



EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

2009 - 2014

Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

2011/2307(INI)

8.2.2012

AMENDMENTS

1 - 59

Draft opinion
Vasilica Viorica Dăncilă
(PE480.548v01)

Our life insurance, our natural capital: an EU biodiversity strategy to 2020
(2011/2307(INI))

AM_Com_NonLegOpinion

Amendment 1
Marit Paulsen, George Lyon

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Notes that our natural heritage is a major ecological asset which *contributes* to human wellbeing; takes the view that all EU Member States should cooperate and coordinate their efforts to ensure a more effective use of natural resources and *limit damage to the* biodiversity;

Amendment

1. Notes that our natural heritage is a major ecological asset which *is fundamental* to human wellbeing; takes the view that all EU Member States should cooperate and coordinate their efforts to ensure a more effective use of natural resources and *avoid net losses in biodiversity and ecosystem services*;

Or. en

Amendment 2
Bas Eickhout, José Bové, Martin Häusling, Margrete Auken
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Notes that our natural heritage is a major ecological asset which contributes to human wellbeing; takes the view that all EU Member States should cooperate and coordinate their efforts to ensure a *more effective* use of natural resources and *limit damage to the* biodiversity;

Amendment

1. Notes that our natural heritage is a major ecological asset which contributes to human wellbeing; takes the view that all EU Member States should cooperate and coordinate their efforts to ensure a *sustainable* use of natural resources and *halt* damage to biodiversity; *Notes that biodiversity is needed for long term agricultural production as services provided by it include soil formation, pollination, regulation of water and nutrient cycles, predation of pest species, etc; Notes that the best insurance against climatic volatility are diverse, healthy and robust agro-ecosystems to ensure food security long into the future; Notes that reliance on pest-generating monocultures*

propped up by biocidal applications is not feasible for long term food security and wise use of limited natural resources;

Or. en

Amendment 3
Luis Paulo Alves

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Notes that our natural heritage is a major ecological asset which contributes to human wellbeing; takes the view that all EU Member States should cooperate and coordinate their efforts to ensure a more effective use of natural resources and limit damage to the biodiversity;

Amendment

1. Notes that our natural heritage is a major ecological asset which contributes to human wellbeing; takes the view that all EU Member States should cooperate and coordinate their efforts to ensure a more effective use of natural resources and limit damage to the biodiversity; ***considers that the importance of biodiversity for the island and outermost regions needs to be underlined in this context; believes that these regions offer unique features for research, development and innovation that should be stimulated and utilised by the European Union as a way of promoting sustainable development based on knowledge and innovation, since much of their tremendous potential has yet to be harnessed;***

Or. pt

Amendment 4
Rolandas Paksas

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Notes that our natural heritage is a major

Amendment

1. Notes that our natural heritage is a major

ecological asset which contributes to human wellbeing; takes the view that all EU Member States should cooperate and coordinate their efforts to ensure a more effective use of natural resources and limit damage to the biodiversity;

ecological asset which contributes to human wellbeing; takes the view that all EU Member States should cooperate and coordinate their efforts to ensure a more effective use of natural resources and limit damage to the biodiversity; ***stresses that landowners should play the main role in managing natural resources and restoring biodiversity;***

Or. It

Amendment 5
Sergio Paolo Francesco Silvestris

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Notes that our natural heritage is a major ecological asset which contributes to human wellbeing; takes the view that all EU Member States should cooperate and coordinate their efforts to ensure a more effective use of natural resources and limit damage to the biodiversity;

Amendment

1. Notes that our natural heritage is a major ecological asset which contributes to human wellbeing; takes the view that all EU Member States should cooperate and coordinate their efforts to ensure a more effective use of natural resources and limit damage to the biodiversity ***both of rural and of urbanised areas;***

