



EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

2009 - 2014

Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

2011/0282(COD)

26.7.2012

AMENDMENTS 1734 - 2063

Draft report

Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos

(PE474.053v01)

Proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on support for rural development by the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD)

Proposal for a regulation
(COM(2011) 627 – C7-0340/2011 – 2011/0282(COD))

AM\909515EN.doc

PE494.481v01-00

EN

United in diversity

EN

AM_Com_LegReport

Amendment 1734
Peter Jahr, Britta Reimers

Proposal for a regulation
Article 51 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

**2. A sum of EUR 30 million shall be
withdrawn from the allocation referred to
in paragraph 1 and used to finance the
prize for innovative, local cooperation
referred to in Article 56.** *deleted*

Or. de

Amendment 1735
Giovanni La Via

Proposal for a regulation
Article 51 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

**2. A sum of EUR 30 million shall be
withdrawn from the allocation referred to
in paragraph 1 and used to finance the
prize for innovative, local cooperation
referred to in Article 56.** *deleted*

Or. it

Amendment 1736
Michel Dantin, Agnès Le Brun

Proposal for a regulation
Article 51 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

**2. A sum of EUR 30 million shall be
withdrawn from the allocation referred to
in paragraph 1 and used to finance the** *deleted*

prize for innovative, local cooperation referred to in Article 56.

Or. fr

Amendment 1737
Diane Dodds, James Nicholson

Proposal for a regulation
Article 51 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. A sum of EUR 30 million shall be withdrawn from the allocation referred to in paragraph 1 and used to finance the prize for innovative, local cooperation referred to in Article 56. **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 1738
Hynek Fajmon

Proposal for a regulation
Article 51 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. A sum of EUR 30 million shall be withdrawn from the allocation referred to in paragraph 1 and used to finance the prize for innovative, local cooperation referred to in Article 56. **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 1739
Vasilica Viorica Dăncilă, Daciana Octavia Sârbu

Proposal for a regulation
Article 51 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. A sum of EUR 30 million shall be withdrawn from the allocation referred to in paragraph 1 and used to finance the prize for innovative, local cooperation referred to in Article 56.

deleted

Or. ro

Amendment 1740

Hynek Fajmon

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 51 – paragraph 2**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. A sum of EUR 30 million shall be withdrawn from the allocation referred to in paragraph 1 and used to finance the prize for innovative, local cooperation referred to in Article 56.

2. At the initiative of the Member States up to 4% of the total amount of each rural development programme may be devoted to the tasks referred to in Article 52 of Regulation (EC) No [CSF/2012], as well as costs related to preparatory work for the delimitation of areas facing natural constraints referred to in Article 33(3).

Costs relating to the certification body referred to in Article 9 of Regulation (EU) No HR/2012 shall not be eligible under this paragraph.

Within the 4% limit an amount shall be reserved for establishing and operating the national rural network referred to in Article 55.

Or. en

Amendment 1741

Maria do Céu Patrão Neves

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 51 – paragraph 2**

Text proposed by the Commission

2. A sum of **EUR 30 million** shall be withdrawn from the allocation referred to in paragraph 1 and used to finance the prize for innovative, local cooperation referred to in Article 56.

Amendment

2. A sum shall be withdrawn from the allocation referred to in paragraph 1 and used to finance the prize for innovative, local cooperation referred to in Article 56.

Or. pt

Amendment 1742

Hynek Fajmon

Proposal for a regulation

Article 51 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

At the initiative of the Member States up to 4% of the total amount of each rural development programme may be devoted to the tasks referred to in Article 52 of Regulation (EC) No [CSF/2012], as well as costs related to preparatory work for the delimitation of areas facing natural constraints referred to in Article 33(3).

Amendment

In case of rural development programmes covering both types of regions eligible in less developed regions, the outermost regions and the smaller Aegean islands within the meaning of Regulation (EEC) No 2019/93 and in the other regions, the EAFRD contribution rate for technical assistance referred to in Article 65(3) may be determined taking into account the predominant type of regions, by their number, in the programme.

Or. en

Amendment 1743

Mariya Gabriel

Proposal for a regulation

Article 51 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

At the initiative of the Member States up to 4% of the total amount of each rural development programme may be devoted

Amendment

At the initiative of the Member States up to 4% of the total amount of each rural development programme may be devoted

to the tasks referred to in Article 52 of Regulation (EC) No [CSF/2012], as well as costs related to preparatory work for the delimitation of areas facing natural constraints referred to in Article 33(3).

to the tasks referred to in Article 52 of Regulation (EC) No [CSF/2012], as well as costs related to preparatory work for the delimitation of areas facing natural **and other specific** constraints referred to in Article 33.

Or. bg

Amendment 1744
Marc Tarabella

Proposal for a regulation
Article 51 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Within the 4% limit an amount shall be reserved for establishing and operating the national rural network referred to in Article 55.

Amendment

Within the 4% limit an amount shall be reserved for establishing and operating the national rural network referred to in Article 55 **and for preparation, management, follow-up, evaluation, information, training and monitoring activities carried out by other operators in respect of interventions under the programmes.**

Or. fr

Amendment 1745
Elisabeth Köstinger, Herbert Dorfmann, Elisabeth Jeggle, Milan Zver, Albert Deß, Astrid Lulling

Proposal for a regulation
Article 52 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) increase the involvement of stakeholders in the implementation of rural development;

Amendment

(a) increase the involvement of **agricultural, forestry and other rural** stakeholders in the implementation of rural development;

Or. de

Amendment 1746
Hans-Peter Mayer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 52 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The Commission shall, **by means of implementing acts, set out** the organisational structure and operation of the European network for rural development *network*. **These implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 91.**

Amendment

4. The Commission shall **be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 90 concerning** the organisational structure and operation of the European network for rural development.

Or. de

Justification

This is not a purely technical decision.

Amendment 1747
Giovanni La Via

Proposal for a regulation
Article 52 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The Commission shall, by means of **implementing** acts, set out the organisational structure and operation of the European network for rural development network. These implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 91.

Amendment

4. The Commission shall, by means of **delegated** acts, set out the organisational structure and operation of the European network for rural development network.

Or. it

Amendment 1748
Peter Jahr, Britta Reimers

Proposal for a regulation
Article 53

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 53

deleted

EIP network

1. A EIP network shall be put in place to support the EIP for agricultural productivity and sustainability referred to in Article 61, in accordance with Article 51(1). It shall enable the networking of operational groups, advisory services and researchers

2. The tasks of the EIP network shall be to:

(a) provide a help desk function and provide information to key actors concerning the EIP;

(b) animate discussions at the level of the programme in view of encouraging the setting up of operational groups;

(c) screen and report on research results and knowledge relevant to the EIP;

(d) collect, consolidate and disseminate good practice relevant to innovation;

(e) organise conferences and workshops and disseminate information in the field of the EIP.

3. The Commission shall, by means of implementing acts, set out the organisational structure and operation of the EIP network. These implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 91.

Or. de

Amendment 1749
Vasilica Viorica Dăncilă, Daciana Octavia Sârbu

Proposal for a regulation
Article 53

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 53

deleted

EIP network

1. A EIP network shall be put in place to support the EIP for agricultural productivity and sustainability referred to in Article 61, in accordance with Article 51(1). It shall enable the networking of operational groups, advisory services and researchers

2. The tasks of the EIP network shall be to:

(a) provide a help desk function and provide information to key actors concerning the EIP;

(b) animate discussions at the level of the programme in view of encouraging the setting up of operational groups;

(c) screen and report on research results and knowledge relevant to the EIP;

(d) collect, consolidate and disseminate good practice relevant to innovation;

(e) organise conferences and workshops and disseminate information in the field of the EIP.

3. The Commission shall, by means of implementing acts, set out the organisational structure and operation of the EIP network. These implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 91.

Or. ro

Amendment 1750
Hynek Fajmon

Proposal for a regulation
Article 53 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. A EIP network shall be put in place to support the EIP for agricultural productivity and sustainability referred to in Article 61, in accordance with Article 51(1). It shall enable the networking of operational groups, advisory services and researchers

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1751
Patrick Le Hyaric, Willy Meyer, João Ferreira, Kyriacos Triantaphyllides

Proposal for a regulation
Article 53 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. A EIP network shall be put in place to support the EIP for agricultural **productivity** and sustainability referred to in Article 61, in accordance with Article 51(1). It shall enable the networking of operational groups, advisory services and researchers

1. A EIP network shall be put in place to support the EIP for agricultural **production, economic viability** and sustainability referred to in Article 61, in accordance with Article 51(1). It shall enable the networking of operational groups, advisory services and researchers

Or. fr

Amendment 1752
Marc Tarabella

Proposal for a regulation
Article 53 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. A EIP network shall be put in place to support the EIP for agricultural **productivity** and sustainability referred to in Article 61, in accordance with Article 51(1). It shall enable the networking of operational groups, advisory services and researchers

Amendment

1. A EIP network shall be put in place to support the EIP for **the economic viability of farms and** agricultural sustainability **as** referred to in Article 61, in accordance with Article 51(1). It shall enable the networking of operational groups, advisory services and researchers

Or. fr

Amendment 1753

Elisabeth Köstinger, Herbert Dorfmann, Elisabeth Jeggle, Milan Zver, Albert Deß, Astrid Lulling

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 53 – paragraph 1**

Text proposed by the Commission

1. *A* EIP network shall be put in place to support the EIP for agricultural productivity and sustainability referred to in Article 61, in accordance with Article 51(1). It shall enable the networking of operational groups, advisory services and researchers

Amendment

1. *An* EIP network shall be put in place to support the EIP for agricultural productivity and sustainability referred to in Article 61, in accordance with Article 51(1). It shall enable the networking of operational groups **in rural areas, producer groups, agricultural** advisory services and researchers

Or. de

Amendment 1754

Karin Kadenbach

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 53 – paragraph 1**

Text proposed by the Commission

1. A EIP network shall be put in place to support the EIP for agricultural productivity and sustainability referred to

Amendment

1. A EIP network shall be put in place to support the EIP for agricultural productivity and sustainability referred to

in Article 61, in accordance with Article 51(1). It shall enable the networking of operational groups, advisory services and researchers

in Article 61, in accordance with Article 51(1). It shall enable the networking of operational groups, advisory services, **NGOs** and researchers.

Or. en

Amendment 1755
Hynek Fajmon

Proposal for a regulation
Article 53 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. The tasks of the EIP network shall be to:

deleted

(a) provide a help desk function and provide information to key actors concerning the EIP;

(b) animate discussions at the level of the programme in view of encouraging the setting up of operational groups;

(c) screen and report on research results and knowledge relevant to the EIP;

(d) collect, consolidate and disseminate good practice relevant to innovation;

(e) organise conferences and workshops and disseminate information in the field of the EIP.

Or. en

Amendment 1756
Hynek Fajmon

Proposal for a regulation
Article 53 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) provide a help desk function and provide information to key actors concerning the EIP;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1757

Elisabeth Köstinger, Herbert Dorfmann, Elisabeth Jeggle, Milan Zver, Albert Deß, Astrid Lulling

Proposal for a regulation

Article 53 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) provide a help desk function and provide information to key actors concerning the EIP;

(a) provide a help desk function and provide information to key actors, ***particularly primary producers, their suppliers and those they supply,*** concerning the EIP;

Or. de

Amendment 1758

Hynek Fajmon

Proposal for a regulation

Article 53 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) animate discussions at the level of the programme in view of encouraging the setting up of operational groups;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1759

Elisabeth Köstinger, Herbert Dorfmann, Elisabeth Jeggle, Milan Zver, Albert Deß,

Astrid Lulling

Proposal for a regulation

Article 53 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) animate discussions at the level of the programme *in view of* encouraging the setting up of operational groups;

Amendment

(b) animate discussions at the level of the programme **and at implementation level** *with a view to* encouraging the setting up of **local** operational groups;

Or. de

Amendment 1760

Hynek Fajmon

Proposal for a regulation

Article 53 – paragraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) screen and report on research results and knowledge relevant to the EIP;

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1761

Hynek Fajmon

Proposal for a regulation

Article 53 – paragraph 2 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) collect, consolidate and disseminate good practice relevant to innovation;

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1762

Elisabeth Köstinger, Herbert Dorfmann, Elisabeth Jeggle, Milan Zver, Albert Deß,

Astrid Lulling

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 53 – paragraph 2 – point d**

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) collect, consolidate and disseminate *good practice* relevant to innovation;

Amendment

(d) collect, consolidate and disseminate *research findings and new technologies* relevant to innovation;

Or. de

**Amendment 1763
Alyn Smith**
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 53 – paragraph 2 – point d**

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) collect, consolidate and disseminate good practice relevant to innovation;

Amendment

(d) collect, consolidate and disseminate good practice relevant to innovation *and knowledge exchange*;

Or. en

**Amendment 1764
Marit Paulsen, Britta Reimers, Liam Aylward, Sylvie Goulard, George Lyon, Kent Johansson**

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 53 – paragraph 2 – point d a (new)**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d a) Establish a dialogue between farmers and the research community;

Or. en

Amendment 1765

**Elisabeth Köstinger, Herbert Dorfmann, Elisabeth Jeggle, Milan Zver, Albert Deß,
Astrid Lulling**

Proposal for a regulation

Article 53 – paragraph 2 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

***(e) organise conferences and workshops
and disseminate information in the field
of the EIP.***

deleted

Or. de

Amendment 1766

Hynek Fajmon

Proposal for a regulation

Article 53 – paragraph 2 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

***(e) organise conferences and workshops
and disseminate information in the field
of the EIP.***

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1767

Hynek Fajmon

Proposal for a regulation

Article 53 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

***3. The Commission shall, by means of
implementing acts, set out the
organisational structure and operation of
the EIP network. Those implementing
acts shall be adopted in accordance with
the examination procedure referred to in
Article 91.***

deleted

Amendment 1768
Hans-Peter Mayer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 53 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. The Commission shall, **by means of implementing acts, set out** the organisational structure and operation of the EIP network. **These implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 91.**

Amendment

3. The Commission shall **be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 90 concerning** the organisational structure and operation of the EIP network.

Or. de

Justification

This is not a purely technical decision.

Amendment 1769
Giovanni La Via, Paolo Bartolozzi

Proposal for a regulation
Article 53 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. The Commission shall, by means of **implementing** acts, set out the organisational structure and operation of the EIP network. These implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 91.

Amendment

3. The Commission shall, by means of **delegated** acts, set out the organisational structure and operation of the EIP network.

Or. it

Amendment 1770

Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Iratxe García Pérez, Ricardo Cortés Lastra, Alejandro Cercas

Proposal for a regulation

Article 54

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 54

deleted

European evaluation network for rural development

1. A European evaluation network for rural development shall be put in place to support the evaluation of rural development programmes in accordance with Article 51(1). It shall enable the networking of those involved in the evaluation of rural development programmes.

2. The aim of the European evaluation network for rural development shall be to facilitate the exchange of expertise and good practices on evaluation methodologies, to develop evaluation methods and tools, to provide support on evaluation processes, and on data collection and management.

3. The Commission shall, by means of implementing acts, set out the organisational structure and operation of the European evaluation network for rural development. These implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 91.

Or. es

Justification

There is no need to create a specific evaluation network for rural development as this should be a competence of the European network for rural development.

Amendment 1771

Esther Herranz García, Gabriel Mato Adrover, Pilar Ayuso, María Auxiliadora Correa Zamora, Georgios Papastamkos

Proposal for a regulation

Article 54

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 54

deleted

European evaluation network for rural development

1. A European evaluation network for rural development shall be put in place to support the evaluation of rural development programmes in accordance with Article 51(1). It shall enable the networking of those involved in the evaluation of rural development programmes.

2. The aim of the European evaluation network for rural development shall be to facilitate the exchange of expertise and good practices on evaluation methodologies, to develop evaluation methods and tools, to provide support on evaluation processes, and on data collection and management.

3. The Commission shall, by means of implementing acts, set out the organisational structure and operation of the European evaluation network for rural development. These implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 91.

Or. es

Justification

The experience of the current programming period has shown a need to simplify as far as possible the number of networks. The duties of the European evaluation network could be included among those attributed to the European network for rural development under Article 52, particularly as one of the latter's objectives is to improve the quality of rural

development programmes.

Amendment 1772
Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation
Article 54

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 54

deleted

European evaluation network for rural development

1. A European evaluation network for rural development shall be put in place to support the evaluation of rural development programmes in accordance with Article 51(1). It shall enable the networking of those involved in the evaluation of rural development programmes.

2. The aim of the European evaluation network for rural development shall be to facilitate the exchange of expertise and good practices on evaluation methodologies, to develop evaluation methods and tools, to provide support on evaluation processes, and on data collection and management.

3. The Commission shall, by means of implementing acts, set out the organisational structure and operation of the European evaluation network for rural development. These implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 91.

Or. es

Justification

These functions can be added to those of the European network for rural development under Article 52, in order to simplify the number of networks.

Amendment 1773
Peter Jahr, Britta Reimers

Proposal for a regulation
Article 54

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 54

deleted

European evaluation network for rural development

1. A European evaluation network for rural development shall be put in place to support the evaluation of rural development programmes in accordance with Article 51(1). It shall enable the networking of those involved in the evaluation of rural development programmes.

2. The aim of the European evaluation network for rural development shall be to facilitate the exchange of expertise and good practices on evaluation methodologies, to develop evaluation methods and tools, to provide support on evaluation processes, and on data collection and management.

3. The Commission shall, by means of implementing acts, set out the organisational structure and operation of the European evaluation network for rural development. These implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 91.

Or. de

Amendment 1774
Vasilica Viorica Dăncilă, Daciana Octavia Sârbu

Proposal for a regulation
Article 54

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 54

deleted

European evaluation network for rural development

1. A European evaluation network for rural development shall be put in place to support the evaluation of rural development programmes in accordance with Article 51(1). It shall enable the networking of those involved in the evaluation of rural development programmes.

2. The aim of the European evaluation network for rural development shall be to facilitate the exchange of expertise and good practices on evaluation methodologies, to develop evaluation methods and tools, to provide support on evaluation processes, and on data collection and management.

3. The Commission shall, by means of implementing acts, set out the organisational structure and operation of the European evaluation network for rural development. These implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 91.

Or. ro

Amendment 1775
Hynek Fajmon

Proposal for a regulation
Article 54 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. A European evaluation network for

deleted

rural development shall be put in place to support the evaluation of rural development programmes in accordance with Article 51(1). It shall enable the networking of those involved in the evaluation of rural development programmes.

Or. en

Amendment 1776
Hynek Fajmon

Proposal for a regulation
Article 54 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. The aim of the European evaluation network for rural development shall be to facilitate the exchange of expertise and good practices on evaluation methodologies, to develop evaluation methods and tools, to provide support on evaluation processes, and on data collection and management. **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 1777
Hynek Fajmon

Proposal for a regulation
Article 54 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. The Commission shall, by means of implementing acts, set out the organisational structure and operation of the European evaluation network for rural development. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in **deleted**

Article 91.

Or. en

Amendment 1778
Hans-Peter Mayer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 54 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. The Commission shall, ***by means of implementing acts, set out*** the organisational structure and operation of the European evaluation network for rural development. ***These implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 91.***

Amendment

3. The Commission shall ***be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 90 concerning*** the organisational structure and operation of the European evaluation network for rural development.

Or. de

Justification

This is not a purely technical decision.

Amendment 1779
Mariya Gabriel

Proposal for a regulation
Article 55 – paragraph 2 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

d) foster innovation in agriculture

Amendment

d) foster innovation in agriculture ***and forestry.***

Or. bg

Amendment 1780
Ulrike Rodust

Proposal for a regulation
Article 55 – paragraph 2 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) foster innovation in agriculture

Amendment

(d) foster innovation in agriculture **and forestry**.

Or. de

Amendment 1781
Michel Dantin, Agnès Le Brun

Proposal for a regulation
Article 55 – paragraph 3 – point b – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) for the preparation and implementation of an action plan **containing at least** the following:

Amendment

(b) for the preparation and implementation of an action plan **which may contain** the following:

Or. fr

Amendment 1782
Diane Dodds, James Nicholson

Proposal for a regulation
Article 55 – paragraph 3 – point b – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) for the preparation and implementation of an action plan **containing at least** the following:

Amendment

(b) for the preparation and implementation of an action plan **which may contain** the following:

Or. en

Amendment 1783
Diane Dodds, James Nicholson

Proposal for a regulation
Article 55 – paragraph 3 – point b – point iii

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(iii) support for monitoring, in particular through collection and sharing of relevant feed-back, recommendations and analysis notably from the Monitoring Committees referred to in Article 41 of Regulation (EU) No [CSF/2012]. Local action groups shall also be supported by the national rural network for the monitoring and evaluation of the local development strategies;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1784
Hynek Fajmon

Proposal for a regulation
Article 55 – paragraph 3 – point b – point iii

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(iii) support for monitoring, in particular through collection and sharing of relevant feed-back, recommendations and analysis notably from the Monitoring Committees referred to in Article 41 of Regulation (EU) No [CSF/2012]. Local action groups shall also be supported by the national rural network for the monitoring and evaluation of the local development strategies;

(iii) support for monitoring, in particular through collection and sharing of relevant feed-back, recommendations and analysis notably from the Monitoring Committees referred to in Article 41 of Regulation (EU) No [CSF/2012].

