



EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

2009 - 2014

---

*Special committee on the policy challenges and budgetary resources for a sustainable European Union after 2013*

---

**2010/2211(INI)**

27.4.2011

# **AMENDMENTS**

## **861 - 1094**

**Draft report**  
**Salvador Garriga Polledo**  
(PE458.649v02-00)

on Investing in the future: a new Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF) for a competitive, sustainable and inclusive Europe  
(2010/2211(INI))

AM\865598EN.doc

PE462.732v04-00

**EN**

*United in diversity*

**EN**

AM\_Com\_NonLegReport

**Amendment 861**  
**Marta Andreasen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 84**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**84. Stresses that EU foreign policy should be based on Union's founding principles and values, namely democracy, respect for human rights, and the rule of law; reiterates the need to equip the Union with adequate and targeted means to promote these values globally;**

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 862**  
**Bas Eickhout, Helga Trüpel, Franziska Katharina Brantner**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 84**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

84. Stresses that EU foreign policy should be based on Union's founding principles and values, namely democracy, respect for human rights, and the rule of law; reiterates the need to equip the Union with adequate and targeted means to promote these values globally;

84. Stresses that EU foreign policy should be based on Union's founding principles and values, namely democracy, respect for human rights, ***diversity*** and the rule of law ***and fundamental freedoms and the protection of the environment*** ; reiterates the need to equip the Union with ***more*** adequate and targeted means to promote these values globally; ***highlights the particular contribution made via the EIDHR as it makes possible the financing of projects without the third countries host consent;***

Or. en

**Amendment 863**  
**Jürgen Klute**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 84**

*Motion for a resolution*

84. Stresses that EU foreign policy should be based on Union's founding principles and values, namely democracy, respect for human rights, and the rule of law; reiterates the need to equip the Union with adequate and targeted means to promote these values globally;

*Amendment*

84. Stresses that EU foreign policy should be based on Union's founding principles and values, namely ***peace-building and conflict prevention***, democracy, respect for human rights, and the rule of law; reiterates the need to equip the Union with adequate and targeted means to promote these values globally;

Or. en

**Amendment 864**  
**Carl Haglund**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 84**

*Motion for a resolution*

84. Stresses that EU foreign policy should be based on Union's founding principles and values, namely democracy, respect for human rights, and the rule of law; reiterates the need to equip the Union with adequate and targeted means to promote these values globally;

*Amendment*

84. Stresses that EU foreign policy should be based on Union's founding principles and values, namely democracy, respect for human rights, and the rule of law; reiterates the need to equip the Union with adequate and targeted means to promote these values globally ***and to expand the sphere of peace and stability in its neighbourhood;***

Or. en

**Amendment 865**  
**Göran Färm, Ivailo Kalfin, Eider Gardiazábal Rubial on behalf of the S&D Group**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 84 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**84 a. Believes that the EU should ensure a political role which is proportional to the financial support it provides;**

Or. en

**Amendment 866**  
**Marta Andreasen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 85**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

85. Considers that building close and effective relations with neighbouring countries should remain a priority in **the Union's** external agenda; emphasises that **stepped up** financial commitments are needed for the Union **to live up to major challenges -support to democratic transition and consolidation, good governance, human rights- and high expectations deriving from its moral responsibility towards its neighbours;**

85. Considers that building close and effective relations with neighbouring countries should remain a priority in **Member States'** external agenda; emphasises that **no** financial commitments are needed for the Union;

Or. en

**Amendment 867**  
**Jan Olbrycht, Sidonia Elżbieta Jędrzejewska, Danuta Maria Hübner, Czesław Adam Siekierski**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 85**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

85. Considers that building close and effective relations with neighbouring countries should remain a priority in the Union's external agenda; emphasises that

85. Considers that building close and effective relations with neighbouring countries should remain a priority in the Union's external agenda; emphasises that

stepped up financial commitments are needed for the Union to live up to major challenges -support to democratic transition and consolidation, good governance, human rights- and high expectations deriving from its moral responsibility towards its neighbours;

stepped up financial commitments are needed for the Union to live up to major challenges -support to democratic transition and consolidation, good governance, human rights- and high expectations deriving from its moral responsibility towards its neighbours;  
***furthermore, is of the firm opinion, that any expenditures in this respect ought to be subject to conditionality, taking into account the level of involvement of the partner in question, as well as its progress in fulfilling the obligations hitherto undertaken;***

Or. en

#### **Amendment 868**

**Göran Färm, Ivailo Kalfin, Eider Gardiazábal Rubial on behalf of the S&D Group**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 85**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

85. Considers that building close and effective relations with neighbouring countries should remain a priority in the Union's external agenda; emphasises that stepped up financial commitments are needed for the Union to live up to major challenges -support to democratic transition and consolidation, good governance, human rights- and high expectations deriving from its moral responsibility towards its neighbours;

##### *Amendment*

85. Considers ***the EU to have a special responsibility among the international community for promoting security, democracy, and prosperity in Europe's neighbouring countries, where economic development and progress of stability are in the direct interest of the EU; considers therefore*** that building close and effective relations with neighbouring countries should remain a priority in the Union's external agenda; emphasises that stepped up financial commitments are needed for the Union to live up to major challenges - support to democratic transition and consolidation, good governance, human rights- and high expectations deriving from its moral responsibility towards its neighbours; ***takes note of lessons learned from past problems of the Neighbourhood programmes; believes that conditionality rules should be enforced and funding***

*should be granted to the beneficiaries under specific conditions, such as improving democratic development and sound budgetary management, reducing the level of corruption and the capability to use EU support in a transparent, effective and accountable manner;*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 869**

**Franziska Katharina Brantner, Bas Eickhout**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 85**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

85. Considers that building close and effective relations with neighbouring countries should remain a priority in the Union's external agenda; emphasises that stepped up financial commitments are needed for the Union to live up to major challenges -support to democratic transition and consolidation, good governance, human rights- and high expectations deriving from its moral responsibility towards its neighbours;

##### *Amendment*

85. Considers that building close and effective relations with neighbouring countries should remain a priority in the Union's external agenda; emphasises that stepped up financial commitments are needed for the Union to live up to major challenges -support to democratic transition and consolidation, good governance, human **rights including women's** rights- and high expectations deriving from its moral responsibility towards its neighbours; **believes at the same time that the more targeted use of funds is at least as important as funding levels; calls therefore for the principle of conditionality in EU aid programmes to the Union's neighbourhood to be strengthened;**

Or. en

#### **Amendment 870**

**Anneli Jäätteenmäki**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 85**

*Motion for a resolution*

85. Considers that building close and effective relations with neighbouring countries should remain a priority in the Union's external agenda; emphasises that ***stepped up financial commitments*** are needed ***for the Union to*** live up to major challenges -support to democratic transition and consolidation, good governance, human ***rights- and high expectations deriving from its moral responsibility towards its neighbours***;

*Amendment*

85. Considers that building close and effective relations with neighbouring countries should remain a priority in the Union's external agenda; emphasises, ***however, that reassessment of priorities as well as allocation of resources*** are needed ***so that the Union can genuinely*** live up to major challenges ***such as to support democratic transition and consolidation, rule of law, good governance and human rights in its neighbourhood***;

Or. en

**Amendment 871**

**Reimer Böge, Ioannis Kasoulides, Simon Busuttill on behalf of the EPP Group**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 85**

*Motion for a resolution*

85. Considers that building close and effective relations with neighbouring countries should remain a priority in the Union's external agenda; emphasises that stepped up financial commitments are needed for the Union to live up to major challenges -support to democratic transition and consolidation, good governance, human rights- and high expectations deriving from its moral responsibility towards its neighbours;

*Amendment*

85. Considers that building close and effective relations with neighbouring countries should remain a priority in the Union's external agenda; emphasises that stepped up financial commitments are needed for the Union to live up to major challenges -support to democratic transition and consolidation, good governance, human rights- and high expectations deriving from its moral responsibility towards its neighbours; ***insists in particular on the need for adjustment and increased funding for the European Neighbourhood Policy in view of current events and in order to better promote peace, freedom and security in partner countries and regions***;

Or. en



**Amendment 872**  
**Riikka Manner**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 85**

*Motion for a resolution*

85. Considers that building close and effective relations with neighbouring countries should remain a priority in the Union's external agenda; emphasises that stepped up financial commitments are needed for the Union to live up to major challenges -support to democratic transition and consolidation, good governance, human rights- and high expectations deriving from its moral responsibility towards its neighbours;

*Amendment*

85. Considers that building close and effective relations with neighbouring countries should remain a priority in the Union's external agenda; ***underlines, for this reason, that a better functioning of the European Neighbourhood and Partnership Instrument is of outmost importance in order to fulfil growing demands at the EU borders***; emphasises that stepped up financial commitments are needed for the Union to live up to major challenges -support to democratic transition and consolidation, good governance, human rights- and high expectations deriving from its moral responsibility towards its neighbours;

Or. en

**Amendment 873**  
**Ilda Figueiredo, Eva-Britt Svensson**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 85**

*Motion for a resolution*

85. Considers that building close and effective relations with neighbouring countries should remain a priority in the Union's external agenda; emphasises that stepped up financial commitments are needed for the Union to live up to major challenges -support to democratic transition and consolidation, good governance, human ***rights***- and high expectations deriving from its moral responsibility towards its neighbours;

*Amendment*

85. Considers that building close and effective relations with neighbouring countries should remain a priority in the Union's external agenda; emphasises that stepped up financial commitments are needed for the Union to live up to major challenges -support to democratic transition and consolidation, good governance, human ***rights including women's rights*** - and high expectations deriving from its moral responsibility

towards its neighbours;

Or. en

**Amendment 874**  
**Jürgen Klute**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 85**

*Motion for a resolution*

85. Considers that building close and effective relations with neighbouring countries should remain a priority in the Union's external agenda; emphasises that stepped up financial commitments are needed for the Union to live up to major challenges -support to democratic transition and consolidation, good governance, human rights- and high expectations deriving from its moral responsibility towards its neighbours;

*Amendment*

85. Considers that building close and effective relations with neighbouring countries should remain a priority in the Union's external agenda; emphasises that stepped up financial commitments are needed for the Union to live up to major challenges -support to ***peace-building and conflict prevention***, democratic transition and consolidation, good governance, human rights- and high expectations deriving from its moral responsibility towards its neighbours;

Or. en

**Amendment 875**  
**Carl Haglund**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 85**

*Motion for a resolution*

85. Considers that building close and effective relations with neighbouring countries should remain a priority in the Union's external agenda; emphasises that stepped up financial commitments are needed for the Union to live up to major challenges -support to democratic transition and consolidation, good governance, human rights- and high

*Amendment*

85. Considers that building close and effective relations with neighbouring countries should remain a priority in the Union's external agenda; emphasises that stepped up financial commitments are needed for the Union to live up to major challenges -support to democratic transition , ***free and fair elections and constitutional*** consolidation, good

expectations deriving from its moral responsibility towards its neighbours;

governance, human rights- and high expectations deriving from its moral responsibility towards its neighbours;

Or. en

**Amendment 876**

**Göran Färm, Ivailo Kalfin, Eider Gardiazábal Rubial on behalf of the S&D Group**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 85 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***85 a. Notes that the EU is approaching a new round of enlargement, particularly in the direction of the Western Balkans; considers therefore that the Instrument for Pre-Accession needs to be well funded in the coming years to prepare for future membership; considers that the IPA instrument should give priority to support the necessary improvements for candidate countries to comply with the acquis communautaire and facilitate the use of EU funding for civil society, social partners, minorities, NGOs, cultural heritage, as well as local and regional authorities;***

Or. en

**Amendment 877**

**Elmar Brok**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 85 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***85b. Calls for the next MFF to take the costs of future enlargements, i.e. the accession of Croatia, into account; calls in this respect for a realistic approach***

*regarding further enlargement rounds that take budgetary implications especially for the Western Balkans into account; stresses the need to develop alternative models for a step by step rapprochement such as strategic partnerships or EWR+, taking the EU integration capacity into account;*

Or. en

**Amendment 878**  
**Anneli Jäätteenmäki**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 85 c (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*85c. Calls for reallocation of financial assistance to the democratization of the Southern Neighbourhood countries along with the reviewed European neighbourhood policy highlighting that the emphasis should be in democracy building, rule of law, sustainable social and economic development and human rights; considers that political focus in the Southern Neighbourhood should not be detrimental to union's long term commitments in the Eastern Neighbourhood;*

Or. en

**Amendment 879**  
**Anneli Jäätteenmäki**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 85 d (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*85d. Considers that civil society*

*organizations in third countries should gain more attention and support in union's foreign policy and that a certain level of flexibility in the budget and particularly in the instrument for democracy and human rights (EIDHR) is needed to achieve this goal;*

Or. en

**Amendment 880**  
**Marta Andreasen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 86**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*86. Underlines that the Union needs to quickly adapt its policy towards the emerging world powers and develop new strategic partnerships with them; welcomes, accordingly, the Commission proposal on ICI+, stressing that the new instrument's overall aim should be the decrease of ODA allocations to these countries in favour of the promotion of other activities of mutual interest;*

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 881**  
**Franziska Katharina Brantner, Bas Eickhout**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 86**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

86. Underlines that the Union needs to quickly adapt its policy towards the emerging world powers and develop new strategic partnerships with them; *welcomes, accordingly, the Commission*

86. Underlines that the Union needs to quickly adapt its policy towards the emerging world powers and develop new strategic partnerships with them; *proposes in this regard the replacement of the*

*proposal on ICI+, stressing that the new instrument's overall aim should be the decrease of ODA allocations to these countries in favour of the promotion of other activities of mutual interest;*

*existing ICI with a new Policy Instrument targeting activities that are not ODA related but fall into areas of mutual interest with such countries, including promoting global public goods such as the fight against climate change, biodiversity and cooperation in the fields of culture and higher education;*

Or. en

**Amendment 882**  
**María Muñoz De Urquiza**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 86**

*Motion for a resolution*

86. Underlines that the Union needs to quickly adapt its policy towards the emerging world powers and develop new strategic partnerships with them; welcomes, accordingly, the Commission proposal on ICI+, stressing that the new instrument's overall aim should be the decrease of ODA allocations to these countries in favour of the promotion of other activities of mutual interest;

*Amendment*

86. Underlines that the Union needs to quickly adapt its policy towards the emerging world powers and develop new strategic partnerships with them; welcomes, accordingly, the Commission proposal on ICI+, stressing that the new instrument's overall aim should be the decrease of ODA allocations to these countries in favour of the promotion of other activities of mutual interest; *considers that due to an inter-institutional dispute on the legal basis, 18 Latin American countries have not benefited of the ICI+ instrument and that MFF should envisage a definitive solution to this problem;*

Or. en

**Amendment 883**  
**James Elles**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 86**

*Motion for a resolution*

86. Underlines that the Union needs to quickly adapt its policy towards the emerging world powers and develop new strategic partnerships with them; welcomes, accordingly, the Commission proposal on ICI+, stressing that the new instrument's overall aim should be the decrease of ODA allocations to these countries in favour of the promotion of other activities of mutual interest;

*Amendment*

86. Underlines that the Union needs to quickly adapt its policy towards the emerging world powers and develop new strategic partnerships with them; ***requests that there should be a clear definition of the purpose, direction and outcome of such partnerships for the purposes of the next MFF***; welcomes, accordingly, the Commission proposal on ICI+, stressing that the new instrument's overall aim should be the decrease of ODA allocations to these countries in favour of the promotion of other activities of mutual interest;

Or. en

**Amendment 884**

**Franziska Katharina Brantner, Bas Eickhout**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 86 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

***86 a. Is of the opinion that, considering growing global challenges as well as the Union's global responsibilities, especially in face of the current political developments in the Arab world, a restructuring of the EU's external financial instruments becomes indispensable; advocates accordingly an overhaul and more strategic application of its external instruments as well as the development of new forms of cooperation and delivery mechanisms with partner countries in order to enhance the impact and visibility of EU external action as well as to achieve the overall objective of greater consistency and coherence of EU external action;***

*Amendment*

**Amendment 885**  
**Elmar Brok**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 86 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***86b. Reconfirms the EU's commitment to the transatlantic partnership with the US and the goal of a barrier-free transatlantic market; considers that such a market should provide the basis for reinforced transatlantic partnership;***

Or. en

**Amendment 886**  
**Marta Andreasen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 87**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

87. Reiterates that crisis prevention and management are major **EU** priorities; ***stresses, accordingly, the need to ensure effective and adequately funded instruments in this respect;*** takes the view that the current Instrument for Stability ***remains an important means for immediate Union response to crises' situations, but more emphasis*** should be ***placed on longer term, preventive actions, namely via more responsive geographic programmes;***

87. Reiterates that crisis prevention and management are major priorities ***of Member States;*** takes the view that the current Instrument for Stability should ***not be necessary in the future if sanctions are imposed in due time to those who are in breach of the pact;***

Or. en



**Amendment 887**

**Bas Eickhout, Franziska Katharina Brantner**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 87**

*Motion for a resolution*

87. Reiterates that crisis prevention and management are major EU priorities; stresses, accordingly, the need to ensure effective and adequately funded instruments in this respect; takes the view that the current Instrument for Stability remains an important means for immediate Union response to crises' situations, but **more** emphasis should be placed on **longer term, preventive actions, namely via more responsive geographic programmes;**

*Amendment*

87. Reiterates that crisis prevention and management are major EU priorities; stresses, accordingly, the need to ensure effective and adequately funded instruments in this respect; takes the view that the current Instrument for Stability remains an important means for immediate Union response to crises' situations, but **its** emphasis should be placed on **crisis response, peace-building, conflict prevention and conflict resolution;**

Or. en

**Amendment 888**

**Göran Färm, Ivailo Kalfin, Eider Gardiazábal Rubial on behalf of the S&D Group**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 87**

*Motion for a resolution*

87. Reiterates that crisis prevention and management are major EU priorities; stresses, accordingly, the need to ensure effective and adequately funded instruments in this respect; takes the view that the current Instrument for Stability remains an important means for immediate Union response to crises' situations, but more emphasis should be placed on longer term, preventive actions, namely via more responsive geographic programmes;

*Amendment*

87. Reiterates that crisis prevention and management are major EU priorities; stresses, accordingly, the need to ensure effective and adequately funded instruments in this respect; takes the view that the current Instrument for Stability remains an important means for immediate Union response to crises' situations, but more emphasis should be placed on longer term, preventive actions, namely via more responsive geographic programmes **and an increased focus on civil protection and disaster relief;**

Or. en

**Amendment 889**  
**Jürgen Klute**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 87**

*Motion for a resolution*

87. Reiterates that crisis prevention and management are major EU priorities; stresses, accordingly, the need to ensure effective and adequately funded instruments in this respect; takes the view that the current Instrument for Stability remains an important means for immediate Union response to crises' situations, but more emphasis should be placed on longer term, *preventive actions*, namely via more responsive geographic programmes;

*Amendment*

87. Reiterates that crisis prevention and management are major EU priorities; stresses, accordingly, the need to ensure effective and adequately funded instruments in this respect; takes the view that the current Instrument for Stability remains an important means for immediate Union response to crises' situations, but more emphasis should be placed on longer term *peace-building and conflict prevention*, namely via more responsive geographic programmes;

Or. en

**Amendment 890**  
**Anneli Jäätteenmäki**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 87**

*Motion for a resolution*

87. Reiterates that crisis prevention and management are major EU priorities; stresses, accordingly, the need to ensure effective and adequately funded instruments in this respect; takes the view that the current Instrument for Stability remains an important means for immediate Union response to crises' situations, but more emphasis should be placed on longer term, preventive actions, namely via more responsive geographic programmes;

*Amendment*

87. Reiterates that crisis prevention and management are major EU priorities; stresses, accordingly, the need to ensure effective and adequately funded instruments in this respect; takes the view that the current Instrument for Stability remains an important means for immediate Union response to crises' situations, but more emphasis should be placed on longer term, preventive actions, *including peace building and conflict prevention*, namely via more responsive geographic programmes;

**Amendment 891**  
**Marta Andreasen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 88**

*Motion for a resolution*

88. Believes that humanitarian aid **plays a key role in EU external relations; underlines the need to ensure appropriate budgetary allocations for the Humanitarian Aid Instrument and the Emergency Aid Reserve;**

*Amendment*

88. Believes that **while** humanitarian aid **should not be overlooked, proper controls as to how the funds are used should be in place before any further aid is paid out;**

**Amendment 892**  
**Richard Ashworth**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 88**

*Motion for a resolution*

88. Believes that humanitarian aid plays a key role in EU external relations; underlines the need to ensure appropriate budgetary allocations for the Humanitarian Aid Instrument and the Emergency Aid Reserve;

