



EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

2009 - 2014

Committee on Regional Development

2011/0274(COD)

6.6.2012

AMENDMENTS

14 - 121

Draft report
Victor Boștinaru
(PE486.124v01-00)

on the proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council
on the Cohesion Fund and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 1084/2006

Proposal for a regulation
(COM(2011)0612/2 – C7-C7-0325/2011 – 2011/0274(COD))

AM\903871EN.doc

PE491.027v01-00

EN

United in diversity

EN

Amendment 14
Nuno Teixeira

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(1a) Article 349 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union states that the outermost regions are extremely remote and isolated from the remaining European regions, which results in serious economic and social difficulties. The Cohesion Fund should therefore pay particular attention to the substantial investments that need to be made in the area of transport, energy and the environment.

Or. pt

Justification

Given that the first recital contains a reference to economic, social and territorial cohesion, it makes sense for specific reference to be made to the needs of the outermost regions as well, since the Treaty stipulates that particular attention should be paid to them on the grounds of the natural constraints affecting them and the substantial investments required in the areas eligible under the Cohesion Fund.

Amendment 15
Louis Grech

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(2 a) In light of the Treaty's commitment to strengthening the economic, social and territorial cohesion of the Union, the implementation of cohesion policy should take into account the unique economic challenges and realities faced by each

Member State, notably those small island Member States on the periphery of the Union, in order to ensure a level playing field, and that all European citizens can fully enjoy the tangible economic benefits resulting from the freedom of movement of goods, services, capital and people.

Or. en

Amendment 16
Tamás Deutsch

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 3

Text proposed by the Commission

(3) The Union may, through the Cohesion Fund, contribute to actions in pursuit of the Union's environmental objectives specified in Articles 11 and 191 of the Treaty.

Amendment

(3) The Union may, through the Cohesion Fund, contribute to actions in pursuit of the Union's environmental objectives specified in Articles 11 and 191 of the Treaty. ***In this context, the Fund may also intervene in areas related to sustainable development which clearly present environmental benefits, namely energy efficiency and renewable energy and, in the transport sector outside the trans-European networks, rail, river and sea transport, intermodal transport systems and their interoperability, management of road, sea, river and air traffic, sustainable urban mobility and public transport.***

Or. en

Amendment 17
Nuno Teixeira

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(3a) The amount of funding that each Member State is entitled to receive from the Cohesion Fund and that is then transferred to the Connecting Europe Facility should be allocated to projects carried out only in those same Member States, in the same proportion as their contribution to this higher financial allocation.

Or. pt

Justification

Even though it is accepted that a certain sum will be transferred to the Connecting Europe Facility, it makes sense that the portion which the least-favoured Member States are entitled to receive from the Cohesion Fund should be invested in those same Member States, in the same proportions. In line with Article 174 of the Treaty, this means that the amount contributed to the facility must be invested in the Member States and must not be rerouted to other, wealthier European countries.

Amendment 18
Luis Paulo Alves

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(3a) Account should nevertheless be taken of the fact that, whilst they do not benefit from the Connecting Europe Facility, the outermost regions still face an urgent need to optimise their transport links, particularly in the area of maritime passenger and goods transport and air links with the European mainland, and to optimise their energy options as isolated energy systems.

Or. pt

Amendment 19
Ramona Nicole Mănescu

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(3a) Support from the Cohesion Fund under the Connecting Europe Facility shall be implemented in accordance with Article [13] of Regulation (EU) [...]/2012 on establishing the Connecting Europe Facility³⁵ respecting the national allocations under the Cohesion Fund and ensuring proper competition between projects;

Or. ro

Amendment 20
Erminia Mazzoni

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(3 a) The Cohesion Fund should support transport infrastructure projects foreseen by Regulation (EU) No [...]/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council of [...] establishing the Connecting Europe Facility, for a total amount of EUR XX to be used exclusively for Member States eligible for funding from the Cohesion Fund and with the co-financing rates applicable to the latter. The project selection process should be carried out according to the objectives and criteria set out in Article [11] of Regulation (EU) No [...]/2012 [establishing the Connecting Europe Facility]; the Commission should ensure

during the different phases of the projects selection procedure, the genuine application of the principle of respecting the national allocations to the greatest extent possible, as foreseen in Article [11(2)] of Regulation (EU) No [...] /2012 [establishing the Connecting Europe Facility].

Or. en

Amendment 21
Nikos Chrysogelos

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(4 a) A shift towards sustainable mobility is crucial to achieve the EU 2020 climate targets, given that transport accounts for 24 % of all CO₂ emissions in the Union, and transport in the Union has seen its emissions increase by 34 % since 1990. Where 90 % of all travel by passenger cars in European cities is for trips shorter than 6 km, there is considerable potential for modal shift towards walking, cycling and public transport. New funding priorities are indispensable, given that the major share of transport investments provided by the Cohesion Fund has in the past been directed towards road transport, although road transport is already responsible for 72 % of all greenhouse gas emissions originating from the Union transport sector.

Or. en

Justification

See European Environment Agency (2009): Report No 9/2009 - Greenhouse gas emission trends and projections in Europe 2009. Tracking progress towards Kyoto targets; European

Amendment 22
Nikos Chrysogelos

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 6

Text proposed by the Commission

(6) In order to address the specific needs of the Cohesion Fund, and in line with the Europe 2020 strategy that cohesion policy should support the need to deliver smart, sustainable and inclusive growth, it is necessary to set out investment priorities within the scope of the thematic objectives laid down in Regulation (EU) No [...] /2012 [CPR].

Amendment

(6) In order to address the specific needs of the Cohesion Fund, and in line with the Europe 2020 strategy that cohesion policy should support the need to deliver smart, sustainable and inclusive growth **and development, while minimising external costs to the society of activities supported**, it is necessary to set out investment priorities within the scope of the thematic objectives laid down in Regulation (EU) No [...] /2012 [CPR].

