amendment 691**  
**Göran Färm, Ivailo Kalfin, Eider Gardiazábal Rubial on behalf of the S&D Group**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 66**

*Motion for a resolution*

66. Requests that the Commission draws up concrete proposals to ensure a stronger thematic concentration of cohesion funding on the Europe 2020 priorities and considers that a more result-oriented system than the current ‘earmarking’ should be put in place; welcomes, in this respect, the Commission’s intention to agree with each Member State or region -in the context of

*Amendment*

66. Requests that the Commission draws up concrete proposals to ensure a stronger thematic concentration of cohesion funding on the Europe 2020 priorities and **to reinforce equity between transitional regions and other regions on the same level of development;** considers that a more result-oriented system than the current ‘earmarking’ should be put in place

the development and investment partnership contracts and operational programmes- on specific terms and conditionalities for the achievement of established targets;

*while ensuring that this thematic approach for programming Structural and Cohesion Funds should take in due consideration the needs and priorities expressed by regions cannot take place to the detriment of the integrated place-based approach*; welcomes, in this respect, the Commission's intention to agree with each Member State or region -in the context of the development and investment partnership contracts and operational programmes- on specific terms and conditionalities for the achievement of established targets;

Or. en

**Amendment 692**  
**Evelyn Regner**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 66**

*Motion for a resolution*

66. Requests that the Commission draws up concrete proposals to ensure a stronger thematic concentration of cohesion funding on the Europe 2020 priorities and considers that a more result-oriented system than the current 'earmarking' should be put in place; welcomes, in this respect, the Commission's intention to agree with each Member State or region -in the context of the development and investment partnership contracts and operational programmes- on specific terms and conditionalities for the achievement of established targets;

*Amendment*

66. Requests that the Commission draws up concrete proposals to ensure a stronger thematic concentration of cohesion funding on the Europe 2020 priorities, *in which connection promotion of regional development (employment, education, social inclusion) should be guided as distinct from the regional economy; considers, moreover, that cooperation programmes in which more than one Member State participates (European Territorial Cooperation) should be expanded*, and considers that a more result-oriented system than the current 'earmarking' should be put in place; welcomes, in this respect, the Commission's intention to agree with each Member State or region -in the context of the development and investment partnership contracts and operational programmes- on specific terms and

conditionalities for the achievement of established targets;

Or. de

**Amendment 693**

**Guy Verhofstadt, Carl Haglund, Anne E. Jensen, Riikka Manner, on behalf of the ALDE Group**

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 66**

*Motion for a resolution*

66. Requests that the Commission draws up concrete proposals to ensure a stronger thematic concentration of cohesion funding on the Europe 2020 priorities and considers that a more result-oriented system than the current ‘earmarking’ should be put in place; welcomes, in this respect, the **Commission’s** intention to agree with each Member State or region **-in** the context of the development and investment partnership contracts and operational **programmes-** on specific terms and conditionalities for the achievement of established targets;

*Amendment*

66. Requests that the Commission draws up concrete proposals to ensure a stronger thematic concentration of cohesion funding on the Europe 2020 priorities and considers that a more result-oriented system than the current ‘earmarking’ should be put in place ***both in Objective 1 and Objective 2 regions but considers, however, that it should not prevent the flexible use of resources;*** welcomes, in this respect, the **Commissions’** intention to agree with each Member State or region **- in** the context of the development and investment partnership contracts and operational **programmes -** on specific terms and conditionalities for the achievement of established targets; ***calls on the Commission to investigate the use of sunset clauses linked to a more result oriented system;***

Or. en

**Amendment 694**

**Jürgen Klute, Cornelia Ernst, Gabriele Zimmer, Miguel Portas**

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 66 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**66a. Stresses that cohesion policy must, in future, continue to focus on flexible programmes - adapted to local and regional requirements - that help attain economic, social and territorial cohesion by providing on-the-ground solutions to the very broad range of development needs at the level of local and regional authorities;**

Or. en

**Amendment 695**

**Dan Jørgensen**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 66 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**66b. Underlines that the cohesion policy should increase its focus on sustainability and that this entails that environmental impact studies and climate assessments should be conducted whenever choosing projects to be financed by the cohesion policy.**

Or. en

**Amendment 696**

**Markus Pieper, Lambert van Nistelrooij, Monika Hohlmeier**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 66 c (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**66c. Calls, in the interests of efficiency, for the abolition or merging of funds which play a significant role in regional**

*development and in cohesion; recommends abandoning the Globalisation Adjustment Fund as an independent instrument and taking appropriate account of its remit in the Social Fund; calls for a review of the extent to which merging the Cohesion Fund and the Regional Development Fund might be compatible with the European Treaties; observes that expenditure from the European Regional Development Fund and the Cohesion Fund is as a rule used to finance similar types of project;*

Or. de

**Amendment 697**  
**Marta Andreasen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 67**

*Motion for a resolution*

67. Considers of utmost importance using resources in the most effective and efficient manner possible, *improving coordination and creating greater synergies among the ERDF, the ESF, the cohesion fund, the EAFRD and the European Fisheries Fund (EFF); believes, accordingly, that the creation of a common strategic framework setting out common investment priorities for all these funds represents an important step in this direction; is convinced that the ESF must remain an integral component of cohesion policy;*

*Amendment*

67. Considers of utmost importance using resources in the most effective and efficient manner possible;

Or. en

**Amendment 698**  
**Guy Verhofstadt, Carl Haglund, Anne E. Jensen, Riikka Manner, Giommara Uggias,**

**on behalf of the ALDE Group**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 67**

*Motion for a resolution*

67. Considers of utmost importance using resources in the most effective and efficient manner possible, improving coordination and creating greater synergies among the ERDF, the ESF, the cohesion fund, the EAFRD and the European Fisheries Fund (EFF); believes, accordingly, that the creation of a common strategic framework setting out common investment priorities for all these funds represents an important step in this direction; is convinced that the ESF must remain an integral component of cohesion policy;

*Amendment*

67. Considers of utmost importance using resources in the most effective and efficient manner possible, improving coordination, **reducing unnecessary incompatibilities between all programmes** and creating greater synergies among the ERDF, the ESF, the cohesion fund, **Framework Programmes**, the EAFRD and the European Fisheries Fund (EFF) **as well as by avoiding duplication and improving coordination between the European Globalisation Fund (EGAF) and the ESF**; believes, accordingly, that the creation of a common strategic framework setting out common investment priorities for all these funds represents an important step in this direction; is **of the opinion that such priorities should secure considerable resources for necessary investments in transport, energy and research**; is convinced that the ESF must remain an integral component of cohesion policy;

Or. en

**Amendment 699**

**Cornelis de Jong**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 67**

*Motion for a resolution*

67. Considers of utmost importance using resources in the most effective and efficient manner possible, improving coordination and creating greater synergies **among the ERDF, the ESF, the cohesion fund, the EAFRD and the** European

*Amendment*

67. Considers of utmost importance using resources in the most effective and efficient manner possible, improving coordination and creating greater synergies **between the Cohesion Fund, the new Innovation and Sustainability Fund,**

Fisheries Fund (EFF); believes, accordingly, that the creation of a common strategic framework setting out common investment priorities for *all* these funds represents an important step in this direction; ***is convinced that the ESF must remain an integral component of cohesion policy;***

European Fisheries Fund (EFF) ***and CAP;*** believes, accordingly, that the creation of a common strategic framework setting out common investment priorities for these funds represents an important step in this direction;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 700**

**François Alfonsi, Elisabeth Schroedter, Bas Eickhout**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 67**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

67. Considers of utmost importance using resources in the most effective and efficient manner possible, improving coordination and creating greater synergies among the ERDF, the ESF, the cohesion fund, the EAFRD and the European Fisheries Fund (EFF); believes, accordingly, that the creation of a common strategic framework setting out common investment priorities for all these funds represents an important step in this direction; is convinced that the ESF must remain an integral component of cohesion policy;

##### *Amendment*

67. Considers of utmost importance using resources in the most effective and efficient manner possible, improving coordination and creating greater synergies among the ERDF, the ESF, the cohesion fund, the EAFRD and the European Fisheries Fund (EFF); believes, accordingly, that the creation of a common strategic framework setting out common investment priorities for all these funds represents an important step in this direction ***and that, although the budgetary resources come from different budget lines, this shall not prevent the creation of synergies;*** is convinced that the ESF must remain an integral component of cohesion policy ***but with its own rules;***

Or. en

#### **Amendment 701**

**Göran Färm, Ivailo Kalfin, Eider Gardiazábal Rubialon behalf of the S&D Group**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 67**

*Motion for a resolution*

67. Considers of utmost importance using resources in the most effective and efficient manner possible, improving coordination and creating greater synergies among the ERDF, the ESF, the cohesion fund, the EAFRD and the European Fisheries Fund (EFF); believes, accordingly, that the creation of a common strategic framework setting out common investment priorities for all these funds represents an important step in this direction; is convinced that the ESF must remain an integral component of cohesion policy;

*Amendment*

67. Considers of utmost importance using resources in the most effective and efficient manner possible, improving coordination and creating greater synergies among the ERDF, the ESF, the cohesion fund, the EAFRD and the European Fisheries Fund (EFF); believes, accordingly, that the creation of a common strategic framework setting out common investment priorities for all these funds represents an important step in this direction; ***believes nevertheless that coordination has to take place at all levels of policy making from strategic planning through delivery, including payments, closure, audit, control and evaluation;*** is convinced that the ESF must remain an integral component of cohesion policy, ***while preserving its autonomy;***

Or. en

**Amendment 702**

**Jan Olbrycht, Czesław Adam Siekierski, Danuta Maria Hübner**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 67**

*Motion for a resolution*

67. Considers of utmost importance using resources in the most effective and efficient manner possible, improving coordination and creating greater synergies among the ERDF, the ESF, the cohesion fund, the EAFRD and the European Fisheries Fund (EFF); believes, accordingly, that the creation of a common strategic framework setting out common investment priorities for all these funds represents an important step in this direction; is convinced that the ESF must remain an integral component of cohesion

*Amendment*

67. Considers of utmost importance using resources in the most effective and efficient manner possible, improving coordination and creating greater synergies among the ERDF, the ESF, the cohesion fund, the EAFRD and the European Fisheries Fund (EFF); believes, accordingly, that the creation of a common strategic framework setting out common investment priorities for all these funds represents an important step in this direction; is convinced that the ESF must remain an integral component of cohesion



policy;

policy *at all stages of its programming, implementation and management,*

Or. en

### **Amendment 703**

**Jürgen Klute, Gabriele Zimmer, Cornelia Ernst**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 67**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

67. Considers of utmost importance using resources in the most effective and efficient manner possible, improving coordination and creating greater synergies among the ERDF, the ESF, the cohesion fund, the EAFRD and the European Fisheries Fund (EFF); believes, accordingly, that the creation of a common strategic framework setting out common investment priorities for all these funds represents an important step in this direction; is convinced that the ESF must remain an integral component of cohesion policy;

###### *Amendment*

67. Considers of utmost importance using resources in the most effective and efficient manner possible, improving coordination and creating greater synergies among the ERDF, the ESF, the cohesion fund, the EAFRD and the European Fisheries Fund (EFF); believes, accordingly, that the creation of a common strategic framework setting out common investment priorities for all these funds represents an important step in this direction; is convinced that the ESF must remain an integral component of cohesion policy *under the general provisions on the funds, but with own rules and budget, as social cohesion in conformity with Article 9 of the TFEU is a core objective of the EU;*

Or. en

### **Amendment 704**

**Jürgen Klute, Gabriele Zimmer, Cornelia Ernst, Miguel Portas**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 67 a (new)**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

###### *Amendment*

**67a. Stresses that the ESF should be strengthened in view of the increasing**

*tasks in the field of social inclusion, i.e. the Four Employment Guidelines, the support of the Decent and Good Work principles, the fight against precarious and undeclared work, combating poverty, achieving gender equality and appropriate conditions for the reconciliation of work and private life, and the (re-)integration in high equality employment; Reminds that the ESF has to adapt to specific local and territorial situations, therefore encourages a bottom-up approach in the identification of its aims;*

Or. en

**Amendment 705**

**Elisabeth Schroedter, Franziska Katharina Brantner, Isabelle Durant, Bas Eickhout**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 67 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*67b. Notes that increasing women's employment rate is crucial to reaching the employment target of the 2020 Strategy and that therefore barriers to women's labour market participation must be fully addressed; emphasises that the European Social Fund should provide adequate resources to tackle gender inequalities in labour market and that European Regional Development Fund must provide adequate financing for care infrastructure for children, elderly, and other dependents;*

