



EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

2009 - 2014

---

*Committee on Economic and Monetary Affairs*

---

**2011/2020(BUD)**

20.7.2011

# **AMENDMENTS**

## **1 - 13**

**Draft opinion**  
**Olle Ludvigsson**  
(PE467.202v01-00)

on Parliament's position on the 2012 Draft Budget as modified by the Council -  
all sections  
(2011/2020(BUD))

AM\874416EN.doc

PE469.969v01-00

**EN**

*United in diversity*

**EN**

AM\_Com\_NonLegOpinion

**Amendment 1**  
**Liem Hoang Ngoc**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1**

*Draft opinion*

1. Supports the sharp increase in payments proposed by the Commission for the CIP-EIP programme as a crucial reaction to the positive trend of SMEs recovering from the crisis;

*Amendment*

1. Supports the sharp increase in payments **and commitments** proposed by the Commission for the CIP-EIP programme as a crucial reaction to the positive trend of SMEs recovering from the crisis; **deplores, however, the Council proposal drastically cutting the level of payment appropriations;**

Or. fr

**Amendment 2**  
**Rolandas Paksas**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1**

*Draft opinion*

1. Supports the sharp increase in payments proposed by the Commission for the CIP-EIP programme as a crucial reaction to the positive trend of SMEs recovering from the crisis;

*Amendment*

1. Supports the sharp increase in payments proposed by the Commission for the CIP-EIP programme as a crucial reaction to the positive trend of SMEs recovering from the crisis **and to the priorities of the Europe 2020 strategy;**

Or. It

**Amendment 3**  
**Sven Giegold**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 2**

*Draft opinion*

2. Notes that the crisis has clearly highlighted the importance for the strength of government finances of having effective and fraud-proof tax collection systems; stresses that the fight against tax fraud and evasion must be highly prioritised and that the appropriations for Fiscalis must enable the programme to respond to this ambition;

*Amendment*

2. Notes that the crisis has clearly highlighted the importance for the strength of government finances of having effective and fraud-proof tax collection systems; stresses that the fight against tax fraud and evasion must be highly prioritised and that the appropriations for Fiscalis must enable the programme to respond to this ambition ***within the EU, and internationally through maintaining the budget line on 'Good Governance in the Area of Tax' with appropriate funding;***

Or. en

**Amendment 4**  
**Liem Hoang Ngoc**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 2**

*Draft opinion*

2. Notes that the crisis has clearly highlighted the importance for the strength of government finances of having effective and fraud-proof tax collection systems; stresses that the fight against tax fraud and evasion must be highly prioritised and that the appropriations for Fiscalis must enable the programme to respond to this ambition;

*Amendment*

2. Notes that the crisis has clearly highlighted the importance for the strength of government finances of having effective and fraud-proof tax collection systems; stresses that the fight against tax fraud and evasion must be highly prioritised and that the appropriations for Fiscalis must enable the programme to respond to this ambition; ***deplores, therefore, the slight reduction in the payment appropriations for this instrument;***

Or. fr

**Amendment 5**  
**Sven Giegold**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 3**

PE469.969v01-00

4/9

AM\874416EN.doc

*Draft opinion*

3. Welcomes the budget increases proposed by the Commission for all three European Supervisory Authorities as logical and crucial steps in their build-up procedures; stresses that new tasks entrusted to these authorities must be swiftly accompanied by additional resources; emphasises, inter alia, that the new responsibilities planned for the European Securities and Markets Authority (ESMA) in the areas of short-selling and derivatives must be quickly reflected in the 2012 budget process when the legal bases are in place;

*Amendment*

3. Welcomes the **general trend of** budget increases proposed by the Commission for all three European Supervisory Authorities as logical and crucial steps in their build-up procedures; stresses that new tasks entrusted to these authorities must be swiftly accompanied by additional resources; **in this regard, ascertains that the proposed figures do not fully meet the needs for 2012**, emphasises, inter alia, that the new responsibilities planned for the European Securities and Markets Authority (ESMA) in the areas of short-selling and derivatives must be quickly reflected in the 2012 budget process when the legal bases are in place;

Or. en

**Amendment 6**  
**Rolandas Paksas**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 4**

*Draft opinion*

4. Calls on the Commission – **in order to strengthen the independence and integrity of the European Supervisory Authorities – to initiate a dialogue on changing their funding key so that the share covered by the EU budget is, as a first step, increased from 40 % to 60 %; underlines that** the option of partly financing **their activities** via fees paid by market actors **should be given more attention**; stresses that as soon as the authorities are running at full speed, the issue of whether they are sufficiently staffed to safeguard stability and ensure coherent implementation of regulatory reform should be thoroughly dealt with;

*Amendment*

4. Calls on the Commission **to give more attention to** the option of partly financing **the activities of the European Supervisory Authorities** via fees paid by market actors; stresses that as soon as the authorities are running at full speed, the issue of whether they are sufficiently staffed to safeguard stability and ensure coherent implementation of regulatory reform should be thoroughly dealt with;

**Amendment 7**  
**Carl Haglund**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 4**

*Draft opinion*

4. Calls on the Commission – in order to strengthen the independence and ***integrity*** of the European Supervisory Authorities – to initiate a dialogue on changing their funding key so that the share covered by the EU budget is, as a first step, increased from 40 % to 60 %; underlines that the option of partly financing their activities via fees paid by market actors should be given more attention; stresses that as soon as the authorities are running at full speed, the issue of whether they are sufficiently staffed to safeguard stability and ensure coherent implementation of regulatory reform should be thoroughly dealt with;