Or. it

Amendment 6
Bas Eickhout, José Bové, Martin Häusling, Margrete Auken
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. Notes that current threats to biodiversity are principally fragmentation of ranges and habitats and toxicological effects on populations, leading to local

and regional extinction, as well as abandonment of High Nature Value grazed pastoralist systems; Notes further that the environmental fluctuations inherent in climate change mean that species need to be able to move to adapt their ranges if large-scale local extinctions are to be avoided, therefore habitat connectivity is crucial; Considers that biodiversity should not and can not be limited to reserves, and a matrix of nature-friendly land use is needed, incorporating Natura 2000 sites, agri-environmental measures, permanent grasslands, ecological focus areas, within a diverse agricultural system that does not erode biodiversity but adds to it, e.g. via crop rotation which reduces the need to apply costly pesticides;

Or. en

Amendment 7
Lucas Hartong

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

Amendment

2. Welcomes the new EU biodiversity strategy and the Commission recommendations for CAP reform, including clearly formulated measures under both the first and second pillars seeking to conserve and improve biodiversity;

deleted

Or. nl

Amendment 8
Jarosław Kalinowski

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Welcomes the new EU biodiversity strategy *and* the Commission *recommendations for CAP reform, including clearly formulated measures under both the first and second pillars seeking to conserve and improve biodiversity;*

Amendment

2. Welcomes the new EU biodiversity strategy, *but does not support* the Commission *proposal to create an additional, ‘greening’ payments component, as proposed in the draft reform of the CAP towards 2020; considers that the Commission proposals will increase administrative costs and run counter to the aim of simplifying the CAP;*

Or. pl

Amendment 9
Julie Girling

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Welcomes the new EU biodiversity strategy *and* the Commission recommendations for CAP reform, *including clearly formulated measures under both the first and second pillars seeking to conserve and improve biodiversity;*

Amendment

2. Welcomes the new EU biodiversity strategy *however notes with concern* the Commission recommendations for CAP reform *and stresses that greening measures will be most effective when tailored to local ecosystems and environments; Calls therefore for the strengthening of biodiversity measures within the rural development pillar of the CAP which are far more likely to effectively* conserve and improve biodiversity;

Or. en

Amendment 10
Mairead McGuinness

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Welcomes the new EU biodiversity strategy and the Commission recommendations for CAP reform, **including clearly formulated measures** under both the first and second pillars seeking to conserve and improve biodiversity;

Amendment

2. Welcomes the new EU biodiversity strategy and **acknowledges** the Commission recommendations for CAP reform under both the first and second pillars seeking to conserve and improve biodiversity;

Or. en

Amendment 11
Giovanni La Via

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Welcomes the new EU biodiversity strategy and the Commission recommendations for CAP reform, including **clearly formulated** measures under both the first and second pillars seeking to conserve and improve biodiversity;

Amendment

2. Welcomes the new EU biodiversity strategy and the Commission recommendations for CAP reform, including **potential** measures under both the first and second pillars seeking to conserve and improve biodiversity **in a farming-compatible manner**;

Or. it

Amendment 12
Marc Tarabella

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Welcomes the new EU biodiversity strategy and the Commission

Amendment

2. Welcomes the new EU biodiversity strategy and **notes** the Commission

recommendations for CAP reform, including clearly formulated measures under both the first and second pillars seeking to conserve and improve biodiversity;

recommendations for CAP reform, including clearly formulated *proposed* measures under both the first and second pillars seeking to conserve and improve biodiversity;

Or. fr

Amendment 13

Peter Jahr, Elisabeth Jeggle, Astrid Lulling, Albert Deß, Herbert Dorfmann, Elisabeth Köstinger, Britta Reimers, Hans-Peter Mayer, Christa Klab

Draft opinion Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Welcomes the new EU biodiversity strategy and the Commission recommendations for CAP reform, including clearly formulated measures under both the first and second pillars seeking to conserve and improve biodiversity;

