



EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

2009 - 2014

Committee on Foreign Affairs

2011/2177(INI)

24.10.2011

AMENDMENTS

1 - 214

Draft report
Krzysztof Lisek
(PE472.225v01-00)

on the impact of the financial crisis on the defence sector in the EU Member States
(2011/2177(INI))

AM\879881EN.doc

PE473.871v01-00

EN

United in diversity

EN

AM_Com_NonLegReport

Amendment 1
Maria Eleni Koppa

Motion for a resolution
Citation 1

Motion for a resolution

– having regard to Title V of the Treaty on European Union, **and** in particular to Articles 42, 45 and 46, and to **Protocol** No 10,

Amendment

– having regard to Title V of the Treaty on European Union, in particular to Articles **21**, 42, 45 and 46, **as well as to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union** and to **the Protocols thereto, in particular** No 10 **and 11**,

Or. en

Amendment 2
Maria Eleni Koppa

Motion for a resolution
Citation 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

- having regard to the European Security Strategy (ESS) entitled "A secure Europe in a better world", adopted by the European Council on 12 December 2003, as well as to the report on its implementation entitled "Providing security in a changing world", drafted under the responsibilities of the EU High Representative and endorsed by the European Council on 11-12 December 2008,

Or. en

Amendment 3
Maria Eleni Koppa

Motion for a resolution
Citation 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- having regard to its resolutions of 11 May 2011 on the development of the common security and defence policy following the entry into force of the Lisbon Treaty and of 10 March 2010 on the implementation of the European Security Strategy and the Common Security and Defence Policy, as well as to the previous resolutions on the same subject,

Or. en

Amendment 4
Maria Eleni Koppa

Motion for a resolution
Citation 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- having regard to the HR/VP Report on CSDP, presented during the Foreign Affairs Council of 18 July 2011,

Or. en

Amendment 5
Alexander Graf Lambsdorff

Motion for a resolution
Citation 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- having regard to the European Commission's DG Internal Market and Services Guidance Note on Offsets in relation to Directive 2009/81/EC on the

award of contracts in the fields of defence and security,

Or. en

Amendment 6

Norica Nicolai, Johannes Cornelis van Baalen, Graham Watson

Motion for a resolution

Recital A (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A. whereas a majority of the citizens of EU Member States are in favour of maintaining the current levels of defence spending;

Or. en

Amendment 7

Maria Eleni Koppa

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1. Notes with **grave** concern the unprecedented cuts in the defence budgets of the majority of EU Member States in the wake of the financial crisis and the potential negative impact of these measures on their military capabilities; underlines that defence constitutes a public good that affects the security of all European citizens and that all Member States need to contribute in a spirit of burden-sharing;

1. Notes with concern the unprecedented cuts in the defence budgets of the majority of EU Member States in the wake of the financial, **economic and debt** crisis and the potential negative impact of these measures on their military capabilities **and, therefore, on the ability of the EU to effectively take over its responsibilities in peace-keeping, conflict prevention and the strengthening of international security, in accordance with the principles of the United Nations Charter**; underlines, **in this regard**, that defence constitutes a public good that affects the security of all European citizens and that all Member States need to contribute in a spirit of

burden-sharing;

Or. en

Amendment 8

Norica Nicolai, Johannes Cornelis van Baalen, Graham Watson

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Notes **with grave concern** the **unprecedented** cuts in the defence budgets of the majority of EU Member States in the wake of the financial crisis and the potential negative impact of these measures on their military capabilities; underlines that defence constitutes a public good that affects the security of all European citizens and that all Member States **need to contribute in a spirit of burden-sharing**;

Amendment

1. Notes the **culmination of a trend in recent years of** cuts in the defence budgets of the majority of EU Member States in the wake of the financial crisis and the potential negative impact of these measures on their military capabilities **in the absence of a coordinated effort at EU level to address the impact of the crisis on the EU defence sector**; underlines that defence constitutes a public good that affects the security of all European citizens and that **it benefits** all Member States to **turn from the old style of individual defence decisions, including cuts, and work to integrate their defence policies**;

Or. en

Amendment 9

Reinhard Bütikofer

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Notes **with grave concern** the unprecedented cuts in the defence budgets of the majority of EU Member States in the wake of the financial crisis and the potential negative impact of these measures

Amendment

1. Notes the unprecedented cuts in the defence budgets of the majority of EU Member States in the wake of the financial crisis and the potential negative impact of these measures on their military

on their military capabilities; underlines that defence constitutes a public good that affects the security of all European citizens and that all Member States need to contribute in a spirit of burden-sharing;

capabilities, *should the Member States fail to make up for these losses through increased European cooperation and coordination*; underlines that defence constitutes a public good that affects the security of all European citizens and that all Member States need to contribute in a spirit of burden-sharing *and cost-effectiveness*;

Or. en

Amendment 10
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Notes with grave concern the unprecedented cuts in the defence budgets of the majority of EU Member States in the wake of the financial crisis and the potential negative impact of these measures on their military capabilities; underlines that defence constitutes a public good that affects the security of all European citizens and that all Member States need to contribute in a spirit of burden-sharing;

Amendment

1. Notes with grave concern the unprecedented cuts in the defence budgets of the majority of EU Member States in the wake of the financial crisis and the potential negative impact of these measures on their military capabilities *in view of their ability to meet their national, European and international obligations*; underlines that defence constitutes a public good that affects the security of all European citizens and that all Member States need to contribute in a spirit of *cooperation and of* burden-sharing;

Or. en

Amendment 11
Rolandas Paksas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Notes with grave concern the unprecedented cuts in the defence budgets of the majority of EU Member States in the wake of the financial crisis and the potential negative impact of these measures on their military capabilities; underlines that defence constitutes a public good that affects the security of all European citizens and that all Member States need to contribute in a spirit of burden-sharing;

Amendment

1. Notes with grave concern the unprecedented cuts in the defence budgets of the majority of EU Member States in the wake of the financial crisis and the potential negative impact of these measures on their military capabilities; underlines that defence constitutes a public good that affects the security of all European citizens and that all Member States need to contribute in a spirit of burden-sharing; ***notes that lack of investment in the defence sector could, in the future, reduce the EU's influence in world;***

Or. It

Amendment 12
Kyriakos Mavronikolas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Notes with grave concern the unprecedented cuts in the defence budgets of the majority of EU Member States in the wake of the financial crisis and the potential negative impact of these measures on their military capabilities; underlines that defence constitutes a public good that affects the security of all European citizens and that all Member States need to contribute in a spirit of burden-sharing;

Amendment

1. Notes with grave concern the unprecedented cuts in the defence budgets of the majority of EU Member States in the wake of the financial ***and economic*** crisis and the potential negative impact of these measures on their military capabilities; underlines that defence constitutes a public good that affects the security of all European citizens and that all Member States need to contribute in a spirit of burden-sharing;

Or. en

Amendment 13
Tunne Kelam

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Notes with grave concern the unprecedented cuts in the defence budgets of the majority of EU Member States in the wake of the financial crisis and the potential negative impact of these measures on their military capabilities; underlines that defence constitutes a public good that affects the security of all European citizens and that all Member States need to contribute in a spirit of burden-sharing;

Amendment

1. Notes with grave concern the unprecedented cuts in the defence budgets of the majority of EU Member States in the wake of the financial crisis and the potential negative impact of these measures on their military capabilities; underlines that defence constitutes a public good that affects the security of all European citizens and that all Member States need to contribute in a spirit of *pooling and* burden-sharing;

Or. en

Amendment 14
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Emphasises that the EU should not create structures or processes which duplicate similar activity already well-established in NATO;

Or. en

Amendment 15
Norica Nicolai, Johannes Cornelis van Baalen, Graham Watson

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1a. Considers that the economic and financial crisis can be used as an

opportunity for the integration of EU defence policies, as it can provide the impetus for finally creating and implementing ambitious reforms long in the making;

Or. en

Amendment 16
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2. Deplores the fact that these cuts are too often being implemented in a piecemeal fashion, with even recent national defence reviews becoming obsolete in a matter of months, and with little or no coordination with partners in the EU or NATO;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 17
Maria Eleni Koppa

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2. Deplores the fact that these cuts are too often being implemented in a piecemeal fashion, with even recent national defence reviews becoming obsolete in a matter of months, and with little or no coordination with partners in the EU or NATO;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 18
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Deplores the fact that these cuts are ***too often*** being implemented in a piecemeal fashion, with even recent national defence reviews becoming obsolete in a matter of months, and with little or no coordination with partners in the EU or NATO;

Amendment

2. Deplores the fact that these cuts are being implemented in a piecemeal fashion, with even recent national defence reviews becoming obsolete in a matter of months, and with little or no coordination with partners in the EU or NATO; ***notes in this respect that, for as long as security and defence matters remain a strictly national matter, no progress will be delivered on a capable and successful CSDP;***

Or. en

Amendment 19
Maria Eleni Koppa

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. ***Warns*** that uncoordinated budget cuts ***threaten to*** result in ***the complete*** loss of certain military capabilities in Europe, ***at a time when*** the intervention in Libya clearly demonstrated that European countries are ***already lacking a number of capabilities vital to mounting*** an operation of that kind ***and could hardly do so*** without US support;

Amendment

3. ***Expresses its concern*** that uncoordinated budget cuts ***could*** result in ***significant*** loss of certain military capabilities in Europe; ***welcomes and supports, therefore, the Council's encouragement to the Member States to exchange information, as appropriate, and enhance transparency on current and forthcoming defence budget cuts, and calls for an impact assessment of these budget cuts for the development of capabilities in support of CSDP; reminds that the*** intervention in Libya clearly demonstrated that ***even a coalition of*** European countries are ***unable to carry out*** an operation of that kind without US support;

Amendment 20

Norica Nicolai, Johannes Cornelis van Baalen, Graham Watson

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. **Warns** that uncoordinated budget cuts threaten to result in the complete loss of certain military capabilities in Europe, at a time when the intervention in Libya clearly demonstrated that European countries are already lacking a number of capabilities vital to mounting an operation of that kind and could hardly do so without US support;

Amendment

3. **Notes** that uncoordinated budget cuts threaten to result in the complete loss of certain military capabilities in Europe, at a time when the intervention in Libya clearly demonstrated that European countries are already lacking a number of capabilities vital to mounting an operation of that kind and could hardly do so without US support; **considers that the push for a re-orientation of European strategic capabilities should be renewed, in order to safeguard and improve those sectors which are most important, while realistically acknowledging that not all capabilities could or should be targets for joint EU defence;**

Amendment 21

Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Warns that **uncoordinated** budget cuts threaten to result in the complete loss of certain military capabilities in Europe, at a time when the intervention in Libya clearly demonstrated that European countries are already lacking a number of capabilities vital to mounting an operation of that kind

Amendment

3. Warns that budget cuts threaten to result in the complete loss of certain military capabilities in Europe, at a time when the intervention in Libya clearly demonstrated that European countries are already lacking a number of capabilities vital to mounting an operation of that kind and could hardly

and could hardly do so without US support;

do so without US support, *which emphasises the continuing importance of NATO*;

Or. en

Amendment 22
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Warns that uncoordinated budget cuts threaten to result in the complete loss of certain military capabilities in Europe, at a time when the intervention in Libya *clearly* demonstrated that European countries are already lacking a number of capabilities vital to mounting an operation of that kind and could hardly do so without US support;

Amendment

3. Warns that uncoordinated *defence* budget cuts threaten to result in the complete loss of certain military capabilities in Europe, at a time when the intervention in Libya *again* demonstrated that European countries are already lacking a number of capabilities vital to mounting an operation of that kind and could hardly do so without US support;

Or. en

Amendment 23
Graham Watson, Kristiina Ojuland, Norica Nicolai

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3a. Notes with concern that recent budget cuts are in addition to a pattern of Member States under-investing and under-spending in the fields of security and defence for over a decade;

Or. en

Amendment 24
Maria Eleni Koppa

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4. Notes that the existing disproportionate reliance on the United States in defence matters, as symbolised by the fact that the US share of all defence spending in the North Atlantic Alliance has risen to 75 %, can no longer be acceptable either for Europe or for the US; *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 25
Reinhard Bütikofer
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4. Notes that the existing disproportionate reliance on the United States in defence matters, as symbolised by the fact that the US share of all defence spending in the North Atlantic Alliance has risen to 75 %, can no longer be acceptable either for Europe or for the US; *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 26
Norica Nicolai, Johannes Cornelis van Baalen, Graham Watson

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Notes that the existing disproportionate reliance on the United States in defence matters, as symbolised by the fact that the US share of all defence spending in the North Atlantic Alliance has risen to 75 %, can no longer be acceptable either for Europe or for the US;

Amendment

4. Notes that the existing disproportionate reliance on the United States in defence matters, as symbolised by the fact that the US share of all defence spending in the North Atlantic Alliance has risen to 75 %, can no longer be acceptable either for Europe or for the US; ***considers, however, that as the financial crisis makes increased defence spending unlikely, a new approach on financial responsibility within NATO should be considered, and greater focus should be given to those areas where European contributions can be significant;***

Or. en

Amendment 27
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Notes ***that*** the ***existing*** disproportionate reliance on the United States in defence matters, ***as symbolised by the fact*** that the US share of all defence spending in the North Atlantic Alliance has risen to 75 %, ***can no longer be acceptable either for Europe or for the US;***

Amendment

4. Notes the ***continuing*** disproportionate reliance on the United States in defence matters, ***given*** that the US share of all defence spending in the North Atlantic Alliance has risen to 75 %, ***and the need therefore for European allies to increase their share of the defence burden;***

Or. en

Amendment 28
Vytautas Landsbergis

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Notes that the existing disproportionate reliance on the United States in defence matters, as symbolised by the fact that the US share of all defence spending in the North Atlantic Alliance has risen to 75 %, can no longer be acceptable *either* for Europe *or for the US*;

Amendment

4. Notes that the existing disproportionate reliance on the United States in defence matters, as symbolised by the fact that the US share of all defence spending in the North Atlantic Alliance has risen to 75 %, can no longer be acceptable for Europe *as well*;

Or. en

Amendment 29

Graham Watson, Kristiina Ojuland, Norica Nicolai

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4a. Urges all Member States to meet their agreed obligations as members of the NATO Alliance to spend 2% of their GDP on military capabilities (a target currently only met by France and the UK);

Or. en

Amendment 30

Maria Eleni Koppa

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5. **Urges** all EU Member States to **assume** fully their part of **the** responsibility for peace and security in Europe, its neighbourhood and the wider world; **reminds them of their repeated commitments, including** in the **Treaty** and **European Council conclusions**, to

5. In an increasingly complex and unpredictable security environment, urges all EU Member States to **cooperate more closely and coordinate actions against the common threats identified in the European Security Strategy (ESS), assuming** fully their part of responsibility

improve *their military* capabilities;

for peace and security in Europe, its neighbourhood and the wider world; *in this context, while reminding that not all threats are of military nature and that the EU has a variety of instruments at its disposal for crisis prevention and management, calls on the Council and the Member States to implement fully the provisions in the EU Treaties concerning the development of CSDP and to improve common defence capabilities; in particular, calls for conditions to be decided for the application of the solidarity (art. 222 TFEU) and mutual assistance clauses (art. 42.7 TEU), as well as to activate the important Permanent Structured Cooperation mechanism (art. 42.6 and 46 TEU);*

Or. en

Amendment 31

Norica Nicolai, Johannes Cornelis van Baalen, Graham Watson

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Urges all EU Member States to assume fully their part of the responsibility for peace and security in Europe, its neighbourhood and the wider world; reminds them of their repeated commitments, including in the Treaty and European Council conclusions, to improve their military capabilities;

Amendment

5. Urges all EU Member States to assume fully their part of the responsibility for peace and security in Europe, its neighbourhood and the wider world; reminds them of their repeated commitments, including in the Treaty and European Council conclusions, to improve their military capabilities, *as well as their soft-power assets, such as civilian capabilities and technical assistance instruments which can sometimes have a greater effect and be more cost effective;*

Or. en

Amendment 32
Reinhard Bütikofer
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Urges all EU Member States to assume fully their part of the responsibility for peace and security in Europe, its neighbourhood and the wider world; reminds them of their repeated commitments, including in the Treaty and European Council conclusions, to improve their military capabilities;

Amendment

5. Urges all EU Member States to assume fully their part of the responsibility for peace and security in Europe *with particular attention to non-traditional security issues and with regard to* its neighbourhood and the wider world; reminds them of their repeated commitments, including in the Treaty and European Council conclusions, to improve their military capabilities *by increasing cooperation and coordination*;

Or. en

Amendment 33
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Urges all EU Member States to assume fully their part of the responsibility for peace and security in Europe, its neighbourhood and the wider world; reminds them of their repeated commitments, including in the Treaty and European Council conclusions, to improve their military capabilities;

Amendment

5. Urges all EU Member States to assume fully their part of the responsibility for peace and security in Europe, its neighbourhood and the wider world; reminds them of their repeated commitments, including in the Treaty and European Council conclusions, to improve their military capabilities, *namely the Military Headline Goal, in light of the enormous pressure put on Member States' budgets by the crisis, calls on EU Member States to prioritise achieving a strategic level of EU autonomy in the field of capabilities and ensure the meeting of CSDP and NATO commitments*;