Or. en

Amendment 1785
Vasilica Viorica Dăncilă, Daciana Octavia Sârbu

Proposal for a regulation
Article 55 – paragraph 3 – point b – point iii

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(iii) support for monitoring, in particular through collection and sharing of relevant feed-back, recommendations and analysis notably from the Monitoring Committees referred to in Article 41 of Regulation (EU) No [CSF/2012]. ***Local action groups shall also be supported by the national rural network for the monitoring and evaluation of the local development strategies;***

(iii) support for monitoring, in particular through collection and sharing of relevant feed-back, recommendations and analysis notably from the Monitoring Committees referred to in Article 41 of Regulation (EU) No [CSF/2012].

Or. ro

Amendment 1786
Vasilica Viorica Dăncilă, Daciana Octavia Sârbu

Proposal for a regulation
Article 55 – paragraph 3 – point b – point iv

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(iv) provision of training activities for programme implementing bodies and local action groups in the process of formation;

deleted

Or. ro

Amendment 1787
Vasilica Viorica Dăncilă, Daciana Octavia Sârbu

Proposal for a regulation
Article 55 – paragraph 3 – point b – point v

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(v) collection of examples of projects covering all priorities of the rural

deleted

development programmes;

Or. ro

Amendment 1788

Vasilica Viorica Dăncilă, Daciana Octavia Sârbu

Proposal for a regulation

Article 55 – paragraph 3 – point b – point vi

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(vi) ongoing studies and analysis; ***deleted***

Or. ro

Amendment 1789

Hynek Fajmon

Proposal for a regulation

Article 55 – paragraph 3 – point b – point vii

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(vii) networking activities for local action groups and in particular technical assistance for inter-territorial and transnational co-operation, facilitation of co-operation among local action groups and the search of partners for the measure referred to in Article 36; ***deleted***

Or. en

Amendment 1790

Vasilica Viorica Dăncilă, Daciana Octavia Sârbu

Proposal for a regulation

Article 55 – paragraph 3 – point b – point vii

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(vii) networking activities for local action groups and in particular technical assistance for inter-territorial and transnational co-operation, facilitation of co-operation among local action groups and the search of partners for the measure referred to in Article 36; ***deleted***

Or. ro

Amendment 1791

Marit Paulsen, Britta Reimers, Liam Aylward, George Lyon, Kent Johansson

Proposal for a regulation

Article 55 – paragraph 3 – point b – point vii a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(vii a) a plan to encourage local "one stop shops", digital or physical, to make information on rural development programmes and the other CSF Fund programmes locally accessible for potential beneficiaries.

Or. en

Amendment 1792

Mariya Gabriel

Proposal for a regulation

Article 55 – paragraph 3 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

c) the setting up of a pre-selection board of independent experts and the process of pre-selection of applications for the prize for innovative local co-operation referred to in Article 58(2). ***deleted***

Amendment 1793
Hans-Peter Mayer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 55 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The Commission shall, ***by means of implementing acts, adopt rules for*** the establishment and operation of national rural networks. ***These implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 91.***

Amendment

4. The Commission shall ***be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 90 concerning*** the establishment and operation of national rural networks.

Justification

This is not a purely technical decision.

Amendment 1794
Alain Cadec

Proposal for a regulation
Article 55 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4a. In accordance with Article 70, beneficiaries may request an advance from the competent paying agency if that option is included in the rural development programme. The amount of the advance may be as much as 50% of the public support related to the running and animation costs.

Amendment 1795
Mariya Gabriel

Proposal for a regulation
Article 56

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 56

deleted

Prize for innovative, local cooperation in rural areas

The funds referred to in Article 51(2) shall be used for financing the award of a prize to cooperation projects involving at least two entities located in different Member States that realise an innovative, local concept.

Or. bg

Amendment 1796
James Nicholson, Julie Girling

Proposal for a regulation
Article 56

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 56

deleted

Prize for innovative, local cooperation in rural areas

The funds referred to in Article 51(2) shall be used for financing the award of a prize to cooperation projects involving at least two entities located in different Member States that realise an innovative, local concept.

Or. en

Amendment 1797
Peter Jahr, Britta Reimers

Proposal for a regulation
Article 56

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 56

deleted

Prize for innovative, local cooperation in rural areas

The funds referred to in Article 51(2) shall be used for financing the award of a prize to cooperation projects involving at least two entities located in different Member States that realise an innovative, local concept.

Or. de

Amendment 1798
Giovanni La Via, Paolo Bartolozzi, Georgios Papastamkos

Proposal for a regulation
Article 56

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 56

deleted

Prize for innovative, local cooperation in rural areas

The funds referred to in Article 51(2) shall be used for financing the award of a prize to cooperation projects involving at least two entities located in different Member States that realise an innovative, local concept.

Or. it

Amendment 1799
Michel Dantin, Agnès Le Brun

Proposal for a regulation
Article 56

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 56

deleted

Prize for innovative, local cooperation in rural areas

The funds referred to in Article 51(2) shall be used for financing the award of a prize to cooperation projects involving at least two entities located in different Member States that realise an innovative, local concept.

Or. fr

Amendment 1800
Hynek Fajmon

Proposal for a regulation
Article 56

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 56

deleted

Prize for innovative, local cooperation in rural areas

The funds referred to in Article 51(2) shall be used for financing the award of a prize to cooperation projects involving at least two entities located in different Member States that realise an innovative, local concept.

Or. en

Amendment 1801
Vasilica Viorica Dăncilă, Daciana Octavia Sârbu

Proposal for a regulation
Article 56

PE494.481v01-00

34/168

AM\909515EN.doc

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 56

deleted

Prize for innovative, local cooperation in rural areas

The funds referred to in Article 51(2) shall be used for financing the award of a prize to cooperation projects involving at least two entities located in different Member States that realise an innovative, local concept.

Or. ro

Amendment 1802

Diane Dodds, James Nicholson

Proposal for a regulation

Article 56 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The funds referred to in Article 51(2) shall be used for financing the award of a prize to cooperation projects involving at least two entities located in different Member States that realise an innovative, local concept.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1803

Elisabeth Köstinger, Milan Zver, Herbert Dorfmann, Astrid Lulling

Proposal for a regulation

Article 56 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The funds referred to in Article 51(2) shall be used for financing the award of a prize to cooperation projects involving at least

The funds referred to in Article 51(2) shall be used for financing the award of a prize to cooperation projects involving at least

two *entities located* in different Member States *that realise an innovative, local concept*.

two *rural regions* in different Member States that *pursue and implement an innovative EU partnership. The partnership must exist for a period of at least one year*.

Or. de

Amendment 1804
Mariya Gabriel

Proposal for a regulation
Article 57

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 57

deleted

Call for proposals

1. Starting at the latest in 2015 and every year thereafter the Commission shall launch a call for proposals in view of awarding the prize referred to in Article 56. The last call for proposals shall be launched no later than in 2019.

2. The call for proposals shall indicate a theme for the proposals which shall be related to one of the Union priorities for rural development. The theme shall also be appropriate for implementation through cooperation at transnational level.

3. The call for proposals shall be open to both local action groups and individual entities cooperating for the purpose of the specific project.

Or. bg

Amendment 1805
James Nicholson, Julie Girling

Proposal for a regulation
Article 57

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 57

deleted

Call for proposals

1. Starting at the latest in 2015 and every year thereafter the Commission shall launch a call for proposals in view of awarding the prize referred to in Article 56. The last call for proposals shall be launched no later than in 2019.

2. The call for proposals shall indicate a theme for the proposals which shall be related to one of the Union priorities for rural development. The theme shall also be appropriate for implementation through cooperation at transnational level.

3. The call for proposals shall be open to both local action groups and individual entities cooperating for the purpose of the specific project.

Or. en

Amendment 1806
Peter Jahr, Britta Reimers

Proposal for a regulation
Article 57

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 57

deleted

Call for proposals

1. Starting at the latest in 2015 and every year thereafter the Commission shall launch a call for proposals in view of awarding the prize referred to in Article 56. The last call for proposals shall be launched no later than in 2019.

2. The call for proposals shall indicate a theme for the proposals which shall be related to one of the Union priorities for rural development. The theme shall also be appropriate for implementation through cooperation at transnational level.

3. The call for proposals shall be open to both local action groups and individual entities cooperating for the purpose of the specific project.

Or. de

Amendment 1807

Giovanni La Via, Paolo Bartolozzi, Georgios Papastamkos

Proposal for a regulation

Article 57

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 57

deleted

Call for proposals

1. Starting at the latest in 2015 and every year thereafter the Commission shall launch a call for proposals in view of awarding the prize referred to in Article 56. The last call for proposals shall be launched no later than in 2019.

2. The call for proposals shall indicate a theme for the proposals which shall be related to one of the Union priorities for rural development. The theme shall also be appropriate for implementation through cooperation at transnational level.

3. The call for proposals shall be open to both local action groups and individual entities cooperating for the purpose of the specific project.

Or. it

Amendment 1808
Michel Dantin, Agnès Le Brun

Proposal for a regulation
Article 57

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 57

deleted

Call for proposals

1. Starting at the latest in 2015 and every year thereafter the Commission shall launch a call for proposals in view of awarding the prize referred to in Article 56. The last call for proposals shall be launched no later than in 2019.

2. The call for proposals shall indicate a theme for the proposals which shall be related to one of the Union priorities for rural development. The theme shall also be appropriate for implementation through cooperation at transnational level.

3. The call for proposals shall be open to both local action groups and individual entities cooperating for the purpose of the specific project.

Or. fr

Amendment 1809
Diane Dodds

Proposal for a regulation
Article 57

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 57

deleted

Call for proposals

1. Starting at the latest in 2015 and every year thereafter the Commission shall

launch a call for proposals in view of awarding the prize referred to in Article 56. The last call for proposals shall be launched no later than in 2019.

2. The call for proposals shall indicate a theme for the proposals which shall be related to one of the Union priorities for rural development. The theme shall also be appropriate for implementation through cooperation at transnational level.

3. The call for proposals shall be open to both local action groups and individual entities cooperating for the purpose of the specific project.

Or. en

Amendment 1810
Hynek Fajmon

Proposal for a regulation
Article 57

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 57

deleted

Call for proposals

1. Starting at the latest in 2015 and every year thereafter the Commission shall launch a call for proposals in view of awarding the prize referred to in Article 56. The last call for proposals shall be launched no later than in 2019.

2. The call for proposals shall indicate a theme for the proposals which shall be related to one of the Union priorities for rural development. The theme shall also be appropriate for implementation through cooperation at transnational level.

3. The call for proposals shall be open to both local action groups and individual

entities cooperating for the purpose of the specific project.

Or. en

Amendment 1811
Vasilica Viorica Dăncilă, Daciana Octavia Sârbu

Proposal for a regulation
Article 57

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 57

deleted

Call for proposals

1. Starting at the latest in 2015 and every year thereafter the Commission shall launch a call for proposals in view of awarding the prize referred to in Article 56. The last call for proposals shall be launched no later than in 2019.

2. The call for proposals shall indicate a theme for the proposals which shall be related to one of the Union priorities for rural development. The theme shall also be appropriate for implementation through cooperation at transnational level.

3. The call for proposals shall be open to both local action groups and individual entities cooperating for the purpose of the specific project.

Or. ro

Amendment 1812
Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation
Article 57 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The call for proposals shall indicate a theme for the proposals which shall be related to one of the Union priorities for rural development. The theme shall also be appropriate for implementation through cooperation at transnational level.

Amendment

2. The call for proposals shall indicate a theme for the proposals which shall be related to one of the Union priorities for rural development. The theme shall also be appropriate for implementation through cooperation at transnational level. ***Given the discrimination against women in rural areas, their invisible contribution to earnings and wellbeing, the importance of keeping rural areas populated and the need to increase awareness of this issue among society at large, the theme selected for the first year in which the prize is awarded shall be the promotion of gender equality and cohesion in rural areas.***

Or. es

Amendment 1813

Elisabeth Köstinger, Milan Zver, Herbert Dorfmann, Astrid Lulling

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 57 – paragraph 3**

Text proposed by the Commission

3. The call for proposals shall be open to both local action groups and ***individual entities*** cooperating for the purpose of the ***specific project***.

Amendment

3. The call for proposals shall be open to both local action groups and ***regional representative bodies*** cooperating for the purpose of the ***innovative EU partnership***.

Or. de

Amendment 1814

Mariya Gabriel

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 58**

Article 58

deleted

Selection procedure

- 1. Applications for the prize shall be submitted by applicants in all Member States to the respective national rural network, which will be responsible for pre-selecting applications.**
- 2. National rural networks shall set up, from within their members, a pre-selection board of independent experts in order to pre-select applications. Pre-selection of applications shall be done on the basis of the exclusion, selection and award criteria defined in the call for proposals. Each National Rural Network shall pre-select no more than 10 applications and shall transmit them to the Commission.**
- 3. The Commission shall be responsible for the selection of fifty winning projects among the applications pre-selected in all the Member States. The Commission shall set up an ad hoc steering group composed of independent experts. This steering group shall prepare the selection of the winning applications on the basis of the exclusion, selection and award criteria defined in the call for proposals.**
- 4. The Commission shall, by means of an implementing act, decide on the list of projects to which the prize is awarded.**

Or. bg

Amendment 1815
James Nicholson, Julie Girling

Proposal for a regulation
Article 58

Article 58

deleted

Selection procedure

- 1. Applications for the prize shall be submitted by applicants in all Member States to the respective national rural network, which shall be responsible for pre-selecting applications.**
- 2. National rural networks shall set up, from within their members, a pre-selection board of independent experts in order to pre-select applications. Pre-selection of applications shall be done on the basis of the exclusion, selection and award criteria defined in the call for proposals. Each National Rural Network shall pre-select no more than 10 applications and shall transmit them to the Commission.**
- 3. The Commission shall be responsible for the selection of fifty winning projects among the applications pre-selected in all the Member States. The Commission shall set up an ad hoc steering group composed of independent experts. This steering group shall prepare the selection of the winning applications on the basis of the exclusion, selection and award criteria defined in the call for proposals.**
- 4. The Commission shall, by means of an implementing act, decide on the list of projects to which the prize is awarded.**

Or. en

Amendment 1816
Peter Jahr, Britta Reimers

Proposal for a regulation
Article 58

Article 58

deleted

Selection procedure

- 1. Applications for the prize shall be submitted by applicants in all Member States to the respective national rural network, which will be responsible for pre-selecting applications.**
- 2. National rural networks shall set up, from within their members, a pre-selection board of independent experts in order to pre-select applications. Pre-selection of applications shall be done on the basis of the exclusion, selection and award criteria defined in the call for proposals. Each National Rural Network shall pre-select no more than 10 applications and shall transmit them to the Commission.**
- 3. The Commission shall be responsible for the selection of fifty winning projects among the applications pre-selected in all the Member States. The Commission shall set up an ad hoc steering group composed of independent experts. This steering group shall prepare the selection of the winning applications on the basis of the exclusion, selection and award criteria defined in the call for proposals.**
- 4. The Commission shall, by means of an implementing act, decide on the list of projects to which the prize is awarded.**

Or. de

Amendment 1817

Giovanni La Via, Paolo Bartolozzi, Georgios Papastamkos

Proposal for a regulation

Article 58

Article 58

deleted

Selection procedure

- 1. Applications for the prize shall be submitted by applicants in all Member States to the respective national rural network, which will be responsible for pre-selecting applications.**
- 2. National rural networks shall set up, from within their members, a pre-selection board of independent experts in order to pre-select applications. Pre-selection of applications shall be done on the basis of the exclusion, selection and award criteria defined in the call for proposals. Each National Rural Network shall pre-select no more than 10 applications and shall transmit them to the Commission.**
- 3. The Commission shall be responsible for the selection of fifty winning projects among the applications pre-selected in all the Member States. The Commission shall set up an ad hoc steering group composed of independent experts. This steering group shall prepare the selection of the winning applications on the basis of the exclusion, selection and award criteria defined in the call for proposals.**
- 4. The Commission shall, by means of an implementing act, decide on the list of projects to which the prize is awarded.**

Or. it

Amendment 1818
Michel Dantin, Agnès Le Brun

Proposal for a regulation
Article 58

Article 58

deleted

Selection procedure

- 1. Applications for the prize shall be submitted by applicants in all Member States to the respective national rural network, which will be responsible for pre-selecting applications.**
- 2. National rural networks shall set up, from within their members, a pre-selection board of independent experts in order to pre-select applications. Pre-selection of applications shall be done on the basis of the exclusion, selection and award criteria defined in the call for proposals. Each National Rural Network shall pre-select no more than 10 applications and shall transmit them to the Commission.**
- 3. The Commission shall be responsible for the selection of fifty winning projects among the applications pre-selected in all the Member States. The Commission shall set up an ad hoc steering group composed of independent experts. This steering group shall prepare the selection of the winning applications on the basis of the exclusion, selection and award criteria defined in the call for proposals.**
- 4. The Commission shall, by means of an implementing act, decide on the list of projects to which the prize is awarded.**

Or. fr

Amendment 1819
Diane Dodds, James Nicholson

Proposal for a regulation
Article 58

Article 58

deleted

Selection procedure

- 1. Applications for the prize shall be submitted by applicants in all Member States to the respective national rural network, which shall be responsible for pre-selecting applications.**
- 2. National rural networks shall set up, from within their members, a pre-selection board of independent experts in order to pre-select applications. Pre-selection of applications shall be done on the basis of the exclusion, selection and award criteria defined in the call for proposals. Each National Rural Network shall pre-select no more than 10 applications and shall transmit them to the Commission.**
- 3. The Commission shall be responsible for the selection of fifty winning projects among the applications pre-selected in all the Member States. The Commission shall set up an ad hoc steering group composed of independent experts. This steering group shall prepare the selection of the winning applications on the basis of the exclusion, selection and award criteria defined in the call for proposals.**
- 4. The Commission shall, by means of an implementing act, decide on the list of projects to which the prize is awarded.**

Or. en

Amendment 1820

Hynek Fajmon

Proposal for a regulation

Article 58

Article 58

deleted

Selection procedure

- 1. Applications for the prize shall be submitted by applicants in all Member States to the respective national rural network, which shall be responsible for pre-selecting applications.**
- 2. National rural networks shall set up, from within their members, a pre-selection board of independent experts in order to pre-select applications. Pre-selection of applications shall be done on the basis of the exclusion, selection and award criteria defined in the call for proposals. Each National Rural Network shall pre-select no more than 10 applications and shall transmit them to the Commission.**
- 3. The Commission shall be responsible for the selection of fifty winning projects among the applications pre-selected in all the Member States. The Commission shall set up an ad hoc steering group composed of independent experts. This steering group shall prepare the selection of the winning applications on the basis of the exclusion, selection and award criteria defined in the call for proposals.**
- 4. The Commission shall, by means of an implementing act, decide on the list of projects to which the prize is awarded.**

Or. en

Amendment 1821

Vasilica Viorica Dăncilă, Daciana Octavia Sârbu

Proposal for a regulation

Article 58

Article 58

deleted

Selection procedure

- 1. Applications for the prize shall be submitted by applicants in all Member States to the respective national rural network, which will be responsible for pre-selecting applications.**
- 2. National rural networks shall set up, from within their members, a pre-selection board of independent experts in order to pre-select applications. Pre-selection of applications shall be done on the basis of the exclusion, selection and award criteria defined in the call for proposals. Each National Rural Network shall pre-select no more than 10 applications and shall transmit them to the Commission.**
- 3. The Commission shall be responsible for the selection of fifty winning projects among the applications pre-selected in all the Member States. The Commission shall set up an ad hoc steering group composed of independent experts. This steering group shall prepare the selection of the winning applications on the basis of the exclusion, selection and award criteria defined in the call for proposals.**
- 4. The Commission shall, by means of an implementing act, decide on the list of projects to which the prize is awarded.**

Or. ro

Amendment 1822

Mariya Gabriel

Proposal for a regulation

Article 59

Article 59

deleted

Financial Prize – conditions and payment

1. In order for projects to be eligible for the prize, the time required for their completion shall not exceed two years from the date of adoption of the implementing act awarding the prize. The time frame of realisation of the project shall be defined in the application.