*Amendment*

88. Believes that humanitarian aid plays a key role in EU external relations; underlines the need to ensure appropriate budgetary allocations for the Humanitarian Aid Instrument and the Emergency Aid Reserve; **highlights the importance of building on and improving existing European mechanisms in the field of humanitarian aid rather than establishing new mechanisms at EU level;**

**Amendment 893**  
**Sabine Lösing**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 88**

*Motion for a resolution*

88. Believes that humanitarian aid plays a key role in EU external relations; underlines the need to ensure appropriate budgetary allocations for the Humanitarian Aid Instrument and the Emergency Aid Reserve;

*Amendment*

88. Believes that humanitarian aid plays a key role in EU external relations; underlines the need to ensure appropriate budgetary allocations for the Humanitarian Aid Instrument and the Emergency Aid Reserve; ***emphasises that, even though civil disaster and crisis protection forces do not currently have sufficient resources or infrastructure to adequately and comprehensively respond to crises or disasters, that crises or disasters should not lead to the deployment or transferral of military forces within or outside the EU; considers that civil protection forces should, instead, be provided with the necessary resources to carry out the task in a adequate manner only within a civilian framework;***

Or. en

**Amendment 894**  
**Göran Färm, Ivailo Kalfin, Eider Gardiazábal Rubial on behalf of the S&D Group**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 88**

*Motion for a resolution*

88. Believes that humanitarian aid plays a key role in EU external relations; ***underlines*** the need ***to ensure appropriate budgetary allocations*** for the Humanitarian Aid Instrument and the Emergency Aid Reserve;

*Amendment*

88. Believes that humanitarian aid plays a key role in EU external relations; ***notes that natural disasters tend to become more frequent as well as more devastating in their consequences, whereas conflicts will tend to spark more often due to the struggle for resources such as energy, water and raw materials; considers therefore a need for the budget for the Humanitarian Aid Instrument and the Emergency Aid Reserve to be substantially increased in the coming years, so as to***

*avoid the yearly ad hoc demands from the European Commission for extra funding; this budget should remain independent in order to guarantee the neutrality of humanitarian aid - dissociated from other (e.g. geopolitical) considerations or interests;*

Or. en

**Amendment 895**  
**Bas Eickhout, Franziska Katharina Brantner**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 88**

*Motion for a resolution*

88. Believes that humanitarian aid plays a key role in EU external relations; underlines the need to ensure appropriate budgetary allocations for the Humanitarian Aid Instrument and the Emergency Aid Reserve;

*Amendment*

88. Believes that humanitarian aid plays a key role in EU external relations; underlines the need to ensure appropriate budgetary allocations for the Humanitarian Aid Instrument and the Emergency Aid Reserve; *points out that in response to a disaster or crisis the phase after the first six months and before return to regular development cooperation programming is not well designed in the current funding instruments and consequently believes that a new specific post-humanitarian aid envelope should be created for rehabilitation and reconstruction, which should be geared towards (a return to) regular development cooperation;*

Or. en

**Amendment 896**  
**Alexander Alvaro, Cecilia Wikström**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 88**

*Motion for a resolution*

88. Believes that humanitarian aid plays a key role in EU external relations; underlines the need to ensure appropriate budgetary allocations for the Humanitarian Aid Instrument and the Emergency Aid Reserve;

*Amendment*

88. Believes that humanitarian aid plays a key role in EU external relations ***and can have direct bearing on EU home affairs policies***; underlines the need to ensure appropriate budgetary allocations for the Humanitarian Aid Instrument and the Emergency Aid Reserve;

Or. en

**Amendment 897**  
**Richard Ashworth**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 88 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***88 a. Underlines the importance of working closely with international partners on needs assessments in order to ensure adequate, effective, equitable and flexible allocation of aid;***

Or. en

**Amendment 898**  
**Gabriele Zimmer, Jürgen Klute**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 88 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***88b. Recalls that a separate budget heading for humanitarian aid is needed in order to ensure the necessary and unconditional independence of humanitarian aid from other areas of the EU's external policy; stresses that humanitarian aid funds must be increased***

*and greater flexibility of this funding must be attained in order to be able to respond appropriately to the increasing numbers of crises and natural disasters;*

Or. de

**Amendment 899**  
**Ilda Figueiredo**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 89**

*Motion for a resolution*

89. Believes that high quality public administrations, at both Union and national levels, are an essential element for achieving the strategic goals *set in the Europe 2020 strategy*; *calls on the Commission to present a clear analysis of administrative expenditure post-2013, duly taking into account the public finances consolidation efforts, the new tasks and competences attributed to the Union by the Treaty of Lisbon, and the efficiency gains to be derived from an optimal use of human resources in particular through redeployment;*

*Amendment*

89. Believes that high quality public administrations, at both Union and national levels, are an essential element for achieving the strategic goals *of social progress, for which reason particular importance should be attached to workers' rights, including wages, respecting the dignity of all working people;*

Or. pt

**Amendment 900**  
**Guy Verhofstadt, Carl Haglund, Riikka Manner, Giommara Uggias, on behalf of the ALDE Group**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 89**

*Motion for a resolution*

89. Believes that high quality public administrations, at both Union and national levels, are an essential element for

*Amendment*

89. Believes that high quality public administrations, at both Union and national levels, are an essential element for

achieving the strategic goals set in the Europe 2020 strategy; calls on the Commission to present a clear analysis of administrative expenditure post-2013, duly taking into account the public finances consolidation efforts, the new tasks and competences attributed to the Union by the Treaty of Lisbon, and the efficiency gains to be derived from an optimal use of human resources in particular through redeployment;

achieving the strategic goals set in the Europe 2020 strategy; calls on the Commission to present a clear analysis of administrative expenditure post-2013, **including future expenses due to the current pension system**, duly taking into account the public finances consolidation efforts, the new tasks and competences attributed to the Union by the Treaty of Lisbon, and the efficiency gains to be derived from an optimal use of human resources in particular through redeployment;

Or. en

**Amendment 901**  
**Marta Andreasen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 89**

*Motion for a resolution*

89. Believes that high quality public **administrations**, at both Union and national levels, **are** an essential element for **achieving the strategic goals set in the** Europe 2020 strategy; calls on the Commission to present a clear analysis of administrative expenditure post-2013, duly taking into account the public finances consolidation efforts, the new tasks and competences **attributed to** the Union **by** the Treaty of Lisbon, and the efficiency gains to be derived from **an optimal** use of human resources in particular through redeployment;

*Amendment*

89. Believes that high quality public **administration**, at both Union and national levels, **is** an essential element for **attempting to achieve the aspirations which** Europe 2020, **seemingly oblivious to the failure of the Lisbon Agenda, dignifies with the name of** strategy; calls on the Commission to present a clear analysis of **projected** administrative expenditure post-2013, duly taking into account the public finances consolidation efforts, the new tasks and competences **annexed by** the Union **in** the Treaty of Lisbon, and the **alleged** efficiency gains to be derived from **changed** use of human resources in particular through redeployment;

Or. en



**Amendment 902**  
**Miguel Portas**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 89**

*Motion for a resolution*

89. Believes that high quality public administrations, at both Union and national levels, are an essential element *for achieving the strategic goals set in the Europe 2020 strategy*; calls on the Commission to present a clear analysis of administrative expenditure post-2013, duly taking into account the public finances consolidation efforts, the new tasks and competences attributed to the Union by the Treaty of Lisbon, and the efficiency gains to be derived from an optimal use of human resources in particular through redeployment;

*Amendment*

89. Believes that high quality public administrations, at both Union and national levels, are an essential element; calls on the Commission to present a clear analysis of administrative expenditure post-2013, duly taking into account the public finances consolidation efforts, the new tasks and competences attributed to the Union by the Treaty of Lisbon, and the efficiency gains to be derived from an optimal use of human resources in particular through redeployment;

Or. en

**Amendment 903**  
**James Elles**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 89**

*Motion for a resolution*

89. Believes that high quality public administrations, at both Union and national levels, are an essential element for achieving the strategic goals set in the Europe 2020 strategy; calls on the Commission to present a clear analysis of administrative expenditure post-2013, duly taking into account the public finances consolidation efforts, the new tasks and competences attributed to the Union by the Treaty of Lisbon, and the efficiency gains to be derived from an optimal use of human resources in particular through

*Amendment*

89. Believes that high quality public administrations, at both Union and national levels, are an essential element for achieving the strategic goals set in the Europe 2020 strategy; calls on the Commission to present a clear analysis of administrative expenditure post-2013, duly taking into account the public finances consolidation efforts, the new tasks and competences attributed to the Union by the Treaty of Lisbon, and the efficiency gains to be derived from an optimal use of human resources in particular through

redeployment;

redeployment *and new technologies*;

Or. en

**Amendment 904**  
**Bas Eickhout**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 89 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**89 a. Points out that such analysis should investigate the scope for synergies and, where possible, savings, inter alia through further interinstitutional cooperation, review by each institution of its working methods, better separation of tasks of institutions and agencies and should also take account of the medium and long-term financial impact of pension systems, age-related trends and retirement, recruitment and other areas of statutory provisions of staff working for EU institutions in view of the need to ensure long-term sustainability;**

Or. en

**Amendment 905**  
**Arlene McCarthy, Derek Vaughan**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 89 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**89b. Notes that at 5.7% of total spending, administration costs form a higher proportion of spending than in Member States and therefore expects the Commission's analysis to lead to savings to fund frontline priorities; calls on the European Council to enable the**

*European Parliament to choose a single seat in Brussels, allowing significant savings in the Parliament's budget by ending the monthly relocation to Strasbourg; calls for equally significant savings to be made by all the institutions;*

Or. en

**Amendment 906**  
**Bas Eickhout**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 89 c (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*89c. Points to the significant savings that could be made if the European Parliament were to have a single seat;*

Or. en

**Amendment 907**  
**Guy Verhofstadt, Carl Haglund, on behalf of the ALDE Group**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 89 d (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*89d. Believes that all administrative expenditures shall be gathered under a single heading related to administration, that not only the total administrative expenditure shall not exceed its current level but also that efforts to find savings in the administrative costs, including the European Parliament, should lead to a reduction in the overall EU administrative budget; in this perspective, encourages the Council to amend the Treaty in order to enable the concentration of the work of the*

*European Parliament in one single seat, while also ensuring a single seat for all new European agencies, including the European Supervisory Authorities, to abolish the Economic and Social Committee as well as fundamentally restructuring other parts of the EU administration, such as the Committee of Regions;*

Or. en

**Amendment 908**  
**Anneli Jäätteenmäki**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 89 e (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*89e. Considers that the European Parliament should abandon the monthly part-sessions in Strasbourg altogether, as better uses could be found for the approximately €200 million per annum which the European taxpayer has to pay on account of the European Parliament's Strasbourg place of work;*

Or. fi

**Amendment 909**  
**Cornelis de Jong**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 89 f (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*89f. Calls on the Commission and the Council to reconsider the level of salaries and benefits for EU-civil servants; considers that the tasks of national and EU-civil servants have increasingly*

*converged;*

Or. en

**Amendment 910**

**Miguel Portas**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 90**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**90. Considers that the structure of the next MFF should be realistic, facilitate planning continuity and avoid the shortcomings of the current MFF; insists, in this respect, on the need to avoid unjustified radical changes and to consolidate and improve the current structure;**

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 911**

**Göran Färm, Ivailo Kalfin, Eider Gardiazábal Rubial on behalf of the S&D Group**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 90**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

90. Considers that the structure of the next MFF should ***be realistic***, facilitate planning continuity and avoid the shortcomings of the current MFF; insists, ***in this respect***, on the need to avoid unjustified radical changes and to consolidate and improve the current structure;

90. Considers that the structure of the next MFF should facilitate planning continuity and avoid the shortcomings of the current MFF ***which failed to deliver the Lisbon-Treaty-related policy priorities, as well as other initiatives providing EU added value allowing the EU to meet its commitments and its citizens' expectations; provide funds for launching the implementation of new competences under the Lisbon Treaty and address the shortfalls particularly under heading 1A "Competitiveness Growth and***

*Employment" and heading 4 "External relations"; insists on the need to avoid unjustified radical changes and to consolidate and improve the current structure;*

Or. en

**Amendment 912**  
**Bas Eickhout**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 90**

*Motion for a resolution*

90. Considers that the structure of the next MFF should **be realistic**, facilitate planning continuity and avoid the shortcomings of the current MFF; insists, in this respect, on the need to avoid unjustified radical changes and to consolidate and improve the current structure;

*Amendment*

90. Considers that the structure of the next MFF should facilitate **both** planning continuity and **flexibility and** avoid the shortcomings of the current MFF; insists, in this respect, on the need to avoid unjustified radical changes and to consolidate and improve the current structure; **acknowledges, however, that the structure of the MFF is in the end an arbitrary choice of grouping together or singling out certain policy fields and programmes and that it is not the structure but the content of the programmes and their funding which are relevant in evaluating the contribution to achieving the EU 2020 smart, sustainable and inclusive growth targets;**

Or. en

**Amendment 913**  
**Cornelis de Jong**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 90**

*Motion for a resolution*

90. Considers that the structure of the next MFF should be realistic, facilitate planning continuity and avoid the shortcomings of the current MFF; ***insists, in this respect, on the need to avoid unjustified radical changes and to consolidate and improve the current structure;***

*Amendment*

90. Considers that the structure of the next MFF should be realistic, facilitate planning continuity and avoid the shortcomings of the current MFF;

Or. en

**Amendment 914**

**Guy Verhofstadt, Carl Haglund, Sylvie Goulard, Giommara Uggias, on behalf of the ALDE Group**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 90**

*Motion for a resolution*

90. Considers that the structure of the next MFF should be realistic, facilitate planning continuity and avoid the shortcomings of the current MFF; ***insists, in this respect, on the need to avoid unjustified radical changes and to consolidate and improve the current structure;***

*Amendment*

90. Considers that the structure of the next MFF should be realistic, facilitate planning continuity and ***ensure flexibility within and between headings, and*** avoid the shortcomings of the current MFF; ***emphasises that the political priorities should be identified at EU level, and the budget should then be designated accordingly;***

Or. en

**Amendment 915**

**Reimer Böge on behalf of the EPP Group**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 90**

*Motion for a resolution*

90. Considers that the structure of the next MFF should be realistic, facilitate planning

*Amendment*

90. Considers that the structure of the next MFF should be realistic, facilitate planning

continuity and avoid the shortcomings of the current MFF; insists, in this respect, on the need to avoid unjustified radical changes and to consolidate and improve the current structure;

continuity and avoid the shortcomings of the current MFF, ***while increasing the visibility of EU political and budgetary priorities for the European citizens***; insists, in this respect, on the need to avoid unjustified radical changes and to consolidate and improve the current structure;

Or. en

**Amendment 916**  
**Jürgen Klute, Miguel Portas**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 90**

*Motion for a resolution*

90. Considers that the structure of the next MFF should be realistic, facilitate planning continuity and avoid the shortcomings of the current MFF; insists, in this respect, ***on*** the need ***to avoid unjustified*** radical changes ***and to consolidate and improve the current structure***;

*Amendment*

90. Considers that the structure of the next MFF should be realistic, facilitate planning continuity and avoid the shortcomings of the current MFF; insists, in this respect, the need ***of*** radical changes;

Or. en

**Amendment 917**  
**Marta Andreasen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 91**

*Motion for a resolution*

***91. Reiterates that the Europe 2020 strategy should be the policy reference for the next MFF; considers, as a consequence, that the structure should reflect and give political visibility to the Europe 2020 dimensions of smart, sustainable and inclusive growth;***

*Amendment*

*deleted*



*proposes, accordingly, to group under a single heading all Europe 2020 related policies;*

Or. en

**Amendment 918**  
**Miguel Portas**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 91**

*Motion for a resolution*

91. *Reiterates that the Europe 2020 strategy should be the policy reference for the next MFF; considers, as a consequence,* that the structure should reflect and give political visibility to the Europe 2020 dimensions of smart, sustainable and inclusive growth; *proposes, accordingly, to group under a single heading all Europe 2020 related policies;*

*Amendment*

91. Considers that the structure should reflect and give political visibility to the Europe 2020 dimensions of smart, sustainable and inclusive growth;

Or. pt

**Amendment 919**  
**Bas Eickhout**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 91**

*Motion for a resolution*

91. Reiterates that the Europe 2020 strategy should be the policy reference for the next MFF; considers, as a consequence, that the structure should reflect and give political visibility to the Europe 2020 dimensions of smart, sustainable and inclusive growth; *proposes, accordingly, to group under a single heading all Europe 2020 related policies;*

*Amendment*

91. Reiterates that the Europe 2020 strategy should be the policy reference for the next MFF; considers, as a consequence, that the structure should reflect and give *some* political visibility to the Europe 2020 dimensions of smart, sustainable and inclusive growth;

**Amendment 920**  
**Konrad Szymański**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 91**

*Motion for a resolution*

91. Reiterates that the Europe 2020 strategy should be the policy reference for the next MFF; considers, as a consequence, that the structure should reflect and give political visibility to the Europe 2020 dimensions of smart, sustainable and inclusive growth; ***proposes, accordingly, to group under a single heading all Europe 2020 related policies;***

*Amendment*

91. Reiterates that the Europe 2020 strategy should be the policy reference for the next MFF; considers, as a consequence, that the structure should reflect and give political visibility to the Europe 2020 dimensions of smart, sustainable and inclusive growth;

Or. pl

**Amendment 921**  
**Jürgen Klute, Cornelia Ernst, Gabriele Zimmer, Miguel Portas**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 91**

*Motion for a resolution*

91. Reiterates that the Europe 2020 strategy should be ***the*** policy reference for the next MFF; considers, as a consequence, that the structure should reflect and give political visibility to the Europe 2020 dimensions of smart, sustainable and inclusive growth; proposes, accordingly, to group under a single heading all Europe 2020 related policies;

*Amendment*

91. Reiterates that the Europe 2020 strategy should be ***a*** policy reference for the next MFF; ***reiterates that the cohesion policy with its horizontal character is contributing to all EU 2020 objectives;*** considers, as a consequence, that the structure should reflect and give political visibility to the Europe 2020 dimensions of smart, sustainable and inclusive growth ***and economic, social and territorial cohesion;*** proposes, accordingly, to group under a single heading all Europe 2020 ***and cohesion*** related policies;

**Amendment 922**  
**Reimer Böge on behalf of the EPP Group**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 91**

*Motion for a resolution*

91. Reiterates that the Europe 2020 strategy should be the policy reference for the next MFF; considers, as a consequence, that the structure should reflect and give political visibility to the Europe 2020 dimensions of smart, sustainable and inclusive growth; proposes, accordingly, to group under a single heading all Europe 2020 related policies;

*Amendment*

91. Reiterates that the Europe 2020 strategy should be the *main* policy reference for the next MFF; considers, as a consequence, that the structure should reflect and give political visibility to the Europe 2020 dimensions of smart, sustainable and inclusive growth; proposes, accordingly, to group under a single heading all Europe 2020 related policies;

**Amendment 923**  
**Ilda Figueiredo, Eva-Britt Svensson**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 91**

*Motion for a resolution*

91. Reiterates that the Europe 2020 strategy should be the policy reference for the next MFF; considers, as a consequence, that the structure should reflect and give political visibility to the Europe 2020 dimensions of smart, sustainable and inclusive growth; proposes, accordingly, to group under a single heading all Europe 2020 related policies;

*Amendment*

91. Reiterates that the Europe 2020 strategy should be the policy reference for the next MFF; considers, as a consequence, that the structure should reflect and give political visibility *equally* to the Europe 2020 dimensions of smart, sustainable and inclusive growth; proposes, accordingly, to group under a single heading all Europe 2020 related policies;

**Amendment 924**

**Göran Färm, Ivailo Kalfin, Eider Gardiazábal Rubial on behalf of the S&D Group**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 91**

*Motion for a resolution*

91. **Reiterates** that the Europe 2020 strategy should be the policy reference for the next MFF; considers, as a consequence, **that the structure should reflect and give political visibility to the Europe 2020 dimensions of smart, sustainable and inclusive growth; proposes, accordingly, to group under a single heading all Europe 2020 related policies;**

*Amendment*

91. **Takes the view** that the Europe 2020 strategy should be the **main** policy reference for the next MFF; considers **at the same time that it is not an all inclusive strategy covering all Union policies, decides** as a consequence, **to propose a new structure, reflecting a common EU political agenda, grouping under one single heading all internal policies and maintaining a second heading for all external policies;**