Amendment 34
Ágnes Hankiss

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Urges all EU Member States to assume fully their part of *the* responsibility for peace and security in Europe, its neighbourhood and the wider world; reminds them of their repeated commitments, including in the Treaty and European Council conclusions, to improve their military capabilities;

Amendment

5. Urges all EU Member States to assume fully their part of *proportional* responsibility for peace and security in Europe, its neighbourhood and the wider world; reminds them of their repeated commitments, including in the Treaty and European Council conclusions, to improve their military capabilities;

Amendment 35
Vytautas Landsbergis

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Urges all EU Member States to assume fully their part of the responsibility for peace and security in Europe, its neighbourhood and the wider world; reminds them of their repeated commitments, including in the Treaty and European Council conclusions, to improve their *military* capabilities;

Amendment

5. Urges all EU Member States to assume fully their part of the responsibility for peace and security in Europe, its neighbourhood and the wider world; reminds them of their repeated commitments, including in the Treaty and European Council conclusions, to improve their *defence* capabilities;

Amendment 36
Kyriakos Mavronikolas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5a. Calls on the Commission, which perceives itself as the guardian of principles like the single market, fair competition or free trade to increase its future role in the implementation of the defence package;

Or. en

Amendment 37
Maria Eleni Koppa

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5a. Reiterates its view that a reinforced European defence will enhance the strategic autonomy of the EU and provide an important contribution to collective security in the context of NATO and other partnerships;

Or. en

Amendment 38
Justas Vincas Paleckis

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5a. Emphasises that the provisions of Lisbon Treaty provide a great potential for EU to introduce a clear and legal framework for EU's defence policy to

pursue its foreign and security policy in preventing and managing crises and conflicts;

Or. en

Amendment 39
Petru Constantin Luhan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5a. Stresses the need to assess other methods of resolving conflicts, such as support for education and job creation; considers that investing in these areas would be of benefit and help reduce defence expenditure;

Or. ro

Amendment 40
Norica Nicolai, Johannes Cornelis van Baalen, Graham Watson

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6. Points out that the Member States collectively spend about EUR 200 billion a year on defence, which is only about a third of the US defence budget alone;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 41
Reinhard Bütikofer
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6. Points out that the Member States collectively spend about EUR 200 billion a year on defence, which is only about a third of the US defence budget alone;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 42
Maria Eleni Koppa

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6. Points out that the Member States collectively spend about EUR 200 billion a year on defence, which is only about a third of the US defence budget *alone*;

6. *Without disregarding different level of ambition, points out that the Member States collectively spend about EUR 200 billion a year on defence, which is only about a third of the US defence budget *but still a considerable amount demonstrating the costs of non-Europe in the defence area*;*

Or. en

Amendment 43
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6. Points out that the Member States collectively spend about EUR 200 billion a year on defence, *which is only about a third* of the *US defence budget alone*;

6. Points out that the Member States collectively spend about EUR 200 billion a year on defence, *and that the international financial crisis calls for better and more rational spending of this amount by the*

Member States, in a European, coordinated fashion; urges therefore EU Member States to take this opportunity by making the most out of the innovations brought about by the Lisbon Treaty, namely by making full use of the European Defence Agency in the definition of capability needs and a European defence market, by affording the EU Commission its legitimate role in the field of procurement rules, technological development and research;

Or. en

Amendment 44
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6a. Considers that, in the current budgetary context, the principle of European preference in the procurement of defence equipment can be seen as a form of European solidarity, particularly with regard to certain types of defence equipment, in respect of which it is important to retain strategic independence and operational sovereignty, and in cases where there is no established or proven reciprocity of access to the markets of third countries;

Or. fr

Amendment 45
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7. Deplores the way in which most of these funds are spent, based on national defence planning decisions taken in almost total isolation, resulting not only in persistent capability gaps, but also in wasteful overcapacities and duplications, as well as fragmented industry and markets;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 46
Maria Eleni Koppa

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7. Deplores the way in which most of these funds are spent, based on national defence planning decisions ***taken in almost total isolation***, resulting ***not only in persistent capability gaps, but also*** in wasteful overcapacities and duplications, as well as fragmented industry and markets;

7. Notes with concern the way in which most of these funds are spent, based on ***uncoordinated*** national defence planning decisions resulting, ***often*** in wasteful overcapacities and duplications, as well as fragmented industry and markets;

Or. en

Amendment 47
Norica Nicolai, Johannes Cornelis van Baalen, Graham Watson

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7. Deplores the way in which most of these funds are spent, based on national defence planning decisions taken in almost total isolation, resulting not only in persistent

7. Deplores the way in which most of these funds are spent, based on national defence planning decisions taken in almost total isolation, ***often using outdated models***

capability gaps, but also in wasteful overcapacities and duplications, as well as fragmented industry and markets;

based on force creation rather than capacity building, resulting not only in persistent capability gaps, but also in wasteful overcapacities and duplications, as well as fragmented industry and markets;

Or. en

Amendment 48

Reinhard Bütikofer

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Deplores the way in which most of these funds are spent, based on national defence planning decisions taken in almost total isolation, resulting not only in persistent capability gaps, but also in wasteful overcapacities and duplications, as well as fragmented industry and markets;

Amendment

7. Deplores the way in which most of these funds are spent, based on national defence planning decisions taken in almost total isolation, resulting not only in persistent capability gaps, but also in wasteful overcapacities and duplications, as well as fragmented industry and markets; *also reminds that the common practices of 'juste retour' and 'offsets' are major sources of ineffective and inefficient defence planning and procurement;*

Or. en

Amendment 49

Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Deplores the way in which most of *these funds* are *spent*, based on national defence planning decisions taken in almost total isolation, resulting not only in persistent

Amendment

7. Deplores the way in which most of *the defence budgets* are *currently* based on national defence planning decisions taken in almost total isolation, resulting not only

capability gaps, but also in wasteful overcapacities and duplications, as well as fragmented industry and markets;

in persistent capability gaps, but also in wasteful overcapacities and duplications, as well as fragmented industry and markets; ***recalls that the overall amount spent by EU Member States falls short of equipping the Union with all that it needs to meet its security and defence objectives, mostly because there is a blatant lack of coordination among Member States in the definition of those needs to the expense of a valuable European defence sector, able and prepared to meet commitments, both at the European and at the international level;***

Or. en

Amendment 50

Graham Watson, Kristiina Ojuland, Norica Nicolai

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Deplores the way in which most of these funds are spent, based on national defence planning decisions taken in almost total isolation, resulting not only in persistent capability gaps, but also in wasteful overcapacities and duplications, as well as fragmented industry and markets;

Amendment

7. Deplores the way in which most of these funds are spent, based on national defence planning decisions taken in almost total isolation, resulting not only in persistent capability gaps, but also in wasteful overcapacities and duplications, as well as fragmented industry and markets ***which results in the EU not having either the visibility, resources or reach of 200 billion Euros worth of spending;***

Or. en

Amendment 51

Maria Eleni Koppa

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7a. Reiterates its strong belief that the EU and its Member States should devote more resources to non-proliferation and disarmament actions, as well as increase efforts for confidence-building and peaceful settlement of disputes in the neighbourhood and worldwide; takes the view that such a policy will alleviate the need for additional defence and avoid costly and dangerous arms races;

Or. en

Amendment 52

Luis Yáñez-Barnuevo García, Teresa Riera Madurell

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7a. Recognises that, regardless of the above, maintaining an adequate manufacturing and technological base and ensuring security of supply are fundamental national defence matters which should not be governed solely by financial objectives;

Or. es

Amendment 53

Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8. Calls on the Member States to ***accept that*** increased cooperation ***is the only way***

8. Calls on the Member States to ***recognise the importance of*** increased cooperation

forward and that, in particular through (A) better coordination of defence planning, *which includes harmonisation of military requirements*, (B) *pooling and sharing of certain functions and assets*, (C) enhanced cooperation in research and technological development, (D) facilitating industrial collaboration and *consolidation*, and (E) optimisation of procurement and removing market barriers, *the Member States can develop capabilities in a more cost-efficient way, and this without adverse effects for their sovereignty*;

among allies, in particular through (A) better coordination of defence planning *through NATO*, (B) enhanced cooperation in research and technological development, (C) facilitating industrial collaboration *where this can be cost-effective*; and (C) optimisation of procurement and removing market barriers;

Or. en

Amendment 54
Ioan Mircea Paşcu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Calls on the Member States to accept that increased cooperation is the *only* way forward and that, in particular through (A) better coordination of defence planning, which includes harmonisation of military requirements, (B) pooling and sharing of certain functions and assets, (C) enhanced cooperation in research and technological development, (D) facilitating industrial collaboration and consolidation, and (E) optimisation of procurement and removing market barriers, the Member States can develop capabilities in a more cost-efficient way, and this without adverse effects for their sovereignty;

Amendment

8. Calls on the Member States to accept that increased cooperation is the *most important* way forward and that, in particular through (A) better coordination of defence planning, which includes harmonisation of military requirements, (B) pooling and sharing of certain functions and assets, (C) enhanced cooperation in research and technological development, (D) facilitating industrial collaboration and consolidation, and (E) optimisation of procurement and removing market barriers, the Member States can develop capabilities in a more cost-efficient way, and this without adverse effects for their sovereignty; *considers that these initiatives should contribute to preventing the renationalisation of defence policies, as a result of the financial crisis*;

Or. en

Amendment 55
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. ***Calls on the*** Member States to accept that increased cooperation is the only way forward and that, in particular through (A) better coordination of defence planning, which includes harmonisation of military requirements, (B) pooling and sharing of certain ***functions*** and assets, (C) enhanced cooperation in research and technological development, (D) facilitating industrial collaboration and consolidation, and (E) optimisation of procurement and removing market barriers, the Member States can develop capabilities in a more cost-efficient way, and this without adverse effects for their sovereignty;

Amendment

8. ***Urges*** Member States to accept that increased cooperation is the only way forward and that, in particular through (A) better coordination of defence planning, which includes harmonisation of military requirements, (B) pooling and sharing of certain ***capabilities*** and assets, (C) enhanced cooperation in research and technological development, (D) facilitating industrial collaboration and consolidation, and (E) optimisation of procurement and removing market barriers, the Member States can develop capabilities in a more cost-efficient way, and this without adverse effects for their sovereignty; ***and that only in this way will they be investing in the creation of sustainable jobs in EU defence industries;***

Or. en

Amendment 56
Maria Eleni Koppa

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Calls on the Member States to accept that increased cooperation is the only way forward and that, in particular through (A) better coordination of defence planning, which includes harmonisation of military requirements, (B) pooling and sharing of certain functions and assets, (C) enhanced

Amendment

8. Calls on the Member States to accept that increased cooperation is the only way forward and that, in particular through (A) better coordination of defence planning, which includes harmonisation of military requirements ***and measures to increase interoperability***, (B) pooling and sharing of

cooperation in research and technological development, (D) facilitating industrial collaboration and consolidation, and (E) optimisation of procurement and removing market barriers, the Member States can develop capabilities in a more cost-efficient way, and this without adverse effects for their sovereignty;

certain functions and assets, (C) enhanced cooperation in research and technological development, (D) facilitating industrial collaboration and consolidation, and (E) optimisation of procurement and removing market barriers, the Member States can develop capabilities in a more cost-efficient way, and this without adverse effects for their sovereignty;

Or. en

Amendment 57

Reinhard Bütikofer

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Calls on the Member States to accept that increased cooperation is the only way forward and that, in particular through (A) better coordination of defence planning, which includes harmonisation of military requirements, (B) pooling and sharing of certain functions and assets, (C) enhanced cooperation in research and technological development, (D) facilitating industrial collaboration and consolidation, and (E) optimisation of procurement and removing market barriers, the Member States can develop capabilities in a more cost-efficient way, and this without adverse effects for their sovereignty;

Amendment

8. Calls on the Member States to accept that increased cooperation is the only way forward and that, in particular through (A) better coordination of defence planning, which includes harmonisation of military requirements, (B) pooling and sharing of certain functions and assets, (C) enhanced ***intergovernmental*** cooperation in research and technological development, (D) facilitating industrial collaboration and consolidation, and (E) optimisation of procurement and removing market barriers, the Member States can develop capabilities in a more cost-efficient way, and this without adverse effects for their sovereignty;

Or. en

Amendment 58

Ágnes Hankiss

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Calls on the Member States to accept that increased cooperation is the only way forward and that, in particular through (A) better coordination of defence planning, which includes harmonisation of military requirements, (B) pooling and sharing of certain functions and assets, (C) enhanced cooperation in research and technological development, (D) facilitating industrial collaboration and consolidation, and (E) optimisation of procurement and removing market barriers, the Member States can develop capabilities in a more cost-efficient way, and this without adverse effects for their sovereignty;

Amendment

8. Calls on the Member States to accept that increased cooperation is the only way forward and that, in particular through (A) better coordination of defence planning, which includes harmonisation of military requirements, (B) pooling and sharing of certain functions and assets, (C) enhanced cooperation in research and technological development, (D) facilitating industrial collaboration and consolidation, and (E) optimisation of procurement and removing market barriers, the Member States can develop capabilities in a more cost-efficient way, and this without **more than reasonable** adverse effects for their sovereignty;

Or. en

Amendment 59
Nikolaos Salavrakos

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8a. Calls on the EU and Member States to explore the potential of defence agreements with other external partners;

Or. en

Amendment 60
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8a. Takes the view that the points A-E stated in paragraph 8. justify the effective creation of a European Military Operational Headquarters;

Or. en

Amendment 61
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9. Stresses that the EU has at its disposal tools and mechanisms that can assist the Member States in achieving this, as set out below, including through the identification of areas where more funding could be provided at European level (F);

9. Stresses that the EU has at its disposal tools and mechanisms that can assist the Member States in achieving this, as set out below, including through the identification of areas where more funding could be provided at European level (F), ***provided this is found from savings elsewhere in the EU budget;***

Or. en

Amendment 62
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9. Stresses that the EU has at its disposal tools and mechanisms that can assist the Member States in achieving this, as set out below, including through the identification of areas where more funding could be provided at European level (F);

9. Stresses that the EU has at its disposal tools and mechanisms that can assist the Member States in achieving this, as set out below, including through the identification of areas where more funding could be provided at European level (F); ***notes that these tools and mechanisms are currently***

not being used to their full extent, which hinders the prospects of a capable EU defence sector ;

Or. en

Amendment 63

Reinhard Bütikofer

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Stresses that the EU has at its disposal tools and mechanisms that can assist the Member States in achieving this, as set out below, including through the identification of areas where more funding could be provided at European level (F);

Amendment

9. Stresses that the EU has at its disposal ***institutional*** tools and mechanisms that can assist the Member States in achieving this, as set out below, including through the identification of areas where more funding could be provided at European level (F);

Or. en

Amendment 64

Norica Nicolai, Johannes Cornelis van Baalen, Graham Watson

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9a. Considers that a major focus of all EU efforts on defence in reaction to the financial crisis should be the European Defence Agency (EDA), which has the potential to cover a wide area of policy overhauls and planning, yet is unable to do so in its current format; calls for an upgrade on the format of the EDA, considering that an increase in its budget, personnel, areas of responsibility and overall powers would be cost-effective in the long run, enabling it to work better on

*the optimisation of the EU defence sector,
with a dedicated task to avoid costly
duplications and financially
unsustainable defence policies;*

Or. en

Amendment 65
Nikolaos Salavrakos

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

***9a. Calls on the EU to consider the
creation of an EU macro-regional
strategy based on an area including
territory from a number of different
countries or regions associated with one
or more common features or challenges
in the defence and security sector, such as
challenges in maritime security or other
domains;***

Or. en

Amendment 66
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

***9a. Requires strong political leadership,
namely by the HR/VP Catherine Ashton,
in CSDP, with obvious implications in the
wider CFSP;***

Or. en

Amendment 67
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Reiterates its call on *the Member States* to conduct systematic security and defence reviews according to common criteria and a common timetable; *suggests that this could be developed into a regular exercise which is linked to budgetary procedures, a sort of ‘European semester’ of security and defence reviews;*

Amendment

10. Reiterates its call on *European allies* to conduct *more* systematic security and defence reviews according to common criteria and a common timetable *through NATO;*

Or. en

Amendment 68
Reinhard Bütikofer
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Reiterates its call on the Member States to conduct systematic security and defence reviews according to common criteria and a common timetable; suggests that this could be developed into a regular exercise which is linked to budgetary procedures, a sort of ‘European semester’ of security and defence reviews;

Amendment

10. Reiterates its call on the Member States to conduct systematic security and defence reviews according to common criteria and a common timetable; suggests that this could be developed into a regular exercise which is linked to *national* budgetary procedures, a sort of ‘European semester’ of security and defence reviews;

Or. en

Amendment 69
Ágnes Hankiss

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Reiterates its call on the Member States to conduct systematic security and defence reviews according to common criteria and a common timetable; suggests that this could be developed into a regular exercise which is linked to budgetary procedures, a sort of ‘European semester’ of security and defence reviews;