Or. en

**Amendment 706**

**Elisabeth Schroedter**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 67 c (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**67c. Takes the view that the flagship initiative on new skills and jobs should allow wider focus on youth, early-school leavers, older people, women, disadvantaged and disabled people and migrants; advocates a more strategic application of the European Social Fund (ESF) by directing funds dedicated to the EU2020 priority smart growth to measures aimed at vocational training, mobility of young people, supporting active ageing, improving labour market access and re-integration; creating the right skills for a post-carbon resource and energy efficient society, combating unemployment, poverty, discrimination, loss of cultural diversity and social exclusion;**

Or. en

**Amendment 707**  
**Göran Färm, Ivailo Kalfin, Eider Gardiazábal Rubial on behalf of the S&D Group**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 67 d (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**67d. Draws attention to the ESF's fundamental role in meeting the Europe 2020 strategy social and employment objectives; takes the view that it should therefore be treated as a political priority and funded accordingly;**

Or. fr

**Amendment 708**

**Jan Olbrycht, Sidonia Elżbieta Jędrzejewska, Czesław Adam Siekierski, Danuta Maria Hübner**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 67 e (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**67e. Believes that territorial cooperation is a source of European Added Value and has proved its effectiveness, therefore it ought to be appropriately funded;**

Or. en

**Amendment 709**

**Patrice Tirolien, Luís Paulo Alves, Vincent Peillon**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 67 f (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**67f. Draws attention to the synergies yet to be harnessed between cohesion policy, the other sectoral policies and EU external action, in particular as regards outlying and outermost regions; calls for better coordination and cross-financing arrangements between cohesion funding and financial instruments coming under external policies, such as the EDF and the ENPI;**

Or. fr

**Amendment 710**

**Ricardo Cortés Lastra, María Irigoyen Pérez**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 68**

*Motion for a resolution*

68. Believes that cities can play an important role in regional development and contribute to tackling the economic and social disparities on the ground; stresses, accordingly, the necessity for a strengthened and more focused approach to the urban dimension of cohesion policy;

*Amendment*

68. Believes that cities, ***as functional urban areas***, can play an important role in regional development and contribute to tackling the economic and social disparities on the ground; stresses, accordingly, the necessity for a strengthened and more focused approach to the urban dimension of cohesion policy;

Or. en

**Amendment 711**  
**Pat the Cope Gallagher**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 68**

*Motion for a resolution*

68. Believes that cities can play an important role in regional development and contribute to tackling the economic and social disparities on the ground; stresses, accordingly, the necessity for a strengthened and more focused approach to the urban dimension of cohesion policy;

*Amendment*

68. Believes that cities, ***as functional urban areas***, can play an important role in regional development and contribute to tackling the economic and social disparities on the ground; stresses, accordingly, the necessity for a strengthened and more focused approach to the urban dimension of cohesion policy;

Or. en

**Amendment 712**  
**Lorenzo Fontana**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 68**

*Motion for a resolution*

68. Believes that cities can play an important role in regional development and contribute to tackling the economic and

*Amendment*

68. Believes that cities, ***as functional urban areas***, can play an important role in regional development and contribute to

social disparities on the ground; stresses, accordingly, the necessity for a strengthened and more focused approach to the urban dimension of cohesion policy;

tackling the economic and social disparities on the ground; stresses, accordingly, the necessity for a strengthened and more focused approach to the urban dimension of cohesion policy;

Or. en

**Amendment 713**  
**Marta Andreasen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 68**

*Motion for a resolution*

68. Believes that cities can play an important role in regional development and contribute to tackling the economic and social disparities on the ground; ***stresses, accordingly, the necessity for a strengthened and more focused approach to the urban dimension of cohesion policy;***

*Amendment*

68. Believes that cities can play an important role in regional development and contribute to tackling the economic and social disparities on the ground;

Or. en

**Amendment 714**  
**François Alfonsi, Elisabeth Schroedter, Bas Eickhout**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 68**

*Motion for a resolution*

68. Believes that ***cities*** can play an important role in regional development and contribute to tackling ***the economic and social disparities on the ground***; stresses, accordingly, the necessity for a strengthened and more focused approach to the urban dimension ***of cohesion policy***;

*Amendment*

68. Believes that ***urban areas*** can play an important role in regional development and contribute to tackling ***urban problems, whether related to environmental degradation or to social exclusion***; stresses, accordingly, the necessity for a strengthened and more focused ***horizontal local development*** approach ***including EU support*** to the urban dimension ***to rural***

*areas, to urban-rural links and to functional areas;*

Or. en

**Amendment 715**

**Göran Färm, Ivailo Kalfin, Eider Gardiazábal Rubial on behalf of the S&D Group**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 68**

*Motion for a resolution*

68. Believes that cities can play an important role in regional development and contribute to tackling the economic and social disparities on the ground; stresses, accordingly, the necessity for a strengthened and more focused approach to the urban dimension of cohesion policy;

*Amendment*

68. Believes that cities - *as places with a high concentration of challenges (unemployment, social exclusion, migration)* - can play an important role in regional development and contribute to tackling the economic and social disparities on the ground; stresses, accordingly, the necessity for a strengthened and more focused approach to the urban dimension of cohesion policy *while ensuring at the same time balanced conditions for synergic development of urban, suburban and rural areas including urban mobility projects;*

Or. en

**Amendment 716**

**Markus Pieper, Lambert van Nistelrooij, Monika Hohlmeier**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 68**

*Motion for a resolution*

68. Believes that cities can play an important role in regional development and contribute to tackling the economic and social disparities on the ground; stresses, accordingly, the necessity for a **strengthened and** more focused approach

*Amendment*

68. Believes that cities can play an important role in regional development and contribute to tackling the economic and social disparities on the ground; stresses, accordingly, the necessity for a more focused approach to the urban dimension

to the urban dimension of cohesion policy;

of cohesion policy;

Or. de

#### **Amendment 717**

**Othmar Karas**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 68**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

68. Believes that cities can play an important role in regional development and contribute to tackling the economic and social disparities on the ground; stresses, accordingly, the necessity for a strengthened and more focused approach to the urban dimension of cohesion policy;

##### *Amendment*

68. Believes that cities can play an important role in regional development and contribute to tackling the economic and social disparities on the ground; stresses, accordingly, the necessity for a strengthened and more focused approach to the urban dimension of cohesion policy; ***recalls that the relationship between cities and their peripheries as functional urban areas should be taken into consideration.***

Or. en

#### **Amendment 718**

**Rodi Kratsa-Tsagaropoulou**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 68**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

68. Believes that cities can play an important role in regional development and contribute to tackling the economic and social disparities on the ground; stresses, accordingly, the necessity for a strengthened and more focused approach to the urban dimension of cohesion policy;

##### *Amendment*

68. Believes that cities can play an important role in regional development and contribute to tackling the economic and social disparities on the ground; stresses, accordingly, the necessity for a strengthened and more focused approach to the urban dimension of cohesion policy; ***at the same time, attention must be given to the regions, in particular island regions, suffering from severe problems regarding territorial cohesion and adequate***



*infrastructures while making a major contribution to growth through tourism and economic activities in the primary sector;*

Or. el

**Amendment 719**

**Guy Verhofstadt, Carl Haglund, Riikka Manner, Giommaria Uggias, on behalf of the ALDE Group**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 68 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*68a. Recognizes that according to the Treaty of Lisbon particular attention should be paid to rural areas, areas affected by industrial transition, and regions suffering from severe and permanent natural or demographic handicaps such as the northernmost regions with very low population density and island, cross-border and mountain regions; believes that resources and capacities found within these regions can have a significant role in the future competitiveness of the European Union; stresses, accordingly, that these areas facing challenges should be recognized also in the future MFF;*

Or. en

**Amendment 720**

**Göran Färm, Ivailo Kalfin, Eider Gardiazábal Rubial on behalf of the S&D Group**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 68 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*68b. Recognizes that according to the*

*Treaty particular attention should be paid to rural areas, areas affected by industrial transition, and regions suffering from severe and permanent natural or demographic handicaps such as the northernmost regions with very low population density and island, cross-border and mountain regions; believes that resources and capacities found within these regions can have a significant role in the future competitiveness of the European Union; stresses, accordingly, that these areas facing challenges should be recognized also in the future MFF;*

Or. en

**Amendment 721**

**Patrice Tirolien, Vincent Peillon, Luís Paulo Alves**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 68 c (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*68c. Recognizes that according to the Treaty particular attention should be paid to rural areas, areas affected by industrial transition, and regions suffering from severe and permanent natural or demographic handicaps such as outmost regions or regions with very low population density and island, cross-border and mountain regions; believes that resources and capacities found within these regions can have a significant role in the future competitiveness of the European Union; stresses, accordingly, that these areas facing challenges should be recognized also in the future MFF*

Or. en

**Amendment 722**

**Reimer Böge, Marietta Giannakou, Ioannis Kasoulides, Sophie Auconie on behalf of the EPP Group**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 68 d (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***68d. Recalls that, in the context of EU cohesion policy, ‘particular attention shall be paid to regions that suffer from severe and permanent natural or demographic handicaps’ (Article 174 § 3 TFEU), such as mountains, islands, cross border and northernmost regions with very low population density; believes that a special strategy needs to be elaborated for those regions, as set out in the EP resolution of the 22 September 2010, and duly reflected in the next MFF; reiterates its position that specific measures should continue to be taken in favour of the outermost regions (Article 349 TFEU);***

Or. en

**Amendment 723**

**Riikka Manner**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 68 e (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***68e. Welcomes the territorial aspect of the Treaty of Lisbon; recognizes the EU added value of the cross boarder projects and underlines that sufficient funding for territorial cooperation should be guaranteed; asks the Commission to evaluate whether the already functioning structures found within regional policies could be applied also to the administration of other cross-boarder instruments, including ENPI, to facilitate***

*the functioning of programmes;*

Or. en

**Amendment 724**

**Monika Hohlmeier, Markus Pieper, Albert Deß**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 68 f (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***68f. Considers that a purposeful policy to develop rural areas will remain very important with a view to creating sustainable economic structures, providing equal opportunities in social development and making rural areas attractive to young people;***

Or. de

**Amendment 725**

**Jürgen Klute, Gabriele Zimmer, Cornelia Ernst**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 68 g (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***68g. Believes that not only cities but also rural suburban zones can play an important role in regional development; stresses the necessity for a more focused approach to rural suburban zones in cohesion policy;***

Or. en

**Amendment 726**

**Lorenzo Fontana**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 68 h (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**68h. as well as integrated urban system,  
regardless their concentrated or  
polycentric structure;**

Or. en

**Amendment 727**  
**Gunnar Hökmark**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 69**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

69. Recalls that one of the main criticisms directed at cohesion policy relates to the complexity of its rules; insists on the importance of simplifying the rules and procedures of this policy, on reducing complexity and administrative burdens, and on a more transparent and effective allocation of resources to cities, municipalities and regions; emphasises that the frequency of checks should be commensurate with the risk of irregularities in keeping with the proportionality principle;

69. Recalls that ***inefficiency must be cut to a minimum and abuses must be caught and promptly sanctioned***; one of the main criticisms directed at cohesion policy relates to the complexity of its rules; insists on the importance of simplifying the rules and procedures of this policy, on reducing complexity and administrative burdens, and on a more transparent and effective allocation of resources to cities, municipalities and regions; emphasises that the frequency of checks should be commensurate with the risk of irregularities in keeping with the proportionality principle;

Or. en

**Amendment 728**  
**Ricardo Cortés Lastra, María Irigoyen Pérez**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 69**

*Motion for a resolution*

69. Recalls that one of the main criticisms directed at cohesion policy relates to the complexity of its rules; insists on the importance of simplifying the rules and procedures of this policy, on reducing complexity and administrative burdens, and on a more transparent and effective allocation of resources to cities, municipalities and regions; emphasises that ***the frequency of checks should be commensurate with the risk of irregularities in keeping with the proportionality principle;***

*Amendment*

69. Recalls that one of the main criticisms directed at cohesion policy relates to the complexity of its rules ***and the disproportionate requirements on small programmes and projects;*** insists on the importance of simplifying the rules and procedures of this policy, on ***maintaining the main lines of the current implementing system instead of making fundamental modifications,*** on reducing complexity and administrative burdens, and on a more transparent and effective allocation of resources to cities, municipalities and regions; emphasises that ***financial control and audit practices must be clearly based on regulatory compliance and should respect the proportionality principle;***