Or. en

Amendment 23
Victor Boştinaru

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 7

Text proposed by the Commission

(7) **A common set of indicators** to assess progress of programme implementation should be **set out before the Member States draft their operational programmes. These** indicators should be complemented by programme-specific indicators.

Amendment

(7) **In order** to assess progress of programme implementation, **implementing powers** should be **conferred on the Commission in respect of a common set of indicators. Those powers should be exercised in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 February 2011 laying down the rules and general principles concerning mechanisms for control, by Member**

States, of the Commission's exercise of implementing powers¹. Common indicators should be complemented by programme-specific indicators.

¹ *OJ L 55, 28.2.2011, p. 13.*

Or. en

Justification

Common indicators, due to their technical nature and to the need that they be homogeneous across the different relevant Fund-specific rules, should be adopted by the Commission by means of implementing acts.

Amendment 24
Ramona Nicole Mănescu

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 7

Text proposed by the Commission

(7) A common set of indicators to assess progress of programme implementation should be set out before the Member States draft their operational programmes. These indicators should be complemented by programme-specific indicators.

Amendment

(7) A common set of ***qualitative result oriented*** indicators to assess progress of programme implementation should be set out before the Member States draft their operational programmes. These indicators should be complemented by programme-specific ***and environment*** indicators ***in order to implement the sustainable development principle and to assess the results and efficiency of the programmes.***

Or. en

Amendment 25
Lambert van Nistelrooij, Joachim Zeller

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 7 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(7 a) Conditionality provisions deriving from the Growth and Stability Pact should apply to the Cohesion Fund in relation to the fulfilment of economic governance conditions. This process should be gradual, starting with amendments to the Partnership Contract and to the programmes in support of Council recommendations to address macroeconomic imbalances and social and economic difficulties.

Or. en

Amendment 26
Nikos Chrysogelos

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) investments in the environment, including areas related to sustainable development **and** energy which present environmental benefits;

(a) investments in the environment, including areas related to sustainable development, energy **efficiency and renewable energies** which present environmental benefits;

Or. en

Amendment 27
Nuno Teixeira

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) investments in the environment, including areas related to sustainable development **and energy** which present

(a) investments in the environment **and energy**, including areas related to sustainable development which present

environmental benefits;

environmental benefits, *the promotion of energy efficiency and the use of renewable energies*;

Or. pt

Justification

Since investments in the field of energy are eligible for the Cohesion Fund (Article 3(a)), energy should also be included in the Cohesion Fund's main scope of support. This amendment seeks to attach greater importance to investments in the field of energy as a contribution to the EU 2020 targets.

Amendment 28

Nuno Teixeira

Proposal for a regulation

Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) trans-European networks in the area of transport infrastructure, in compliance with the guidelines adopted by Decision No 661/2010/EU;

(b) ***transport infrastructures in each Member State and*** trans-European networks in the area of transport infrastructure, in compliance with the guidelines adopted by Decision No 661/2010/EU;

Or. pt

Justification

Other investments which the Member States intend to make in the field of transport should also be eligible alongside the trans-European transport networks. The regions will thus be able to benefit from this support and make useful investments to improve passenger and goods transport links.

Amendment 29

Nikos Chrysogelos

Proposal for a regulation

Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) trans-European networks in the area of transport infrastructure, in compliance with the guidelines adopted by Decision No 661/2010/EU;

Amendment

(b) trans-European networks in the area of **sustainable** transport infrastructure, in compliance with the guidelines adopted by Decision No 661/2010/EU;

Or. en

Amendment 30
Luís Paulo Alves

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ba) the creation of a POSEI Transport programme and a POSEI Energy programme for the outermost regions;

Or. pt

Amendment 31
Erminia Mazzoni

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b a) transport infrastructure projects provided for by Regulation (EU) No[...]/2012 [establishing the Connecting Europe Facility] for a total amount of EUR XX, in accordance with the criteria provided for therein. The Commission shall ensure that greatest possible priority is given to projects respecting the national allocations under the Cohesion Fund in the different phases of the projects selection procedure.

Amendment 32
Ramona Nicole Mănescu

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) technical assistance.

Amendment

(c) **information activities**, technical assistance **as well as initial and continuous training of beneficiaries, project managers and partners;**

Amendment 33
Richard Seeber

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the decommissioning of nuclear power stations;

Amendment

(a) the decommissioning **and the construction** of nuclear power stations;

Justification

The large volumes of cooling water, the highly safety risk and the unresolved issue of storing radioactive waste militate against the construction of new nuclear power stations.

Amendment 34
Nikos Chrysogelos

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions ***in installations*** falling under Directive 2003/87/EC;

(b) the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions ***from all activities*** falling under ***Annex I of*** Directive 2003/87/EC;

Or. en

Justification

Activities falling under Annex I of the ETS Directive should not benefit from funding under the Cohesion Fund, as this would distort the market of the emission certificates trading system and ruin its CO2 saving benefit. An exception for pilot projects, proposed by the Rapporteur, is inappropriate, as it is not clearly defined what pilot projects are, and also installations for industrial use could be considered as pilot projects.

Amendment 35
Richard Seeber

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions in installations falling under Directive 2003/87/EC;

(b) the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions in installations falling under Directive 2003/87/EC, ***with the exception of district heating, district cooling and combined heat and power plants;***

Or. de

Justification

Modernising district heating and cooling networks and combined heat and power plants offers potential in terms of energy saving and should therefore not be excluded from support.