Amendment

10. Reiterates its call on the Member States to ***establish a common set of criteria and adopt a common roadmap enabling them*** to conduct systematic security and defence reviews according to common criteria and a common timetable; suggests that this could be developed into a regular exercise which is linked to budgetary procedures, a sort of ‘European semester’ of security and defence reviews;

Or. en

Amendment 70
Tunne Kelam

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Reiterates its call on the Member States to conduct systematic security and defence reviews according to common criteria and a common timetable; suggests that this could be developed into a regular exercise which is linked to budgetary procedures, a sort of ‘European semester’ of security and defence reviews;

Amendment

10. Reiterates its call on the Member States to conduct systematic security and defence reviews according to common criteria and a common timetable ***building on principles of the Open Method of Coordination***; suggests that this could be developed into a regular exercise which is linked to budgetary procedures, a sort of ‘European semester’ of security and defence reviews;

Or. en

Amendment 71
Ioan Mircea Pașcu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Reiterates its call on the Member States to conduct systematic security and defence reviews according to common criteria and a **common** timetable; suggests that this could be developed into a regular exercise which is linked to budgetary procedures, a sort of ‘European semester’ of security and defence reviews;

Amendment

10. Reiterates its call on the Member States to conduct systematic security and defence reviews according to common criteria and a **harmonised** timetable; suggests that this could be developed into a regular exercise which is linked to budgetary procedures, a sort of ‘European semester’ of security and defence reviews;

Or. en

Amendment 72
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Stresses that ***the point of such coordinated reviews would be to end the culture of isolation in national defence planning and to establish a platform for structured discussion, allowing the Member States to consider the bigger picture before they take key strategic decisions on their defence capabilities;***

Amendment

11. Stresses that ***NATO already provides a means for improving national defence coordination through its Defence Planning Process;***

Or. en

Amendment 73
Ioan Mircea Pașcu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Stresses that the point of such coordinated reviews would be to end the culture of isolation in national defence

Amendment

11. Stresses that the point of such coordinated reviews would be to end the ***perceived*** culture of isolation in national

planning and to establish a platform for structured discussion, allowing the Member States to consider the bigger picture before they take key strategic decisions on their defence capabilities;

defence planning and to establish a platform for structured discussion, allowing the Member States to consider the bigger picture before they take key strategic decisions on their defence capabilities; ***underlines that the initiative should complement, for the concerned Member States, their coordination within the NATO defence planning process;***

Or. en

Amendment 74

Norica Nicolai, Johannes Cornelis van Baalen, Graham Watson

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Stresses that the point of such coordinated reviews would be to end the culture of isolation in national defence planning and to establish a platform for structured discussion, allowing the Member States to consider the bigger picture before they take key strategic decisions on their defence capabilities;

Amendment

11. Stresses that the point of such coordinated reviews would be to end the culture of isolation in national defence planning and ***military command, unsustainable in conditions of crisis and limited individual financial resources, and*** to establish a platform for structured discussion, allowing the Member States to consider the bigger picture before they take key strategic decisions on their defence capabilities;

Or. en

Amendment 75

Reinhard Bütikofer

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Stresses that the point of such

Amendment

11. Stresses that the point of such

coordinated reviews would be to end the culture of isolation in national defence planning and to establish a platform for structured discussion, allowing the Member States to consider the bigger picture before they take key strategic decisions on their defence capabilities;

coordinated reviews would be to end the culture of isolation in national defence planning and to establish a platform for structured discussion, allowing the Member States to consider the bigger picture, *the European perspective*, before they take key strategic decisions on their defence capabilities;

Or. en

Amendment 76
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12. Calls again for an EU White Paper on security and defence to define the EU's security and defence objectives, interests and needs more clearly in relation to the means and resources available; emphasises that it should be drafted and regularly updated on the basis of the national reviews, while at the same time providing a reference for them, linking national defence planning with a common security outlook and threat assessment;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 77
Maria Eleni Koppa

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12. Calls again for an EU White Paper on security and defence *to define* the EU's security and defence objectives, interests

12. Calls again for an EU White Paper on security and defence *that develops and implements the ESS, better defining the*

and needs *more clearly* in relation to the means and resources available; emphasises that it should be drafted and regularly updated on the basis of the national reviews, while at the same time providing a reference for them, linking national defence planning with a common security outlook and threat assessment;

EU's security and defence objectives, interests and needs in relation to the means and resources available; emphasises that it should be drafted and regularly updated on the basis of the national reviews, while at the same time providing a reference for them, linking national defence planning with a common security outlook and threat assessment;

Or. en

Amendment 78

Norica Nicolai, Johannes Cornelis van Baalen, Graham Watson

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Calls again for an EU White Paper on security and defence to *define* the EU's security and defence objectives, interests and needs more clearly in relation to the means and resources available; emphasises that it should be drafted and regularly updated on the basis of the national reviews, while at the same time providing a reference for them, linking national defence planning with a common security outlook and threat assessment;

Amendment

12. Calls again for an EU White Paper on security and defence to *update* the *European Security Strategy, defining the* EU's security and defence objectives, interests and needs more clearly in relation to the means and resources available; emphasises that it should be drafted and regularly updated on the basis of the national reviews, while at the same time providing a reference for them, linking national defence planning with a common security outlook and threat assessment;

Or. en

Amendment 79

Ioan Mircea Paşcu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Calls again for an EU White Paper on

Amendment

12. Calls again for an EU White Paper on

security and defence to define the EU's security and defence objectives, interests and needs more clearly in relation to the means and resources available; emphasises that it should be drafted and regularly updated on the basis of the national reviews, while at the same time providing a reference for them, linking national defence planning with a common security outlook and threat assessment;

security and defence to define the EU's security and defence objectives, interests and needs more clearly in relation to the means and resources available, ***based on the European Security Strategy***; emphasises that it should be drafted and regularly updated on the basis of the national reviews, while at the same time providing a reference for them, linking national defence planning with a common security outlook and threat assessment;

Or. en

Amendment 80

Reinhard Bütikofer

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Calls again for an EU White Paper on security and defence to define the EU's security and defence objectives, interests and needs more clearly in relation to the means and resources available; emphasises that it should be drafted and regularly updated on the basis of the national reviews, while at the same time providing a reference for them, linking national defence planning with a common security outlook and threat assessment;

Amendment

12. Calls again for an EU White Paper on security and defence to define the EU's security and defence objectives, interests and needs more clearly in relation to the means and resources available, ***while also taking into account non-traditional aspects of security***; emphasises that it should be drafted and regularly updated on the basis of the national reviews, while at the same time providing a reference for them, linking national defence planning with a common security outlook and threat assessment;

Or. en

Amendment 81

Ágnes Hankiss

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Calls again for an EU White Paper on security and defence to define the EU's security and defence objectives, interests and needs more clearly in relation to the means and resources available; emphasises that it should be drafted and regularly updated on the basis of the national reviews, while at the same time providing a reference for them, linking national defence planning with a common security outlook and threat assessment;

Amendment

12. Calls again for an EU White Paper on security and defence to define the EU's security and defence objectives, interests and needs more clearly in relation to the means and resources available; emphasises that it should be drafted and regularly updated on the basis of the national reviews, while at the same time providing a reference for them, linking national defence planning with a common security outlook and threat assessment ***based on common and harmonised norms***;

Or. en

Amendment 82
Justas Vincas Paleckis

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

12a. Calls for closer relations and an intensified exchange of information between the European Parliament and national parliaments in relation to questions concerning EU's security and defence objectives in order to make more extensive dialogue between the parliaments possible;

Or. en

Amendment 83
Graham Watson, Kristiina Ojuland, Norica Nicolai

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12a. Stresses that such a White Paper, by setting out a common vision of challenges and solutions will build trust and provide focused strategic guidance on the form that EU forces should take;

Or. en

Amendment 84
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13. Suggests that the **Member States ask** the European Defence Agency (EDA) **to examine how to improve** coordination of defence planning in Europe; **recalls that the Treaty tasks the Agency to evaluate the** observance of capability commitments and **to promote the harmonisation of** operational needs, **and calls for better implementation of these tasks; recommends that, as a first step in the exercise, the Member States could submit their draft national security and defence reviews to the EDA for advice, to assess them in particular in the light of the Capability Development Plan, as well as of the plans of the other Member States and of relevant NATO initiatives; believes that, in the very short term, the EDA could also play an important role in defining capability priorities and identifying redundancies in Member States' capabilities;**

13. Suggests that **most of the functions of** the European Defence Agency (EDA) **concerning improved** coordination of defence planning in Europe **and** observance of capability commitments and operational needs **are already carried out in NATO;** the EDA **should therefore be absorbed into the NATO structure;**

Or. en

Amendment 85
Maria Eleni Koppa

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. **Suggests** that the **Member States ask** the European Defence Agency (EDA) to examine how to improve coordination of defence planning in Europe; **recalls** that the Treaty tasks the **Agency** to evaluate the observance of capability commitments and to promote the harmonisation of operational needs, and calls for better implementation of these tasks; recommends that, as a first step in the exercise, the Member States could submit their draft national security and defence reviews to the EDA for advice, to assess them in particular in the light of the Capability Development Plan, as well as of the plans of the other Member States and of relevant NATO initiatives; believes that, in the very short term, the EDA could also play an important role in defining **capability priorities** and **identifying redundancies in Member States' capabilities**;

Amendment

13. **Recalls** that the **Lisbon Treaty has reinforced the role of the** European Defence Agency (EDA) **in supporting the Member States in their efforts to improve the military capabilities for the Common Security and Defence Policy**; **suggests, therefore, that the Member States ask the Agency to** examine how to improve coordination of defence planning in Europe; **reminds, furthermore,** that the Treaty tasks the **EDA** to evaluate the observance of capability commitments and to promote the harmonisation of operational needs, and calls for better implementation of these tasks; recommends that, as a first step in the exercise, the Member States could submit their draft national security and defence reviews to the EDA for advice, to assess them in particular in the light of the **capability priorities set by the EDA steering board in the** Capability Development Plan, as well as of the plans of the other Member States and of relevant NATO initiatives; believes that, in the very short term, the EDA could also play an important role in defining **a European capabilities and armaments policy**;

Or. en

Amendment 86
Ioan Mircea Paşcu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Suggests that the Member States ask the European Defence Agency (EDA) to examine how to improve coordination of defence planning in Europe; recalls that the Treaty tasks the Agency to evaluate the observance of capability commitments and to promote the harmonisation of operational needs, and calls for better implementation of these tasks; recommends that, as a first step in the exercise, the Member States could **submit** their draft national security and defence reviews **to the EDA for advice, to assess them** in particular in **the** light of the Capability Development Plan, as well as **of the plans of the other Member States and** of relevant NATO initiatives; believes that, in the very short term, the EDA could also play an important role in defining capability priorities and identifying redundancies in Member States' capabilities;

Amendment

13. Suggests that the Member States ask the European Defence Agency (EDA) to examine how to improve coordination of defence planning in Europe; recalls that the Treaty tasks the Agency to evaluate the observance of capability commitments and to promote the harmonisation of operational needs, and calls for better implementation of these tasks; recommends that, as a first step in the exercise, the Member States could **consult EDA on relevant parts of** their draft national security and defence reviews, **so that EDA could facilitate the coordination with the plans of other Member States**, in particular in light of the Capability Development Plan, as well as of relevant NATO initiatives; believes that, in the very short term, the EDA could also play an important role in defining capability priorities and identifying redundancies in Member States' capabilities;

Or. en

Amendment 87

Kyriakos Mavronikolas

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Suggests that the Member States ask the European Defence Agency (EDA) to examine how to improve coordination of defence planning in Europe; recalls that the Treaty tasks the Agency to evaluate the observance of capability commitments and to promote the harmonisation of operational needs, and calls for better implementation of these tasks; recommends that, as a first step in the

Amendment

13. Suggests that the Member States ask the European Defence Agency (EDA) to examine how to improve coordination of defence planning in Europe; recalls that the Treaty tasks the Agency to evaluate the observance of capability commitments and to promote the harmonisation of operational needs, and calls for better implementation of these tasks; recommends that, as a first step in the

exercise, the Member States could submit their draft national security and defence reviews to the EDA for advice, to assess them in particular in the light of the Capability Development Plan, as well as of the plans of the other Member States **and of relevant NATO initiatives**; believes that, in the very short term, the EDA could also play an important role in defining capability priorities and identifying redundancies in Member States' capabilities;

exercise, the Member States could submit their draft national security and defence reviews to the EDA for advice, to assess them in particular in the light of the Capability Development Plan, as well as of the plans of the other Member States; believes that, in the very short term, the EDA could also play an important role in defining capability priorities and identifying redundancies in Member States' capabilities;

Or. en

Amendment 88
Krzysztof Lisek

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Suggests that the Member States ask the European Defence Agency (EDA) to examine how to improve coordination of defence planning in Europe; recalls that the Treaty tasks the Agency to evaluate the observance of capability commitments and to promote the harmonisation of operational needs, and calls for better implementation of these tasks; recommends that, as a first step in the exercise, the Member States could submit their draft national security and defence reviews to the EDA for advice, to assess them in particular in the light of the Capability Development Plan, as well as of the plans of the other Member States and of **relevant NATO initiatives**; believes that, in the very short term, the EDA could also play an important role in defining capability priorities and identifying redundancies in Member States' capabilities;

Amendment

13. Suggests that the Member States ask the European Defence Agency (EDA) to examine how to improve coordination of defence planning in Europe; recalls that the Treaty tasks the Agency to evaluate the observance of capability commitments and to promote the harmonisation of operational needs, and calls for better implementation of these tasks; recommends that, as a first step in the exercise, the Member States could submit their draft national security and defence reviews to the EDA for advice, to assess them in particular in the light of the Capability Development Plan, as well as of the plans of the other Member States and of **the NATO Defence Planning Process**; believes that, in the very short term, the EDA could also play an important role in defining capability priorities and identifying redundancies in Member States' capabilities;

Amendment 89
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. **Suggests that the** Member States ask the European Defence Agency (EDA) to examine how to improve coordination of defence planning in Europe; recalls that the Treaty tasks the Agency to evaluate the observance of capability commitments and to promote the harmonisation of operational needs, and calls for better implementation of these tasks; recommends that, as a first step in the exercise, the Member States could submit their draft national security and defence reviews to the EDA for advice, to assess them in particular in the light of the Capability Development Plan, as well as of the plans of the other Member States and of relevant NATO initiatives; believes that, in the very short term, the EDA could also play an important role in defining capability priorities and identifying redundancies in Member States' capabilities;

Amendment

13. **Urges** Member States **to** ask the European Defence Agency (EDA) to examine how to improve coordination of defence planning in Europe; recalls that the Treaty tasks the Agency to evaluate the observance of capability commitments and to promote the harmonisation of operational needs, and calls for better implementation of these tasks; recommends that, as a first step in the exercise, the Member States could submit their draft national security and defence reviews to the EDA for advice, to assess them in particular in the light of the Capability Development Plan, as well as of the plans of the other Member States and of relevant NATO initiatives; believes that, in the very short term, the EDA could also play an important role in defining capability priorities and identifying redundancies in Member States' capabilities;

Or. en

Amendment 90
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Takes the view that, **as the next step**,

Amendment

14. Takes the view that Member States

the Member States should go through a process of mutual *consultations* in order to *harmonise* their military requirements and examine all options for increasing cost-efficiency through *EU-level*, regional, bilateral or other arrangements;

should go through a process of mutual *consultation* in order to *identify* their military requirements and examine all options for increasing cost-efficiency through regional, bilateral or other arrangements;

Or. en

Amendment 91
Ioan Mircea Paşcu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Takes the view that, *as the next step*, the Member States should go through a process of mutual consultations in order to harmonise their military requirements and examine all options for increasing cost-efficiency through EU-level, regional, bilateral or other arrangements;

Amendment

14. Takes the view that, *at the same time*, the Member States should go through a process of mutual consultations in order to harmonise their military requirements and examine all options for increasing cost-efficiency through EU-level, regional, bilateral or other arrangements;

Or. en

Amendment 92
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Takes the view that, as the next step, the Member States should go through a process of mutual consultations in order to harmonise their military requirements and examine all options for increasing cost-efficiency through EU-level, regional, bilateral or other arrangements;

Amendment

14. Takes the view that, as the next step, the Member States should go through a process of mutual consultations in order to harmonise their military requirements and examine all options for increasing cost-efficiency through EU-level, regional, bilateral or other arrangements; *recalls that the Member States should make use of the potentialities offered by the European*

Defence Agency as the best placed actor to help in identifying, defining and promoting such a process of EU-level coordination;

Or. en

Amendment 93
Nikolaos Salavrakos

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14a. Calls on the Commission to report to the EP and the Council on all weapon sales between European Member States in order to increase transparency and refrain from corruption in the defence contracts between Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 94
Petru Constantin Luhan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14a. Emphasises that closer cooperation between NATO/EU missions on the one hand, and national, regional and local authorities on the other, could increase the efficiency of such interventions and help secure their objectives;

Or. ro

Amendment 95
Ágnes Hankiss

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Urges the Member States also to address within such a process the existing overcapacities, especially as regards equipment and personnel of lesser priority in operations;

