



EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

2009 - 2014

Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

2011/0280(COD)

24.7.2012

AMENDMENTS

1884 - 2218

Draft report

Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos

(PE474.052v01)

on the proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council establishing rules for direct payments to farmers under support schemes within the framework of the common agricultural policy

Proposal for a regulation

(COM(2011) 625final/2 – C7-0336/2011 – 2011/0280(COD))

AM_Com_LegReport

Amendment 1884

Dominique Vlasto, Marie-Thérèse Sanchez-Schmid

Proposal for a regulation

Article 34 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The payment per hectare referred to in paragraph 1 shall be calculated by dividing the amount resulting from the application of Article 35 by the number of eligible hectares declared according to Article 26(1) which are situated in the areas to which Member States **decided to** grant a payment in accordance with paragraph 2 of this Article.

Amendment

4. The payment per hectare referred to in paragraph 1 shall be calculated by dividing the amount resulting from the application of Article 35 by the number of eligible hectares declared according to Article 26(1) which are situated in the areas to which Member States grant a payment in accordance with paragraph 2 of this Article.

Or. fr

Justification

This measure should be made compulsory so as to foster convergence between those areas with and those without natural constraints, ensure fair competition between farming regions and guarantee balanced territorial development.

Amendment 1885

Michel Dantin

Proposal for a regulation

Article 34 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The payment per hectare referred to in paragraph 1 shall be calculated by dividing the amount resulting from the application of Article 35 by the number of eligible hectares declared according to Article 26(1) **which are situated in the areas** to which Member States decided to grant a payment in accordance with paragraph 2 of this Article.

Amendment

4. The payment per hectare referred to in paragraph 1 shall be calculated by dividing the amount resulting from the application of Article 35 by the number of eligible hectares declared according to Article 26(1) to which Member States decided to grant a payment in accordance with paragraph 2 of this Article.

Amendment 1886
Michel Dantin

Proposal for a regulation
Article 34 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Member States may modulate the payment per hectare on the basis of objective and non-discriminatory criteria.

Or. fr

Amendment 1887
Michel Dantin

Proposal for a regulation
Article 34 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

If they apply the first subparagraph, Member States may set a maximum number of hectares per holding to be taken into account for the payment.

Or. fr

Amendment 1888
Herbert Dorfmann

Proposal for a regulation
Article 34 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Member States ***may*** apply the payment referred to in this Chapter at ***regional*** level ***under the conditions laid down in this***

Member States ***shall*** apply the payment referred to in this Chapter at ***national*** level.

paragraph.

Or. de

Amendment 1889

Dominique Vlasto, Marie-Thérèse Sanchez-Schmid

Proposal for a regulation

Article 34 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Member States *may* apply the payment referred to in this Chapter at regional level under the conditions laid down in this paragraph.

Amendment

Member States *shall* apply the payment referred to in this Chapter at regional level under the conditions laid down in this paragraph.

Or. fr

Justification

This measure should be made compulsory so as to foster convergence between those areas with and those without natural constraints, ensure fair competition between farming regions and guarantee balanced territorial development.

Amendment 1890

Marc Tarabella

Proposal for a regulation

Article 34 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Member States may apply the payment referred to in this Chapter at regional level under the conditions laid down in this paragraph.

Amendment

When applying Article 20, Member States may apply the payment referred to in this Chapter at regional level under the conditions laid down in this paragraph.

Or. fr

Justification

The amendment makes provision for regional application in accordance with Article 20.

Amendment 1891

Maria do Céu Patrão Neves

Proposal for a regulation

Article 34 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

In that case, Member States shall define the regions in accordance with objective and non-discriminatory criteria such as their natural constraint characteristics and agronomic conditions and shall furnish evidence showing that the above-mentioned payment is complementary to payments under Article 33 of Regulation (EU) No [] [RDR].

Or. pt

Amendment 1892

Herbert Dorfmann

Proposal for a regulation

Article 34 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

In that case, Member States shall define the regions in accordance with objective and non-discriminatory criteria such as their natural constraint characteristics and agronomic conditions.

deleted

Or. de

Amendment 1893

Patrick Le Hyaric, Willy Meyer, João Ferreira, Kyriacos Triantaphyllides

Proposal for a regulation
Article 34 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

In that case, Member States shall define the regions in accordance with objective and non-discriminatory criteria such as their natural constraint characteristics and agronomic conditions.

Amendment

In that case, Member States shall define the regions in accordance with objective and non-discriminatory criteria such as their natural constraint, **demographic and socio-economic** characteristics and agronomic conditions.

Or. fr

Amendment 1894
Herbert Dorfmann

Proposal for a regulation
Article 34 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Member State shall divide the national ceiling referred to in Article 35(1) between the regions in accordance with objective and non-discriminatory criteria.

Amendment

deleted

Or. de

Amendment 1895
Herbert Dorfmann

Proposal for a regulation
Article 34 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

The payment at regional level shall be calculated by dividing the regional ceiling calculated in accordance with the third subparagraph by the number of eligible hectares declared according to Article 26(1) which are situated in the areas to

Amendment

deleted

which Member States decided to grant a payment in accordance with paragraph 2 of this Article.

Or. de

Amendment 1896
Dominique Vlasto, Marie-Thérèse Sanchez-Schmid

Proposal for a regulation
Article 34 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

The payment at regional level shall be calculated by dividing the regional ceiling calculated in accordance with the third subparagraph by the number of eligible hectares declared according to Article 26(1) which are situated in the areas to which Member States **decided to** grant a payment in accordance with paragraph 2 of this Article.

Amendment

The payment at regional level shall be calculated by dividing the regional ceiling calculated in accordance with the third subparagraph by the number of eligible hectares declared according to Article 26(1) which are situated in the areas to which Member States grant a payment in accordance with paragraph 2 of this Article.

Or. fr

Justification

This measure should be made compulsory so as to foster convergence between those areas with and those without natural constraints, ensure fair competition between farming regions and guarantee balanced territorial development.

Amendment 1897
Maria do Céu Patrão Neves

Proposal for a regulation
Article 34 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 34a

Consistency

Member States shall ensure consistency between the above measures and Pillar II measures, especially as regards measures aimed at reducing the inequalities affecting regions with natural constraints.

Or. pt

Amendment 1898
Peter Jahr, Albert Deß, Godelieve Quisthoudt-Rowohl

Proposal for a regulation
Article 35

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 35

deleted

Financial provisions

1. In order to finance the payment referred to in Article 34, Member States may decide, by 1 August 2013, to use up to 5 % of their annual national ceiling set out in Annex II.

The decision referred to in the first subparagraph shall be notified to the Commission by the date referred to in that subparagraph.

Member States may, by 1 August 2016, review their decision with effect from 1 January 2017.

2. According to the percentage of the national ceiling to be used by Member States pursuant to paragraph 1, the Commission shall, by means of implementing acts, fix the corresponding ceiling for that payment on a yearly basis. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 56(2).

Or. de

Amendment 1899
James Nicholson, Julie Girling, Richard Ashworth

Proposal for a regulation
Article 35

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 35

deleted

Financial provisions

1. In order to finance the payment referred to in Article 34, Member States may decide, by 1 August 2013, to use up to 5 % of their annual national ceiling set out in Annex II.

The decision referred to in the first subparagraph shall be notified to the Commission by the date referred to in that subparagraph.

Member States may, by 1 August 2016, review their decision with effect from 1 January 2017.

2. According to the percentage of the national ceiling to be used by Member States pursuant to paragraph 1, the Commission shall, by means of implementing acts, fix the corresponding ceiling for that payment on a yearly basis. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 56(2).

Or. en

Justification

Payments for areas with natural constraints should be confined only to Pillar

Amendment 1900
Herbert Dorfmann

Proposal for a regulation
Article 35 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

In order to finance the payment referred to in Article 34, Member States may decide, by 1 August 2013, to use up to 5 % of their annual national ceiling set out in Annex II.

Amendment

In order to finance the payment referred to in Article 34, Member States – ***or, in the event of the application of Article 20, regions*** – may decide, by 1 August 2013, to use up to 5 % of their annual national ceiling set out in Annex II.

Or. de

Amendment 1901

Marc Tarabella

Proposal for a regulation

Article 35 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

In order to finance the payment referred to in Article 34, Member States may decide, by 1 August 2013, to use up to 5 % of their annual national ceiling set out in Annex II.

Amendment

In order to finance the payment referred to in Article 34, Member States may decide, by 1 August 2013, to use up to **10 %** of their annual national ceiling set out in Annex II.

Or. fr

Justification

For the period 2007-2013, support for less-favoured areas totals EUR 12.6 billion. A doubling of the proposed budget, to 10 % of the national budgets, i.e. EUR 31.7 billion, would represent a significant shift in support towards less-favoured areas or areas with natural constraints.

Amendment 1902

Rareş-Lucian Niculescu

Proposal for a regulation

Article 35 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

In order to finance the payment referred to

Amendment

In order to finance the payment referred to

in Article 34, Member States may decide, by 1 August 2013, to use up to **5%** of their annual national ceiling set out in Annex II.

in Article 34, Member States may decide, by 1 August 2013, to use up to **10%** of their annual national ceiling set out in Annex II.

Or. ro

Amendment 1903
Hans-Peter Mayer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 35 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. According to the percentage of the national ceiling to be used by Member States pursuant to paragraph 1, the Commission shall, **by means of implementing** acts, fix the corresponding ceiling for that payment on a yearly basis. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 56(2).

Amendment

2. According to the percentage of the national ceiling to be used by Member States pursuant to paragraph 1, the Commission shall **be empowered in accordance with Article 55 to adopt delegated** acts **to** fix the corresponding ceiling for that payment on a yearly basis.

Or. de

Justification

The setting of the payment ceiling for areas with natural constraints is not a purely technical decision.

Amendment 1904
Martin Häusling
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Title 3 – chapter 4 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Payment for young farmers

Amendment

Payment for young farmers **and new entrants**

Or. en

Amendment 1905

George Lyon, Britta Reimers, Phil Bennion, Marit Paulsen, Sylvie Goulard, Liam Aylward, Kent Johansson, Anne E. Jensen

Proposal for a regulation

Title 3 – chapter 4 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Payment for young farmers

Payment for young farmers *and new entrants*

Or. en

Amendment 1906

Patrick Le Hyaric, Willy Meyer, João Ferreira, Kyriacos Triantaphyllides

Proposal for a regulation

Title 3 – chapter 4 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Payment for young farmers

Payment for young farmers *and new farmers*

Or. fr

Amendment 1907

Patrick Le Hyaric, Willy Meyer, João Ferreira, Kyriacos Triantaphyllides

Proposal for a regulation

Title 3 – chapter 4 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Payment for young farmers

Payment for young farmers *and new farmers*

Or. fr

Amendment 1908

Giancarlo Scottà, Vincenzo Iovine, Mara Bizzotto, Mario Borghezio, Lorenzo Fontana, Claudio Morganti, Fiorello Provera, Oreste Rossi, Matteo Salvini, Francesco Enrico Speroni, Lara Comi, Salvatore Caronna

Proposal for a regulation

Title 3 – chapter 4 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Payment for young farmers

Payments for young farmers and **women in farming**

Or. it

Justification

Support and encouragement should be given to women in the farming sector, in particular where, in addition to their farm work, they have family responsibilities. Financial support should be provided, irrespective of age.