2. The prize shall be granted in the form of a lump sum payment. The amount of the payment shall be determined by the Commission, by means of implementing acts, in line with criteria defined in the call for proposals and taking into account the estimated cost of realisation of the project indicated in the application. The maximum prize per project shall not exceed 100 000 euro.

3. Member States shall pay the award to winning applicants after verifying that the project has been completed. The relevant expenditure shall be reimbursed by the Union to Member States in accordance with the provisions of Section 4 of Chapter II of Title IV of Regulation (EU) No HR/2012. Member States may decide to pay fully or partly the sum of the prize to the winning applicants before having verified the completion of the project but they shall, in this case, bear the responsibility for the expenditure until the completion of the project is verified.

Or. bg

Amendment 1823

James Nicholson, Julie Girling

Proposal for a regulation

Article 59

Article 59

deleted

Financial Prize – conditions and payment

1. In order for projects to be eligible for the prize, the time required for their completion shall not exceed two years from the date of adoption of the implementing act awarding the prize. The time frame of realisation of the project shall be defined in the application.

2. The prize shall be granted in the form of a lump sum payment. The amount of the payment shall be determined by the Commission, by means of implementing acts, in line with criteria defined in the call for proposals and taking into account the estimated cost of realisation of the project indicated in the application. The maximum prize per project shall not exceed 100 000 euro.

3. Member States shall pay the award to winning applicants after verifying that the project has been completed. The relevant expenditure shall be reimbursed by the Union to Member States in accordance with the provisions of Section 4 of Chapter II of Title IV of Regulation (EU) No HR/2012. Member States may decide to pay fully or partly the sum of the prize to the winning applicants before having verified the completion of the project but they shall, in this case, bear the responsibility for the expenditure until the completion of the project is verified.

Or. en

Amendment 1824
Peter Jahr, Britta Reimers

Proposal for a regulation
Article 59

Article 59

deleted

Financial Prize – conditions and payment

1. In order for projects to be eligible for the prize, the time required for their completion shall not exceed two years from the date of adoption of the implementing act awarding the prize. The time frame of realisation of the project shall be defined in the application.

2. The prize shall be granted in the form of a lump sum payment. The amount of the payment shall be determined by the Commission, by means of implementing acts, in line with criteria defined in the call for proposals and taking into account the estimated cost of realisation of the project indicated in the application. The maximum prize per project shall not exceed 100 000 euro.

3. Member States shall pay the award to winning applicants after verifying that the project has been completed. The relevant expenditure shall be reimbursed by the Union to Member States in accordance with the provisions of Section 4 of Chapter II of Title IV of Regulation (EU) No HR/2012. Member States may decide to pay fully or partly the sum of the prize to the winning applicants before having verified the completion of the project but they shall, in this case, bear the responsibility for the expenditure until the completion of the project is verified.

Or. de

Amendment 1825

Giovanni La Via, Paolo Bartolozzi, Georgios Papastamkos

Proposal for a regulation

Article 59

Article 59

deleted

Financial Prize – conditions and payment

1. In order for projects to be eligible for the prize, the time required for their completion shall not exceed two years from the date of adoption of the implementing act awarding the prize. The time frame of realisation of the project shall be defined in the application.

2. The prize shall be granted in the form of a lump sum payment. The amount of the payment shall be determined by the Commission, by means of implementing acts, in line with criteria defined in the call for proposals and taking into account the estimated cost of realisation of the project indicated in the application. The maximum prize per project shall not exceed 100 000 euro.

3. Member States shall pay the award to winning applicants after verifying that the project has been completed. The relevant expenditure shall be reimbursed by the Union to Member States in accordance with the provisions of Section 4 of Chapter II of Title IV of Regulation (EU) No HR/2012. Member States may decide to pay fully or partly the sum of the prize to the winning applicants before having verified the completion of the project but they shall, in this case, bear the responsibility for the expenditure until the completion of the project is verified.

Or. it

Amendment 1826
Michel Dantin, Agnès Le Brun

Proposal for a regulation
Article 59

Article 59

deleted

Financial Prize – conditions and payment

1. In order for projects to be eligible for the prize, the time required for their completion shall not exceed two years from the date of adoption of the implementing act awarding the prize. The time frame of realisation of the project shall be defined in the application.

2. The prize shall be granted in the form of a lump sum payment. The amount of the payment shall be determined by the Commission, by means of implementing acts, in line with criteria defined in the call for proposals and taking into account the estimated cost of realisation of the project indicated in the application. The maximum prize per project shall not exceed 100 000 euro.

3. Member States shall pay the award to winning applicants after verifying that the project has been completed. The relevant expenditure shall be reimbursed by the Union to Member States in accordance with the provisions of Section 4 of Chapter II of Title IV of Regulation (EU) No HR/2012. Member States may decide to pay fully or partly the sum of the prize to the winning applicants before having verified the completion of the project but they shall, in this case, bear the responsibility for the expenditure until the completion of the project is verified.

Or. fr

Amendment 1827

Diane Dodds, James Nicholson

Proposal for a regulation

Article 59

Article 59

deleted

Financial Prize – conditions and payment

1. In order for projects to be eligible for the prize, the time required for their completion shall not exceed two years from the date of adoption of the implementing act awarding the prize. The time frame of realisation of the project shall be defined in the application.

2. The prize shall be granted in the form of a lump sum payment. The amount of the payment shall be determined by the Commission, by means of implementing acts, in line with criteria defined in the call for proposals and taking into account the estimated cost of realisation of the project indicated in the application. The maximum prize per project shall not exceed 100 000 euro.

3. Member States shall pay the award to winning applicants after verifying that the project has been completed. The relevant expenditure shall be reimbursed by the Union to Member States in accordance with the provisions of Section 4 of Chapter II of Title IV of Regulation (EU) No HR/2012. Member States may decide to pay fully or partly the sum of the prize to the winning applicants before having verified the completion of the project but they shall, in this case, bear the responsibility for the expenditure until the completion of the project is verified.

Or. en

Amendment 1828

Hynek Fajmon

Proposal for a regulation

Article 59

Article 59

deleted

Financial Prize – conditions and payment

1. In order for projects to be eligible for the prize, the time required for their completion shall not exceed two years from the date of adoption of the implementing act awarding the prize. The time frame of realisation of the project shall be defined in the application.

2. The prize shall be granted in the form of a lump sum payment. The amount of the payment shall be determined by the Commission, by means of implementing acts, in line with criteria defined in the call for proposals and taking into account the estimated cost of realisation of the project indicated in the application. The maximum prize per project shall not exceed 100 000 euro.

3. Member States shall pay the award to winning applicants after verifying that the project has been completed. The relevant expenditure shall be reimbursed by the Union to Member States in accordance with the provisions of Section 4 of Chapter II of Title IV of Regulation (EU) No HR/2012. Member States may decide to pay fully or partly the sum of the prize to the winning applicants before having verified the completion of the project but they shall, in this case, bear the responsibility for the expenditure until the completion of the project is verified.

Or. en

Amendment 1829

Vasilica Viorica Dăncilă, Daciana Octavia Sârbu

Proposal for a regulation

Article 59

Article 59

deleted

Financial Prize – conditions and payment

1. In order for projects to be eligible for the prize, the time required for their completion shall not exceed two years from the date of adoption of the implementing act awarding the prize. The time frame of realisation of the project shall be defined in the application.

2. The prize shall be granted in the form of a lump sum payment. The amount of the payment shall be determined by the Commission, by means of implementing acts, in line with criteria defined in the call for proposals and taking into account the estimated cost of realisation of the project indicated in the application. The maximum prize per project shall not exceed 100 000 euro.

3. Member States shall pay the award to winning applicants after verifying that the project has been completed. The relevant expenditure shall be reimbursed by the Union to Member States in accordance with the provisions of Section 4 of Chapter II of Title IV of Regulation (EU) No HR/2012. Member States may decide to pay fully or partly the sum of the prize to the winning applicants before having verified the completion of the project but they shall, in this case, bear the responsibility for the expenditure until the completion of the project is verified.

Or. ro

Amendment 1830

Giovanni La Via, Paolo Bartolozzi, Georgios Papastamkos

Proposal for a regulation

Article 59 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. In order for projects to be eligible for the prize, the time required for their completion shall not exceed two years from the date of adoption of the implementing act awarding the prize. The time frame of realisation of the project shall be defined in the application. *deleted*

Or. it

Amendment 1831

Giovanni La Via, Paolo Bartolozzi, Georgios Papastamkos

Proposal for a regulation

Article 59 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. The prize shall be granted in the form of a lump sum payment. The amount of the payment shall be determined by the Commission, by means of implementing acts, in line with criteria defined in the call for proposals and taking into account the estimated cost of realisation of the project indicated in the application. The maximum prize per project shall not exceed 100 000 euro. *deleted*

Or. it

Amendment 1832

Giovanni La Via, Paolo Bartolozzi, Georgios Papastamkos

Proposal for a regulation

Article 59 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. Member States shall pay the award to winning applicants after verifying that the *deleted*

project has been completed. The relevant expenditure shall be reimbursed by the Union to Member States in accordance with the provisions of Section 4 of Chapter II of Title IV of Regulation (EU) No HR/2012. Member States may decide to pay fully or partly the sum of the prize to the winning applicants before having verified the completion of the project but they shall, in this case, bear the responsibility for the expenditure until the completion of the project is verified.

Or. it

Amendment 1833
Mariya Gabriel

Proposal for a regulation
Article 60

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 60

deleted

Rules on the procedure, timetables and setting up of the steering-group

The Commission shall by means of implementing acts lay down detailed provisions on the procedure and timetables for the selection of projects and rules on the setting up of the steering group of independent experts referred to in Article 58(3). These implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 91.

Or. bg

Amendment 1834
James Nicholson, Julie Girling

Proposal for a regulation
Article 60

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 60

deleted

Rules on the procedure, timetables and setting up of the steering-group

The Commission shall by means of implementing acts lay down detailed provisions on the procedure and timetables for the selection of projects and rules on the setting up of the steering group of independent experts referred to in Article 58(3). Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 91.

Or. en

Amendment 1835
Peter Jahr, Britta Reimers

Proposal for a regulation
Article 60

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 60

deleted

Rules on the procedure, timetables and setting up of the steering-group

The Commission shall by means of implementing acts lay down detailed provisions on the procedure and timetables for the selection of projects and rules on the setting up of the steering group of independent experts referred to in Article 58(3). These implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 91.

Or. de

Amendment 1836
Giovanni La Via, Paolo Bartolozzi, Georgios Papastamkos

Proposal for a regulation
Article 60

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 60

deleted

Rules on the procedure, timetables and setting up of the steering-group

The Commission shall by means of implementing acts lay down detailed provisions on the procedure and timetables for the selection of projects and rules on the setting up of the steering group of independent experts referred to in Article 58(3). These implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 91.

Or. it

Amendment 1837
Michel Dantin, Agnès Le Brun

Proposal for a regulation
Article 60

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 60

deleted

Rules on the procedure, timetables and setting up of the steering-group

The Commission shall by means of implementing acts lay down detailed provisions on the procedure and timetables for the selection of projects and rules on the setting up of the steering group of independent experts referred to in Article 58(3). These implementing acts

shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 91.

Or. fr

Amendment 1838
Diane Dodds, James Nicholson

Proposal for a regulation
Article 60

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 60

deleted

Rules on the procedure, timetables and setting up of the steering-group

The Commission shall by means of implementing acts lay down detailed provisions on the procedure and timetables for the selection of projects and rules on the setting up of the steering group of independent experts referred to in Article 58(3). Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 91.

Or. en

Amendment 1839
Hynek Fajmon

Proposal for a regulation
Article 60

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 60

deleted

Rules on the procedure, timetables and setting up of the steering-group

The Commission shall by means of

implementing acts lay down detailed provisions on the procedure and timetables for the selection of projects and rules on the setting up of the steering group of independent experts referred to in Article 58(3). Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 91.

Or. en

Amendment 1840
Vasilica Viorica Dăncilă, Daciana Octavia Sârbu

Proposal for a regulation
Article 60

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 60

deleted

Rules on the procedure, timetables and setting up of the steering-group

The Commission shall by means of implementing acts lay down detailed provisions on the procedure and timetables for the selection of projects and rules on the setting up of the steering group of independent experts referred to in Article 58(3). These implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 91.

Or. ro

Amendment 1841
Giovanni La Via, Paolo Bartolozzi, Georgios Papastamkos

Proposal for a regulation
Article 60 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The Commission shall by means of implementing acts lay down detailed provisions on the procedure and timetables for the selection of projects and rules on the setting up of the steering group of independent experts referred to in Article 58(3). These implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 91.

deleted

Or. it

Amendment 1842
Hans-Peter Mayer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 60 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The Commission shall ***by means of implementing acts lay down*** detailed provisions on the procedure and timetables for the selection of projects and rules on the setting up of the steering group of independent experts referred to in Article 58(3). ***These implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 91.***

The Commission shall ***be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 90 concerning*** detailed provisions on the procedure and timetables for the selection of projects and rules on the setting up of the steering group of independent experts referred to in Article 58(3).

Or. de

Justification

This is not a purely technical decision.

Amendment 1843
Mariya Gabriel

Proposal for a regulation
Title 4

Text proposed by the Commission

EIP for agricultural productivity and sustainability

Amendment

EIP for agricultural ***and forestry*** productivity and sustainability

Or. en

Amendment 1844
Patrick Le Hyaric, Willy Meyer, João Ferreira, Kyriacos Triantaphyllides

Proposal for a regulation
Title 4

Text proposed by the Commission

EIP for ***agricultural productivity and sustainability***

Amendment

EIP for ***production and economic viability in the context of sustainable agriculture***

Or. fr

Amendment 1845
Marc Tarabella

Proposal for a regulation
Title 4

Text proposed by the Commission

EIP for ***agricultural productivity*** and sustainability

Amendment

EIP for ***the economic viability of farms*** and sustainability ***of agriculture***

Or. fr

Amendment 1846
Mariya Gabriel

Proposal for a regulation
Article 61 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

PE494.481v01-00

66/168

AM\909515EN.doc

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The EIP for agricultural productivity and sustainability shall:

Amendment

1. The EIP for agricultural **and forestry** productivity and sustainability shall:

Or. en

Amendment 1847

Patrick Le Hyaric, Willy Meyer, João Ferreira, Kyriacos Triantaphyllides

Proposal for a regulation

Article 61 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The EIP for **agricultural productivity and sustainability** shall:

Amendment

1. The EIP for **production and economic viability in the context of sustainable agriculture** shall:

Or. fr

Amendment 1848

Marc Tarabella

Proposal for a regulation

Article 61 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The EIP for **agricultural productivity** and sustainability shall:

Amendment

1. The EIP for **the economic viability of farms** and sustainability **of agriculture** shall:

Or. fr

Amendment 1849

Diane Dodds

Proposal for a regulation

Article 61 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) promote a resource efficient, productive, **low emission, climate friendly** and resilient agricultural sector, working in harmony with the essential natural resources on which farming depends;

(a) promote a resource efficient, productive, **competitive** and resilient agricultural sector, working in harmony with the essential natural resources on which farming depends;

Or. en

Amendment 1850

Britta Reimers

Proposal for a regulation

Article 61 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) promote a resource efficient, **productive**, low emission, climate friendly and resilient agricultural sector, working in harmony with the essential natural resources on which farming depends;

(a) promote a resource efficient, **competitive**, low emission, climate friendly and resilient agricultural sector, working in harmony with the essential natural resources on which farming depends;

Or. en

Justification

Improving the competitiveness of European Agriculture and sustainably increasing its productivity has been recognized as a major objective of the new Common Agricultural Policy. Accordingly, this should be recognized among the aims of the European Innovation Partnership for Agricultural Productivity and Sustainability. Introducing these objectives will facilitate the creation of better and more targeted operational groups with the specific objective of finding measures to increase productivity and improve competitiveness.

Amendment 1851

Mariya Gabriel

Proposal for a regulation

Article 61 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) promote a resource efficient, productive, low emission, climate friendly and resilient agricultural sector, working in harmony with the essential natural resources on which farming depends;

Amendment

(a) promote a resource efficient, productive, low emission, climate friendly and resilient agricultural **and forestry** sector, working in harmony with the essential natural resources on which farming **and forestry** depends;

Or. en

Amendment 1852

Ulrike Rodust

Proposal for a regulation

Article 61 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) promote a resource efficient, productive, low emission, climate friendly and resilient agricultural sector, working in harmony with the essential natural resources on which farming **depends**;

Amendment

(a) promote a resource efficient, productive, low emission, climate friendly and resilient agricultural **and forestry** sector, working in harmony with the essential natural resources on which farming **and forestry depend**;

Or. de

Amendment 1853

Gaston Franco

Proposal for a regulation

Article 61 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) promote a resource efficient, productive, low emission, climate friendly and resilient agricultural sector, working in harmony with the essential natural resources on which farming **depends**;

Amendment

(a) promote a resource efficient, productive, low emission, climate friendly and resilient agricultural **and forestry** sector, working in harmony with the essential natural resources on which farming **and forestry depend**;

Amendment 1854

Alyn Smith

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation

Article 61 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) promote a resource efficient, productive, low emission, climate friendly and resilient agricultural sector, working in harmony with the essential natural resources on which farming depends;

Amendment

(a) promote a resource efficient **and energy saving**, productive, low emission, climate friendly and resilient agricultural sector, working in harmony with the essential natural resources on which farming depends;

Or. en

Amendment 1855

James Nicholson, Julie Girling, Vicky Ford

Proposal for a regulation

Article 61 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) promote a resource efficient, productive, low emission, climate friendly and resilient agricultural sector, working in harmony with the essential natural resources on which farming depends;

Amendment

(a) promote a resource efficient, **competitive**, productive, low emission, climate friendly and resilient agricultural sector, working in harmony with the essential natural resources on which farming depends;

Or. en

Amendment 1856

Åsa Westlund, Christel Schaldemose, Göran Färm, Marita Ulvskog

Proposal for a regulation

Article 61 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) promote a resource efficient, productive, low emission, climate friendly and resilient agricultural sector, working in harmony with the essential natural resources on which farming depends;

(a) promote a resource efficient, productive, **knowledge intensive**, low emission, climate friendly and resilient agricultural sector, working in harmony with, **and integrated into**, the essential natural resources on which farming depends;

Or. en

Justification

Taken better advantage of nature, could over time help farmers saving costs.

Amendment 1857

Petri Sarvamaa, Sirpa Pietikäinen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 61 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) promote a resource efficient, productive, low emission, climate friendly and resilient agricultural sector, working in harmony with the essential natural resources on which farming depends;

(a) promote a resource efficient, productive, low emission, **animal friendly**, climate friendly and resilient agricultural sector, working in harmony with the essential natural resources on which farming depends;

Or. en

Amendment 1858

Diane Dodds

Proposal for a regulation

Article 61 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) help deliver a steady supply of food, feed and biomaterials, both existing and

(b) help **to sustainably increase productivity and efficiency of European**

new ones;

agriculture and deliver a steady supply of food, feed and biomaterials, both existing and new ones;

Or. en

Amendment 1859
Britta Reimers

Proposal for a regulation
Article 61 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) help deliver a steady supply of food, feed and biomaterials, both existing and new ones;

(b) help **to sustainably increase productivity of European agriculture and** deliver a steady supply of food, feed and biomaterials, both existing and new ones;

Or. en

Justification

Improving the competitiveness of European Agriculture and sustainably increasing its productivity has been recognized as a major objective of the new Common Agricultural Policy. Accordingly, this should be recognized among the aims of the European Innovation Partnership for Agricultural Productivity and Sustainability. Introducing these objectives will facilitate the creation of better and more targeted operational groups with the specific objective of finding measures to increase productivity and improve competitiveness.