Or. en

**Amendment 925**  
**James Elles**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 92**

*Motion for a resolution*

92. Is, however, aware of the need for expenditure to develop in an orderly manner and that major spending policies are provided with a stable financial planning framework; **proposes, accordingly, to establish under the Europe 2020 heading three subheadings involving linked policies which should also favour better coordination and implementation synergies among them; proposes, thus, a subheading comprising knowledge related policies; a second subheading encompassing sustainability and resource-efficiency related policies; and a third subheading devoted to cohesion policy reflecting its horizontal**

*Amendment*

92. Is, however, aware of the need for expenditure to develop in an orderly manner and that major spending policies are provided with a stable financial planning framework;

*nature and its contribution to all Europe 2020 objectives;*

Or. en

**Amendment 926**

**Guy Verhofstadt, Carl Haglund, Anne E. Jensen, on behalf of the ALDE Group**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 92**

*Motion for a resolution*

92. Is, however, aware of the need for expenditure to develop in an orderly manner and that major spending policies are provided with a stable financial planning framework; proposes, accordingly, to ***establish under*** the Europe 2020 heading ***three subheadings involving linked policies which should also favour better coordination and implementation synergies among them; proposes, thus, a subheading comprising knowledge related policies; a second subheading encompassing sustainability and resource-efficiency related policies; and a third subheading devoted to cohesion policy reflecting its horizontal nature and its contribution to all Europe 2020 objectives;***

*Amendment*

92. Is, however, aware of the need for expenditure to develop in an orderly manner and that major spending policies are provided with a stable financial planning framework; proposes, accordingly, to ***reduce the current 5 headings to three headings: one heading dealing with all Europe 2020 related expenditures, one heading dealing with Citizenship and External relations, and one heading comprising administrative expenditure;***

Or. en

**Amendment 927**

**Reimer Böge on behalf of the EPP Group**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 92**

*Motion for a resolution*

92. Is, however, aware of the need for expenditure to develop in an orderly

*Amendment*

92. Is, however, aware of the need for expenditure to develop in an orderly

manner and that major spending policies are provided with a stable financial planning framework; proposes, accordingly, to establish under the Europe 2020 heading **three** subheadings involving linked policies which should also favour better coordination and implementation synergies among them; proposes, thus, a subheading comprising knowledge related policies; a second subheading **encompassing sustainability and resource-efficiency related** policies; **and** a third subheading devoted to cohesion policy reflecting its horizontal nature and its contribution to all Europe 2020 objectives;

manner and that major spending policies are provided with a stable financial planning framework; proposes, accordingly, to establish under the Europe 2020 heading **four** subheadings involving linked policies which should also favour better coordination and implementation synergies among them; proposes, thus, a subheading comprising knowledge related policies; a second subheading **on sustainable development comprising environment, climate change, transport and energy** policies; a third subheading devoted to cohesion policy - reflecting its horizontal nature and its contribution to all Europe 2020 objectives - **as well as social policy; and a fourth subheading encompassing agriculture and fisheries policies;**

Or. en

## **Amendment 928** **Bas Eickhout**

### **Motion for a resolution** **Paragraph 92**

#### *Motion for a resolution*

92. Is, however, aware of the need for expenditure to develop in an orderly manner and that major spending policies are provided with a stable financial planning framework; proposes, **accordingly, to establish under the Europe 2020 heading three subheadings involving linked policies which should also favour better coordination and implementation synergies among them; proposes,** thus, a **subheading** comprising knowledge related policies; a second **subheading** encompassing sustainability and resource-efficiency related policies; and a third **subheading** devoted to cohesion policy reflecting its horizontal

#### *Amendment*

92. Is, however, aware of the need for expenditure to develop in an orderly manner and that major spending policies are provided with a stable financial planning framework; proposes, thus, a **heading** comprising knowledge related policies; a second **heading** encompassing sustainability and resource-efficiency related policies; and a third **heading** devoted to **inclusive society, including a subheading for** cohesion policy reflecting its horizontal nature and its contribution to all Europe 2020 objectives;

nature and its contribution to all Europe 2020 objectives;

Or. en

### **Amendment 929**

**Jürgen Klute, Cornelia Ernst, Gabriele Zimmer**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 92**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

92. Is, however, aware of the need for expenditure to develop in an orderly manner and that major spending policies are provided with a stable financial planning framework; proposes, accordingly, to establish under the Europe 2020 heading three subheadings involving linked policies which should also favour better coordination and implementation synergies among them; proposes, thus, a subheading comprising knowledge related policies; a second subheading encompassing sustainability and resource-efficiency related policies; and a third subheading devoted to cohesion policy reflecting its horizontal nature and its contribution to all Europe 2020 objectives;

###### *Amendment*

92. Is, however, aware of the need for expenditure to develop in an orderly manner and that major spending policies are provided with a stable financial planning framework; proposes, accordingly, to establish under the Europe 2020 **and cohesion** heading three subheadings involving linked policies which should also favour better coordination and implementation synergies among them; proposes, thus, a subheading comprising knowledge related policies; a second subheading encompassing sustainability and resource-efficiency related policies; and a third subheading devoted to cohesion policy reflecting its horizontal nature and its contribution to all Europe 2020 objectives;

Or. en

### **Amendment 930**

**Ilda Figueiredo, Eva-Britt Svensson**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Paragraph 92**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

92. Is, however, aware of the need for expenditure to develop in an orderly

###### *Amendment*

92. Is, however, aware of the need for expenditure to develop in an orderly

manner and that major spending policies are provided with a stable financial planning framework; proposes, accordingly, to establish under the Europe 2020 heading three subheadings involving linked policies which should also favour better coordination and implementation synergies among them; proposes, thus, a subheading comprising knowledge related policies; a second subheading encompassing sustainability and resource-efficiency related policies; and a third subheading devoted to cohesion policy reflecting its horizontal nature and its contribution to all Europe 2020 objectives;

manner and that major spending policies are provided with a stable financial planning framework; proposes, accordingly, to establish under the Europe 2020 heading three subheadings involving linked policies which should also favour better coordination and implementation synergies among them; proposes, thus, a subheading comprising knowledge related policies **and social policies**; a second subheading encompassing sustainability and resource-efficiency related policies; and a third subheading devoted to cohesion policy reflecting its horizontal nature and its contribution to all Europe 2020 objectives;

Or. en

### **Amendment 931**

**Göran Färm, Ivailo Kalfin, Eider Gardiazábal Rubial on behalf of the S&D Group**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 92**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

92. Is, however, aware of the need for expenditure to develop in an orderly manner and that major spending policies are provided with a stable financial planning framework; proposes, accordingly, to establish under the **Europe 2020** heading **three** subheadings involving linked policies which should also favour better coordination and implementation synergies among them; proposes, thus, a subheading comprising knowledge related policies; a second subheading encompassing sustainability and resource-efficiency related policies; **and a third subheading devoted to cohesion policy reflecting its horizontal nature and its contribution to all Europe 2020 objectives**;

##### *Amendment*

92. Is, however, aware of the need for expenditure to develop in an orderly manner and that major spending policies are provided with a stable financial planning framework; proposes, accordingly, to establish under the **Internal policy** heading **four** subheadings involving linked policies which should also favour better coordination and implementation synergies among them; proposes, thus, a subheading comprising knowledge related policies; a second subheading **devoted to cohesion policy**; **a third subheading encompassing economic sustainability and resource-efficiency related policies**; a **fourth subheading for citizenship which would combine the 2007-2013 MFF subheadings 3a (citizenship) and 3b (freedom, security and justice policies)**



*into a single subheading given the previous experienced difficulties which arise when a number of rather small programmes are brought together within a small subheading;*

Or. en

**Amendment 932**

**Ivailo Kalfin, Ana Gomes, Kinga Göncz, Zigmantas Balčytis, Victor Boştinaru**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 92 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*92 a. Considers that some large-scale projects, where the financial needs could not be clearly determined from the outset tend to divert funds from smaller successful programmes, hampering their proper implementation; proposes, therefore, to create a separate sub heading within Heading 1 for large sized projects, exceeding EUR 1bn+ of EU funding, in order to assure the elaboration of more predictable financing schemes and guarantee the proper implementation of both large-scale and visible projects as well as smaller ones;*

Or. en

**Amendment 933**

**Reimer Böge on behalf of the EPP Group**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 92 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*92b. Believes that the next MFF should allow for a ringfencing of large-scale projects which are of strategic importance*

*for the Union; believes that the EU budget should make a fixed annual contribution to these projects, in order to ensure their planning continuity and organisation stability; considers that a separate section under the Sustainable Development subheading should be created for this purpose;*

Or. en

**Amendment 934**

**Bas Eickhout**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 92 c (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***92c. Calls for ring-fencing Galileo in a separate sub-heading;***

Or. en

**Amendment 935**

**Bas Eickhout**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 93**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

93. Considers that, in view of the integrated character of the Europe 2020 strategy, and in order to ensure that budgetary means are appropriately aligned with the progressive development of the strategy, it is essential that a higher degree of flexibility is ensured among the three Europe 2020 *subheadings*;

93. Considers that, in view of the integrated character of the Europe 2020 strategy, and in order to ensure that budgetary means are appropriately aligned with the progressive development of the strategy, it is essential that a higher degree of flexibility is ensured among the three Europe 2020 *headings*;

Or. en

### Amendment 936

Göran Färm, Ivailo Kalfin, Eider Gardiazábal Rubial on behalf of the S&D Group

#### Motion for a resolution

##### Paragraph 93

###### *Motion for a resolution*

93. Considers that, in ***view of the integrated character of the Europe 2020 strategy, and in order to ensure that budgetary means are appropriately aligned with the progressive development of the strategy, it is essential that*** a higher degree of flexibility is ensured ***among the three Europe 2020 subheadings***;

###### *Amendment*

93. Considers that, in order to ***avoid the above mentioned shortcomings, to face unexpected developments and increase effectiveness of the*** budgetary ***expenditures*** a higher degree of flexibility is ensured ***within and among subheadings and headings, below the overall ceiling of the MFF***; ***takes the view that in order to enhance flexibility of the MFF, the possibility to create a separate margin serving all headings, below the overall MFF margin, to be mobilised in the framework of the annual budget procedure, according to the normal rules which apply to this procedure should also be explored***;

Or. en

### Amendment 937

Giovanni La Via, Mario Mauro, Erminia Mazzoni

#### Motion for a resolution

##### Paragraph 93

###### *Motion for a resolution*

93. ***Considers that***, in view of the integrated character of the Europe 2020 strategy, and in order to ensure that budgetary means are appropriately aligned with the progressive development of the strategy, ***it is essential that*** a higher degree of flexibility ***is ensured*** among the three Europe 2020 subheadings;

###### *Amendment*

93. ***Calls for consideration to be given to providing for*** a higher degree of flexibility among the three Europe 2020 subheadings, ***which should meet commitment and expenditure requirements***, in view of the integrated character of the Europe 2020 strategy and in order to ensure that budgetary means are appropriately aligned with the progressive development of the strategy;

**Amendment 938**

**Jürgen Klute**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 93**

*Motion for a resolution*

93. Considers that, in view of the integrated character of the Europe 2020 strategy, and in order to ensure that budgetary means are appropriately aligned with the progressive development of the strategy, it is essential that a higher degree of flexibility is ensured among the three Europe 2020 subheadings;

*Amendment*

93. Considers that, in view of the integrated character of the Europe 2020 strategy, and in order to ensure that budgetary means are appropriately aligned with the progressive development of the strategy, it is essential that a higher degree of flexibility is ensured among the three Europe 2020 subheadings; ***underlines that full transparency has to be guaranteed;***

Or. en

**Amendment 939**

**Alexander Alvaro, Cecilia Wikström**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 94**

*Motion for a resolution*

***94. Recalls the difficulties which arise when a number of rather small programmes are brought together within a small subheading; proposes, accordingly, to combine the 2007-2013 MFF subheadings 3a (citizenship) and 3b (freedom, security and justice policies) into a single heading;***

*Amendment*

***deleted***

Or. en

**Amendment 940**

**Ilda Figueiredo**

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 94**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**94. Recalls the difficulties which arise when a number of rather small programmes are brought together within a small subheading; proposes, accordingly, to combine the 2007-2013 MFF subheadings 3a (citizenship) and 3b (freedom, security and justice policies) into a single heading;**

*deleted*

Or. pt

**Amendment 941  
Göran Färm, Ivailo Kalfin, Eider Gardiazábal Rubial on behalf of the S&D Group**

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 94**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**94. Recalls the difficulties which arise when a number of rather small programmes are brought together within a small subheading; proposes, accordingly, to combine the 2007-2013 MFF subheadings 3a (citizenship) and 3b (freedom, security and justice policies) into a single heading;**

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 942  
Bas Eickhout**

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 94**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

94. Recalls the difficulties which arise

94. Recalls the difficulties which arise

when a number of rather small programmes are brought together within a small subheading; proposes, accordingly, to **combine** the 2007-2013 MFF subheadings 3a (citizenship) and 3b (freedom, security and justice policies) **into a single** heading;

when a number of rather small programmes are brought together within a small subheading; proposes, accordingly, to **place** the 2007-2013 MFF subheadings 3a (citizenship) and 3b (freedom, security and justice policies) **within the** heading **for inclusive society**;

Or. en

**Amendment 943**  
**Alexander Alvaro, Cecilia Wikström**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 94 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**94 a. Proposes to maintain the subheadings on freedom, security and justice policies and citizenship policies as separate subheadings;**

Or. en

**Amendment 944**  
**Cornelis de Jong**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 95**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**95. Considers that a new heading on Financial Governance should be included to establish a link for a possible intervention of the EU budget in the European Stability Mechanism after 2013; is firmly convinced that a mere inter-governmental approach is not sufficient and would undermine the principle of collective solidarity;**

**deleted**

Or. en

**Amendment 945**  
**James Elles**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 95**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**95. Considers that a new heading on Financial Governance should be included to establish a link for a possible intervention of the EU budget in the European Stability Mechanism after 2013; is firmly convinced that a mere inter-governmental approach is not sufficient and would undermine the principle of collective solidarity;**

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 946**  
**Göran Färm, Ivailo Kalfin, Eider Gardiazábal Rubial on behalf of the S&D Group**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 95**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**95. Considers that a new heading on Financial Governance should be included to establish a link for a possible intervention of the EU budget in the European Stability Mechanism after 2013; is firmly convinced that a mere inter-governmental approach is not sufficient and would undermine the principle of collective solidarity;**

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 947**  
**Bas Eickhout, Pascal Canfin**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 95**

*Motion for a resolution*

95. Considers that a new **heading on Financial Governance** should be included to establish a link for a possible intervention of the EU budget in the European Stability Mechanism after 2013; is firmly convinced that a mere inter-governmental approach is not sufficient and would undermine the principle of collective solidarity;

*Amendment*

95. Considers that **in order to improve transparency, visibility and flexibility** a new **soft-heading** should be included to establish a **Reserve margin, comprising all flexibility instruments, guarantees linked to lending and borrowing operations - making visible also credit lines and contingent liabilities- carried-over unused margins and commitments and to provide** a link for a possible intervention of the EU budget in the European Stability Mechanism after 2013 **or for special recovery programmes, thus opening the way for the EU budget to be used as a counter-cyclical tool in a broader macro-economic context**; is firmly convinced that a mere inter-governmental approach is not sufficient and would undermine the principle of collective solidarity;

Or. en

**Amendment 948**  
**Reimer Böge on behalf of the EPP Group**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 95**

*Motion for a resolution*

95. Considers that a new heading on Financial Governance should be included to establish a link for a possible intervention of the EU budget in the European Stability Mechanism after 2013; is firmly convinced that a mere inter-governmental approach is not sufficient and would undermine the principle of collective solidarity;

*Amendment*

95. Considers that a new heading on Financial Governance should be included to establish a link for a possible intervention of the EU budget in the European Stability Mechanism after 2013 **above the MFF ceilings and below the own resources ceiling**; is firmly convinced that a mere inter-governmental approach is not sufficient and would undermine the principle of collective solidarity;



**Amendment 949**

**Guy Verhofstadt, Carl Haglund, Giommara Uggias, on behalf of the ALDE Group**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 95**

*Motion for a resolution*

95. ***Considers that a new heading on Financial Governance should be included to establish a link for a possible intervention of the EU budget in the European Stability Mechanism after 2013;*** is firmly convinced that a mere inter-governmental approach is not sufficient and would undermine the principle of collective solidarity;

*Amendment*

95. ***Calls for European Stability Mechanism to be integrated in*** the EU budget; is firmly convinced that a mere inter-governmental approach is not sufficient and would undermine the principle of collective solidarity;

**Amendment 950**

**Miguel Portas**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 95**

*Motion for a resolution*

95. Considers that a new heading on Financial Governance should be included to establish a link for a possible intervention of the EU budget in the European Stability Mechanism after 2013; ***is firmly convinced that a mere inter-governmental approach is not sufficient and would undermine the principle of collective solidarity;***

*Amendment*

95. Considers that a new heading on Financial Governance should be included to establish a link for a possible intervention of the EU budget in the European Stability Mechanism after 2013;

**Amendment 951**  
**Pascal Canfin, Bas Eickhout**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 95 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**95 a. Calls in this context for comprehensive information by the Commission summarising the Memoranda of Understanding and the financing conditions attached to Balance of Payment support and Macro Financial Assistance to third countries so as to enable better oversight of and insight in yearly exposure of the EU budget towards commitments made;**

Or. en

**Amendment 952**  
**Reimer Böge on behalf of the EPP Group**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 97 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**97 a. Calls for the creation of a separate heading 6 in the next MFF which will contain all EU expenditure that occurs – following an intergovernmental procedure- outside the EU budget; believes that this information provided on an annual basis will provide the complete picture on the investments that Member States agree to undertake at the EU level;**

Or. en

**Amendment 953**  
**Reimer Böge on behalf of the EPP Group**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 97 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**97b. Urges the Commission to include detailed information on the revenue side of the EU budget in its Draft Budget, as transmitted to the EU budgetary authority; notes that a joint presentation of the revenue and expenditure side of the budget is actually standard practice for all national budgets; strongly believes that in this way a permanent debate on the financing system of the Union will be maintained, while fully acknowledging that the budgetary authority does not have at present any competence to propose changes to this part of the budget;**

Or. en

**Amendment 954**  
**Reimer Böge, Frank Engel on behalf of the EPP Group**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 97 c (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**97c. Suggests that the EU budget should clearly identify - possibly in an annex - all investments that are made in each EU policy field, originating also from different parts of the EU budget; believes, at the same time, that the Commission should also provide an estimate of the investment needs that are foreseen for the whole duration of the programming period;**

Or. en

## Amendment 955

James Elles

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 98

Motion for a resolution

98. Proposes, therefore, the following structure for the next MFF:

**1. Smart, sustainable and inclusive growth (Europe 2020)**

**1a. Knowledge for growth and employment**

*Including research and innovation, education and lifelong learning, internal market and social policies.*

**1b. Sustainable development**

*Including agriculture, fisheries, environment, climate change, energy, and transport policies.*

**1c. Cohesion for growth and employment**

*Including cohesion policy (economic, social and territorial).*

**2. Citizenship**

*Including culture, youth, communication and freedom, security and justice policies.*

**3. Global Europe**

*Including external action, neighbourhood and development policies.*

**4. Financial Governance**

*Including a link to the European Stability Mechanism.*

**5. Administration**

Amendment

98. Proposes, therefore, the following structure for the next MFF:

**1. Sustainable development**

**2. Knowledge society**

**3. Cohesion for growth and employment**

**4. Citizenship**

**5. Global Europe**

**6. Administration**

Or. en

## Amendment 956

Bas Eickhout

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 98**

*Motion for a resolution*

98. Proposes, therefore, the following structure for the next MFF:

**1. Smart, sustainable and inclusive growth (Europe 2020)**

**1a.** Knowledge for growth and employment

Including research and innovation, education and lifelong learning, internal market **and social policies**.

**1b.** Sustainable development

Including agriculture, fisheries, environment, climate change, energy, and transport policies.

1c. Cohesion for growth and employment

Including cohesion policy (economic, social and territorial).

2. Citizenship

Including culture, youth, communication and freedom, security and justice policies.

3. Global Europe

Including external action, neighbourhood and development policies.

**4. Financial Governance**

**Including a link to the European Stability Mechanism.**

*Amendment*

98. Proposes, therefore, the following structure for the next MFF:

**1.** Knowledge for growth and employment

Including research and innovation, education and lifelong learning, internal market.

**2.** Sustainable development

Including agriculture, fisheries, environment, climate change, energy, and transport policies.

**3. Inclusive society**

**3a. Economic, social and territorial cohesion**

Including cohesion policy (economic, social and territorial).

**3b. citizenship**

Including, **social policy agenda, equality, combating poverty, and social exclusion**, culture youth, **media**, communication and freedom, security and justice policies, **fundamental rights and anti-discrimination**.