Amendment 36
Jan Olbrycht

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 2 – point b

PE491.027v01-00

14/55

AM\903871EN.doc

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions in installations falling under Directive 2003/87/EC;

Amendment

(b) ***investment aimed at achieving*** the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions in installations falling under ***Annex I of*** Directive 2003/87/EC ***except district heating and cooling, and combined heat and power plants;***

Or. en

Amendment 37
Nikos Chrysogelos

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 2 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b a) large projects for road construction without a trans-border connection, airports within 200 km of each other not cooperating on the use of infrastructure capacities;

Or. en

Amendment 38
Nikos Chrysogelos

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) housing.

deleted

Or. en

Justification

Refurbishment in housing provides a very good opportunity for energy savings and is very

cost-effective in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. Investments in this sector will create local green jobs. Large-scale refurbishment programmes are more cost-effective than isolated measures.

Amendment 39
Tamás Deutsch

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) housing.

(c) housing, ***except for promoting energy efficiency and renewable energy use.***

Or. en

Amendment 40
Jan Březina

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) housing.

(c) housing ***with the exception of energy-efficiency and renewable energy measures.***

Or. en

Justification

Since housing stock is responsible for a large share of energy consumption and constitutes an area where significant benefits may be achieved in terms of the 20/20/20 targets of the Europe 2020 Strategy we believe that excluding the eligibility of such actions would lead to an undermobilisation of potential resources. This would be an opportunity lost.

Amendment 41
Oldřich Vlasák

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) housing.

Amendment

(c) **investment in new** housing.

Or. en

Amendment 42
Nikos Chrysogelos

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

In accordance with Article 16 of Regulation (EU) No [...] /2012 [CPR], the Cohesion Fund shall support the following investment priorities within the thematic objectives set out in Article 9 of Regulation (EU) No [...] /2012 [CPR]:

Amendment

In accordance with Article 16 of Regulation (EU) No [...] /2012 [CPR], the Cohesion Fund shall support the following investment priorities within the thematic objectives set out in **paragraphs (4), (5), (6), (7) and (11) of** Article 9 of Regulation (EU) No [...] /2012 [CPR] **listed accordingly below in (a), (b), (c), (d) and (e):**

Or. en

Amendment 43
Nikos Chrysogelos

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – point a – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) supporting the shift towards a **low-carbon** economy in all sectors by:

Amendment

(a) supporting the shift towards a **climate compatible, energy saving** economy in all sectors **including urban development** by:

Or. en

Amendment 44
Oldřich Vlasák, Tomasz Piotr Poręba, Ryszard Czarnecki

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – point a – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) supporting the shift towards a **low-carbon** economy in all sectors by:

Amendment

(a) supporting the shift towards a **low-emission** economy in all sectors by:

Or. en

Amendment 45
Erminia Mazzoni

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – point a – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) supporting the shift towards a low-carbon economy in all sectors by:

Amendment

(a) supporting the shift towards a low-carbon economy in all sectors **and promoting sustainable transport** by:

Or. en

Amendment 46
Elżbieta Katarzyna Łukacijewska

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – point a – subpoint i

Text proposed by the Commission

(i) promoting the production and distribution of renewable **energy** sources;

Amendment

(i) promoting the production and distribution of **energy derived from** renewable sources;

Or. pl

Amendment 47
Jan Olbrycht

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – point a – subpoint ii

Text proposed by the Commission

(ii) promoting energy efficiency and renewable energy use in ***small and medium-sized*** enterprises;

Amendment

(ii) promoting energy efficiency and renewable energy use in enterprises, ***irrespective of the size of the enterprise***;

Or. en

Amendment 48
Nuno Teixeira

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – point b – subpoint ii

Text proposed by the Commission

(ii) promoting energy efficiency and renewable energy use in ***small and medium-sized*** enterprises;

Amendment

(ii) promoting energy efficiency and renewable energy use in enterprises;

Or. pt

Justification

All enterprises should be eligible for the investments to be made in the area of renewable energies. Given their high level of energy consumption, large enterprises will be able to adopt more efficient systems, thereby making a key contribution to the EU's achievement of the targets set in the EU 2020 Strategy. Investments made by large enterprises also have a leverage effect on the economy, since SMEs will be subcontractors.

Amendment 49
Nikos Chrysogelos

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – point a – subpoint ii

Text proposed by the Commission

(ii) promoting energy efficiency and renewable energy use in small and medium-sized enterprises;

Amendment

(ii) promoting energy efficiency and renewable energy use ***exclusively*** in small and medium-sized enterprises ***and micro-enterprises***;

Or. en

Justification

SMEs and micro-enterprises benefit so far only to a very limited extent from the Structural Funds. Differently than large companies, they often do not have sufficient capacity and resources to invest in energy efficiency and renewable energies. In times of austerity it is not appropriate that large companies access EU funds for efficiency measures that are profitable.

Amendment 50

Oldřich Vlasák, Tomasz Piotr Poręba

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – point a – subpoint ii

Text proposed by the Commission

(ii) promoting energy efficiency and renewable energy use in small and medium-sized enterprises;

Amendment

(ii) promoting energy efficiency and renewable energy use in ***particular in*** small and medium-sized enterprises;

Or. en

Amendment 51

Jan Olbrycht

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – point a – subpoint iii

Text proposed by the Commission

(iii) supporting energy efficiency and renewable energy use in public infrastructures;

Amendment

(iii) supporting energy efficiency and renewable energy use in public infrastructures, ***historical buildings and in the housing sector***;

Amendment 52
Nuno Teixeira

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – point b – subpoint iii

Text proposed by the Commission

(iii) supporting energy efficiency and renewable energy use in public infrastructures;

Amendment

(iii) supporting energy efficiency and renewable energy use in **the outermost regions and** public infrastructures;

Or. pt

Justification

The ORs are highly dependent on imported fossil fuels, resulting in substantial additional costs. Research projects should be created in the field of renewable energies and diversification of the regional energy base, such as the creation of a specific energy programme aimed at reducing the cost of remoteness, infrastructure and services, in order to encourage the ambitious policies being carried out by the ORs in relation to the development of renewable energies.