Amendment

15. Urges the Member States also to address within such a process the existing overcapacities, especially as regards equipment and personnel of lesser priority in operations, ***considering their own particular national needs as well;***

Or. en

Amendment 96
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Urges the Member States also to address within such a process the existing overcapacities, especially as regards equipment and personnel of lesser priority in operations;

Amendment

15. Urges the Member States also to address within such a process the existing overcapacities, especially as regards equipment and personnel of lesser priority in operations; ***in the same sense, calls on the Member States to assess areas where niche capacities might be built or whether they already exist in some countries, so that duplication of capabilities is avoided, and those areas of specialisation already potentially covered by certain Member States;***

Or. en

Amendment 97
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Is firmly convinced that pooling and sharing of capabilities **is not an option any more, but a necessity**; supports the Member States in their efforts to identify the most promising projects, as part of the process initiated at the September 2010 ministerial meeting in Ghent and in line with the November 2010 German-Swedish initiative; recalls the mandate given to the EDA in May 2011 for submitting proposals in the autumn;

Amendment

16. Is firmly convinced that pooling and sharing of capabilities **may be a useful way forward**; supports the Member States in their efforts to identify the most promising projects, as part of the process initiated at the September 2010 ministerial meeting in Ghent and in line with the November 2010 German-Swedish initiative; recalls the mandate given to the EDA in May 2011 for submitting proposals in the autumn; **and urges proper coordination of this within the NATO processes**;

Or. en

Amendment 98
Reinhard Bütikofer
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Is firmly convinced that pooling and sharing of capabilities is not an option any more, but a necessity; supports the Member States in their efforts to identify the most promising projects, as part of the process initiated at the September 2010 ministerial meeting in Ghent and in line with the November 2010 German-Swedish initiative; recalls the mandate given to the EDA in May 2011 for submitting proposals in the autumn;

Amendment

16. Is firmly convinced that pooling and sharing of capabilities is not an option any more, but a necessity; supports the Member States in their efforts to identify the most promising projects **at the latest by the end of 2011** as part of the process initiated at the September 2010 ministerial meeting in Ghent and in line with the November 2010 German-Swedish initiative; recalls the mandate given to the EDA in May 2011 for submitting proposals in the autumn **and calls on the EDA and the Member States to adopt a road map for very concrete projects of pooling and sharing before the end of 2011**;

Or. en

Amendment 99
Ioan Mircea Pașcu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Is firmly convinced that pooling and sharing of capabilities is not an option any more, but a necessity; supports the Member States in their efforts to identify the most promising projects, as part of the process initiated at the September 2010 ministerial meeting in Ghent and in line with the November 2010 German-Swedish initiative; recalls the mandate given to the EDA in May 2011 for submitting proposals in the autumn;

Amendment

16. Is firmly convinced that pooling and sharing of capabilities is not an option any more, but a necessity; supports the Member States in their efforts to identify the most promising projects, as part of the process initiated at the September 2010 ministerial meeting in Ghent and in line with the November 2010 German-Swedish initiative, ***while recognizing that pooling and sharing cannot replace the actual development of capabilities, but will enhance and improve it***; recalls the mandate given to the EDA in May 2011 for submitting proposals in the autumn;

Or. en

Amendment 100
Norica Nicolai, Johannes Cornelis van Baalen, Graham Watson

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Is firmly convinced that pooling and sharing of capabilities is not an option any more, but a necessity; supports the Member States in their efforts to identify the most promising projects, as part of the process initiated at the September 2010 ministerial meeting in Ghent and in line with the November 2010 German-Swedish initiative; recalls the mandate given to the EDA in May 2011 for submitting proposals in the autumn;

Amendment

16. Is firmly convinced that ***given the financial crisis, having the political will for the*** pooling and sharing of capabilities is not an option any more, but a necessity; supports the Member States in their efforts to identify the most promising projects, as part of the process initiated at the September 2010 ministerial meeting in Ghent and in line with the November 2010 German-Swedish initiative; recalls the mandate given to the EDA in May 2011 for

submitting proposals in the autumn;

Or. en

Amendment 101
Justas Vincas Paleckis

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16a. Notes that in many EU Member States military defence departments have obtained proficient practical experiences in tackling military and civilian conflicts; calls in this regard on the Member States and the EEAS to share best practices and to invest more in thorough coordination between both civilian and military experts;

Or. en

Amendment 102
Krzysztof Lisek, Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17. Stresses that, in particular in areas such as strategic transportation, logistical support, maintenance, space capabilities, medical support, education and training, as well as certain niche capabilities, Member States can greatly profit from pooling or sharing of some functions and assets without creating significant dependencies that would limit their sovereign decision-making; strongly encourages initiatives addressing capability gaps in areas such as air-to-air refuelling, maritime surveillance,

17. Stresses that, in particular in areas such as strategic transportation, logistical support, maintenance, space capabilities, medical support, education and training, as well as certain niche capabilities, Member States can greatly profit from pooling or sharing of some functions and assets without creating significant dependencies that would limit their sovereign decision-making; strongly encourages initiatives addressing capability gaps in areas such as air-to-air refuelling, maritime surveillance,

*UAVs, CBRN protection, countering IEDs, satellite communication, intelligence, surveillance and reconnaissance (ISR) sensors and platforms, and **combat** and **information** systems;*

*unmanned vehicles, protection **against chemical, biological, radiological and nuclear risks**, countering **improvised explosive devices (IEDs)**, satellite communication, intelligence, surveillance and reconnaissance (ISR) sensors and platforms, and **command** and **control** systems;*

Or. en

Amendment 103
Maria Eleni Koppa

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Stresses that, in particular in areas such as strategic transportation, logistical support, maintenance, space capabilities, medical support, education and training, as well as certain niche capabilities, Member States can greatly profit from pooling or sharing of some functions and assets without creating significant dependencies that would limit their sovereign decision-making; strongly encourages initiatives addressing capability gaps in areas such as air-to-air refuelling, maritime surveillance, UAVs, CBRN protection, countering IEDs, satellite communication, intelligence, surveillance and reconnaissance (ISR) sensors and platforms, and combat and information systems;

Amendment

17. Stresses that, in particular in areas such as strategic transportation, logistical support, maintenance, space capabilities, medical support, education and training, as well as certain niche capabilities, Member States can greatly profit from pooling or sharing of some functions and assets without creating significant dependencies that would limit their sovereign decision-making; strongly encourages initiatives addressing capability gaps in areas such as air-to-air refuelling, maritime surveillance, UAVs, CBRN protection, countering IEDs, satellite communication, intelligence, surveillance and reconnaissance (ISR) sensors and platforms, and combat and information systems, ***including alternative to satellites systems, such as High Altitude Long Endurance (HALE) UAVs and the necessary green technologies required to achieve high operational autonomy and cost effectiveness;***

Or. en

Amendment 104
Tunne Kelam

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Stresses that, in particular in areas such as strategic transportation, logistical support, maintenance, space capabilities, medical support, education and training, as well as certain niche capabilities, Member States can greatly profit from pooling or sharing of some functions and assets without creating significant dependencies that would limit their sovereign decision-making; strongly encourages initiatives addressing capability gaps in areas such as air-to-air refuelling, maritime surveillance, UAVs, CBRN protection, countering IEDs, satellite communication, intelligence, surveillance and reconnaissance (ISR) sensors and platforms, and combat and information systems;

Amendment

17. Stresses that, in particular in areas such as strategic transportation, logistical support, maintenance, **cyber defence**, space capabilities, medical support, education and training, as well as certain niche capabilities, Member States can greatly profit from pooling or sharing of some functions and assets without creating significant dependencies that would limit their sovereign decision-making; strongly encourages initiatives addressing capability gaps in areas such as air-to-air refuelling, maritime surveillance, UAVs, CBRN protection, countering IEDs, satellite communication, intelligence, surveillance and reconnaissance (ISR) sensors and platforms, and combat and information systems, **joint cyber defence exercises and burden sharing on cyber incident analysis and sharing of best practices on critical information infrastructure protection**;

Or. en

Amendment 105
Ágnes Hankiss

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Stresses that, in particular in areas such as strategic transportation, logistical support, maintenance, space capabilities, medical support, education and training, as well as certain niche capabilities, Member States can greatly profit from pooling or

Amendment

17. Stresses that, in particular in areas such as strategic transportation, logistical support, maintenance, space capabilities, medical support, education and training, as well as certain niche capabilities, Member States can greatly profit from pooling or

sharing of some functions and assets without creating significant dependencies that would limit their sovereign decision-making; strongly encourages initiatives addressing capability gaps in areas such as air-to-air refuelling, maritime surveillance, UAVs, CBRN protection, countering IEDs, satellite communication, intelligence, surveillance and reconnaissance (ISR) sensors and platforms, and combat and information systems;

sharing of some functions and assets without creating significant dependencies that would limit their sovereign decision-making; strongly encourages initiatives addressing capability gaps in areas such as air-to-air refuelling, maritime surveillance, UAVs, CBRN protection, countering IEDs, satellite communication, intelligence, surveillance and reconnaissance (ISR) sensors and platforms, and combat and information systems, ***the sharing of information in the fight against organised crime and terrorism as defined and suggested by the European External Action Service and Commission working document adopted on 5 May 2011 titled „Strengthening Ties Between CSDP and FSJ actors”***;

Or. en

Amendment 106

Norica Nicolai, Johannes Cornelis van Baalen, Graham Watson

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Stresses that, in particular in areas such as strategic transportation, logistical support, maintenance, space capabilities, medical support, education and training, as well as certain niche capabilities, Member States can greatly profit from pooling or sharing of some functions and assets without creating significant dependencies that would limit their sovereign decision-making; strongly encourages initiatives addressing capability gaps in areas such as air-to-air refuelling, maritime surveillance, UAVs, CBRN protection, countering IEDs, satellite communication, intelligence, surveillance and reconnaissance (ISR) sensors and platforms, and combat and

Amendment

17. Stresses that, in particular in areas such as strategic transportation, logistical support, maintenance, space capabilities, medical support, ***interoperability and civil integration***, education and training, as well as certain niche capabilities, Member States can greatly profit from pooling or sharing of some functions and assets without creating significant dependencies that would limit their sovereign decision-making; strongly encourages initiatives addressing capability gaps in areas such as air-to-air refuelling, maritime surveillance, UAVs, CBRN protection, countering IEDs, satellite communication, intelligence, surveillance and reconnaissance (ISR) sensors and platforms, and combat and

information systems;

information systems;

Or. en

Amendment 107

Graham Watson, Kristiina Ojuland, Norica Nicolai

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17a. Stresses that pooling resources must go hand in hand with enhanced specialisation where Member States giving up certain capabilities can be confident that others will provide them and recognises that this will require serious political commitment by national governments;

Or. en

Amendment 108

Reinhard Bütikofer

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

18. Invites the Member States to make creative use of the different pooling and sharing models that can be identified, such as (1) pooling through joint ownership, (2) pooling of nationally owned assets, (3) pooling of procurement, or (4) role- and task-sharing, and of combinations thereof as appropriate, and calls for quick progress especially in the areas mentioned above;

18. Invites the Member States to make creative use of the different pooling and sharing models that can be identified, such as (1) pooling through joint ownership, (2) pooling of nationally owned assets, (3) pooling of procurement, or (4) role- and task-sharing, and of combinations thereof as appropriate, and calls for quick progress especially in the areas mentioned above; ***calls on the EDA and Member States to develop a mechanism for parliamentary control of national capabilities in pooling***

and sharing arrangements; reminds that under no circumstances pooling and sharing arrangements should lead to bypassing constitutionally guaranteed rights of some national parliaments when it comes to the process of authorising the deployment of military personnel and equipment;

Or. en

Amendment 109
Maria Eleni Koppa

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. *Invites the Member States to* make creative use of the different pooling and sharing models that can be identified, such as (1) pooling through joint ownership, (2) pooling of nationally owned assets, (3) pooling of procurement, or (4) role- and task-sharing, and of combinations thereof as appropriate, and calls for quick progress especially in the areas mentioned above;

Amendment

18. *Reminds that a common foreign and security policy would ensure that Member States would* make creative use of the different pooling and sharing models that can be identified, such as (1) pooling through joint ownership, (2) pooling of nationally owned assets, (3) pooling of procurement, or (4) role- and task-sharing, and of combinations thereof as appropriate, and calls for quick progress especially in the areas mentioned above;

Or. en

Amendment 110
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. First, on ‘joint ownership’, calls on the Member States to explore the possibilities for certain equipment to be jointly acquired

Amendment

19. First, on ‘joint ownership’, calls on the Member States to explore the possibilities for certain equipment to be jointly acquired

by consortia of participating countries or by *the EU itself*, taking inspiration from initiatives such as the Strategic Airlift Capability implemented under NATO, the NATO AWACS programme or the EU's Galileo; stresses the potential of joint ownership *for the most expensive equipment*, such as for space capabilities, *UAVs or strategic transport aircraft*;

by consortia of participating countries or by *NATO*, taking inspiration from initiatives such as the Strategic Airlift Capability *already* implemented under NATO, the NATO AWACS programme or the EU's Galileo; stresses the potential of joint ownership, such as for space capabilities

Or. en

Amendment 111

Reinhard Bütikofer

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. First, on 'joint ownership', calls on the Member States to explore the possibilities for certain equipment to be jointly acquired by consortia of participating countries or by the EU itself, taking inspiration from initiatives such as the Strategic Airlift Capability implemented under NATO, the NATO AWACS programme *or the EU's Galileo*; stresses the potential of joint ownership for the most expensive equipment, such as for space capabilities, UAVs or strategic transport aircraft;

Amendment

19. First, on 'joint ownership', calls on the Member States to explore the possibilities for certain equipment to be jointly acquired by consortia of participating countries or by the EU itself, taking inspiration from initiatives such as the Strategic Airlift Capability implemented under NATO, the NATO AWACS programme; stresses the potential of joint ownership for the most expensive equipment, such as for space capabilities, UAVs or strategic transport aircraft;

Or. en

Amendment 112

Krzysztof Lisek, Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. First, on ‘joint ownership’, calls on the Member States to explore the possibilities for certain equipment to be jointly acquired by consortia of participating countries or by the EU itself, taking inspiration from initiatives such as the Strategic Airlift Capability implemented under NATO, the NATO AWACS programme or the EU's Galileo; stresses the potential of joint ownership for the most expensive equipment, such as for space capabilities, UAVs or strategic transport aircraft;

Amendment

19. First, on ‘joint ownership’, calls on the Member States to explore the possibilities for certain equipment to be jointly acquired by consortia of participating countries or by the EU itself, taking inspiration from initiatives such as the Strategic Airlift Capability implemented under NATO, the NATO AWACS programme or the EU's Galileo ***or to search for possibilities of EU funding or co-funding of equipment acquired by consortia of Member States***; stresses the potential of joint ownership for the most expensive equipment, such as for space capabilities, UAVs or strategic transport aircraft;

Or. en

Amendment 113

Norica Nicolai, Johannes Cornelis van Baalen, Graham Watson

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 20

Motion for a resolution

20. Second, on the ‘pooling of assets owned nationally’, views the European Air Transport Command (EATC) initiative of four Member States as a particularly useful example, where the use of existing capabilities is optimised by the transfer of some competencies to a common structure, while maintaining fully national ownership of assets; considers this model of pooled, but separable, capabilities to be well adapted also to other areas of operational support, such as transport helicopters, maritime patrol aircraft and military sealift assets; believes that any delegation of competences to an integrated structure needs to be flexible and should not require all participants to delegate the same set of

Amendment

20. Second, on the ‘pooling of assets owned nationally’, views the European Air Transport Command (EATC) initiative of four Member States as a particularly useful example, where the use of existing capabilities is optimised by the transfer of some competencies to a common structure, while maintaining fully national ownership of assets; considers this model of pooled, but separable, capabilities to be well adapted also to other areas of operational support, such as transport helicopters, maritime patrol aircraft and military sealift assets; believes that any delegation of competences to an integrated structure needs to be flexible and should not require all participants to delegate the same set of

competences, to avoid the risk of settling for the lowest common denominator; considers it desirable, however, that Member States provide national capabilities in the full range of the tasks of EATC;

competences, to avoid the risk of settling for the lowest common denominator; considers it desirable, however, that Member States provide national capabilities in the full range of the tasks of EATC; ***notes the potential for pooling of cyberdefence assets, given the integration of European cybersystems, and the need to address the need for more EU coordination in this area;***

Or. en

Amendment 114

Norica Nicolai, Johannes Cornelis van Baalen, Graham Watson

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. Third, with respect to the ‘pooling of procurement’, such as in the A400M programme, highlights the potential benefits of joint procurement in terms of economies of scale, building a viable industrial base, interoperability, and subsequent possibilities of pooling and sharing in in-service support, maintenance and training; deplores the fact that these benefits are often lost due to differences in requirements and work-share agreements; in order to realise fully the potential savings, stresses the importance of maintaining a common configuration of jointly procured equipment through its entire life cycle in order to facilitate joint in-service support; invites the Member States also to consider the pooling of outsourced services;