Amendment

2. Welcomes the new EU biodiversity strategy and *notes* the Commission recommendations for CAP reform, including clearly formulated measures under both the first and second pillars seeking to conserve and improve biodiversity; *stresses in this connection the particular role played by farming, which has already made a great contribution to preserving the diversity of species and biotopes and will also make a crucial contribution to the success of the European biodiversity strategy;*

Or. de

Amendment 14

Bas Eickhout, José Bové, Martin Häusling, Margrete Auken
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Welcomes the new EU biodiversity strategy and the Commission

Amendment

2. Welcomes the new EU biodiversity strategy and the Commission

recommendations for CAP reform, including clearly formulated measures under both the first and second pillars seeking to conserve and improve biodiversity;

recommendations for CAP reform *that provides for a greening of the CAP*, including clearly formulated measures under both the first and second pillars seeking to conserve and improve biodiversity; *welcomes therefore the allocation of 30% of Pillar I payments to simple agronomic practices that are beneficial for the climate and the environment - these should include crop rotation, permanent pasture and ecological focus areas made up of existing landscape elements and buffers which would improve soil formation, pollination and the cycling of water and nutrients;*

Or. en

Amendment 15
Michel Dantin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Welcomes the new EU biodiversity strategy and the Commission recommendations for CAP reform, including clearly formulated measures under both the first and second pillars seeking to conserve and improve biodiversity;

Amendment

2. Welcomes the new EU biodiversity strategy and the Commission recommendations for CAP reform, including clearly formulated measures under both the first and second pillars seeking to conserve and improve biodiversity; *stresses the need to move from a means-based approach to a results-based approach to assess the effectiveness of the instruments applied;*

Or. fr

Amendment 16
Marit Paulsen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

PE480.860v01-00

10/31

AM\891459EN.doc

Draft opinion

2. Welcomes the new EU biodiversity strategy and the Commission recommendations for CAP reform, including clearly formulated measures under both the first and second pillars seeking to conserve and improve biodiversity;

Amendment

2. Welcomes the new EU biodiversity strategy and the Commission recommendations for CAP reform, including clearly formulated measures under both the first and second pillars seeking to conserve and improve biodiversity, ***based on good agricultural practice including sustainable use of water resources***;

Or. en

Amendment 17

Czesław Adam Siekierski

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. Believes that before we undertake a further greening of the CAP we should examine the impact of such actions on the competitiveness of EU farming in the global market;

Or. pl

Amendment 18

Elisabeth Köstinger

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. Points out that many measures are already being implemented as part of the CAP that are helping to improve environmental protection and the preservation of natural resources and are

responding to the challenges of climate change, the preservation of biodiversity and depletion of water resources and soil fertility; calls for the rural development measures defined and financed under the second pillar to be recognised in the biodiversity strategy;

Or. de

Amendment 19

Peter Jahr, Elisabeth Jeggle, Astrid Lulling, Albert Deß, Herbert Dorfmann, Elisabeth Köstinger, Britta Reimers, Hans-Peter Mayer, Christa Klauß

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. Is convinced that the so-called 'greening' of the CAP should be implemented efficiently and unbureaucratically, without leading to discrimination against existing agri-environmental measures; calls, with regard to the necessary reduction in the administrative burden, for, inter alia, all CAP payments to continue to be underpinned by robust cross-compliance rules after 2014, which should be both transparent and as easy as possible to implement and monitor;

Or. de

Amendment 20

Daciana Octavia Sârbu

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. Highlights that the under-use and

abandonment of agricultural land can have disastrous consequences for the natural environment, in this connection calls for the CAP budget after 2013 to, at the very least, be maintained at the current level in order to ensure the vitality of rural areas and the continuation of agricultural practices in Europe;

Or. en

Amendment 21
Mariya Nedelcheva

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. Calls on the Commission in the context of the new CAP reform to step up its efforts in support of agriculture sectors which make a proven contribution to preserving biodiversity, and in particular the bee-keeping sector;