Amendment 53
Nikos Chrysogelos

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – point a – subpoint iii

Text proposed by the Commission

(iii) supporting energy efficiency and renewable energy use in public infrastructures;

Amendment

(iii) supporting energy efficiency and renewable energy use in public infrastructures **and in the housing sector**;

Or. en

Justification

Refurbishment in housing provides a very good opportunity for energy savings and is very cost-effective in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. Investments in this sector will create

local green jobs. Large-scale refurbishment programmes are more cost-effective than isolated measures.

Amendment 54
Tamás Deutsch

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – point a – subpoint iii

Text proposed by the Commission

(iii) supporting energy efficiency and renewable energy use in public infrastructures;

Amendment

(iii) supporting energy efficiency and renewable energy use in public infrastructures ***and in the housing sector***;

Or. en

Amendment 55
Oldřich Vlasák

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – point a – subpoint iv

Text proposed by the Commission

(iv) developing smart distribution systems at low voltage levels ;

Amendment

(iv) developing smart distribution systems at low voltage levels ***including smart grids and intelligent buildings*** ;

Or. en

Amendment 56
Nikos Chrysogelos

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – point a – subpoint v

Text proposed by the Commission

(v) promoting ***low-carbon*** strategies for urban areas;

Amendment

(v) promoting ***climate compatible, energy saving*** strategies for urban areas;

Amendment 57
Oldřich Vlasák, Tomasz Piotr Poręba

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – point a – subpoint v

Text proposed by the Commission

(v) promoting **low-carbon** strategies for urban areas;

Amendment

(v) promoting **low-emission** strategies for urban areas;

Or. en

Amendment 58
Nuno Teixeira

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – point a – subpoint v a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(va) promoting 'green cities', including investments made by urban areas in renewable energies and in converting energy infrastructures to more efficient and sustainable systems;

Or. pt

Justification

Urban areas are making substantial investments in the area of energy efficiency, and support should be given to convert energy systems (e.g. lighting, signs, etc.) to more efficient and environment-friendly alternatives. Green cities should be promoted as a way of helping to improve the quality of life for residents.

Amendment 59
Monika Smolková, Vladimír Maňka

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – point a – subpoint v a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(v a) developing environment-friendly and low-carbon transport systems including promoting sustainable urban mobility;

Or. en

Amendment 60
Jan Březina

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – point a – subpoint v a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(v a) developing environment-friendly and low-carbon transport systems including promoting sustainable urban mobility;

Or. en

Justification

I believe that the investment priority of “developing environment-friendly and low-carbon transport systems and promoting sustainable urban mobility” currently under thematic objective (d)(ii) would be much more in line with thematic objective (a), supporting the shift towards low-carbon economy in all sectors.

Amendment 61
Nikos Chrysogelos

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – point b – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) promoting climate change adaptation, risk prevention and management by:

(b) promoting ***eco-system based*** climate change adaptation, risk prevention and management by:

Justification

Ecosystem-based adaptation, risk-prevention and management produce many additional benefits (employment, biodiversity) and are often very cost-effective. To make best use of scarce public budgets, cohesion policy should support measures helping to achieve multiple EU targets.

Amendment 62
Nikos Chrysogelos

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – point b – subpoint i

Text proposed by the Commission

(i) supporting dedicated investment for adaptation to climate change;

Amendment

(i) supporting dedicated investment for ***eco-system based*** adaptation to climate change;

Or. en

Amendment 63
Oldřich Vlasák, Tomasz Piotr Poręba

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – point b – subpoint ii

Text proposed by the Commission

(ii) promoting investment to address specific risks, ensuring disaster resilience and developing disaster management systems;

Amendment

(ii) promoting investment to address specific risks, ensuring disaster resilience and developing disaster management systems ***including anti floods and water capture measures***;

Or. en

Amendment 64
Nikos Chrysogelos

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – point b – subpoint ii

Text proposed by the Commission

(ii) promoting investment to address specific risks, ensuring disaster resilience and developing disaster management systems;

Amendment

(ii) promoting investment to address specific risks, ensuring disaster resilience and developing disaster management systems, ***with a priority on enhancing the role of ecosystems thereby;***

Or. en

Amendment 65
Vasilica Viorica Dăncilă

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – point b – subpoint ii

Text proposed by the Commission

(ii) promoting investment to address specific risks, ensuring disaster resilience and developing disaster management systems;

Amendment

(ii) promoting investment to address specific risks, ensuring disaster resilience and developing disaster management systems; ***including cross-border management systems, especially with third countries.***

Or. en

Justification

In the case of an accidental major pollution, it is very important to have a better cooperation of the local and regional authorities, including from third countries, for common actions.

Amendment 66
Markus Pieper, Manfred Weber, Lambert van Nistelrooij, Joachim Zeller

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – point b – subpoint ii

Text proposed by the Commission

(ii) promoting investment to address

Amendment

(ii) promoting investment to address

specific risks, ensuring disaster resilience and developing disaster management systems;

specific **regional** risks, ensuring **regional** disaster resilience and developing disaster management systems **while preserving national competences**;

Or. de

Amendment 67
Nikos Chrysogelos

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – point c – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) protecting the environment and promoting resource efficiency by:

Amendment

(c) protecting the environment, **biodiversity** and **ecosystems and** promoting resource efficiency **and cultural resources** by:

Or. en

Amendment 68
Erminia Mazzoni

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – point c – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) protecting the environment and promoting resource efficiency by:

Amendment

(c) **preserving and** protecting the environment and promoting resource efficiency by:

Or. en

Amendment 69
Nikos Chrysogelos

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – point c – subpoint i

Text proposed by the Commission

(i) addressing the significant needs for investment in the waste sector to meet the requirements of the Union's environmental acquis;

Amendment

(i) addressing the significant needs for investment in the waste sector to meet the requirements of the Union's environmental acquis, ***with a priority on activities higher up the waste hierarchy such as waste prevention and increasing re-use and recycling capacities;***

Or. en

Justification

In accordance with the Resource Efficiency Roadmap and the Waste Framework Directive, funding should give priority to activities higher up the waste hierarchy in order to avoid a further proliferation of waste incinerators and waste disposal on landfills producing massive social, economic and environmental cost.