4. Global Europe

Including external action, neighbourhood and development policies.

**5. Administration**

**6. Reserve Margin**  
**Including flexibility instrument, emergency aid reserve, solidarity fund, carried over unused margins and commitments, contingency margin, guarantees relating to borrowing and lending operations, including a link to the European Stability Mechanism.**

## 5. Administration

Or. en

### Amendment 957

**Guy Verhofstadt, Carl Haglund, Alexander Alvaro, Riikka Manner, on behalf of the ALDE Group**

#### Motion for a resolution

##### Paragraph 98

###### *Motion for a resolution*

98. Proposes, therefore, the following structure for the next MFF:

1. Smart, sustainable and inclusive growth (Europe 2020)

1a. Knowledge for growth and employment

Including research and innovation, education and lifelong learning, internal market and social policies.

1b. Sustainable development

Including agriculture, fisheries, environment, climate change, energy, and transport policies.

1c. Cohesion for growth and employment

Including cohesion policy (economic, social and territorial).

2. Citizenship

***Including culture, youth, communication and freedom, security and justice policies.***

***3. Global Europe***

***Including external action, neighbourhood and development policies.***

***4. Financial Governance***

***Including a link to the European Stability Mechanism.***

5. Administration

###### *Amendment*

98. Proposes, therefore, the following structure for the next MFF:

1. Smart, sustainable and inclusive growth (Europe 2020)

1a. Knowledge for growth and employment

Including research and innovation, education and lifelong learning, internal market

1b. Sustainable development

Including agriculture, ***rural development***, fisheries, environment, climate change, energy, and transport policies.

1c. Cohesion for growth and employment

Including cohesion policy (economic, social and territorial).

2. Citizenship ***and External relations***

***2a. Freedom, Security and Justice***

***2b. Culture, Youth, Communication and Citizenship***

***2c. External action, Neighbourhood and Development policy***

3. Administration

**Amendment 958**

**Lambert van Nistelrooij, Markus Pieper, Danuta Maria Hübner, Mathieu Grosch, Sophie Auconie, Lena Kolarska-Bobińska, Joachim Zeller, Marco Scurria, Hermann Winkler, Marie-Thérèse Sanchez-Schmid, Iosif Matula**

**Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 98**

## Motion for a resolution

98. Proposes, therefore, the following structure for the next MFF:

1. Smart, sustainable and inclusive growth (Europe 2020)

1a. Knowledge for growth and employment

Including research and innovation, education and lifelong learning, internal market *and social policies*.

***1b. Sustainable development***

***Including agriculture, fisheries, environment, climate change, energy, and transport policies.***

***1c. Cohesion for growth and employment***

Including *cohesion policy (economic, social and territorial)*.

2. Citizenship

Including culture, youth, communication and freedom, security and justice policies.

3. Global Europe

Including external action, neighbourhood

*Amendment*

98. Proposes, therefore, the following structure for the next MFF:

1. Smart, sustainable and inclusive growth (Europe 2020)

1a. Knowledge for growth and employment

Including research and innovation, education and lifelong learning, internal market

***1b. Cohesion for growth, employment and sustainable development***

***Investment in cohesion (economic, social and territorial), social policies, environment, climate change, transport and energy policies.***

***1c. Food security and management of natural resources***

Including *agriculture and fisheries*.

***1d. Food security and management of natural resources***

***Including agriculture and fisheries policies.***

2. Citizenship

Including culture, youth, communication and freedom, security and justice policies.

3. Global Europe

Including external action, neighbourhood

and development policies.  
4. Financial Governance  
Including a link to the European Stability Mechanism.  
5. Administration

and development policies.  
4. Financial Governance  
Including a link to the European Stability Mechanism.  
5. Administration

Or. en

**Amendment 959**  
**Reimer Böge, on behalf of the EPP Group**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 98**

*Motion for a resolution*

98. Proposes, therefore, the following structure for the next MFF:

1. ***Smart, sustainable and inclusive growth*** (Europe 2020)
  - 1a. Knowledge for growth ***and employment***  
Including research and innovation, education and lifelong learning, internal market ***and social policies***.
  - 1b. Sustainable development  
Including ***agriculture, fisheries***, environment, climate change, energy, and transport policies.
  - 1c. Cohesion for growth and employment  
Including cohesion ***policy*** (economic, social and territorial).
2. Citizenship  
Including culture, youth, communication and freedom, security and justice policies.

*Amendment*

98. Proposes, therefore, the following structure for the next MFF:

1. Europe 2020
  - 1a. Knowledge for growth  
Including research and innovation, education and lifelong learning, internal market
  - 1b. Sustainable development  
Including environment, climate change, energy, and transport policies.
  - 1c. Cohesion for growth and employment  
Including cohesion (economic, social and territorial) ***and social policies***.
  - 1d. Food security and management of natural resources***  
***Including agriculture and fisheries policies***.
2. Citizenship  
Including culture, youth, communication and freedom, security and justice policies.



3. Global Europe  
Including external action, neighbourhood and development policies.
4. Financial Governance  
Including a link to the European Stability Mechanism.
5. Administration

3. Global Europe  
Including external action, neighbourhood and development policies.
4. Financial Governance  
Including a link to the European Stability Mechanism.
5. Administration

**6. *EU expenditure outside the EU budget***

Or. en

**Amendment 960**

**Göran Färm, Ivailo Kalfin, Eider Gardiazábal Rubial, on behalf of the S&D Group**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 98**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

98. Proposes, therefore, the following structure for the next MFF:

98. Proposes, therefore, the following structure for the next MFF:

**1. *Smart, sustainable and inclusive growth (Europe 2020)***

**1. *Internal policies***

1a. Knowledge for growth and employment

1a. Knowledge for ***a cohesive*** growth and employment

Including research and innovation, education and lifelong learning, internal market and social policies.

Including research and innovation, education and lifelong learning, internal market

***1b. Sustainable development***

***Including agriculture, fisheries, environment, climate change, energy, and transport policies.***

***1b. Cohesion for growth and employment***

***1c. Cohesion for growth and employment***  
Including cohesion policy (economic, social and territorial).

Including cohesion policy (economic, social and territorial).

***1c. Sustainable economic development***

***Including agriculture, fisheries, environment, climate change, energy, and transport policies.***

## 2. Citizenship

Including culture, youth, communication and freedom, security and justice policies.

## 3. *Global Europe*

Including external action, neighbourhood and development policies.

## 4. *Financial Governance*

*Including a link to the European Stability Mechanism.*

## 5. Administration

## *1d. Citizenship, freedom, security and justice*

Including culture, youth, communication and freedom, security and justice policies.

## *2. External policies (Global Europe)*

Including external action, neighbourhood and development policies.

## 3. Administration

Or. en

## **Amendment 961**

**Ivailo Kalfin, Kinga Göncz, Ana Gomes, Zigmantas Balčytis, Victor Boștinaru**

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 98**

#### *Motion for a resolution*

98. Proposes, therefore, the following structure for the next MFF:

1. Smart, sustainable and inclusive growth (Europe 2020)

1a. Knowledge for growth and employment

Including research and innovation, education and lifelong learning, internal market and social policies.

1b. Sustainable development

Including agriculture, fisheries, environment, climate change, energy, and transport policies.

1c. Cohesion for growth and employment

Including cohesion policy (economic, social and territorial).

#### *Amendment*

98. Proposes, therefore, the following structure for the next MFF:

1. Smart, sustainable and inclusive growth (Europe 2020)

1a. Knowledge for growth and employment

Including research and innovation, education and lifelong learning, internal market

1b. Sustainable development

Including environment, climate change, energy, and transport policies.

1c. Cohesion for growth and employment

Including cohesion policy (economic, social and territorial).

***1d. Large-scale projects (above EUR 1 bln financing from the EU budget)***

2. Citizenship  
Including culture, youth, communication and freedom, security and justice policies.
3. Global Europe  
Including external action, neighbourhood and development policies.
4. Financial Governance  
Including a link to the European Stability Mechanism.
5. Administration

2. Citizenship  
Including culture, youth, communication and freedom, security and justice policies.
3. Global Europe  
Including external action, neighbourhood and development policies.
4. Financial Governance  
Including a link to the European Stability Mechanism.
5. Administration

Or. en

## **Amendment 962**

**Jürgen Klute, Cornelia Ernst, Gabriele Zimmer, Miguel Portas**

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 98**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

98. Proposes, therefore, the following structure for the next MFF:
1. Smart, sustainable and inclusive growth (Europe 2020)
    - 1a. Knowledge for growth and employment  
Including research and innovation, education and lifelong learning, internal market and social policies.
    - 1b. Sustainable development  
Including agriculture, fisheries, environment, climate change, energy, and transport policies.
    - 1c. ***Cohesion for growth and employment***  
Including cohesion policy (***economic, social and territorial***).
  2. Citizenship

##### *Amendment*

98. Proposes, therefore, the following structure for the next MFF:
1. Smart, sustainable and inclusive growth (Europe 2020) ***and Economic, Social and Territorial Cohesion***
    - 1a. Knowledge for growth and employment  
Including research and innovation, education and lifelong learning, internal market
    - 1b. Sustainable development  
Including agriculture, fisheries, environment, climate change, energy, and transport policies.
    - 1c. ***Economic, Social and Territorial Cohesion***  
Including cohesion policy
  2. Citizenship ***and Fundamental Rights***

Including culture, youth, communication **and** freedom, security and justice policies.

3. Global Europe

Including external action, neighbourhood and development policies.

4. Financial Governance

Including a link to the European Stability Mechanism.

5. Administration

Including culture, youth, ***civil dialogue, equality and non-discrimination***, freedom, security and justice policies

3. Global Europe

Including external action, neighbourhood and development policies.

4. Financial Governance

Including a link to the European Stability Mechanism.

5. Administration

Or. en

**Amendment 963**  
**Elisabeth Schroedter**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 98**

*Motion for a resolution*

98. Proposes, therefore, the following structure for the next MFF:

1. Smart, sustainable and inclusive growth (Europe 2020)

1a. Knowledge for growth and employment

Including research and innovation, education and lifelong learning, internal market and social ***policies***.

1b. Sustainable development

Including agriculture, fisheries, environment, climate change, energy, and transport policies.

***1c. Cohesion for growth and employment***

Including cohesion policy (economic, social and territorial).

*Amendment*

98. Proposes, therefore, the following structure for the next MFF:

1. Smart, sustainable and inclusive growth (Europe 2020)

1a. Knowledge for growth and employment

Including research and innovation, education and lifelong learning, internal market and social ***policy agenda, equality and combating poverty and social exclusion***.

1b. Sustainable development

Including agriculture, fisheries, environment, climate change, energy, and transport policies.

***1c. Economic, social and territorial cohesion***

Including cohesion policy (economic, social and territorial).

2. Citizenship  
Including culture, youth, communication and freedom, security and justice policies.
3. Global Europe  
Including external action, neighbourhood and development policies.
4. Financial Governance  
Including a link to the European Stability Mechanism.
5. Administration

2. Citizenship  
Including culture, youth, communication, and freedom, security and justice policies
3. Global Europe  
Including external action, neighbourhood and development policies.
4. Financial Governance  
Including a link to the European Stability Mechanism.
5. Administration

Or. en

**Amendment 964**  
**Richard Ashworth,**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 98**

98. Proposes, therefore, the following structure for the next MFF:
1. Smart, sustainable and inclusive growth (Europe 2020)
    - 1a. Knowledge for growth and employment  
Including research and innovation, education and lifelong learning, internal market and social policies.
    - 1b. Sustainable development  
Including agriculture, fisheries, environment, climate change, energy, and transport policies.
    - 1c. Cohesion for growth and employment  
Including cohesion policy (economic, social and territorial).
  2. Citizenship  
Including culture, youth, communication and freedom, security and justice policies.
  3. Global Europe

98. Proposes, therefore, the following structure for the next MFF:
1. Smart, sustainable and inclusive growth (Europe 2020)
    - 1a. Knowledge for growth and employment  
Including research and innovation, education and lifelong learning, internal market and social policies.
    - 1b. Sustainable development  
Including agriculture, fisheries, environment, climate change, energy, and transport policies.
    - 1c. Cohesion for growth and employment  
Including cohesion policy (economic, social and territorial).
  2. Citizenship  
Including culture, youth, communication and freedom, security and justice policies.
  3. Global Europe

Including external action, neighbourhood and development policies.

4. Financial Governance

***Including a link to the European Stability Mechanism.***

5. Administration

Including external action, neighbourhood and development policies.

4. Financial Governance

5. Administration

Or. en

## **Amendment 965**

**Eva-Britt Svensson, Ilda Figueiredo, Cornelia Ernst**

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 98**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

98. Proposes, therefore, the following structure for the next MFF:

1. Smart, sustainable and inclusive growth (Europe 2020)

1a. Knowledge for growth and employment

Including research and innovation, education and lifelong learning, internal market and social policies.

1b. Sustainable development

Including agriculture, fisheries, environment, climate change, energy, and transport policies.

1c. Cohesion for growth and employment

Including cohesion policy (economic, social and territorial).

2. Citizenship

Including culture, youth, communication and freedom, security and justice policies.

3. Global Europe

Including external action, neighbourhood and development policies.

##### *Amendment*

98. Proposes, therefore, the following structure for the next MFF:

1. Smart, sustainable and inclusive growth (Europe 2020)

1a. Knowledge for growth and employment

Including research and innovation, education and lifelong learning, internal market and social policies.

1b. Sustainable development

Including environment, climate change, energy, and transport policies.

1c. Cohesion for growth and employment

Including cohesion policy (economic, social and territorial).

2. Citizenship

Including culture, youth, ***fundamental rights, equality between women and men and anti-discrimination***, communication and freedom, security and justice policies.

3. Global Europe

Including external action, neighbourhood and development policies.

4. Financial Governance  
Including a link to the European Stability  
Mechanism.  
5. Administration

4. Financial Governance  
Including a link to the European Stability  
Mechanism.  
5. Administration

Or. en

**Amendment 966**  
**Iratxe García Pérez**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 98**

*Motion for a resolution*

1. Smart, sustainable and inclusive growth  
(Europe 2020)  
1a. Knowledge for growth and  
employment  
Including research and innovation,  
education and lifelong learning, internal  
market and social policies.  
1b. Sustainable development  
Including agriculture, fisheries,  
environment, climate change, energy, and  
transport policies.  
1c. Cohesion for growth and employment  
Including cohesion policy (economic,  
social and territorial).  
2. Citizenship  
Including culture, youth, communication  
and freedom, security and justice policies.  
3. Global Europe  
Including external action, neighbourhood  
and development policies.  
4. Financial Governance  
Including a link to the European Stability  
Mechanism.  
5. Administration

*Amendment*

1. Smart, sustainable and inclusive growth  
(Europe 2020)  
1a. Knowledge for growth and  
employment  
Including research and innovation,  
education and lifelong learning, internal  
market and social policies.  
1b. Sustainable development  
Including agriculture, fisheries,  
environment, climate change, energy, and  
transport policies.  
1c. Cohesion for growth and employment  
Including cohesion policy (economic,  
social and territorial).  
2. Citizenship  
Including culture, youth, **gender equality**,  
communication and freedom, security and  
justice policies.  
3. Global Europe  
Including external action, neighbourhood  
and development policies.  
4. Financial Governance  
Including a link to the European Stability  
Mechanism.  
5. Administration

Or. es

**Amendment 967**  
**Carlo Fidanza, Luis de Grandes Pascual**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 98**

*Motion for a resolution*

98. Proposes, therefore, the following structure for the next MFF:

1. Smart, sustainable and inclusive growth (Europe 2020)

1a. Knowledge for growth and employment

Including research and innovation, education and lifelong learning, internal market and social policies.

1b. Sustainable development

Including agriculture, fisheries, environment, climate change, energy, and transport policies.

1c. Cohesion for growth and employment

Including cohesion policy (economic, social and territorial).

2. Citizenship

Including culture, youth, communication and freedom, security and justice policies.

3. Global Europe

Including external action, neighbourhood and development policies.

4. Financial Governance

Including a link to the European Stability Mechanism.

5. Administration

*Amendment*

98. Proposes, therefore, the following structure for the next MFF:

1. Smart, sustainable and inclusive growth (Europe 2020)

1a. Knowledge for growth and employment

Including research and innovation, education and lifelong learning, internal market and social policies.

1b. Sustainable development

Including agriculture, fisheries, environment, climate change, energy, and transport *and tourism* policies.

1c. Cohesion for growth and employment

Including cohesion policy (economic, social and territorial).

2. Citizenship

Including culture, youth, communication and freedom, security and justice policies.

3. Global Europe

Including external action, neighbourhood and development policies.

4. Financial Governance

Including a link to the European Stability Mechanism.

5. Administration

Or. en

**Amendment 968**  
**Sidonia Elżbieta Jędrzejewska**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 98**



*Motion for a resolution*

98. Proposes, therefore, the following structure for the next MFF:

1. Smart, sustainable and inclusive growth (Europe 2020)

1a. Knowledge for growth and employment

Including research and innovation, education and lifelong learning, internal market and social policies.

1b. Sustainable development

Including agriculture, fisheries, environment, climate change, energy, and transport policies.

1c. Cohesion for growth and employment

Including cohesion policy (economic, social and territorial).

2. Citizenship

Including culture, *youth*, communication and freedom, security and justice policies.

3. Global Europe

Including external action, neighbourhood and development policies.

4. Financial Governance

Including a link to the European Stability Mechanism.

5. Administration

*Amendment*

98. Proposes, therefore, the following structure for the next MFF:

1. Smart, sustainable and inclusive growth (Europe 2020)

1a. Knowledge for growth and employment

Including research and innovation, education and lifelong learning, internal, *youth*, market and social policies.

1b. Sustainable development

Including agriculture, fisheries, environment, climate change, energy, and transport policies.

1c. Cohesion for growth and employment

Including cohesion policy (economic, social and territorial).

2. Citizenship

Including culture, communication and freedom, security and justice policies.

3. Global Europe

Including external action, neighbourhood and development policies.

4. Financial Governance

Including a link to the European Stability Mechanism.

5. Administration

Or. en

**Amendment 969**

**Herbert Reul**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 98**

*Motion for a resolution*

98. Proposes, therefore, the following structure for the next MFF:

1. **Smart**, sustainable and inclusive growth (Europe 2020)

1a. Knowledge for growth and employment

Including research and innovation, education and lifelong learning, internal market and social policies.

1b. Sustainable development

Including agriculture, fisheries, environment, climate change, **energy**, and transport policies.

1c. Cohesion for growth and employment

Including cohesion policy (economic, social and territorial).

2. Citizenship

Including culture, youth, communication and freedom, security and justice policies.

3. Global Europe

Including external action, neighbourhood and development policies.

4. Financial Governance

Including a link to the European Stability Mechanism.

5. Administration

*Amendment*

98. Proposes, therefore, the following structure for the next MFF:

1. Sustainable and inclusive growth (Europe 2020)

1a. Knowledge for growth and employment

Including research and innovation, education and lifelong learning, internal market and social policies, **energy policy**.

1b. Sustainable development

Including agriculture, fisheries, environment, climate change and transport policies.

1c. Cohesion for growth and employment

Including cohesion policy (economic, social and territorial).

2. Citizenship

Including culture, youth, communication and freedom, security and justice policies.

3. Global Europe

Including external action, neighbourhood and development policies.

4. Financial Governance

Including a link to the European Stability Mechanism.