Or. en

**Amendment 729**  
**Pat the Cope Gallagher**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 69**

*Motion for a resolution*

69. Recalls that one of the main criticisms directed at cohesion policy relates to the complexity of its rules; insists on the importance of simplifying the rules and procedures of this policy, on reducing complexity and administrative burdens, and on a more transparent and effective allocation of resources to cities, municipalities and regions; emphasises that ***the frequency of checks should be commensurate with the risk of irregularities in keeping with the proportionality principle;***

*Amendment*

69. Recalls that one of the main criticisms directed at cohesion policy relates to the complexity of its rules ***and the disproportionate requirements on small programmes and projects;*** insists on the importance of simplifying the rules and procedures of this policy, on reducing complexity and administrative burdens, and on a more transparent and effective allocation of resources to cities, municipalities and regions; emphasises that ***financial control and audit practices must be clearly based on regulatory compliance and should be in keeping with the***

proportionality principle *and strongly opposes the process of creeping regulation in implementing cohesion policy*;

Or. en

**Amendment 730**

**Göran Färm, Ivailo Kalfin, Eider Gardiazábal Rubial on behalf of the S&D Group**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 69**

*Motion for a resolution*

69. Recalls that one of the main criticisms directed at cohesion policy relates to the complexity of its rules; insists on the importance of simplifying the rules and procedures of this policy, on reducing complexity and administrative burdens, and on a more transparent and effective allocation of resources to cities, municipalities and regions; emphasises that the frequency of checks should be commensurate with the risk of irregularities in keeping with the proportionality principle;

*Amendment*

69. Recalls that one of the main criticisms directed at cohesion policy relates to the complexity of its rules; insists on the importance of *cross-financing and of* simplifying the rules and procedures of this policy, on reducing complexity and administrative burdens, and on a more transparent and effective allocation of resources to cities, municipalities and regions; emphasises that the frequency of checks should be commensurate with the risk of irregularities in keeping with the proportionality principle;

Or. en

**Amendment 731**

**Ilda Figueiredo, Eva-Britt Svensson**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 69**

*Motion for a resolution*

69. Recalls that one of the main criticisms directed at cohesion policy relates to the complexity of its rules; insists on the importance of simplifying the rules and procedures of this policy, on reducing complexity and administrative burdens,

*Amendment*

69. Recalls that one of the main criticisms directed at cohesion policy relates to the complexity of its rules; insists on the importance of simplifying the rules and procedures of this policy, on reducing complexity and administrative burdens,

and on a more transparent and effective allocation of resources to cities, municipalities and regions; emphasises that the frequency of checks should be commensurate with the risk of irregularities in keeping with the proportionality principle;

and on a more transparent and effective allocation of resources to cities, municipalities and regions *as well as civil society actors*; emphasises that the frequency of checks should be commensurate with the risk of irregularities in keeping with the proportionality principle;

Or. en

### **Amendment 732**

**François Alfonsi, Elisabeth Schroedter, Bas Eickhout**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 69**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

69. Recalls that one of the main criticisms directed at cohesion policy relates to the complexity of its rules; insists on the importance of simplifying the rules and procedures of this policy, on reducing complexity and administrative burdens, and on a more transparent and effective allocation of resources to cities, municipalities and regions; emphasises that the frequency of checks should be commensurate with the risk of irregularities in keeping with the proportionality principle;

##### *Amendment*

69. Recalls that one of the main criticisms directed at cohesion policy relates to the complexity of its rules; insists on the importance of simplifying the rules and procedures of this policy, on reducing complexity and administrative burdens, and on a more transparent and effective allocation of resources to cities, municipalities and regions; ***Stresses that the audit and control systems of each region should comply with the highest standards***; emphasises that the frequency of checks should be commensurate with the risk of irregularities in keeping with the proportionality principle ***and that the number of on-the-spot audits by the Commission should be increased***;

Or. en

### **Amendment 733**

**Giovanni La Via, Mario Mauro, Erminia Mazzoni**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 69**



*Motion for a resolution*

69. Recalls that one of the main criticisms directed at cohesion policy relates to the complexity of its rules; insists on the importance of simplifying the rules and procedures of this policy, on reducing complexity and administrative burdens, and on a more transparent and effective allocation of resources to cities, municipalities and regions; emphasises that ***the frequency of checks should be commensurate with the risk of irregularities in keeping with the proportionality principle;***

*Amendment*

69. Recalls that one of the main criticisms directed at cohesion policy has to do with the complexity of its rules; insists on the importance of simplifying the rules and procedures of this policy, on reducing complexity and administrative burdens, and on a more transparent and effective allocation of resources to cities, municipalities and regions; emphasises that checks should be ***carried out on the basis of a uniform definition of irregularity;***

Or. it

**Amendment 734**  
**Marta Andreasen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 69**

*Motion for a resolution*

69. Recalls that one of the main criticisms directed at cohesion policy relates to the complexity of its rules; insists on the importance of simplifying the rules and procedures of this policy, on reducing complexity and administrative burdens, and on a more transparent and effective allocation of resources to cities, municipalities and regions; emphasises that the frequency of checks should be commensurate with the risk of irregularities in keeping with the proportionality principle;

*Amendment*

69. Recalls that one of the main criticisms directed at cohesion policy relates to the complexity of its rules; insists on the importance of simplifying the rules and procedures of this policy, on reducing complexity and administrative burdens, and on a more transparent and effective allocation of resources to cities, municipalities and regions; emphasises that the frequency of checks should be commensurate with the risk of irregularities in keeping with the proportionality principle; ***points out that even if simplification has been requested from the Commission for at least the last five years, there has been no progress;***

Or. en

**Amendment 735**  
**Rodi Kratsa-Tsagaropoulou**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 69**

*Motion for a resolution*

69. Recalls that one of the main criticisms directed at cohesion policy relates to the complexity of its rules; insists on the importance of simplifying the rules and procedures of this policy, on reducing complexity and administrative burdens, and on a more transparent and effective allocation of resources to cities, municipalities and regions; emphasises that the frequency of checks should be commensurate with the risk of irregularities in keeping with the proportionality principle;

*Amendment*

69. Recalls that one of the main criticisms directed at cohesion policy relates to the complexity of its rules; insists on the importance of simplifying the rules and procedures of this policy, on reducing complexity and administrative burdens, and on a more transparent and effective allocation of resources to cities, municipalities and regions; emphasises that the frequency of checks should be commensurate with the risk of irregularities in keeping with the proportionality principle; ***urges also that funding be distributed on the basis of the most recent statistical data from Eurostat so as to take full account of the consequences of the economic crisis;***

Or. el

**Amendment 736**  
**François Alfonsi, Elisabeth Schroedter, Bas Eickhout**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 69 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

***69a. Stresses the EAV of the cross-border, transnational and interregional cooperation and the necessity for a strengthened and more focused approach to the territorial cooperation dimension of cohesion policy;***

*Amendment*

Or. en

**Amendment 737**  
**Miguel Portas**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 70**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**70. Stresses the need for a more results-oriented approach to cohesion policy and calls for an improvement to the monitoring and evaluation systems as regards its implementation; believes that the elaboration of concrete and measurable outcome indicators should be regarded as a prerequisite for measuring the actual progress achieved towards the agreed targets; welcomes the Commission proposals for an ex-ante, on-going and impact evaluation of each operational programme;**

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 738**  
**Jürgen Klute, Cornelia Ernst, Gabriele Zimmer, Miguel Portas**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 70**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**70. Stresses the need for a more results-oriented approach to cohesion policy and calls for an improvement to the monitoring and evaluation systems as regards its implementation; believes that the elaboration of concrete and measurable outcome indicators should be regarded as a prerequisite for measuring the actual progress achieved towards the agreed targets; welcomes the Commission proposals for an ex-ante, on-going and impact evaluation of each operational**

**70. Calls for an improvement to the monitoring and evaluation systems as regards cohesion policy's implementation; cautions against adding to the administrative burden of cohesion policy by introducing further reporting obligations that go beyond the current procedures; welcomes the Commission proposals for an ex-ante, on-going and impact evaluation of each operational programme;**

programme;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 739**

**François Alfonsi, Elisabeth Schroedter, Bas Eickhout**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 70**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

70. Stresses the need for a more results-oriented approach to cohesion policy and calls for an improvement to the monitoring and evaluation systems as regards its implementation; believes that the elaboration of concrete and measurable outcome indicators should be regarded as a prerequisite for measuring the actual progress achieved towards the agreed targets; welcomes the Commission proposals for an ex-ante, on-going and impact evaluation of each operational programme;

##### *Amendment*

70. Stresses the need for a more results-oriented approach to cohesion policy and calls for an improvement to the monitoring and evaluation systems as regards its implementation; ***emphasises that the partnership principle can play a crucial role in this improvement and has to be upgraded in the context of simplification;*** believes that the elaboration of concrete and measurable outcome indicators should be regarded as a prerequisite for measuring the actual progress achieved towards the agreed targets; welcomes the Commission proposals for an ex-ante, on-going and impact evaluation of each operational programme; ***reminds that other principles of cohesion policy, such as multi-level governance, bottom-up approach, gender mainstreaming including gender budgeting, equal opportunities, integrated approach and additionality have proven their importance;***

Or. en

#### **Amendment 740**

**Ilda Figueiredo**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 70**

*Motion for a resolution*

70. Stresses the need for a more results-oriented approach to cohesion policy and calls for an improvement to the monitoring and evaluation systems as regards its implementation; believes that the elaboration of concrete and measurable outcome indicators should be regarded as a prerequisite for measuring the actual progress achieved towards the agreed targets; **welcomes the Commission proposals for an ex-ante, on-going and impact evaluation of each operational programme;**

*Amendment*

70. Stresses the need for a more results-oriented approach to cohesion policy and calls for an improvement to the monitoring and evaluation systems as regards its implementation; believes that the elaboration of concrete and measurable outcome indicators should be regarded as a prerequisite for measuring the actual progress achieved towards the agreed targets;

Or. pt

**Amendment 741**  
**Cornelis de Jong**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 70**

*Motion for a resolution*

70. Stresses the need for a more results-oriented approach to cohesion policy and calls for an improvement to the monitoring and evaluation systems as regards its implementation; believes that the elaboration of concrete and measurable outcome indicators should be regarded as a prerequisite for measuring the actual progress achieved towards the agreed targets; welcomes the Commission proposals for an ex-ante, on-going and impact evaluation of each operational programme;

*Amendment*

70. Stresses the need for a more results-oriented approach to cohesion policy and calls for an improvement to the monitoring and evaluation systems as regards its implementation; believes that the elaboration of concrete and measurable outcome indicators should be regarded as a prerequisite for measuring the actual progress achieved towards the agreed targets; welcomes the Commission proposals for an ex-ante, on-going and impact evaluation of each operational programme; **considers the mandatory national management declarations issued and signed at ministerial level and duly audited by an independent auditor a necessary and indispensable step to counter the current lack of ownership and the widespread perception of financial**

*mismanagement at European level, therefore urges the Member States to issue these declarations;*

Or. en

**Amendment 742**  
**Patrice Tirolien**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 70**

*Motion for a resolution*

70. Stresses the need for a more results-oriented approach to cohesion policy and calls for an improvement to the monitoring and evaluation systems as regards its implementation; believes that the elaboration of concrete and measurable outcome indicators should be regarded as a prerequisite for measuring the actual progress achieved towards the agreed targets; welcomes the Commission proposals for an ex-ante, on-going and impact evaluation of each operational programme;

*Amendment*

70. Stresses the need for a more results-oriented approach to cohesion policy and calls for an improvement to the monitoring and evaluation systems as regards its implementation; believes that the elaboration of concrete and measurable outcome indicators should be regarded as a prerequisite for measuring the actual progress achieved towards the agreed targets; welcomes the Commission proposals for an ex-ante, on-going and impact evaluation of each operational programme; *calls on the Commission to flesh out its proposals for innovative indicators which, alongside GDP, can, in particular, secure a higher level of development in our regions;*

Or. fr

**Amendment 743**  
**Reimer Böge, Markus Pieper on behalf of the EPP Group**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 70 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*70a. Reminds that co-financing is one of the fundamental principles of cohesion*

*policy and should, therefore, be maintained in the next programming period; believes in a differentiated approach as regards the level of co-financing for regions eligible under the different Objectives of cohesion policy; underlines that the maximum level of EU co-financing needs always to be respected;*

Or. en

**Amendment 744**

**François Alfonsi, Elisabeth Schroedter, Bas Eickhout**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 70 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*70b. Considers that transparency in the allocation of funds fosters correct implementation and is a key precondition for achieving the overall objectives of cohesion policy and as such needs to be reinforced; strongly believes that the disclosure of the list of beneficiaries is an efficient tool for implementation that should be continued while endorsing good governance through coherence with EU priorities;*