Or. bg

Amendment 22
Rolandas Paksas

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. Calls for specific measures aimed at preserving biodiversity to be elaborated and applied; believes that specific measures relating to habitats and species should be applied to farms on a case-by-case basis;

Or. lt

Amendment 23
Esther de Lange

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. As over half of Europe's territory is managed by farmers, stresses that the CAP is an absolutely crucial tool for biodiversity as European farmers significantly contribute to reaching European biodiversity and climate goals; supports a further reorientation of the CAP towards the compensation for the delivery of public goods by a package of workable practices at farm level;

Or. en

Amendment 24
Czesław Adam Siekierski

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2b. Believes that the Commission proposal for greening the first pillar of the CAP runs counter to the aim of food security; in particular, the requirement to designate 7% of eligible land as 'ecological focus areas' limits the extent to which the production potential of commercial farms, on which food production and security depend, can be exploited; believes that in order to achieve the environmental goals it is vital for the land in question to be determined and geographically distributed at regional or national level, not farm level;

Amendment 25
Elisabeth Köstinger

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2b. Takes the view that rural development measures must continue to respond to the challenges of climate change, the preservation of biodiversity, food security and the sustainable management of natural resources and foster balanced territorial cohesion and employment; calls, therefore, for a strengthening of Pillar II and for significant improvements to the environmental focus of that pillar and the effectiveness of its agri-environmental measures, including through minimum mandatory agricultural fund spending on agri-environmental measures;

Or. de

Amendment 26
Peter Jahr, Elisabeth Jeggle, Astrid Lulling, Albert Deß, Elisabeth Köstinger, Britta Reimers, Herbert Dorfmann, Hans-Peter Mayer, Christa Klab

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2b. Stresses that only functioning, sustainable, broad-based and productive agriculture is in a position to provide the additional services demanded by society in the form of public assets; is therefore in favour of an approach that balances and integrates the introduction of additional

environmental protection measures and the key role of the CAP in safeguarding efficient production;

Or. de

Amendment 27

Peter Jahr, Elisabeth Jeggle, Astrid Lulling, Albert Deß, Herbert Dorfmann, Elisabeth Köstinger, Britta Reimers, Hans-Peter Mayer, Christa Klab

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2c. Recalls the key role played by the CAP in guaranteeing a secure supply of high-quality and affordable food for consumers; points out in this connection that the Commission proposal for the mandatory across-the-board designation of 7% of farmland as environmental focus areas is considered to be inappropriate and impracticable, particularly where these areas would no longer be available to produce food in productive regions;

Or. de

Amendment 28

Bas Eickhout, José Bové, Martin Häusling, Margrete Auken
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

Amendment

3. Stresses the need for more effective *cooperation* at European level *regarding* the diversity of animal and plant genetic resources in *order to ensure their conservation and* improve *their* ability to adapt to climate change;

3. Notes with concern that domesticated biodiversity of crop varieties and animal breeds is decreasing and that, because of the nature of susceptibility to pests and resistance against widely used biocides, long term food security is therefore

threatened by reliance on a narrowing range of genotypes; Stresses the need for more effective *co-operation and measures* at European level *to maintain and increase* the diversity of animal and plant genetic resources *in situ*; *notes that this would improve the ability of agro-ecosystems* to adapt to climate change *due to the increased resilience of high biodiversity systems*;

Or. en

Amendment 29
Lucas Hartong

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Stresses the need for more effective cooperation at European level regarding the diversity of animal and plant genetic resources in order to ensure their conservation *and improve their ability to adapt to climate change*;

Amendment

3. Stresses the need for more effective cooperation at European level regarding the diversity of animal and plant genetic resources in order to ensure their conservation;

Or. nl

Amendment 30
Giovanni La Via

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Stresses the need for more effective cooperation at European level regarding the diversity of animal and plant genetic resources in order to ensure their conservation and improve their ability to adapt to climate change;