Amendment

21. Third, with respect to the ‘pooling of procurement’, such as in the A400M programme, highlights the potential benefits of joint procurement in terms of economies of scale, building a viable industrial base, interoperability, and subsequent possibilities of pooling and sharing in in-service support, maintenance and training; deplores the fact that these benefits are often lost due to differences in requirements and work-share agreements ***as in the case of the Eurofighter programme***; in order to realise fully the potential savings, stresses the importance of maintaining a common configuration of jointly procured equipment through its entire life cycle in order to facilitate joint in-service support; invites the Member States also to consider the pooling of outsourced services;

Or. en

Amendment 115
Rolandas Paksas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. Third, with respect to the ‘pooling of procurement’, such as in the A400M programme, highlights the potential benefits of joint procurement in terms of economies of scale, building a viable industrial base, interoperability, and subsequent possibilities of pooling and sharing in in-service support, maintenance and training; deplores the fact that these benefits are often lost due to differences in requirements and work-share agreements; in order to realise fully the potential savings, stresses the importance of maintaining a common configuration of jointly procured equipment through its entire life cycle in order to facilitate joint in-service support; invites the Member States also to consider the pooling of outsourced services;

Amendment

21. Third, with respect to the ‘pooling of procurement’, such as in the A400M programme, highlights the potential benefits – ***to security, EU Member States’ sovereignty and EU autonomy*** – of joint procurement in terms of economies of scale, building a viable industrial base, interoperability, and subsequent possibilities of pooling and sharing in in-service support, maintenance and training; deplores the fact that these benefits are often lost due to differences in requirements and work-share agreements; in order to realise fully the potential savings, stresses the importance of maintaining a common configuration of jointly procured equipment through its entire life cycle in order to facilitate joint in-service support; invites the Member States also to consider the pooling of outsourced services;

Or. It

Amendment 116
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 23

Motion for a resolution

23. ***Recalls*** the ***important*** role of the EDA, ***as defined by the Treaty***, in proposing multilateral projects, coordinating Member States' programmes and managing R&T cooperation programmes; highlights the ***EDA-run*** projects that are already

Amendment

23. ***Notes*** the role of the EDA in proposing multilateral projects, coordinating Member States' programmes and managing R&T cooperation programmes; highlights the projects that are already operational, such as the Helicopter Training Programme and

operational, such as the Helicopter Training Programme and the deployable forensic laboratory to counter IEDs and its application in Afghanistan, and calls for more progress on other initiatives such as the European Air Transport Fleet (EATF); urges the Member States to *use the potential the Agency offers in terms of administrative and legal support and to entrust it with the management of their cooperation initiatives*;

the deployable forensic laboratory to counter IEDs and its application in Afghanistan, and calls for more progress on other initiatives such as the European Air Transport Fleet (EATF); urges the Member States to *take steps to integrating any added value from the EDA into the well-established NATO system*;

Or. en

Amendment 117

Norica Nicolai, Johannes Cornelis van Baalen, Graham Watson

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 23

Motion for a resolution

23. Recalls the important role of the EDA, as defined by the Treaty, in proposing multilateral projects, coordinating Member States' programmes and managing R&T cooperation programmes; highlights the EDA-run projects that are already operational, such as the Helicopter Training Programme and the deployable forensic laboratory to counter IEDs and its application in Afghanistan, and calls for more progress on other initiatives such as the European Air Transport Fleet (EATF); urges the Member States to use the potential the Agency offers in terms of administrative and legal support and to entrust it with the management of their cooperation initiatives;

Amendment

23. Recalls the important role of the EDA, as defined by the Treaty, in proposing multilateral projects, coordinating Member States' programmes and managing R&T cooperation programmes; highlights the EDA-run projects that are already operational, such as the Helicopter Training Programme and the deployable forensic laboratory to counter IEDs and its application in Afghanistan, and calls for more progress on other initiatives such as the European Air Transport Fleet (EATF); urges the Member States to use the potential the Agency offers in terms of administrative and legal support and to entrust it with the management of their cooperation initiatives *and underlines the need for the EDA to be given the means to deal with an increase of its responsibilities*;

Or. en

Amendment 118
Ágnes Hankiss

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 23

Motion for a resolution

23. Recalls the important role of the EDA, as defined by the Treaty, in proposing multilateral projects, coordinating Member States' programmes and managing R&T cooperation programmes; highlights the EDA-run projects that are already operational, such as the Helicopter Training Programme and the deployable forensic laboratory to counter IEDs and its application in Afghanistan, and calls for more progress on other initiatives such as the European Air Transport Fleet (EATF); urges the Member States to use the potential the Agency offers in terms of administrative and legal support and to entrust it with the *management* of their cooperation initiatives;

Amendment

23. Recalls the important role of the EDA, as defined by the Treaty, in proposing multilateral projects, coordinating Member States' programmes and managing R&T cooperation programmes; highlights the EDA-run projects that are already operational, such as the Helicopter Training Programme and the deployable forensic laboratory to counter IEDs and its application in Afghanistan, and calls for more progress on other initiatives such as the European Air Transport Fleet (EATF); urges the Member States to use the potential the Agency offers in terms of administrative and legal support and to entrust it with the *coordination* of their cooperation initiatives;

Or. en

Amendment 119
Petru Constantin Luhan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 23 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

23a. Considers that the EDA should promote good practices from existing cooperation projects and help the Member States identify possibilities for cooperation;

Amendment

Or. ro

Amendment 120
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

24. Recognises bilateral and regional initiatives such as the 2010 UK-French defence agreements and the Nordic Defence Cooperation as important efforts to rationalise the use of resources and fill short-term capability gaps; encourages further progress in promising cooperation projects in other regions, such as among the Visegrád Group countries; takes the view, however, that significant structural gaps remain which need to be addressed in a coordinated fashion *at EU level*, and that the *EDA should be given* a role in *ensuring overall coherence; encourages further reflection on how the Treaty provisions on the Permanent Structured Cooperation could be used to provide an overall coordination framework, building also on the ‘European semester’ exercise as proposed under (A)*;

Amendment

24. Recognises bilateral and regional initiatives such as the 2010 UK-French defence agreements and the Nordic Defence Cooperation as important efforts to rationalise the use of resources and fill short-term capability gaps; encourages further progress in promising cooperation projects in other regions, such as among the Visegrád Group countries; takes the view, however, that significant structural gaps remain which need to be addressed in a coordinated fashion, and that the *EU may have* a role in *this*;

Or. en

Amendment 121
Maria Eleni Koppa

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

24. Recognises bilateral and regional initiatives such as the 2010 UK-French defence agreements and the Nordic Defence Cooperation as important *efforts* to rationalise the use of resources and fill short-term capability gaps; *encourages further progress in promising cooperation*

Amendment

24. Recognises bilateral and regional initiatives such as the 2010 UK-French defence agreements and the Nordic Defence Cooperation as important *steps* to rationalise the use of resources and fill short-term capability gaps; *notes the proposals for similar cooperations* in other

projects in other regions, such as among the Visegrád Group countries; takes the view, however, that significant structural gaps remain which need to be addressed in a coordinated fashion at EU level, and that the EDA should be given a role in ensuring overall coherence; encourages *further reflection on how* the Treaty provisions on the Permanent Structured Cooperation could be used *to provide* an overall coordination framework, *building also on the ‘European semester’ exercise as proposed under (A)*;

regions, such as among the Visegrád Group countries; takes the view, however, that significant structural gaps remain which need to be addressed in a coordinated fashion at EU level and *calls for broader synergies among Member States and commitment to future European multilateral pooling and sharing arrangements; in this context, believes* that the EDA should be given a role in ensuring overall coherence *of efforts in the framework of CSDP and strongly* encourages the *implementation of the* Treaty provisions on the Permanent Structured Cooperation *that* could be used *also as* an overall coordination framework;

Or. en

Amendment 122

Reinhard Bütikofer

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

24. Recognises bilateral and regional initiatives such as the 2010 UK-French defence agreements and the Nordic Defence Cooperation as important efforts to rationalise the use of resources and fill short-term capability gaps; encourages further progress in promising cooperation projects in other regions, such as among the Visegrád Group countries; takes the view, however, that significant structural gaps remain which need to be addressed in a coordinated fashion at EU level, and that the EDA should be given a role in ensuring overall coherence; encourages further reflection on how the Treaty provisions on the Permanent Structured Cooperation could be used to provide an overall coordination framework, building also on

Amendment

24. Recognises bilateral and regional initiatives such as the 2010 UK-French defence agreements and the Nordic Defence Cooperation as important efforts to rationalise the use of resources and fill short-term capability gaps; encourages further progress in promising cooperation projects in other regions, such as among the Visegrád Group countries; takes the view, however, that *at a certain point these bilateral or regional arrangements need to be integrated into the wider European perspective and that* significant structural gaps remain which need to be addressed in a coordinated fashion at EU level, and that the EDA should be given a role in ensuring overall coherence; encourages further reflection on how the

the ‘European semester’ exercise as proposed under (A);

Treaty provisions on the Permanent Structured Cooperation could be used to provide an overall coordination framework, building also on the ‘European semester’ exercise as proposed under (A);

Or. en

Amendment 123
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

24. Recognises bilateral and regional initiatives such as the 2010 UK-French defence agreements and the Nordic Defence Cooperation as important efforts to rationalise the use of resources and fill short-term capability gaps; encourages further progress in promising cooperation projects in other regions, such as among the Visegrád Group countries; takes the view, however, that significant structural gaps remain which need to be addressed in a coordinated fashion at EU level, and that the EDA should be given a role in ensuring overall coherence; encourages further reflection on how the Treaty provisions on the Permanent Structured Cooperation could be used to provide an overall coordination framework, building also on the ‘European semester’ exercise as proposed under (A);

Amendment

24. Recognises bilateral and regional initiatives such as the 2010 UK-French defence agreements and the Nordic Defence Cooperation as important efforts to rationalise the use of resources and fill short-term capability gaps; encourages further progress in promising cooperation projects in other regions, such as among the Visegrád Group countries; takes the view, however, that significant structural gaps remain which need to be addressed in a coordinated fashion at EU level, and that the EDA should be given a role in ensuring overall coherence ***and ensuring at the same time that such initiatives contribute to the development of CSDP and do not, in any way, run counter to it***; encourages further reflection on how the Treaty provisions on the Permanent Structured Cooperation could be used to provide an overall coordination framework, building also on the ‘European semester’ exercise as proposed under (A);

Or. en

Amendment 124
Tunne Kelam

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

24. Recognises bilateral and regional initiatives such as the 2010 UK-French defence agreements *and* the Nordic Defence Cooperation as important efforts to rationalise the use of resources and fill short-term capability gaps; encourages further progress in promising cooperation projects in other regions, such as among the Visegrád Group countries; takes the view, however, that significant structural gaps remain which need to be addressed in a coordinated fashion at EU level, and that the EDA should be given a role in ensuring overall coherence; encourages further reflection on how the Treaty provisions on the Permanent Structured Cooperation could be used to provide an overall coordination framework, building also on the ‘European semester’ exercise as proposed under (A);

Amendment

24. Recognises bilateral and regional initiatives such as the 2010 UK-French defence agreements, the Nordic Defence Cooperation *and the Baltic Defence Co-Operation* as important efforts to rationalise the use of resources and fill short-term capability gaps; encourages further progress in promising cooperation projects in other regions, such as among the Visegrád Group countries; takes the view, however, that significant structural gaps remain which need to be addressed in a coordinated fashion at EU level, and that the EDA should be given a role in ensuring overall coherence; encourages further reflection on how the Treaty provisions on the Permanent Structured Cooperation could be used to provide an overall coordination framework, building also on the ‘European semester’ exercise as proposed under (A);

Or. en

Amendment 125
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25

Motion for a resolution

25. Considers that an EU Operational Headquarters, for which it has repeatedly called, would not only substantially enhance the EU's capacity to support international peace and security, but would in the long run also generate savings for the national budgets in the logic of pooling and sharing; calls on the

Amendment

deleted

Vice-President / High Representative to continue work based on the ‘Weimar initiative’ and to investigate legal options for the establishment of permanent EU military planning and conduct capability of this kind;

Or. en

Amendment 126
Ágnes Hankiss

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25

Motion for a resolution

25. Considers that an EU Operational Headquarters, for which it has repeatedly called, would not only substantially enhance the EU's capacity to support international peace and security, but would in the long run also generate savings for the national budgets in the logic of pooling and sharing; calls on the Vice-President / High Representative to continue work based on the ‘Weimar initiative’ and to investigate legal options for the establishment of permanent EU military planning and conduct capability of this kind;

Amendment

25. Considers that an EU Operational Headquarters, for which it has repeatedly called, would not only substantially enhance the EU's capacity to support international peace and security, but would in the long run also generate savings for the national budgets in the logic of pooling and sharing; calls on the Vice-President / High Representative to continue work based on the ‘Weimar initiative’ and to investigate legal options for the establishment of permanent EU military planning and conduct capability of this kind *after conducting the necessary feasibility and cost-benefit analysis;*

Or. en

Amendment 127
Norica Nicolai, Johannes Cornelis van Baalen, Graham Watson

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25

Motion for a resolution

25. Considers that an EU Operational

Amendment

25. Considers that an EU Operational

Headquarters, for which it has repeatedly called, would not only substantially enhance the EU's capacity to support international peace and security, but would in the long run also generate savings for the national budgets in the logic of pooling and sharing; calls on the Vice-President / High Representative to continue work based on the 'Weimar initiative' and to investigate legal options for the establishment of permanent EU military planning and conduct capability of this kind;

Headquarters, for which it has repeatedly called, would not only substantially enhance the EU's capacity to support international peace and security, but would in the long run also generate savings for the national budgets in the logic of pooling and sharing, ***enabling all five Member States currently hosting headquarters to significantly reduce costs***; calls on the Vice-President / High Representative to continue work based on the 'Weimar initiative' and to investigate legal options for the establishment of permanent EU military planning and conduct capability of this kind; ***considers it necessary to adopt an adequate and balanced rotation scheme for the European command***;

Or. en

Amendment 128
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25

Motion for a resolution

25. Considers that an EU Operational Headquarters, for which it has repeatedly called, would not only substantially enhance the EU's capacity to support international peace and security, but would in the long run also generate savings for the national budgets in the logic of pooling and sharing; calls on the Vice-President / High Representative to continue work based on the 'Weimar initiative' and to investigate legal options for the establishment of permanent EU military planning and conduct capability of this kind;

Amendment

25. Considers that an EU Operational Headquarters, for which it has repeatedly called, would not only substantially enhance the EU's capacity to support international peace and security, but would in the long run also generate savings for the national budgets in the logic of pooling and sharing; calls on the Vice-President / High Representative to continue work based on the 'Weimar initiative' and to investigate legal options for the establishment of permanent EU military planning and conduct capability of this kind; ***stresses that political guidance by the HR/VP is needed to deliver on the development of this idea and calls therefore on the HR/VP to set out a clear***

roadmap to achieve this goal;

Or. en

Amendment 129
Reinhard Bütikofer
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25

Motion for a resolution

25. Considers that an EU Operational Headquarters, for which it has repeatedly called, would not only substantially enhance the EU's capacity to support international peace and security, but would in the long run also generate savings for the national budgets in the logic of pooling and sharing; calls on the Vice-President / High Representative to continue work based on the 'Weimar initiative' and to investigate legal options for the establishment of permanent EU military planning and conduct capability of this kind;

Amendment

25. Considers that an EU Operational Headquarters, for which it has repeatedly called, would not only substantially enhance the EU's capacity to support international peace and security, but would in the long run also generate savings for the national budgets in the logic of pooling and sharing; calls on the Vice-President / High Representative to continue work based on the 'Weimar initiative' and to investigate legal options for the establishment of permanent EU military planning and conduct capability of this kind; ***calls on the VP/HR to stick to the model of an autonomous military operational planning and conduct capability (OHQ) comprising two separate (civilian and military) chains of command as presented to EU Foreign Ministers on 18 July 2011;***

Or. en

Amendment 130
Krzysztof Lisek, Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25

Motion for a resolution

25. Considers that **an** EU Operational Headquarters, for which it has repeatedly called, would not only substantially enhance the EU's capacity to support international peace and security, but would in the long run also generate savings for the national budgets in the logic of pooling and sharing; calls on the Vice-President / High Representative to continue work based on the 'Weimar initiative' and to investigate legal options for the establishment of permanent EU military planning and conduct capability of this kind;

Amendment

25. Considers that **a civil-military** EU Operational Headquarters, for which it has repeatedly called, would not only substantially enhance the EU's capacity to support international peace and security, but would in the long run also generate savings for the national budgets in the logic of pooling and sharing; calls on the Vice-President / High Representative to continue work based on the 'Weimar initiative' and to investigate legal options for the establishment of permanent EU military planning and conduct capability of this kind;

Or. en

Amendment 131
Nadezhda Neynsky

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26

Motion for a resolution

26. Takes note of the 'Smart Defence' initiative within NATO and highlights the importance of continuous coordination and deconfliction between the EU and NATO at all levels to avoid unnecessary duplication;