Amendment 1909

Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation

Title 3 – chapter 4 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Payment for young farmers

Payment for young farmers **and promotion of equal rights for female workers in rural areas**

Or. es

Amendment 1910

Marc Tarabella

Proposal for a regulation

Title 3 – chapter 4 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Payment for **young** farmers

Payment for **new** farmers

Or. fr

Amendment 1911

Alyn Smith

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. Member States **shall** grant an annual payment to young farmers who are entitled to a payment under the basic payment scheme referred to in Chapter 1.

1. Member States **or regions may** grant an annual payment to young farmers who are entitled to a payment under the basic payment scheme referred to in Chapter 1. ***Alternatively, the Member State or region may choose to extend this scheme to all farmers who commenced their agricultural activities within the previous five years of being awarded the payment.***

Or. en

Amendment 1912

Patrick Le Hyaric

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. Member States **shall** grant an annual payment to young farmers ***who are entitled to a payment under the basic payment scheme referred to in Chapter 1.***

1. Member States **may** grant an annual payment to young farmers. ***This payment shall be compulsory for those Member States which do not take measures to assist young farmers under Regulation (EU) No [...] [RDR].***

Or. fr

Amendment 1913

Jill Evans, Derek Vaughan, Kay Swinburne, John Bufton

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States **shall** grant an annual payment to young farmers who are entitled to a payment under the basic payment scheme referred to in Chapter 1.

Amendment

1. Member States **may** grant an annual payment to young farmers who are entitled to a payment under the basic payment scheme referred to in Chapter 1.

Alternatively, the Member State may choose to extend this scheme to all farmers who commenced their agricultural activities within the last five years.

Or. en

Amendment 1914

James Nicholson

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States **shall** grant an annual payment to young farmers who are entitled to a payment under the basic payment scheme referred to in Chapter 1.

Amendment

1. Member States **or regions may** grant an annual payment to young farmers **or new entrants** who are entitled to a payment under the basic payment scheme referred to in Chapter 1.

Or. en

Justification

Member States should also be able to offer grants to new entrants into the sector and not just to young farmers. Older new entrants often have significant experience outside of agriculture and their interest in entering the industry should be supported alongside support for young farmers

Amendment 1915
Diane Dodds

Proposal for a regulation
Article 36 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States **shall** grant an annual payment to young farmers who are entitled to a payment under the basic payment scheme referred to in Chapter 1.

Amendment

1. Member States **or regions may** grant an annual payment to young farmers who are entitled to a payment under the basic payment scheme referred to in Chapter 1.

Or. en

Amendment 1916
Peter Jahr, Albert Deß, Godelieve Quisthoudt-Rowohl

Proposal for a regulation
Article 36 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States **shall** grant an annual payment to young farmers who are entitled to a payment under the basic payment scheme referred to in Chapter 1.

Amendment

1. Member States **may** grant an annual payment to young farmers who are entitled to a payment under the basic payment scheme referred to in Chapter 1.

Or. de

Amendment 1917
James Nicholson, Diane Dodds

Proposal for a regulation
Article 36 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States **shall** grant an annual payment to young farmers who are entitled to a payment under the **basic** payment scheme referred to in Chapter 1.

Amendment

1. Member States **may** grant an annual payment to young farmers who are entitled to a payment under the **single** payment scheme referred to in Chapter 1.

Justification

The young farmers scheme should be voluntary for Member States

Amendment 1918
Brian Simpson, Åsa Westlund

Proposal for a regulation
Article 36 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States **shall** grant an annual payment to young farmers who are entitled to a payment under the basic payment scheme referred to in Chapter 1.

Amendment

1. Member States **may** grant an annual payment to young farmers who are entitled to a payment under the basic payment scheme referred to in Chapter 1.

Or. en

Justification

2nd Pillar measures related to young farmers are a more effective way to support and encourage new entrants, therefore the 1st Pillar measures should be voluntary for Member States to implement.

Amendment 1919
James Nicholson, Julie Girling, Richard Ashworth

Proposal for a regulation
Article 36 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States **shall** grant an annual payment to young farmers who are entitled to a payment under the basic payment scheme referred to in Chapter 1.

Amendment

1. Member States **may** grant an annual payment to young farmers who are entitled to a payment under the basic payment scheme referred to in Chapter 1.

Or. en

Justification

The Young farmers scheme should be voluntary for a Member State

Amendment 1920

Robert Dušek

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States **shall** grant an annual payment to young farmers who are entitled to a payment under the basic payment scheme referred to in Chapter 1.

Amendment

1. Member States **may** grant an annual payment to young farmers who are entitled to a payment under the basic payment scheme referred to in Chapter 1.

Or. en

Amendment 1921

Hynek Fajmon

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States **shall** grant an annual payment to young farmers who are entitled to a payment under the basic payment scheme referred to in Chapter 1.

Amendment

1. Member States **may** grant an annual payment to young farmers who are entitled to a payment under the basic payment scheme referred to in Chapter 1.

Or. en

Amendment 1922

Christel Schaldemose

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States **shall** grant an annual

Amendment

1. Member States **may** grant an annual

payment to young farmers who are entitled to a payment under the basic payment scheme referred to in Chapter 1.

payment to young farmers who are entitled to a under the basic payment scheme referred to in Chapter 1.

Or. en

Justification

The provision should be optional for Member States reflecting that different policy options can be pursued to help young farmers establish.

Amendment 1923
Christofer Fjellner

Proposal for a regulation
Article 36 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States **shall** grant an annual payment to young farmers who are entitled to a payment under the basic payment scheme referred to in Chapter 1.

Amendment

1. Member States **may** grant an annual payment to young farmers who are entitled to a payment under the basic payment scheme referred to in Chapter 1.

Or. en

Amendment 1924
Phil Prendergast

Proposal for a regulation
Article 36 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall grant an annual payment to young farmers who are entitled to a payment under the basic payment scheme referred to in Chapter 1.

Amendment

1. Member States **which do not include a thematic sub-programme for young farmers under Article 8 of Regulation (EU) No [] [RDR] with the maximum support rates increased in accordance with annex 1 of Regulation (EU) No [] [RDR]** shall grant an annual payment to young farmers who are entitled to a payment under the basic payment scheme

referred to in Chapter 1.

Or. en

Amendment 1925
Nessa Childers

Proposal for a regulation
Article 36 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall grant an annual payment to young farmers who are entitled to a payment under the basic payment scheme referred to in Chapter 1.

Amendment

1. Member States ***which do not include a thematic sub-programme for young farmers under Article 8 of Regulation (EU) No [] [RDR] with the maximum support rates increased in accordance with annex 1 of Regulation (EU) No [] [RDR]*** shall grant an annual payment to young farmers who are entitled to a payment under the basic payment scheme referred to in Chapter 1.

Or. en

Amendment 1926
Mariya Gabriel

Proposal for a regulation
Article 36 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall grant an annual payment to young farmers who are entitled to a payment under the basic payment scheme referred to in Chapter 1.

Amendment

1. Member States ***which do not include a thematic sub-programme for young farmers under Article 8 of Regulation (EU) No [] [RDR] with the maximum support rates increased in accordance with annex 1 of Regulation (EU) No [] [RDR]***, shall grant an annual payment to young farmers who are entitled to a payment under the basic payment scheme referred to in Chapter 1.

Amendment 1927

Marc Tarabella

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall grant an annual payment to **young** farmers who are entitled to a payment under the basic payment scheme referred to in Chapter 1.

Amendment

1. Member States shall grant an annual payment to **new** farmers who are entitled to a payment under the basic payment scheme referred to in Chapter 1.

Or. fr

Amendment 1928

Martin Häusling

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall grant an annual payment to young farmers who are entitled to a payment under the basic payment scheme referred to in Chapter 1.

Amendment

1. Member States shall grant an annual payment to young farmers **and new entrants** who are entitled to a payment under the basic payment scheme referred to in Chapter 1.

Or. en

Amendment 1929

George Lyon, Britta Reimers, Phil Bennion, Marit Paulsen, Sylvie Goulard, Liam Aylward, Kent Johansson, Anne E. Jensen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall grant an annual payment to young farmers who are entitled to a payment under the basic payment scheme referred to in Chapter 1.

Amendment

1. Member States shall grant an annual payment to young farmers **and new entrants** who are entitled to a payment under the basic payment scheme referred to in Chapter 1.

Or. en

Amendment 1930

Patrick Le Hyaric, Willy Meyer, João Ferreira, Kyriacos Triantaphyllides

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 36 – paragraph 1**

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall grant an annual payment to young farmers who are entitled to a payment under the basic payment scheme referred to in Chapter 1.

Amendment

1. Member States shall grant an annual payment to young farmers **and new farmers** who are entitled to a payment under the basic payment scheme referred to in Chapter 1.

Or. fr

Amendment 1931

João Ferreira, Patrick Le Hyaric, Inês Cristina Zuber, Willy Meyer

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 36 – paragraph 1**

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall grant an annual payment to young farmers who are entitled to a payment under the basic payment scheme referred to in Chapter 1.

Amendment

1. Member States shall grant an annual payment to young farmers **and new farmers** who are entitled to a payment under the basic payment scheme referred to in Chapter 1.

Or. pt

Amendment 1932
Eric Andrieu, Marc Tarabella

Proposal for a regulation
Article 36 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall grant an annual payment to young farmers who are entitled to a payment under the basic payment scheme referred to in Chapter 1.

Amendment

1. Member States shall grant an annual payment to young farmers who are entitled to a payment under the basic payment scheme referred to in Chapter 1 ***in such a way as to support generational change and encourage people to take up farming.***

Or. fr

Amendment 1933
Liam Aylward, Marian Harkin

Proposal for a regulation
Article 36 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall grant an annual payment to young farmers who are entitled to a payment under the basic payment scheme referred to in Chapter 1.

Amendment

1. Member States shall grant an annual payment to young farmers who are entitled to a payment under the basic payment scheme referred to in Chapter 1 ***and who meet objective criteria determined by the Member State.***

Or. en

Amendment 1934
Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation
Article 36 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall grant an annual payment to young farmers who are entitled

Amendment

1. Member States shall grant an annual payment to young farmers who are entitled

to a payment under the basic payment scheme referred to in Chapter 1.

to a payment under the basic payment scheme referred to in Chapter 1. ***Similarly, the introduction of a payment linked to this scheme shall be considered in order to ensure that work carried out by women in rural areas is given proper social and professional recognition by affording them official status as active members of the farm workforce by promoting the co-ownership of farms where appropriate.***

Or. es

Amendment 1935

Giancarlo Scottà, Mara Bizzotto, Mario Borghezio, Lorenzo Fontana, Claudio Morganti, Fiorello Provera, Oreste Rossi, Matteo Salvini, Francesco Enrico Speroni, Lara Comi, Salvatore Caronna

Proposal for a regulation Article 36 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall grant an annual payment to young farmers who are entitled to a payment under the basic payment scheme referred to in Chapter 1.

Amendment

1. Member States shall grant an annual payment to young farmers ***and to women engaged in farming and contributing to the rural economy*** who are entitled to a payment under the basic payment scheme referred to in Chapter 1.

Or. it

Justification

Women in rural areas often combine farm work with family responsibilities.

Amendment 1936

Agustín Díaz de Mera García Consuegra

Proposal for a regulation Article 36 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Member States shall grant an annual payment to young farmers who are entitled to a payment under the basic payment scheme referred to in Chapter 1.