Amendment 1860
James Nicholson, Julie Girling

Proposal for a regulation
Article 61 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) help deliver a steady supply of food, feed and biomaterials, both existing and new ones;

(b) help **to sustainably increase productivity of European agriculture and** deliver a steady supply of food, feed and biomaterials, both existing and new ones;

Amendment 1861
Albert Deß, Elisabeth Köstinger

Proposal for a regulation
Article 61 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) help deliver a steady supply of food, feed and biomaterials, both existing and new ones;

Amendment

(b) help *to sustainably increase productivity of European agriculture and* deliver a steady supply of food, feed and biomaterials, both existing and new ones;

Or. en

Amendment 1862
Alyn Smith
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Article 61 – paragraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) improve processes to preserve the environment, adapt to climate change and mitigate it;

Amendment

(c) improve processes to preserve the environment, *promote agro-ecological production systems*, adapt to climate change and mitigate it;

Or. en

Amendment 1863
Petri Sarvamaa, Sirpa Pietikäinen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 61 – paragraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) improve processes to preserve the

Amendment

(c) improve processes to preserve the

environment, adapt to climate change and mitigate it;

environment, *including the protection and enhancement of water bodies and biodiversity, secure soil functionality and nutrient recycling*, adapt to climate change and mitigate it;

Or. en

Amendment 1864

Alyn Smith

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation

Article 61 – paragraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) build bridges between cutting-edge research knowledge and technology and farmers, businesses and advisory services.

Amendment

(d) build bridges between cutting-edge research knowledge, *local know-how*, and technology and farmers, *rural communities*, businesses and advisory services.

Or. en

Amendment 1865

Mariya Gabriel

Proposal for a regulation

Article 61 – paragraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) build bridges between cutting-edge research knowledge and technology and farmers, businesses and advisory services.

Amendment

(d) build bridges between cutting-edge research knowledge and technology and farmers, *forest managers*, businesses and advisory services.

Or. en

Amendment 1866

Ulrike Rodust

Proposal for a regulation
Article 61 – paragraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) build bridges between cutting-edge research knowledge and technology and farmers, businesses and advisory services.

Amendment

(d) build bridges between cutting-edge research knowledge and technology and farmers **and foresters**, businesses and advisory services.

Or. de

Amendment 1867
Åsa Westlund, Christel Schaldemose, Göran Färm, Marita Ulvskog, Brian Simpson

Proposal for a regulation
Article 61 – paragraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) build bridges between cutting-edge research knowledge and technology and farmers, businesses and advisory services.

Amendment

(d) build bridges between cutting-edge research knowledge and technology and farmers, businesses, **NGOs** and advisory services.

Or. en

Justification

It is crucial for these European Innovation Partnerships to work, that Non Governmental Organizations have equal access and input into the network. There is no reason therefore not to mention them clearly in the text already.

Amendment 1868
Mariya Gabriel

Proposal for a regulation
Article 61 – paragraph 1 – point d a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d a) encourage the development of pilot-

projects;

Or. en

Amendment 1869
Mariya Gabriel

Proposal for a regulation
Article 61 – paragraph 1 – point d b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d b) support young farmers setting-up.

Or. en

Amendment 1870
Mariya Gabriel

Proposal for a regulation
Article 61 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. The EIP for agricultural productivity and sustainability shall seek to achieve its aims by:

2. The EIP for agricultural ***and forestry*** productivity and sustainability shall seek to achieve its aims by:

Or. en

Amendment 1871
Patrick Le Hyaric, Willy Meyer, João Ferreira, Kyriacos Triantaphyllides

Proposal for a regulation
Article 61 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. The EIP for ***agricultural productivity and sustainability*** shall seek to achieve its aims by:

2. The EIP for ***production and economic viability in the context of sustainable agriculture*** shall seek to achieve its aims by:

Amendment 1872
Marc Tarabella

Proposal for a regulation
Article 61 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The EIP for *agricultural productivity* and sustainability shall seek to achieve its aims by:

Amendment

2. The EIP for *the economic viability of farms* and sustainability *of agriculture* shall seek to achieve its aims by:

Amendment 1873
Agnès Le Brun

Proposal for a regulation
Article 61 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) creating added value by better linking research and farming practice and encouraging the wider use of available innovation measures;

Amendment

(a) creating added value by better linking research and farming practice and encouraging *the development of pilot projects and* the wider use of available innovation measures;

Amendment 1874
Marc Tarabella

Proposal for a regulation
Article 61 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) creating added value by better linking research and farming practice and

Amendment

(a) creating added value by better linking research and farming practice and

encouraging the wider use of available innovation measures;

encouraging *the development of pilot projects and* the wider use of available innovation measures;

Or. fr

Amendment 1875
Petri Sarvamaa

Proposal for a regulation
Article 61 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) creating added value by better linking research and farming practice and encouraging the wider use of available innovation measures;

Amendment

(a) creating added value by better linking research and farming practice and encouraging the wider use of available innovation measures *through a participatory approach between stakeholders*;

Or. en

Amendment 1876
James Nicholson, Julie Girling, Vicky Ford, Kay Swinburne

Proposal for a regulation
Article 61 – paragraph 1 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c a) Identifying regulatory bottlenecks which create obstacles to innovation and research and development investments in line with the principles of better regulation and smart regulation as stated in Commission Communications COM(2005) 97 and COM(2010)543

Or. en

Amendment 1877
Britta Reimers

Proposal for a regulation
Article 61 – paragraph 2 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c a) identifying regulatory bottlenecks which create obstacles to innovation and research and development investments in line with the principles of better regulation and smart regulation as stated in Commission Communications COM(2005) 97 and COM(2010)543.

Or. en

Justification

Simplification of current and future legislation was recognized as a major priority by the European Commission in its better and smart regulation communications. Regulatory bottlenecks and red tape are among the obstacles to research and innovation faced by industry, especially when placing in the market the results of their research and development. Identifying and eliminating red tape to ensure that latest and most innovative products are available in the market as soon as possible will boost R&D investments and will provide farmers with better and more efficient farming tools.

Amendment 1878
Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Iratxe García Pérez, Ricardo Cortés Lastra, Alejandro Cercas

Proposal for a regulation
Article 61 – paragraph 2 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ca) identification of regulatory bottlenecks impeding innovation and investment in research and development, in line with the principles established in the Commission communications COM(2005)97 on ‘Better regulation for growth and jobs in the European Union’ and COM(2010)543 on ‘Smart regulation in the European Union’

Amendment 1879
Britta Reimers

Proposal for a regulation
Article 61 – paragraph 2 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c a) facilitating the coordination of research and innovation in the market across food chain actors (seeds, plant protection products, fertilizers, equipment, IT, distributors, storage, waste reduction, among others) in order to maximize agricultural productivity and ensure resource efficiency.

Or. en

Justification

Coordinated research and innovation between the food chain actors (seeds, fertilizers, plant protection products, equipment, IT, distributors, storage, waste reduction operators, among others) is essential to develop best practices and products in order to improve efficiency and productivity of farming. The European Innovation Partnership for Agricultural Productivity and Sustainability should integrate this coordination as one of its tools to maximize agricultural productivity and achieve resource-efficiency.

Amendment 1880
James Nicholson, Julie Girling, Vicky Ford, Kay Swinburne

Proposal for a regulation
Article 61 – paragraph 1 – point d a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d a) facilitating the coordination of research and innovation in the market across food chain actors (seeds, plant protection products, fertilizers, equipment, IT, distributors, storage, waste reduction, among others) in order to maximize

agricultural productivity and ensure resource efficiency.

Or. en

Amendment 1881
Mariya Gabriel

Proposal for a regulation
Article 61 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. The EAFRD shall contribute to the aims of the EIP for agricultural productivity and sustainability through support, in accordance with Article 36, of the EIP operational groups referred to in Article 62 and the EIP Network referred to in Article 53.

Amendment

3. The EAFRD shall contribute to the aims of the EIP for agricultural **and forestry** productivity and sustainability through support, in accordance with Article 36, of the EIP operational groups referred to in Article 62 and the EIP Network referred to in Article 53.

Or. en

Amendment 1882
Gaston Franco

Proposal for a regulation
Article 61 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. The EAFRD shall contribute to the aims of the EIP for **agricultural** productivity and sustainability through support, in accordance with Article 36, of the EIP operational groups referred to in Article 62 and the EIP Network referred to in Article 53.

Amendment

3. The EAFRD shall contribute to the aims of the EIP for **the** productivity and sustainability **of agriculture and forestry** through support, in accordance with Article 36, of the EIP operational groups referred to in Article 62 and the EIP Network referred to in Article 53.

Or. fr

Amendment 1883
Hynek Fajmon

Proposal for a regulation
Article 61 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. The EAFRD shall contribute to the aims of the EIP for agricultural productivity and sustainability through support, in accordance with Article 36, of the EIP operational groups referred to in Article 62 **and the EIP Network referred to in Article 53.**

Amendment

3. The EAFRD shall contribute to the aims of the EIP for agricultural productivity and sustainability through support, in accordance with Article 36, of the EIP operational groups referred to in Article 62.

Or. en

Amendment 1884
Marc Tarabella

Proposal for a regulation
Article 61 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. The EAFRD shall contribute to the aims of the EIP for **agricultural productivity** and sustainability through support, in accordance with Article 36, of the EIP operational groups referred to in Article 62 and the EIP Network referred to in Article 53.

Amendment

3. The EAFRD shall contribute to the aims of the EIP for **the economic viability of farms** and sustainability **of agriculture** through support, in accordance with Article 36, of the EIP operational groups referred to in Article 62 and the EIP Network referred to in Article 53.

Or. fr

Amendment 1885
Patrick Le Hyaric, Willy Meyer, João Ferreira, Kyriacos Triantaphyllides

Proposal for a regulation
Article 61 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. The EAFRD shall contribute to the aims of the EIP for agricultural **productivity** and sustainability through support, in accordance with Article 36, of the EIP operational groups referred to in Article 62 and the EIP Network referred to in Article 53.

Amendment

3. The EAFRD shall contribute to the aims of the EIP for agricultural **economic viability** and sustainability through support, in accordance with Article 36, of the EIP operational groups referred to in Article 62 and the EIP Network referred to in Article 53.

Or. fr

Amendment 1886
Peter Jahr, Britta Reimers

Proposal for a regulation
Article 61 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. The EAFRD shall contribute to the aims of the EIP for agricultural productivity and sustainability through support, in accordance with Article 36, of the EIP operational groups referred to in Article 62 and the EIP Network referred to in Article 53.

Amendment

3. The EAFRD shall contribute to the aims of the EIP for agricultural productivity and sustainability through support, in accordance with Article 36, of the EIP operational groups referred to in Article 62 and the EIP Network referred to in Article 53. ***It shall be incumbent on the Member States to decide to what extent to apply the EIP for agricultural productivity and sustainability in their programmes.***

Or. de

Amendment 1887
Alyn Smith
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Article 62 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. EIP operational groups shall form part of

Amendment

1. EIP operational groups shall form part of

the EIP for agricultural productivity and sustainability. They shall be set up by interested actors such as farmers, researchers, advisors and businesses involved in the agriculture and food sector.

the EIP for agricultural productivity and sustainability. They shall be set up by interested actors such as farmers, researchers, advisors, **rural development associations and networks, and** businesses involved in the agriculture and food sector. **Formation of an operational group shall be determined by a consensus of stakeholders representing the broad spectrum of interests across the fields of agriculture rural development and research. Operational groups shall not be set up by a single stakeholder or by a group of stakeholders representing only one set of interests.**

Or. en

Amendment 1888
Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation
Article 62 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. EIP operational groups shall form part of the EIP for agricultural productivity and sustainability. They shall be set up by interested actors such as farmers, researchers, advisors and businesses involved in the agriculture and food sector.

Amendment

1. EIP operational groups shall form part of the EIP for agricultural productivity and sustainability. They shall be set up by interested actors such as **individual farmers, producer organisations, food chain partners,** researchers, advisors and **other** businesses involved in the agriculture and food sector. **Operational groups can operate within the borders of a Member State, have members in more than one Member State and/or in third countries.**

Or. en

Amendment 1889
Giancarlo Scottà, Carlo Fidanza, Mara Bizzotto, Mario Borghezio, Lorenzo Fontana, Claudio Morganti, Fiorello Provera, Oreste Rossi, Matteo Salvini, Francesco Enrico Speroni, Lara Comi

Proposal for a regulation
Article 62 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. EIP operational groups shall form part of the EIP for agricultural productivity and sustainability. They shall be set up by interested actors such as farmers, researchers, advisors and businesses involved in the agriculture and food sector.

Amendment

1. EIP operational groups shall form part of the EIP for agricultural productivity and sustainability. They shall be set up by interested actors such as farmers, **collective entities, land and irrigation managers**, researchers, advisors and businesses involved in the agriculture and food sector.

Or. it

Amendment 1890
Mariya Gabriel

Proposal for a regulation
Article 62 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. EIP operational groups shall form part of the EIP for agricultural productivity and sustainability. They shall be set up by interested actors such as farmers, researchers, advisors and businesses involved in the agriculture and food sector.

Amendment

1. EIP operational groups shall form part of the EIP for agricultural productivity and sustainability. They shall be set up by interested actors such as farmers, **forest owners**, researchers, advisors and businesses involved in the agriculture and food sector.

Or. en

Amendment 1891
Åsa Westlund, Christel Schaldemose, Göran Färm, Marita Ulvskog, Brian Simpson

Proposal for a regulation
Article 62 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. EIP operational groups shall form part of the EIP for agricultural productivity and

Amendment

1. EIP operational groups shall form part of the EIP for agricultural productivity and

sustainability. They shall be set up by interested actors such as farmers, researchers, advisors and businesses involved in the agriculture and food sector.

sustainability. They shall be set up by interested actors such as farmers, researchers, advisors, **NGOs** and businesses involved in the agriculture and food sector.

Or. en

Amendment 1892

Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Iratxe García Pérez, Ricardo Cortés Lastra, Alejandro Cercas

Proposal for a regulation

Article 62 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. EIP operational groups shall form part of the EIP for agricultural productivity and sustainability. They shall be set up by interested actors such as farmers, researchers, advisors and businesses involved in the agriculture and food sector.

Amendment

1. EIP operational groups shall form part of the EIP for agricultural productivity and sustainability. They shall be set up by interested actors such as farmers (***through representative professional organisations***), researchers, advisors and businesses involved in the agriculture and food sector.

Or. es

Justification

Farmers' participation in EIP operational groups should take place via their legal representatives, i.e. through the agricultural sector's professional organisations.

Amendment 1893

Giovanni La Via

Proposal for a regulation

Article 62 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. EIP operational groups shall form part of the EIP for agricultural productivity and sustainability. They shall be set up by

Amendment

1. EIP operational groups shall form part of the EIP for agricultural productivity and sustainability. They shall be set up by

interested actors such as farmers, researchers, advisors and businesses involved in the agriculture and *food* sector.

interested actors such as farmers, researchers, advisors and businesses involved in the agriculture and *agro-food* sector.

Or. it

Amendment 1894
Peter Jahr, Britta Reimers

Proposal for a regulation
Article 62 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. EIP operational groups shall form part of the EIP for agricultural productivity and sustainability. They shall be set up by interested actors such as farmers, researchers, advisors and businesses involved in the agriculture and food sector.

Amendment

1. EIP operational groups shall form part of the EIP for agricultural productivity and sustainability. They shall be set up by interested actors such as farmers, researchers, advisors and businesses involved in the agriculture and food sector. ***It shall be incumbent on the Member States to decide how much support to provide for operational groups in their programmes.***

Or. de

Amendment 1895
Alyn Smith
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Article 62 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. EIP operational groups shall establish internal procedures that ensure transparency in their operation and avoid situations of conflict of interest.

Amendment

2. EIP operational groups shall establish internal procedures that ensure transparency in their operation and avoid situations of conflict of interest. ***The internal structure, procedures and decision making processes of the operational groups shall be democratic,***

with all stakeholders having a full opportunity to participate and contribute to decision making. Decisions shall be subject to a consensus procedure.

Or. en

Amendment 1896
Britta Reimers

Proposal for a regulation
Article 63 – paragraph 1 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b a) a reference to inter-disciplinary research and innovation efforts under the Innovation Europe program.

Or. en

Justification

The EIP for Agricultural Productivity and Sustainability must not be clustered exclusively within the Agro-food but should establish bridges and coordination mechanisms with other sectors in order to ensure synergies within the Innovation Union Flagship initiative. Therefore, attention must be paid within each operational group to on-going research and innovation efforts in other sectors with a potential relevance for agriculture.

Amendment 1897
James Nicholson, Julie Girling, Vicky Ford

Proposal for a regulation
Article 63 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) implement innovative actions through measures financed through the rural development programmes.

(b) implement innovative actions through measures financed through the rural development programmes *through co-financing with other national or European programmes for innovation and through public-private partnerships.*

Amendment 1898
Britta Reimers

Proposal for a regulation
Article 63 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) implement innovative actions through measures financed through the rural development programmes.

Amendment

(b) implement innovative actions through measures financed through the rural development programmes **and through public-private partnerships.**

Or. en

Justification

Budgets are currently shrinking at the European Union and across Member States. New funding mechanisms are more than ever necessary to ensure that leading research and innovation projects are not jeopardized. In this sense, the implementation of the activities of the EIP operational groups should be financed, in addition to the ordinary rural development programs, through public-private partnerships in order to ensure an ambitious agenda and a satisfactory outcome.

Amendment 1899
Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation
Article 63 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) implement innovative actions through measures financed through the rural development programmes.

Amendment

(b) implement innovative actions through measures financed through the rural development programmes **and/or the Horizon 2020 and other EU research programmes, facilitating the application of (applied) research results in practice by farmers.**

Or. en

Amendment 1900
Hynek Fajmon

Proposal for a regulation
Article 63 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Operational groups shall disseminate the results of their project, in particular through *the EIP network*.

Amendment

3. Operational groups shall disseminate the results of their project, in particular through *ENRD*.

Or. en

Amendment 1901
Britta Reimers

Proposal for a regulation
Article 63 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Operational groups shall disseminate the results of their project, in particular through the EIP network.

Amendment

3. Operational groups shall disseminate the results of their project, in particular through the EIP network *and the farm advisory systems*.

Or. en

Justification

The work and activity of the EIP for Agricultural Productivity and Sustainability cannot be dissociated from the Farm Advisory System. Their interdependence and linkages should be further developed in order to maximize their utility and scope. In this sense, the farm advisory system should be considered, along with the EIP network, as one of the ways in which the operational groups shall disseminate the results of their projects.

Amendment 1902
Peter Jahr, Britta Reimers, Hans-Peter Mayer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 64 – paragraph 1

PE494.481v01-00

90/168

AM909515EN.doc

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The total amount of Union support for rural development under this Regulation for the period from 1 January 2014 to 31 December 2020, its annual breakdown and the minimum amount to be concentrated in less developed regions shall be fixed by the European Parliament and the Council, on a proposal from the Commission in accordance with the multiannual financial framework for the years 2014 to 2020 and the Interinstitutional Agreement on cooperation in budgetary matters and on sound financial management for the same period.

Amendment

1. The total amount of Union support for rural development under this Regulation for the period from 1 January 2014 to 31 December 2020, its annual breakdown and the minimum amount to be concentrated in less developed regions, ***including the minimum amount for regions which, over the period 2007-2013, were supported under the convergence objective but where the per capita GDP is more than 75 % of the average per capita GDP of the EU 27***, shall be fixed by the European Parliament and the Council, on a proposal from the Commission in accordance with the multiannual financial framework for the years 2014 to 2020 and the Interinstitutional Agreement on cooperation in budgetary matters and on sound financial management for the same period.

Or. de

Amendment 1903

Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Iratxe García Pérez, Ricardo Cortés Lastra, Alejandro Cercas

Proposal for a regulation

Article 64 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The total amount of Union support for rural development under this Regulation for the period from 1 January 2014 to 31 December 2020, its annual breakdown and the minimum amount to be concentrated in less developed regions shall be fixed by the European Parliament and the Council, on a proposal from the Commission in accordance with the multiannual financial framework for the years 2014 to 2020 and the Interinstitutional Agreement on cooperation in budgetary matters and on

Amendment

1. The total amount of Union support for rural development under this Regulation for the period from 1 January 2014 to 31 December 2020, its annual breakdown and the minimum amount to be concentrated in less developed ***and transitional*** regions shall be fixed by the European Parliament and the Council, on a proposal from the Commission in accordance with the multiannual financial framework for the years 2014 to 2020 and the Interinstitutional Agreement on

sound financial management for the same period.

cooperation in budgetary matters and on sound financial management for the same period.

Or. es

Amendment 1904

Elisabeth Köstinger, Peter Jahr, Elisabeth Jeggle, Milan Zver, Albert Deß, Astrid Lulling

Proposal for a regulation

Article 64 – paragraph 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3a. Member States must make available a minimum of 25% of the total EAFRD contribution to each rural development programme for the measures referred to in Articles 29 and 30.