Amendment

26. Takes note of the 'Smart Defence' initiative within NATO and highlights the importance of continuous coordination and deconfliction between the EU and NATO at all levels to avoid unnecessary duplication; ***calls on EU defence ministers to fulfil their obligations to the development of EU policies and capabilities despite their countries' membership in NATO;***

Or. en

Amendment 132
Kyriakos Mavronikolas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26

Motion for a resolution

26. Takes note of the ‘Smart Defence’ initiative within NATO and highlights the importance of continuous coordination and deconfliction between the EU and NATO at all levels to avoid unnecessary duplication;

Amendment

26. Takes note of the ‘Smart Defence’ initiative within NATO, and ***recalls that Pooling and Sharing is a Member States-led initiative and*** highlights the importance of continuous coordination and deconfliction between the EU and NATO at all levels to avoid unnecessary duplication;

Or. en

Amendment 133
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26

Motion for a resolution

26. Takes note of the ‘Smart Defence’ initiative within NATO and highlights the importance of ***continuous coordination and deconfliction between the EU and NATO at all levels to avoid*** unnecessary duplication;

Amendment

26. Takes note of the ‘Smart Defence’ initiative within NATO and highlights the importance of ***avoiding any*** unnecessary duplication ***through parallel EU structures***;

Or. en

Amendment 134
Ioan Mircea Paşcu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26

Motion for a resolution

26. ***Takes note of*** the ‘Smart Defence’ initiative within NATO and ***highlights*** the importance of continuous coordination and

Amendment

26. ***Welcomes*** the ‘Smart Defence’ initiative within NATO and ***reaffirms*** the importance of continuous coordination and

deconfliction between the EU and NATO at all levels to avoid unnecessary duplication;

deconfliction between the EU and NATO at all levels to avoid unnecessary duplication; ***stresses that an intensification of EU-NATO practical cooperation, in particular concerning the responses to the challenges of the financial crisis, is a must.***

Or. en

Amendment 135
Krzysztof Lisek

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26

Motion for a resolution

26. Takes note of the ‘Smart Defence’ initiative within NATO and highlights the importance of continuous coordination and deconfliction between the EU and NATO at all levels to avoid unnecessary duplication;

Amendment

26. Takes note of the ‘Smart Defence’ initiative within NATO and highlights the importance of continuous coordination and deconfliction between the EU and NATO at all levels to avoid unnecessary duplication; ***calls in particular on the EDA and the Allied Command Transformation to cooperate closely to make sure that pooling and sharing projects of both organisations are complementary and are always implemented in the framework with the most added value;***

Or. en

Amendment 136
Vytautas Landsbergis

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 27

Motion for a resolution

27. Points out that current research and technology (R&T) efforts will be

Amendment

27. Points out that current research and technology (R&T) efforts will be

determining in mastering future technological advances; deplores the fact that only about 1 % of EU countries' overall defence spending goes to R&T, while more than 50 % continues to be spent on personnel, and in particular that for most Member States this is well below 1 %; urges the Member States to exclude R&T from their spending cuts as a matter of priority;

determining in mastering future technological advances; deplores the fact that only about 1 % of EU countries' overall defence spending goes to R&T, while more than 50 % continues to be spent on personnel, and in particular that for most Member States this is well below 1 %; urges the Member States to exclude R&T from their spending cuts as a matter of priority, ***and to restore R&T where it became mistakenly closed;***

Or. en

Amendment 137
Maria Eleni Koppa

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 28

Motion for a resolution

28. Regrets the fact that the potential of economies of scale from collaborative projects remains largely unused, with about 85 % of R&T expenditure still spent nationally; highlights the fundamental role of the EDA in coordinating and planning joint defence research activities; stresses the benefits of research cooperation in terms of improved interoperability, and eventually greater homogeneity among the equipment and capabilities of the national armed forces, since research is the first phase of any equipment programme;

Amendment

28. Regrets the fact that the potential of economies of scale from collaborative projects remains largely unused, with about 85 % of R&T expenditure still spent nationally ***and the large majority of the rest is spent at bilateral and not multinational level, having as consequence the fragmentation among Member States;*** highlights the fundamental role of the EDA in coordinating and planning joint defence research activities; stresses the benefits of research cooperation in terms of improved interoperability, and eventually greater homogeneity among the equipment and capabilities of the national armed forces, since research is the first phase of any equipment programme ***and a solid basis for the European competitiveness;***

Or. en

Amendment 138
Reinhard Bütikofer
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 29

Motion for a resolution

29. Recalls the ever growing number of technologies with dual-use applications, and therefore the importance of **increasing complementarities and synergies** between **European defence and** civilian security research **programmes; encourages the EDA and the Commission to pursue their coordination within the European Framework Cooperation, in order to maximise synergies with the ‘Security’ theme of the Framework Programme for Research and Technological Development, in particular in areas such as CBRN protection, counter improvised explosive devices, unmanned aerial systems, maritime surveillance, information management and processing, and cyber defence;**

Amendment

29. Recalls the ever growing number of technologies with dual-use applications, and therefore the **growing need and importance of not blurring the line** between civilian security research and **military R&D;**

Or. en

Amendment 139
Reinhard Bütikofer
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 30

Motion for a resolution

30. Stresses that security research needs to be maintained as an independent theme in the next Framework Programme **and calls for a substantial increase in the funds allocated to it; calls for an expansion of the scope of the ‘Security’ theme to support the full range of dual-use**

Amendment

30. Stresses that security research needs to be maintained as an independent theme in the next Framework Programme;

technologies; maintains that, while taking due account of any relevant defence-related requirements in the programmes and projects, the theme should keep its civilian focus;

Or. en

Amendment 140

Teresa Riera Madurell, Luis Yáñez-Barnuevo García

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 30

Motion for a resolution

30. Stresses that security research needs to be maintained as an independent theme in the next Framework Programme *and calls for a substantial increase in the funds allocated to it; calls for an expansion of the scope of the ‘Security’ theme to support the full range of dual-use technologies*; maintains that, while taking due account of any relevant defence-related requirements in the programmes and projects, the theme should keep its civilian focus;

Amendment

30. Stresses that security research needs to be maintained as an independent theme in the next Framework Programme; maintains that, while taking due account of any relevant defence-related requirements in the programmes and projects, the theme should keep its civilian focus;

Or. es

Amendment 141

Norica Nicolai, Johannes Cornelis van Baalen, Graham Watson

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 30

Motion for a resolution

30. Stresses that security research needs to be maintained as an independent theme in the next Framework Programme and calls for a substantial increase in the funds allocated to it; calls for an expansion of the

Amendment

30. Stresses that security research needs to be maintained as an independent theme in the next Framework Programme and calls for a substantial increase in the funds allocated to it, *following a re-evaluation of*

scope of the ‘Security’ theme to support the full range of dual-use technologies; maintains that, while taking due account of any relevant defence-related requirements in the programmes and projects, the theme should keep its civilian focus;

other areas which are less long-term relevant; calls for an expansion of the scope of the ‘Security’ theme to support the full range of dual-use technologies; maintains that, while taking due account of any relevant defence-related requirements in the programmes and projects, the theme should keep its civilian focus;

Or. en

Amendment 142

Reinhard Bütikofer

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 31

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

31. Points out that, just as the results of civilian research often have defence applications, the spin-offs from defence research frequently benefit the whole of society; recalls in particular the examples of the internet and GPS; given the above, takes the view that, in addition to the ‘Security’ theme, the establishment of a new ‘Defence’ theme in the Framework Programme should be envisaged, in order to stimulate European collaborative research and help bring together dispersed national funds;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 143

Teresa Riera Madurell, Luis Yáñez-Barnuevo García

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 31

Motion for a resolution

31. Points out that, just as the results of civilian research often have defence applications, the spin-offs from defence research frequently benefit the whole of society; recalls in particular the examples of the internet and GPS; ***given the above, takes the view that, in addition to the ‘Security’ theme, the establishment of a new ‘Defence’ theme in the Framework Programme should be envisaged, in order to stimulate European collaborative research and help bring together dispersed national funds;***

Amendment

31. Points out that, just as the results of civilian research often have defence applications, the spin-offs from defence research frequently benefit the whole of society; recalls in particular the examples of the internet and GPS;

Or. es

Amendment 144

Norica Nicolai, Johannes Cornelis van Baalen, Graham Watson

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 31

Motion for a resolution

31. Points out that, just as the results of civilian research often have defence applications, the spin-offs from defence research frequently benefit the whole of society; recalls in particular the examples of the internet and GPS; given the above, takes the view that, ***in addition to*** the ‘Security’ theme, the establishment of a new ‘Defence’ ***theme*** in the Framework Programme should be envisaged, in order to stimulate European collaborative research and help bring together dispersed national funds;

Amendment

31. Points out that, just as the results of civilian research often have defence applications, the spin-offs from defence research frequently benefit the whole of society; recalls in particular the examples of the internet and GPS; given the above, takes the view that, ***under*** the ‘Security’ theme, the establishment of a new ‘Defence’ ***sub-theme*** in the Framework Programme should be envisaged, in order to stimulate European collaborative research and help bring together dispersed national funds;

Or. en

Amendment 145
Reinhard Bütikofer
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 32

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

32. Stresses, however, that no resources must be transferred from civilian research and that the new theme must be funded entirely from additional resources allocated to the Framework Programme; recommends that the theme be managed by the Commission and the EDA; notes that any EU-funded defence research activity should first of all follow the objective of the development of EU crisis management capabilities and focus on research with dual applications;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 146
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 32

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

32. Stresses, however, that no resources must be transferred from civilian research and that the new theme must be funded entirely from additional resources allocated to the Framework Programme; *recommends that the theme be managed by the Commission and the EDA; notes that any EU-funded defence research activity should first of all follow the objective of the development of EU crisis management capabilities and focus on research with dual applications;*

32. Stresses, however, that no resources must be transferred from civilian research and that the new theme must be funded entirely from additional resources allocated to the Framework Programme *which are covered by compensating reductions elsewhere in the EU budget;*

Or. en

Amendment 147

Teresa Riera Madurell, Luis Yáñez-Barnuevo García

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 32

Motion for a resolution

32. ***Stresses, however, that no resources must be transferred from civilian research and that the new theme must be funded entirely from additional resources allocated to the Framework Programme; recommends that the theme be managed by the Commission and the EDA; notes that any EU-funded defence research activity should first of all follow the objective of the development of EU crisis management capabilities and focus on research with dual applications;***

Amendment

32. ***Notes*** that any EU-funded defence research activity should first of all follow the objective of the development of EU crisis management capabilities and focus on research with dual applications;

Or. es

Amendment 148

Norica Nicolai, Johannes Cornelis van Baalen, Graham Watson

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 32

Motion for a resolution

32. Stresses, however, that no resources must be transferred from civilian research and that the new ***theme*** must be funded entirely from additional resources allocated to the Framework Programme; recommends that the ***theme*** be managed by the Commission and the EDA; notes that any EU-funded defence research activity should first of all follow the objective of the development of EU crisis management capabilities and focus on research with dual applications;

Amendment

32. Stresses, however, that no resources must be transferred from civilian research and that the new ***sub-theme*** must be funded entirely from additional resources allocated to the Framework Programme; recommends that the ***sub-theme*** be managed by the Commission and the EDA; notes that any EU-funded defence research activity should first of all follow the objective of the development of EU crisis management capabilities and focus on research with dual applications;

Amendment 149
Reinhard Bütikofer
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

33. Points out the provision of Article 185 TFEU allowing an EU contribution to existing research and development programmes undertaken by a group of Member States; takes the view that EU co-financing based on this article should be used to speed up the development of capabilities needed for CSDP missions and operations; *deleted*

Amendment 150
Luis Yáñez-Barnuevo García, Teresa Riera Madurell

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

33. Points out the provision of Article 185 TFEU allowing an EU contribution to existing research and development programmes undertaken by a group of Member States; **takes the view that EU co-financing based on** this article should be used to speed up the development of capabilities needed for CSDP missions and operations;

33. Points out the provision of Article 185 TFEU allowing an EU contribution to existing research and development programmes undertaken by a group of Member States; **believes that the possibility could be explored of using** this article to speed up the development of capabilities needed for CSDP missions and operations;

Amendment 151
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33

Motion for a resolution

33. Points out the provision of Article 185 TFEU allowing an EU contribution to existing research and development programmes undertaken by a group of Member States; takes the view that EU co-financing based on this article should be used to *speed up the development of capabilities needed for CSDP missions and operations*;

Amendment

33. Points out the provision of Article 185 TFEU allowing an EU contribution to existing research and development programmes undertaken by a group of Member States; takes the view that EU co-financing based on this article should be used to *complement national spending*;

Or. en

Amendment 152
Maria Eleni Koppa

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

34a. Suggests the creation of a European research institute, possibly within the EDA, which will focus on doctrines, methodologies and perceptions of military action, compatible to the European Security Strategy and in line with the European values and the international law;

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 153
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 35

Motion for a resolution

35. *Recalls the need to progress in the consolidation of the European defence technological and industrial base, as, in the face of increasing sophistication of technologies, growing international competition, and decreasing defence budgets, in no EU Member State can the defence industry any longer be sustainable on a strictly national basis; deplores the fact that, while a certain level of concentration has been achieved in the European aerospace industries, the land and naval equipment sectors are still overwhelmingly fragmented along national lines;*

Amendment

35. *Recognises that a very small number of European countries are involved in defence R&D and that everything necessary should be done to improve their international competitiveness;*

Or. en

Amendment 154

Luis Yáñez-Barnuevo García, Teresa Riera Madurell

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 35

Motion for a resolution

35. Recalls the need to progress in the consolidation of the European defence technological and industrial base, as, in the face of increasing sophistication of technologies, growing international competition, and decreasing defence budgets, in no EU Member State can the defence industry any longer be sustainable on a strictly national basis; *deplores* the fact that, while a certain level of concentration has been achieved in the European aerospace industries, the land and naval equipment sectors *are still overwhelmingly fragmented along national lines;*

Amendment

35. Recalls the need to progress in the consolidation of the European defence technological and industrial base, as, in the face of increasing sophistication of technologies, growing international competition, and decreasing defence budgets, in no EU Member State can the defence industry any longer be sustainable on a strictly national basis; *draws attention to* the fact that, while a certain level of concentration has been achieved in the European aerospace industries, *European-level concentration remains weak in* the land and naval equipment sectors;

Or. es

Amendment 155
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 35

Motion for a resolution

35. Recalls the need to progress in the consolidation of the European defence technological and industrial base, as, in the face of increasing sophistication of technologies, growing international competition, and decreasing defence budgets, in no EU Member State can the defence industry any longer be sustainable on a strictly national basis; deplores the fact that, while a certain level of concentration has been achieved in the European aerospace industries, the land and naval equipment sectors are still overwhelmingly fragmented along national lines;

Amendment

35. Recalls the need to progress in the consolidation of the European defence technological and industrial base, as, in the face of increasing sophistication of technologies, growing international competition, and decreasing defence budgets, in no EU Member State can the defence industry any longer be sustainable on a strictly national basis; deplores the fact that, while a certain level of concentration has been achieved in the European aerospace industries, the land and naval equipment sectors are still overwhelmingly fragmented along national lines; ***warns Member States against the possibility that reductions in defence investment will expose European defence industries and technological innovation to the risks of being overtaken by the control of third powers with different strategic interests;***

Or. en

Amendment 156
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 35 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

35a. Stresses that a European defence technological and industrial goal can create jobs for European citizens;

Amendment 157
Reinhard Bütikofer
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 37

Motion for a resolution

37. Recognises that the likely consequence of restructuring will be the abandonment of some non-viable national industrial capacities, which may lead to employment concerns; ***calls for a better use of EU funding, such as the European Social Fund and European Globalisation Adjustment Fund, to support anticipation and adaptation to change;***

Amendment

37. Recognises that the likely consequence of restructuring will be the abandonment of some non-viable national industrial capacities, which may lead to employment concerns;

Amendment 158
Maria Eleni Koppa

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 37

Motion for a resolution

37. ***Recognises*** that the likely consequence of restructuring will be the abandonment of some non-viable national industrial capacities, ***which may lead to*** employment concerns; calls for a better use of EU funding, such as the European Social Fund and European Globalisation Adjustment Fund, to support anticipation and adaptation to change;

Amendment

37. ***While it recognises*** that ***one of*** the likely consequence of restructuring will be the abandonment of some non-viable national industrial capacities, ***stresses that any medium and long term plans for such restructuring should be aimed at having the least impact on*** employment; ***recommends, therefore, greater synergies, based on more specialisation, interoperability and complementarity;*** calls for a better use of EU funding, such as the European Social Fund and European Globalisation Adjustment Fund, to support

anticipation and adaptation to change;

Or. en

Amendment 159

Norica Nicolai, Johannes Cornelis van Baalen, Graham Watson

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 37

Motion for a resolution

37. Recognises that the likely consequence of restructuring will be the abandonment of some non-viable national industrial capacities, which may lead to employment concerns; calls for a better use of EU funding, such as the European Social Fund and European Globalisation Adjustment Fund, to support anticipation and adaptation to change;