Amendment

1. Member States shall grant an annual payment to young farmers who **have recently set up in farming and** are entitled to a payment under the basic payment scheme referred to in Chapter 1.

Or. es

Justification

This amendment has been tabled for reasons of consistency with the proposed aid for young farmers under the Rural Development Regulation

Amendment 1937
Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation
Article 36 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1a. The Commission, the Member States and the regions shall carry out statistical research to ascertain how many women engage in unrecognised economic activity, as defined in this Regulation, in rural areas, stating their number and their position on farms, and providing an estimate of their contribution to farm income and an assessment of the aid that should be provided with a view to regularising their employment status and to ensuring their professional and social recognition. Arrangements for payments to such women shall focus on affording them the status of worker or co-owner of farms.

The results of this statistical research shall be available by 31 July 2016. One year after this date, the Commission shall submit a proposal to revise this Regulation to reflect these changes,

setting a transitional period for this regularisation and laying down financial rules for the funding of such payments. This process to ensure full equality for women workers in rural areas should be concluded by 31 December 2020.

Or. es

Justification

The amendment puts forward a methodology and timetable for this process of regularisation.

Amendment 1938

George Lyon, Britta Reimers, Phil Bennion, Marit Paulsen, Sylvie Goulard, Liam Aylward, Kent Johansson

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

2. For the purposes of this Chapter, ‘young farmers’, shall mean:

Amendment

2. For the purposes of this Chapter, ‘young farmers’ **and ‘new entrants’**, shall mean:

Or. en

Amendment 1939

James Nicholson, Anthea McIntyre

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

2. For the purposes of this Chapter, ‘**young farmers**’, shall mean:

Amendment

2. For the purposes of this Chapter, ‘**new entrants**’, shall mean:

Or. en

Amendment 1940

Patrick Le Hyaric, Willy Meyer, João Ferreira, Kyriacos Triantaphyllides

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

2. For the purposes of this Chapter, 'young farmers', shall mean:

Amendment

2. For the purposes of this Chapter, 'young farmers **and new farmers**', shall mean:

Or. fr

Amendment 1941

Marc Tarabella

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

2. For the purposes of this Chapter, '**young** farmers', shall mean:

Amendment

2. For the purposes of this Chapter, '**new** farmers', shall mean:

Or. fr

Amendment 1942

Agustín Díaz de Mera García Consuegra

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

2. For the purposes of this Chapter, 'young farmers', shall mean:

Amendment

2. For the purposes of this Chapter, 'young farmers **who have recently set up in farming**', shall mean:

Or. es

Justification

This amendment has been tabled for reasons of consistency with aid provided to young

farmers under the Rural Development Regulation

Amendment 1943

João Ferreira, Patrick Le Hyaric, Inês Cristina Zuber, Willy Meyer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. For the purposes of this Chapter, **'young farmers'**, shall mean:

2. For the purposes of this Chapter, **the following definitions shall apply:**

Or. pt

Amendment 1944

Csaba Sándor Tabajdi

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) natural persons who are setting up for the first time an agricultural holding as head of the holding, or who have already set up such a holding during the five years preceding the first submission of an application to the basic payment scheme as referred in Article 73(1) of Regulation (EU) No [...] [HZR], and

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1945

Béla Glattfelder

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) natural persons who are setting up for the first time an agricultural holding as head of the holding, or who have already set up such a holding during the five years preceding the first submission of an application to the basic payment scheme as referred in Article 73(1) of Regulation (EU) No [...] [HZR], and

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 1946

João Ferreira, Patrick Le Hyaric, Inês Cristina Zuber, Willy Meyer

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) natural persons who are setting up for the first time an agricultural holding as head of the holding, or who have already set up such a holding during the five years preceding the first submission of an application to the basic payment scheme as referred in Article 73(1) of Regulation (EU) No [...] [HZR], **and**

(a) **‘new farmers’ shall mean** natural persons who are setting up for the first time an agricultural holding as head of the holding, or who have already set up such a holding during the five years preceding the first submission of an application to the basic payment scheme as referred in Article 73(1) of Regulation (EU) No [...] [HZR];

Or. pt

Amendment 1947

James Nicholson, Diane Dodds

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) natural persons who are setting up for the first time an agricultural **holding as**

(a) natural persons who are setting up for the first time an agricultural holding, or

head of the holding, or who have already set up such a holding during the five years preceding the first submission of an application to the basic payment scheme as referred in Article 73(1) of Regulation (EU) No [...] [HZR], and

who have already set up such a holding during the five years preceding the first submission of an application to the basic payment scheme as referred in Article 73(1) of Regulation (EU) No [...] [HZR], and

Or. en

Justification

The young farmer payment should not be confined to those who are head of holding. Young farmers who have joined existing business should not be excluded from receiving this payment, particularly as this can be the main and traditional way in which young farmers enter farming. Furthermore, in many cases, the distinction between joining an existing business and becoming head of holding is not particularly pronounced.

Amendment 1948

James Nicholson, Julie Girling, Richard Ashworth

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) natural persons who are setting up for the first time an agricultural holding as head of the holding, or who have already set up such a holding during the five years preceding the first submission of an application to the basic payment scheme as referred in Article 73(1) of Regulation (EU) No [...] [HZR], and

Amendment

(a) natural persons who are setting up for the first time an agricultural holding as **sole** head of the holding, or who have already set up such a holding during the five years preceding the first submission of an application to the basic payment scheme as referred in Article 73(1) of Regulation (EU) No [...] [HZR], and

Or. en

Justification

This clarifies the definition which should cover those becoming the sole head of a holding for the first time

Amendment 1949

Eric Andrieu, Marc Tarabella

Proposal for a regulation
Article 36 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

b) who are less than 40 years of age at the moment of submitting the application referred to in point (a). ***deleted***

Or. fr

Amendment 1950
James Nicholson

Proposal for a regulation
Article 36 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) who ***are*** less than 40 years of age at the moment of submitting the application referred to in point (a).

(b) ***for the purposes of this chapter, "young farmers" shall mean any person who is*** less than 40 years of age at the moment of submitting the application referred to in point (a).

Or. en

Amendment 1951
James Nicholson, Julie Girling, Anthea McIntyre

Proposal for a regulation
Article 36 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) who are ***less than*** 40 years of age at the moment of submitting the application referred to in point (a).

(b) who are 40 years of age ***or less*** at the moment of submitting the application referred to in point (a).

Or. en

Justification

The age of those eligible should also be brought into line with existing schemes and include those aged 40

Amendment 1952

George Lyon, Britta Reimers, Phil Bennion, Marit Paulsen, Sylvie Goulard, Liam Aylward, Kent Johansson, Anne E. Jensen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) who are less than 40 years of age at the moment of submitting the application referred to in point (a).

Amendment

(b) ***in the case of 'young farmers' only***, who are less than 40 years of age at the moment of submitting the application referred to in point (a).

Or. en

Amendment 1953

Åsa Westlund, Brian Simpson

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) who are ***less*** than 40 years of age ***at the moment of submitting*** the application referred to in point (a).

Amendment

(b) who are ***no more*** than 40 years of age ***in the year of submission of the application to the basic payment scheme*** referred to in point (a).

Or. en

Amendment 1954

Michel Dantin, Agnès Le Brun

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

b) who are less than 40 years of age at the moment of ***submitting the application*** referred to in point (a).

Amendment

(b) who are less than 40 years of age at the moment of ***setting up an agricultural holding for the first time as*** referred to in point (a). ***Member States may lay down additional objective and non-discriminatory criteria.***

Or. fr

Amendment 1955

Béla Glattfelder

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) who are less than 40 years of age ***at the moment of submitting the application*** referred to in point (a).

Amendment

(b) who are less than 40 years of age.

Or. en

Amendment 1956

João Ferreira, Patrick Le Hyaric, Inês Cristina Zuber

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) who are less than 40 years of age ***at the moment of submitting the application*** referred to in point (a).

Amendment

(b) ***‘young farmers’ shall mean farmers*** who are less than 40 years of age.

Or. pt

Amendment 1957

Michel Dantin, Agnès Le Brun

Proposal for a regulation
Article 36 – paragraph 2 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ba) legal persons one or more of whose members meet the criteria laid down in point a).

Or. fr

Amendment 1958
Mairead McGuinness, Elisabeth Jeggle, Giovanni La Via, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Astrid Lulling, Maria do Céu Patrão Neves

Proposal for a regulation
Article 36 – paragraph 2 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ba) to qualify as a young farmers in the first pillar, young farmers shall meet objective and non-discriminatory criteria set by Member States, in accordance with Article 2(1) (u) of Regulation (EU) No [..] [RDR].

Or. en

Amendment 1959
Mariya Gabriel

Proposal for a regulation
Article 36 – paragraph 2 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ba) who fill the criteria for young farmers established by Member States in the second pillar;

Or. en

Amendment 1960

George Lyon, Britta Reimers, Phil Bennion, Marit Paulsen, Sylvie Goulard, Liam Aylward, Kent Johansson

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. Member States may determine certain additional objective and non-discriminatory criteria that young farmers and/or new entrants shall fulfil as regards, in particular, appropriate skills, experience and/or training requirements.

Or. en

Amendment 1961

Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. For the purposes of this Regulation, women engaging in unrecognised economic activity in rural areas shall mean the spouses or common-law partners of the owners of and/or persons living on farms, who carry out farming work for more than half the working day on such farms but are not listed as co-owners or have no contractual relationship with the farm and/or are not registered as unemployed and therefore are not covered by employment statistics.

Or. es

Justification

This amendment meets the requirement for a draft definition for women engaging in unrecognised economic activity in rural areas.

Amendment 1962

George Lyon, Britta Reimers, Phil Bennion, Marit Paulsen, Sylvie Goulard, Liam Aylward, Kent Johansson

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36 – paragraph 2 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2b. Member States shall ensure that no payment pursuant to this chapter is made to persons for whom it is established, as from the date of publication of the Commission proposal for this Regulation, they artificially created the conditions to be entitled to the payment referred to in paragraph 1.

Or. en

Justification

Member States must ensure that this payment is only attributed to genuine young farmers and new entrants, not to persons who would be found to artificially create the conditions to be eligible.

Amendment 1963

Martin Häusling

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. Without prejudice to the application of financial discipline, progressive reduction and capping, linear reductions as referred in Article 7, and any reductions and

3. Without prejudice to the application of financial discipline, progressive reduction and capping, linear reductions as referred in Article 7, and any reductions and

exclusions imposed pursuant to Article 65 of Regulation (EU) No [...] [HZR], the payment referred to in paragraph 1 of this Article shall be granted annually upon activation of payment entitlements by the farmer.

exclusions imposed pursuant to Article 65 of Regulation (EU) No [...] [HZR], the payment referred to in paragraph 1 of this Article shall be granted annually ***with 50% of the total amount available paid on the first year and the other 50% paid over the following years*** upon activation of payment entitlements by the farmer.

Or. en

Justification

Young farmers and new entrants especially need a start-up aid e.g. for receiving loans/credits. Therefore the funding grant should be split in a way that they receive more of it at the beginning.