Amendment 70
Nikos Chrysogelos

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – point c – subpoint ii

Text proposed by the Commission

(ii) addressing the significant needs for investment in the water sector to meet the requirements of the Union's environmental acquis;

Amendment

(ii) addressing the significant needs for investment in the water sector to meet the requirements of the Union's environmental acquis ***and to promote a healthy affordable water for all, as well as the reduction of leakage in the water distribution network, re-use of grey water and collection of rain water;***

Or. en

Amendment 71
Nikos Chrysogelos

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – point c – subpoint iii

Text proposed by the Commission

(iii) protecting and restoring biodiversity, including through green infrastructures;

Amendment

(iii) protecting and restoring biodiversity, including through green infrastructures **and Natura 2000 sites**;

Or. en

Amendment 72
Nikos Chrysogelos

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – point c – subpoint iv

Text proposed by the Commission

(iv) improving the urban environment, including regeneration of brownfield sites and reduction of air pollution.

Amendment

(iv) improving the urban environment, including regeneration of brownfield sites, **creation and extension of green spaces and** reduction of air pollution.

Or. en

Amendment 73
Elżbieta Katarzyna Łukacijewska

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – point c – subpoint iv

Text proposed by the Commission

(iv) improving the urban environment, including regeneration of brownfield sites and reduction of air pollution.

Amendment

(iv) improving the urban environment, including regeneration of brownfield sites and reduction of air **and noise** pollution.

Or. pl

Amendment 74
Nikos Chrysogelos

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – point c – subpoint iv a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(iv a) developing and supporting provision of environmental services promoting improvement of environmental performance and resource efficiency in SMEs and the public sector.

Or. en

Amendment 75
Erminia Mazzoni

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – point d – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d) promoting sustainable transport and removing bottlenecks in key network infrastructures, by:

(d) removing bottlenecks in key network infrastructures, by:

Or. en

Amendment 76
Nikos Chrysogelos

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – point d – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d) promoting sustainable transport and removing bottlenecks in key network infrastructures, by:

(d) promoting *intermodal* sustainable transport and *mobility while focusing on improving existing infrastructure*, removing *rail* bottlenecks in key network infrastructures *and filling in missing border links*, by:

Or. en

Justification

A shift towards sustainable mobility is crucial to achieve the EU 2020 climate targets, given that transport accounts for 24 % of all CO2 emissions in the Union, and transport in the Union has seen its emissions increase by 34 % since 1990.

Amendment 77 **Nikos Chrysogelos**

Proposal for a regulation **Article 3 – point d – subpoint i**

Text proposed by the Commission

(i) supporting a multi-modal Single European Transport Area by investing in the Trans-European Transport Network;

Amendment

(i) supporting ***the integration of the New Member States into*** a multi-modal Single European Transport Area by investing in the Trans-European Transport Network, ***while focusing on the efficiency of existing infrastructure in the short term and avoiding the reliance on the long term realisation of very large projects;***

Or. en

Amendment 78 **Markus Pieper, Lambert van Nistelrooij, Joachim Zeller, Herbert Reul**

Proposal for a regulation **Article 3 – point d – subpoint i**

Text proposed by the Commission

(i) supporting a multi-modal Single European Transport Area by investing in the Trans-European Transport Network;

Amendment

(i) supporting a multi-modal Single European Transport Area by investing in the Trans-European Transport Network, ***also involving the CEF, the EFRD and territorial cohesion;***

Or. de

Amendment 79
Erminia Mazzoni

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – point d – subpoint i

Text proposed by the Commission

(i) supporting a multi-modal Single European Transport Area by investing in *the* Trans-European Transport Network;

Amendment

(i) supporting a multi-modal Single European Transport Area by investing in ***both core and comprehensive*** Trans-European Transport Network;

Or. en

Amendment 80
Markus Pieper, Lambert van Nistelrooij, Joachim Zeller, Herbert Reul

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – point d – subpoint i a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ia) supporting a multi-modal Single European Transport Area by investing in feeder routes, with optimum involvement of the CEF, the EFRD and territorial cohesion;

Or. de

Amendment 81
Jan Březina

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – point d – subpoint ii

Text proposed by the Commission

(ii) developing environment-friendly and low-carbon transport systems including promoting sustainable urban mobility;

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Justification

I believe that the investment priority of “developing environment-friendly and low-carbon transport systems and promoting sustainable urban mobility” currently under thematic objective (d)(ii) would be much more in line with thematic objective (a), supporting the shift towards low-carbon economy in all sectors.

Amendment 82

Nikos Chrysogelos

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – point d – subpoint ii

Text proposed by the Commission

(ii) developing environment-friendly and **low-carbon** transport systems including promoting sustainable urban mobility;

Amendment

(ii) developing environment-friendly and **renewable-energy based transport and mobility** systems including promoting sustainable urban mobility, **with a focus on promoting intermodal chains of walking-cycling-carsharing-carpooling-public transport; these actions shall be conditional upon the adoption of a Sustainable Urban Mobility Plan (SUMP) and the creation of a separate budget line for walking and cycling in the relevant public budgets;**

Or. en

Amendment 83

Markus Pieper, Joachim Zeller, Herbert Reul

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – point d – subpoint ii

Text proposed by the Commission

(ii) developing **environment-friendly and low-carbon** transport systems **including** promoting sustainable urban mobility;

Amendment

(ii) developing **sustainable** transport systems **for all modes of transport, taking account in each case of the lowest possible carbon emissions and** promoting sustainable urban mobility;