Or. de

Justification

The agri-environment and climate measures are already successful measures in the programme period 2007-2013 and represent a core element of Rural Development programmes. These measures are either climate- and environmentally relevant and of great economic importance for the farms. These programmes are thus following the general overall aim of the reform of the CAP and should continue to be a mandatory part of the EAFRD.

Amendment 1905

Maria do Céu Patrão Neves

Proposal for a regulation

Article 64 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. The Commission shall, by means of an implementing act, make an annual breakdown by Member State of the amounts referred to in paragraph 1, after

Deleted

deduction of the amount referred to in paragraph 2 and taking into account the transfer of funds referred to in Article 14(2) of Regulation (EU) No DP/2012. In making the annual breakdown the Commission shall take into account:

(a) objective criteria linked to the objectives referred to in Article 4; e

(b) past performance.

Or. pt

Amendment 1906
Bastiaan Belder

Proposal for a regulation
Article 64 – paragraph 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. The **Commission shall, by means of an implementing act, make an** annual breakdown by Member State of the amounts referred to in paragraph 1, after deduction of the amount referred to in paragraph 2 and taking into account the transfer of funds referred to in Article 14(2) of Regulation (EU) No DP/2012. **In making the annual breakdown the Commission shall take into account:**

4. The annual breakdown by Member State of the amounts referred to in paragraph 1, after deduction of the amount referred to in paragraph 2 and taking into account the transfer of funds referred to in Article 14(2) of Regulation (EU) No DP/2012 **shall be set out in Annex Ia. The amounts per Member State should reflect a redistribution based on objective criteria.**

Or. en

Justification

The redistribution of EAFRD should not be done by an implementing or delegated act, but should be decided upon by the co-legislators. However, prolonging the status quo (with adjustments only for the expected new MFF) as is proposed by the rapporteur in his amendment for Annex Ia, does not meet the need for a redistribution of the EAFRD. In order to facilitate a well-informed decision of Parliament and Council on the redistribution of funds under both Pillar I and Pillar II, the Commission should have put forward a proposal for redistribution of EAFRD simultaneously with the proposal for redistribution of funds for direct payments. Parliament should now ask the Commission to bring forward such a proposal retrospectively, based on objective criteria. After the Commission submits this

proposal, a change in the percentages that some MS have to contribute to the redistribution of the funds for direct payments might be necessary, since the amounts that MS receive via EAFRD were not taken into account in the proposal for redistribution of the funds for direct payments (when seeing the EAFRD in terms of amounts available per agricultural hectares, the amounts may well vary among Member States from 30 euros to up to 200 euros per hectare).

Amendment 1907
Alfreds Rubiks

Proposal for a regulation
Article 64 – paragraph 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The Commission shall, by means of an implementing act, make an annual breakdown by Member State of the amounts referred to in paragraph 1, after deduction of the amount referred to in paragraph 2 and taking into account the transfer of funds referred to in Article 14(2) of Regulation (EU) No DP/2012. In making the annual breakdown the Commission shall take into account:

Amendment

4. The Commission shall, by means of an implementing act, make an annual breakdown by Member State of the amounts referred to in paragraph 1, after deduction of the amount referred to in paragraph 2 and taking into account the transfer of funds referred to in Article 14(2) of Regulation (EU) No DP/2012, ***is laid down in Annex Ia [sic – translator’s note]. The breakdown of the funding among the Member States must be based on objective criteria.*** In making the annual breakdown the Commission shall take into account:

Or. lv

Amendment 1908
Mairead McGuinness

Proposal for a regulation
Article 64 – paragraph 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The Commission shall, by means of an implementing act, make an annual breakdown by Member State of the amounts referred to in paragraph 1, after

Amendment

4. The Commission shall, by means of an implementing act, make an annual breakdown by Member State of the amounts referred to in paragraph 1, after

deduction of the amount referred to in paragraph 2 and taking into account the transfer of funds referred to in Article 14(2) of Regulation (EU) No DP/2012. In making the annual breakdown the Commission shall take into account:

deduction of the amount referred to in paragraph 2 and taking into account the transfer of funds referred to in Article 14(2) of Regulation (EU) No DP/2012. In making the annual breakdown the Commission shall take *past performance* into account:

Or. en

Amendment 1909
Liam Aylward, Mairead McGuinness

Proposal for a regulation
Article 64 – paragraph 4 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) objective criteria linked to the objectives referred to in Article 4; and

deleted

Or. en

Justification

The use of objective criteria will not produce a fair and realistic distribution of funds. The diversity of MSs means that the type of criteria used, the weighting accorded and the basis on which criteria are applied (i.e. existing or future needs) could have a counterproductive effect.

Amendment 1910
Alyn Smith
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Article 64 – paragraph 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) past performance.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1911
Brian Simpson

Proposal for a regulation
Article 64 – paragraph 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) past performance.

deleted

Or. en

Justification

Rural Development funding should be allocated on the basis of objective criteria rather than historic allocation.

Amendment 1912
James Nicholson, Anthea McIntyre, Julie Girling

Proposal for a regulation
Article 64 – paragraph 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) past performance.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1913
Mairead McGuinness

Proposal for a regulation
Article 64 – paragraph 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) past performance.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1914
Diane Dodds

Proposal for a regulation
Article 64 – paragraph 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) past performance.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1915
Czesław Adam Siekierski, Jarosław Kalinowski, Elżbieta Katarzyna Łukacijewska,
Artur Zasada

Proposal for a regulation
Article 64 – paragraph 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) past performance.

*(b) partial contribution by public funds to
initial capital stock is possible.*

Or. en

Amendment 1916
Hynek Fajmon

Proposal for a regulation
Article 64 – paragraph 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) past performance.

*(b) past performance comprising the
allocation by Member State of the
commitment appropriations for the 2007–
2013 programming period.*

Or. en

Amendment 1917

Elisabeth Köstinger, Peter Jahr, Elisabeth Jeggle, Milan Zver, Czesław Adam Siekierski, Jarosław Kalinowski, Artur Zasada, Elżbieta Katarzyna Łukacijewska, Albert Deß, Astrid Lulling

Proposal for a regulation

Article 64 – paragraph 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) past performance.

Amendment

(b) past performance *with reference to the period 2007-2013*.

Or. de

Justification

The reference-year of 2013 is not adequate, because the profiles for the member states during 2007-2013 do act differently. Because of that, the average of the period should be the qualified reference-basis.

Amendment 1918

Ramon Tremosa i Balcells

Proposal for a regulation

Article 64 – paragraph 4 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ba) the average annual level of compensation which would have been required for each EU Member State over the 1998 to 2007 period had the income stabilisation tool been used, based on the evolution of the gross farm income indicator and an EAFRD contribution equivalent to the maximum provided for this instrument under Article 65(4).

Or. es

Amendment 1919

Salvador Sedó i Alabart, Ramon Tremosa i Balcells, Raimon Obiols, Maria Badia i

Cutchet, Santiago Fisas Ayxela

Proposal for a regulation

Article 64 – paragraph 4 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ba) the average annual level of compensation which would have been required for each EU Member State over the 1998 to 2007 period had the income stabilisation tool been used, based on the evolution of the gross farm income indicator.

Or. es

Amendment 1920

Maria do Céu Patrão Neves

Proposal for a regulation

Article 64 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4a. The annual breakdown by Member State of the amounts referred to in paragraph 1, after deduction of the amount referred to in paragraph 2 is given in Annex [XX]. The transfers referred to in article 14(2) of Regulation (EU) No DP/2012 shall also be taken into account.

Or. pt

Amendment 1921

Hynek Fajmon

Proposal for a regulation

Article 64 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6. For the purposes of the allocation of the performance reserve referred to in Article 20(2) of Regulation (EU) No [CSF/2012], available assigned revenue collected in accordance with Article 45 of Regulation (EU) No HR/2012 for the EAFRD shall be added to the amounts referred to in Article 18 of Regulation (EU) No [CSF/2012]. It shall be allocated to Member States proportionally to their share of the total amount of support from the EAFRD. *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 1922

Vasilica Viorica Dăncilă, Daciana Octavia Sârbu

Proposal for a regulation

Article 64 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6. For the purposes of the allocation of the performance reserve referred to in Article 20(2) of Regulation (EU) No [CSF/2012], available assigned revenue collected in accordance with Article 45 of Regulation (EU) No HR/2012 for the EAFRD shall be added to the amounts referred to in Article 18 of Regulation (EU) No [CSF/2012]. It shall be allocated to Member States proportionally to their share of the total amount of support from the EAFRD. *deleted*

Or. ro

Amendment 1923

James Nicholson

Proposal for a regulation
Article 64 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6. For the purposes of the allocation of the performance reserve referred to in Article 20(2) of Regulation (EU) No [CSF/2012], available assigned revenue collected in accordance with Article 45 of Regulation (EU) No HR/2012 for the EAFRD shall be added to the amounts referred to in Article 18 of Regulation (EU) No [CSF/2012]. It shall be allocated to Member States proportionally to their share of the total amount of support from the EAFRD.

6. Available assigned revenue collected in accordance with Article 45 of Regulation (EU) No HR/2012 for the EAFRD shall be added to the amounts referred to in Article 18 of Regulation (EU) No [CSF/2012]. It shall be allocated to Member States proportionally to their share of the total amount of support from the EAFRD.

Or. en

Amendment 1924

Salvador Sedó i Alabart, Ramon Tremosa i Balcells, Raimon Obiols, Maria Badia i Cutchet, Santiago Fisas Aixela

Proposal for a regulation
Article 64 – paragraph 6 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6a. In Member States in which rural development programming is carried out through a series of regional programmes, EAFRD resources will be allocated by the State in question to each programme according to objective, non-discriminatory criteria which should include reference to the criterion established under point 4(c) of this article.

Or. es

Justification

It is proposed that this article be changed to include the average annual rate of compensation in the gross income of farms in each Member State as a distribution criterion for EAFRD

funding. This makes it necessary to include the requirement to designate the share of funds from the income stabilisation tool in Member States opting for regional RDPs.

Amendment 1925

Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Iratxe García Pérez, Ricardo Cortés Lastra, Alejandro Cercas

Proposal for a regulation

Article 65 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The decision approving a rural development programme shall set the maximum contribution from the EAFRD to the programme. The decision shall clearly identify, where necessary, the appropriations allocated to the less developed regions.

Amendment

1. The decision approving a rural development programme shall set the maximum contribution from the EAFRD to the programme. The decision shall clearly identify, where necessary, the appropriations allocated to the less developed **and transitional** regions.

Or. es

Amendment 1926

Alain Cadec

Proposal for a regulation

Article 65 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The EAFRD contribution shall be calculated on the basis of the amount of eligible public expenditure.

Amendment

2. For each priority axis, the Commission decision shall determine whether the co-financing rate for the priority axis will be applied to:

(a) total eligible expenditure, including public and private expenditure;

(b) public eligible expenditure.

Or. fr

Amendment 1927

Marc Tarabella

Proposal for a regulation
Article 65 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The EAFRD contribution shall be calculated on the basis of the amount of eligible public expenditure.

Amendment

2. The EAFRD contribution shall be calculated on the basis of the amount of eligible public **and private** expenditure **and on the value of contributions from the voluntary sector.**

Or. fr

Amendment 1928
Eric Andrieu, Marc Tarabella

Proposal for a regulation
Article 65 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The EAFRD contribution shall be calculated on the basis of the amount of eligible public expenditure.

Amendment

2. The EAFRD contribution shall be calculated on the basis of the amount of eligible public expenditure, **and on the basis of total expenditure including public and private expenditure.**

Or. fr

Amendment 1929
Peter Jahr, Britta Reimers

Proposal for a regulation
Article 65 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The EAFRD contribution shall be calculated on the basis of the amount of eligible public expenditure.

Amendment

2. The EAFRD contribution shall be calculated on the basis of the amount of eligible public expenditure **or on the basis of total eligible expenditure including public and private expenditure.**

Amendment 1930

Alain Cadec

Proposal for a regulation

Article 65 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

The rural development programmes shall establish a single EAFRD contribution rate applicable to all measures. Where applicable, a separate EAFRD contribution rate shall be established for less developed regions, and for outermost regions and the smaller Aegean islands within the meaning of Regulation (EEC) No 2019/93. The maximum EAFRD contribution rate shall be:

Amendment

The co-financing rate for a priority axis may be varied. Where applicable, a separate EAFRD contribution rate shall be established for less developed regions, and for outermost regions and the smaller Aegean islands within the meaning of Regulation (EEC) No 2019/93. The maximum EAFRD contribution rate shall be:

Or. fr

Amendment 1931

Jarosław Kalinowski, Czesław Adam Siekierski, Elisabeth Köstinger, Elżbieta Katarzyna Łukacijewska, Artur Zasada

Proposal for a regulation

Article 65 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

The rural development programmes shall establish ***a single*** EAFRD contribution ***rate*** applicable to all measures. Where applicable, a separate EAFRD contribution rate shall be established for less developed regions, and for outermost regions and the smaller Aegean islands within the meaning of Regulation (EEC) No 2019/93. The maximum EAFRD contribution rate shall be:

Amendment

The rural development programmes shall establish EAFRD contribution ***rates*** applicable to all measures. Where applicable, a separate EAFRD contribution rate shall be established for less developed regions, and for outermost regions and the smaller Aegean islands within the meaning of Regulation (EEC) No 2019/93. The maximum EAFRD contribution rate shall be:

Or. pl

Justification

Srodki współfinansowania krajowego mogą pochodzić zarówno z budżetu państwa jak i budżetu samorządów lokalnych, także w przypadku gdy samorzady te są beneficjentami pomocy. Przesądzenie z góry i na stałe oraz jednolicie poziomu współfinansowania z EFRROW dla wszystkich działań ogranicza elastyczność i możliwość reagowania na zachodzące zmiany w sytuacji budżetowej państwa, samorządów regionalnych i lokalnych. Obecnie, w związku z kryzysem, mamy do czynienia z licznymi zmianami programów różnych państw polegającymi na tym, że początkowo wysoki stopień współfinansowania przez nie realizowanych operacji musi zostać zmniejszony. Modyfikacji tych dokonuje się w zależności od rodzaju i wagi działania, stopnia zakontraktowania środków (obniżenie współfinansowania oznacza spadek łącznych środków na to działanie i nie jest możliwe, gdy podjęto już przeważającą część zobowiązań). Jednolity poziom współfinansowania dla całego programu oznacza, że jeśli państwo chcąc powiększyć środki na realizację działania planuje wkład krajowy w jego realizację na poziomie np. 50 % (a ma możliwość ograniczyć go do zaledwie 25 %), to poziom współfinansowania innego działania, gdzie beneficjentem jest samorząd lokalny i jego środki własne stanowią współfinansowanie krajowe także musi wynosić 50 % (nie może zostać określony na dopuszczalnym poziomie 25 %). Mimo, że proponowany system stanowi uproszczenie dla prowadzenia rozliczeń (ułatwia pracę administracji kraju i UE) to jest bardzo niekorzystny dla możliwości dostosowania programu do bieżącej i przyszłej sytuacji danego kraju.

Amendment 1932

Elisabeth Köstinger, Herbert Dorfmann, Elisabeth Jeggle, Milan Zver, Albert Deß, Astrid Lulling

Proposal for a regulation

Article 65 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

The rural development programmes shall establish a single EAFRD contribution rate applicable to all measures. Where applicable, a separate EAFRD contribution rate shall be established for less developed regions, and for outermost regions and the smaller Aegean islands within the meaning of Regulation (EEC) No 2019/93. The maximum EAFRD contribution rate shall be:

Amendment

The rural development programmes shall establish ***once, prior to the start of the programme period***, a single EAFRD contribution rate applicable to all measures. Where applicable, a separate EAFRD contribution rate shall be established for less developed regions, and for outermost regions and the smaller Aegean islands within the meaning of Regulation (EEC) No 2019/93. The maximum EAFRD contribution rate shall be:

Or. de

Justification

Member States should be encouraged to comply with their obligations to the duration of the programme period.

Amendment 1933
Wojciech Michał Olejniczak

Proposal for a regulation
Article 65 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) 85% of the eligible public expenditure in the less developed regions, the outermost regions and the smaller Aegean islands within the meaning of Regulation (EEC) No 2019/93 ;

Amendment

(a) 85% of the eligible public expenditure in the less developed regions, **the transitional regions**, the outermost regions and the smaller Aegean islands within the meaning of Regulation (EEC) No 2019/93 ;

Or. pl

Justification

EAFRD support should also cover regions whose GDP is more than 75% of the EU average, because suddenly curbing support can easily erase the positive effects achieved thanks to funding in previous years.

Amendment 1934
Czesław Adam Siekierski, Jarosław Kalinowski, Elżbieta Katarzyna Łukacijewska, Artur Zasada

Proposal for a regulation
Article 65 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) 85% of the eligible public expenditure in the less developed regions, the outermost regions and the smaller Aegean islands within the meaning of Regulation (EEC) No 2019/93 ;

Amendment

(a) 85% of the eligible public expenditure in the less developed regions, the **phasing – out regions**, the outermost regions and the smaller Aegean islands within the meaning of Regulation (EEC) No 2019/93;

Or. en

Amendment 1935
Mariya Gabriel

Proposal for a regulation
Article 65 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

aa) 75% of the eligible public expenditure for all regions with a per capita GDP for the period 2007-2013 of less than 75% of the average for the EU-25 for the reference period, but with a per capita GDP of over 75% of the average GDP for the EU-27;

Or. bg

Amendment 1936
Marie-Thérèse Sanchez-Schmid

Proposal for a regulation
Article 65 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(aa) 75% of eligible expenditure in transition regions;

Or. fr

Amendment 1937
Mariya Gabriel

Proposal for a regulation
Article 65 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point a b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

ab) 60% of the eligible public expenditure for transition regions, other than the regions referred to above;

Amendment 1938

Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Iratxe García Pérez, Ricardo Cortés Lastra, Alejandro Cercas

Proposal for a regulation

Article 65 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) 50% of the eligible public expenditure in the other regions.

(b) **75 % for regions in transition.**

Or. es

Justification

It is proposed that the EAFRD contribution for regions in transition be increased to 75 %, in line with the co-financing rates applicable to structural funds and in view of the fact that the funds are covered by the same common strategic framework.

Amendment 1939

Esther Herranz García, Gabriel Mato Adrover, Pilar Ayuso, María Auxiliadora Correa Zamora, Georgios Papastamkos

Proposal for a regulation

Article 65 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) **50% of the eligible public expenditure in the other regions.**

(b) **75 % for regions in transition.**

Or. es

Justification

It does not seem appropriate in the current economic context to increase the financial effort of regions coming out of the convergence objective whose EAFRD co-financing level would be reduced from 75 % to 50 %.

Amendment 1940
Eric Andrieu, Marc Tarabella

Proposal for a regulation
Article 65 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) **50%** of the eligible public expenditure in the other regions.

(b) **55%** of the eligible public expenditure in the other regions.

Or. fr

Amendment 1941
Michel Dantin, Agnès Le Brun

Proposal for a regulation
Article 65 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) **50%** of the eligible public expenditure in the other regions.

(b) **55%** of the eligible public expenditure in the other regions.

Or. fr

Amendment 1942
Hynek Fajmon

Proposal for a regulation
Article 65 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point b – indent 1 (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

- c) 75 % for all regions whose GDP per capita for the 2007-2013 period was less than 75 % of the average of the EU-25 for the reference period but whose GDP per capita is above 75 % of the GDP average of the EU-27.

Or. en

Amendment 1943

Peter Jahr, Britta Reimers, Hans-Peter Mayer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 65 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ba) 75% for transition regions not eligible under point (a).

Or. de

Amendment 1944

Eric Andrieu, Marc Tarabella

Proposal for a regulation

Article 65 – paragraph 4 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) 80% for the measures referred to in Articles 15, 28 and 36, for the LEADER local development referred to in Article 28 of Regulation (EU) No [CSF/2012] and for operations under Article 20(1)(a)(i). It may be increased to 90% for the programmes of less developed, the outermost regions and the smaller Aegean islands within the meaning of Regulation (EEC) No 2019/93;

(a) 80% for the measures referred to in Articles 15, 28 and 36, for the LEADER local development referred to in Article 28 of Regulation (EU) No [CSF/2012] and for operations under Article 20(1)(a)(i). It may be increased to 90% for the programmes of less developed, the outermost regions and the smaller Aegean islands within the meaning of Regulation (EEC) No 2019/93;

The maximum participation rate shall be 100% for multinational cooperation projects supported by local action groups.