Amendment

3. Stresses the need for more effective cooperation at European level *in the field of scientific and applied research* regarding the diversity of animal and plant genetic resources in order to ensure their conservation and improve their ability to

adapt to climate change *and to promote their effective take-up in genetic improvement for production programmes;*

Or. it

Amendment 31
Herbert Dorfmann

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Points out that the 'greening' of the CAP must take account of tasks already fulfilled within the framework of the second pillar;

Or. de

Amendment 32
Elżbieta Katarzyna Łukacijewska, Artur Zasada

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Believes that additional environmental effects at EU level can be achieved within the CAP framework without increasing administrative costs, principally through more effective implementation of cross-compliance rules (including by all Member States applying the Standards of Good Agricultural and Environmental Condition (GAEC) and alignment of the way in which the directives are implemented), the implementation of agri-environmental programmes and support for Natura 2000 areas under a better-funded second pillar of the CAP;

Amendment 33
Lucas Hartong

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

Amendment

4. Considers it necessary to strike a balance between European biodiversity strategy and rural development projects within the Member States so as to ensure the conservation of biodiversity;

deleted

Amendment 34
Bas Eickhout, José Bové, Martin Häusling, Margrete Auken
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

Amendment

4. Considers it necessary to strike a balance between European biodiversity strategy and rural development projects within the Member States so as to ensure the conservation of biodiversity;

4. recalls that over half of the EU's territory is managed by farmers and stresses that farmers have a crucial role in maintaining and increasing biodiversity; Considers that the market currently fails to integrate the economic value of ecosystem services and reward those who properly manage the land to provide them; Considers it necessary, therefore, to pay for public goods provided by the agricultural sector so as to ensure the maintenance and improvement of biodiversity;

Amendment 35
Marit Paulsen, George Lyon, Britta Reimers

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Considers it necessary to strike a balance between European biodiversity strategy and rural development projects within the Member States so as to ensure the conservation of biodiversity;

Amendment

4. Considers it necessary to strike a balance between European biodiversity strategy and rural development projects within the Member States so as to ensure the conservation of biodiversity ***and sustainable development in rural and urban areas***;

Or. en

Amendment 36
Marc Tarabella

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Considers it necessary to strike a balance between European biodiversity strategy and rural development projects within the Member States so as to ensure the conservation of biodiversity;

Amendment

4. Considers it necessary to strike a balance between European biodiversity strategy and rural development projects within the Member States so as to ensure the conservation of biodiversity. ***In that context, innovative solutions and cooperation projects should be given a prominent place. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to promote projects in which farmers are involved as partners***;

Or. fr

Amendment 37
Rolandas Paksas

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Considers it necessary to strike a balance between European biodiversity strategy and rural development projects within the Member States so as to ensure the conservation of biodiversity;

Amendment

4. Considers it necessary to strike a balance between European biodiversity strategy and rural development projects within the Member States so as to ensure the conservation of biodiversity; ***notes that agriculture should slow the process of eutrophication and loss of biodiversity in aquatic ecosystems;***

Or. It

Amendment 38

Elisabeth Jeggle, Hans-Peter Mayer, Esther de Lange, Christa Klaß, Albert Deß, Britta Reimers

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4a. Points out that the diversity of species and biotopes now seen as meriting protection can be attributed to the way in which land has been used for farming and forestry in Europe in the past, which should therefore be continued as part of a sustainable land-use strategy; points out in this connection that differing conditions such as climate, soil and the availability of water are found mainly at a local and regional level, and that regional conditions should therefore be taken into account and correspondingly differentiated possibilities for use should be found;

Or. de

Amendment 39
Marc Tarabella

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4a. Reiterates the importance of maintaining strong and economically competitive agriculture and forestry so as to preserve Europe's landscapes and biodiversity;