Amendment 84
Richard Seeber

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – point d – subpoint ii

Text proposed by the Commission

(ii) developing environment-friendly and low-carbon transport systems including promoting sustainable urban mobility;

Amendment

(ii) developing environment-friendly and low-carbon transport systems, **particularly electromobility**, and promoting sustainable urban mobility;

Or. de

Amendment 85
Oldřich Vlasák, Tomasz Piotr Poręba

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – point d – subpoint ii

Text proposed by the Commission

(ii) developing environment-friendly and **low-carbon** transport systems including promoting sustainable urban mobility;

Amendment

(ii) developing environment-friendly and **low-emission** transport systems including promoting sustainable urban mobility;

Or. en

Amendment 86
Oldřich Vlasák

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – point d – subpoint iii

Text proposed by the Commission

(iii) developing comprehensive, high quality and interoperable **railway** systems;

Amendment

(iii) developing **and reconstruction of** comprehensive, high quality and interoperable **public transport** systems;

Amendment 87
Nuno Teixeira

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – point e – subpoint iii

Text proposed by the Commission

(iii) developing comprehensive, high quality and interoperable railway systems;

Amendment

(iii) developing comprehensive, high quality and interoperable railway systems **and more competitive and sustainable commercial and passenger port infrastructures;**

Or. pt

Justification

Since the Cohesion Fund is to support transport infrastructures, and direct reference is made to railway systems, it is also important to promote more efficient and sustainable port infrastructures, thereby boosting their global competitiveness. Support for ports is vital in order to promote a more efficient and fully interlinked multimodal system.

Amendment 88
Nikos Chrysogelos

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – point d – subpoint iii

Text proposed by the Commission

(iii) developing comprehensive, high quality and interoperable railway systems;

Amendment

(iii) developing comprehensive, high quality and interoperable railway systems, **while in particular deploying the ERTMS and reducing rail freight noise at the source;**

Or. en

Amendment 89
Jan Olbrycht

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – point d – subpoint iii

Text proposed by the Commission

(iii) developing comprehensive, high quality and interoperable railway systems;

Amendment

(iii) developing ***and rehabilitation of a*** comprehensive, high quality and interoperable railway systems;

Or. en

Amendment 90
Tomasz Piotr Poręba

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – point d – subpoint iii

Text proposed by the Commission

(iii) developing comprehensive, high quality and interoperable railway systems;

Amendment

(iii) developing ***and rehabilitation of*** comprehensive, high quality and interoperable railway systems;

Or. en

Amendment 91
Nikos Chrysogelos

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – point d – subpoint iii a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(iii a) promoting sustainable waterborne transport.

Or. en

Justification

Sustainable waterborne maritime and inland waterways transport bears significant potential for energy-savings and should be included into the scope of the Cohesion Fund.

Amendment 92
Jan Březina

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – point e – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

(e) enhancing institutional capacity and an efficient public administration by strengthening of institutional capacity and the efficiency of public **administrations** and public services **related to implementation of the Cohesion Fund.**

Amendment

(e) enhancing institutional capacity and an efficient public administration by strengthening of institutional capacity and the efficiency of public **administration** and public services.

Or. en

Justification

Article 3, point e) should be brought in line with thematic objective no. 11 of Art. 9 of the CPR.

Amendment 93
Nikos Chrysogelos

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – point e – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

(e) enhancing institutional capacity and an efficient public administration by strengthening of institutional capacity and the efficiency of public administrations and public services related to implementation of the Cohesion Fund.

Amendment

(e) enhancing institutional capacity and an efficient **and participative** public administration by strengthening of institutional capacity and the efficiency of public administrations and public services, **and promoting capacity building for social partners, non-governmental organisations, regional and local authorities and other stakeholders, in**

particular the partners referred to in Article 5 of Regulation (EU) No [...]/2012 (CPR), related to the implementation of the Cohesion Fund.

Or. en

Amendment 94
Victor Boştinaru

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Common indicators, as *set out in the Annex to this Regulation, shall be used where relevant and in accordance with* Article 24(3) of Regulation (EU) No [...]/2012 [CPR]. For common indicators, baselines shall be set at zero and cumulative targets shall be set for 2022.

Amendment

1. ***The Commission shall adopt a list of*** common indicators as *referred to* in Article 24(3) of Regulation (EU) No [...]/2012 [CPR] ***by means of implementing acts. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 5 a(2).*** For common indicators, baselines shall be set at zero and cumulative targets shall be set for 2022.

Or. en

Justification

See justification to Recital 7.

Amendment 95
Lambert van Nistelrooij, Joachim Zeller

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 4 a

Conditionality applying to access to Fund assistance

1. The Commission may request a Member State to review and propose amendments to its Partnership Contract and the relevant operational programmes, where this is necessary:

(a) to support the implementation of a Council recommendation, addressed to the Member State concerned and adopted in accordance with Article 121(2) of the Treaty, or to support the implementation of measures addressed to the Member State concerned and adopted in accordance with Article 136(1) of the Treaty;

(b) to support the implementation of a Council recommendation addressed to the Member State concerned and adopted in accordance with Article 126(7) of the Treaty; or

(c) to maximise the growth impact of the Cohesion Fund national allocations pursuant to paragraph 4, if a Member State meets one of the following conditions:

(i) Union financial assistance is made available to it under Council Regulation (EU) No 407/2010;

(ii) medium-term financial assistance is made available to it in accordance with Council Regulation (EC) No 332/2002;

(iii) financial assistance in the form of an ESM loan is made available to it in accordance with the Treaty establishing the European Stability Mechanism.

2. The Member State shall submit a proposal for amending the Partnership Contract and the relevant operational programmes within one month. If necessary, the Commission shall make observations within one month from the submission of the amendments, in which case the Member State shall re-submit its proposal within one month.

3. Where the Commission has not made

observations or where its observations have been satisfactorily taken into account, the Commission shall adopt a decision approving the amendments to the Partnership Contract and the relevant programmes without undue delay.