Amendment 1964 Hynek Fajmon

Proposal for a regulation Article 36 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Without prejudice to the application of financial discipline, ***progressive reduction and capping***, linear reductions as referred in Article 7, and any reductions and exclusions imposed pursuant to Article 65 of Regulation (EU) No [...] [HZR], the payment referred to in paragraph 1 of this Article shall be granted annually upon activation of payment entitlements by the farmer.

Amendment

3. Without prejudice to the application of financial discipline, linear reductions as referred in Article 7, and any reductions and exclusions imposed pursuant to Article 65 of Regulation (EU) No [...] [HZR], the payment referred to in paragraph 1 of this Article shall be granted annually upon activation of payment entitlements by the farmer.

Or. en

Amendment 1965 Phil Prendergast

Proposal for a regulation Article 36 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Member States shall fix a limit which may be up to a maximum of 50 hectares

Or. en

Amendment 1966
Phil Prendergast

Proposal for a regulation
Article 36 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5. Member States shall calculate each year the amount of the payment referred to in paragraph 1 by multiplying a figure corresponding to 25 % of the average value of the payment entitlements held by the farmer by the number of entitlements he has activated in accordance with Article 26(1).

deleted

When applying the first subparagraph, Member States shall respect the following maximum limits in the number of activated payment entitlements that are to be taken into account:

(a) in Member States where the average size of agricultural holdings as set out in Annex VI is lower than, or equal to, 25 hectares, a maximum of 25;

(b) in Member States where the average size of agricultural holdings as set out in Annex VI is higher than 25 hectares, a maximum that shall be no less than 25 and no greater than that average size.

Or. en

Amendment 1967
Liam Aylward, Marian Harkin

Proposal for a regulation
Article 36 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Member States shall calculate each year the amount of the payment referred to in paragraph 1 by multiplying a figure corresponding to 25 % of the average value of the payment entitlements held by the farmer by the number of entitlements he has activated in accordance with Article 26(1).

Amendment

The amount of the payment referred to in paragraph 1 shall be the exact amount required to bring the payment up to the average per hectare under the basic payment of the Member State or region plus 25%. Where the basic per hectare payment already exceeds the average plus 25%, then no additional payment shall be made.

Member States shall fix a limit which may be up to a maximum of 50 hectares.

Or. en

Justification

The proposal in the Commission draft to add 25% to all qualifying young farmer payments makes sense only in the event that a uniform flat rate is achieved at a national level. In practice this means that some young farmers inherit significantly higher than average payments and there is no particular justification in cutting payments to other farmers in order to increase the payments in these cases. Instead, the best use of scarce resources is to target additional payments to ensure that young farmers with low payments get an immediate and substantial boost in their payments. This is a more equitable approach as it gives equal payments to all young farmers below the targeted rate.

Amendment 1968
Marian Harkin

Proposal for a regulation
Article 36 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Member States shall calculate each year the amount of the payment referred to in paragraph 1 by multiplying a figure corresponding to 25 % of the average value of the payment entitlements held by the farmer by the number of entitlements

Amendment

The amount of the payment referred to in paragraph 1 shall be the exact amount required to bring the payment up to the average per hectare under the basic payment of the Member State or region plus 25%. Where the basic per hectare

he has activated in accordance with Article 26(1).

payment already exceeds the average plus 25%, then no additional payment shall be made.

Member States shall fix a limit which may be up to a maximum of 50 hectares.

Or. en

Amendment 1969
George Lyon, Sylvie Goulard

Proposal for a regulation
Article 36 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Member States shall calculate each year the amount of the payment referred to in paragraph 1 by multiplying a figure corresponding to 25 % of the average value of the payment entitlements *held* by the *farmer by the* number of entitlements *he* has activated in accordance with Article 26(1).

Amendment

Member States shall calculate each year the amount of the payment referred to in paragraph 1 by multiplying a figure corresponding to 25 % of the average value of the payment entitlements *in that Member State or region* by the number of entitlements *the farmer* has activated in accordance with Article 26(1).

Or. en

Justification

This provides simplification for the method of calculation of this payment.

Amendment 1970
Åsa Westlund

Proposal for a regulation
Article 36 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Member States shall calculate each year the amount of the payment referred to in paragraph 1 by multiplying a figure corresponding to 25 % of the average value

Amendment

Member States shall calculate each year the amount of the payment referred to in paragraph 1 by multiplying a figure corresponding to 25 % of the average value

of the payment entitlements *held* by the *farmer by the* number of entitlements *he* has activated in accordance with Article 26(1).

of the payment entitlements *in that Member State or region* by the number of entitlements *the farmer* has activated in accordance with Article 26(1).

Or. en

Justification

To make this scheme effective the calculation should be based on a national or regional average. An individual value would cause unnecessary administration and cement differences between farmers.

Amendment 1971
Herbert Dorfmann

Proposal for a regulation
Article 36 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Member States shall calculate each year the amount of the payment referred to in paragraph 1 by multiplying a figure corresponding to 25 % of the average value of the payment entitlements *held by the farmer* by the number of entitlements he has activated in accordance with Article 26(1).

Amendment

Member States shall calculate each year the amount of the payment referred to in paragraph 1 by multiplying a figure corresponding to 25 % of the *national* average value of the payment entitlements by the number of entitlements he has activated in accordance with Article 26(1).

Or. de

Amendment 1972
Eric Andrieu, Marc Tarabella

Proposal for a regulation
Article 36 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Member States shall calculate each year the amount of the payment referred to in paragraph 1 by multiplying a figure

Amendment

Member States shall calculate each year the amount of the payment referred to in paragraph 1:

corresponding to 25 % of the average value of the payment entitlements held by the farmer by the number of entitlements he has activated in accordance with Article 26(1).

- *either* by multiplying a figure corresponding to 25 % of the average value of the payment entitlements held by the farmer by the number of entitlements he has activated in accordance with Article 26(1);

- *or by setting a single flat-rate amount for the eligible surface area as defined in the national or regional plan;*

- *or, even more simply, on the basis of a single flat-rate amount per holding as defined in the national or regional plan.*

Or. fr

Amendment 1973
Béla Glattfelder

Proposal for a regulation
Article 36 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

When applying the first subparagraph, Member States shall respect the following maximum limits in the number of activated payment entitlements that are to be taken into account:

deleted

(a) in Member States where the average size of agricultural holdings as set out in Annex VI is lower than, or equal to, 25 hectares, a maximum of 25;

(b) in Member States where the average size of agricultural holdings as set out in Annex VI is higher than 25 hectares, a maximum that shall be no less than 25 and no greater than that average size.

Amendment 1974
Agustín Díaz de Mera García Consuegra

Proposal for a regulation
Article 36 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

When applying the first subparagraph, Member States shall respect the following maximum limits in the number of activated payment entitlements that are to be taken into account:

deleted

a) in Member States where the average size of agricultural holdings as set out in Annex VI is lower than, or equal to, 25 hectares, a maximum of 25;

b) in Member States where the average size of agricultural holdings as set out in Annex VI is higher than 25 hectares, a maximum that shall be no less than 25 and no greater than that average size.

Or. es

Justification

No limits should be placed on the hectareage in respect of which young farmers can receive additional aid; this will ensure that the amount of aid remains attractive.

Amendment 1975
George Lyon, Britta Reimers, Sylvie Goulard

Proposal for a regulation
Article 36 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

When applying the first subparagraph, Member States **shall respect the following maximum limits in** the number of

When applying the first subparagraph, Member States **may choose to limit** the number of activated payment entitlements

activated payment entitlements that are to be taken into account:

that are to be taken into account:

Or. en

Justification

This provides simplification for the method of calculation of this payment.

Amendment 1976

Åsa Westlund

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

When applying the first subparagraph, Member States ***shall respect the following maximum limits in*** the number of activated payment entitlements that are to be taken into account:

When applying the first subparagraph, Member States ***may choose to limit*** the number of activated payment entitlements that are to be taken into account.

Or. en

Justification

For simplification reasons, and because young farmers often operate larger farms, the whole area should be eligible for the top-up. However, a voluntary ceiling might be useful. Any additional criteria to be eligible for the young farmer scheme should be voluntary not to cause unnecessary administration.

Amendment 1977

Mairead McGuinness, Georgios Papastamkos, Giovanni La Via, Sergio Paolo Francesco Silvestris, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Astrid Lulling, Maria do Céu Patrão Neves, Czesław Adam Siekierski

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

When applying the first subparagraph,

When applying the first subparagraph,

Member States shall *respect the following maximum limits in the number of activated payment entitlements that are to be taken into account*:

Member States shall *fix a limit which may be up to a minimum of 50 hectares, granting flexibility to Member States to increase it above this, to reach at least 2% of the national envelope*.

Or. en

Amendment 1978
Csaba Sándor Tabajdi

Proposal for a regulation
Article 36 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

When applying the first subparagraph, Member States shall *respect the following maximum limits in the number of activated payment entitlements that are to be taken into account*:

When applying the first subparagraph, Member States shall *fix a limit which may be up to a maximum of 100 hectares*.

Or. en

Amendment 1979
Vasilica Viorica Dăncilă, Daciana Octavia Sârbu

Proposal for a regulation
Article 36 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

When applying the first subparagraph, Member States shall *respect the following maximum limits in the number of activated payment entitlements that are to be taken into account*:

When applying the first subparagraph, Member States shall *establish a maximum limit of 100 ha for inclusion in the annex*.

Or. ro

Amendment 1980
Marian-Jean Marinescu

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

When applying the first subparagraph, Member States shall ***respect the following maximum limits in the number of activated payment entitlements that are to be taken into account:***

Amendment

When applying the first subparagraph, Member States shall ***fix a limit which may not exceed 100 hectares.***

Or. fr

Amendment 1981

Rareş-Lucian Niculescu

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

When applying the first subparagraph, Member States shall ***respect the following maximum limits in the number of activated payment entitlements that are to be taken into account:***

Amendment

When applying the first subparagraph, Member States shall ***establish a maximum limit of 100 ha.***

Or. ro

Amendment 1982

Eric Andrieu, Marc Tarabella

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

When applying the first subparagraph, Member States shall respect the following maximum limits in the number of activated payment entitlements that are to be taken into account:

Amendment

When applying the ***options set out in the first and second indents of the previous*** subparagraph, Member States shall respect the following maximum limits in the number of activated payment entitlements that are to be taken into account:

Amendment 1983

George Lyon, Britta Reimers, Sylvie Goulard, Marit Paulsen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) in Member States where the average size of agricultural holdings as set out in Annex VI is lower than, or equal to, 25 hectares, a maximum of 25; *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 1984

Csaba Sándor Tabajdi

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) in Member States where the average size of agricultural holdings as set out in Annex VI is lower than, or equal to, 25 hectares, a maximum of 25; *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 1985

Mairead McGuinness, Georgios Papastamkos, Giovanni La Via, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Astrid Lulling, Maria do Céu Patrão Neves, Czesław Adam Sikiński

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) in Member States where the average *deleted*

size of agricultural holdings as set out in Annex VI is lower than, or equal to, 25 hectares, a maximum of 25;

Or. en

Amendment 1986
Rareş-Lucian Niculescu

Proposal for a regulation
Article 36 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) in Member States where the average size of agricultural holdings as set out in Annex VI is lower than, or equal to, 25 hectares, a maximum of 25; *deleted*

Or. ro

Amendment 1987
George Lyon, Britta Reimers, Sylvie Goulard, Marit Paulsen

Proposal for a regulation
Article 36 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) in Member States where the average size of agricultural holdings as set out in Annex VI is higher than 25 hectares, a maximum that shall be no less than 25 and no greater than that average size. *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 1988
Csaba Sándor Tabajdi

Proposal for a regulation
Article 36 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) in Member States where the average size of agricultural holdings as set out in Annex VI is higher than 25 hectares, a maximum that shall be no less than 25 and no greater than that average size. *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 1989

Mairead McGuinness, Georgios Papastamkos, Giovanni La Via, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Astrid Lulling, Maria do Céu Patrão Neves, Czesław Adam Siekierski

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) in Member States where the average size of agricultural holdings as set out in Annex VI is higher than 25 hectares, a maximum that shall be no less than 25 and no greater than that average size. *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 1990

Rareș-Lucian Niculescu

Proposal for a regulation

Article 36 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) in Member States where the average size of agricultural holdings as set out in Annex VI is higher than 25 hectares, a maximum that shall be no less than 25 and no greater than that average size. *deleted*

Or. ro

Amendment 1991
Béla Glattfelder

Proposal for a regulation
Article 36 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The maximum eligible area considering the payment referred to in this Chapter shall not be larger than 100 ha per holding.