*Amendment*

4. Calls on the Commission – in order to strengthen the independence, ***integrity*** and ***effectiveness*** of the European Supervisory Authorities – to initiate a dialogue on changing their funding key so that the share covered by the EU budget is, as a first step, increased from 40 % to 60 %; underlines that the option of partly financing their activities via fees paid by market actors should be given more attention; stresses that as soon as the authorities are running at full speed, the issue of whether they are sufficiently staffed to safeguard stability and ensure coherent implementation of regulatory reform should be thoroughly dealt with;

Or. en

**Amendment 8**  
**Rolandas Paksas**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 5**

*Draft opinion*

5. Underlines ***that Eurostat might also need to be reinforced in order to be*** capable of managing new tasks in the updated economic governance framework; points out that the resources of Eurostat must continuously match the expanding workload and the enhanced quality demands in the key area of economic and

*Amendment*

5. Underlines ***the need to ensure that Eurostat is*** capable of managing new tasks in the updated economic governance framework; points out that the resources of Eurostat must continuously match the expanding workload and the enhanced quality demands in the key area of economic and financial statistics;

financial statistics; *is concerned about the reduction proposed by the Commission for the Union Statistical Programme and the very limited increase in staff expenditure in the ‘Statistics’ policy area;*

Or. It

**Amendment 9**  
**Liem Hoang Ngoc**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 5**

*Draft opinion*

5. Underlines that Eurostat might also need to be reinforced in order to be capable of managing new tasks in the updated economic governance framework; points out that the resources of Eurostat must continuously match the expanding workload and the enhanced quality demands in the key area of economic and financial statistics; is concerned about the reduction proposed by the Commission for the Union Statistical Programme and the *very limited increase in staff expenditure* in the ‘Statistics’ policy area;

*Amendment*

5. Underlines that Eurostat might also need to be reinforced in order to be capable of managing new tasks in the updated economic governance framework; points out that the resources of Eurostat must continuously match the expanding workload and the enhanced quality demands in the key area of economic and financial statistics; is concerned about the reduction proposed by the Commission for the Union Statistical Programme and the *cuts proposed by the Council in administrative expenditure* in the ‘Statistics’ policy area;

Or. fr

**Amendment 10**  
**Carl Haglund**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 5**

*Draft opinion*

5. Underlines that Eurostat *might also need* to be reinforced in order to be capable of managing new tasks in the updated economic governance framework; points

*Amendment*

5. Underlines that Eurostat *needs* to be reinforced in order to be capable of managing new tasks in the updated economic governance framework; points

out that the resources of Eurostat must continuously match the expanding workload and the enhanced quality demands in the key area of economic and financial statistics; is concerned about the reduction proposed by the Commission for the Union Statistical Programme and the very limited increase in staff expenditure in the ‘Statistics’ policy area;

out that the resources of Eurostat must continuously match the expanding workload and the enhanced quality demands in the key area of economic and financial statistics; is concerned about the reduction proposed by the Commission for the Union Statistical Programme and the very limited increase in staff expenditure in the ‘Statistics’ policy area;

Or. en

**Amendment 11**  
**Rolandas Paksas**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 6**

*Draft opinion*

6. Stresses the importance for the stability of the European economy of making certain that the economic governance reforms are implemented in an effective way; welcomes the reinforcement of DG ECFIN *made so far* in order to monitor national economies more closely, *but underlines that this DG might have to be further strengthened to enable it to cope with the expanded and new responsibilities included in the reform package*;

*Amendment*

6. Stresses the importance for the stability of the European economy of making certain that the economic governance reforms are implemented in an effective way; welcomes the reinforcement of DG ECFIN *that has already taken place* in order to monitor national economies more closely, *and envisages no further reinforcement during the current phase of economic uncertainty*;

Or. It

**Amendment 12**  
**Carl Haglund**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 7**

*Draft opinion*

7. Supports the proposal for a new pilot project to facilitate non-industry

*Amendment*

7. Supports the proposal for a new pilot project to facilitate non-industry

stakeholder involvement in EU policy-making in the area of financial services; ***underlines that if the project turns out to be successful, the expert centre to be set up should be made permanent***; stresses that additional resources should be allocated to the ‘Knowledge Partnerships’ pilot project in order to further tighten the connection between business education and real-world enterprises;

stakeholder involvement in EU policy-making in the area of financial services; stresses that additional resources should be allocated to the ‘Knowledge Partnerships’ pilot project in order to further tighten the connection between business education and real-world enterprises;

Or. en

**Amendment 13**  
**Liem Hoang Ngoc**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 7**

*Draft opinion*

7. Supports the proposal for a new pilot project to facilitate non-industry stakeholder involvement in EU policy-making in the area of financial services; underlines that if the project turns out to be successful, the expert centre to be set up should be made permanent; stresses that additional resources should be allocated to the ‘Knowledge Partnerships’ pilot project in order to ***further tighten the connection*** between business education and real-world enterprises.

*Amendment*

7. Supports the proposal for a new pilot project to facilitate non-industry stakeholder involvement in EU policy-making in the area of financial services; underlines that if the project turns out to be successful, the expert centre to be set up should be made permanent; stresses that additional resources should be allocated to the ‘Knowledge Partnerships’ pilot project in order to ***facilitate students’ transition*** between business education and real-world enterprises, ***while ensuring that the academic world is completely independent of businesses.***

Or. fr