5. Administration

Or. de

**Amendment 970**  
**Cornelis de Jong**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 99**

*Motion for a resolution*

99. **Reiterates its position included in its resolution of 25 March 2009 on the Mid-term Review of the 2007-2013 Financial Framework<sup>6</sup>**, that more flexibility within and across headings **is an absolute necessity** for the functioning capacities of the Union not only to face the new challenges but also to facilitate the decision-making process within the institutions;

*Amendment*

99. **Is of the *opinion*** that more flexibility within and across headings **could be beneficial** for the functioning capacities of the Union not only to face the new challenges but also to facilitate the decision-making process within the institutions;

Or. en

**Amendment 971**  
**Jürgen Klute**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 99**

*Motion for a resolution*

99. Reiterates its position included in its resolution of 25 March 2009 on the Mid-term Review of the 2007-2013 Financial Framework<sup>6</sup>, that more flexibility within and across headings is an absolute necessity for the functioning capacities of the Union not only to face the new challenges but also to facilitate the decision-making process within the institutions;

*Amendment*

99. Reiterates its position included in its resolution of 25 March 2009 on the Mid-term Review of the 2007-2013 Financial Framework<sup>6</sup>, that more flexibility within and across headings is an absolute necessity for the functioning capacities of the Union not only to face the new challenges but also to facilitate the decision-making process within the institutions; ***underlines, however, that full transparency has to be guaranteed;***

Or. en

**Amendment 972**  
**Eider Gardiazábal Rubial, Göran Färm, Ivailo Kalfin on behalf of the S&D Group**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 100**

*Motion for a resolution*

100. Stresses the need for *a* Mid-term Review allowing for the formal analysis and stock-taking on the functioning of the MFF; underlines that, in the future, the Mid-term Review should become a legally binding obligation enshrined in the MFF regulation, with a specific procedure, which ensures full involvement of the Parliament in its role of legislative and budgetary authority; stresses that, if the review should establish the inadequacy of the ceilings for the rest of the period, a real possibility to revise them should be guaranteed;

*Amendment*

100. Stresses the need, ***if the MFF period is longer than 5 years***, for ***an obligatory*** Mid-term Review allowing for the formal analysis and stock-taking on the functioning of the MFF; underlines that, in the future, the Mid-term Review should become a legally binding obligation enshrined in the MFF regulation, with a specific procedure, which ensures full involvement of the Parliament in its role of legislative and budgetary authority; stresses that, if the review should establish the inadequacy of the ceilings for the rest of the period, a real possibility to revise them should be guaranteed;

Or. en

**Amendment 973**  
**Cornelis de Jong**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 100**

*Motion for a resolution*

100. Stresses the need for a Mid-term Review allowing for the formal analysis and stock-taking on the functioning of the MFF; underlines that, in the future, the Mid-term Review should become a legally binding obligation enshrined in the MFF regulation, with a specific procedure, which ensures full involvement of the Parliament in its role of legislative and budgetary authority; stresses that, if the review should establish the inadequacy of the ceilings for the rest of the period, a ***real*** possibility to revise them ***should*** be ***guaranteed***;

*Amendment*

100. Stresses the need for a Mid-term Review allowing for the formal analysis and stock-taking on the functioning of the MFF; underlines that, in the future, the Mid-term Review should become a legally binding obligation enshrined in the MFF regulation, with a specific procedure, which ensures full involvement of the Parliament in its role of legislative and budgetary authority; stresses that, if the review should establish the inadequacy of the ceilings for the rest of the period, a possibility to revise them ***would in principle be available***;

Or. en

**Amendment 974**  
**Richard Ashworth, Konrad Szymański**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 100**

*Motion for a resolution*

100. Stresses the need for **a** Mid-term Review allowing for the formal analysis and stock-taking on the functioning of the MFF; underlines that, in the future, the Mid-term Review should become a legally binding obligation enshrined in the MFF regulation, with a specific procedure, which ensures full involvement of the Parliament in its role of legislative and budgetary authority; stresses that, if the review should establish the inadequacy of the ceilings for the rest of the period, a real possibility to revise them should be guaranteed;

*Amendment*

100. Stresses the need for **quantitative rather than purely qualitative** Mid-term Review allowing for the formal analysis and stock-taking on the functioning of the MFF; underlines that, in the future, the Mid-term Review should become a legally binding obligation enshrined in the MFF regulation, with a specific procedure, which ensures full involvement of the Parliament in its role of legislative and budgetary authority; stresses that, if the review should establish the inadequacy of the ceilings for the rest of the period, a real possibility to revise them should be guaranteed;

Or. en

**Amendment 975**  
**James Elles**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 100**

*Motion for a resolution*

100. Stresses the need for a Mid-term Review allowing for the formal analysis and stock-taking on the functioning of the MFF; underlines that, in the future, the Mid-term Review should become a legally binding obligation enshrined in the MFF regulation, with a specific procedure, which ensures full involvement of the Parliament in its role of legislative and budgetary authority; stresses that, if the

*Amendment*

100. Stresses the need for a Mid-term Review allowing for the formal analysis and stock-taking on the functioning of the MFF; underlines that, in the future, the Mid-term Review should become a legally binding obligation enshrined in the MFF regulation, with a specific procedure **including an agreed calendar**, which ensures full involvement of the Parliament in its role of legislative and budgetary

review should establish the inadequacy of the ceilings for the rest of the period, a real possibility to revise them should be guaranteed;

authority; stresses that, if the review should establish the inadequacy of the ceilings for the rest of the period, a real possibility to revise them should be guaranteed;

Or. en

**Amendment 976**  
**Marta Andreasen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 100**

*Motion for a resolution*

100. Stresses the need for a Mid-term Review allowing for the formal analysis and stock-taking on the functioning of the MFF; underlines that, in the future, the Mid-term Review should become a legally binding obligation enshrined in the MFF regulation, with a specific procedure, which ensures full involvement of the Parliament in its role of legislative and budgetary authority; ***stresses that, if the review should establish the inadequacy of the ceilings for the rest of the period, a real possibility to revise them should be guaranteed;***

*Amendment*

100. Stresses the need for a Mid-term Review allowing for the formal analysis and stock-taking on the functioning of the MFF; underlines that, in the future, the Mid-term Review should become a legally binding obligation enshrined in the MFF regulation, with a specific procedure, which ensures full involvement of the Parliament in its role of legislative and budgetary authority;

Or. en

**Amendment 977**  
**Bas Eickhout**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 101**

*Motion for a resolution*

101. Insists that the degree of flexibility actually provided by the revision mechanism is dependent on the procedure for exercising it, and faces a general

*Amendment*

101. Insists that the degree of flexibility actually provided by the revision mechanism is dependent on the procedure for exercising it, and faces a general

reluctance of the Council to using it; considers it essential -if the adjustment of expenditure ceilings is to remain a realistic option- that the future mechanisms for revision foresee a simplified procedure for changes under an agreed threshold; **calls**, in addition, **for** the possibility to increase the overall MFF ceiling **to be** maintained;

reluctance of the Council to using it; considers it essential -if the adjustment of expenditure ceilings is to remain a realistic option- that the future mechanisms for revision foresee a simplified procedure for changes under an agreed threshold; **insists**, in addition, **that** the possibility to increase the overall MFF ceiling **is** maintained;

Or. en

**Amendment 978**  
**Reimer Böge on behalf of the EPP Group**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 102 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**102 a. Considers that the current system of flexibility for legislative acts has worked sufficiently well in the current MFF; calls, therefore, for the flexibility threshold of 5% above or below the amounts fixed under codecision to be maintained in the next MFF;**

Or. en

**Amendment 979**  
**Guy Verhofstadt, Carl Haglund, Alexander Alvaro, Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Giommara Uggias, on behalf of the ALDE Group**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 103 – indent 2**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

– a possibility to transfer unused margins from one year to another - again, within agreed limits;

– a possibility to transfer unused **payment appropriations and** margins from one year to another - again, within agreed limits;

Or. en

**Amendment 980**

**Guy Verhofstadt, Carl Haglund, Alexander Alvaro, Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy,  
Giommaria Uggias, on behalf of the ALDE Group**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 103 – subparagraph 2**

*Motion for a resolution*

insists, however, that these proposals must be complemented by **increased** flexibility between sub-headings;

*Amendment*

insists, however, that these proposals must be complemented by flexibility between sub-headings **and a possibility to cumulate funds from one year to the next;**

Or. en

**Amendment 981**

**Reimer Böge, Othmar Karas on behalf of the EPP Group**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 103 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**103 a. Is convinced that unused margins or de-committed resources of one year's budget should be transferred as a single amount to the next year's budget and constitute an overall margin to be attributed to the different headings according to their estimated needs; believes, therefore, that the money allocated to the EU budget should only be spent in this context and not returned to the Member States, as is currently the case;**

Or. en

**Amendment 982**

**Cornelis de Jong**



**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 105**

*Motion for a resolution*

105. Considers it crucial to maintain **and enhance** the current system of flexibility mechanisms (Flexibility Instrument, European **Globalisation Adjustment Fund**, **European** Union Solidarity Fund, Emergency Aid Reserve), which can be mobilised on an ad-hoc basis, **by further simplifying their use and providing them with sufficient envelopes, as well as by possibly creating new instruments in the future; stresses that the mobilisation of such additional sources of funding must abide by the Community method;**

*Amendment*

105. Considers it crucial to maintain the current system of flexibility mechanisms (Flexibility Instrument, European Union Solidarity Fund, Emergency Aid Reserve), which can be mobilised on an ad-hoc basis;

Or. en

**Amendment 983**  
**Bas Eickhout**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 105**

*Motion for a resolution*

105. Considers it crucial to maintain and enhance the current system of flexibility mechanisms (Flexibility Instrument, European Globalisation Adjustment Fund, European Union Solidarity Fund, Emergency Aid Reserve), which can be mobilised on an ad-hoc basis, by further simplifying their use and providing them with **sufficient** envelopes, as well as by possibly creating new instruments in the future; stresses that the mobilisation of such additional sources of funding must abide by the Community method;

*Amendment*

105. Considers it crucial to maintain and enhance the current system of flexibility mechanisms (Flexibility Instrument, European Globalisation Adjustment Fund, European Union Solidarity Fund, Emergency Aid Reserve), which can be mobilised on an ad-hoc basis, by further simplifying their use and providing them with **increased** envelopes, as well as by possibly creating new instruments in the future, **such as a contingency margin;** stresses that the mobilisation of such additional sources of funding must abide by the Community method;

Or. en

**Amendment 984**

**Eider Gardiazábal Rubial, Göran Färm, Ivailo Kalfin on behalf of the S&D Group**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 105**

*Motion for a resolution*

105. Considers it crucial to maintain and enhance the current system of **flexibility mechanisms** (Flexibility Instrument, **European Globalisation Adjustment Fund**, European Union Solidarity Fund, Emergency Aid Reserve), which can be mobilised on an ad-hoc basis, by further simplifying their use and providing them with sufficient envelopes, as well as by possibly creating new instruments in the future; stresses that the mobilisation of such additional sources of funding must abide by the Community method;

*Amendment*

105. Considers it crucial to maintain and enhance the current system of **special instruments** (Flexibility Instrument, European Union Solidarity Fund, Emergency Aid Reserve), which can be mobilised on an ad-hoc basis, by further simplifying their use and providing them with sufficient envelopes, as well as by possibly creating new instruments in the future; stresses that the mobilisation of such additional sources of funding must abide by the Community method;

Or. en

**Amendment 985**

**Barbara Matera**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 105**

*Motion for a resolution*

105. Considers it crucial to maintain and enhance the current system of flexibility mechanisms (Flexibility Instrument, **European Globalisation Adjustment Fund**, European Union Solidarity Fund, Emergency Aid Reserve), which can be mobilised on an ad-hoc basis, by further simplifying their use and providing them with sufficient envelopes, as well as by possibly creating new instruments in the future; stresses that the mobilisation of such additional sources of funding must

*Amendment*

105. Considers it crucial to maintain and enhance the current system of flexibility mechanisms (Flexibility Instrument, European Union Solidarity Fund, Emergency Aid Reserve), which can be mobilised on an ad-hoc basis, by further simplifying their use and providing them with sufficient envelopes, as well as by possibly creating new instruments in the future; stresses that the mobilisation of such additional sources of funding must abide by the Community method;

abide by the Community method;

Or. en

**Amendment 986**

**Markus Pieper, Lambert van Nistelrooij, Monika Hohlmeier**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 105**

*Motion for a resolution*

105. Considers it crucial to maintain and enhance the current system of flexibility mechanisms (Flexibility Instrument, **European Globalisation Adjustment Fund**, European Union Solidarity Fund, Emergency Aid Reserve), which can be mobilised on an ad-hoc basis, by further simplifying their use and providing them with sufficient envelopes, as well as by possibly creating new instruments in the future; stresses that the mobilisation of such additional sources of funding must abide by the Community method;

*Amendment*

105. Considers it crucial to maintain and enhance the current system of flexibility mechanisms (Flexibility Instrument, European Union Solidarity Fund, Emergency Aid Reserve), which can be mobilised on an ad-hoc basis, by further simplifying their use and providing them with sufficient envelopes, as well as by possibly creating new instruments in the future; stresses that the mobilisation of such additional sources of funding must abide by the Community method;

Or. de

**Amendment 987**

**Miguel Portas**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 105 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**105a. Considers it crucial to maintain and enhance the Flexibility Instrument and the Emergency Aid Reserve, which can be mobilised on an ad-hoc basis; stresses that the mobilisation of such additional sources of funding must abide by the Community method;**

Or. pt

**Amendment 988**  
**Miguel Portas**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 105 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**105b. Takes the view that the EU's EGF and ESF, which are indispensable in order to respond to social emergencies and natural disasters, are valuable because of the speed with which they make their resources available; notes that the wish to reduce delays makes it advisable to 'budgetise' these two instruments, without prejudice to case-by-case decision-making and parliamentary scrutiny;**

Or. pt

**Amendment 989**  
**Reimer Böge on behalf of the EPP Group**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 105 c (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**105c. Considers that the European**

*Globalisation adjustment Fund (EGF) has been successful in providing EU solidarity and support to workers made redundant because of the adverse effects of globalisation and the global financial and economic crisis and should, therefore, be maintained under the new MFF; believes, however, that the procedures for implementing the support from the EGF are too time consuming and cumbersome, so that EU aid often reaches the affected workers a year or more after they are made redundant; calls on the Commission to propose ways in which these procedures can be simplified and shortened for the future;*

Or. en

**Amendment 990**  
**Barbara Matera**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 105 d (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*105d. Considers that the European Globalisation adjustment Fund (EGF) has been successful in providing EU solidarity and support to workers made redundant because of the adverse effects of globalisation and the global financial and economic crisis; stresses that such support should be maintained and improved under the new MFF;*

Or. en

**Amendment 991**  
**Barbara Matera**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 105 e (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***105e. Acknowledges, however, that the procedures for implementing the support from the EGF are too time-consuming and cumbersome, so that EU aid often reaches the affected workers a year or more after they are made redundant; believes that a significant improvement to this situation can only occur if the EGF is integrated in the EU budget, thereby avoiding the current need for an ad hoc mobilisation of the Fund for each application;***

Or. en

**Amendment 992  
Barbara Matera**

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 105 f (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***105f. Proposes, therefore, an integration of the EGF into the European Social Fund (ESF) in the form of a community reserve, which should be managed by the Commission to substantially decrease the time spent before disbursement of the funds in support of the workers; underlines, however, the importance for the European Parliament to be kept adequately informed on the granting of this assistance in a timely and consistent manner and before decisions in approving applications to the Fund are taken;***

Or. en

**Amendment 993  
Richard Ashworth, Konrad Szymański**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 106**

*Motion for a resolution*

106. Believes that the Flexibility Instrument, which has been the most fully implemented of the flexibility mechanisms, has been essential in providing for additional flexibility; ***proposes to significantly increase the initial amount for the Flexibility Instrument, with a subsequent yearly increase over the period of the MFF, and to keep the possibility to carryover the portion of the unused annual amount up to year n+2;***

*Amendment*

106. Believes that the Flexibility Instrument, which has been the most fully implemented of the flexibility mechanisms, has been essential in providing for additional flexibility;

Or. en

**Amendment 994**  
**Cornelis de Jong**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 106**

*Motion for a resolution*

106. Believes that the Flexibility Instrument, which has been the most fully implemented of the flexibility mechanisms, has been essential in providing for additional flexibility; ***proposes to significantly increase the initial amount for the Flexibility Instrument, with a subsequent yearly increase over the period of the MFF, and to keep the possibility to carryover the portion of the unused annual amount up to year n+2;***

*Amendment*

106. Believes that the Flexibility Instrument, which has been the most fully implemented of the flexibility mechanisms, has been essential in providing for additional flexibility;

Or. en

**Amendment 995**  
**Ilda Figueiredo**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 106**

*Motion for a resolution*

106. Believes that the Flexibility Instrument, which has been the most fully implemented of the flexibility mechanisms, has been essential in providing for additional flexibility; ***proposes to significantly increase the initial amount for the Flexibility Instrument, with a subsequent yearly increase over the period of the MFF, and to keep the possibility to carryover the portion of the unused annual amount up to year n+2;***

*Amendment*

106. Believes that the Flexibility Instrument, which has been the most fully implemented of the flexibility mechanisms, has been essential in providing for additional flexibility;

Or. pt

**Amendment 996**  
**Eider Gardiazábal Rubial, Göran Färm, Ivailo Kalfin on behalf of the S&D Group**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 106**

*Motion for a resolution*

106. Believes that the Flexibility Instrument, which has been the most fully implemented of the flexibility mechanisms, has been essential in providing for additional flexibility; proposes to significantly increase the initial amount for the Flexibility Instrument, with a subsequent yearly increase over the period of the MFF, and to keep the possibility to carryover the portion of the unused annual amount up to year n+2;

*Amendment*

106. Believes that the Flexibility Instrument, which has been the most fully implemented of the flexibility mechanisms, has been essential in providing for additional flexibility; proposes to significantly increase the initial amount for the Flexibility Instrument, with a subsequent yearly increase over the period of the MFF, and to keep the possibility to carryover the portion of the unused annual amount up to year n+3;

Or. en

**Amendment 997**  
**Göran Färm, Ivailo Kalfin, Eider Gardiazábal Rubial on behalf of the S&D Group**



**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 108**

*Motion for a resolution*

108. *Underlines that the choice of the duration of the next MFF should duly take into account, on the one hand, its consequences for programming cycles and implementation of the individual policies, and, on the other hand, the adequacy of the flexibility and revision mechanisms, so as to strike a right balance between stability, medium-term predictability and flexibility;*

*Amendment*

108. *Stresses the need to find the right balance between stability and the duration of political cycles, for reasons of democratic accountability and responsibility; recalls that a longer duration implies greater flexibility;*

Or. en

**Amendment 998**  
**Miguel Portas**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 108**

*Motion for a resolution*

108. Underlines that the choice of the duration of the next MFF should *duly take into account, on the one hand, its consequences for programming cycles and implementation of the individual policies, and, on the other hand, the adequacy of the flexibility and revision mechanisms, so as to* strike a right balance between stability, medium-term predictability and flexibility;

*Amendment*

108. Underlines that the choice of the duration of the next MFF should strike a right balance between stability, medium-term predictability and flexibility.