Amendment

37. Recognises that the likely consequence of restructuring will be the abandonment *or preferably the restructuring and re-orientation* of some non-viable national industrial capacities, which may lead to employment concerns; calls for a better use of EU funding, such as the European Social Fund and European Globalisation Adjustment Fund, to support anticipation and adaptation to change;

Or. en

Amendment 160

Nadezhda Neynsky

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 37

Motion for a resolution

37. Recognises that the likely consequence of restructuring will be the abandonment of some non-viable national industrial capacities, which may lead to employment concerns; calls for a better use of EU funding, such as the European Social Fund and European Globalisation Adjustment Fund, to support anticipation and adaptation to change;

Amendment

37. Recognises that the likely consequence of restructuring will be the abandonment of some non-viable national industrial capacities, which may lead to employment concerns; calls for a better use of EU funding, such as the European Social Fund and European Globalisation Adjustment Fund, to support anticipation and adaptation to change; *is of the opinion that such restructuring should be presented and explained to the defence business,*

including SMEs that are involved in the development of EU military capabilities;

Or. en

Amendment 161

Norica Nicolai, Johannes Cornelis van Baalen, Graham Watson

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 39

Motion for a resolution

39. Encourages the EDA to further develop a common European view on key industrial capabilities that have to be preserved or developed in Europe; as part of this effort, invites the Agency to analyse dependencies on non-European technologies and sources of supply;

Amendment

39. Encourages the EDA to further develop a common European view on key industrial capabilities that have to be preserved or developed in Europe; as part of this effort, invites the Agency to analyse dependencies on non-European technologies and sources of supply ***and make concrete recommendations for Member States, in line with the work of the European Commission which also has certain programmes aimed at reducing European supply dependency and energy dependency;***

Or. en

Amendment 162

Luis Yáñez-Barnuevo García, Teresa Riera Madurell

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 39

Motion for a resolution

39. Encourages the EDA to further develop a common European view on key industrial capabilities that have to be preserved or developed in Europe; as part of this effort, invites the Agency to analyse dependencies on non-European technologies and sources of supply;

Amendment

39. Encourages the EDA, ***whose task is to act as a catalyst to harmonise the diverse viewpoints of the Member States and promote agreements between them,*** to further develop a common European view on key industrial capabilities that have to be preserved or developed in Europe; as

part of this effort, invites the Agency to analyse dependencies on non-European technologies and sources of supply; as part of this effort, invites the Agency to analyse dependencies on non-European technologies and sources of supply;

Or. es

Amendment 163
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 39

Motion for a resolution

39. Encourages the EDA to further develop a common European view on key industrial capabilities that have to be preserved or developed in Europe; as part of this effort, invites the Agency to analyse dependencies on non-European technologies and sources of supply;

Amendment

39. Encourages the EDA to further develop a common European view on key industrial capabilities that have to be preserved or developed in Europe; as part of this effort, invites the Agency to analyse dependencies on non-European technologies and sources of supply ***for European strategic autonomy***;

Or. en

Amendment 164
Graham Watson, Kristiina Ojuland, Norica Nicolai

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 39 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

39a. Supports the EDA's calls for harmonised defence requirements, combined R&D investment, the promotion of effective cooperative armaments programmes and increased market competition in defence procurement;

Or. en

Amendment 165
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 40

Motion for a resolution

40. Believes that collaborative armaments programmes, *such as* those *initiated by the EDA and* managed by the Organisation for Joint Armament Cooperation (OCCAR), represent a vital tool for reducing development costs, *supporting industry consolidation*, fostering standardisation and interoperability, and boosting global competitiveness; *highlights the EDA's role in facilitating the translation of capability needs into cooperative programmes and identifying opportunities to cooperate early in the life cycle; calls on the EDA to continue work on the Collaborative Database for matching national projects as cooperative opportunities and encourages the Member States to populate this database; calls on the EDA to present a Guide to Armaments Cooperation Best Practice, as provided for in its European Armaments Cooperation Strategy;*

Amendment

40. Believes that collaborative armaments programmes *for example* those managed by the Organisation for Joint Armament Cooperation (OCCAR), *may* represent a vital tool for reducing development costs, *and* fostering standardisation and interoperability, and boosting global competitiveness; *however more research is required on the cost-effectiveness of such* projects;

Or. en

Amendment 166
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 40 – subparagraph 1 (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Notes that if the main aim of collaboration is to reduce the unit cost to each partner nation in designing, producing or supporting what are usually

complex systems, the experience of the Eurofighter Typhoon Programme highlights that collaborative commercial and managerial arrangements are not necessarily either cost-effective or swift;

Or. en

Amendment 167

Luis Yáñez-Barnuevo García, Teresa Riera Madurell

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 41

Motion for a resolution

41. Urges the Member States to avoid rigid work-share agreements in joint armaments programmes, noting the adverse effects of the principle of ‘juste retour’ in terms of inefficient work distribution, leading to slower implementation and higher costs; calls for the ‘juste retour’ principle to be replaced with a much more flexible concept of ‘global balance’, which allows effective EU-wide competition for the selection of contractors; welcomes the fact that ‘global balance’ is used in the EDA’s joint investment programme on force protection, and calls on the Agency to implement this concept over the whole spectrum of its activities, with the final aim of complying with the level playing field within the European defence equipment market and taking account of the interests of small and medium enterprises;

Amendment

41. Urges the Member States to avoid rigid work-share agreements in joint armaments programmes, noting the adverse effects of the principle of ‘juste retour’ in terms of inefficient work distribution, leading to slower implementation and higher costs; calls for the ‘juste retour’ principle to be replaced with a much more flexible concept of ‘global balance’, which allows effective EU-wide competition for the selection of contractors, ***provided that a minimum balance is achieved in order to ensure that small and medium-sized enterprises can compete on an equal footing with large enterprises***; welcomes the fact that ‘global balance’ is used in the EDA’s joint investment programme on force protection, and calls on the Agency to implement this concept over the whole spectrum of its activities, with the final aim of complying with the level playing field within the European defence equipment market and taking account of the interests of small and medium enterprises;

Or. es

Amendment 168
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 42

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

42. Invites the Member States to make use of OCCAR's management experience for the implementation of joint programmes, as prepared by the EDA, and urges the EDA and OCCAR to conclude an administrative arrangement on their cooperation; recalls that any EU Member State may join OCCAR if it is its will and if it fulfils the membership criteria;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 169
Kyriakos Mavronikolas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 42

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

42. Invites the Member States to make use of OCCAR's management experience for the implementation of joint programmes, as prepared by the EDA, and **urges** the EDA and OCCAR to conclude an administrative arrangement on their cooperation; **recalls that any EU Member State may join OCCAR if it is its will and if it fulfils the membership criteria;**

42. Invites the Member States to make use of OCCAR's management experience for the implementation of joint programmes, as prepared by the EDA, and **calls on** the EDA and OCCAR to conclude an administrative arrangement on their cooperation;

Or. en

Amendment 170
Norica Nicolai, Johannes Cornelis van Baalen, Graham Watson

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 43

Motion for a resolution

43. Recalls that, in order to increase the competitiveness of the European defence industry, as well as to make sure that the interests of the taxpayer are adequately safeguarded, Member States urgently need to improve the transparency and openness of their defence markets; recalls that the deadline for the transposition of Directive 2009/81/EC on defence and sensitive security procurement expired on 21 August 2011; calls on the Commission to report in due time on the transposition measures taken by the Member States, and to take all necessary action to ensure correct implementation;

Amendment

43. Recalls that, in order to increase the competitiveness of the European defence industry, as well as to make sure that the interests of the taxpayer are adequately safeguarded, Member States urgently need to improve the transparency and openness of their defence markets; recalls that the deadline for the transposition of Directive 2009/81/EC on defence and sensitive security procurement expired on 21 August 2011; calls on the Commission to report in due time on the transposition measures taken by the Member States, and to take all necessary action to ensure correct implementation; ***points out however that given the negative effects of the crisis on national defence industries, steps should be taken to adapt the Directive to the current situation, including temporary exceptional measures aimed at promoting inter-European industry offset cooperation and allow for short-term deals that can have a positive stimulus effect;***

Or. en

Amendment 171
Rolandas Paksas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 43

Motion for a resolution

43. Recalls that, in order to increase the competitiveness of the European defence industry, as well as to make sure that the interests of the taxpayer are adequately safeguarded, Member States urgently need to improve the transparency and openness

Amendment

43. Recalls that, in order to ***create an open and competitive market for purchases in the sphere of European defence and security and to*** increase the competitiveness of the European defence industry, as well as to make sure that the

of their defence markets; recalls that the deadline for the transposition of Directive 2009/81/EC on defence and sensitive security procurement expired on 21 August 2011; calls on the Commission to report in due time on the transposition measures taken by the Member States, and to take all necessary action to ensure correct implementation;

interests of the taxpayer are adequately safeguarded ***and that suppliers from all Member States have equal access to the market***, Member States urgently need to improve the transparency and openness of their defence markets; recalls that the deadline for the transposition of Directive 2009/81/EC on defence and sensitive security procurement expired on 21 August 2011; ***points out that by transposing the directive's provisions properly into national law and implementing them, transparency and openness in military and security procurement procedures as well as more effective use of Member States' budgets will be ensured, small and medium-sized companies' participation in public procurement for defence and security will be facilitated, and innovation, with a view to strengthening the defence industry, will be fostered; therefore***, calls on the Commission to report in due time on the transposition measures taken by the Member States, and to take all necessary action to ensure correct implementation;

Or. It

Amendment 172
Juozas Imbrasas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 43

Motion for a resolution

43. Recalls that, in order to increase the competitiveness of the European defence industry, as well as to make sure that the interests of the taxpayer are adequately safeguarded, Member States urgently need to improve the transparency and openness of their defence markets; recalls that the deadline for the transposition of Directive 2009/81/EC on defence and sensitive

Amendment

43. Recalls that, in order to ***create an open and competitive market for purchases in the sphere of European defence and security and to*** increase the competitiveness of the European defence industry, as well as to make sure that the interests of the taxpayer are adequately safeguarded ***and that suppliers from all Member States have equal access to the***

security procurement expired on 21 August 2011; calls on the Commission to report in due time on the transposition measures taken by the Member States, and to take all necessary action to ensure correct implementation;

market, Member States urgently need to improve the transparency and openness of their defence markets; recalls that the deadline for the transposition of Directive 2009/81/EC on defence and sensitive security procurement expired on 21 August 2011; ***points out that by transposing the directive's provisions properly into national law and implementing them, transparency and openness in military and security procurement procedures as well as more effective use of Member States' budgets will be ensured, small and medium-sized companies' participation in public procurement for defence and security will be facilitated, and innovation, with a view to strengthening the defence industry, will be fostered;*** therefore, calls on the Commission to report in due time on the transposition measures taken by the Member States, and to take all necessary action to ensure correct implementation;

Or. It

Amendment 173

Norica Nicolai, Johannes Cornelis van Baalen, Graham Watson

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 44

Motion for a resolution

44. Stresses that the directive is tailor-made to the specificities of defence and security procurement contracts, and that, consequently, any exemption of contracts from EU law on the basis of Article 346 TFEU can be deemed legal only in exceptional and duly substantiated cases; calls on the Commission to ensure that the directive, as well as the derogation under Article 346 TFEU, are ***correctly*** applied;

Amendment

44. Stresses that the directive is tailor-made to the specificities of defence and security procurement contracts ***but that it fails to encompass all the particularities of the Member States in a balanced manner, creating major problems and delays in their various strategies for its implementation and therefore*** that, consequently, any exemption of contracts from EU law on the basis of Article 346 TFEU can be deemed legal only in exceptional and duly substantiated cases,

such as the very damaging effect of the financial crisis on the national defence sectors; calls on the Commission to ensure that the directive, as well as the derogation under Article 346 TFEU, are applied **by all Member States in an adequate manner given the struggles of a crisis-affected market and industry**;

Or. en

Amendment 174
Rolandas Paksas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 44

Motion for a resolution

44. Stresses that the directive is tailor-made to the specificities of defence and security procurement contracts, and that, consequently, any exemption of contracts from EU law on the basis of Article 346 TFEU can be deemed legal only in exceptional and duly substantiated cases; calls on the Commission to ensure that the directive, as well as the derogation under Article 346 TFEU, are correctly applied;

Amendment

44. Stresses that the directive is tailor-made to the specificities of defence and security procurement contracts, and that, consequently, any exemption of contracts from EU law on the basis of Article 346 TFEU can be deemed legal only in exceptional and duly substantiated cases **in order to safeguard essential national security interests**; calls on the Commission to ensure that the directive, as well as the derogation under Article 346 TFEU, are correctly applied;

Or. It

Amendment 175
Norica Nicolai, Johannes Cornelis van Baalen, Graham Watson

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 44 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

44a. Points out that given the significant differences between the status of Member

States' ability to fully integrate the Directive in their respective legal systems and practices, and the damaging impact it can have on an industry already in crisis, the Council should re-examine its deadline, as well as consider a short term expansion of the use of Article 346 TFEU for such exceptional circumstances, as inter-Union offset contracts can lead to the creation of jobs and the re-start of national industries;

Or. en

Amendment 176

Norica Nicolai, Johannes Cornelis van Baalen, Graham Watson

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 45

Motion for a resolution

45. Recalls that the regime established by the EDA's Code of Conduct on Defence Procurement and the Code of Best Practice in the Supply Chain is only applicable to contracts covered by the derogation under Article 346 TFEU; invites the EDA and the Commission to reassess the relevance of this regime following the entry into force of the Directive on defence procurement;

Amendment

45. Recalls that the regime established by the EDA's Code of Conduct on Defence Procurement and the Code of Best Practice in the Supply Chain is only applicable to contracts covered by the derogation under Article 346 TFEU; invites the EDA and the Commission to reassess the relevance of this regime following the entry into force of the Directive on defence procurement **and the fact that not all Member States are a party to it, nor fully able to implement it;**

Or. en

Amendment 177

Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 46

Motion for a resolution

46. Urges the Member States to set as a top priority the fight against corruption in defence procurement, deploring the devastating effects of corruption especially in terms of inflated costs, acquisition of unnecessary, inadequate or non-optimal equipment, obstruction to joint procurement and collaborative programmes, **and** hindering market opening; in addition to generalising transparent and competitive public procurement procedures, strongly advises following the recommendations of the NATO/DCAF Building Integrity and Reducing Corruption in Defence compendium of best practices; highlights positive examples such as the concept of ‘defence integrity pacts’ between government and bidders with the participation of independent monitors, or systematic parliamentary oversight of all stages in procurement procedures above a certain ceiling as practised in several Member States;

Amendment

46. Urges the Member States to set as a top priority the fight against corruption in defence procurement, ***namely by adequate implementation of the "Defence Package"***, deploring the devastating effects of corruption especially in terms of inflated costs, acquisition of unnecessary, inadequate or non-optimal equipment, obstruction to joint procurement and collaborative programmes, hindering market opening ***and resulting in heavy burden on national budgets***; in addition to generalising transparent and competitive public procurement procedures, strongly advises following the recommendations of the NATO/DCAF Building Integrity and Reducing Corruption in Defence compendium of best practices; highlights positive examples such as the concept of ‘defence integrity pacts’ between government and bidders with the participation of independent monitors, or systematic parliamentary oversight of all stages in procurement procedures above a certain ceiling as practised in several Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 178
Alexander Graf Lambsdorff

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 46 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

46a. Notes that offsets, whether civil or military, direct or indirect, and irrespective of their legal connection with the procurement contract, contravene the basic principles of the TFEU, because they are discriminatory by nature and

impede the free movement of goods and services;

Or. en

Amendment 179
Alexander Graf Lambsdorff

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 47

Motion for a resolution

47. Stresses that offset *practices that may accompany defence procurement* not covered under Directive 2009/81/EC, for which the exemption under Article 346 TFEU has been applied, should be consistent with the principles of transparency and non-discrimination and must not cause risks of corruption or disrupt the functioning of the European defence equipment market;

Amendment

47. Stresses that offset *requirements can only be justified if they are necessary for the protection of essential security interests, that economic interests are not sufficient, and that whether offset requirements are necessary* for essential security reasons according to Article 346 TFEU *must be assessed on a case by case basis;*

Or. en

Amendment 180
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 47

Motion for a resolution

47. Stresses that offset practices that may accompany defence procurement not covered under Directive 2009/81/EC, for which the exemption under Article 346 TFEU has been applied, should be consistent with the principles of transparency and non-discrimination and must not cause risks of corruption or disrupt the functioning of the European defence equipment market;

Amendment

47. Stresses that offset practices that may accompany defence procurement not covered under Directive 2009/81/EC, for which the exemption under Article 346 TFEU has been applied, should be consistent with the principles of transparency and non-discrimination, *respect Single Market rules* and must not cause risks of corruption or disrupt the functioning of the European defence

equipment market; *recalls the negative examples of defence procurement contracts, such as the acquisition of German submarines by Greece and Portugal, not meeting CSDP or NATO capability needs, operated through contracts marred by suspicion of corruption and fraudulent offsets and causing a disproportionate burden on national budgets and sovereign debt;*

Or. en

Amendment 181
Krzysztof Lisek, Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 47