Or. en

**Amendment 745**

**Sophie Auconie, Seán Kelly**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 70 c (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*70c. Notes the Commission's proposal for a new intermediate category for regions*

*whose GDP stands at between 75% and 90% of EU GDP; notes also that this arrangement is intended to replace the current phasing-out and phasing-in system, in order to counter the 'threshold effect' apparent at 75% of EU GDP (current eligibility threshold between Objective 1 and Objective 2) and to ensure equal treatment of these regions; considers that account needs to be taken of the difficulties of both those regions which, from 2013 onwards, will for the first time no longer be eligible under the convergence objective and those which, although they have been eligible under the competitiveness objective during the current programming period, continue to face structural socio-economic difficulties as regards meeting the Europe 2020 strategy objectives; considers that it will be possible to create this category as a result of the fact that a large number of regions have moved above the 75%-of-EU-GDP threshold and will thus automatically no longer come under the convergence objective; stresses that the creation of this category should not penalise regions currently in receipt of support under the convergence or competitiveness objectives, nor should it result in an increase in the cohesion policy budget;*

Or. fr

**Amendment 746**

**Göran Färm, Ivailo Kalfin, Eider Gardiazábal Rubial on behalf of the S&D Group**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 70 d (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*70d. considers that the Commission proposal for an intermediary category should be encouraged; asks the*



*Commission to provide further information on the budgetary consequences of such a proposal;*

Or. en

**Amendment 747**

**Reimer Böge, Marietta Giannakou, Milan Zver, José Manuel Fernandes on behalf of the EPP Group**

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 70 e (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*70e. Calls on the Commission, in view of the next programming period, to establish a more comprehensive system of gradual transitional assistance, especially for regions that will no longer be eligible under the Convergence Objective, in order to provide them with a clearer status and more security in their development;*

Or. en

**Amendment 748**

**Markus Pieper, Monika Hohlmeier**

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 71**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*71. Warns against subjecting cohesion funds to any kind of macroeconomic conditionality linked to the Stability and Growth Pact as this would go against the very objectives that cohesion policy is set to pursue;*

*deleted*

Or. de

**Amendment 749**  
**François Alfonsi, Elisabeth Schroedter, Bas Eickhout**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 71**

*Motion for a resolution*

71. **Warns against** subjecting cohesion funds to any kind of macroeconomic conditionality linked to the Stability and Growth Pact as this would go against the very objectives that cohesion policy is set to pursue;

*Amendment*

71. **Rejects** subjecting cohesion funds to any kind of macroeconomic conditionality linked to the Stability and Growth Pact as this would go against the very objectives that cohesion policy is set to pursue;

Or. en

**Amendment 750**  
**Cornelis de Jong, Jürgen Klute**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 71**

*Motion for a resolution*

71. Warns against subjecting **cohesion funds** to any kind of macroeconomic conditionality linked to the Stability and Growth Pact as this would go against the very objectives that cohesion policy is set to pursue;

*Amendment*

71. Warns against subjecting **the Cohesion Fund** to any kind of macroeconomic conditionality linked to the Stability and Growth Pact as this would go against the very objectives that cohesion policy is set to pursue;

Or. en

**Amendment 751**  
**Bas Eickhout**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 71**

*Motion for a resolution*

71. Warns against subjecting cohesion funds to any kind of macroeconomic conditionality linked to the Stability and

*Amendment*

71. Warns against subjecting cohesion funds to any kind of **sanctions in the framework of** macroeconomic

Growth Pact as this would go against the very objectives that cohesion policy is set to pursue;

conditionality linked to the Stability and Growth Pact as this would go against the very objectives that cohesion policy is set to pursue, ***namely the reduction of regional disparities***;

Or. en

**Amendment 752**  
**Marietta Giannakou**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 71**

*Motion for a resolution*

71. ***Warns against*** subjecting cohesion funds to any kind of macroeconomic conditionality linked to the Stability and Growth Pact as ***this would go*** against the very objectives that cohesion policy is set to pursue;

*Amendment*

71. ***Considers*** subjecting cohesion funds to any kind of macroeconomic conditionality linked to the Stability and Growth Pact as ***negative and*** against the very objectives that cohesion policy is set to pursue;

Or. en

**Amendment 753**  
**Anne E. Jensen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 71**

*Motion for a resolution*

71. Warns against subjecting cohesion funds to ***any kind of*** macroeconomic conditionality linked to the Stability and Growth Pact as this would go against the very objectives that cohesion policy is set to pursue;

*Amendment*

71. Warns against subjecting cohesion funds to macroeconomic conditionality linked to the Stability and Growth Pact as this would go against the very objectives that cohesion policy is set to pursue;

Or. en

**Amendment 754**  
**Markus Pieper, Monika Hohlmeier**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 71 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***71a. Calls for a proposal for more stringent arrangements, which would be triggered automatically, to step up surveillance to ensure that structural funding is used lawfully and in accordance with the intended objective, in the case of Member States which seriously infringe the EU stability criteria and at the same time use structural funding in ways which entail particularly conspicuous patterns;***

Or. de

**Amendment 755**  
**Alain Lamassoure, Reimer Böge**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 71 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***71b. Stresses, in connection with the use of structural funding outside the cohesion countries, the need to avoid spreading support too thinly and instead to focus it on projects that are of genuine European relevance, either because of their scale or because, as pilot projects, they are likely to serve as a model for others;***

Or. en

**Amendment 756**  
**Helga Trüpel, Bas Eickhout**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 71 c (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**71c. Stresses the positive impact of culture and education programmes in terms of EU cohesion objectives;**

Or. en

**Amendment 757**  
**Riikka Manner**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 72**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

72. Is particularly concerned about the slow start of the operational programmes in the beginning of each programming period due, among other reasons, to an overlapping phase with the completion of the previous ones; draws attention to the fact that this problem needs to be tackled on time by addressing the factors that contribute to such delays;

72. Is particularly concerned about the slow start of the operational programmes in the beginning of each programming period due, among other reasons, to an overlapping phase with the completion of the previous ones; draws attention to the fact that this problem needs to be tackled on time by addressing the factors that contribute to such delays; ***asks the Commission to evaluate whether pilot areas could be established in order to test new regulations in a smaller scale before regulations are applicable to rest of the regions in order to identify possible problems in the implementation;***

Or. en

**Amendment 758**  
**Andrea Cozzolino**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 72 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**72a. Stresses the need to find a better balance between growth and budget consolidation policies in order to avoid that the respect of Stability and Growth Pact requirements impedes Member States and local authorities from co-financing the Structural Funds programmes;**

Or. en

**Amendment 759**  
**Marta Andreasen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 73**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**73. Encourages local and regional authorities to make as much use as possible of the innovative financial instruments;**

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 760**  
**Cornelis de Jong**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 73**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**73. Encourages** local and regional authorities to **make as much use as possible of the** innovative financial instruments;

**73. Requests** local and regional authorities to **be prudent with using** innovative financial instruments;

Or. en

**Amendment 761**  
**Pat the Cope Gallagher**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 73**

*Motion for a resolution*

73. Encourages local and regional authorities to make as much use as possible of the innovative financial instruments;

*Amendment*

73. Encourages local and regional authorities to make as much use as possible of the innovative financial instruments **but requests that these instruments be simplified and configured to suit the development and administrative conditions of all regions;**

Or. en

**Amendment 762**  
**Ricardo Cortés Lastra, María Irigoyen Pérez**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 73**

*Motion for a resolution*

73. Encourages local and regional authorities to make as much use as possible of the innovative financial instruments;

*Amendment*

73. Encourages local and regional authorities to make as much use as possible of the innovative financial instruments **but requests that these instruments be simplified and configured to suit the development and administrative conditions of all regions;**

Or. en

**Amendment 763**  
**François Alfonsi, Elisabeth Schroedter, Bas Eickhout**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 73**

*Motion for a resolution*

73. Encourages local and regional authorities to make as much use as possible of the innovative financial instruments;

*Amendment*

73. Encourages local and regional authorities to make as much use as possible of the innovative financial instruments; ***draws attention, nonetheless, to the need for these innovative funding instruments to be subjected to greater democratic scrutiny at the same time as being further developed;***

Or. en

**Amendment 764**  
**Bas Eickhout**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 73**

*Motion for a resolution*

73. Encourages local and regional authorities to make as much use as possible of the innovative financial instruments;

*Amendment*

73. Encourages local and regional authorities to make as much use as possible of the innovative financial instruments ***e.g. via revolving funds, notably for energy efficiency measures, such as retro-fitting, and renewable energy infrastructure;***

Or. en

**Amendment 765**  
**Jürgen Klute, Cornelia Ernst, Gabriele Zimmer, Miguel Portas**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 73**

*Motion for a resolution*

73. Encourages local and regional authorities to make ***as much*** use ***as possible*** of the innovative financial instruments;

*Amendment*

73. Encourages local and regional authorities to make use of the innovative financial instruments; ***underscores that loans or revolving funds can support more efficient use of resources,***



*underlines that the use of grants should be preferential in certain policy fields and programmes;*

Or. en

**Amendment 766**  
**Miguel Portas**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 73**

*Motion for a resolution*

73. Encourages local and regional authorities to make *as much* use *as possible* of the innovative financial instruments;

*Amendment*

73. Encourages local and regional authorities to make use of the innovative financial instruments, *in particular by combining different resources for the same objectives*;

Or. pt

**Amendment 767**  
**Giovanni La Via, Mario Mauro, Erminia Mazzoni**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 73**

*Motion for a resolution*

73. Encourages local and regional authorities to *make as much* use *as possible* of *the* innovative financial instruments;

*Amendment*

73. Encourages local and regional authorities to *promote the* use of innovative financial instruments, *where appropriate*;

Or. it

**Amendment 768**  
**Giovanni La Via, Mario Mauro, Erminia Mazzoni**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 73 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**73a. Supports a more efficient approach to the granting of regional aid, with a focus on investments in infrastructure and horizontal aid in disadvantaged or the least developed regions of the EU, including the introduction of advantageous tax conditions for transitional periods not exceeding five years;**

Or. it

**Amendment 769**  
**Lorenzo Fontana**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 73 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**73b. Calls for more funding to be granted to those regions which have proven to be more scrupulous in the 2007-2013 financial period;**

Or. it

**Amendment 770**  
**Cornelis de Jong**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 74**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***Citizenship***

***Fostering European culture and diversity***

**74. Emphasises that promoting Union citizenship has a direct impact on the daily lives of Europeans and that it**

***deleted***

*contributes to a better understanding of the opportunities provided by Union policies;*

Or. en

**Amendment 771**  
**Ilda Figueiredo**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 74**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*74. Emphasises that promoting Union citizenship has a direct impact on the daily lives of Europeans and that it contributes to a better understanding of the opportunities provided by Union policies;* *deleted*

Or. pt

**Amendment 772**  
**Miguel Portas**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 74**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*74. Emphasises that promoting Union citizenship has a direct impact on the daily lives of Europeans and that it contributes to a better understanding of the opportunities provided by Union policies;* *deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 773**  
**Marta Andreasen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 74**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**74. Emphasises that promoting Union citizenship has a direct impact on the daily lives of Europeans and that it contributes to a better understanding of the opportunities provided by Union policies;**

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 774**  
**Jürgen Klute, Cornelia Ernst, Miguel Portas**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 74**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

74. Emphasises that promoting Union citizenship has a direct impact on the daily lives of *Europeans* and that it contributes to *a better understanding* of the *opportunities provided by Union policies*;

74. Emphasises that promoting Union citizenship has a direct impact on the daily lives of *residents in the Union* and that it contributes to better *access to the fundamental rights as enshrined in the European Charter of Fundamental Rights and the Treaties*;

Or. en

**Amendment 775**  
**Ilda Figueiredo, Eva-Britt Svensson**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 74**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

74. Emphasises that promoting Union citizenship has a direct impact on the daily lives of Europeans and that it contributes to a better understanding of the opportunities

74. Emphasises that promoting Union citizenship has a direct impact on the daily lives of Europeans and that it contributes to a better understanding of the opportunities

provided by Union policies;

provided by Union policies *and notes the important role played by civil society in promoting the values of democracy, respect for human rights and the rule of law;*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 776**

**Reimer Böge, Simon Busuttil on behalf of the EPP Group**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 74**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

74. Emphasises that promoting Union citizenship has a direct impact on the daily lives of Europeans and that it contributes to a better understanding of the opportunities provided by Union policies;