Or. pt

**Amendment 999**  
**James Elles**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 108**

*Motion for a resolution*

108. Underlines that the choice of the duration of the next MFF should duly take into account, on the one hand, its consequences for programming cycles and implementation of the individual policies, and, on the other hand, the adequacy of the flexibility and revision mechanisms, so as to strike a right balance between stability, medium-term predictability and flexibility;

*Amendment*

108. Underlines that the choice of the duration of the next MFF should duly take into account, on the one hand, its consequences for programming cycles and implementation of the individual policies, and, on the other hand, the adequacy of the flexibility and revision mechanisms, so as to strike a right balance between stability, medium-term predictability and flexibility; ***considers that the timing of the MFF should be organised in such a way as to allow those responsible in the EU institutions - in particular those in the European Commission and the European Parliament - to influence budgetary policies during the course of their mandate;***

Or. en

**Amendment 1000**

**Marta Andreasen**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 108 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

***108 a. Stresses that it is very difficult to establish the duration of a long term plan while in the middle of a financial and economic crisis, when there is no guarantee that it will be ended by 2014, the date of the start of the new term;***

*Amendment*

Or. en

**Amendment 1001**

**Göran Färm, Ivailo Kalfin, Eider Gardiazábal Rubial on behalf of the S&D Group**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 109**

*Motion for a resolution*

109. **Recalls** that *its will is to align, as much as possible, the MFF duration with the duration of the institutions' political cycles, for reasons of democratic accountability and responsibility*; stresses, **however**, that a five-year cycle might be too short for policies which need a longer term programming (i.e. cohesion, agriculture, TENs) and would not fully comply with those policies' programming and implementation life cycle requirements;

*Amendment*

109. **Underlines** that the *choice of the duration of the next MFF should duly take into account its consequences for programming cycles and implementation of the individual policies*; stresses, that a five-year cycle might be too short for policies which need a longer term programming (i.e. cohesion, agriculture, TENs) and would not fully comply with those policies' programming and implementation life cycle requirements; **therefore considers that the option of a period of 5+5 with an obligatory mid-term review should be thoroughly analysed**;

Or. en

**Amendment 1002**  
**Bas Eickhout**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 109**

*Motion for a resolution*

109. Recalls that its will is to align, as much as possible, the MFF duration with the duration of the institutions' political cycles, for reasons of democratic accountability and responsibility; stresses, however, that a five-year cycle might be too short for policies which need a longer term programming (i.e. cohesion, agriculture, TENs) and would not fully comply with those policies' programming and implementation life cycle requirements;

*Amendment*

109. Recalls that its will is to align, as much as possible, the MFF duration with the duration of the institutions' political cycles, for reasons of democratic accountability and responsibility **and better linking of subsequent work programmes with budgets**; stresses, however, that a **straight-forward** five-year cycle might be too short for policies which need a longer term programming (i.e. cohesion, agriculture, TENs) and would not fully comply with those policies' programming and implementation life cycle requirements;

Or. en

**Amendment 1003**  
**James Elles**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 109**

*Motion for a resolution*

109. Recalls that its will is to align, as much as possible, the MFF duration with the duration of the institutions' political cycles, for reasons of democratic accountability and responsibility; stresses, however, that a five-year cycle might be too short for policies which need a longer term programming (i.e. cohesion, agriculture, TENs) and would not fully comply with those policies' programming and implementation life cycle requirements;

*Amendment*

109. Recalls that its will is to align, as much as possible, the MFF duration with the duration of the institutions' political cycles, for reasons of democratic accountability and responsibility; stresses, however, that a five-year cycle might be too short for policies which need a longer term programming (i.e. cohesion, agriculture, TENs) and would not fully comply with those policies' programming and implementation life cycle requirements; ***does not believe that these two items need to be mutually exclusive (i.e. some programmes could last longer than the length of the MFF);***

Or. en

**Amendment 1004**  
**Miguel Portas**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 109**

*Motion for a resolution*

109. Recalls that ***its will is to align, as much as possible***, the MFF duration with the ***duration of the*** institutions' political cycles, for reasons of democratic accountability and responsibility; stresses, however, that a five-year cycle might be too short for policies which ***need*** a longer term programming (i.e. cohesion, agriculture, TENs) and ***would not fully comply with those policies' programming***

*Amendment*

109. Recalls that ***there are advantages to be gained by aligning*** the MFF duration with the institutions' political cycles, for reasons of democratic accountability and responsibility; stresses, however, that a five-year cycle might be too short for policies ***for*** which a longer term programming ***is advisable*** (i.e. cohesion, agriculture, TENs) and ***'staggered' alignment would offer advantages in these***

*and implementation life cycle requirements;*

*cases;*

Or. pt

**Amendment 1005**

**James Elles**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 110**

*Motion for a resolution*

110. Notes that the 10-year MFF, as proposed by the Commission in the Budget Review, could provide substantial stability and predictability for the financial programming period but, as the overall ceilings and the core legal instruments would be fixed for ten years, it will increase the rigidity of the MFF and render the adjustments to new situations extremely difficult; considers, *accordingly*, that a *ten-year* cycle *could only* be envisaged *if an agreement on a maximum level of flexibility, linked to a longer period, were reached with the Council and enshrined in the MFF regulation;*

*Amendment*

110. Notes that the 10-year MFF, as proposed by the Commission in the Budget Review, could provide substantial stability and predictability for the financial programming period but, as the overall ceilings and the core legal instruments would be fixed for ten years, it will increase the rigidity of the MFF and render the adjustments to new situations extremely difficult; considers that a *ten year* cycle *as proposed by the Commission should not* be envisaged;

Or. en

**Amendment 1006**

**Richard Ashworth, Konrad Szymański**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 110**

*Motion for a resolution*

110. Notes that the 10-year MFF, as proposed by the Commission in the Budget Review, could provide substantial stability and predictability for the financial programming period but, as the overall

*Amendment*

110. Notes that the 10-year MFF, as proposed by the Commission in the Budget Review, could provide substantial stability and predictability for the financial programming period but, as the overall

ceilings and the core legal instruments would be fixed for ten years, it will increase the rigidity of the MFF and render the adjustments to new situations extremely difficult; *considers, accordingly, that a ten-year cycle could only be envisaged if an agreement on a maximum level of flexibility, linked to a longer period, were reached with the Council and enshrined in the MFF regulation;*

ceilings and the core legal instruments would be fixed for ten years, it will increase the rigidity of the MFF and render the adjustments to new situations *and priorities* extremely difficult, *as shown by the EU's budgetary response the financial crisis;*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 1007**

**Guy Verhofstadt, Carl Haglund, Alexander Alvaro, on behalf of the ALDE Group**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 110**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

110. Notes that the 10-year MFF, as proposed by the Commission in the Budget Review, could provide substantial stability and predictability for the financial programming period but, as the overall ceilings and the core legal instruments would be fixed for ten years, it will increase the rigidity of the MFF and render the adjustments to new situations extremely difficult; considers, accordingly, that a ten-year cycle could only be *envisaged if an agreement on a maximum level of flexibility, linked to a longer period, were reached with the Council and enshrined in the MFF regulation;*

##### *Amendment*

110. Notes that the 10-year MFF, as proposed by the Commission in the Budget Review, could provide substantial stability and predictability for the financial programming period but, as the overall ceilings and the core legal instruments would be fixed for ten years, it will increase the rigidity of the MFF and render the adjustments to new situations extremely difficult; considers, accordingly, that a ten-year cycle could *thus* only be a *valuable proposal to provide certain policy areas with the necessary substantial stability and predictability, such as the structural funds, the common agricultural policy and for TENs, whose implementation requires a longer term programming; while maintaining the condition of a binding commitment for a mid-term review;*

Or. en

**Amendment 1008**  
**Bas Eickhout**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 110**

*Motion for a resolution*

110. Notes that the 10-year MFF, as proposed by the Commission in the Budget Review, could provide substantial stability and predictability for the financial programming period but, as the overall ceilings and the core legal instruments would be fixed for ten years, it **will** increase the rigidity of the MFF and render the adjustments to new situations **extremely** difficult; considers, accordingly, that a ten-year cycle **could only** be **envisaged if an agreement on a maximum level of flexibility, linked to a longer period, were reached** with the **Council** and **enshrined** in the **MFF regulation**;

*Amendment*

110. Notes that the 10-year MFF, as proposed by the Commission in the Budget Review, could provide substantial stability and predictability for the financial programming period but, as **in the Commission's concept both the** overall ceilings and the core legal instruments would be fixed for ten years, it **may** increase the rigidity of the MFF and render the adjustments to new situations **even more difficult than now**; considers, accordingly, that a ten-year cycle **must** be **conceived as a real 5 +5 approach, fixing the core legal instruments for ten years, but with sunset clauses for their revision after five years, and fixing budgets for the first five years only with indicative figures for the next five years, which would need confirmation and/or modification following the mid term review of the MFF and the legal instruments, as specified in the relevant sunset clauses**;

Or. en

**Amendment 1009**  
**Miguel Portas**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 110**

*Motion for a resolution*

110. Notes that the 10-year MFF, as proposed by the Commission in the Budget Review, could provide substantial stability and predictability for the financial programming period but, as the overall

*Amendment*

110. Notes that the 10-year MFF, as proposed by the Commission in the Budget Review, could provide substantial stability and predictability for the financial programming period but, as the overall

ceilings and the core legal instruments would be fixed for ten years, it will increase the rigidity of the MFF and render the adjustments to new situations extremely difficult; considers, accordingly, that a ten-year cycle *could* only be envisaged if an agreement on a maximum level of flexibility, *linked to a longer period, were* reached with the Council and enshrined in the MFF regulation;

ceilings and the core legal instruments would be fixed for ten years, it will increase the rigidity of the MFF and render the adjustments to new situations extremely difficult; considers, accordingly, that a ten-year cycle *can* only be envisaged if an agreement on a maximum level of flexibility *and a mid-term review, is* reached with the Council and enshrined in the MFF regulation;

Or. en

**Amendment 1010**  
**Britta Reimers**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 111**

*Motion for a resolution*

111. Takes the view that a new *7-year* MFF, *set until 2020, should be the preferred option as it could provide for more stability by ensuring the continuity of the programmes for a longer period, and also make a clear link with the Europe 2020 strategy; stresses, however, that this option is subject to sufficient overall ceilings and an adequate and well-resourced flexibility within and outside the framework to avoid the problems encountered during the 2007-2013 period;*

*Amendment*

111. Takes the view that a new *5 + 5 years* MFF could provide the *adequate and necessary flexibility within the framework; stresses nevertheless, that within certain areas, such as the Structural Funds and in Agriculture, it could be useful to establish an additional 10 year MFF which could provide certain projects with the necessary substantial stability and predictability. Given the usual start-up time of these projects, it would ensure that the stakeholders have sufficient time for the implementation;*

Or. en

**Amendment 1011**  
**Richard Ashworth**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 111**



*Motion for a resolution*

111. Takes the view that a new **7-year** MFF, **set until 2020**, should be the preferred option **as it could provide** for more **stability by ensuring the continuity** of the **programmes for a longer period**, and **also make a clear link with** the **Europe 2020 strategy**; **stresses, however, that this option is subject to sufficient overall ceilings and an adequate and well-resourced flexibility within and outside the framework to avoid the problems encountered during the 2007-2013 period**;

*Amendment*

111. Takes the view that a new **5-year** MFF, should be the preferred option, **allowing** for more **efficient governance of the EU in line with the terms of both the Parliament and Commission as well as the EU2020 Strategy**;

Or. en

**Amendment 1012**

**Guy Verhofstadt, Carl Haglund, Anne E. Jensen, Alexander Alvaro, on behalf of the ALDE Group**

**Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 111**

*Motion for a resolution*

111. **Takes the view that** a new 7-year MFF, **set until 2020, should be the preferred option as it could provide for more stability by ensuring the continuity of the programmes for a longer period, and also make** a clear link with the Europe 2020 strategy; **stresses, however, that this option is subject to sufficient overall ceilings and an adequate and well-resourced flexibility within and outside the framework to avoid the problems encountered during the 2007-2013 period**;

*Amendment*

111. **Supports a 5 years-period for the multi-annual financial framework to achieve a synchronization on the financial programming with the mandate of the European Parliament and of the Commission in order to increase democratic responsibility, accountability and legitimacy; however, in the current context, could accept a new 7-year MFF as a transition to the setting-up of a 5 years-MFF starting in 2021, while also making** a clear link with the Europe 2020 strategy; **stresses, however, that this option is subject to sufficient funding and an adequate and well-resourced flexibility within and outside the framework to avoid the problems encountered during the 2007-2013 period**;

**Amendment 1013**  
**Bas Eickhout**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 111**

*Motion for a resolution*

111. Takes the view that a **new** 7-year MFF, set until 2020, **should be the preferred option as it could provide for more stability by ensuring the continuity of the programmes for a longer period, and also** make a clear link with the Europe 2020 strategy; stresses, however, that **this option is** subject to sufficient overall ceilings and an adequate and well-resourced flexibility within and outside the framework to avoid the problems encountered during the 2007-2013 **period**;

*Amendment*

111. Takes the view that a 7-year MFF, set until 2020 **to** make a clear link with the Europe 2020 strategy **could be accepted as a transition to a 5 + 5 cycle**; stresses, however, that **all options for the duration of the next MFF are** subject to sufficient overall ceilings and an adequate and well-resourced flexibility within and outside the framework to avoid the problems encountered during the 2007-2013;

Or. en

**Amendment 1014**  
**James Elles**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 111**

*Motion for a resolution*

111. Takes the view that a new 7-year MFF, set until 2020, should be the preferred option as it could provide for more stability by ensuring the continuity of the programmes for a longer period, and also make a clear link with the Europe 2020 strategy; stresses, however, that this option is subject to sufficient overall ceilings and an adequate and well-resourced flexibility within and outside the framework to avoid the problems

*Amendment*

111. Takes the view that a new 7-year MFF, set until 2020, should be the preferred option as it could provide for more stability by ensuring the continuity of the programmes for a longer period, and also make a clear link with the Europe 2020 strategy; stresses, however, that this option is subject to sufficient overall ceilings and an adequate and well-resourced flexibility within and outside the framework to avoid the problems encountered during the 2007-2013 period;

encountered during the 2007-2013 period;

*the acceptance of a 7-year MFF, set until 2020, would be finally conditional on that being followed by a 5-year period to 2025 to allow proper alignment of the institutions' political cycles;*

Or. en

**Amendment 1015**  
**Richard Ashworth**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 111 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*111 a. Is firmly of the opinion that a five year MFF would increase not only transparency but the democratic accountability of the MFF while allowing for increased flexibility to respond to new policy challenges;*

Or. en

**Amendment 1016**  
**Reimer Böge on behalf of the EPP Group**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 111 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*111b. Believes that a decision on a new 7-year MFF should not pre-empt the possibility of opting for a 5-year period as of 2020; reiterates its conviction that aligning the duration of the MFF with the political mandate of the Commission and the European Parliament will enable a stronger and more effective democratic control and should, therefore, remain a realistic possibility for the future;*

**Amendment 1017**

**Guy Verhofstadt, Carl Haglund, Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Sylvie Goulard, on behalf of the ALDE Group**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Part V, Title**

*Motion for a resolution*

**Part V:** Matching ambitions with resources: the link between expenditure and the reform of EU financing

*Amendment*

**Part I:** Matching ambitions with resources: the link between expenditure and the reform of EU financing

---

*N.B.: This AM intends to relocate paragraphs 112 to 118 before paragraph*

**Amendment 1018**

**Marta Andreasen**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Subheading**

*Motion for a resolution*

**Sufficient budgetary** resources

*Amendment*

**Budgetary** resources

**Amendment 1019**

**Reimer Böge, Alain Lamassoure, Othmar Karas**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 111 c (new)**

*Sufficient budgetary resources*

***111c. Is irritated by the letter of the five heads of state and government<sup>1</sup> calling for a cap in EU budget increases during the next multiannual financial framework; reiterates that regardless of realisable savings, the EU budget at its current overall level of 1 % of GNI is not capable of closing the financing gap deriving from additional financing needs arising from the Treaty as well as from EU targets and commitments, such as: the financing of the existing European Financial Stabilisation Mechanism and the European Stability Mechanism after 2013; the increase of research and innovation spending from currently 1.9 % of GNI to 3 % of GNI as stipulated by the EU 2020 strategy, adding up to approximately € 130 billion per year; the financial effort related to the attainment of the Millennium development goal to spend 0.7 % of GNI on development aid, i.e. around € 35 billion annually further to the current spending of 0.4 % of GNI; the resources required for the fulfilment of the emission reduction goals which the Council intends to raise from 20 % to 30 %; the necessary investments in energy networks; the essential fully-fledged and transparently calculated financing of large-scale projects adopted by the Council such as ITER and Galileo as well as the European space policy; the additional financing needs related to the accession of Croatia to the EU; the not yet quantifiable additional appropriations needed in the field of Common Foreign and Security Policy, including the European External Action Service and the European Neighbourhood Policy; demands from the Council to provide adequate funding to cover the future financing needs and emphasises the***

*inevitable postponement or suspension of strategically important long-term EU projects and programmes if the necessary resources are not provided;*

---

*<sup>1</sup> Letter addressed to Manuel Barroso, President of the European Commission by David Cameron, Prime Minister of the United Kingdom, Angela Merkel, Federal Chancellor of Germany, Nicolas Sarkozy, President of the French Republic, Mark Rutte, Prime Minister of the Netherlands, and Mari Kiviniemi, Prime Minister of Finland on 17 December 2010*

*(the examples provided by this amendment (i.e. starting with the European Financial Stabilisation Mechanism and ending with the CSFP) should be visualised as bullet points)*

Or. en

**Amendment 1020**  
**Cornelis de Jong**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 112**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*112. Is of the firm opinion that freezing the EU budget, as demanded by some Member States, should not be considered a viable option as it would be detrimental for the achievement of the Union's agreed objectives and could lead to less efficient individual Member States' spending;*

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 1021**  
**Bastiaan Belder**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 112**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**112. Is of the firm opinion that freezing the EU budget, as demanded by some Member States, should not be considered a viable option as it would be detrimental for the achievement of the Union's agreed objectives and could lead to less efficient individual Member States' spending;**

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 1022**  
**Richard Ashworth**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Subheading**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

112. Is of the *firm* opinion that *freezing* the EU budget, as demanded by some Member States, should not be considered a viable option as it would be detrimental for the achievement of the Union's agreed objectives and could lead to less efficient individual Member States' spending;

112. *Welcomes the letter of the five heads of Government, including those of the United Kingdom, France, Germany, The Netherlands and Finland, and shares the opinion that commitment appropriations over the next multiannual financial framework should not exceed the 2013 level, with a growth rate below the rate of inflation;*

Or. en

**Amendment 1023**  
**Marta Andreasen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 112**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

112. Is of the firm opinion that *freezing* the

112. Is of the firm opinion that *a*

EU budget, *as demanded by some Member States, should not be considered* a viable option *as it would be detrimental for the achievement of the Union's agreed objectives and could lead to less efficient individual Member States' spending*;

*continuing upward trajectory for the EU budget is not* a viable option *in an economic environment where governments are having to make real and painful cuts in spending at home, Spending on the Union's overwheening ambitions should not be allowed to crowd out more efficient spending carried out by the Member States*;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 1024**

**Göran Färm, Ivailo Kalfin, Eider Gardiazábal Rubial on behalf of the S&D Group**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 112**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

112. Is of the firm opinion that freezing the EU budget, as demanded by some Member States, should not be considered a viable option as it would be detrimental for the achievement of the Union's agreed objectives and could lead to less efficient individual Member States' spending;

##### *Amendment*

112. Is of the firm opinion that freezing the EU budget, as demanded by some Member States, should not be considered a viable option as it would be detrimental for the achievement of the Union's agreed objectives, *for the principle of community solidarity* and could lead to less efficient individual Member States' spending;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 1025**

**Arlene McCarthy, Derek Vaughan**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 112**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

112. *Is* of the firm opinion that freezing the EU budget, *as demanded by some Member States, should not be considered a viable option as it would be detrimental for* the achievement of the Union's agreed

##### *Amendment*

112. Is of the firm opinion that freezing the EU budget *in real terms would ensure a focus on sound financial management during an economic period in which limited resources are available; affirms*



objectives *and could lead to less efficient individual Member States' spending;*

*that a significant reprioritisation of spending within the existing MFF ceiling is therefore essential to ensure the achievement of the Union's agreed objectives;*

Or. en

**Amendment 1026**

**Robert Goebbels**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 112 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*112a. Has resolved to use all the political means at its disposal to increase the EU's own resources;*

Or. fr

**Amendment 1027**

**Monika Hohlmeier, Markus Pieper, Albert Deß**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 112 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*112b. Considers that the substantial consolidation efforts currently being made in many Member States should also be reflected in the EU budget;*

Or. de

**Amendment 1028**

**Marta Andreasen**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 113**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**113. Reiterates that without sufficient additional resources in the post-2013 MFF, the Union will not be able to fulfil the existing policy priorities, namely linked to the Europe 2020 strategy, the new tasks provided for by the Treaty of Lisbon, let alone respond to unforeseen events;**

**deleted**

Or. en

**Amendment 1029**  
**Cornelis de Jong**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 113**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**113. Reiterates that without sufficient additional resources in the post-2013 MFF, the Union will not be able to fulfil the existing policy priorities, namely linked to the Europe 2020 strategy, the new tasks provided for by the Treaty of Lisbon, let alone respond to unforeseen events;**

**113. Is convinced of the need to reconsider existing EU funding, inter alia by concentrating funds in the Cohesion Fund and the new Innovation and Sustainability Fund, in order to meet the many new challenges the EU is facing;**

Or. en

**Amendment 1030**  
**Arlene McCarthy, Derek Vaughan**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 113**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**113. Reiterates that without sufficient additional resources in the post-2013 MFF, the Union will not be able to fulfil the**

**113. Reiterates that without sufficient reprioritisation of resources in the post-2013 MFF, the Union will not be able to**

existing policy priorities, namely linked to the Europe 2020 strategy, the new tasks provided for by the Treaty of Lisbon, let alone respond to unforeseen events;

fulfil the existing policy priorities, namely linked to the Europe 2020 strategy, the new tasks provided for by the Treaty of Lisbon, let alone respond to unforeseen events;

Or. en

**Amendment 1031**  
**Richard Ashworth**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 113**

*Motion for a resolution*

113. Reiterates that without sufficient **additional** resources in the post-2013 MFF, the Union will not be able to fulfil the existing policy priorities, namely linked to the Europe 2020 strategy, the new tasks provided for by the Treaty of Lisbon, let alone respond to unforeseen events;

*Amendment*

113. Reiterates that without sufficient resources in the post-2013 MFF, the Union will not be able to fulfil the existing policy priorities, namely linked to the Europe 2020 strategy, the new tasks provided for by the Treaty of Lisbon, let alone respond to unforeseen events;

Or. en

**Amendment 1032**  
**Guy Verhofstadt, Carl Haglund, Giommaria Uggias, on behalf of the ALDE Group**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 113**

*Motion for a resolution*

113. Reiterates that without sufficient additional resources in the post-2013 MFF, the Union will not be able to **fulfil** the existing policy priorities, namely linked to the Europe 2020 strategy, the new tasks provided for by the Treaty of Lisbon, let alone respond to unforeseen events;