Or. en

Amendment 1992
Eric Andrieu, Marc Tarabella

Proposal for a regulation
Article 36 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6. The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 55 concerning the *conditions under which* a legal person *may be considered eligible for receiving the payment referred to in paragraph 1, in particular the application of the age-limit set out in paragraph 2(b) to one or more natural persons participating in the legal person.*

6. The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 55 concerning the *arrangements for calculating the payment when it is granted to* a legal person *regarded as a young farmer within the meaning of paragraph 2a.*

Or. fr

Amendment 1993
Giancarlo Scottà, Vincenzo Iovine, Mara Bizzotto, Mario Borghezio, Lorenzo Fontana, Claudio Morganti, Fiorello Provera, Oreste Rossi, Matteo Salvini, Francesco Enrico Speroni, Lara Comi

Proposal for a regulation
Article 37 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

In order to finance the payment referred to in Article 36, Member States shall use a percentage of the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II which shall **not** be higher than **2 %**. They shall notify the Commission, by 1 August 2013, of the estimated percentage necessary to finance that payment.

Amendment

In order to finance the payment referred to in Article 36, Member States shall use a percentage of the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II which shall be higher than **4 %**. They shall notify the Commission, by 1 August 2013, of the estimated percentage necessary to finance that payment.

Or. it

Justification

The percentage funding needs to be increased so as not to discriminate against young people and women wishing to take up farming.

Amendment 1994

Rareş-Lucian Niculescu

Proposal for a regulation

Article 37 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

In order to finance the payment referred to in Article 36, Member States shall use a percentage of the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II which shall not be higher than **2%**. They shall notify the Commission, by 1 August 2013, of the estimated percentage necessary to finance that payment.

Amendment

In order to finance the payment referred to in Article 36, Member States shall use a percentage of the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II which shall not be higher than **4%**. They shall notify the Commission, by 1 August 2013, of the estimated percentage necessary to finance that payment.

Or. ro

Amendment 1995

Esther de Lange, Marianne Thyssen, Ivo Belet

Proposal for a regulation

Article 37 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

In order to finance the payment referred to in Article 36, Member States shall use a percentage of the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II which shall not be higher than 2 %. They shall notify the Commission, by 1 August 2013, of the estimated percentage necessary to finance that payment.

Amendment

In order to finance the payment referred to in Article 36, Member States shall use a percentage of the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II which shall not be ***lower than 1% and not be*** higher than 2 %. They shall notify the Commission, by 1 August 2013, of the estimated percentage necessary to finance that payment.

Or. en

Amendment 1996

Peter Jahr, Albert Deß, Godelieve Quisthoudt-Rowohl

Proposal for a regulation

Article 37 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

In order to finance the payment referred to in Article 36, Member States ***shall*** use a percentage of the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II which shall not be higher than 2 %. They shall notify the Commission, by 1 August 2013, of the estimated percentage necessary to finance that payment.

Amendment

In order to finance the payment referred to in Article 36, Member States ***may*** use a percentage of the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II which shall not be higher than 2 %. They shall notify the Commission, by 1 August 2013, of the estimated percentage necessary to finance that payment.

Or. de

Amendment 1997

Iratxe García Pérez, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Alejandro Cercas, Ricardo Cortés Lastra

Proposal for a regulation

Article 37 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Member States may, by ***1 August 2016***, ***review*** their estimated percentage ***with effect from 1 January 2017***. They shall

Amendment

Member States may, by ***15 October of each year, as of 2014***, ***reduce*** their estimated percentage, ***on the basis of the***

notify the Commission of the reviewed percentage by **1 August 2016**.

applications made in respect of that year. They shall notify the Commission of the reviewed percentage by **15 October of each year**.

Or. es

Justification

This amendment ensures that annual funding provided under the payment scheme for young farmers is used more effectively, ensuring that the maximum amount laid down is used, thereby avoiding underspends.

Amendment 1998

Esther Herranz García, Gabriel Mato Adrover, Pilar Ayuso, María Auxiliadora Correa Zamora

Proposal for a regulation

Article 37 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Member States may, by **1 August 2016**, review their estimated percentage **with effect from 1 January 2017**. They shall notify the Commission of the reviewed percentage by **1 August 2016**.

Amendment

Member States may, by **15 October of each year, as of 2014**, reduce their estimated percentage, **on the basis of the applications made in respect of that year**. They shall notify the Commission of the reviewed percentage by **15 October of each year**.

Or. es

Justification

If fewer applications are received than were expected when the the required funding for the scheme was agreed, then all of the available funding would not be spent.

Amendment 1999

Radvilė Morkūnaitė-Mikulėnienė

Proposal for a regulation

Article 37 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Member States may, by 1 August **2016**, review their estimated percentage with effect from **1 January 2017**. They shall notify the Commission of the reviewed percentage by 1 August **2016**.

Amendment

Member States may, by 1 August **of each year**, review their estimated percentage with effect from **the subsequent year**. They shall notify the Commission of the reviewed percentage by 1 August **of the year before the revised percentage applies**.

Or. en

Amendment 2000

Giancarlo Scottà, Vincenzo Iovine, Mara Bizzotto, Mario Borghezio, Lorenzo Fontana, Claudio Morganti, Fiorello Provera, Oreste Rossi, Matteo Salvini, Francesco Enrico Speroni, Lara Comi

Proposal for a regulation
Article 37 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Without prejudice to the **maximum of 2 %** set under paragraph 1, where the total amount of the payment applied for in a Member State in a particular year exceeds the ceiling set pursuant to paragraph 4, and where that ceiling is lower than **2 %** of the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II, Member States shall apply a linear reduction to all payments to be granted to all farmers in accordance with Article 25.

Amendment

2. Without prejudice to the **figure of 4 %** set under paragraph 1, where the total amount of the payment applied for in a Member State in a particular year exceeds the ceiling set pursuant to paragraph 4, and where that ceiling is lower than **4 %** of the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II, Member States shall apply a linear reduction to all payments to be granted to all farmers in accordance with Article 25.

Or. it

Amendment 2001

Iratxe García Pérez, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Alejandro Cercas, Ricardo Cortés Lastra

Proposal for a regulation
Article 37 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. **Without prejudice to the maximum of 2**

Amendment

2. **Where** the total amount of the payment

% set under paragraph 1, where the total amount of the payment applied for in a Member State in a particular year exceeds the ceiling set pursuant to paragraph 4, and where that ceiling is lower than 2 % of the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II, Member States shall apply a linear reduction to all payments to be granted to all farmers in accordance with Article 25.

applied for in a Member State in a particular year exceeds the ceiling set pursuant to paragraph 4, and where that ceiling is lower than 2 % of the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II, Member States shall apply a linear reduction to all payments to be granted to all farmers in accordance with Article 25, ***up to the ceiling of 2% set in paragraph 1.***

Or. es

Amendment 2002
Hans-Peter Mayer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 37 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. On the basis of the estimated percentage notified by Member States pursuant to paragraph 1, the Commission shall, ***by means of implementing*** acts, set the corresponding ceiling for the payment referred to in Article 36 on a yearly basis. ***Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 56(2).***

Amendment

4. According to the percentage of the national ceiling to be used by Member States pursuant to paragraph 1, the Commission shall ***be empowered in accordance with Article 55 to adopt delegated*** acts ***to*** set the corresponding ceiling for that payment on a yearly basis.

Or. de

Justification

The setting of the payment ceiling for young farmers is not a purely technical decision.

Amendment 2003
Salvatore Caronna

Proposal for a regulation
Article 37 a (new)

Article 37a

General risk management provisions

1. Member States may cover:

(a) financial contributions, paid directly to farmers or groups of farmers, to premiums for crop, animal and plant insurance against economic losses caused by adverse climatic events and animal or plant diseases or pest infestation;

(b) financial contributions to mutual funds to pay financial compensations to farmers, for economic losses caused by the outbreak of an animal or plant disease, an environmental incident or adverse climatic events, including droughts;

(c) an income stabilisation tool, in the form of financial contributions to mutual funds or insurance, providing compensation to farmers who experience a severe drop in their income.

2. For the purposes of paragraph 1 points (b) and (c), "mutual fund" shall mean a scheme accredited by the Member State, in accordance with its national law, for affiliated farmers to insure themselves, whereby compensation payments are made to affiliated farmers affected by economic losses caused by the outbreak of an animal or plant disease, an environmental incident or adverse climatic events, or experiencing a severe drop in their income.

3. Member States shall ensure that overcompensation as a result of the combination of this aid with other national or Union support instruments or private insurance schemes is avoided. Direct income support received under the European Globalisation Adjustment Fund (hereinafter "EGF") shall also be taken into consideration when estimating the

income levels of farmers.

4. The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 55 concerning the minimum and maximum duration of the commercial loans to mutual funds referred to in Articles 37c(3)(b) and 37d(4).

5. The Commission shall submit to the European Parliament and the Council a mid-term review concerning the implementation of the risk management measure and propose, if necessary, appropriate legislative proposals for improvement.

Or. it

Amendment 2004
Salvatore Caronna

Proposal for a regulation
Article 37 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 37b

Crop, animal, and plant insurance

Article 37b

1. Support under Article 37a(1)(a) shall be granted only for insurance contracts which cover loss caused by an adverse climatic event or by an animal or plant disease or a pest infestation or for a measure adopted in accordance with Directive 2000/29/EC to eradicate or contain a plant disease or pest which results in a reduction of annual production of more than 30 % as compared to the average annual production of the farmer. That average annual production shall be calculated by taking the figures for the preceding three years or for the preceding five years and excluding the highest and lowest figures,

or, in duly justified exceptional circumstances, by taking the figures for a specific year in the preceding five years.

The measurement of the extent of the loss caused may be tailored to the specific characteristics of each type of product using

(a) biological indexes (quantity of biomass loss) or equivalent yield loss indexes established at farm, local, regional or national level, or

(b) weather indexes (quantity of rainfall, temperature, etc.) established at local, regional or national level.

2. The occurrence of an adverse climatic event or the outbreak of an animal or plant disease or pest infestation must be formally recognised as such by the competent authority of the Member State concerned. Member States may, where appropriate, establish in advance criteria on the basis of which such formal recognition shall be deemed to be granted.