Or. fr

Amendment 1945

Mariya Gabriel

Proposal for a regulation

Article 65 – paragraph 4 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

a) **80%** for the measures referred to in Articles 15, 28 and 36, for the LEADER local development referred to in Article 28 of Regulation (EU) No [CSF/2012] and for operations under Article 20(1)(a)(i). It may be increased to 90% for the programmes of less developed, the outermost regions and the smaller Aegean islands within the meaning of Regulation (EEC) No 2019/93;

a) **75%** for the measures referred to in Articles 15, 28 and 36, for the LEADER local development referred to in Article 28 of Regulation (EU) No [CSF/2012] and for operations under Article 20(1)(a)(i). It may be increased to 90% for the programmes of less developed, the outermost regions and the smaller Aegean islands within the meaning of Regulation (EEC) No 2019/93;

Or. bg

Amendment 1946

Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Iratxe García Pérez, Ricardo Cortés Lastra, Alejandro Cercas

Proposal for a regulation

Article 65 – paragraph 4 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) 80% for the measures referred to in Articles 15, 28 and 36, for the LEADER local development referred to in Article 28 of Regulation (EU) No [CSF/2012] and for operations under Article 20(1)(a)(i). It may be increased to 90% for the programmes of less developed, the outermost regions and the smaller Aegean islands within the meaning of Regulation (EEC) No 2019/93;

(a) 80% for the measures referred to in Articles 15, 28, **29, 30, 31, 32** and 36, for the LEADER local development referred to in Article 28 of Regulation (EU) No [CSF/2012] and for operations under Article 20(1)(a)(i). It may be increased to 90% for the programmes of **transitional** less developed **and** outermost regions and the smaller Aegean islands within the meaning of Regulation (EEC) No 2019/93;

Or. es

Justification

It is necessary to include agroenvironmental and climatic measures, organic farming, aid to areas with specific natural constraints and aid under the Natura 2000 Network and the Water Framework Directive, in view of their relevance to the CAP's greening objective. This is also in line with the 25 % proposed in recital 28.

Amendment 1947

Esther Herranz García, Gabriel Mato Adrover, Pilar Ayuso, María Auxiliadora Correa Zamora, Georgios Papastamkos

Proposal for a regulation

Article 65 – paragraph 4 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) 80% for the measures referred to in Articles 15, 28 and 36, for the LEADER local development referred to in Article 28 of Regulation (EU) No [CSF/2012] and for operations under Article 20(1)(a)(i). It may be increased to 90% for the programmes of less developed, *the* outermost regions and the smaller Aegean islands within the meaning of Regulation (EEC) No 2019/93;

Amendment

(a) 80% for the measures referred to in Articles 15, 28, **29, 30, 31, 32** and 36, for the LEADER local development referred to in Article 28 of Regulation (EU) No [CSF/2012] and for operations under Article 20(1)(a)(i). It may be increased to 90% for the programmes of *transitional*, less developed **and** outermost regions and the smaller Aegean islands within the meaning of Regulation (EEC) No 2019/93;

Or. es

Justification

Other measures should be included of particular relevance to achieving the goal expressed in recital 28 of allocating at least 25 % of the EAFRD to these measures.

Amendment 1948

Liam Aylward, Mairead McGuinness, Marit Paulsen, Marian Harkin

Proposal for a regulation

Article 65 – paragraph 4 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) 80% for the measures referred to in Articles 15, 28 and 36, for the LEADER local development referred to in Article 28 of Regulation (EU) No [CSF/2012] and for operations under Article 20(1)(a)(i). It may be increased to 90% for the programmes of less developed regions, the outermost regions and the smaller Aegean islands within the meaning of Regulation (EEC) No 2019/93;

Amendment

(a) 80% for the measures referred to in Articles 15, 28, **29** and 36, for the LEADER local development referred to in Article 28 of Regulation (EU) No [CSF/2012] and for operations under Article 20(1)(a)(i). It may be increased to 90% for the programmes of less developed regions, the outermost regions and the smaller Aegean islands within the meaning of Regulation (EEC) No 2019/93;

Justification

This measure should rank equally with the measures proposed for the higher rate.

Amendment 1949

Salvador Sedó i Alabart, Ramon Tremosa i Balcells, Raimon Obiols, Maria Badia i Cutchet, Santiago Fisas Ayxela

Proposal for a regulation

Article 65 – paragraph 4 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) 80% for the measures referred to in Articles 15, 28 **and** 36, for the LEADER local development referred to in Article 28 of Regulation (EU) No [CSF/2012] and for operations under Article 20(1)(a)(i). It may be increased to 90% for the programmes of less developed, the outermost regions and the smaller Aegean islands within the meaning of Regulation (EEC) No 2019/93;

Amendment

(a) 80% for the measures referred to in Articles 15, 28, 36 **and 40**, for the LEADER local development referred to in Article 28 of Regulation (EU) No [CSF/2012] and for operations under Article 20(1)(a)(i). It may be increased to 90% for the programmes of less developed, the outermost regions and the smaller Aegean islands within the meaning of Regulation (EEC) No 2019/93;

Or. es

Justification

In line with the content of Amendment DR 8a, it is proposed that this article be changed in order to set a maximum EAFRD contribution to the income stabilisation measure.

Amendment 1950

Julie Girling

Proposal for a regulation

Article 65 – paragraph 4 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) 80% for the measures referred to in Articles 15, 28 and 36, for the LEADER

Amendment

(a) 80% for the measures referred to in Articles 15, **16**, 28 and 36, for the

local development referred to in Article 28 of Regulation (EU) No [CSF/2012] and for operations under Article 20(1)(a)(i). It may be increased to 90% for the programmes of less developed regions, the outermost regions and the smaller Aegean islands within the meaning of Regulation (EEC) No 2019/93;

LEADER local development referred to in Article 28 of Regulation (EU) No [CSF/2012] and for operations under Article 20(1)(a)(i). It may be increased to 90% for the programmes of less developed regions, the outermost regions and the smaller Aegean islands within the meaning of Regulation (EEC) No 2019/93;

Or. en

Justification

Farm advisory services will be one of the most important tools in the next programming period to facilitate innovation and the enhancement in agricultural productivity and sustainability.

Amendment 1951 **Christel Schaldemose**

Proposal for a regulation **Article 65 – paragraph 4 – point a**

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) 80% for the measures referred to in Articles 15, 28 and 36, for the LEADER local development referred to in Article 28 of Regulation (EU) No [CSF/2012] and for operations under Article 20(1)(a)(i). It may be increased to 90% for the programmes of less developed regions, the outermost regions and the smaller Aegean islands within the meaning of Regulation (EEC) No 2019/93;

Amendment

(a) 80% for the measures referred to in Articles 15, 28 and 36, for the LEADER local development referred to in Article 28 of Regulation (EU) No [CSF/2012], **for operations contributing to the objectives of environment and climate change mitigation and adaptation** and for operations under Article 20(1)(a)(i). It may be increased to 90% for the programmes of less developed regions, the outermost regions and the smaller Aegean islands within the meaning of Regulation (EEC) No 2019/93;

Or. en

Justification

Enlarge the scope of the provision to allow for a higher rate of co-financing for operations

concerning sustainable management of natural resources and climate action. The higher co-financing will promote the granting of aid for operations targeted towards the objectives of agri- and forest- environment and climate mitigation.

Amendment 1952

Sergio Paolo Francesco Silvestris, Raffaele Baldassarre, Carlo Fianza

Proposal for a regulation

Article 65 – paragraph 4 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) 80% for the measures referred to in Articles 15, 28 and 36, for the LEADER local development referred to in Article 28 of Regulation (EU) No [CSF/2012] **and** for operations under Article 20(1)(a)(i). It may be increased to 90% for the programmes of less developed regions, the outermost regions and the smaller Aegean islands within the meaning of Regulation (EEC) No 2019/93;

Amendment

(a) 80% for the measures referred to in Articles 15, 28 and 36, for the LEADER local development referred to in Article 28 of Regulation (EU) No [CSF/2012] for operations under Article 20(1)(a)(i) **and for operations receiving assistance through thematic sub-programmes**. It may be increased to 90% for the programmes of less developed regions, the outermost regions and the smaller Aegean islands within the meaning of Regulation (EEC) No 2019/93;

Or. en

Amendment 1953

Wojciech Michał Olejniczak

Proposal for a regulation

Article 65 – paragraph 4 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) 80% for the measures referred to in Articles 15, 28 and 36, for the LEADER local development referred to in Article 28 of Regulation (EU) No [CSF/2012] and for operations under Article 20(1)(a)(i). It may be increased to 90% for the programmes of less developed regions, the outermost regions and the smaller Aegean islands within the meaning of Regulation (EEC)

Amendment

(a) 80% for the measures referred to in Articles 15, 28 and 36, for the LEADER local development referred to in Article 28 of Regulation (EU) No [CSF/2012] and for operations under Article 20(1)(a)(i). It may be increased to 90% for the programmes of less developed regions, **transitional regions**, the outermost regions and the smaller Aegean islands within the meaning

Justification

EAFRD support should also cover regions whose GDP is more than 75% of the EU average, because suddenly curbing support can easily erase the positive effects achieved thanks to funding in previous years.

Amendment 1954

Czesław Adam Siekierski, Jarosław Kalinowski, Elżbieta Katarzyna Łukacijewska, Artur Zasada

Proposal for a regulation

Article 65 – paragraph 4 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) 80% for the measures referred to in Articles 15, 28 and 36, for the LEADER local development referred to in Article 28 of Regulation (EU) No [CSF/2012] and for operations under Article 20(1)(a)(i). It may be increased to 90% for the programmes of less developed regions, the outermost regions and the smaller Aegean islands within the meaning of Regulation (EEC) No 2019/93;

Amendment

(a) 80% for the measures referred to in Articles 15, 28 and 36, for the LEADER local development referred to in Article 28 of Regulation (EU) No [CSF/2012] and for operations under Article 20(1)(a)(i). It may be increased to 90% for the programmes of less developed *the phasing – out* regions,, the outermost regions and the smaller Aegean islands within the meaning of Regulation (EEC) No 2019/93;

Or. en

Amendment 1955

Alain Cadec

Proposal for a regulation

Article 65 – paragraph 4 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) 80% for the measures referred to in Articles 15, 28 and 36, for the LEADER local development referred to in Article 28 of Regulation (EU) No [CSF/2012] and for

Amendment

(a) 80% for the measures referred to in Articles 15, 28 and 36, *both* for the LEADER local development referred to in Article 28 of Regulation (EU) No

operations under Article 20(1)(a)(i). It may be increased to 90% for the programmes of less developed, the outermost regions and the smaller Aegean islands within the meaning of Regulation (EEC) No 2019/93;

[CSF/2012] and for operations under Article 20(1)(a)(i). It may be increased to 90% for the programmes of less developed, the outermost regions and the smaller Aegean islands within the meaning of Regulation (EEC) No 2019/93;

Or. fr

Amendment 1956
Ulrike Rodust

Proposal for a regulation
Article 65 – paragraph 4 – point a a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(aa) 75% for the implementation of specified EU priority objectives: measures under Natura 2000, the Water Framework Directive and the EU Biodiversity Strategy. This should apply equally in respect of area-related, investment-related and other support measures;

Or. de

Amendment 1957
Eric Andrieu, Marc Tarabella

Proposal for a regulation
Article 65 – paragraph 4 – point a a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(aa) 75% for measures covered by Articles 29 to 31.

Or. fr

Amendment 1958
Michel Dantin, Agnès Le Brun

Proposal for a regulation
Article 65 – paragraph 4 – point a a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(aa) 75% for measures covered by Articles 29 to 31.

Or. fr

Amendment 1959
Alyn Smith
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Article 65 – paragraph 4 – point a a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a a) 60% for the agri-environment-climate measures referred to in Article 29, 60% for the organic farming measures referred to in Article 30, 60% for the NATURA 2000 and Water Framework Directive payments referred to in Article 31, and 60% for the animal welfare payments referred to in Article 34.

Or. en

Amendment 1960
Diane Dodds, James Nicholson

Proposal for a regulation
Article 65 – paragraph 4 – point a a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a a) 60 % for the agri-environment-climate measures referred to in Article 29. It may be increased to 90 % for the

programmes of less developed regions, outermost regions and smaller Aegean islands within the meaning of Regulation (EEC) No 2019/93;

Or. en

Amendment 1961
Luís Paulo Alves

Proposal for a regulation
Article 65 – paragraph 4 – point a a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(aa) 60 % for the agri-environment-climate measures referred to in Article 29. It may be increased to 90% for the programmes of less developed regions, the outermost regions and the smaller Aegean islands within the meaning of Regulation (EEC) No 2019/93

Or. pt

Amendment 1962
Michel Dantin, Agnès Le Brun

Proposal for a regulation
Article 65 – paragraph 4 – point a b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ab) 75% for measures covered by Articles 37 to 40.

Or. fr

Amendment 1963
Mariya Gabriel

Proposal for a regulation
Article 65 – paragraph 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6) 100% for operations receiving funding under Article 66. *deleted*

Or. bg

Amendment 1964

Elisabeth Köstinger, Peter Jahr, Elisabeth Jeggle, Milan Zver, Albert Deß, Astrid Lulling

Proposal for a regulation
Article 65 – paragraph 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) ***100%*** for operations receiving funding under Article 66.

(b) ***50%*** for operations receiving funding under Article 66.

Or. de

Amendment 1965

Luís Paulo Alves

Proposal for a regulation
Article 65 – paragraph 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) 100% for operations receiving funding under ***Article*** 66.

(b) 100% for operations receiving funding under ***Articles*** 66 ***and*** 38.

Or. pt

Amendment 1966

Maria do Céu Patrão Neves

Proposal for a regulation
Article 65 – paragraph 4 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) 100% for operations receiving funding under **Article 66**.

(b) 100% for operations receiving funding under **Articles 66 and 38**.

Or. pt

Amendment 1967

Elisabeth Köstinger, Peter Jahr, Elisabeth Jeggle, Milan Zver, Albert Deß, Astrid Lulling

Proposal for a regulation

Article 65 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4a. Funds transferred to the EAFRD in application of Article 14 of Regulation (EU) No DP/2012 shall be subject to the single EAFRD contribution rate referred to in paragraph 3.

Or. de

Justification

By means of coherence, funding transferred into EAFRD as part of the flexibility shall meet same contribution rate as other measures in EAFRD. This proposal is contra the principle of subsidiarity of member states.

Amendment 1968

James Nicholson, Julie Girling

Proposal for a regulation

Article 65 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4 a. The funds transferred to the EAFRD in application of Article 14 of Regulation EU No DP/2012 shall be co-financed in accordance with the general co-financing

rates

Or. en

Amendment 1969
Diane Dodds

Proposal for a regulation
Article 65 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4 a. The funds transferred to the EAFRD in application of Article 14 of Regulation EU No DP/2012 shall be co-financed in accordance with the general co-financing rates

Or. en

Amendment 1970
Alain Cadec

Proposal for a regulation
Article 65 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4a. The maximum EARDF contribution rate shall be raised to 100% for transnational cooperation projects run by LEADER local action groups.

Or. fr

Amendment 1971
Julie Girling

Proposal for a regulation
Article 65 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5. At least 5% of the total EAFRD contribution to the rural development programme shall be reserved for Leader.

deleted

Or. en

Justification

There should be no mandatory ring fencing of funds for Leader

Amendment 1972

Karin Kadenbach

Proposal for a regulation

Article 65 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5. At least **5%** of the total EAFRD contribution to the rural development programme shall be reserved for **Leader**.

5. At least **25%** of the total EAFRD contribution to the rural development programme shall be reserved for **Priority 6 measures, with at least 5% of the total EAFRD contribution going to Leader**.

Or. de

Amendment 1973

Elisabeth Jeggle

Proposal for a regulation

Article 65 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5. At least **5%** of the total EAFRD contribution to the rural development programme shall be reserved for Leader.

5. At least **10%** of the total EAFRD contribution to the rural development programme shall be reserved for Leader.

Or. de

Amendment 1974
Béla Glattfelder

Proposal for a regulation
Article 65 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 1 (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

At least 30% of funds reserved for LEADER shall be dedicated to projects contributing to climate change mitigation, including promotion of the use of renewable energies.

Or. en

Amendment 1975
Brian Simpson, Åsa Westlund

Proposal for a regulation
Article 65 – paragraph 5 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5 a. A minimum of 50% of the total EAFRD contribution to the rural development programme shall be reserved for climate change mitigation and adaptation and sustainable land management through measures under priorities 4 and 5.

The level achieved in this area during the programming period 2007-2013 shall be at the very least maintained.

Or. en

Justification

Agri-environment schemes have proven their worth and remain the best mechanism for the delivery of environmental outcomes, particularly in light of the co-financing obligation, and therefore should be strengthened.

Amendment 1976
Karin Kadenbach

Proposal for a regulation
Article 65 – paragraph 5 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5 a. At least 35 % of the total EAFRD contribution to the rural development programme shall be earmarked for climate change mitigation and adaptation and sustainable land management, through the agri-environment-climate, organic farming and Natura 2000 and Water framework directive payments, payments to areas facing natural or other specific constraints, investments improving the resilience and environmental value of forest ecosystems, Forest-environmental and climate services and forest conservation. Additionally Member States shall at least maintain the level of efforts made in this area during the 2007-2013 programming period.

Or. en

Amendment 1977
Corinne Lepage

Proposal for a regulation
Article 65 – paragraph 5 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5 a. At least 35% of the total EAFRD contribution to the rural development programme shall be spent for climate change mitigation and adaptation and sustainable land management, through the agri-environment-climate, organic farming, High Nature Value Farming,

Natura 2000 and Water framework directive payments, investments for improving the resilience and environmental value of forest ecosystems, forest climate services and forest conservation.

Or. en

Amendment 1978

Marie-Thérèse Sanchez-Schmid, Dominique Vlasto

Proposal for a regulation

Article 65 – paragraph 5 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5a. At least 30 % of the total EAFRD contribution to the rural development programme shall be reserved for the measures referred to in Articles 29, 30, 31 and 32.

Or. fr

Amendment 1979

Hynek Fajmon

Proposal for a regulation

Article 65 – paragraph 5 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5 a. At least 25 % of the total EAFRD contribution to the rural development programme shall be reserved for climate change mitigation and adaptation and land management, through the agri-environment-climate, organic farming and payments to areas facing natural or other specific constraints measures.

Or. en

Amendment 1980
Sandra Kalniete

Proposal for a regulation
Article 65 – paragraph 5 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5 a. At least 25% of the total EAFRD contribution to the rural development programme shall be reserved for measures under Articles 29, 30, 31 and 32.

Or. en

Amendment 1981
Seán Kelly

Proposal for a regulation
Article 65 – paragraph 5 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5 a. At least 5% of the total EAFRD programme shall be reserved for measures for young farmers. Support can be granted to levels that go beyond that level, provided member states notify the Commission.

Or. en

Justification

Member States shall maintain their current level of contribution from the EAFRD budget to programme for young farmers, representing at least a minimum of 5% of the total co-financed budget in Rural Development Programme. Considering the age crisis the European Agriculture sector is in, it is crucial that Member States address this structural issue as a priority and improve the level of effort made during the last programming period, in the new programming period.

Amendment 1982

**Anneli Jäätteenmäki, Petri Sarvamaa, Sari Essayah, Hannu Takkula, Nils Torvalds,
Liisa Jaakonsaari, Eija-Riitta Korhola, Sirpa Pietikäinen**

Proposal for a regulation

Article 65 – paragraph 5 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5 a. A minimum of 5% of the total co-financed budget of rural development programme shall be reserved for measures for young farmers.

Or. en

Justification

Because of the age crisis in the European Agriculture sector, it is important that Member States improve the level of efforts for young farmers in the new programming period.

Amendment 1983

Jill Evans

Proposal for a regulation

Article 65 – paragraph 5 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5 a. At least 3% of the total EAFRD contribution to the rural development programme shall be reserved for activities and programmes under Article 34, on animal welfare.

Or. en

Amendment 1984

Hynek Fajmon

Proposal for a regulation

Article 65 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6. An expenditure co-financed by the EAFRD shall not be co-financed by way of a contribution from the Structural Funds, the Cohesion Fund or any other Union financial instrument.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1985

Helmut Scholz, Cornelia Ernst, Sabine Wils

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 65 – paragraph 6**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6. An expenditure co-financed by the EAFRD shall not be co-financed by way of a contribution from the Structural Funds, the Cohesion Fund or any other Union financial instrument.

deleted

Or. de

Amendment 1986

Elisabeth Köstinger, Herbert Dorfmann, Elisabeth Jeggle, Milan Zver, Albert Deß, Astrid Lulling

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 65 – paragraph 6 a (new)**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6a. The national contribution to the eligible public expenditure may be replaced and/or supplemented by private contributions. This shall not apply in respect of measures under Articles 16, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 35 and 36, Chapter IV of Title III, Title IV or Articles 61, 62 and 63.