###### *Amendment*

74. Emphasises that promoting Union citizenship has a direct impact on the daily lives of Europeans and that it contributes to a better understanding of the opportunities provided by Union policies; *considers that the establishment of a ‘common European home’ for EU citizens, in which all citizens enjoy common and equal rights, should be the next big project of the Union, following its success in establishing a single market;*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 777**

**Göran Färm, Ivailo Kalfin, Eider Gardiazábal Rubial on behalf of the S&D Group**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 74 a (new)**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

###### *Amendment*

*74a. Calls for a multiannual programme integrating the existing programmes for democracy, information and communication, in order to facilitate a long-term planning for improving the participation in the European elections,*

*enhance understanding and increasing the involvement of European citizens in the work carried out by the EU institutions;*

Or. en

**Amendment 778**  
**Ramon Tremosa i Balcells**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 74 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*74b. Believes that in order to fully promote Union citizenship, it is very important to ensure that citizens who live in the EU but not in their native country are aware of the different languages and cultural traits of their new place of living, thereby strengthening a common European identity that is based on our internal diversity.*

Or. en

**Amendment 779**  
**Jürgen Klute, Cornelia Ernst, Miguel Portas**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 74 c (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*74c. Recognises the need to embrace the whole of European diversity as a tool to foster inclusive participation;*

Or. en

**Amendment 780**  
**Cornelis de Jong**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 75**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**75. Points out that youth-, and culture-related policies are essential priorities, especially in the context of the Europe 2020 strategy; strongly emphasises that the full potential of these policies can only be realised if they are provided with adequate levels of funding;**

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 781**  
**Miguel Portas**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 75**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

75. Points out that youth-, and culture-related policies are essential priorities, **especially in the context of the Europe 2020 strategy; strongly emphasises that the full potential of these policies** can only be realised if they are provided with adequate levels of funding;

75. Points out that youth-, and culture-related policies are essential priorities of **European integration; points out that their** full potential can only be realised if they are provided with adequate levels of funding; **takes the view, further, that the expansion of European lifelong learning programmes, in particular Erasmus and Leonardo da Vinci, must address the issue of social equity with regard to access, which means that they must be funded in accordance with conditions in the destination country;**

Or. pt

**Amendment 782**  
**Göran Färm, Ivailo Kalfin, Eider Gardiazábal Rubial on behalf of the S&D Group**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 75**

*Motion for a resolution*

75. Points out that youth-, and culture-related policies are essential priorities, especially in the context of the Europe 2020 strategy; strongly emphasises that the full potential of these policies can only be realised if they are provided with adequate levels of funding;

*Amendment*

75. Points out that youth-, and culture-related policies are essential priorities, especially in the context of the Europe 2020 strategy; ***highlights that failure to invest properly in education in the short term could compound and prolong the crisis, as citizens will not have the requisite skills for jobs in the new 'knowledge economy'; calls on the EU and the Member States to acknowledge the increasing importance of cultural and creative industries to the European economy and insists this must be reflected in our policies;*** strongly emphasises that the full potential of these policies can only be realised if they are provided with adequate levels of funding; ***and safeguarding their unique feature as single programmes;***

Or. en

**Amendment 783**  
**Helga Trüpel, Bas Eickhout**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 75**

*Motion for a resolution*

75. Points out that youth-, and culture-related policies are essential priorities, especially in the context of the Europe 2020 strategy; strongly emphasises that the full potential of these policies can only be realised if they are provided with adequate levels of funding;

*Amendment*

75. Points out that youth-, and culture-related policies are ***among the first policies to be recognised for their added value and reaching out to citizens and as such*** essential priorities, especially ***also*** in the context of the Europe 2020 strategy, ***as artists and creators are keys to innovation; underlines that thanks to programmes like MEDIA or the introduction of an innovative focus on Creative and Cultural industries,***



*entrepreneurship and creativeness become a main asset to the Agenda 2020, via the knowledge triangle, mobility or as soft location factors;* strongly emphasises that the full potential of these policies can only be realised if they are provided with adequate levels of funding *and if their potential is better used within rural development and cohesion policy;*

Or. en

**Amendment 784**  
**Marta Andreasen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 75**

*Motion for a resolution*

75. Points out that *youth-, and culture-related* policies are essential priorities, *especially in the context of the Europe 2020 strategy; strongly emphasises* that the full potential of these policies can *only* be realised if they are *provided with adequate levels of* funding;

*Amendment*

75. Points out that *youth-related* policies are essential priorities; *does not consider* that the full potential of these policies can be realised if they are *to depend on EU* funding; *stresses that Member States should consider this their own priority and provide the necessary funding;*

Or. en

**Amendment 785**  
**Jürgen Klute, Cornelia Ernst, Miguel Portas**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 75**

*Motion for a resolution*

75. Points out that youth-, and culture-related policies are essential priorities, *especially in the context of the Europe 2020 strategy;* strongly emphasises that the full potential of these policies can only be realised if they are provided with adequate

*Amendment*

75. Points out that youth-, and culture-related policies are essential priorities; strongly emphasises that the full potential of these policies can only be realised if they are provided with adequate levels of funding;

levels of funding;

Or. en

**Amendment 786**

**Franziska Katharina Brantner, Helga Trüpel, Bas Eickhout**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 75 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***75a. Calls on the Commission to maintain a separate Youth in Action Programme for the upcoming Multi-Financial Framework;***

Or. en

**Amendment 787**

**Franziska Katharina Brantner, Helga Trüpel, Bas Eickhout**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 75 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***75b. Welcomes the EU 2020 flagship initiative ‘youth on the move’ aiming at a better facilitation of the transition from education to the integration into the labour market by means of enhanced education and mobility; believes, however, that the current design of the initiative is not comprehensive enough; to this end calls for review of the objectives of each programme so as to ensure the promotion of equal opportunities not only targeting graduates, but to enlarge the clientele and reach out to more young people;***

Or. en

**Amendment 788**  
**Helga Trüpel, Bas Eickhout**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 75 c (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***75c. Demands for an adequate contribution through the EU budget to a ‘European Youth Guarantee’, securing the right of every young person in the EU to be offered a job, an apprenticeship, additional training or combined work and training after a maximum of 4 month’s unemployment;***

Or. en

**Amendment 789**  
**Sophie Auconie, Santiago Fisas Ayxela, Ivo Belet, Seán Kelly**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 75 d (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***75d. Recalls that sport is a new EU competence under the Treaty of Lisbon (article 165 of TFEU), which should also be reflected in the next MFF; stresses the important contribution of sport to health-promotion, education, social inclusion and the forging of an active European citizenship;***

Or. en

**Amendment 790**  
**Ilda Figueiredo, Eva-Britt Svensson**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 75 e (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***Fundamental rights, equality between women and men and anti-discrimination***

***75e. Emphasises that EU action in the area of citizenship covers promoting fundamental rights and values such as equality between women and men and anti-discrimination; emphasises that while these values must be promoted under all budget headings all budget lines, adequate targeted funding must be guaranteed;***

Or. en

**Amendment 791**

**Franziska Katharina Brantner, Elisabeth Schroedter, Isabelle Durant, Bas Eickhout**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 75 f (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***75f. Emphasises that EU action in the area of citizenship covers promoting fundamental rights and values enshrined in the Lisbon Treaty such as equality between women and men and anti-discrimination; emphasises that while these values must be promoted under all budget headings all budget lines, adequate targeted funding must be guaranteed;***

Or. en

**Amendment 792**

**Jürgen Klute, Cornelia Ernst, Miguel Portas**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 75 g (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**75g. Points out that equality and non-discrimination related policies are essential priorities;**

Or. en

**Amendment 793**

**Jürgen Klute, Cornelia Ernst, Miguel Portas**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 75 h (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**75h. Notes that the share of funding for the area of fundamental rights, equality and non-discrimination in the Union budget is relatively small and stresses that in the future MFF these policies must be allocated with appropriate and objectively justifiable funding to enable the Union to carry out its activities, especially those related to new tasks, as identified in the Treaty of Lisbon, in particular the Charter of Fundamental Rights;**

Or. en

**Amendment 794**

**Jürgen Klute, Cornelia Ernst, Miguel Portas**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 75 i (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**75i. Points out that the European Union programme on citizenship must also include actions which will ensure that the governance processes of the Union are more transparent so that residents can**

*participate more effectively; points out that adequate education campaigns about EU policies and EU spending should be supported and implemented, not as propaganda but as critical engagement by those most affected;*

Or. en

**Amendment 795**  
**Marta Andreasen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 76**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*76. Notes that economic, cultural and social growth of the Union can only thrive in a stable, lawful and secure environment, safeguarding civil liberties; considers, accordingly, that efficient home affairs policies are a pre-requisite for economic recovery and an essential element in a wider political and strategic context; underlines the important role of 'home affairs' policies in the Union's external dimension;*

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 796**  
**Göran Färm, Ivailo Kalfin, Eider Gardiazábal Rubial on behalf of the S&D Group**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 76**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

76. Notes that economic, cultural and social growth of the Union can only thrive in a stable, lawful and secure environment, safeguarding civil liberties; considers, accordingly, that efficient home affairs

76. Notes that economic, cultural and social growth of the Union can only thrive in a stable, lawful and secure environment, ***respecting and enforcing fundamental rights and*** safeguarding civil liberties;

policies are a pre-requisite for economic recovery and an essential *element in a wider political and strategic context*; underlines the *important role* of ‘home affairs’ *policies in* the Union’s external dimension;

considers, accordingly, that efficient home affairs policies are a pre-requisite for economic recovery and an essential *contribution to delivering the strategic goals of the EU 2020*; underlines the *importance of mainstreaming the EU priorities in the field of* ‘home affairs’ *into the Union’s external dimension especially into the European Neighbourhood, Instrument for Stability and Partnership Instrument and Development Cooperation Instrument*; stresses the need for the *appropriate financing of the immigration, asylum and security policies and also taking into account the priorities of the EU while implementing them*;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 797**

**Jürgen Klute, Cornelia Ernst, Miguel Portas**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 76**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

76. Notes that economic, cultural and social growth of the Union can only thrive in a stable, lawful and secure environment, safeguarding civil liberties; considers, accordingly, that *efficient* home affairs policies are a pre-requisite for economic recovery and an essential element in a wider political and strategic context; underlines the important role of ‘home affairs’ policies in the Union’s external dimension;

##### *Amendment*

76. Notes that economic, cultural and social growth of the Union can only thrive in a stable, lawful and secure environment, safeguarding civil liberties *and promoting freedom and equality*; considers, accordingly, that home affairs policies, *based on freedom and fundamental rights*, are a pre-requisite for economic recovery and an essential element in a wider political and strategic context; underlines the important role of *credible* home affairs policies in the Union’s *neighbourhood policy and the* external dimension;

Or. en

**Amendment 798**  
**Franziska Keller, Bas Eickhout, Judith Sargentini**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 76**

*Motion for a resolution*

76. Notes that economic, cultural and social growth of the Union can only thrive in a stable, lawful and secure environment, safeguarding civil liberties; considers, accordingly, that efficient home affairs policies are a pre-requisite for economic recovery and an essential element in a wider political and strategic context; underlines the important role of 'home affairs' policies in the Union's external dimension;

*Amendment*

76. Notes that economic, cultural and social growth of the Union can only thrive in a **free**, stable, lawful and secure environment, safeguarding civil liberties; considers, accordingly, that efficient **justice and** home affairs policies are a pre-requisite for **the well-being of its inhabitants, as well as playing a role in** economic recovery and an essential element in a wider political and strategic context; underlines the important role of **fundamental freedoms and justice- and** 'home affairs' policies in the Union's external dimension;

Or. en

**Amendment 799**  
**Marietta Giannakou**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 76 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

**76a. Believes that within the context of efficient home affair policies, the promotion of functional convergence of the judicial systems of the EU Member States could be taken into account in the next MFF;**

*Amendment*

Or. en

**Amendment 800**  
**Reimer Böge, Simon Busuttil, Giovanni La Via on behalf of the EPP Group**



**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 77**

*Motion for a resolution*

77. Stresses the need for an integrated approach towards pressing immigration and asylum questions as well as towards the management of the external borders of the Union, with sufficient funding and in a spirit of solidarity amongst all Member States;

*Amendment*

77. Stresses the need for an integrated approach towards pressing immigration and asylum questions as well as towards the management of the external borders of the Union, with sufficient funding and ***support tools to handle emergency situations made available*** in a spirit of solidarity amongst all Member States; ***notes that, in this regard, the increased challenges of FRONTEX, the European Asylum Support Office and the Funds on Solidarity and Management of Migration Flows need to be duly taken into consideration;***