Motion for a resolution

47. Stresses that offset practices that may accompany defence procurement not covered under Directive 2009/81/EC, for which the exemption under Article 346 TFEU has been applied, should be consistent with the principles of transparency and non-discrimination and must not cause risks of corruption or disrupt the functioning of the European defence equipment market;

Amendment

47. Stresses that offset practices that may accompany defence procurement not covered under Directive 2009/81/EC, for which the exemption under Article 346 TFEU has been applied, should be consistent with the principles of transparency and non-discrimination and must *especially* not cause risks of corruption or disrupt the functioning of the European defence equipment market;

Or. en

Amendment 182
Norica Nicolai, Johannes Cornelis van Baalen, Graham Watson

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 47 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

47a. Calls on the Council and Commission, together with the EDA, to

examine the possibility of creating an European Defence Anti-Corruption Action Plan, that can be expanded to other major policy areas as well;

Or. en

Amendment 183
Alexander Graf Lambsdorff

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 48

Motion for a resolution

48. Calls *on the Member States to make the EDA Code of Conduct on Offsets more ambitious and to work towards the phasing-out of offsets; notes, however, that offset practices may in certain cases help domestic industries become more efficient and contribute to the development of the European defence industrial base; asks* the EDA and the Commission to work together in order to foster the integration of smaller Member States' industries into the European defence technological and industrial base by other means than offsets;

Amendment

48. Calls on the EDA and the Commission to work together in order to foster the integration of smaller Member States' industries into the European defence technological and industrial base by other means than offsets;

Or. en

Amendment 184
Pawel Robert Kowal

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 48

Motion for a resolution

48. *Calls on the Member States to make the EDA Code of Conduct on Offsets more ambitious and to work towards the phasing-out of offsets; notes, however,*

Amendment

48. *Notes* that offset practices may in certain cases help domestic industries become more efficient and contribute to the development of the European defence

that offset practices may in certain cases help domestic industries become more efficient and contribute to the development of the European defence industrial base; asks the EDA and the Commission to work together in order to foster the integration of smaller Member States' industries into the European defence technological and industrial base by other means than offsets;

industrial base; asks the EDA and the Commission to work together in order to foster the integration of smaller Member States' industries into the European defence technological and industrial base by other means than offsets;

Or. en

Amendment 185

Norica Nicolai, Johannes Cornelis van Baalen, Graham Watson

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 48

Motion for a resolution

48. Calls on the Member States to make the EDA Code of Conduct on Offsets more **ambitious** and to work towards the **phasing-out** of offsets; notes, however, that offset practices may in certain cases help domestic industries become more efficient and contribute to the development of the European defence industrial base; asks the EDA and the Commission to work together in order to foster the integration of smaller Member States' industries into the European defence technological and industrial base by other means than offsets;

Amendment

48. Calls on the **EDA and the** Member States to make the EDA Code of Conduct on Offsets more **realistic** and **clear and** to work towards the **adaptation** of offsets **to the current crisis situation while taking into account the need for long-term competitiveness**; notes, however, that offset practices may in certain cases help domestic industries become more efficient and contribute to the development of the European defence industrial base; asks the EDA and the Commission to work together in order to foster the integration of smaller Member States' industries into the European defence technological and industrial base by other means than offsets;

Or. en

Amendment 186

Pawel Robert Kowal

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 49

Motion for a resolution

49. Calls on the Commission and the EDA to look into ways also to address *other* market-distorting practices, *such as state aid and export support*, building on the EDA Level Playing Field initiative;

Amendment

49. Calls on the Commission and the EDA to look into ways also to address market-distorting practices, building on the EDA Level Playing Field initiative;

Or. en

Amendment 187

Reinhard Bütikofer

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 52

Motion for a resolution

52. Stresses that the success of the directive, in particular as regards licences for transfers between companies, largely depends on the confidence that the Member States have in each other's export controls; urges the Member States to comply strictly with the obligations set out in Council Common Position 2008/944/CFSP defining common rules governing control of exports of military technology and equipment, and to make sure they rigorously assess all licence applications against all the eight criteria as required; calls on the Vice-President/High Representative to evaluate Member States' compliance, in the context of the review of the Common Position, in light of both trade and foreign policy considerations;

Amendment

52. Stresses that the success of the directive, in particular as regards licences for transfers between companies, largely depends on the confidence that the Member States have in each other's export controls; urges the Member States to comply strictly with the obligations set out in Council Common Position 2008/944/CFSP defining common rules governing control of exports of military technology and equipment, and to make sure they rigorously assess all licence applications against all the eight criteria as required; calls on the Vice-President/High Representative to evaluate Member States' compliance, in the context of the review of the Common Position, in light of both trade and foreign policy considerations *including the respect of human rights and democratic principles in importing countries*;

Or. en

Amendment 188
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 54 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

54a. Notes that there is as yet no European-level legal definition of the European Defence Technological and Industrial Base (EDTIB); considers that EDTIB membership criteria ought to be established; stresses in this regard the importance of a criterion for the technological added value generated by the fact of consultants' offices being located within EU Member States;

Or. fr

Amendment 189
Maria Eleni Koppa

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 54 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

54a. Stresses that the above mentioned standardisation and consolidation should be part of an EU- and not an industry-driven process to the benefit of the European interests and the real needs of the society, and that participation in common EU programmes and synergies should be - in principle - open to all Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 190
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 54 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

54a. Calls on Member States to take concrete steps to sign up to the principle of "European preference" in the purchase of certain military equipment in line with the need to sustain a strategic level of European autonomy and operational sovereignty in the field of capabilities building; recalls in this respect the positive impact such positioning by EU Member States as a whole would cause on the European defence and technological industries;

Or. en

Amendment 191
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 55

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

55. Is convinced that, especially in the context of the adoption of the new Multiannual Financial Framework, reflection needs to be undertaken on the possibilities for the EU budget to assist the Member States in achieving the goals of the Common Security and Defence Policy in a more cost-efficient way;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 192
Reinhard Bütikofer
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 55

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

55. Is convinced that, especially in the context of the adoption of the new Multiannual Financial Framework, reflection needs to be undertaken on the possibilities for the EU budget to assist the Member States in achieving the goals of the Common Security and Defence Policy in a more cost-efficient way;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 193
Ágnes Hankiss

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 55

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

55. Is convinced that, especially in the context of the adoption of the new Multiannual Financial Framework, reflection needs to be undertaken on the possibilities for the EU budget to assist the Member States in achieving the goals of the Common Security and Defence Policy in a more cost-efficient way;

55. Is convinced that, especially in the context of the adoption of the new Multiannual Financial Framework, reflection needs to be undertaken on the possibilities for the EU budget to assist the Member States **where appropriate** in achieving the goals of the Common Security and Defence Policy in a more cost-efficient way;

Or. en

Amendment 194
Nikolaos Salavrakos

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 55

Motion for a resolution

55. Is convinced that, especially in the context of the adoption of the new Multiannual Financial Framework, reflection needs to be undertaken on the possibilities for the EU budget to assist the Member States in achieving the goals of the Common Security and Defence Policy in a more cost-efficient way;

Amendment

55. Is convinced that, especially in the context of the adoption of the new Multiannual Financial Framework, reflection needs to be undertaken on the possibilities for the EU budget to assist the Member States in achieving the goals of the Common Security and Defence Policy in a more cost-efficient way; ***draws attention to the pooling of defence resources as a potential area for greater synergy and economies of scale in the EU;***

Or. en

Amendment 195

Reinhard Bütikofer

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 56

Motion for a resolution

56. As set out under (C) above, calls for the reinforcement and extension of security research under the Research Framework Programme, for the use of Article 185 TFEU to co-fund existing research and development programmes, as well as for the preparation of a new theme for defence research with civil-military applications in order to stimulate collaborative defence research;

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 196

Norica Nicolai, Johannes Cornelis van Baalen, Graham Watson

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 57

Motion for a resolution

57. Takes the view that EU funds should be used to foster cooperation in education and training; calls for the necessary arrangements to be made to allow the payment of stipends to cadets participating in the ‘military Erasmus’ programme from the EU budget, in order to give them equal treatment with students at civilian higher education institutions;

Amendment

57. Takes the view that EU funds should be used to foster cooperation in education and training; calls for the necessary arrangements to be made to allow the payment of stipends to cadets **and civilians involved in security and defence** participating in the ‘military Erasmus’ programme from the EU budget, in order to give them equal treatment with students at civilian higher education institutions;

Or. en

Amendment 197
Reinhard Bütikofer
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 57

Motion for a resolution

57. Takes the view that EU funds should be used to foster cooperation in education and training; calls for the necessary arrangements to be made to allow the payment of stipends to cadets participating in the ‘military Erasmus’ programme **from the EU budget**, in order to give them equal treatment with students at civilian higher education institutions;

Amendment

57. Takes the view that EU funds should be used to foster cooperation in education and training; calls for the necessary arrangements to be made to allow the payment of stipends to cadets participating in the ‘military Erasmus’ programme, in order to give them equal treatment with students at civilian higher education institutions;

Or. en

Amendment 198
Ágnes Hankiss

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 57

PE473.871v01-00

108/116

AM\879881EN.doc

Motion for a resolution

57. Takes the view that EU funds should be used to foster cooperation in education and training; calls for the necessary arrangements to be made to allow the payment of stipends to cadets participating in the ‘military Erasmus’ programme from the EU budget, in order to give them equal treatment with students at civilian higher education institutions;

Amendment

57. Takes the view that EU funds should be used to foster cooperation in education and training; calls for the necessary arrangements to be made to allow the payment of stipends to cadets participating in the ‘military Erasmus’ programme from the EU budget, in order to give them equal treatment with students at civilian higher education institutions ***and thus facilitate the development of common security culture and approach***;

Or. en

Amendment 199
Maria Eleni Koppa

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 57

Motion for a resolution

57. Takes the view that EU funds should be used to foster cooperation in education and training; calls for the necessary arrangements to be made to allow the payment of stipends to cadets participating in the ‘military Erasmus’ programme from the EU budget, in order to give them equal treatment with students at civilian higher education institutions;

Amendment

57. Takes the view that EU funds should be used to foster cooperation in education and training, ***encouraging the creation of networks between the defence industry, research institutes and academia***; calls for the necessary arrangements to be made to allow the payment of stipends to cadets participating in the ‘military Erasmus’ programme from the EU budget, in order to give them equal treatment with students at civilian higher education institutions;

Or. en

Amendment 200
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 58

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

58. Recommends funding of the activities of the European Security and Defence College, focused on the training of civilian and military experts in crisis management and CSDP, and promoting a common security culture in the EU, from the Instrument for Stability; *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 201
Reinhard Bütikofer
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 58

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

58. Recommends funding of the activities of the European Security and Defence College, focused on the training of civilian and military experts in crisis management and CSDP, and promoting a common security culture in the EU, from the Instrument for Stability; *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 202
Tunne Kelam

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 58

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

58. Recommends funding of the activities of the European Security and Defence College, focused on the training of civilian and military experts in crisis *deleted*

management and CSDP, and promoting a common security culture in the EU, from the Instrument for Stability;

Or. en

Amendment 203
Ágnes Hankiss

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 58

Motion for a resolution

58. Recommends funding of the activities of the European Security and Defence College, focused on the training of civilian and military experts in crisis management and CSDP, and promoting *a* common security *culture* in the EU, *from* the Instrument for Stability;

Amendment

58. Recommends funding of the activities of the European Security and Defence College, focused on the training of civilian and military experts in crisis management and CSDP, and promoting common security *capacities* in the EU *through* the Instrument for Stability;

Or. en

Amendment 204
Norica Nicolai, Johannes Cornelis van Baalen, Graham Watson

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 58 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

58a. Notes the limitation of the European Security and Defence college in the recipients of its formation courses, open only to seconded Member States' officials; calls for the opening of a branch of the College for the wider, post-graduate public, in order to increase the number of European experts formed by it and available for recruitment for Union level missions and programmes;

Or. en

Amendment 205
Reinhard Bütikofer
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 59

Motion for a resolution

59. Encourages further development of the role of the College as a forum for cooperation between national defence academies and civilian security training institutions, in order also to identify and develop cost-saving pooling and sharing projects between them; calls on the Member States to transform it into a real academic institution, and, given its strong civilian-military focus, suggests it be funded by the EU under the next Multiannual Financial Framework;

Amendment

59. Encourages further development of the role of the ***European Security and Defence*** College as a forum for cooperation between national defence academies and civilian security training institutions, in order also to identify and develop cost-saving pooling and sharing projects between them; calls on the Member States to transform it into a real academic institution, and, given its strong civilian-military focus, suggests it be funded by the EU under the next Multiannual Financial Framework;

Or. en

Amendment 206
Tunne Kelam

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 59

Motion for a resolution

59. Encourages further development of the role of the College as a forum for cooperation between national defence academies and civilian security training institutions, in order also to identify and develop cost-saving pooling and sharing projects between them; calls on the Member States to transform it into a real academic institution, and, given its strong civilian-military focus, suggests it be funded by the EU under the next

Amendment

59. Encourages further development of the role of the ***European Security and Defence*** College as a forum for cooperation between national defence academies and civilian security training institutions, in order also to identify and develop cost-saving pooling and sharing projects between them; calls on the Member States to transform it into a real academic institution, and, given its strong civilian-military focus, suggests it be

Multiannual Financial Framework;

funded by the EU under the next
Multiannual Financial Framework;

Or. en

Amendment 207
Krzysztof Lisek

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 60

Motion for a resolution

60. Calls on all relevant actors to assess whether EU-owned assets along the Galileo model, as set out under (B), could be a viable and cost-effective option, especially in areas such as strategic transport or surveillance;

Amendment

60. Calls on all relevant actors to assess whether EU-owned assets along the Galileo model, as set out under (B), could be a viable and cost-effective option, especially in areas such as strategic **and tactical** transport or surveillance;

Or. en

Amendment 208
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 61

Motion for a resolution

61. Urges the Member States to increase the budget of the EDA as a matter of priority, recognising the Agency's added value in compensating, through cooperation, for cuts decided at national level; deplores the fact that the Council Decision on the EDA has not provided the Agency with a multiannual budgetary framework;

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 209
Krzysztof Lisek, Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 61

Motion for a resolution

61. Urges the Member States to increase the budget of the EDA as a matter of priority, recognising the Agency's added value in compensating, through cooperation, for cuts decided at national level; deplores the fact that the Council Decision on the EDA has not provided the Agency with a multiannual budgetary framework;

Amendment

61. Urges the Member States to increase the budget of the EDA as a matter of priority, recognising the Agency's added value in compensating, through cooperation, for cuts decided at national level; deplores the fact that the Council Decision on the EDA has not provided the Agency with a multiannual budgetary framework ***comparable to the EU's general budget***;

Or. en

Amendment 210
Krzysztof Lisek, Arnaud Danjean, Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 61 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

61a. Points out that the EU Satellite Centre, operating with a modest budget, has demonstrated its efficiency and added value throughout a variety of security and defence operations; recalls the growing demand for satellite imagery, including in the wake of the recent events in Northern Africa; calls on the Member States to provide the Centre with a more important budget, and, given in particular its civil-military uses, takes the view that it should be funded from the EU budget;

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 211
Krzysztof Lisek, Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 62

Motion for a resolution

62. *Invites* the Member States to consider, as part of the review of the ATHENA mechanism, the possibility of extending the mechanism to provide also common funding for actions or acquisitions which support the aim of greater cost efficiency in European defence, but cannot be financed from the EU budget;

Amendment

62. *Welcomes the efforts of the Polish Council Presidency in reviewing the ATHENA mechanism; encourages the Member States to increase their efforts in finding an agreement on common financing; invites the Member States to consider, as part of the review of the ATHENA mechanism, the possibility of extending the mechanism to provide also common funding for actions or acquisitions which support the aim of greater cost efficiency in European defence, but cannot be financed from the EU budget, notably a common financing of provided equipment;*

Or. en

Amendment 212
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 62

Motion for a resolution

62. *Invites the Member States to consider, as part of the review of the ATHENA mechanism, the possibility of extending the mechanism to provide also common funding for actions or acquisitions which support the aim of greater cost efficiency in European defence, but cannot be financed from the EU budget;*

Amendment

62. *Urges Member States to review the ATHENA mechanism and extend the mechanism to provide also common funding for actions or acquisitions which support the aim of greater cost efficiency in European defence, but cannot be financed from the EU budget;*

Or. en

Amendment 213
Ágnes Hankiss

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 62

Motion for a resolution

62. Invites the Member States to consider, as part of the review of the ATHENA mechanism, the possibility of extending the mechanism to provide also common funding for actions or acquisitions which support the aim of greater cost efficiency in European defence, but cannot be financed from the EU budget;

Amendment

62. Invites the Member States to consider *as appropriate*, as part of the review of the ATHENA mechanism, the possibility of extending the mechanism to provide also common funding for actions or acquisitions which support the aim of greater cost efficiency in European defence, but cannot be financed from the EU budget;

Or. en

Amendment 214
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 62 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

62a. Urges Member States to depart from the "costs lie where they fall" policy, and communitarise the budget of CSDP missions, with a view to encourage Member States with weaker financial capacities to make more substantial contributions for forces generation;

Amendment

Or. en