Justification

Measures, referring to education and knowledge, networking, innovation and farm performances, that have a special effect on environment and climate, should be a public concern and not be subjected by the influence of private financing.

Amendment 1987

Maria do Céu Patrão Neves

Proposal for a regulation

Article 65 – paragraph 6 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6a. The Member States may, if they so wish, decide to replace the national contribution to the eligible public expenditure with private contributions.

Or. pt

Amendment 1988

Hynek Fajmon

Proposal for a regulation

Article 65 – paragraph 7

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

7. Public expenditure on aid to enterprises shall comply with the aid limits laid down in respect of State aid, unless this Regulation provides otherwise.

7. An expenditure co-financed by the EAFRD shall not be co-financed by way of a contribution from the Structural Funds, the Cohesion Fund or any other Union financial instrument.

Or. en

Amendment 1989

Diane Dodds, James Nicholson

Proposal for a regulation
Article 66

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 66

deleted

Funding for operations with a significant contribution to innovation

The funds transferred to the EAFRD in application of Article 7(2) of Regulation (EU) No DP/2012 shall be reserved for operations which provide a significant contribution to innovation relevant to agricultural productivity and sustainability, including climate mitigation or adaptation.

Or. en

Amendment 1990
Mariya Gabriel

Proposal for a regulation
Article 66

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 66

deleted

Funding for operations with a significant contribution to innovation

The funds transferred to the EAFRD in application of Article 7(2) of Regulation (EU) No DP/2012 shall be reserved for operations which provide a significant contribution to innovation relevant to agricultural productivity and sustainability, including climate mitigation or adaptation.

Or. bg

Amendment 1991
Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation
Article 66 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Funding for operations with a significant contribution to innovation

Amendment

Funding for operations with a significant contribution to innovation, **job creation and generational handover**.

Or. es

Amendment 1992
Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Iratxe García Pérez, Ricardo Cortés Lastra, Alejandro Cercas

Proposal for a regulation
Article 66 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Funding for operations with a significant contribution to innovation

Amendment

Funding for operations with a significant contribution to innovation **and job creation**.

Or. es

Justification

It should be possible to use funds arising from capping not only for innovative projects but also for operations linked to job creation and generational handover, in line with the Europe 2020 strategy.

Amendment 1993
Herbert Dorfmann

Proposal for a regulation
Article 66 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

The funds transferred to the EAFRD in application of Article 7(2) of Regulation

Amendment

deleted

(EU) No DP/2012 shall be reserved for operations which provide a significant contribution to innovation relevant to agricultural productivity and sustainability, including climate mitigation or adaptation.

Or. it

Amendment 1994

Giancarlo Scottà, Mara Bizzotto, Mario Borghezio, Lorenzo Fontana, Claudio Morganti, Fiorello Provera, Oreste Rossi, Matteo Salvini, Francesco Enrico Speroni, Lara Comi

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 66 – paragraph 1**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The funds transferred to the EAFRD in application of Article 7(2) of Regulation (EU) No DP/2012 shall be reserved for operations which provide a significant contribution to innovation relevant to agricultural productivity and sustainability, including climate mitigation or adaptation.

deleted

Or. it

Amendment 1995

Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Iratxe García Pérez, Ricardo Cortés Lastra, Alejandro Cercas

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 66 – paragraph 1**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The funds transferred to the EAFRD in application of Article 7(2) of Regulation (EU) No DP/2012 shall be *reserved for operations which provide a significant contribution to innovation relevant to agricultural productivity and*

The funds transferred to the EAFRD in application of Article 7(2) of Regulation (EU) No DP/2012 shall be *used for activities in line with the strategy adopted by the Member State, taking job creation into account.*

sustainability, including climate mitigation or adaptation.

Or. es

Justification

The reference to the use of funds obtained from capping is amended, as it is felt that the Member State should be responsible for deciding what this amount is used for.

Amendment 1996

Esther Herranz García, Gabriel Mato Adrover, Pilar Ayuso, María Auxiliadora Correa Zamora

Proposal for a regulation

Article 66 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

The funds transferred to the EAFRD in application of Article 7(2) of Regulation (EU) No DP/2012 shall be **reserved for operations which provide a significant contribution to innovation relevant to agricultural productivity and sustainability, including climate mitigation or adaptation.**

Amendment

The funds transferred to the EAFRD in application of Article 7(2) of Regulation (EU) No DP/2012 shall be **used for activities in line with the strategy adopted by the Member State.**

Or. es

Justification

The reference to the use of funds obtained from capping is amended, as it is felt that the Member State should be responsible for deciding what this amount is used for.

Amendment 1997

Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation

Article 66 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

The funds transferred to the EAFRD in application of Article 7(2) of Regulation (EU) No DP/2012 shall be reserved for operations which provide a significant contribution to innovation relevant to agricultural productivity and sustainability, including climate mitigation or adaptation.

Amendment

The funds transferred to the EAFRD in application of Article 7(2) of Regulation (EU) No DP/2012 shall be reserved for operations which provide a significant contribution to innovation relevant to agricultural productivity and sustainability, including climate mitigation or adaptation, ***and for operations which contribute to job creation in rural areas or the incorporation of young people into the sector.***

Or. es

Justification

In addition to being used for innovation, funds obtained from capping should promote jobs and generational handover in line with the Europe 2020 strategy.

Amendment 1998
Karin Kadenbach

Proposal for a regulation
Article 66 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

The funds transferred to the EAFRD in application of Article 7(2) of Regulation (EU) No DP/2012 shall be reserved for operations which provide a significant contribution to innovation relevant to agricultural productivity and sustainability, including climate mitigation ***or*** adaptation.

Amendment

The funds transferred to the EAFRD in application of Article 7(2) of Regulation (EU) No DP/2012 shall be reserved for operations which provide a significant contribution to innovation relevant to agricultural productivity and sustainability, including climate mitigation ***and/or*** adaptation. ***Innovation measures should also contribute to improving the environmental sustainability of farming and the food chain and in no case harm the environmental objectives of the CAP or the delivery of the environmental outcomes of other measures.***

Amendment 1999
Maria do Céu Patrão Neves

Proposal for a regulation
Article 67 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Expenditure shall be eligible for an EAFRD contribution only where incurred for operations decided upon by the Managing Authority of the programme in question or under its responsibility, in accordance with selection criteria referred to in Article 49.

Amendment

Expenditure shall be eligible for an EAFRD contribution only where incurred for operations decided upon by the Managing Authority of the programme in question or under its responsibility, in accordance with selection criteria referred to in Article 49, ***except for proposals presented during the transition period between the two programmes, to avoid any hiatus likely to obstruct investment.***

Or. pt

Amendment 2000
Giancarlo Scottà, Carlo Fidanza, Vincenzo Iovine, Mara Bizzotto, Mario Borghezio, Lorenzo Fontana, Claudio Morganti, Fiorello Provera, Oreste Rossi, Matteo Salvini, Francesco Enrico Speroni, Lara Comi

Proposal for a regulation
Article 67 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. ***Payments*** by beneficiaries shall be supported by invoices and documents proving payment. Where this cannot be done, payments shall be supported by documents of equivalent probative value, ***except for forms of support under Article 57(1)(b), (c) and (d) of Regulation (EU) [CSF/2012].***

Amendment

4. ***Except for forms of support under Article 57(1)(b), (c) and (d) of Regulation (EU) [CSF/2012], payments*** by beneficiaries shall be supported by invoices and documents proving payment. Where this cannot be done, payments shall be supported by documents of equivalent probative value.

Or. it

Amendment 2001

Salvador Sedó i Alabart, Ramon Tremosa i Balcells, Raimon Obiols, Maria Badia i Cutchet, Santiago Fisas Aixela

Proposal for a regulation

Article 67 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4a. Notwithstanding Article 59 3(a) of Regulation (EU) No [CSF/2012], the contribution from the EAFRD may be made in a form other than a non-repayable direct assistance. Provisions for implementation will be decided in accordance with the procedure.

Or. es

Justification

En el ámbito común a todas las medidas de ayuda a operaciones de inversión, no se incorpora la posibilidad de bonificación de los préstamos correspondientes. Esta opción, que habitualmente se incluye en el reglamento de disposiciones de aplicación, se ha utilizado en los últimos periodos de programación de las medidas de modernización de explotaciones agrarias y las de primera instalación de jóvenes agricultores (en este caso, se incorpora incluso un incremento de la intensidad de ayuda). Además, en el contexto de crisis actual, también las industrias agroalimentarias sufren la falta de financiación para sus inversiones. Sería importante que se considerara la posibilidad de la bonificación de intereses también para la realización de las inversiones de mejora en la transformación de los productos agroalimentarios.

Amendment 2002

Ramon Tremosa i Balcells

Proposal for a regulation

Article 67 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4a. Notwithstanding Article 59 3(a) of Regulation (EU) No [CSF/2012], interest paid on loans linked to investments in physical assets shall be eligible for

EAFRD support, provided that the maximum aid percentage is not exceeded.

Or. es

Amendment 2003

Giovanni La Via, Paolo Bartolozzi, Sergio Paolo Francesco Silvestris, Georgios Papastamkos

Proposal for a regulation

Article 68 – paragraph 1 – point f a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(fa) non-recoverable VAT, when it is genuinely and definitively borne by the ultimate beneficiaries.

Or. it

Amendment 2004

Giovanni La Via, Paolo Bartolozzi, Sergio Paolo Francesco Silvestris, Georgios Papastamkos

Proposal for a regulation

Article 68 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. Contributions in kind in the form of provision of works, goods, services, land and real estate for which no cash payment supported by invoices or documents of equivalent probative value has been made, may be eligible for support provided that the conditions of Article 59 of Regulation (EU) No [CSF/2012] are fulfilled.

3. Work carried out on a ‘time and materials’ basis as part of rural development measures by the ultimate beneficiaries using the manpower, materials and equipment which a firm has to hand shall be eligible for an EAFRD contribution; in such cases, the amount of expenditure eligible for a EAFRD contribution shall be calculated on the basis of a price list for the various works performed.

Or. it

Amendment 2005
Herbert Dorfmann

Proposal for a regulation
Article 69 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall ensure that all the rural development measures they intend to implement are verifiable and controllable. ***To this end the Managing Authority and the paying agency of each rural development programme shall provide an ex ante assessment of the verifiability and controllability of the measures to be included in the rural development programme. The Managing Authority and paying agency shall also undertake the assessment of verifiability and controllability of measures during the implementation of the rural development programme. Ex ante assessment and assessment during the implementation period shall take into account the results of controls in the previous and current programming period.*** Where the assessment reveals that the requirements of verifiability and controllability are not met, the measures concerned shall be adjusted accordingly.

Amendment

1. Member States shall ensure that all the rural development measures they intend to implement are verifiable and controllable.

Or. it

Amendment 2006
Karin Kadenbach

Proposal for a regulation
Article 69 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall ensure that all the rural development measures they intend to implement are verifiable and controllable. To this end the Managing Authority and

Amendment

1. Member States shall ensure, ***using appropriate measures for disclosure of the income and expenditure situation of all recipients of EAFRD support,*** that all the

the paying agency of each rural development programme shall provide an ex ante assessment of the verifiability and controllability of the measures to be included in the rural development programme. The Managing Authority and paying agency shall also undertake the assessment of verifiability and controllability of measures during the implementation of the rural development programme. Ex ante assessment and assessment during the implementation period shall take into account the results of controls in the previous and current programming period. Where the assessment reveals that the requirements of verifiability and controllability are not met, the measures concerned shall be adjusted accordingly.

rural development measures they intend to implement are verifiable and controllable. To this end the Managing Authority and the paying agency of each rural development programme shall provide an ex ante assessment of the verifiability and controllability of the measures to be included in the rural development programme. The Managing Authority and paying agency shall also undertake the assessment of verifiability and controllability of measures during the implementation of the rural development programme. Ex ante assessment and assessment during the implementation period shall take into account the results of controls in the previous and current programming period. Where the assessment reveals that the requirements of verifiability and controllability are not met, the measures concerned shall be adjusted accordingly.

Or. de

Amendment 2007
Maria do Céu Patrão Neves

Proposal for a regulation
Article 69 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. The Member States shall ensure a clear separation between control and payment operations, in order to prevent any overlapping of control and payment functions within the same procedure.

Or. pt

Amendment 2008
Czesław Adam Siekierski, Jarosław Kalinowski, Elżbieta Katarzyna Łukacijewska, Artur Zasada

Proposal for a regulation
Article 70 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2 a. Beneficiaries of operations, notwithstanding article 42 paragraph 2, may request the payment of an advance of up to 50% of the value of the investment or public aid from the competent paying agencies if that option is included in the rural development programme.

Or. en

Justification

A consequence of the amendment 34

Amendment 2009
Alain Cadec

Proposal for a regulation
Article 72 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4a. The Member State may designate for an operational programme a managing authority which will also act as a certifying authority.

Or. fr

Amendment 2010
Elisabeth Köstinger, Peter Jahr, Herbert Dorfmann, Elisabeth Jeggle, Milan Zver, Czesław Adam Siekierski, Jarosław Kalinowski, Artur Zasada, Elżbieta Katarzyna Łukacijewska, Albert Deß, Astrid Lulling

Proposal for a regulation
Article 73 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) providing the Commission, on a **quarterly** basis, with relevant indicator data on operations selected for funding, including **key characteristics of the beneficiary as well as the project**;

Amendment

(b) providing the Commission, on a **yearly** basis, with relevant indicator data on operations selected for funding, including **information about output indicators and financial indicators**;

Or. de

Justification

A quarterly report leads to an enormous increase of administration, which disagrees with all efforts to simplify.

Amendment 2011

Diane Dodds, James Nicholson

Proposal for a regulation

Article 73 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) providing the Commission, on a **quarterly** basis, with relevant indicator data on operations selected for funding, including **key characteristics of the beneficiary as well as the project**;

Amendment

(b) providing the Commission, on **an annual** basis, with relevant indicator data on operations selected for funding, including **information on output and financial indicators**;

Or. en

Amendment 2012

Herbert Dorfmann

Proposal for a regulation

Article 73 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) providing the Commission, on a **quarterly** basis, with relevant indicator data on operations selected for funding, including key characteristics of the

Amendment

(b) providing the Commission, on **an annual** basis, with relevant indicator data on operations selected for funding, including key characteristics of the

beneficiary as well as the project;

beneficiary as well as the project;

Or. it

Amendment 2013

Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Iratxe García Pérez, Ricardo Cortés Lastra, Alejandro Cercas

Proposal for a regulation

Article 73 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) providing the Commission, on *a quarterly* basis, with relevant indicator data on operations selected for funding, including key characteristics of the beneficiary as well as the project;

Amendment

(b) providing the Commission, on *an annual* basis, with relevant indicator data on operations selected for funding, including key characteristics of the beneficiary as well as the project;

Or. es

Justification

It is proposed that data be provided to the Commission on an annual basis, in order to alleviate the administrative burden on the management authorities.

Amendment 2014

James Nicholson, Anthea McIntyre, Julie Girling

Proposal for a regulation

Article 73 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) providing the Commission, on *a quarterly* basis, with relevant indicator data on operations selected for funding, including key characteristics of the beneficiary as well as the project;

Amendment

(b) providing the Commission, on *an annual* basis, with relevant indicator data on operations selected for funding, including key characteristics of the beneficiary as well as the project;

Or. en

Amendment 2015

Esther Herranz García, Gabriel Mato Adrover, Pilar Ayuso, María Auxiliadora Correa Zamora, Georgios Papastamkos

Proposal for a regulation

Article 73 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) providing the Commission, on *a quarterly* basis, with relevant indicator data on operations selected for funding, including key characteristics of the beneficiary as well as the project;

Amendment

(b) providing the Commission, on *an annual* basis, with relevant indicator data on operations selected for funding, including key characteristics of the beneficiary as well as the project;

Or. es

Amendment 2016

Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation

Article 73 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) providing the Commission, on *a quarterly* basis, with relevant indicator data on operations selected for funding, including key characteristics of the beneficiary as well as the project;

Amendment

(b) providing the Commission, on *an annual* basis, with relevant indicator data on operations selected for funding, including key characteristics of the beneficiary as well as the project;

Or. es

Amendment 2017

Hynek Fajmon

Proposal for a regulation

Article 73 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) providing the Commission, on a *quarterly* basis, with relevant indicator data on operations selected for funding,

Amendment

(b) providing the Commission, on a *yearly* basis, with relevant indicator data on operations selected for funding, including

including key characteristics of the beneficiary as well as the project;

key characteristics of the beneficiary as well as the project;

Or. en

Amendment 2018
Karin Kadenbach

Proposal for a regulation
Article 73 – paragraph 1 – point i a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ia) draw up and, once approved, apply appropriate selection procedures and criteria that:

- are non-discriminatory and transparent;*
- take into account the general principles set out in Articles 7 and 8 [CSF/2012];*

Or. de

Amendment 2019
Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Iratxe García Pérez, Ricardo Cortés Lastra, Alejandro Cercas

Proposal for a regulation
Article 73 – paragraph 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3a. Where a Member State has more than one programme, a coordinating body may be designated to at least ensure consistency in the management of the funds and to provide liaison between the Commission and the national management authorities.

Or. es

Justification

As proposed in recital 5 of Regulation 1290/2005 on the financing of the common agricultural policy, it is important to recognise the need for a body coordinating the paying agencies.

Amendment 2020

Esther Herranz García, María Auxiliadora Correa Zamora, Gabriel Mato Adrover, Pilar Ayuso

Proposal for a regulation

Article 73 – paragraph 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3a. Where a Member State has more than one programme, a coordinating body may be designated to at least ensure consistency in the management of the funds and to provide liaison between the Commission and the national management authorities.

Or. es

Justification

This amendment is based on recital 5 of Regulation 1290/2005 on the financing of the common agricultural policy for the 2007-2013 period, which provides for the existence of a body to coordinate the paying agencies.

Amendment 2021

Sylvie Goulard

Proposal for a regulation

Article 73 – paragraph 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3a. The managing authority shall ensure that the aid granted complies with European competition rules and does not create any distortion of competition between identical activities carried out by agricultural and non-agricultural

operators.

Or. fr

Amendment 2022
Hans-Peter Mayer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 74 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

In accordance with this Title, a common monitoring and evaluation system shall be drawn up in co-operation between the Commission and the Member States ***and shall be adopted by the Commission by means of implementing acts adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 91.***

Amendment

In accordance with this Title, a common monitoring and evaluation system shall be drawn up in co-operation between the Commission, ***the European Parliament*** and the Member States. ***The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts to that effect in accordance with Article 90.***

Or. de

Justification

This is not a purely technical decision.

Amendment 2023
Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation
Article 74 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

In accordance with this Title, a common monitoring and evaluation system shall be drawn up in co-operation between the Commission and the Member States and shall be adopted by the Commission by means of implementing acts adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 91.

Amendment

In accordance with this Title, a common monitoring and evaluation system, ***which should include gender mainstreaming,*** shall be drawn up in co-operation between the Commission and the Member States and shall be adopted by the Commission by means of implementing acts adopted in accordance with the examination procedure

referred to in Article 91.

Or. es

Amendment 2024
Elisabeth Jeggle

Proposal for a regulation
Article 76 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. A list of common indicators relating to the initial situation as well as to the financial execution, outputs, results **and impact** of the programme and applicable to each programme shall be specified in the monitoring and evaluation system provided for in Article 74 to allow for aggregation of data at Union level.

Amendment

1. A list of common indicators relating to the initial situation as well as to the financial execution, outputs **and** results of the programme and applicable to each programme shall be specified in the monitoring and evaluation system provided for in Article 74 to allow for aggregation of data at Union level.

Or. de

Amendment 2025
Christel Schaldemose

Proposal for a regulation
Article 76 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The common indicators shall be linked to the structure and objectives of the rural development policy framework and shall allow assessment of the progress, efficiency and effectiveness of policy implementation against objectives and targets at Union, national and programme level.

Amendment

2. The common indicators shall be **based on accessible data, shall be** linked to the structure and objectives of the rural development policy framework and shall allow assessment of the progress, efficiency and effectiveness of policy implementation against objectives and targets at Union, national and programme level. **The common impact indicators shall be based on publicly available data.**

Or. en

Justification

Restrict the scope of the provision in order to simplify implementation and reduce administrative burdens. Requirements for delivery of data for the common indicators relating to the baseline situation and the financial execution, outputs, results, and impacts of the programmes should be limited.

