



EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

2009 - 2014

Committee on International Trade

2011/2020(BUD)

11.8.2011

AMENDMENTS

1 - 7

Draft opinion
Peter Šťastný
(PE467.325v01-00)

on Parliament's position on the 2012 Draft Budget as modified by the Council
– all sections
(2011/2020(BUD))

AM\873856EN.doc

PE469.865v01-00

EN

United in diversity

EN

AM_Com_NonLegOpinion

Amendment 1
Rolandas Paksas

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2 a. Asks the Commission not to increase, during the current economic crisis, the level of appropriations earmarked for administrative expenditure in the field of trade policy under budget heading 20 01;

Or. lt

Amendment 2
Juozas Imbrasas

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2 a. Asks the Commission not to increase, during the current economic crisis, the level of appropriations earmarked for administrative expenditure in the field of trade policy under budget heading 20 01;

Or. lt

Amendment 3
Helmut Scholz

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

Amendment

3. Regrets that there is no agreement between Parliament and Council on the

3. Regrets that there is no agreement between Parliament and Council on the Commission proposal on the ICI+ ***and reiterates Parliament's 2nd reading***

Commission proposal on the ICI+; reiterates the need for a framework regulation on MFA; stresses the need to ensure the adequate financing of Banana Accompanying Measures (BAM) with the worst affected countries being prioritised;

position that ICI has to be financed with a specific budget line, without diverting development funds and that appropriations programmed for use under Regulation (EC) No 1905/2006 may not be used for financing ICI measures; reiterates the need for a framework regulation on MFA; stresses the need to ensure *additional funds* for the adequate financing of Banana Accompanying Measures (BAM) with the worst affected countries being prioritised *as a consequence of the new tariff concessions included in the FTA's with Central America, Colombia and Peru a few months after the signature of the so-called Geneva Agreement;*

Or. en

Amendment 4
Keith Taylor

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3 a. Regrets the decision of the Commission to disregard the wish of the Parliament as expressed in the budget exercise 2011 to promote Fair Trade by extending the budget line under Article 20 02 01 under the sub-heading "Actions aiming to strengthen the capacity of developing countries to participate in the world trading system" and asks for the re-introduction of actions specifically designated to promoting Fair Trade in the Budget 2012, with an appropriate budget allocation;

Or. en

Amendment 5
Peter Šťastný

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3 a. Supports financing for programmes for banana-producing countries with strict priority for the poorest LDCs;

Or. en

Amendment 6
Rolandas Paksas

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

Amendment

4. Points out that the proper functioning of the external business centres (Beijing, four locations in India and ASEAN trade Centre in Thailand) must be secured; to this end, supports the proposed preparatory action for launching a cost effective coordination platform aiming to help European businesses, SMEs in particular, to gain market access in fast growing third countries;

4. Points out that the proper functioning of the external **European** business centres (Beijing, four locations in India and ASEAN trade Centre in Thailand) must be secured, ***but asks the Commission to ensure that the activities of these business centres do not duplicate those already being undertaken by trade organisations, private consultancy firms and national embassies***; to this end, supports the proposed preparatory action for launching a cost effective coordination platform aiming to help European businesses, SMEs in particular, to ***expand their capacity for international action and to gain market access in fast growing third countries***;

Or. It

Amendment 7
Juozas Imbrasas

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Points out that the proper functioning of the external business centres (Beijing, four locations in India and ASEAN trade Centre in Thailand) must be secured; to this end, supports the proposed preparatory action for launching a cost effective coordination platform aiming to help European businesses, SMEs in particular, to gain market access in fast growing third countries;

Amendment

4. Points out that the proper functioning of the external **European** business centres (Beijing, four locations in India and ASEAN trade Centre in Thailand) must be secured, ***but asks the Commission to ensure that the activities of these business centres do not duplicate those already being undertaken by trade organisations, private consultancy firms and national embassies***; to this end, supports the proposed preparatory action for launching a cost effective coordination platform aiming to help European businesses, SMEs in particular, to ***expand their capacity for international action and to*** gain market access in fast growing third countries;

Or. It