*Amendment*

113. Reiterates that without **significant re-allocations within the budget or** sufficient additional resources in the post-2013 MFF, the Union will not be able to **fulfil** the existing policy priorities, namely linked to the Europe 2020 strategy, the new tasks provided for by the Treaty of Lisbon, let alone respond to unforeseen events;

Or. en

**Amendment 1033**

**Guy Verhofstadt, Carl Haglund, Sylvie Goulard, Giommara Uggias, on behalf of the ALDE Group**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 114**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**114. Considers that the ceiling of own resources in payment appropriations set unanimously by the Council at 1.23 % of the total GNI of the Member States provides sufficient budgetary leeway to meet these challenges and to set the ceilings of the future MFF accordingly;**

**deleted**

Or. en

**Amendment 1034**

**Bas Eickhout, José Bové, Isabelle Durant, Helga Trüpel**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 114**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**114. Considers that the ceiling of own resources in payment appropriations set unanimously by the Council at 1.23 % of the total GNI of the Member States provides sufficient budgetary leeway to meet these challenges and to set the ceilings of the future MFF accordingly;**

**114. Believes that concerted action on EU level in many areas can provide added value over individual actions by 27 Member States, especially where it concerns major investments in achieving the EU 2020 targets in the field of climate change, energy and transport infrastructure, or knowledge related investments; points out that if the EU budget would be situated around the ceiling of own resources in payment appropriations, currently set unanimously by the Council at 1.23 % of the total GNI of the Member States, this would provide already more budgetary leeway but still be insufficient to be a real tool for European economic governance or to contribute in a**

*major way to investing in the EU 2020 strategy at EU level;*

Or. en

**Amendment 1035**  
**Frank Engel, Othmar Karas**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 114**

*Motion for a resolution*

114. Considers that the ceiling of own resources in payment appropriations set unanimously by the Council at 1.23 % of the total GNI of the Member States **provides** sufficient budgetary leeway to meet these challenges and **to** set the **ceilings** of the **future MFF accordingly**;

*Amendment*

114. Considers that the ceiling of own resources in payment appropriations set unanimously by the Council at 1.23 % of the total GNI of the Member States **does not provide** sufficient budgetary leeway to meet these challenges ; **stresses that the EU budget represents EU ambitions and EU governments should not set top down expenditures limits but on the contrary, the size of the budget should result from the policies decided and the correct assessment of the financial needs involved**;

Or. en

**Amendment 1036**  
**Ilda Figueiredo**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 114**

*Motion for a resolution*

114. Considers that the **ceiling of** own resources in payment appropriations set unanimously by the Council at 1.23 % of the total GNI of the Member States **provides sufficient budgetary leeway** to meet these challenges and to set the ceilings of the future MFF accordingly;

*Amendment*

114. Considers that the own resources in payment appropriations set unanimously by the Council at 1.23 % of the total GNI of the Member States **is insufficient** to meet these challenges and to set the ceilings of the future MFF accordingly;

**Amendment 1037**

**Eider Gardiazábal Rubial, Göran Färm, Ivailo Kalfin on behalf of the S&D Group**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 114**

*Motion for a resolution*

114. Considers that the ceiling of own resources *in payment appropriations* set unanimously by the Council at 1.23 % of the total GNI of the Member States *provides sufficient budgetary leeway to meet these challenges and to set the ceilings of the future MFF accordingly;*

*Amendment*

114. Considers *therefore* that the *MFF should be increased accordingly, within the* ceiling of own resources set unanimously by the Council at 1.29 % of the total GNI of the Member States *(1.23% in payment appropriations);*

Or. en

**Amendment 1038**

**Cornelis de Jong**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 114**

*Motion for a resolution*

114. Considers that the ceiling of own resources in payment appropriations set unanimously by the Council at 1.23 % of the total GNI of the Member States provides *sufficient* budgetary leeway to meet these challenges and to set the ceilings of the future MFF accordingly;

*Amendment*

114. Considers that the ceiling of own resources in payment appropriations set unanimously by the Council at 1.23 % of the total GNI of the Member States provides *ample* budgetary leeway to meet these challenges and to set the ceilings of the future MFF accordingly;

Or. en

**Amendment 1039**

**Frank Engel, Othmar Karas**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 114 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***114 a. Notes that, while the current ceiling of the MFF has been unchanged since 1993, the EU budget has increased by 8,2% between 1996 and 2002 while average increase in national budgets has been 22.9%; emphasises that the Mac Dougall report considered already in 1977 that the EU budget should amount to at the very least 2- 2.5% of Member States' GDP, and that 5 to 7 percent should be the first target, excluding social transfers and thus still leaving out the re-allocation function of the budget;***

Or. en

**Amendment 1040**

**Robert Goebbels**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 114 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***114b. Considers nonetheless that the ceiling of 1.23% of the total GNI of the Member States is merely a transitional limit and that, as the States set new objectives for the Union, EU resources will need to be brought into line with the requirements jointly laid down;***

Or. fr

**Amendment 1041**

**Reimer Böge on behalf of the EPP Group**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 114 c (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***114c. Challenges the Council, in case it does not share this approach, to identify clearly which ones of its political priorities or projects can now be dropped altogether, despite their proven European added value;***

Or. en

**Amendment 1042**

**Göran Färm, Ivailo Kalfin, Eider Gardiazábal Rubial on behalf of the S&D Group**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Subtitle 'Sufficient, simpler and fairer financing system'**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***Sufficient, simpler*** and fairer financing system

***A more transparent*** and fairer financing system

Or. en

**Amendment 1043**

**Bastiaan Belder**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 115**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***115. Recalls that according to the Treaty of Lisbon ‘without prejudice to other revenue, the budget shall be financed wholly from own resources’; stresses that the way the system of own resources has evolved, gradually replacing genuine own resources by national contributions, places disproportionate emphasis on net-balances between Member States thus diluting the European common interest;***

***deleted***



*emphasises the need for a reform of revenue in order to gradually re-align it with the spirit of the Treaty;*

Or. en

**Amendment 1044**  
**Marta Andreasen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 115**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*115. Recalls that according to the Treaty of Lisbon ‘without prejudice to other revenue, the budget shall be financed wholly from own resources’; stresses that the way the system of own resources has evolved, gradually replacing genuine own resources by national contributions, places disproportionate emphasis on net-balances between Member States thus diluting the European common interest; emphasises the need for a reform of revenue in order to gradually re-align it with the spirit of the Treaty;*

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 1045**  
**Guy Verhofstadt, Carl Haglund, Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Sylvie Goulard, Giommara Uggias, Ramon Tremosa i Balcells, on behalf of the ALDE Group**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 115**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

115. Recalls that according to the Treaty of Lisbon ‘without prejudice to other revenue, the budget shall be financed wholly from own resources’; stresses that the way the system of own resources has evolved,

115. Recalls that according to the Treaty of Lisbon “without prejudice to other revenue, the budget shall be financed wholly from own resources”; stresses that the way the system of own resources has

gradually replacing genuine own resources by national contributions, places **disproportionate** emphasis on net-balances between Member States thus diluting the European common interest; **emphasises the need** for a reform of **revenue** in order to **gradually re-align it** with the spirit of the Treaty;

evolved, gradually replacing genuine own resources by national contributions, places emphasis on net-balances between Member States thus **contradicting the principle of EU solidarity, and** diluting the European common interest; **strongly calls for an in depth** reform of **EU resources** in order to **fully replace national contributions** with **genuine own resources thus re-aligning the financing of the EU budget with the spirit and the requirements** of the Treaty, **as well as enabling the abolition of all rebates**;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 1046**

**Göran Färm, Ivailo Kalfin, Eider Gardiazábal Rubial on behalf of the S&D Group**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 115**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

115. Recalls that according to the Treaty of Lisbon ‘without prejudice to other revenue, the budget shall be financed wholly from own resources’; stresses that the way the system of own resources has evolved, gradually replacing genuine own resources by national contributions, places disproportionate emphasis on net-balances between Member States thus diluting the European common interest; emphasises the need for a reform of revenue in order to **gradually** re-align it with the spirit of the Treaty;

##### *Amendment*

115. Recalls that according to the Treaty of Lisbon "without prejudice to other revenue, the budget shall be financed wholly from own resources"; stresses that the way the system of own resources has evolved, gradually replacing genuine own resources by **the so called** "national contributions", places disproportionate emphasis on net-balances between Member States thus diluting the European common interest **and largely ignoring European added value**; emphasises the need for a reform of revenue in order to re-align it with the spirit of the Treaty;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 1047**

**Alain Lamassoure, Reimer Böge**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 115**

*Motion for a resolution*

115. Recalls that according to the Treaty of Lisbon ‘without prejudice to other revenue, the budget shall be financed wholly from own resources’; stresses that the way the system of own resources has evolved, gradually replacing genuine own resources by national contributions, places disproportionate emphasis on net-balances between Member States thus diluting the European common interest; emphasises the need for a reform of revenue in order to gradually re-align it with the spirit of the Treaty;

*Amendment*

115. Recalls that according to the Treaty of Lisbon ‘without prejudice to other revenue, the budget shall be financed wholly from own resources’; stresses that the way the system of own resources has evolved, gradually replacing genuine own resources by national contributions, places disproportionate emphasis on net-balances between Member States thus diluting the European common interest; emphasises the need for a reform of revenue in order to gradually re-align it with the spirit of the Treaty; ***notes that, in practice, this state of affairs means that the size of the EU’s common budget is dependent on the financial circumstances of the Member States that are the least well run or show the least interest in the EU;***

Or. en

**Amendment 1048**  
**Frank Engel, Othmar Karas**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 115 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

***115 a. Notes that a system of true own resources would link citizens to European expenditures; emphasises that introducing a European tax system, giving the EU Budget real own resources and thus budgetary autonomy, would allow Member States to reduce their national budgets proportionally and would not increase the overall tax burden for citizens and businesses;***

Or. en

**Amendment 1049**  
**Bas Eickhout, Andrew Duff**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 115 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*115b. Calls therefore for the establishment of a real "own resources" system at EU-level, which could include for example, as proposed by the Commission, a European VAT, a European carbon tax, and/or a financial transaction tax;*

Or. en

**Amendment 1050**  
**Bastiaan Belder**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 116**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*116. Considers that the main aim of the reform is to achieve a fairer, more transparent and simpler system; stresses that phasing out of the existing exceptions and correction mechanisms and introducing one or several genuine own resources for the Union are indispensable steps towards this end; believes that the long-term aim should be for the Union to collect directly its own resources thereby achieving a more autonomous and transparent financing system;*

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 1051**  
**Marta Andreasen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 116**

*Motion for a resolution*

***116. Considers that the main aim of the reform is to achieve a fairer, more transparent and simpler system; stresses that phasing out of the existing exceptions and correction mechanisms and introducing one or several genuine own resources for the Union are indispensable steps towards this end; believes that the long-term aim should be for the Union to collect directly its own resources thereby achieving a more autonomous and transparent financing system;***

*Amendment*

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 1052**  
**Ilda Figueiredo**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 116**

*Motion for a resolution*

***116. Considers that the main aim of the reform is to achieve a fairer, more transparent and simpler system; stresses that phasing out of the existing exceptions and correction mechanisms and introducing one or several genuine own resources for the Union are indispensable steps towards this end; believes that the long-term aim should be for the Union to collect directly its own resources thereby achieving a more autonomous and transparent financing system;***

*Amendment*

***116. Considers that the main aim of the reform is to achieve a fairer, more transparent and simpler system; stresses that phasing out of the existing exceptions and correction mechanisms, and an increase in the payments made by Member States with the highest GNI and the highest per capita income, modifying the current distribution keys, are indispensable steps towards this end;***

Or. pt

**Amendment 1053**  
**Richard Ashworth, Konrad Szymański**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 116**

*Motion for a resolution*

116. Considers that the main aim of the reform is to achieve a fairer, more transparent and simpler system; ***stresses that phasing out of the existing exceptions and correction mechanisms and introducing one or several genuine own resources for the Union are indispensable steps towards this end; believes that the long-term aim should be for the Union to collect directly its own resources thereby achieving a more autonomous and transparent financing*** system;

*Amendment*

116. Considers that the main aim of the reform is to achieve a fairer, more transparent and simpler system;

Or. en

**Amendment 1054**  
**Cornelis de Jong**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 116**

*Motion for a resolution*

116. Considers that the main aim of the reform is to achieve a fairer, more transparent and simpler system; ***stresses that phasing out of the existing exceptions and correction mechanisms and introducing one or several genuine own resources for the Union are indispensable steps towards this end; believes that the long-term aim should be for the Union to collect directly its own resources thereby achieving a more autonomous and transparent financing*** system;

*Amendment*

116. Considers that the main aim of the reform is to achieve a fairer, more transparent and simpler system;

**Amendment 1055**  
**Konrad Szymański**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 116**

*Motion for a resolution*

116. Considers that the main aim of the reform is to achieve a fairer, more transparent and simpler system; stresses that phasing out of the existing exceptions and correction mechanisms and introducing one or several genuine own resources for the Union are indispensable steps towards this end; ***believes that the long-term aim should be for the Union to collect directly its own resources thereby achieving a more autonomous and transparent financing system;***

*Amendment*

116. Considers that the main aim of the reform is to achieve a fairer, more transparent and simpler system; stresses that phasing out of the existing exceptions and correction mechanisms and introducing one or several genuine own resources for the Union are indispensable steps towards this end;

**Amendment 1056**  
**Arlene McCarthy, Derek Vaughan**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 116**

*Motion for a resolution*

116. Considers that the main aim of the reform is to achieve a fairer, more transparent ***and simpler*** system; stresses that ***phasing out of the existing exceptions and correction mechanisms and*** introducing one or several genuine own resources for the Union ***are indispensable steps*** towards this end; believes that the long-term aim should be for the Union to ***collect directly its own resources thereby achieving a more autonomous and***

*Amendment*

116. Considers that the main aim of the reform is to achieve a fairer ***and*** more transparent system; stresses that introducing one or several genuine own resources for the Union ***could be an important step*** towards this end; believes that the long-term aim should be for the Union to ***increase the weight of the genuine own resources while decreasing that of the GNI resource;***

*transparent financing system;*

Or. en

**Amendment 1057**

**Andrew Duff, Guy Verhofstadt, on behalf of the ALDE Group**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 116**

*Motion for a resolution*

116. Considers that the main aim of the reform is to achieve a fairer, more transparent and simpler system; stresses that phasing out of the existing exceptions and correction mechanisms and introducing one or several genuine own resources for the Union are *indispensible steps towards this end*; believes that the *long-term aim* should *be for* the Union to collect directly its own resources thereby achieving a more *autonomous and transparent financing system*;

*Amendment*

116. Considers that the main aim of the reform is to achieve a fairer, more transparent and simpler system; stresses that phasing out of the existing exceptions and correction mechanisms and introducing one or several genuine own resources for the Union are *indispensible if the Union is ever to get the progressive and buoyant budget it needs to contribute to counter-cyclical measures in the interests of financial stability and economic recovery*; insists that the *reforms in question* should *enhance the capacity of the Union budget to achieve large economies of scale and cost efficiencies by transferring substantial items of expenditure - such as the EDF, R&D and energy and transport infrastructure - from the national to the EU level, thereby saving national treasuries money*; believes that the *Union should be able to* collect directly its own resources thereby achieving a more *direct connection with the citizen as taxpayer*;

Or. en

**Amendment 1058**

**Ramon Tremosa i Balcells**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 116**

PE462.732v04-00

112/133

AM\865598EN.doc



*Motion for a resolution*

116. Considers that the main aim of the reform is to achieve a fairer, more transparent and simpler system; stresses that phasing out of the existing exceptions and correction mechanisms and introducing one or several genuine own resources for the Union are indispensable steps towards this end; believes that the long-term aim should be for the Union to collect directly its own resources thereby achieving a more autonomous and transparent financing system;

*Amendment*

116. Considers that the main aim of the reform is to achieve a fairer, more **legitimate**, transparent and simpler system; stresses that phasing out of the existing exceptions and correction mechanisms and introducing one or several genuine own resources for the Union are indispensable steps towards this end; believes that the long-term aim should be for the Union to collect directly its own resources thereby achieving a more autonomous and transparent financing system; **considers that one useful tool would be that a share of national VAT was directly transferred to the EU budget, appearing also as a separate tax on invoices for citizens;**

Or. en

**Amendment 1059**

**Eider Gardiazábal Rubial, Göran Färm, Ivailo Kalfin on behalf of the S&D Group**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 116**

*Motion for a resolution*

116. Considers that the main aim of the reform is to achieve a fairer, more transparent **and simpler** system; stresses that phasing out of the existing exceptions and correction mechanisms and introducing one or several genuine own resources for the Union are indispensable steps towards this end; believes that the **long-term** aim should be for the Union to **collect directly its** own resources **thereby achieving a more autonomous and transparent financing system;**

*Amendment*

116. Considers that the main aim of the reform is to achieve a fairer **and** more transparent system; stresses that phasing out of the existing exceptions and correction mechanisms and introducing one or several genuine own resources for the Union are indispensable steps towards this end; believes that the aim should be for the Union to **increase the weight of the genuine** own resources **while decreasing that of the GNI resource;**

Or. en

## Amendment 1060

Guy Verhofstadt, Carl Haglund, Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Sylvie Goulard, Giommara Uggias, Ramon Tremosa i Balcells, on behalf of the ALDE Group

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 116

##### *Motion for a resolution*

116. *Considers that the main aim of the reform is to achieve a fairer, more transparent and simpler system; stresses that* phasing out of the existing exceptions and correction mechanisms and introducing *one or several* genuine own resources for the Union are indispensable steps towards *this end*; believes that the *long-term* aim should be for the Union to collect directly its own resources thereby achieving a more autonomous and transparent financing system;

##### *Amendment*

116. *Stresses* that phasing out of the existing exceptions and correction mechanisms and introducing genuine own resources for the Union are indispensable steps towards *a transparent, fair, legitimate and efficient European budget*; believes that the aim should be for the Union to collect directly its own resources, *independent from the national budgets*, thereby achieving a more autonomous and transparent financing system;

Or. en

## Amendment 1061

Monika Hohlmeier, Markus Pieper, Albert Deß

### Motion for a resolution

#### Paragraph 116

##### *Motion for a resolution*

116. Considers that the main aim of the reform is to achieve a fairer, more transparent and simpler system; stresses that phasing out of the existing exceptions and correction mechanisms and introducing one or several genuine own resources for the Union are indispensable steps towards this end; believes that the long-term aim should be for the Union to collect directly its own resources thereby achieving a more autonomous and transparent financing system;

##### *Amendment*

116. Considers that the main aim of the reform is to achieve a fairer, more transparent and simpler system; stresses that phasing out of the existing exceptions and correction mechanisms and introducing one or several genuine own resources for the Union are indispensable steps towards this end; believes that the long-term aim should be for the Union to collect directly its own resources thereby achieving a more autonomous and transparent financing system; *stresses that the introduction of new own resources must be decided by the Member States and*

*is not a matter for independent decision by the Commission; considers it necessary to ensure that burdens on those who pay taxes and levies are not increased in an uncoordinated manner, thus placing excessive burdens on them, impairing competitiveness and reducing transparency;*

Or. de

**Amendment 1062**  
**Louis Michel, Charles Goerens**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 116**

*Motion for a resolution*

116. Considers that the main aim of the reform is to achieve a fairer, more transparent and simpler system; stresses that phasing out of the existing exceptions and correction mechanisms and introducing one or several genuine own resources for the Union are indispensable steps towards this end; believes that the **long-term** aim **should** be for the Union to collect directly its own resources thereby achieving a more autonomous and transparent financing system;

*Amendment*

116. Considers that the main aim of the reform is to achieve a fairer, more transparent and simpler system; stresses that phasing out of the existing exceptions and correction mechanisms and introducing one or several genuine own resources for the Union are indispensable steps towards this end; believes that the aim **must** be for the Union to collect directly its own resources thereby achieving a more autonomous and transparent financing system;

Or. fr

**Amendment 1063**  
**Bas Eickhout**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 116**

*Motion for a resolution*

116. Considers that the main aim of the reform is to achieve a fairer, more

*Amendment*

116. Considers that the main aim of the reform is to achieve a fairer, more

transparent and simpler system; stresses that *phasing out of* the existing exceptions and correction mechanisms and introducing one or several genuine own resources for the Union are indispensable steps towards this end; believes that the long-term aim should be for the Union to collect directly its own resources thereby achieving a more autonomous and transparent financing system;

transparent and simpler system; stresses that *abolishing* the existing exceptions and correction mechanisms, *whilst keeping the GNI resource to underline solidarity*, and introducing one or several genuine *new* own resources for the Union are indispensable steps towards this end; believes that the long-term aim should be for the Union to collect directly its own resources thereby achieving a more autonomous and transparent financing system;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 1064**

