



EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

2009 - 2014

Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs

2011/2020(BUD)

25.8.2011

AMENDMENTS

1 - 14

Draft opinion
Franziska Keller
(PE467.239v01-00)

on Parliament's position on the 2012 Draft Budget as modified by the Council -
Section III - Commission
(2011/2020(BUD))

AM\875602EN.doc

PE470.028v02-00

EN

United in diversity

EN

AM_Com_NonLegOpinion

Amendment 1
Alexander Alvaro

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Considers, however, that priority should be given to areas in which the European Union has a clear added value and stresses that new measures and programmes need a clear justification;

Amendment

2. Considers, however, that priority should be given to areas in which the European Union has a clear ***need for action as well as an*** added value and stresses that new measures and programmes need a clear justification;

Or. en

Amendment 2
Rolandas Paksas

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Considers, however, that priority should be given to areas in which the European Union has a clear added value and stresses that new measures and programmes need a clear justification;

Amendment

2. Considers, however, that priority should be given to areas in which the European Union has a clear added value and ***creates conditions to exploit the potential synergies that exist in the areas of law-enforcement cooperation, integrated border management and criminal justice systems***; stresses that new measures and programmes need a clear justification;

Or. en

Amendment 3
Rolandas Paksas

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Stresses that the agencies should have ***appropriate, reasonable and*** justifiable funding to fulfil the new tasks stemming from the Lisbon Treaty, the Stockholm Programme and their mandates;

Amendment

3. Stresses that ***at this time of economic hardship*** the agencies should have ***appropriately*** justifiable funding to ***ensure security of European society and*** fulfil the new tasks stemming from the Lisbon Treaty, the Stockholm Programme and their mandates;

Or. en

Amendment 4
Franziska Keller

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3 a. Deeply regrets that the evaluation of the funds in the area of migration and solidarity was not delivered to Parliament as announced in June 2011, so no conclusions can be drawn from the evaluation and be taken into account for the 2012 budget;

Or. en

Amendment 5
Franziska Keller

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3 b. Calls on the Commission to present all relevant evaluations of existing funds and programmes to Parliament in due time before the discussion on the new Multiannual Financial Framework starts;

Amendment 6
Simon Busuttil, Monika Hohlmeier

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3 c. Notes that enough financial resources must be made available for Frontex to perform its tasks under its new mandate and that the Frontex budget should be reviewed in the first part of 2012 when, following the entry into force of the new Regulation, the Agency would be able to establish the resources it needs to start carrying out its new tasks during 2012 and to possibly also set up Frontex Operational Offices;

Or. en

Amendment 7
Marian-Jean Marinescu

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 d (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3 d. Stresses the importance of restoring the Draft Budget for the European Agency for the Management of Operational Cooperation at the External Borders (FRONTEX); reiterates that this appropriation is intended to cover the Agency's administrative and operational expenditure relating to the work programme, including those stemming from the revision of the Agency's mandate, notably the establishment of the European Border Guard Teams guards;

Amendment 8
Simon Busuttil, Monika Hohlmeier

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 e (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3 e. Calls for sufficient resources to be made available to the European Asylum Support Office in order to enable the Agency to continue setting itself up and become fully operational as soon as possible;

Or. en

Amendment 9
Monika Hohlmeier

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

Amendment

4. Considers it necessary to put into reserve ***a) substantial parts of SIS II and b) a part of the staff related budget until the legal proposal for the legal and technical framework for the extraction of financial transaction data on EU territory has been presented and all elements of the TFTP Agreement have been implemented according to its provisions;***

4. Considers it necessary to put into reserve substantial parts of SIS II, ***in order to ensure the monitoring of the developments of SIS II and the adequate information of the Budgetary Authority; points out that the establishment and later release of a reserve in 2011, has already led to an improved information flow;***

Or. en

Amendment 10
Alexander Alvaro

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4 a. Considers that a significant share of the available funds in the appropriate budget lines should be allocated to answer the EU's growing cybersecurity needs, making full use of possible synergies of existing programmes;

Or. en

Amendment 11
Marian-Jean Marinescu

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4 a. Considers it important to maintain at least the budget of last year for the External Border Fund, as it is a tool that ensures the efficient organisation of control covering both checks and surveillance tasks relating to external borders, and contributes to safeguarding fundamental rights and deterring irregular migration, trafficking in human beings, organized crime and labour exploitation;

Or. en

Amendment 12
Marian-Jean Marinescu

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4 b. Considers that the Draft Budget for the European Return Fund should be restored, as it ensures the efficient management of migration flows, which will contribute to enhancing voluntary return and reintegration, cooperation with other Member States and third countries in the field of return, in the context of promoting the respect of fundamental rights and consolidating the establishment of an Area of Freedom and Justice;

Or. en

Amendment 13
Marian-Jean Marinescu

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4 c. Stresses the need to reinstate the level of appropriations of 2011 for the funding of the "Prevention, preparedness and consequence management of terrorism" programme. Moreover, underlines that guaranteeing citizens' security and safeguarding liberties should be a high EU priority;

Or. en

Amendment 14
Franziska Keller

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Insists on the need for the European Parliament to be informed, in a timely and precise manner, on the execution of the budget.

Amendment

5. Insists on the need for the European Parliament to be informed, in a timely and precise manner, on the execution of the budget, ***namely what the money is spent on.***

Or. en