3. Insurance payments shall compensate for not more than the total cost of replacing the losses referred to in Article 37a(1)(a) and shall not require or specify the type or quantity of future production. Member States may limit the amount of the premium that is eligible for support by applying appropriate ceilings.

Or. it

Amendment 2005
Salvatore Caronna

Proposal for a regulation
Article 37 c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 37c

Mutual funds for animal and plant diseases, environmental incidents and adverse climatic events

In order to be eligible for support the mutual fund concerned shall:

(a) be accredited by the competent authority in accordance with national law;

(b) have a transparent policy towards payments into and withdrawals from the fund;

(c) have clear rules attributing responsibilities for any debts incurred.

2. Member States shall define the rules for the constitution and management of the mutual funds, in particular for the granting of compensation payments to farmers in the event of crisis and for the administration and monitoring of compliance with these rules. Member States may decide to complement mutual funds by insurance systems.

3. The financial contributions referred to in Article 37a(1)(b) may relate only to:

(a) the administrative costs of setting up the mutual fund, spread over a maximum of three years in a degressive manner;

(b) the amounts paid by farmers for the purpose of setting up the mutual fund. In addition, the financial contribution may relate to interest on commercial loans taken out by the mutual fund for the purpose of paying financial compensation to farmers in case of crisis.

No contribution by public funds shall be made to initial capital stock.

4. As regards animal diseases, financial compensation under Article 37a(1)(b) may be only granted in respect of diseases mentioned in the list of animal diseases established by the World Organisation for Animal Health and/or in the Annex to Decision 90/424/EEC and of bee diseases.

5. Member States may limit the costs that are eligible for support by applying:

- (a) ceilings per fund;**
- (b) appropriate per-unit ceilings.**

Or. it

Amendment 2006
Salvatore Caronna

Proposal for a regulation
Article 37 d (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 37d

Income stabilisation tool

1. Support under Article 37a(1)(c) may be granted only where the drop of income exceeds 30 % of the average annual income of the individual farmer in the preceding three-year period or a three-year average based on the preceding five-year period excluding the highest and lowest entry. Income for the purposes of Article 37a(1)(c) shall refer to the sum of revenues the farmer receives from the market, including any form of public support, deducting input costs. Payments by the mutual fund or insurance to farmers shall compensate for not more than 70 % of the income lost. In order to be eligible for support the mutual fund concerned shall:

- (a) be accredited by the competent authority in accordance with national law;***
- (b) have a transparent policy towards payments into and withdrawals from the fund;***
- (c) have clear rules attributing responsibilities for any debts incurred.***

2. Member States shall define the rules for the constitution and management of the mutual funds, in particular for the granting of compensation payments to farmers in the event of crisis and for the administration and monitoring of compliance with these rules.

3. Support under Article 37a(1)(c) may take the form of a financial contribution towards farmers' payments into the mutual fund. Member States shall lay down rules governing farmers' contributions to the fund.

Or. it

Amendment 2007
Salvatore Caronna

Proposal for a regulation
Article 37 e (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 37e

Financial provisions

1. In order to finance the payment referred to in Article 37a, Member States may decide, by 1 August 2013, to use up to 7 % of their annual national ceiling set out in Annex II.

The decision referred to in the first subparagraph shall be notified to the Commission by the date referred to in that subparagraph.

Member States may, by 1 August 2015 and 1 August 2017, review their decisions with effect from the subsequent year. They shall notify the revised percentage by 1 August of the year prior that in which the revised percentage is to apply.

2. According to the percentage of the national ceiling to be used by Member

States pursuant to paragraph 1, the Commission shall, by means of implementing acts, fix the corresponding ceiling for that payment on a yearly basis. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 56(2).

Or. it

Amendment 2008

Ulrike Rodust, Brian Simpson, Christel Schaldemose, Åsa Westlund

Proposal for a regulation

Article 38

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

[...]

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2009

Robert Sturdy, Julie Girling, Anthea McIntyre

Proposal for a regulation

Article 38 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Member States may grant coupled support to farmers under the conditions laid down in this Chapter.

Member States may grant coupled support to farmers under the conditions laid down in this Chapter. *Coupled support may only be granted to:*

Or. en

Amendment 2010

Britta Reimers

Proposal for a regulation

Article 38 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Paragraph 1 shall not apply in respect of cooperatives or other legal entities whose members farm a holding jointly, or which group a number of beneficiaries of direct payments, and which receive and channel the payments before distributing them in full to their members, who, as individuals, are subject to paragraph 1.

Or. de

Justification

In the application of the capping, a situation must be avoided whereby cooperatives and similar entities are subject to reductions. With a view to further improving the competitive position of agriculture, cooperative forms of farming are becoming increasingly important.

**Amendment 2011
Herbert Dorfmann**

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 38 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 a (new)**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Coupled support may be granted in respect of the products referred to in Annex I to the Treaty (TEU and TFEU), with the exception of fisheries products.

Or. it

**Amendment 2012
Wojciech Michał Olejniczak**

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 38 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

***Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions:
cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice.*** ***deleted***

Or. pl

Justification

This Article, together with other provisions of this of this Regulation, establishes sufficiently clear rules on defining crops eligible to receive coupled support. Furthermore, maintaining a closed list is not in keeping with the current direction of Common Agricultural Policy reform and undermines the goals of the Common Agricultural Market Organisation.

**Amendment 2013
Janusz Wojciechowski**

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 38 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

***Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions:
cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice.*** ***deleted***

Or. pl

Amendment 2014
Herbert Dorfmann

Proposal for a regulation
Article 38 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice.

deleted

Or. it

Amendment 2015
Julie Girling

Proposal for a regulation
Article 38 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2016
Phil Prendergast

Proposal for a regulation
Article 38 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2017
Jean-Paul Gauzès

Proposal for a regulation
Article 38 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice.

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 2018
Spyros Danellis, Theodoros Skylakakis

Proposal for a regulation
Article 38 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2019

Paolo Bartolozzi

Proposal for a regulation

Article 38 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2020

Jim Higgins, Seán Kelly

Proposal for a regulation

Article 38 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Coupled support may be granted to the

Member States shall establish appropriate

*following sectors and productions:
cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain
legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch
potato, milk and milk products, seeds,
sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal,
olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops,
sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and
vegetables and short rotation coppice.*

*criteria for the granting of coupled
support.*

Or. en

Justification

Member States should be granted as high of degree of flexibility in the application of coupled support.

Amendment 2021

Iratxe García Pérez, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Alejandro Cercas, Ricardo Cortés Lastra

Proposal for a regulation

Article 38 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Coupled support may be granted to *the following sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables* and short rotation coppice.

Amendment

Coupled support may be granted to *any sector of agricultural activity* and short rotation coppice.

Or. es

Amendment 2022

Katarína Neved'alová

Proposal for a regulation

Article 38 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Coupled support may be granted to the *following* sectors *and productions*: *cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice.*

Amendment

Coupled support may be granted to the *plant and animal production* sectors.

Or. sk

Amendment 2023

Georgios Papastamkos, Esther Herranz García, Sergio Paolo Francesco Silvestris, Pilar Ayuso, María Auxiliadora Correa Zamora, Czesław Adam Siekierski, Mariya Gabriel, Michel Dantin, Giovanni La Via, Mairead McGuinness, Jarosław Kalinowski, Elżbieta Katarzyna Łukacijewska, Artur Zasada, Krzysztof Lisek, Arkadiusz Tomasz Bratkowski, Gabriel Mato Adrover, Agnès Le Brun

Proposal for a regulation

Article 38 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Coupled support may be granted to the *following* sectors and productions: *cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice.*

Amendment

Coupled support may be granted to the sectors and productions *to be determined by each Member State among those listed in Annex I of the Treaty* ;

Or. en

Amendment 2024

Paolo De Castro

Proposal for a regulation

Article 38 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Coupled support may be granted to the **following sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice.**

Coupled support may be granted to the productions **referred to in Annex I to the Treaty (TEU and TFEU), with the exception of fisheries products.**

Or. it

Amendment 2025

Giancarlo Scottà, Carlo Fidanza, Vincenzo Iovine, Mara Bizzotto, Mario Borghezio, Lorenzo Fontana, Claudio Morganti, Fiorello Provera, Oreste Rossi, Matteo Salvini, Francesco Enrico Speroni, Lara Comi

Proposal for a regulation

Article 38 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Coupled support may be granted to the **following sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice.**

Coupled support may be granted to the sectors and productions **referred to in Annex I to the Treaty, with the exception of fisheries products.**

Or. it

Justification

Removing the detailed list of sectors in which coupled support may be provided will afford Member States a greater degree of flexibility and simplify implementation. The rules stipulate that aid is intended for sectors that are economically vulnerable, and that precondition establishes the scope of such support.

Amendment 2026
Rareş-Lucian Niculescu

Proposal for a regulation
Article 38 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice.

Amendment

Coupled support may be granted to the ***sectors designated by each Member State from those listed in Annex I to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union.***

Or. ro

Amendment 2027
Dominique Vlasto

Proposal for a regulation
Article 38 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Coupled support may be granted to the ***following*** sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice.

Amendment

Coupled support may be granted ***by Member States*** to the sectors and productions ***listed in Annex I to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, which include:*** cereals, ***including durum wheat,*** oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice.

Or. fr

Amendment 2028
Alfreds Rubiks

Proposal for a regulation
Article 38 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Coupled support may be granted to *the following* sectors *and productions*: *cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice.*

Amendment

Coupled support may be granted to *all* sectors *of agriculture or to regions of a Member State where specific types of farming or specific agricultural sectors are facing certain difficulties and are particularly important for economic and/or social and/or environmental reasons.*

Or. lv

Amendment 2029
George Lyon, Britta Reimers, Phil Bennion

Proposal for a regulation
Article 38 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions: *cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice.*

Amendment

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions: *rice, milk and milk products, sheepmeat and goatmeat and beef and veal.*

Or. en

Justification

Coupled support should be available for the same products as currently established. The purpose of using coupled support should be limited, typically, to helping extensive livestock

and dairy production in areas at risk of abandonment, where production alternatives are very limited, notably to compensate for the redistribution effect of the shift to the regional payments model negatively affecting these types of production.

Amendment 2030

Martin Häusling

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation

Article 38 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions: **cereals, oilseeds**, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, **starch potato**, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, **sugar beet, cane** and **chicory**, fruit and vegetables **and short rotation coppice**.

Amendment

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions: **leguminous** protein crops, grain legumes, flax, **flax seeds** hemp, rice, nuts, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, olive oil, silk worms, **vine**, dried fodder, hops, **chicory**, and fruit and vegetables.

Or. en

Amendment 2031

Anneli Jäätteenmäki, Sari Essayah, Nils Torvalds, Riikka Manner, Petri Sarvamaa, Liisa Jaakonsaari, Eija-Riitta Korhola, Hannu Takkula

Proposal for a regulation

Article 38 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice.

Amendment

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, **ware potato, processing potato**, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, **pigmeat and poultrymeat, eggs**, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice.

Amendment 2032

Agustín Díaz de Mera García Consuegra

Proposal for a regulation

Article 38 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice.

Amendment

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, **fodder legumes**, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and **sheep's milk**, goatmeat **and goats' milk**, beef and veal, olive oil **and table olives**, **beekeeping**, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables, **including table potatoes, cotton, tobacco** and short rotation coppice.