Or. en

**Amendment 801**  
**Franziska Keller, Bas Eickhout, Judith Sargentini**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 77**

*Motion for a resolution*

77. Stresses the need for an integrated approach towards pressing immigration and asylum questions as well as towards the management of the external borders of the Union, with sufficient funding and in a spirit of solidarity amongst all Member States;

*Amendment*

77. Stresses the need for an integrated approach towards pressing immigration and asylum questions as well as towards the management of the external borders of the Union, with sufficient funding and in a spirit of ***respect for human rights, as well as*** solidarity amongst all Member States ***whilst not discharging national responsibilities and with a clear definition of tasks;***

Or. en

**Amendment 802**  
**Jürgen Klute, Cornelia Ernst, Miguel Portas**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 77**

*Motion for a resolution*

77. Stresses the need for an integrated approach towards pressing immigration and asylum questions *as well as towards the* management of the external borders of the Union, with sufficient funding and in a spirit of solidarity amongst all Member States;

*Amendment*

77. Stresses the need for an integrated *and fundamental rights based* approach towards pressing immigration and asylum questions *to achieve a human rights compliant* management of the external borders of the Union *which respects human dignity*, with sufficient funding and in a spirit of solidarity amongst all Member States;

Or. en

**Amendment 803**  
**Marta Andreasen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 77**

*Motion for a resolution*

77. Stresses the need for an *integrated* approach towards pressing immigration and asylum questions as well as towards the management of the external borders of the Union, *with sufficient funding and in a spirit of solidarity amongst all Member States*;

*Amendment*

77. Stresses the need for *the EU to adopt* an approach towards pressing immigration and asylum questions as well as towards the management of the external borders of the Union, *which does not increase the taxpayer's burden*;

Or. en

**Amendment 804**  
**Alexander Alvaro, Cecilia Wikström**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 77**

*Motion for a resolution*

77. Stresses the need for an integrated approach towards pressing immigration and asylum questions as well as towards the management of the external borders of the Union, with sufficient funding and in a spirit of solidarity amongst all Member States;

*Amendment*

77. Stresses the need for an integrated approach towards pressing immigration and asylum questions, ***which includes the European Neighbourhood policy***, as well as towards the management of the external borders of the Union, with sufficient funding and in a spirit of solidarity amongst all Member States;

Or. en

**Amendment 805**

**Giovanni La Via, Mario Mauro, Salvatore Iacolino**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 77 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***77a. Underlines the growing amount of migration, particularly illegal migration, which calls for a responsible approach by all Member States, due to the evident impact such migration will also have on the consistent development of the European Neighbourhood Policy;***

Or. it

**Amendment 806**

**Reimer Böge, Simon Busuttil on behalf of the EPP Group**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 77 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***77b. Welcomes the Commission's intention to reduce the total number of budgetary instruments in Home Affairs from four -the European Fund for the***

*Integration of third country nationals, the European Refugee Fund, the External Borders Fund and the European Return Fund- to two, on ‘migration and asylum’ and on ‘security’; believes that this approach can contribute significantly to an increased simplification, rationalisation, consolidation and transparency of the current funds and programmes; asks whether a merger between CEPOL and Europol could also be envisaged;*

Or. en

**Amendment 807**  
**Ilda Figueiredo**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 78**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*78. Notes that the share of funding for the area of freedom, security and justice in the Union budget is relatively small and stresses that in the future MFF these policies must be allocated with appropriate and objectively justifiable funding to enable the Union to carry out its activities, especially those related to new tasks, as identified in the Stockholm Programme and the Treaty of Lisbon;*

*deleted*

Or. pt

**Amendment 808**  
**Marta Andreasen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 78**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**78. Notes that the share of funding for the area of freedom, security and justice in the Union budget is relatively small and stresses that in the future MFF these policies must be allocated with appropriate and objectively justifiable funding to enable the Union to carry out its activities, especially those related to new tasks, as identified in the Stockholm Programme and the Treaty of Lisbon;**

**deleted**

Or. en

**Amendment 809**

**Jürgen Klute, Cornelia Ernst, Miguel Portas**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 78**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

78. Notes that the share of funding for the area of freedom, security and justice in the Union budget is relatively small and stresses that in the future MFF these policies must be allocated with appropriate and objectively justifiable funding to enable the Union to carry out its activities, especially those related to *new* tasks, *as identified in the Stockholm Programme and the Treaty of Lisbon*;

78. Notes that the share of funding for the area of freedom, security and justice in the Union budget is relatively small and stresses that in the future MFF these policies must be allocated with appropriate and objectively justifiable funding to enable the Union to carry out its activities, especially those related to tasks *arising from the Charter of Fundamental Rights*;

Or. en

**Amendment 810**

**Guy Verhofstadt, Carl Haglund, Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Giommara Uggias, on behalf of the ALDE Group**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 78 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**78a. Notes that funding policies for strengthening and promoting fundamental rights and equality has been lagging behind other Freedom, Security and Justice areas; feels that creating a robust culture of fundamental rights, equality and citizenship must be a priority for Europe, and therefore require a sufficient shift in funding in the next MFF period;**

Or. en

**Amendment 811**

**Franziska Keller, Bas Eickhout, Judith Sargentini**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 78 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**78b. Recognises the scope for savings and synergies but in view of the tendency to focus on security and (irregular) immigration at the detriment of more inclusiveness oriented policies, is worried that by merging all programmes into a two pillar structure under shared management, as reflected upon by the responsible Commissioner, different objectives will get mixed up; underlines that Member States should not be able to spend funds at their discretion; furthermore emphasises that funding should be conditional upon the respect of ensuring the relevant minimum standards and the presentation of national plans that guarantee an appropriate comprehensive approach of all policy fields, with more active support for capacity building, identifying and spreading best practice and innovative approaches;**

**Amendment 812**

**Göran Färm, Ivailo Kalfin, Eider Gardiazábal Rubial on behalf of the S&D Group**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 78 c (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*78c. Emphasises the need of developing better synergies between different funds and programs in order to effectively achieve long term objectives and enable the EU to respond quickly and effectively to the unexpected challenges; points to the fact that the simplification of management of funds and allowing cross-financing enable the allocation of more funds to common objectives;*

Or. en

**Amendment 813**

**Göran Färm, Ivailo Kalfin, Eider Gardiazábal Rubial on behalf of the S&D Group**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 78 d (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*78d. Stresses that agencies have to be reinforced and provided with appropriate means while fulfilling multiple tasks in order to implement policies following the political guidance of the EU institutions;*

Or. en

**Amendment 814**

**Franziska Katharina Brantner, Bas Eickhout**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 78 e (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*Global Europe*

***78e. Bearing in mind that the EU2020 strategy shall constitute the lynchpin of the EU budget, urges to take greater account of the external dimension of EU 2020; Calls for greater consistency and coherence between the different areas of the EU's external relations and its other policies since global developments, as well as solutions, have a major impact on the EU's economic, natural and industrial environment, competitiveness and employment;***

Or. en

**Amendment 815**  
**Marta Andreasen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 79**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***79. Reiterates its deep concern at the chronic underfinancing and particularly acute flexibility problems in the implementation of the Union's external activities, due to the unpredictable nature of external events, and recurring international crises and emergencies; stresses, accordingly, the need to close the gap between its ambitions and resources in foreign policy, by ensuring adequate financial resources and efficient flexibility mechanisms in order to enable the Union to respond to global challenges and unforeseen events; reiterates its request that budgetary implications deriving from any new commitments and tasks taken up by the Union must be***

***deleted***



*additional to programmed amounts, in order to avoid jeopardising existing priorities;*

Or. en

**Amendment 816**  
**Konrad Szymański**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 79**

*Motion for a resolution*

79. Reiterates its deep concern at the chronic underfinancing and particularly acute flexibility problems in the implementation of the Union's external activities, due to the unpredictable nature of external events, and recurring international crises and emergencies; stresses, accordingly, the need to close the gap between its ambitions and resources in foreign policy, by ensuring adequate financial resources and efficient flexibility mechanisms in order to enable the Union to respond to global challenges and unforeseen events; reiterates its request that budgetary implications deriving from any new commitments and tasks taken up by the Union must be additional to programmed amounts, in order to avoid jeopardising existing priorities;

*Amendment*

79. Reiterates its deep concern at the chronic underfinancing and particularly acute flexibility problems in the implementation of the Union's external activities, due to the unpredictable nature of external events, and recurring international crises and emergencies; stresses, accordingly, the need to close the gap between its ambitions and resources in foreign policy, by ensuring adequate financial resources and efficient flexibility mechanisms in order to enable the Union to respond to global challenges and unforeseen events; ***stresses the need to maintain the principle of an open door to the European Union; in this context underlines condition of absolute respect by third countries for human rights and the rule of law, which should be taken into account when concluding agreements on cooperation and partnership*** reiterates its request that budgetary implications deriving from any new commitments and tasks taken up by the Union must be additional to programmed amounts, in order to avoid jeopardising existing priorities;

Or. en

**Amendment 817**  
**Richard Ashworth, Konrad Szymański**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 79**

*Motion for a resolution*

79. Reiterates its deep concern **at** the **chronic underfinancing and particularly acute** flexibility problems in the implementation of the Union's external activities, due to the unpredictable nature of external events, and recurring international crises and emergencies; stresses, accordingly, the need to close the gap between its ambitions and resources in foreign policy, by ensuring adequate financial resources and efficient flexibility mechanisms in order to enable the Union to respond to global challenges and unforeseen events; reiterates its request that budgetary implications deriving from any new commitments and tasks taken up by the Union must be additional to programmed amounts, in order to avoid jeopardising existing priorities;

*Amendment*

79. Reiterates its deep concern **with** the flexibility problems in the implementation of the Union's external activities, due to the unpredictable nature of external events, and recurring international crises and emergencies; stresses, accordingly, the need to close the gap between its ambitions and resources in foreign policy, by ensuring adequate financial resources and efficient flexibility mechanisms in order to enable the Union to respond to global challenges and unforeseen events; reiterates its request that budgetary implications deriving from any new commitments and tasks taken up by the Union must be additional to programmed amounts, in order to avoid jeopardising existing priorities;

Or. en

**Amendment 818**  
**Anneli Jäätteenmäki**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 79**

*Motion for a resolution*

79. Reiterates its deep concern at the chronic underfinancing and particularly acute flexibility problems in the implementation of the Union's external activities, due to the unpredictable nature of external events, and recurring international crises and emergencies; stresses, accordingly, the need to close the

*Amendment*

79. Reiterates its deep concern at the chronic underfinancing and particularly acute flexibility problems in the implementation of the Union's external activities, due to the unpredictable nature of external events, and recurring international crises and emergencies; stresses, accordingly, the need to close the gap between its ambitions and resources in foreign

gap between its ambitions and resources in foreign policy, by ensuring adequate financial resources and efficient flexibility mechanisms in order to enable the Union to respond to global challenges and unforeseen events; **reiterates its request that *budgetary implications deriving from any new commitments and tasks taken up by the Union must be additional to programmed amounts, in order to avoid jeopardising existing*** priorities;

policy, by ensuring adequate financial resources and efficient flexibility mechanisms in order to enable the Union to respond to global challenges and unforeseen events; **reminds, however, that *the existing programmes and commitments need to be reviewed critically and savings as well as synergies can be found by rationalizing the budget and by reassessing union's*** priorities;

Or. en

**Amendment 819**  
**Cornelis de Jong**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 79**

*Motion for a resolution*

79. Reiterates ***its deep concern at the chronic underfinancing and particularly acute*** flexibility problems in the implementation of the Union's external activities, due to the unpredictable nature of external events, and recurring international crises and emergencies; ***stresses, accordingly, the need*** to close the gap between its ambitions and resources in foreign policy, ***by ensuring*** adequate financial resources and efficient flexibility mechanisms in order to enable the Union to respond to global challenges and unforeseen events; reiterates its request that budgetary implications deriving from any new commitments and tasks taken up by the Union must be additional to programmed amounts, in ***order to avoid jeopardising*** existing priorities;

*Amendment*

79. Reiterates ***that the financing and*** flexibility problems in the implementation of the Union's external activities, due to the unpredictable nature of external events, and recurring international crises and emergencies, ***a thorough rethink of the priorities*** to close the gap between its ambitions and resources in foreign policy ***is needed; stresses that*** adequate financial resources and efficient flexibility mechanisms ***are necessary*** in order to enable the Union to respond to global challenges and unforeseen events; reiterates its request that budgetary implications deriving from any new commitments and tasks taken up by the Union must ***not automatically*** be additional to programmed amounts, ***notably if economies can be made*** in existing priorities;