Or. fr

Amendment 40
Marc Tarabella

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4b. Points out that wild and domestic insects such as bees account for 80% of the pollination of flowering plants, and that the decline with which they are threatened represents an enormous challenge for our societies, whose agricultural production, and therefore food, depends in large part on the pollination of flowering plants; stresses, therefore, that particular attention should be paid to apiculture in the measures to be taken to protect biodiversity;

Or. fr

Amendment 41
Marc Tarabella

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4c. Believes that GMOs are a threat to biodiversity because they are spearheading the advance of monoculture and hence of a form of intensive agriculture which is impoverishing the countries of the South in particular and the entire farming population in general, and because they enrich those in whose hands the largest areas of land are concentrated; the wealth gap is exacerbated by the worldwide decline in the agricultural population;

Or. fr

**Amendment 42
Herbert Dorfmann**

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 5**

Draft opinion

Amendment

5. Calls on the Member States and the Commission to support initiatives by European citizens to protect biodiversity and encourage their participation through the introduction of a civilian biodiversity and environmental volunteering scheme to identify basis procedures and good practices which could be subsequently applied at European level;

deleted

Or. de

**Amendment 43
Lucas Hartong**

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 5**

Draft opinion

Amendment

5. Calls on the Member States and the Commission to support initiatives by European citizens to protect biodiversity and encourage their participation through the introduction of a civilian biodiversity and environmental volunteering scheme to identify basis procedures and good practices which could be subsequently applied at European level;

deleted

Or. nl

**Amendment 44
Giovanni La Via**

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 5**

Draft opinion

Amendment

5. Calls on the Member States and the Commission to support initiatives by European citizens to protect biodiversity and encourage their participation through the introduction of a civilian biodiversity and environmental volunteering scheme to identify basis procedures and good practices which could be subsequently applied at European level;

deleted

Or. it

**Amendment 45
Julie Girling**

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 5**

Draft opinion

Amendment

5. Calls on the Member States and the

5. Calls on the Member States and the

PE480.860v01-00

24/31

AM\891459EN.doc

Commission to support initiatives by European citizens to protect biodiversity and encourage their participation *through the introduction of a civilian biodiversity and environmental volunteering scheme to identify basis procedures and good practices which could be subsequently applied at European level;*

Commission to support initiatives by European citizens to protect biodiversity and encourage their participation *in its preservation;*

Or. en

Amendment 46
Marit Paulsen, George Lyon

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Calls on the Member States and the Commission to support initiatives by European citizens to protect biodiversity and encourage their participation through the introduction of a civilian biodiversity and environmental volunteering scheme to identify basis procedures and good practices which could be subsequently applied at European level;

Amendment

5. Calls on the Member States and the Commission to support initiatives by European citizens to protect biodiversity and encourage their participation through the introduction of a civilian biodiversity and environmental volunteering scheme to identify basis procedures and good practices *also highlighting successful examples of ecosystem service payments both public and private*, which could be subsequently applied at European level;

Or. en

Amendment 47
Sergio Paolo Francesco Silvestris

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Calls on the Member States and the Commission to support initiatives by European citizens to protect biodiversity

Amendment

5. Calls on the Member States and the Commission to support initiatives by European citizens, *NGOs and the*

and encourage their participation through the introduction of a civilian biodiversity and environmental volunteering scheme to identify basis procedures and good practices which could be subsequently applied at European level;

academic world to protect biodiversity and encourage their participation, *e.g.* through the introduction of a civilian biodiversity and environmental volunteering scheme to identify basis procedures and good practices which could be subsequently applied at European level;

Or. it

Amendment 48
Marc Tarabella

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Calls on the Member States and the Commission to support initiatives by European citizens to protect biodiversity and encourage their participation through the introduction of a civilian biodiversity and environmental volunteering scheme to identify basis procedures and good practices which could be subsequently applied at European level;