4. By derogation to paragraph 1, where financial assistance is made available to a Member State in accordance with paragraph 1(c) and is linked to an adjustment programme, the Commission may without any proposal from the Member State amend the Partnership Contract and the programmes with a view to maximising the growth and competitiveness impact of the available CSF Funds. To ensure effective implementation of the Partnership Contract and the relevant programmes, the Commission shall become involved in their management as detailed in the adjustment programme or the Memorandum of Understanding signed with the Member State concerned.

5. Where the Member State fails to respond to the Commission's request referred to in paragraph 1 or does not reply satisfactorily within one month to the observations of the Commission referred to in paragraph 2, the Commission may, within three months following its observations, adopt a decision, by means of implementing acts, suspending part or all of the payments for the programmes concerned.

6. The Commission shall suspend, by means of implementing acts, part or all of the payments and commitments for the programmes concerned where:

(a) the Council decides that the Member State does not comply with the specific measures set out by the Council in accordance with Article 136(1) of the Treaty;

(b) the Council decides in accordance with Article 126(8) or Article 126(11) of

the Treaty that the Member State concerned has not taken effective action to correct its excessive deficit;

(c) the Commission concludes that the Member State has not taken measures to implement the adjustment programme referred to in Council Regulation (EU) No 407/2010 or Council Regulation (EC) No 332/2002 and as a consequence decides not to authorise the disbursement of the financial assistance granted to this Member State; or

(e) the Board of Directors of the European stability mechanism concludes that the conditionality attached to an ESM financial assistance in the form of an ESM loan to the concerned Member State was not met and as a consequence decides not to disburse the stability support granted to it.

7. When deciding to suspend part or all of the payments or commitments in accordance with paragraphs 5 and 6 respectively, the Commission shall ensure that the suspension is proportionate and effective, taking into account the economic and social circumstances of the Member State concerned, and respects equality of treatment between Member States, in particular with regard to the impact of the suspension on the economy of the Member State concerned.

8. The Commission shall without delay lift the suspension of payments and commitments where the Member State has proposed amendments to the Partnership Contract and the relevant operational programmes as requested by the Commission, which the Commission has approved and, where applicable:

(a) the Council has decided that the Member State complies with the specific measures set out by the Council in accordance with Article 136(1) of the Treaty;

(b) the excessive deficit procedure is held in abeyance in accordance with Article 9 of Regulation (EC) No 1467/97 or the Council has decided in accordance with Article 126(12) of the Treaty to abrogate the decision on the existence of an excessive deficit;

(c) the Commission has concluded that the Member State has taken measures to implement the adjustment programme referred to in Council Regulation (EU) No 407/2010 or Council Regulation (EC) No 332/2002 and as a consequence has authorised the disbursement of the financial assistance granted to this Member State; or

(e) the Board of Directors of the European stability mechanism has concluded that the conditionality attached to a financial assistance in the form of an ESM loan to the concerned Member State is met and as a consequence has decided to disburse the stability support granted to it.

At the same time, the Council shall decide, on a proposal from the Commission, to re-budget the suspended commitments in accordance with Article 8 of Council Regulation (EU) No [...] /2012 laying down the multiannual financial framework for the years 2014 to 2020.

Or. en

Amendment 96
Victor Boştinaru

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 5 a

Committee procedure

1. The Commission shall be assisted by the Coordination Committee of the Funds. That committee shall be a committee within the meaning of Regulation (EU) No 182/2011.

2. Where reference is made to this paragraph, Article 5 of Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 shall apply.

Or. en

Justification

See justification to Recital 7.

Amendment 97
Victor Boştinaru

Proposal for a regulation
Annex

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Deleted

Or. en

Justification

Common indicators, due to their technical nature and to the need that they be homogeneous across the different relevant Fund-specific rules, should be adopted by the Commission by means of implementing acts.

Amendment 98
Nikos Chrysogelos

Proposal for a regulation
Annex – heading 1 – subheading 1 – row 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

UNIT

NAME

AM\903871EN.doc

43/55

PE491.027v01-00

EN

Amendment

UNIT
persons

NAME
*Additional population
served by public schemes
for waste separation at
source and recycling*

Or. en

Amendment 99
Nikos Chrysogelos

Proposal for a regulation
Annex – heading 1 – subheading 1 – row 1 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

UNIT

NAME

Amendment

UNIT
*kilograms
per capita*

NAME
*Household waste per
person*

Or. en

Amendment 100
Nikos Chrysogelos

Proposal for a regulation
Annex – heading 1 – subheading 2 – row 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

UNIT

NAME

Amendment

UNIT

NAME

m3

*Additional grey-water re-
used and rain water
collected*

Or. en

Amendment 101
Nikos Chrysogelos

Proposal for a regulation
Annex – heading 1 – subheading 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

UNIT

NAME

Amendment

UNIT

NAME

*Environmental
Services*

Number

*Number of SMEs and
public authorities
benefitting from
environmental
performance services*

Or. en

Amendment 102
Nikos Chrysogelos

Proposal for a regulation
Annex – heading 1 – subheading 4 – row 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

UNIT

NAME

Amendment

UNIT

NAME

hectares

*Area of land with
increased capacity to
prevent and mitigate floods
and extreme weather
conditions*

Or. en

Amendment 103
Cornelia Ernst, Younous Omarjee

Proposal for a regulation
Annex – heading 1 – subheading 4 – row 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

UNIT

NAME

Amendment

UNIT

NAME

hectares

*Area of land with
increased capacity to
prevent and mitigate floods
and extreme weather
conditions*

Or. en

Justification

The methodology for such measures are broadly known and available at relevant scientific and water management institutions.