Or. en

**Amendment 820**

**Reimer Böge, Ioannis Kasoulides on behalf of the EPP Group**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 80**

*Motion for a resolution*

80. Points to the discrepancy between the level of the Union's global financial assistance and its often limited influence in related negotiations and stresses the need to enhance the Union's political role and leverage in international institutions and fora;

*Amendment*

80. Points to the discrepancy between the level of the Union's global financial assistance and its often limited influence in related negotiations and stresses the need to enhance the Union's political role and leverage in international institutions and fora; ***considers that the ceiling of the future 'Global Europe' heading should match current and future global challenges and the growing role that the EU should play on the international scene, as described in the Treaty of Lisbon;***

Or. en

**Amendment 821**

**Marta Andreasen**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 81**

*Motion for a resolution*

81. ***Notes that the EEAS is in its 'building-up' phase; highlights that according to the Council's decision of 20 July 2010, 'the establishment of the EEAS should be guided by the principle of cost-efficiency aiming towards budget neutrality'<sup>5</sup> ; stresses, accordingly, the need for the new service to fully exploit efficiency gains deriving from the pooling of resources at Union level as well as synergies with Member States in order to demonstrate the true added value of the Union's diplomacy;***

*Amendment*

81. ***Considers the EEAS to be unnecessary; takes the view that it has already proved its inefficiency and calls for its closure so as to avoid further waste of taxpayer's money;***

**Amendment 822****Göran Färm, Ivailo Kalfin, Eider Gardiazábal Rubial on behalf of the S&D Group****Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 81***Motion for a resolution*

81. Notes that the EEAS is in its ‘building-up’ phase; highlights that according to the Council’s decision of 20 July 2010, ‘the establishment of the EEAS should be guided by the principle of cost-efficiency aiming towards budget neutrality’<sup>5</sup>; stresses, accordingly, the need for the new service to fully exploit efficiency gains deriving from the pooling of resources at Union level as well as synergies with Member States *in order to* demonstrate the true added value of the Union’s diplomacy;

*Amendment*

81. Notes that the EEAS is in its ‘building-up’ phase; highlights that according to the Council’s decision of 20 July 2010, ‘the establishment of the EEAS should be guided by the principle of cost-efficiency aiming towards budget neutrality’<sup>[1]</sup>; stresses, accordingly, the need for the new service to fully exploit efficiency gains deriving from the pooling of resources at Union level as well as synergies with Member States; ***these efficiency gains and synergies should entail savings at national level and*** demonstrate the true added value of the Union’s diplomacy; ***stresses the need for the EEAS to be adequately financed; particularly recalls that peace building, conflict prevention and management policies are underfinanced in the current framework;***

**Amendment 823****Franziska Katharina Brantner, Bas Eickhout****Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 81***Motion for a resolution*

81. Notes that the EEAS is in its ‘building-up’ phase; highlights that according to the Council’s decision of 20 July 2010, ‘the establishment of the EEAS should be guided by the principle of cost-efficiency

*Amendment*

81. Notes that the EEAS is in its ‘building-up’ phase; highlights that according to the Council’s decision of 20 July 2010, ‘the establishment of the EEAS should be guided by the principle of cost-efficiency

aiming towards budget neutrality'<sup>5</sup> ; stresses, accordingly, the need for the new service to fully exploit efficiency gains deriving from the pooling of resources at Union level as well as synergies with Member States in order to demonstrate the true added value of the Union's diplomacy;

aiming towards budget neutrality'<sup>1</sup> ; stresses, accordingly, the need for the new service to fully exploit efficiency gains deriving from the pooling of resources at Union level as well as synergies with Member States in order to demonstrate the true added value of the Union's diplomacy; ***stresses that budget neutrality in this context can only be understood as taking into account expenditure at both EU and national levels; calls therefore on Member States to fully seize the opportunity, offered by the new diplomatic structures at Union level, to cut the cost of diplomatic structures at national level;***

Or. en

**Amendment 824**  
**Alain Lamassoure, Reimer Böge**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 81**

*Motion for a resolution*

81. Notes that the EEAS is in its 'building-up' phase; highlights that according to the Council's decision of 20 July 2010, 'the establishment of the EEAS should be guided by the principle of cost-efficiency aiming towards budget neutrality'<sup>5</sup> ; stresses, accordingly, the need for the new service to fully exploit efficiency gains deriving from the pooling of resources at Union level as well as synergies with Member States in order to demonstrate the true added value of the Union's diplomacy;

*Amendment*

81. Notes that the EEAS is in its 'building-up' phase; highlights that according to the Council's decision of 20 July 2010, 'the establishment of the EEAS should be guided by the principle of cost-efficiency aiming towards budget neutrality'<sup>5</sup> ; stresses, accordingly, the need for the new service to fully exploit efficiency gains deriving from the pooling of resources at Union level as well as synergies with Member States in order to demonstrate the true added value of the Union's diplomacy; ***regrets the duplication, and sometimes the contradiction, hence the waste due to the multiplication of poorly coordinated national actions ;***

Or. en

## Amendment 825

Reimer Böge, Frank Engel, Othmar Karas on behalf of the EPP Group

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 81

##### *Motion for a resolution*

81. Notes that the EEAS is in its ‘building-up’ phase; highlights that according to the Council’s decision of 20 July 2010, ‘the establishment of the EEAS should be guided by the principle of cost-efficiency aiming towards budget neutrality’<sup>5</sup>; stresses, accordingly, the need for the new service to fully exploit efficiency gains deriving from the pooling of resources at Union level as well as synergies with Member States in order to demonstrate the true added value of the Union’s diplomacy;

##### *Amendment*

81. Notes that the EEAS is in its ‘building-up’ phase; highlights that according to the Council’s decision of 20 July 2010, ‘the establishment of the EEAS should be guided by the principle of cost-efficiency aiming towards budget neutrality’<sup>5</sup>; stresses, accordingly, the need for the new service to fully exploit efficiency gains deriving from the pooling of resources at Union level as well as synergies with Member States in order to demonstrate the true added value of the Union’s diplomacy; ***emphasizes that duplication must be avoided and that the EEAS should lead to cuts and savings in all national budgets;***

Or. en

## Amendment 826

Cornelis de Jong, Miguel Portas

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 81

##### *Motion for a resolution*

81. Notes that the EEAS is in its ‘building-up’ phase; highlights that according to the Council’s decision of 20 July 2010, ‘the establishment of the EEAS should be guided by the principle of cost-efficiency aiming towards budget neutrality’<sup>5</sup>; stresses, accordingly, the need for the new service to fully exploit efficiency gains deriving from the pooling of resources at Union level as well as synergies with Member States ***in order to demonstrate the true added value of the Union’s***

##### *Amendment*

81. Notes that the EEAS is in its ‘building-up’ phase; highlights that according to the Council’s decision of 20 July 2010, ‘the establishment of the EEAS should be guided by the principle of cost-efficiency aiming towards budget neutrality’; stresses, accordingly, the need for the new service to fully exploit efficiency gains deriving from the pooling of resources at Union level as well as synergies with Member States;

*diplomacy;*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 827**

**Ilda Figueiredo**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 81**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

81. Notes that the EEAS is in its ‘building-up’ phase; highlights that according to the Council’s decision of 20 July 2010, ‘the establishment of the EEAS should be guided by the principle of cost-efficiency aiming towards budget neutrality’ ; stresses, accordingly, the need for the new service to fully exploit efficiency gains deriving from the pooling of resources at Union level as well as synergies with Member States *in order to demonstrate the true added value of the Union’s diplomacy;*

##### *Amendment*

81. Notes that the EEAS is in its ‘building-up’ phase; highlights that according to the Council’s decision of 20 July 2010, ‘the establishment of the EEAS should be guided by the principle of cost-efficiency aiming towards budget neutrality’; stresses, accordingly, the need for the new service to fully exploit efficiency gains deriving from the pooling of resources at Union level as well as synergies with Member States;

Or. pt

#### **Amendment 828**

**Anne E. Jensen**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 81**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

81. Notes that the EEAS is in its ‘building-up’ phase; highlights that according to the Council’s decision of 20 July 2010, ‘the establishment of the EEAS should be guided by the principle of cost-efficiency aiming towards budget neutrality’<sup>5</sup> ; stresses, accordingly, the need for the new service to fully exploit efficiency gains

##### *Amendment*

81. Notes that the EEAS is in its ‘building-up’ phase; highlights that according to the Council’s decision of 20 July 2010, ‘the establishment of the EEAS should be guided by the principle of cost-efficiency aiming towards budget neutrality’<sup>5</sup> ; stresses, accordingly, the need for the new service to fully exploit efficiency gains



deriving from the pooling of resources at Union level as well as synergies with Member States in order to demonstrate the true added value of the Union's diplomacy;

deriving from the pooling of resources at Union level as well as synergies with Member States in order to demonstrate the true added value of the Union's diplomacy, ***including servicing business and citizens;***

Or. en

**Amendment 829**  
**Barbara Matera**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 81 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***81a. Recalls that, in the framework of increasing the coherence of EU external actions, the cooperation on policy and strategy should be further strengthened between the Commission, the EEAS and the EIB.***

Or. en

**Amendment 830**  
**María Muñoz De Urquiza**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 81 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***81b. Considers that the EEAS must be funded enough in order the EU to comply with its goals and role as a global player and that the EU has the responsibility to contribute by adequate political influence in international relations and that risks international marginalisation if it does not assert its interests and values abroad and if its foreign policy remains underfunded;***

**Amendment 831**

**Göran Färm, Ivailo Kalfin, Eider Gardiazábal Rubial on behalf of the S&D Group**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 81 c (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***81c. Recalls that the EU carries more weight at international level than the sum of its individual Member States; Calls on the Member States to better coordinate and cooperate with the European Commission and the EEAS, in order to avoid potential or existing overlaps, inconsistencies and incoherencies;***

**Amendment 832**

**Marta Andreasen**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 82**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***82. Recalls that the 2015 deadline for meeting the Millennium Development Goals (MDG), and the collective Official Development Aid (ODA) target of 0.7 % of gross national income (GNI), fall within the next MFF period; stresses, accordingly, that an appropriate overall level of development aid and funding is required for the Union to meet its international development commitments;***

*deleted*

**Amendment 833**  
**Charles Goerens, Louis Michel**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 82**

*Motion for a resolution*

**82. Recalls that the 2015 deadline for meeting the Millennium Development Goals (MDG), and the collective Official Development Aid (ODA) target of 0.7 % of gross national income (GNI), fall within the next MFF period; stresses, accordingly, that an appropriate overall level of development aid and funding is required for the Union to meet its international development commitments;**

*Amendment*

**82. Deplores that some EU Member States are currently scaling back their aid budgets despite their commitment to spending 0,7% of gross national income (GNI) as provided by the European Consensus on Development;**

Or. en

**Amendment 834**  
**Göran Färm, Ivailo Kalfin, Eider Gardiazábal Rubial on behalf of the S&D Group**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 82**

*Motion for a resolution*

82. Recalls that the 2015 deadline for meeting the Millennium Development Goals (MDG), and the collective Official Development Aid (ODA) target of 0.7 % of gross national income (GNI), fall within the next MFF period; stresses, accordingly, that an **appropriate** overall level of development aid and funding is required for the Union to meet its international development commitments;

*Amendment*

82. Recalls that the 2015 deadline for meeting the Millennium Development Goals (MDG), and the collective Official Development Aid (ODA) target of 0.7 % of gross national income (GNI), fall within the next MFF period; stresses, accordingly, that an **increased** overall level of development aid and funding is required for the Union to meet its international development commitments; **underscores its importance in relation to the external dimension of the EU's security strategy, urges Member States to take immediate action to meet their ODA targets and fulfil their development pledges; notes however these are minimum aspirations and even if all targets are met, significant funding**

*will still be required to fight poverty and improve health and education standards for the world's poor;*

Or. en

**Amendment 835**  
**Anne E. Jensen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 82**

*Motion for a resolution*

82. Recalls that the 2015 deadline for meeting the Millennium Development Goals (MDG), and the collective Official Development Aid (ODA) target of 0.7 % of gross national income (GNI), fall within the next MFF period; stresses, accordingly, that an appropriate overall level of development aid and funding is required for the Union to meet its international development commitments;

*Amendment*

82. Recalls that the 2015 deadline for meeting the Millennium Development Goals (MDG), and the collective Official Development Aid (ODA) target of 0.7 % of gross national income (GNI), fall within the next MFF period; stresses, accordingly, that an appropriate overall level of development aid and funding is required for the Union to meet its international development commitments; ***Underlines in this context that a concerted effort is required to ensure that EU development funding is spent in an inclusive manner; reaching the most marginalised and excluded groups of the population, including persons with disabilities in line with the UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities and the 2010-2020 EU Disability Strategy.***