Amendment

5. Calls on the Member States and the Commission to support initiatives by European citizens to protect biodiversity and encourage their participation through the introduction of a civilian biodiversity and environmental volunteering scheme to identify basis procedures and good practices which could be subsequently applied at European level; ***calls for farmers' important role in maintaining biodiversity and their contribution to ecosystem services to be taken into account, with voluntary agri-environmental measures being the key approach;***

Or. fr

Amendment 49
Marc Tarabella

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5a. Recommends extending governance to the mobilisation of citizens, and also to non-profit organisations and economic actors, with the emphasis, in the case of the latter, being on integrating biodiversity into company strategies;

Or. fr

Amendment 50
Julie Girling

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

Amendment

6. Stresses the need to organise biodiversity awareness and information campaigns for all ages and social categories; takes the view that education and professional training, particularly in farming and related sectors, should be concentrated more on the protection of biodiversity.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 51
Lucas Hartong

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

Amendment

6. Stresses the need to organise biodiversity awareness and information campaigns for all ages and social categories; takes the view that education and professional training, particularly in

deleted

farming and related sectors, should be concentrated more on the protection of biodiversity.

Or. nl

Amendment 52
Marc Tarabella

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Stresses the need to organise biodiversity awareness and information campaigns for all ages and social categories; takes the view that education and professional training, particularly in farming and related sectors, should be concentrated more on the *protection* of biodiversity.

Amendment

6. Stresses the need to organise biodiversity awareness and information campaigns for all ages and social categories, *with the understanding that awareness campaigns for children and adolescents should, as a priority, be organised at school*; takes the view that education and professional training, particularly in farming and related sectors, should be concentrated more on the *role* of biodiversity.

Or. fr

Amendment 53
Rolandas Paksas

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Stresses the need to organise biodiversity awareness and information campaigns for all ages and social categories; takes the view that education and professional training, particularly in farming and related sectors, should be concentrated more on the protection of biodiversity.

Amendment

6. Stresses the need to organise biodiversity awareness and information campaigns for all ages and social categories; *believes that farmers have a major role in the conservation of biodiversity, and that they should therefore be encouraged and motivated to participate actively in the relevant*

programmes; takes the view that education and professional training, particularly in farming and related sectors, should be concentrated more on the protection of biodiversity.

Or. It

Amendment 54
Marit Paulsen, George Lyon, Britta Reimers

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Stresses the need to organise biodiversity awareness and information campaigns for all ages and social categories; takes the view that education and professional training, particularly in farming and related sectors, should be concentrated more on the protection of biodiversity.

Amendment

6. Stresses the need to organise biodiversity awareness and information campaigns for all ages and social categories; takes the view that education and professional training, particularly in farming, *forestry* and related sectors, should be concentrated more on the protection of biodiversity.

Or. en

Amendment 55
Daciana Octavia Sârbu

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6a. Underlines the need to support environmentally friendly farming practices, including the use of traditional seeds and local plant varieties in order to preserve biodiversity.

Or. en

Amendment 56
Vasilica Viorica Dăncilă

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6a. Underlines that soil plays a vital role in achieving the EU's biodiversity objective; considers that the CAP should, via an EU-funded top-up direct area payment, reward farmers who provide additional ecosystem services also for actions fostering biodiversity, implemented in addition to the obligations arising from good agro-environmental cross-compliance;

Or. ro

Amendment 57
Julie Girling

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6a. Considers it necessary to raise public awareness of the challenge for biodiversity towards 2020, particularly the part played by the Agricultural Sector in protecting their ecosystems.

Or. en

Amendment 58
Michel Dantin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6a. Draws the Commission's attention to the consequences for agricultural activity of certain biodiversity support policies, and in particular the impact of large predators (notably the bear and the wolf) on the agricultural economy, working conditions and the psychology of farmers;

Or. fr

**Amendment 59
Marc Tarabella**

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 a (new)**

Draft opinion

Amendment

6a. Calls on the Commission to take into account the impact of climate change on species and habitats when setting targets for conservation and restoration of biodiversity (Target 1);

Or. fr