**Jan Olbrycht, Sidonia Elżbieta Jędrzejewska, Czesław Adam Siekierski**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 116**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

116. Considers that the main aim of the reform is to achieve a fairer, more transparent and simpler system; stresses that *phasing out of* the existing exceptions and correction mechanisms and introducing one or several genuine own resources for the Union are indispensable steps towards this end; believes that the long-term aim should be for the Union to collect directly its own resources thereby achieving a more autonomous and transparent financing system;

##### *Amendment*

116. Considers that the main aim of the reform is to achieve a fairer, more transparent and simpler system; stresses that *abolition* of the existing exceptions and correction mechanisms and introducing one or several genuine own resources for the Union are indispensable steps towards this end; believes that the long-term aim should be for the Union to collect directly its own resources thereby achieving a more autonomous and transparent financing system;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 1065**

**Reimer Böge, Alain Lamassoure, Othmar Karas on behalf of the EPP Group**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 116**

*Motion for a resolution*

116. Considers that the main aim of the reform is to achieve a fairer, more transparent and simpler system; stresses that phasing out of the existing exceptions and correction mechanisms and introducing one or several genuine own resources for the Union are indispensable steps towards this end; believes that the long-term aim should be for the Union to collect directly its own resources thereby achieving a more autonomous and **transparent** financing system;

*Amendment*

116. Considers that the main aim of the reform is to achieve a fairer, more transparent and simpler system; stresses that phasing out of the existing exceptions and correction mechanisms and introducing one or several genuine own resources for the Union are indispensable steps towards this end; believes that the long-term aim should be for the Union to collect directly its own resources thereby achieving a **simpler** more autonomous, **transparent** and **equitable** financing system, **which can be better understood by the public; recalls its position that a system of own resources should be based on criteria that best express the ability to contribute of Member States and be independent from national transfers;**

Or. en

**Amendment 1066**

**Giovanni La Via**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 116**

*Motion for a resolution*

116. Considers that the main aim of the reform is to achieve a fairer, more transparent and simpler system; stresses that **phasing out of** the existing exceptions and correction mechanisms and introducing one or several genuine own resources for the Union are indispensable steps towards this end; believes that the long-term aim should be for the Union to collect directly its own resources thereby achieving a more autonomous and transparent financing system;

*Amendment*

116. Considers that the main aim of the reform is to achieve a fairer, more transparent and simpler system; stresses that **overcoming** the existing exceptions and correction mechanisms and introducing one or several genuine own resources for the Union are indispensable steps towards this end; believes that the long-term aim should be for the Union to collect directly its own resources thereby achieving a more autonomous and transparent financing system;

Or. en

**Amendment 1067**  
**James Elles**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 116 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*116 a. Notes that negative balances have emerged for several Member States over the past decade, calling into question the fairness of the Own Resources system; believes that a permanent corrective mechanism should be introduced when no Member State should be required to pay a net contribution of more than 0.25% of its GDP;*

Or. en

**Amendment 1068**  
**Angelika Niebler**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 116 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*116b. Notes, however, that the introduction of any new own resource risks to create in the short term a revenue imbalance between the Member States, which can only be accounted for by a uniform and transparent correction mechanism that applies to all Member States;*

Or. en

**Amendment 1069**  
**Ilda Figueiredo**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 117**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**117. Emphasises that the restructuring of the system of own resources does not concern the size of the EU budget but finding a more effective mix of resources to fund the agreed EU policies and objectives; is convinced that the new system must not increase the tax burden for citizens and will reduce the burden on national treasuries;**

*deleted*

Or. pt

**Amendment 1070**  
**Cornelis de Jong**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 117**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

117. Emphasises that the restructuring of the system of own resources **does not concern** the size of the EU budget **but finding a more effective mix of resources to fund** the agreed EU policies and objectives; is convinced that the new system must not increase the tax burden for citizens and will reduce the burden on national treasuries;

117. Emphasises that the restructuring of the system of own resources **concerns** the size of the EU budget **for funding** the agreed EU policies and objectives; is convinced that the new system must not increase the tax burden for citizens and will reduce the burden on national treasuries;

Or. en

**Amendment 1071**  
**Bas Eickhout, Helga Trüpel, Isabelle Durant**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 117**

*Motion for a resolution*

117. Emphasises that the restructuring of the system of own resources does not concern the size of the EU budget but finding a more effective mix of resources to fund the agreed EU policies and objectives; **is convinced** that the new system **must** not increase the tax burden for citizens and **will** reduce the burden on national treasuries;

*Amendment*

117. Emphasises that the restructuring of the system of own resources **as such** does not concern the size of the EU budget but finding a more effective mix of resources to fund the agreed EU policies and objectives; **points out** that the **introduction of a new system, all other factors remaining equal, would** not increase the **overall** tax burden for citizens and reduce the burden on national treasuries; **advocates taking advantage of new possible revenue sources, such as a Financial transaction Tax, revenues stemming from the auctioning of ETS certificates, a share in VAT, corporate, carbon and/or energy taxes to increase investments on EU level to achieve the EU 2020 targets, whilst reducing Member States expenditure in these areas as well as lowering their GNI contributions to the EU budget;**

Or. en

**Amendment 1072**

**José Bové**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 117**

*Motion for a resolution*

117. Emphasises that the restructuring of the system of own resources **does not concern the size of the EU budget but finding** a more effective mix of resources to fund the agreed EU policies and objectives; **is convinced** that the new system **must** not increase the tax burden for citizens and **will** reduce the burden on national treasuries;

*Amendment*

117. Emphasises that the restructuring of the system of own resources **needs** a more effective mix of resources to fund the agreed EU policies and objectives; **is convinced** that the new system **must** not increase the tax burden for citizens **but take advantage of new budgetary resources like, for example, the implementation of a European Financial Transaction Tax**, and **will** reduce the burden on national treasuries;



**Amendment 1073**  
**Frank Engel, Othmar Karas**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 117**

*Motion for a resolution*

117. Emphasises that the restructuring of the system of own resources **does not concern the size of the EU budget but** finding a more effective mix of resources to fund the agreed EU policies and objectives; is convinced that the new system must not increase the tax burden for citizens and will reduce the burden on national treasuries;

*Amendment*

117. Emphasises that the restructuring of the system of own resources **implies** finding a more effective mix of resources to fund the agreed EU policies and objectives; is convinced that the new system must not increase the tax burden for citizens and will reduce the burden on national treasuries;

**Amendment 1074**  
**Richard Ashworth, Konrad Szymański**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 117**

*Motion for a resolution*

117. Emphasises that **the** restructuring of the system of own resources **does not concern the size of the EU budget but** **finding** a more effective mix of resources to fund the agreed EU policies and objectives; is convinced that the new system must not increase the tax burden for citizens and **will** reduce the burden on national treasuries;

*Amendment*

117. Emphasises that **any** restructuring of the system of own resources **should not concern the size of the EU budget but** **find** a more effective mix of resources to fund the agreed EU policies and objectives; is convinced that the new system must not increase the tax burden for citizens and **should** reduce the burden on national treasuries;

**Amendment 1075**  
**Marta Andreasen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 117**

*Motion for a resolution*

117. Emphasises that the restructuring of the system of own resources does not concern the size of the EU budget but finding a more effective mix of resources to fund the agreed EU policies and objectives; is convinced that the new system **must not** increase the tax burden for citizens and will reduce the burden on national treasuries;

*Amendment*

117. Emphasises that the restructuring of the system of own resources does not concern the size of the EU budget but finding a more effective mix of resources to fund the agreed EU policies and objectives; is convinced that the new system **being proposed will** increase the tax burden for citizens and will **not** reduce the burden on national treasuries;

Or. en

**Amendment 1076**  
**Eider Gardiazábal Rubial, Göran Färm, Ivailo Kalfin on behalf of the S&D Group**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 117**

*Motion for a resolution*

117. Emphasises that the restructuring of the system of own resources does not concern the size of the EU budget but finding a more effective mix of resources to fund the agreed EU policies and objectives; is convinced that the new system must not increase the tax burden for citizens and will reduce the burden on national treasuries;

*Amendment*

117. Emphasises that the restructuring of the system of own resources does not concern the size of the EU budget but finding a more effective mix of resources to fund the agreed EU policies and objectives; is convinced that the new system must not increase the tax burden for citizens and will reduce the burden on national treasuries; **recalls that any change on the own resources should be implemented in compliance with fiscal sovereignty of Member States;**

Or. en

**Amendment 1077**

**Guy Verhofstadt, Carl Haglund, Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Giommaria Uggias, on behalf of the ALDE Group**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 117**

*Motion for a resolution*

117. **Emphasises** that the restructuring of the system of own resources does not concern the size of the EU budget but finding a more effective mix of resources to fund the agreed EU policies and objectives; is convinced that the new system must not increase the tax burden for citizens **and** will reduce the burden on national treasuries;

*Amendment*

117. **Emphasises** that the restructuring of the system of own resources does not concern the size of the EU budget but finding a more effective mix of resources to fund the agreed EU policies and objectives; is convinced that the new system must not increase the tax burden for citizens, will reduce the burden on national treasuries **and would even enable Member States to make cuts or re-allocations in their public expenditure;**

Or. en

**Amendment 1078**

**Frank Engel, Othmar Karas**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 117 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

**117 a. Is convinced of the need to shift back towards a system of true own resources as envisioned by the Treaties; demands that the Commission present concrete proposals to this end; states that during the transition to a budget the revenue side of which will consist entirely of own resources, sufficient national contributions need to be maintained in order to enable the EU to meet financing and spending imperatives;**

*Amendment*

Or. en

**Amendment 1079**  
**Frank Engel, Othmar Karas**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 117 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***117b. Demands that along these lines of reform, any and all forms of rebates be abolished, as the states no longer contribute from their own fiscal resources;***

Or. en

**Amendment 1080**  
**Guy Verhofstadt, Carl Haglund, Sylvie Goulard, Giommara Uggias, on behalf of the ALDE Group**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 117 c (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***117c. Stresses that the European Parliament is the only parliament who has a say on the expenditures side but not on the revenues side; therefore emphasises the crucial need for a democratic reform of EU resources;***

Or. en

**Amendment 1081**  
**Evelyn Regner**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 118**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

118. Takes note of the potential new own resources proposed by the Commission in its Communication on the Budget Review;

118. Takes note of the potential new own resources proposed by the Commission in its Communication on the Budget Review;

PE462.732v04-00

124/133

AM\865598EN.doc

awaits the conclusions of the impact analysis of these options that should also examine the relevant collection mechanisms, in view of the presentation by the Commission of a legislative proposal by 1 July 2011;

*calls on the Commission, in the plans for a new system of own resources, to take appropriate account of the European Parliament's resolution of 8 March 2011 on innovative financing at global and European level, which advocates the introduction of a Union-wide financial transaction tax;* awaits the conclusions of the impact analysis of these options that should also examine the relevant collection mechanisms, in view of the presentation by the Commission of a legislative proposal by 1 July 2011;

Or. de

### **Amendment 1082**

**Eider Gardiazábal Rubial, Göran Färm, Ivailo Kalfin on behalf of the S&D Group**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 118**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

118. Takes note of the potential new own resources proposed by the Commission in its Communication on the Budget Review; awaits the conclusions of the impact analysis of these options that should also examine the relevant collection mechanisms, in view of the presentation by the Commission of a legislative proposal by 1 July 2011;

##### *Amendment*

118. Takes note of the potential new own resources proposed by the Commission in its Communication on the Budget Review (*taxation of the financial sector, auctioning under the greenhouse gas Emissions Trading System, EU charge related to air transport, VAT, energy tax, corporate income tax*); *calls on the Commission to also analyse in its feasibility study the various possible options for an EU FTT and their impacts as requested also by the Council;* awaits the conclusions of the impact analysis of these options that should also examine the relevant collection mechanisms, in view of the presentation by the Commission of a legislative proposal by 1 July 2011;

Or. en

**Amendment 1083**  
**Miguel Portas, Jürgen Klute**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 118**

*Motion for a resolution*

118. Takes note of the potential new own resources proposed by the Commission in its Communication on the Budget Review; ***awaits the conclusions of the impact analysis of these options that should also examine the relevant collection mechanisms, in view of the presentation by the Commission of a legislative proposal by 1 July 2011;***

*Amendment*

118. Takes note of the potential new own resources proposed by the Commission in its Communication on the Budget Review, ***which should be converted into a legislative proposal by 1 July 2011; takes the view that the EU should take the initiative and apply a tax on financial transactions in its own area, whilst continuing to fight for its application at world level; considers that the EU should also take exemplary action on movements of capital in the direction of tax havens; considers that the resources obtained in this way should boost the EU budget, reducing contributions from national budgets and being concentrated on support for social convergence programmes and programmes to combat poverty at world level and at European level;***

Or. pt

**Amendment 1084**  
**Göran Färm, Ivailo Kalfin, Eider Gardiazábal Rubial on behalf of the S&D Group**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 118 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

***118 a. Recalls that, as approved in the European Parliament resolution of 8 March 2011 on innovative financing at global and European level, "the introduction of an Financial Transaction Tax (FTT) could help to tackle the highly damaging trading patterns in financial***

*Amendment*

*markets, such as some short-term and automated high-frequency trade transactions, and curb speculation"; recalls, therefore, that the EU should promote the introduction of an FTT at global level, failing which, the EU should implement an FTT at European level as a first step; considers, therefore, that an FTT could constitute a substantial contribution by the financial sector to the cost of the crisis, to public finance sustainability and could also contribute partially to the financing of the EU budget;*

Or. en

**Amendment 1085**  
**Sylvie Goulard**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 118 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*118b. Strongly opposes the current system of unused payments appropriations which does not encourage the Member States to use European credits in the most efficient way; therefore proposes to carry over revenues arising from unused payments appropriations into the following year's Union budget, and allocate them to programmes conducive to the Union's growth strategy for Member States that comply with the Stability and Growth Pact or that have taken corrective measures;*

Or. en

**Amendment 1086**  
**Guy Verhofstadt, Carl Haglund, Sylvie Goulard, Giommara Uggias, Ramon Tremosa i Balcells, on behalf of the ALDE Group**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 118 c (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***118c. Strongly opposes the current system of unused payments appropriations which does not encourage the Member States to use European credits in the most efficient way; therefore proposes to carry over revenues arising from unused payments appropriations into the following year's Union budget;***

Or. en

**Amendment 1087**

**Guy Verhofstadt, Carl Haglund, Gionnmaria Uggias, on behalf of the ALDE Group**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 120**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

120. Underlines the stringent majority requirements for both the Parliament and the Council and points to the importance of exploiting to the full the Treaty which requires the Parliament, the Council and the Commission, throughout the procedure leading to the MFF adoption, to take any measure necessary to this end; welcomes, in this respect, the commitment of the Council Presidencies<sup>7</sup> to ***lead*** the procedure for the elaboration of the future MFF in ***a spirit of openness and constructive collaboration*** with the ***Parliament***;

120. Underlines the stringent majority requirements for both the Parliament and the Council and points to the importance of exploiting to the full the Treaty which requires the Parliament, the Council and the Commission, throughout the procedure leading to the MFF adoption, to take any measure necessary to this end; welcomes, in this respect, the commitment of the Council Presidencies to ***ensure an open and constructive dialogue and collaboration with the Parliament during the whole*** procedure for the elaboration of the future MFF ***and reaffirms its willingness to work in close cooperation with the Council and the Commission in full accordance with the provisions of the Treaty of Lisbon during this negotiating process***;

Or. en



**Amendment 1088**  
**Bas Eickhout, Helga Trüpel**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 120**

*Motion for a resolution*

120. Underlines the stringent majority requirements for both the Parliament and the Council and points to the importance of exploiting to the full the Treaty which requires the Parliament, the Council and the Commission, throughout the procedure leading to the MFF adoption, to take any measure necessary to this end; welcomes, in this respect, the commitment of the Council Presidencies<sup>7</sup> to lead the procedure for the elaboration of the future MFF in a spirit of openness and constructive collaboration with the Parliament;

*Amendment*

120. Underlines the stringent majority requirements for both the Parliament and the Council and points to the importance of exploiting to the full the Treaty ***provision under Article 312(5) of the TFEU***, which requires the Parliament, the Council and the Commission, throughout the procedure leading to the MFF adoption, to take any measure necessary to this end ***and thus explicitly provides for negotiations between the institutions to find agreement on a text that can be consented to by Parliament; points out that, except for specific provisions incompatible with the provisions of the Treaty of Lisbon, the current IIA continues to apply as long as it is not amended, superseded or revised and that if a post 2013 MFF is not adopted by the end of 2013 the ceilings and other provisions corresponding to the year 2013 shall be extended***; welcomes, in this respect, the commitment of the Council Presidencies<sup>[1]</sup> to lead the procedure for the elaboration of the future MFF in a spirit of openness and constructive collaboration with the Parliament ;

[1] Letter of Prime Minister Yves Leterme to President Buzek, 8 December 2010.

Or. en

**Amendment 1089**  
**Bastiaan Belder**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 121**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***121. Urges, consequently, the Council and the Commission to comply with the Treaty and to make every effort necessary to swiftly reach an agreement with the Parliament on a practical working method for the MFF negotiating process; reiterates the link between a reform of revenue and a reform of expenditure and demands, accordingly, a firm commitment by the Council to discuss in the context of the MFF negotiation the proposals on new own resources;***

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 1090**  
**Marta Andreasen**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 121**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

121. Urges, consequently, the Council and the Commission to comply with the Treaty and to make every effort necessary to swiftly reach an agreement with the Parliament on a practical working method for the MFF negotiating process; ***reiterates the link between a reform of revenue and a reform of expenditure and demands, accordingly, a firm commitment by the Council to discuss in the context of the MFF negotiation the proposals on new own resources;***

121. Urges, consequently, the Council and the Commission to comply with the Treaty and to make every effort necessary to swiftly reach an agreement with the Parliament on a practical working method for the MFF negotiating process;

Or. en

**Amendment 1091**  
**Reimer Böge on behalf of the EPP Group**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 121**

*Motion for a resolution*

121. Urges, consequently, the Council and the Commission to comply with the Treaty and to make every effort necessary to swiftly reach an agreement with the Parliament on a practical working method for the MFF negotiating process; reiterates the link between a reform of revenue and a reform of expenditure and demands, accordingly, a firm commitment by the Council to discuss in the context of the MFF negotiation the proposals on new own resources;

*Amendment*

121. Urges, consequently, the Council and the Commission to comply with the Treaty and to make every effort necessary to swiftly reach an agreement with the Parliament on a practical working method for the MFF negotiating process; ***recalls that such an agreement is explicitly called for in Article 312(5) of the TFEU, according to which the European Parliament, the Council and the Commission shall take any measure necessary to facilitate the adoption of the MFF***; reiterates the link between a reform of revenue and a reform of expenditure and demands, accordingly, a firm commitment by the Council to discuss in the context of the MFF negotiation the proposals on new own resources;

Or. en

**Amendment 1092**  
**Robert Goebbels**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 121**

*Motion for a resolution*

121. ***Urges***, consequently, the Council and the Commission ***to*** comply with the Treaty and ***to*** make every effort necessary to swiftly reach an agreement with the Parliament on a practical working method for the MFF negotiating process; reiterates the link between a reform of revenue and a reform of expenditure and demands, accordingly, a firm commitment by the Council to discuss in the context of the MFF negotiation the proposals on new own resources;

*Amendment*

121. ***Insists***, consequently, ***that*** the Council and the Commission comply with the Treaty and make every effort necessary to swiftly reach an agreement with the Parliament on a practical working method for the MFF negotiating process; reiterates the link between a reform of revenue and a reform of expenditure and demands, accordingly, a firm commitment by the Council to discuss in the context of the MFF negotiation the proposals on new own resources;

**Amendment 1093**

**Guy Verhofstadt, Carl Haglund, Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Giommaria Uggias, Andrew Duff, on behalf of the ALDE Group**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 121 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***121 a. Proposes that an interparliamentary conference be convened that would include the national and European parliaments, as well as the Commission and Council, to engage in wide-ranging discussions on the purpose, scope and direction of the revision of the Union's multi-annual financial framework and the reform of the revenue system; urges national parliaments to send to this conference MPs with spending as well as budgetary control responsibilities;***

Or. en

**Amendment 1094**

**Bas Eickhout, Andrew Duff**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 121 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***121b. Demands at least that a public debate on such issues be opened at EU level, through the convening of a convention-type Conference on the future financing of the Union, which must include representatives of the three European institutions as well as national parliamentarians;***