Amendment 2026

Eric Andrieu, Marc Tarabella

Proposal for a regulation

Article 76 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The common indicators shall be linked to the structure and objectives of the rural development policy framework and shall allow assessment of the progress, efficiency and effectiveness of policy implementation against objectives and targets at Union, national and programme level.

Amendment

2. The common indicators, ***which must be based on available data***, shall be linked to the structure and objectives of the rural development policy framework and shall allow assessment of the progress, efficiency and effectiveness of policy implementation against objectives and targets at Union, national and programme level.

Or. fr

Amendment 2027

Maria do Céu Patrão Neves

Proposal for a regulation

Article 78 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Beneficiaries of support under rural development measures and local action groups shall undertake to provide to the Managing Authority and/or to appointed evaluators or other bodies delegated to perform functions on its behalf, all the information necessary to permit monitoring and evaluation of the programme, in particular in relation to meeting specified

Amendment

Beneficiaries of support under rural development measures and local action groups shall undertake to provide to the Managing Authority and/or to appointed evaluators or other bodies delegated to perform functions on its behalf, all the information necessary to permit monitoring and evaluation of the programme, in particular in relation to meeting specified

objectives and priorities.

objectives and priorities, *ensuring that the legally defined rights to confidentiality and protection of personal data are respected.*

Or. pt

Amendment 2028

Karin Kadenbach

Proposal for a regulation

Article 79 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The Managing Authority and the Monitoring Committee referred to in *Article 41* of Regulation (EU) No [CSF/2012] shall monitor the quality of programme implementation.

Amendment

1. The Managing Authority and the Monitoring Committee referred to in *Articles 41 and 42* of Regulation (EU) No [CSF/2012] shall monitor the quality of programme implementation.

Or. de

Amendment 2029

Karin Kadenbach

Proposal for a regulation

Article 79 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The Managing Authority and the Monitoring Committee shall carry out monitoring of each rural development programme by means of financial, output and target indicators.

Amendment

2. The Managing Authority and the Monitoring Committee shall carry out monitoring of each rural development programme by means of financial, output, *impact* and target indicators.

Or. en

Amendment 2030

Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Iratxe García Pérez, Ricardo Cortés Lastra, Alejandro Cercas

Proposal for a regulation
Article 80 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The Member State shall decide the composition of each committee, which shall include:

(a) the competent regional, local authorities and other public authorities;

(b) economic and social partners;

(c) any other appropriate body representing civil society, non-governmental organisations, including environmental organisations, and bodies responsible for promoting equality between men and women.

Or. es

Justification

The composition of the monitoring committees should be more clearly specified, with economic and social agents included as full members.

Amendment 2031
Karin Kadenbach

Proposal for a regulation
Article 81 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) shall ***be consulted and issue an opinion***, within four months of the decision approving the programme, on the selection criteria for financed operations. The selection criteria shall be revised according to programming needs;

(a) shall ***decide***, within four months of the decision approving the programme, on the selection criteria for financed operations. The selection criteria shall be revised according to programming needs;

Or. de

Amendment 2032
Karin Kadenbach

Proposal for a regulation
Article 81 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) shall examine the activities and outputs related to the evaluation plan of the programme;

Amendment

(b) shall examine **and approve** the activities and outputs related to the evaluation plan of the programme;

Or. de

Amendment 2033
Czesław Adam Siekierski, Jarosław Kalinowski, Elżbieta Katarzyna Łukacijewska,
Artur Zasada

Proposal for a regulation
Article 81 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) shall examine the **activities and outputs related to the** evaluation plan **of the programme;**

Amendment

(b) shall examine the evaluation plan **presented by the Managing Authority and progress in its implementation.**

Or. en

Amendment 2034
Karin Kadenbach

Proposal for a regulation
Article 81 – paragraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) shall examine actions in the programme relating to the fulfilment of ex ante conditionalities;

Amendment

(c) shall examine **and approve** actions in the programme relating to the fulfilment of ex ante conditionalities;

Or. de

Amendment 2035
Diane Dodds, James Nicholson

Proposal for a regulation
Article 81 – paragraph 1 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

(e) shall consider and **approve** the annual implementation reports before they are sent to the Commission.

Amendment

(e) shall consider and **issue an opinion on** the annual implementation reports before they are sent to the Commission.

Or. en

Amendment 2036
Czesław Adam Siekierski, Jarosław Kalinowski, Elżbieta Katarzyna Łukacijewska,
Artur Zasada

Proposal for a regulation
Article 82 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. By **31 May** 2016 and by **31 May** each subsequent year until and including **2023**, the Member State shall submit to the Commission an annual implementation report on implementation of the rural development programme in the previous calendar year. The report submitted in 2016 shall cover the calendar years 2014 and 2015.

Amendment

1. By **30 June** 2016 and by **30 June** each subsequent year until and including **2022**, the Member State shall submit to the Commission an annual implementation report on implementation of the rural development programme in the previous calendar year. The **final implementation** report **Member State shall submit by 31 December 2023. The report** submitted in 2016 shall cover the calendar years 2014 and 2015

Or. en

Amendment 2037
Herbert Dorfmann

Proposal for a regulation
Article 82 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. By **31 May** 2016 and by **31 May** each subsequent year until and including 2023, the Member State shall submit to the Commission an annual implementation report on implementation of the rural development programme in the previous calendar year. The report submitted in 2016 shall cover the calendar years 2014 and 2015.

Amendment

1. By **30 June** 2016 and by **30 June** each subsequent year until and including 2023, the Member State shall submit to the Commission an annual implementation report on implementation of the rural development programme in the previous calendar year. The report submitted in 2016 shall cover the calendar years 2014 and 2015.

Or. it

Amendment 2038

Elisabeth Jeggle

Proposal for a regulation

Article 82 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. By **31 May** 2016 and by **31 May** each subsequent year until and including 2023, the Member State shall submit to the Commission an annual implementation report on implementation of the rural development programme in the previous calendar year. The report submitted in 2016 shall cover the calendar years 2014 and 2015.

Amendment

1. By **30 June** 2016 and by **30 June** each subsequent year until and including 2023, the Member State shall submit to the Commission an annual implementation report on implementation of the rural development programme in the previous calendar year. The report submitted in 2016 shall cover the calendar years 2014 and 2015.

Or. de

Amendment 2039

Diane Dodds, James Nicholson

Proposal for a regulation

Article 82 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. By **31 May** 2016 and by **31 May** each

Amendment

1. By **30 June** 2016 and by **30 June** each

subsequent year until and including 2023, the Member State shall submit to the Commission an annual implementation report on implementation of the rural development programme in the previous calendar year. The report submitted in 2016 shall cover the calendar years 2014 and 2015.

subsequent year until and including 2023, the Member State shall submit to the Commission an annual implementation report on implementation of the rural development programme in the previous calendar year. The report submitted in 2016 shall cover the calendar years 2014 and 2015.

Or. en

Amendment 2040

Hynek Fajmon

Proposal for a regulation

Article 82 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. By **31 May** 2016 and by **31 May** each subsequent year until and including 2023, the Member State shall submit to the Commission an annual implementation report on implementation of the rural development programme in the previous calendar year. The report submitted in 2016 shall cover the calendar years 2014 and 2015.

Amendment

1. By **30 June** 2016 and by **30 June** each subsequent year until and including 2023, the Member State shall submit to the Commission an annual implementation report on implementation of the rural development programme in the previous calendar year. The report submitted in 2016 shall cover the calendar years 2014 and 2015.

Or. en

Amendment 2041

Hans-Peter Mayer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 83 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The Commission shall, **by means of implementing acts, provide for** the elements to be contained in the ex ante and ex post evaluations referred to in Articles 48 and 50 of Regulation (EU) No

Amendment

1. The Commission shall **be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 90 concerning** the elements to be contained in the ex ante and ex post evaluations referred to in Articles 48 and

[CSF/2012] and establish the minimum requirements for the evaluation plan referred to in Article 49 of Regulation (EU) No [CSF/2012]. ***These implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 91.***

50 of Regulation (EU) No [CSF/2012] and establish the minimum requirements for the evaluation plan referred to in Article 49 of Regulation (EU) No [CSF/2012].

Or. de

Justification

This is not a purely technical decision.

Amendment 2042

Hynek Fajmon

Proposal for a regulation

Article 85 – subparagraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The Commission shall carry out ex post evaluations in close cooperation with the Member States and managing authorities.

Or. en

Amendment 2043

Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Iratxe García Pérez, Ricardo Cortés Lastra, Alejandro Cercas

Proposal for a regulation

Article 88 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

State aid

State aid within the scope of Article 42 of the Treaty

Or. es

Amendment 2044
Eric Andrieu, Marc Tarabella

Proposal for a regulation
Article 88 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Articles 107, 108 and 109 of the Treaty shall not apply to payments made by Member States pursuant to, and in conformity with, this Regulation, or to additional national financing *referred to in Article 89*, within the scope of Article 42 of the Treaty.

Amendment

2. Articles 107, 108 and 109 of the Treaty shall not apply to payments made by Member States pursuant to, and in conformity with, this Regulation, or to additional national financing within the scope of Article 42 of the Treaty *and of Articles 22 to 27 and 35 of this Regulation*.

Or. fr

Amendment 2045
Esther Herranz García, Gabriel Mato Adrover, Pilar Ayuso, María Auxiliadora Correa Zamora

Proposal for a regulation
Article 88 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Articles 107, 108 and 109 of the Treaty shall not apply to payments made by Member States pursuant to, and in conformity with, this Regulation, or to additional national financing *referred to in Article 89*, within the scope of Article 42 of the Treaty.

Amendment

2. Articles 107, 108 and 109 of the Treaty shall not apply to payments made by Member States pursuant to, and in conformity with, this Regulation, or to additional national financing within the scope of Article 42 of the Treaty.

Or. es

Justification

This deletion is reasonable, because all the aid conditions and requirements, as well as its intensity and maximum limits, are included in the EAFRD Regulation, listed in the Rural Development Programmes and authorised by the Commission.

Amendment 2046
Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation
Article 88 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Articles 107, 108 and 109 of the Treaty shall not apply to payments made by Member States pursuant to, and in conformity with, this Regulation, or to additional national financing *referred to in Article 89*, within the scope of Article 42 of the Treaty.

Amendment

2. Articles 107, 108 and 109 of the Treaty shall not apply to payments made by Member States pursuant to, and in conformity with, this Regulation, or to additional national financing within the scope of Article 42 of the Treaty.

Or. es

Justification

This is coherent with the legal provision and functioning of the aid and simplifies the procedures.

Amendment 2047
Elisabeth Jeggle

Proposal for a regulation
Article 88 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. Where Articles 107, 108 and 109 TFEU are applicable, the Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 90 concerning provisions for exempting from the aid rules all measures pursuant to this Regulation that do not fall within the scope of Article 42 TFEU.

Or. de

Amendment 2048
Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation
Article 89 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Additional national financing

Notification of State aid

Or. en

Amendment 2049
Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Iratxe García Pérez, Ricardo Cortés Lastra, Alejandro Cercas

Proposal for a regulation
Article 89 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Additional national financing

State aid outside the scope of Article 42 of the Treaty

Or. es

Amendment 2050
Esther Herranz García, Gabriel Mato Adrover, Pilar Ayuso, María Auxiliadora Correa Zamora

Proposal for a regulation
Article 89 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Additional national financing

State aid outside the scope of Article 42 of the Treaty

Or. es

Amendment 2051
Herbert Dorfmann

Proposal for a regulation
Article 89 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Additional national financing

Additional national financing **and aid that does not fall within the scope of Article 42 of the Treaty**

Or. it

Amendment 2052
Eric Andrieu, Marc Tarabella

Proposal for a regulation
Article 89 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Payments made by the Member States in relation to operations falling within the scope of Article 42 of the Treaty and intended to provide additional financing for rural development for which Union support is granted, shall be notified by Member States and approved by the Commission in accordance with this Regulation as part of the programming referred to in Article 7. When assessing these payments, the Commission shall apply, by way of analogy, the criteria established for the application of Article 107 of the Treaty. The Member State concerned shall not put its proposed additional financing for rural development into effect until it has been approved.

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 2053
Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Iratxe García Pérez, Ricardo Cortés Lastra, Alejandro Cercas

Proposal for a regulation
Article 89 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Payments made by the Member States in relation to operations falling *within* the scope of Article 42 of the Treaty *and intended to provide additional financing* for rural development for which Union support is granted, shall be notified by Member States and approved by the Commission in accordance with this Regulation as part of the programming referred to in Article 7. When assessing these payments, the Commission shall apply, by way of analogy, the criteria established for the application of Article 107 of the Treaty. The Member State concerned shall not put its proposed additional financing for rural development into effect until it has been approved.

Amendment

Payments made by the Member States in relation to operations falling *outside* the scope of Article 42 of the Treaty for rural development for which Union support is granted, shall be notified by Member States and approved by the Commission in accordance with this Regulation as part of the programming referred to in Article 7. When assessing these payments, the Commission shall apply, by way of analogy, the criteria established for the application of Article 107 of the Treaty. The Member State concerned shall not put its proposed additional financing for rural development into effect until it has been approved.

Or. es

Amendment 2054

Esther Herranz García, Gabriel Mato Adrover, Pilar Ayuso, María Auxiliadora Correa Zamora

Proposal for a regulation
Article 89 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Payments made by the Member States in relation to operations falling *within* the scope of Article 42 of the Treaty *and intended to provide additional financing* for rural development for which Union support is granted, shall be notified by Member States and approved by the Commission in accordance with this Regulation as part of the programming referred to in Article 7. When assessing these payments, the Commission shall apply, by way of analogy, the criteria

Amendment

Payments made by the Member States in relation to operations falling *outside* the scope of Article 42 of the Treaty for rural development for which Union support is granted, shall be notified by Member States and approved by the Commission in accordance with this Regulation as part of the programming referred to in Article 7. When assessing these payments, the Commission shall apply, by way of analogy, the criteria established for the application of Article 107 of the Treaty.

established for the application of Article 107 of the Treaty. The Member State concerned shall not put its proposed additional financing for rural development into effect until it has been approved.

The Member State concerned shall not put its proposed additional financing for rural development into effect until it has been approved.

Or. es

Amendment 2055
Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation
Article 89 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Payments made by the Member States in relation to operations falling *within* the scope of Article 42 of the Treaty *and intended to provide additional financing* for rural development for which Union support is granted, shall be notified by Member States and approved by the Commission in accordance with this Regulation as part of the programming referred to in Article 7. When assessing these payments, the Commission shall apply, by way of analogy, the criteria established for the application of Article 107 of the Treaty. The Member State concerned shall not put its proposed additional financing for rural development into effect until it has been approved.

Amendment

Payments made by the Member States in relation to operations falling *outside* the scope of Article 42 of the Treaty for rural development for which Union support is granted, shall be notified by Member States and approved by the Commission in accordance with this Regulation as part of the programming referred to in Article 7. When assessing these payments, the Commission shall apply, by way of analogy, the criteria established for the application of Article 107 of the Treaty. The Member State concerned shall not put its proposed additional financing for rural development into effect until it has been approved.

Or. es

Justification

This article can be used to simplify the funding processes for non-agricultural aid to rural development, with the rural development programme considered as notification for the purposes of the TFEU.

Amendment 2056
Herbert Dorfmann

Proposal for a regulation
Article 89 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Payments made by the Member States in relation to operations falling within the scope of Article 42 of the Treaty and intended to provide additional financing for rural development for which Union support is granted, shall be notified by Member States and approved by the Commission in accordance with this Regulation as part of the programming referred to in Article 7. When assessing these payments, the Commission shall apply, by way of analogy, the criteria established for the application of Article 107 of the Treaty. The Member State concerned shall not put its proposed additional financing for rural development into effect until it has been approved.

Amendment

Payments made by the Member States in relation to operations falling within the scope of Article 42 of the Treaty and intended to provide additional financing for rural development for which Union support is granted, **and payments made by the Member States in relation to operations which do not fall within the scope of Article 42 of the Treaty**, shall be notified by Member States and approved by the Commission in accordance with this Regulation as part of the programming referred to in Article 7. When assessing these payments, the Commission shall apply, by way of analogy, the criteria established for the application of Article 107 of the Treaty. The Member State concerned shall not put its proposed additional financing for rural development into effect until it has been approved.

Or. it

Amendment 2057
Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation
Article 89 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Payments made by the Member States in relation to operations falling within the scope of Article 42 of the Treaty **and intended to provide additional financing for rural development for which Union support is granted**, shall be notified by Member States and approved by the

Amendment

All payments made by the Member States **pursuant to, and in conformity with this Regulation in** relation to operations falling within the scope of Article 42 of the Treaty shall be notified by Member States and approved by the Commission in accordance with this Regulation as part of

Commission in accordance with this Regulation as part of the programming referred to in Article 7. When assessing these payments, the Commission shall apply, *by way of analogy*, the criteria established for the application of Article 107 of the Treaty. The Member State concerned shall not put its proposed *additional* financing for rural development into effect until it has been *approved*.

the programming referred to in Article 7. When assessing these payments, the Commission shall apply, the *same* criteria *as those* established for the application of Article 107 of the Treaty. The Member State concerned shall not put its proposed financing for rural development into effect until it has been *notified*.

Or. en

Amendment 2058

Czesław Adam Siekierski, Jarosław Kalinowski, Elżbieta Katarzyna Łukacijewska, Artur Zasada

Proposal for a regulation

Article 89 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

If the rural development programme provides for instruments containing the elements of state aids these instruments shall not be subject to separate notification.

Or. en

Justification

We call for amending EU legislation in order to avoid a separate procedure of approval for particular instruments of support, envisaged from the point of view of their compliance with the competition policy.

Amendment 2059

Hans-Peter Mayer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 90 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The power to adopt delegated acts referred to in this Regulation shall be conferred on the Commission for ***an indeterminate period of time from the date of*** entry into force of this Regulation.

Amendment

2. The power to adopt delegated acts referred to in this Regulation shall be conferred on the Commission for ***a period of five years from the*** entry into force of this Regulation. ***The Commission shall draw up a report in respect of the delegated power no later than nine months before the end of the five-year period. The delegation of power shall be extended for periods of an identical duration, if the European Parliament and the Council approve such extension not later than three month before the end of each period.***

To that end the European Parliament shall take a decision by a majority of its Members and the Council by qualified majority.

Or. de

Justification

The European Parliament should actively confirm the delegation of powers to the Commission and, in case of doubt, should not have to fight to reclaim its own legislative rights.

Amendment 2060
Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos

Proposal for a regulation
Article 90 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

The ***power to adopt delegated acts*** referred to in this Regulation shall be conferred on the Commission for ***an indeterminate period of time from the date of entry into force of this Regulation.***

Amendment

The ***delegation of power*** referred to in this Regulation shall be conferred on the Commission for ***a period of five years from ...*.***

The Commission shall draw up a report in respect of the delegation of power not

later than nine months before the end of this five years period. The delegation of power shall be tacitly extended for periods of an identical duration, unless the European Parliament or the Council opposes such extension not later than three months before the end of each period.

**Date of entry into force of this Regulation.*

Or. en

Justification

In line with the position adopted by the Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development during the process of alignment with the Lisbon Treaty provisions

Amendment 2061
Hans-Peter Mayer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 90 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The power to adopt delegated acts referred to in this Regulation shall be conferred on the Commission for ***an indeterminate*** period of ***time*** from the date of entry into force of this Regulation.

Amendment

2. The power to adopt delegated acts referred to in this Regulation shall be conferred on the Commission for ***a*** period of ***five years*** from the date of entry into force of this Regulation.

The Commission shall draw up a report in respect of the delegated power no later than nine months before the end of the five-year period. The delegation of power shall be tacitly extended for periods of an identical duration, unless the European Parliament or the Council opposes such extension not later than three months before the end of each period.

Or. de

Amendment 2062
Marit Paulsen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 91 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The Commission shall be assisted by a committee called ‘Rural Development Committee’. That Committee shall be a committee within the meaning of Regulation (EU) No 182/2011.

Amendment

1. The Commission shall be assisted by a committee called ‘Rural Development Committee’. That Committee shall be a committee within the meaning of Regulation (EU) No 182/2011. ***A representative nominated by the Committee of the Regions shall be associated to this committee.***

Or. en

Amendment 2063
Hans-Peter Mayer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 95 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

In order to facilitate the transition from the system established by Regulation (EC) No 1698/2005 to the system established by this Regulation, the Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 90 concerning the conditions under which support approved by the Commission under Regulation (EC) No 1698/2005 may be integrated into support provided for under this Regulation, including for technical assistance and for the ex-post evaluations.

Amendment

(Does not apply to English version.)

Or. de

Justification

(Does not apply to English version.)