Amendment 104
Nikos Chrysogelos

Proposal for a regulation
Annex – heading 1 – subheading 4 – row 2 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

UNIT	NAME
------	------

Amendment

UNIT	NAME
------	------

hectares

*Area of land with
increased capacity to
prevent an resist forest
fires*

Or. en

Amendment 105
Cornelia Ernst, Younous Omarjee

Proposal for a regulation
Annex – heading 1 – subheading 4 – row 2 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

UNIT	NAME
------	------

Amendment

UNIT	NAME
------	------

hectares

*Area of land with
increased capacity to
prevent and resist forest
fires*

Or. en

Justification

There are ecosystems under threat of destruction or serious deterioration. Prevention and increase of landscape resistance to fires will protect urban areas and bring about additional value of protection to ecosystems.

Amendment 106
Nikos Chrysogelos

Proposal for a regulation
Annex – heading 1 – subheading 7

Text proposed by the Commission

	UNIT	NAME
Nature and Biodiversity	hectares	Surface area of habitats in better conservation status

Amendment

	UNIT	NAME
Nature and Biodiversity	hectares	Surface area of marine and land habitats in better conservation status

Or. en

Amendment 107
Nikos Chrysogelos

Proposal for a regulation
Annex – heading 1 – subheading 7 – row 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

	UNIT	NAME

Amendment

	UNIT	NAME
	<i>hectares</i>	<i>New green infrastructure</i>

Or. en

Amendment 108
Nikos Chrysogelos

Proposal for a regulation
Annex – heading 1 – subheading 7 – row 1 b (new)

PE491.027v01-00

48/55

AM\903871EN.doc

Text proposed by the Commission

UNIT **NAME**

Amendment

UNIT **NAME**

m2

New green spaces in urban areas

Or. en

Amendment 109
Nikos Chrysogelos

Proposal for a regulation
Annex – heading 2 – subheading 1 – row 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

UNIT **NAME**

Amendment

UNIT **NAME**

percentage

Change in share of renewable energy sources in final energy consumption resulting from co-funded measure(s)

Or. en

Amendment 110
Cornelia Ernst, Younous Omarjee

Proposal for a regulation
Annex – heading 2 – subheading 1 – row 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

UNIT **NAME**

Amendment

UNIT **NAME**

%

***Share of renewable energy
sources in final energy
consumption***

Or. en

Amendment 111
Nikos Chrysogelos

Proposal for a regulation
Annex – heading 2 – subheading 1 – row 1 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

UNIT **NAME**

Amendment

UNIT **NAME**

***Tonnes of oil
equivalent***

***Change in gross energy
consumption per sector***

Or. en

Amendment 112
Nikos Chrysogelos

Proposal for a regulation
Annex – heading 3 – subheading 1 – row 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

UNIT **NAME**

Amendment

UNIT
number

NAME
*Newly built, reconstructed
or upgraded cross-border
railway connections*

Or. en

Amendment 113
Cornelia Ernst, Younous Omarjee

Proposal for a regulation
Annex – heading 3 – subheading 1 – row 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

UNIT

NAME

Amendment

UNIT
*Tons of CO2
equivalent*

NAME
*Contribution of newly
built, reconstructed or
upgraded railway lines to
decrease of GHG
emissions*

Or. en

Justification

We propose to add this indicator because the evaluation of contribution of each infrastructure project to greenhouse gas emissions reduction is an obligatory part of environmental impact assessment (EIA), therefore data should already be available for each project.

Amendment 114
Nikos Chrysogelos

Proposal for a regulation
Annex – heading 3 – subheading 2 – row 2

Text proposed by the Commission

UNIT	NAME
<i>km</i>	<i>Total length of newly built roads</i>

Amendment

UNIT	NAME
<i>deleted</i>	<i>deleted</i>

Or. en

Amendment 115
Nikos Chrysogelos

Proposal for a regulation
Annex – heading 3 – subheading 2 – row 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

UNIT	NAME
------	------

Amendment

UNIT	NAME
<i>number</i>	<i>Newly built, re-constructed or upgraded cross-border sections</i>

Or. en

Amendment 116
Nikos Chrysogelos

Proposal for a regulation
Annex – heading 3 – subheading 3 – column 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Urban transport

Urban transport *and mobility*

Or. en

Amendment 117
Nikos Chrysogelos

Proposal for a regulation
Annex – heading 3 – subheading 3 – row 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

UNIT

NAME

Amendment

UNIT

NAME

percentage

*Change in modal share of
public transport and non-
motorised mobility such as
walking and cycling*

Or. en

Amendment 118
Cornelia Ernst, Younous Omarjee

Proposal for a regulation
Annex – heading 3 – subheading 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

UNIT

NAME

Amendment

UNIT

NAME

Public transport

passenger

*Increase of passenger trips
using supported public*

trips

transport service

Or. en

Justification

Support in passenger transport shall not be limited to urban areas but it shall cover rural areas as well where public transport is often the only affordable option especially for elderly or socially disadvantaged people.

Amendment 119

Nikos Chrysogelos

Proposal for a regulation

Annex – heading 3 – subheading 4 – row 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

UNIT

NAME

Amendment

UNIT

NAME

*tons of CO2
equivalent*

*Contribution of improved
inland waterways to
decrease GHG emissions*

Or. en

Amendment 120

Nikos Chrysogelos

Proposal for a regulation

Annex – heading 3 – subheading 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

UNIT

NAME

Amendment

	UNIT	NAME
<i>Climate Effect</i>	<i>Mio of tons of CO2 equivalents</i>	<i>Change of greenhouse gas emissions resulting from co-funded measure(s) in the transport sector</i>

Or. en

Amendment 121
Nikos Chrysogelos

Proposal for a regulation
Annex – heading 3 – subheading 4 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

	UNIT	NAME
<i>Amendment</i>		
<i>Waterborne transport</i>	<i>Tons of CO2 equivalent</i>	<i>Contribution of sustainable modes of waterborne transport to decrease GHG emissions</i>

Or. en