Or. es

Justification

The list of sectors should be expanded and, where appropriate, Member States should be free to add sectors experiencing difficulties or sectors of particular socio-economic or environmental importance from a domestic perspective.

Amendment 2033

Ramon Tremosa i Balcells

Proposal for a regulation

Article 38 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and

Amendment

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and

goatmeat, beef and veal, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, **sugar beet, cane and chicory**, fruit and vegetables **and** short rotation coppice.

goatmeat, beef and veal, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, fruit and vegetables, short rotation coppice, **vine cultivation (for both wine and table grapes), beekeeping and poultry, rabbit and pig farming**.

Or. es

Amendment 2034

Hynek Fajmon

Proposal for a regulation

Article 38 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice.

Amendment

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, **fodder legumes, soya**, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat, **pork meat** and goatmeat, beef and veal, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice.

Or. en

Amendment 2035

Jarosław Kalinowski, Czesław Adam Siekierski, Artur Zasada, Elżbieta Katarzyna Łukacijewska, Arkadiusz Tomasz Bratkowski, Janusz Wojciechowski

Proposal for a regulation

Article 38 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and

Amendment

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, **fodder legumes, soya**, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products,

goatmeat, beef and veal, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice.

seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, **pigs, tobacco**, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice.

Or. en

Amendment 2036
Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation
Article 38 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice.

Amendment

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, olive oil **and table olives**, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables, **cotton, tobacco** and short rotation coppice, **including eucalyptus and insignis pine**.

Or. es

Amendment 2037
Willy Meyer, Patrick Le Hyaric

Proposal for a regulation
Article 38 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, olive oil, silk

Amendment

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, olive oil **and table**

worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice.

olives, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables, **cotton, tobacco** and short rotation coppice.

Or. es

Amendment 2038
Csaba Sándor Tabajdi

Proposal for a regulation
Article 38 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice.

Amendment

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, **tobacco**, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, **poultry, swine**, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice.

Or. en

Amendment 2039
Béla Glattfelder

Proposal for a regulation
Article 38 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice.

Amendment

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, **pigmeat, poultry and egg**, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation

coppice.

Or. en

Amendment 2040

Eric Andrieu

Proposal for a regulation

Article 38 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice.

Amendment

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, **durum wheat**, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, **horsemeat**, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice.

Or. fr

Amendment 2041

José Bové

Proposal for a regulation

Article 38 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice.

Amendment

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, **wine**, hops, **tobacco**, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice.

Or. fr

Amendment 2042
Radvilė Morkūnaitė-Mikulėnienė

Proposal for a regulation
Article 38 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice.

Amendment

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, ***other branches of animal husbandry sector (e. g., pigmeat)***, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice.

Or. en

Amendment 2043
Patrick Le Hyaric, Willy Meyer, Kyriacos Triantaphyllides, João Ferreira

Proposal for a regulation
Article 38 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice.

Amendment

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain ***and feed*** legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice.

Or. fr

Amendment 2044
Julie Girling, Richard Ashworth

Proposal for a regulation
Article 38 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, **flax**, **hemp**, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, **olive oil**, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice.

Amendment

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice.

Or. en

Amendment 2045
Janusz Wojciechowski

Proposal for a regulation
Article 38 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice.

Amendment

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, **tobacco**, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice.

Or. pl

Amendment 2046
Sandra Kalniete, Roberts Zīle, Krišjānis Kariņš, Ivars Godmanis, Radvilē Morkūnaitē-Mikulēnienē, Vytautas Landsbergis, Inese Vaidere

Proposal for a regulation
Article 38 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice.

Amendment

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, **pigmeat**, beef and veal, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice.

Or. en

Amendment 2047
Robert Dušek

Proposal for a regulation
Article 38 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice.

Amendment

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, **pork**, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice.

Or. en

Amendment 2048
Hynek Fajmon

Proposal for a regulation
Article 38 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice.

Amendment

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, **pork**, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice.

Or. en

Amendment 2049
Juozas Imbrasas

Proposal for a regulation
Article 38 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice.

Amendment

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, **pigmeat**, beef and veal, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice.

Or. en

Amendment 2050
Alyn Smith

Proposal for a regulation
Article 38 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Coupled support may be granted to the

Amendment

Coupled support may be granted to the

following sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice.

following sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, **farmed venison**, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice.

Or. en

Amendment 2051

Vasilica Viorica Dăncilă, Daciana Octavia Sârbu

Proposal for a regulation

Article 38 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice.

Amendment

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice, ***apiculture products and medicinal and aromatic plants***.

Or. ro

Amendment 2052

Marian-Jean Marinescu

Proposal for a regulation

Article 38 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and

Amendment

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and

milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice.

milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, ***apiculture products, medicinal and aromatic plants***, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice.

Or. fr

Amendment 2053

Marc Tarabella

Proposal for a regulation

Article 38 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice.

Amendment

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and productions: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, olive oil, silk worms, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables and short rotation coppice ***and productions intended for use under official quality schemes, such as organic farming.***

Or. fr

Justification

Coupling of aid is essential for economic and territorial reasons in order to safeguard production levels in the sectors concerned.

Amendment 2054

João Ferreira, Patrick Le Hyaric, Inês Cristina Zuber

Proposal for a regulation

Article 38 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and *productions*: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, olive oil, *silk worms*, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, fruit and vegetables **and short rotation coppice**.

Amendment

Coupled support may be granted to the following sectors and *products*: cereals, oilseeds, protein crops, grain legumes, flax, hemp, rice, nuts, starch potato, milk and milk products, seeds, sheepmeat and goatmeat, beef and veal, olive oil, *silkworms*, dried fodder, hops, sugar beet, cane and chicory, **and** fruit and vegetables.

Or. pt

Amendment 2055

Michel Dantin

Proposal for a regulation

Article 38 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Appropriations earmarked for coupled payments shall be allocated as a matter of priority to productions in respect of which coupled payments were made during the period 2010-2013 under Articles 68, 101 and 111 of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009.

Or. fr

Amendment 2056

Michel Dantin

Proposal for a regulation

Article 38 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Coupled support may also be granted to productions covered by EU quality schemes or quality schemes recognised by the Member States.

Amendment 2057
Salvatore Caronna

Proposal for a regulation
Article 38 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Coupled support may only be granted to sectors *or to regions of a Member State where specific types of farming or specific agricultural sectors undergo certain difficulties and are particularly important for economic and/or social and/or environmental reasons.*

Amendment

2. Coupled support may only be granted to sectors *and products referred to in Annex I to the Treaty.*

Amendment 2058
Christofer Fjellner

Proposal for a regulation
Article 38 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Coupled support may only be granted to sectors *or to regions of a Member State where specific types of farming or specific agricultural sectors* undergo certain difficulties *and are particularly important for economic and/or social and/or environmental reasons.*

Amendment

2. Coupled support may only be granted to sectors *which* undergo certain difficulties or *environmentally sensitive areas, or in the same sectors for economically vulnerable types of farming.*

Amendment 2059
Iratxe García Pérez, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Alejandro Cercas, Ricardo Cortés Lastra

Proposal for a regulation
Article 38 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Coupled support may **only** be granted to sectors or to regions of a Member State **where specific types of** farming or specific agricultural sectors **undergo** certain difficulties and are particularly important for economic and/or social and/or **environmental** reasons.

Amendment

2. Coupled support may be granted to sectors or to regions of a Member State **in** farming or specific agricultural sectors **which are:**

(a) experiencing certain difficulties and are particularly important for economic and/or social reasons **or owing to their insularity and/or;**

(b) particularly important in terms of protection or improvement of the environment, climate protection and/or biodiversity.

Aid will also be provided with the aim of promoting high-quality produce, improving competitiveness and encouraging the concentration or commercial organisation of production in the sector concerned.

Or. es

Amendment 2060

Salvador Sedó i Alabart, Ramon Tremosa i Balcells, Raimon Obiols, Maria Badia i Cutchet, Santiago Fisas Ayxela

Proposal for a regulation
Article 38 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Coupled support may only be granted to sectors or to regions of a Member State where specific types of farming or specific agricultural sectors undergo certain difficulties and are particularly important for economic and/or social and/or

Amendment

2. Coupled support may only be granted to sectors or to regions of a Member State where specific types of farming or specific agricultural sectors undergo certain difficulties and are particularly important for economic and/or social and/or

environmental reasons.

environmental reasons.

In accordance with the provisions of Article 22(7) and the previous paragraph, and with a view to meeting the objective and non-discriminatory criteria enshrined in Article 20, special aid, in the form of the coupled support referred to in this chapter, will be provided to small-scale cattle, sheep or goat farms in Member States or regions.

Or. es

Justification

En el caso de los sectores de vacuno y de ovino-caprino, consideramos que la propuesta de la nueva PAC es básicamente agrícola y que no ha tenido en cuenta los sectores ganaderos que hasta el 2013 han recibido ayudas. No se ha dado un trato proporcional y equitativo a estos sectores y por tanto, es necesario buscar alguna alternativa que no los excluya. Se propone el encaje de estos sectores dentro de la nueva PAC a través de las ayudas asociadas, garantizando un incremento del porcentaje destinado a estas ayudas asociadas. Pero es necesario hacer referencia a esta situación en el articulado del Reglamento de Pagos directos, con el objetivo de que se facilite su consideración en la aplicación de las ayudas asociadas.

Amendment 2061

Martin Häusling

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation

Article 38 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Coupled support may only be granted to sectors or to regions of a Member State where specific types of farming or specific agricultural sectors undergo certain difficulties and are particularly important for economic and/or social and/or environmental reasons.

Amendment

2. Coupled support may only be granted to sectors or to regions of a Member State where specific types of farming or specific agricultural sectors undergo certain difficulties and are particularly important for economic and/or social and/or environmental reasons. ***It shall therefore be subject to a specific impact assessment, carried out by respective Member States and notified to the Commission.***

Amendment 2062
Wojciech Michał Olejniczak

Proposal for a regulation
Article 38 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Coupled support may only be granted to sectors or to regions of a Member State where specific types of farming or specific agricultural sectors undergo certain difficulties and are particularly important for economic and/or social and/or environmental reasons.

Amendment

2. Coupled support may only be granted to sectors or to regions of a Member State where specific types of farming or specific agricultural sectors undergo certain difficulties and are particularly important for economic and/or social and/or environmental reasons, ***and especially where they contribute to maintaining or increasing the employment rate.***

Or. pl

Amendment 2063
Csaba Sándor Tabajdi

Proposal for a regulation
Article 38 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Coupled support may only be granted to sectors or to regions of a Member State where specific types of farming or specific agricultural sectors undergo certain difficulties and are particularly important for economic and/or social and/or environmental reasons.

Amendment

2. Coupled support may only be granted to sectors or to regions of a Member State where specific types of farming or specific agricultural sectors undergo certain difficulties and are particularly important for economic and/or social and/or environmental reasons. ***Farmers receiving coupled support shall be exempted from the progressive reduction and capping of the payment provided in Chapter 1 of Title III.***

Or. en

Amendment 2064
Struan Stevenson

Proposal for a regulation
Article 38 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Coupled support may only be granted to sectors or to regions of a Member State where specific types of farming or specific agricultural sectors undergo certain difficulties and are particularly important for economic *and/or* social *and/or* environmental reasons.