Or. en

**Amendment 836**  
**Gabriele Zimmer, Jürgen Klute**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 82**

*Motion for a resolution*

82. Recalls that the 2015 deadline for meeting the Millennium Development Goals (MDG), and the collective Official Development Aid (ODA) target of 0.7 % of gross national income (GNI), fall within the next MFF period; stresses, accordingly, that an appropriate overall level of development aid and funding is required for the Union to meet its international development commitments;

*Amendment*

82. Recalls that the 2015 deadline for meeting the Millennium Development Goals (MDG), and the collective Official Development Aid (ODA) target of 0.7 % of gross national income (GNI), ***neither of which has yet been attained***, fall within the next MFF period; stresses, accordingly, that an appropriate overall level of development aid and funding is required for the Union to meet its international development commitments;

Or. de

**Amendment 837**  
**Reimer Böge on behalf of the EPP Group**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 82**

*Motion for a resolution*

82. Recalls that the 2015 deadline for meeting the Millennium Development Goals (MDG), and the collective Official Development Aid (ODA) target of 0.7 % of gross national income (GNI), fall within the next MFF period; stresses, accordingly, that an appropriate overall level of development aid and funding is required for the Union to meet its international development commitments;

*Amendment*

82. Recalls that the 2015 deadline for meeting the Millennium Development Goals (MDG), and the collective Official Development Aid (ODA) target of 0.7 % of gross national income (GNI), fall within the next MFF period; stresses, accordingly, that an appropriate overall level of development aid and funding is required for the Union to meet its international development commitments; ***stresses the need to strike the right balance between direct budget support on the one hand and financing of sustainable projects on the other***;

Or. en

**Amendment 838**  
**Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 82**

*Motion for a resolution*

82. Recalls that the 2015 deadline for meeting the Millennium Development Goals (MDG), and the collective Official Development Aid (ODA) target of 0.7 % of gross national income (GNI), fall within the next MFF period; stresses, accordingly, that an appropriate overall level of development aid and funding is required for the Union to meet its international development commitments;

*Amendment*

82. Recalls that the 2015 deadline for meeting the Millennium Development Goals (MDG), and the collective Official Development Aid (ODA) target of 0.7 % of gross national income (GNI), fall within the next MFF period; stresses, accordingly, that an appropriate overall level of development aid and funding is required for the Union to meet its international development commitments, ***including the financial commitments made in the Copenhagen Accord;***

Or. en

**Amendment 839**  
**Cornelis de Jong, Miguel Portas**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 82**

*Motion for a resolution*

82. Recalls that the 2015 deadline for meeting the Millennium Development Goals (MDG), and the collective Official Development Aid (ODA) target of 0.7 % of gross national income (GNI), fall within the next MFF period; stresses, accordingly, that an appropriate overall level of development aid and funding is required for the Union to meet ***its*** international development commitments;

*Amendment*

82. Recalls that the 2015 deadline for meeting the Millennium Development Goals (MDG), and the collective Official Development Aid (ODA) target of 0.7 % of gross national income (GNI), fall within the next MFF period; stresses, accordingly, that an appropriate overall level of development aid and funding is required for the Union ***and its Member States*** to meet ***their*** international development commitments;

Or. en

**Amendment 840**  
**Carmen Romero López, Luis Yáñez-Barnuevo García, Andres Perello Rodriguez**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 82**

*Motion for a resolution*

82. Recalls that the 2015 deadline for meeting the Millennium Development Goals (MDG), and the collective Official Development Aid (ODA) target of 0.7 % of gross national income (GNI), fall within the next MFF period; stresses, accordingly, that an appropriate overall level of development aid and funding is required for the Union to meet its international development commitments;

*Amendment*

82. Recalls that the 2015 deadline for meeting the Millennium Development Goals (MDG), and the collective Official Development Aid (ODA) target of 0.7 % of gross national income (GNI), fall within the next MFF period; stresses, accordingly, that an appropriate overall level of development aid and funding is required for the Union to meet its international development commitments; ***stresses that at the same time the reduction of health inequalities are a crucial element to improve the health of disadvantaged and hard-to-reach groups***

Or. en

**Amendment 841**  
**Alain Lamassoure, Reimer Böge**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 82 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***82a. Is surprised that the Union has not made the effort systematically to assess the economic and political impact of the assistance it provides to developing countries, including by comparing their results with those of emerging countries;***

Or. fr

**Amendment 842**  
**Göran Färm, Ivailo Kalfin, Eider Gardiazábal Rubial on behalf of the S&D Group**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 82 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**82b. Finds it important that the development aid being given by the EU promotes sustainable development in the receiving countries; stresses that assessments need to be made and criteria set up that respects this objective;**

Or. en

**Amendment 843**

**Göran Färm, Ivailo Kalfin, Eider Gardiazábal Rubial on behalf of the S&D Group**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 82 c (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**82c. Believes that the European Commission/EEAS should initiate a process in order to improve the effectiveness of EU originating development aid as well as improving synergies between EU and national development aid; believes that the EEAS will enhance the effectiveness and visibility of the EU's efforts in poverty reduction and facilitate absorption of funding in the recipient countries;**

Or. en

**Amendment 844**

**Göran Färm, Ivailo Kalfin, Eider Gardiazábal Rubial on behalf of the S&D Group**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 82 d (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**82d. Emphasises that the MFF should address, inter alia, the following issues:**



*achieving the MDGs, climate change, halting biodiversity loss and resource overconsumption; in particular, stresses that the next MFF should support policy coherence, i.e. by ensuring that EU policies and expenditure on agriculture, fisheries, trade and energy are not directly at odds with development policy objectives;*

Or. en

**Amendment 845**  
**Bas Eickhout**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 82 e (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*82e. Recalls the commitments from the Cancun Agreement to address the needs of developing countries in combating climate change, which are to be additional to the commitments made under the MDG;*

Or. en

**Amendment 846**  
**Gabriele Zimmer, Jürgen Klute**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 82 f (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*82f. Calls therefore for the actual increase in Official Development Aid to be made in accordance with the phased plan for attaining the 0.7% figure, and stresses that these funds must not be used for other external-policy interests of the EU which do not accord with development*

*objectives; stresses that funding to fight climate change in partner countries must be provided in addition to official development aid commitments and must not lead to a reduction of development funding in real terms, particularly in the case of measures to eliminate poverty and hunger;*

Or. de

**Amendment 847**  
**Gabriele Zimmer, Jürgen Klute**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 82 g (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*82g. Recalls that combating global poverty and hunger is an overriding objective and that innovative additional funding instruments, such as a financial transaction tax, are needed in order to make a credible commitment to this objective and its attainment; is convinced that Official Development Aid should be geared to preserving and improving global public goods which are significant to most people, can be attained only by means of collective, multilateral action and can hardly be provided by individual States and stakeholders;*

Or. de

**Amendment 848**  
**Göran Färm, Ivailo Kalfin, Eider Gardiazábal Rubial on behalf of the S&D Group**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 82 h (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**82h. Notes that the EU has pledged in the Copenhagen Accord to provide ‘new and additional’ resources for mitigation and adaptation in developing countries, the European Union, hereunder the member states and the Commission, will contribute a total € 7.2 billion as fast-start financing in the period 2010-2012, underlines that the resources that are being given are actually ‘new and additional’ meaning that they are additional to existing commitment for development aid (and the UN agreement that 0,7 % of industrialized countries’ GDP should be allocated to development aid), suggests therefore that a separate budget line for these resources to be created; stresses furthermore that also future spending pledges aimed at helping developing countries to combat climate change or to adapt to its effects must be additional, with an adequate level of coherence being maintained between the two policies;**

Or. en

**Amendment 849**

**Guy Verhofstadt, Carl Haglund, Giommaria Uggias, Graham Watson, on behalf of the ALDE Group**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 82 i (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**82i. Notes that most future growth in global carbon emissions is projected to come from developing countries; stresses that it should be a key priority of European development assistance to help ensure that everyone has access to clean energy;**

**Amendment 850**

**Göran Färm, Ivailo Kalfin, Eider Gardiazábal Rubial on behalf of the S&D Group**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 82 j (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*82j. Calls for a sizeable increase in the Heading 4 ceiling, in particular for the DCI, given that over the last seven years despite some progress in cutting poverty much more needs to be done; points out, also, that some DCI resources have been redeployed for new non-ODA activities;*

Or. en

**Amendment 851**

**Cornelis de Jong**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 83**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*83. Calls once again for the budgetisation of the European Development Fund (EDF); insists, however, that incorporating the EDF into the EU budget must not lead to an overall reduction in development spending and must guarantee predictability;*

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 852**

**Marta Andreasen**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 83**

*Motion for a resolution*

83. ***Calls once again*** for the budgetisation of the European Development Fund (EDF); ***insists, however, that incorporating the EDF into the EU budget must not lead to an overall reduction in development spending and must guarantee predictability;***

*Amendment*

83. ***Questions the need*** for the budgetisation of the European Development Fund (EDF);

Or. en

**Amendment 853**

**Guy Verhofstadt, Carl Haglund, Charles Goerens, Giommaria Uggias, on behalf of the ALDE Group**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 83**

*Motion for a resolution*

83. Calls once again for the budgetisation of the European Development Fund (EDF); insists, however, that incorporating the EDF into the EU budget must ***not*** lead to an overall ***reduction in development spending and must guarantee predictability;***

*Amendment*

83. Calls once again for the budgetisation of the European Development Fund (EDF); insists, however, that incorporating the EDF into the EU budget must lead to an overall ***increase of the EU budget by the amount initially foreseen to finance the EDF;***

Or. en

**Amendment 854**

**Anneli Jäätteenmäki**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 83**

*Motion for a resolution*

83. Calls once again for the budgetisation of the European Development Fund (EDF); insists, however, that incorporating the EDF into the EU budget must not lead to

*Amendment*

83. Calls once again for the budgetisation of the European Development Fund (EDF), ***as it would increase consistency and transparency;*** insists, however, that

an overall reduction in development spending and must guarantee predictability;

incorporating the EDF into the EU budget must not lead to an overall reduction in development spending and must guarantee predictability;

Or. fi

#### **Amendment 855**

**Gabriele Zimmer, Jürgen Klute**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 83**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

83. Calls once again for the budgetisation of the European Development Fund (EDF); insists, however, that incorporating the EDF into the EU budget must not lead to an overall reduction in development spending and must guarantee predictability;

##### *Amendment*

83. Calls once again for the budgetisation of the European Development Fund (EDF); insists, however, that incorporating the EDF into the EU budget must not lead to an overall reduction in development spending *to date from sources other than the EDF* and must guarantee predictability;

Or. de

#### **Amendment 856**

**Göran Färm, Ivailo Kalfin, Eider Gardiazábal Rubial on behalf of the S&D Group**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 83**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

83. Calls once again for the budgetisation of the European Development Fund (EDF); insists, however, that incorporating the EDF into the EU budget must not lead to an overall reduction in development spending and must guarantee predictability;

##### *Amendment*

83. Calls once again for the budgetisation of the European Development Fund (EDF); insists, however, that incorporating the EDF into the EU budget must not lead to an overall reduction in development spending and must guarantee predictability *as well as the consultation with recipient countries*;

Or. en

**Amendment 857**  
**Richard Ashworth**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 83 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***83a. Stresses that EDF should focus on better policy coherence, the promotion of best practice and greater donor coordination with the Member States development programmes and the wider international community in order to ensure real added value;***

Or. en

**Amendment 858**  
**Richard Ashworth**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 83 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***83b. Notes that any future proposed increases in the EDF budget should not be automatic and should be subject to an independent impact assessment of spending;***

Or. en

**Amendment 859**  
**Gabriele Zimmer, Jürgen Klute**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 83 c (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**83c. Recalls the necessity of implementing the Paris Declaration with a view to the effectiveness of development financing, and expects full transparency of payments relating to development policy; proposes that information on these payments be published and an independent audit and assessment system be established, not only in partner countries but particularly for the EU;**

Or. de

**Amendment 860**

**Göran Färm, Ivailo Kalfin, Eider Gardiazábal Rubial on behalf of the S&D Group**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 83 d (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**83d. Notes that the highest percentage of the world's poorest people lives in emerging economies; insists however, in order to incite these governments to better engage in poverty reduction within their own borders, that alternative schemes for development cooperation with these countries, such as co-financing, should be gradually introduced;**

Or. en