Amendment

2. Coupled support may only be granted to sectors or to regions of a Member State where specific types of farming or specific agricultural sectors undergo certain difficulties and are particularly important for economic, social *and* environmental reasons, *including climate protection, biodiversity and enhancement of the landscape.*

Or. en

Amendment 2065
Sergio Paolo Francesco Silvestris, Carlo Fidanza, Giancarlo Scottà, Georgios Papastamkos

Proposal for a regulation
Article 38 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Coupled support may only be granted to sectors or to regions of a Member State where specific types of farming or specific agricultural sectors undergo certain difficulties and are particularly important for economic and/or social and/or environmental reasons.

Amendment

2. Coupled support may only be granted to sectors or to regions of a Member State where specific types of farming or specific agricultural sectors undergo certain difficulties and are particularly important for economic and/or social and/or environmental reasons. *Member States shall pay particular attention to those sectors vulnerable due to the suppression of past EU coupled or specific payments.*

Or. en

Amendment 2066
Katarína Neved'alová

Proposal for a regulation
Article 38 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Coupled support may only be granted to sectors or to regions of a Member State where specific types of farming or specific agricultural sectors undergo certain difficulties and are particularly important for economic and/or social and/or environmental reasons.

Amendment

2. Coupled support may only be granted to sectors or to regions of a Member State where specific types of farming or specific agricultural sectors undergo certain difficulties and are particularly important for economic and/or social and/or environmental reasons – ***provided that the welfare of livestock is concerned.***

Or. sk

Amendment 2067
Robert Sturdy, Julie Girling, Anthea McIntyre

Proposal for a regulation
Article 38 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. ***Coupled support may only be granted to*** sectors or to regions of a Member State where specific types of farming or specific agricultural sectors undergo certain difficulties and are particularly important for economic and/or social and/or environmental reasons.

Amendment

2. sectors or to regions of a Member State where specific types of farming or specific agricultural sectors undergo certain difficulties and are particularly important for economic and/or social and/or environmental reasons.

Or. en

Amendment 2068
Salvador Sedó i Alabart, Ramon Tremosa i Balcells, Raimon Obiols, Maria Badia i Cutchet, Santiago Fisas Ayxela

Proposal for a regulation
Article 38 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. Coupled support may be regionalised within a Member State regardless of whether it chooses to apply the basic payment on a region-by-region basis.

Or. es

Justification

This is to specify that coupled aid may be regionalised regardless of whether it chooses to apply the basic payment on a region-by-region basis. In this way, Catalonia may take decisions on coupled aid and distribute it in a manner appropriate to real conditions in its agriculture.

Amendment 2069

Martin Häusling

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation

Article 38 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. By way of derogation from paragraph 2, coupled support may also be granted to farmers who held, on 31 December 2013, payment entitlements granted in accordance with Section 2 of Chapter 3 of Title III and Article 71m of Regulation (EC) No 1782/2003 and in accordance with Article 60 and the fourth subparagraph of Article 65 of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009; and who are without eligible hectares for the activation of payment entitlements under the basic payment scheme as referred to in Chapter 1 of Title III of this Regulation.

3. By way of derogation from paragraph 2, coupled support may also be granted to farmers who held, on 31 December 2013, payment entitlements granted in accordance with Section 2 of Chapter 3 of Title III and Article 71m of Regulation (EC) No 1782/2003 and in accordance with Article 60 and the fourth subparagraph of Article 65 of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009; and who are without eligible hectares for the activation of payment entitlements under the basic payment scheme as referred to in Chapter 1 of Title III of this Regulation. ***Coupled payments for holdings with animal husbandry and insufficient land to absorb liquid manure and other unsustainable practices are not eligible***

Amendment 2070

Iratxe García Pérez, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Alejandro Cercas, Ricardo Cortés Lastra

Proposal for a regulation

Article 38 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

In accordance with the provisions of the preceding paragraph, farmers in the livestock, milk and dairy products, beef and sheep- and goatmeat sectors, who do not own the land which they farm, shall have access to a system of payments based on special entitlements.

Or. es

Amendment 2071

Carlo Fidanza, Giancarlo Scottà

Proposal for a regulation

Article 38 – paragraph 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3a. Members States may grant coupled support to farmers who in the period 2008-2010 held special entitlements in accordance with Articles 60 and 65 of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009 independently of the basic payment referred to in Title III, Chapter 1.

Or. it

Amendment 2072

Esther Herranz García, Pilar Ayuso, Gabriel Mato Adrover, María Auxiliadora Correa Zamora

Proposal for a regulation
Article 38 – paragraph 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3a. Member States may grant coupled support to farmers with special entitlements in 2010 in accordance with Articles 60 and 65 of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009 independently of the basic payment referred to in Title III, Chapter 1.

Or. es

Justification

The purpose of this amendment is to alleviate both the problem of overly large entitlements and the difficulties concerning availability of hectarage under the new payment model.

Amendment 2073

Esther Herranz García, Pilar Ayuso, Gabriel Mato Adrover, María Auxiliadora Correa Zamora

Proposal for a regulation
Article 38 – paragraph 3 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3b. Member States may grant coupled support to livestock farmers who do not own most of the land they farm.

Or. es

Justification

The purpose of this amendment is to alleviate both the problem of overly large entitlements and the difficulties concerning availability of hectarage under the new payment model.

Amendment 2074

Martin Häusling
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Article 38 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Coupled support may only be granted to the extent necessary to create an incentive to maintain current levels of production in the regions concerned.

Amendment

4. Coupled support may only be granted to the extent necessary to create an incentive to maintain current levels of production, ***sustainable farming practice and environmental management and farm employment*** in the regions concerned, ***based on conditions set in paragraph 2.***

Or. en

Amendment 2075
Michel Dantin

Proposal for a regulation
Article 38 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Coupled support may only be granted to the extent necessary to create an incentive to maintain current levels of production in the regions concerned.

Amendment

4. Coupled support may only be granted to the extent necessary to create an incentive to maintain current levels of production in the regions concerned ***or to boost certain types of production. Environmental coupled support may be granted up to a limit to be fixed by the Member States in the light of given environmental objectives or issues, subject to approval by the Commission.***

Or. fr

Amendment 2076
Luis Paulo Alves

Proposal for a regulation
Article 38 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Coupled support may only be granted to the extent necessary to create an incentive to maintain current levels of production in the regions concerned.

Amendment

4. Coupled support may only be granted to the extent necessary to create an incentive to maintain current levels of **employment and/or** production in the regions concerned **and in particular in areas with natural constraints, such as island regions and the outermost regions.**

Or. pt

Amendment 2077

Marc Tarabella

Proposal for a regulation

Article 38 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Coupled support may only be granted to the extent necessary to create an incentive to maintain current levels of production in the regions concerned.

Amendment

4. Coupled support may only be granted to the extent necessary to create an incentive to maintain current levels of production in the regions concerned, **in particular in areas with natural constraints, such as the outermost regions, and to production sectors which create jobs.**

Or. fr

Justification

Coupling of aid is essential for economic and territorial reasons in order to safeguard production levels in the areas concerned.

Amendment 2078

Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation

Article 38 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Coupled support may only be granted to the extent necessary to create an incentive to maintain current levels of production in the regions concerned.

Amendment

4. Coupled support may only be granted to the extent necessary to create an incentive to maintain current levels of production in the regions concerned ***and to maintain and/or increase production and employment in the sector.***

Or. es

Amendment 2079

Patrick Le Hyaric, Willy Meyer, João Ferreira, Kyriacos Triantaphyllides

Proposal for a regulation

Article 38 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Coupled support may only be granted to the extent necessary to create an incentive to maintain current levels of production in the regions concerned.

Amendment

4. Coupled support may only be granted to the extent necessary to create an incentive to maintain current levels of production ***and agricultural employment*** in the regions concerned.

Or. fr

Amendment 2080

Luís Paulo Alves

Proposal for a regulation

Article 38 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4a. Where Article 20 applies, Member States may lay down different forms of financing for regions defined within the meaning of that Article.

Or. pt

Amendment 2081

Marc Tarabella

Proposal for a regulation

Article 38 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4a. When applying Article 20, Member States may allocate different amounts of funding to the regional areas defined on the basis of that article.

Or. fr

Justification

The amendment makes provision for regional application in accordance with Article 20.

Amendment 2082

Iratxe García Pérez, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Alejandro Cercas, Ricardo Cortés Lastra

Proposal for a regulation

Article 38 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5. Coupled support shall take the form of an annual payment and shall be granted within ***defined*** quantitative limits and based on ***fixed areas and yields or on a fixed number of animals***.

5. Coupled support shall take the form of an annual payment and shall be granted within quantitative limits and based on ***objective criteria***.

Or. es

Justification

Enough flexibility should be granted to identify the criteria most appropriate to the specific characteristics of each region and system of production.

Amendment 2083

Esther Herranz García, Gabriel Mato Adrover, Pilar Ayuso, María Auxiliadora Correa Zamora

Proposal for a regulation
Article 38 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. Coupled support shall take the form of an annual payment and shall be granted within *defined* quantitative limits *and based on fixed areas and yields or on a fixed number of animals*.

Amendment

5. Coupled support shall take the form of an annual payment and shall be granted within quantitative limits.

Or. es

Justification

This amendment proposes a wording that ensures that coupled payments are in accordance with the WTO blue box. Since the amber box provides for sufficient leeway with regard to current and future commitments, the Commission must, as it always has done, leave the Member States free to grant aid in whatever form they wish, within the limits set.

Amendment 2084
Peter Jahr, Albert Deß, Godelieve Quisthoudt-Rowohl

Proposal for a regulation
Article 38 – paragraph 7 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

7a. Coupled support shall be progressively reduced. It shall amount in 2019 to no more than 50% of the payment made in 2014.

Or. de

Amendment 2085
Ulrike Rodust, Brian Simpson, Christel Schaldemose, Åsa Westlund

Proposal for a regulation
Article 39

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

[...]

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2086

Alyn Smith

Proposal for a regulation

Article 39 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. In order to finance the voluntary coupled support, Member States may decide, by 1 August of the year preceding the first year of implementation of such support, to use up to **5** % of their annual national ceiling set out in Annex II.

1. In order to finance the voluntary coupled support, Member States may decide, by 1 August of the year preceding the first year of implementation of such support, to use up to **15** % of their annual national ceiling set out in Annex II.

Or. en

Amendment 2087

Michel Dantin, Agnès Le Brun, Jim Higgins

Proposal for a regulation

Article 39 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. In order to finance the voluntary coupled support, Member States may decide, by 1 August of the year preceding the first year of implementation of such support, to use up to **5** % of their annual national ceiling set out in Annex II.

1. In order to finance the voluntary coupled support, Member States may decide, by 1 August of the year preceding the first year of implementation of such support, to use up to **15** % of their annual national ceiling set out in Annex II.

Or. fr

Amendment 2088
Hynek Fajmon

Proposal for a regulation
Article 39 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. In order to finance the voluntary coupled support, Member States may decide, by 1 August of the year preceding the first year of implementation of such support, to use up to **5 %** of their annual national ceiling set out in Annex II.

Amendment

1. In order to finance the voluntary coupled support, Member States may decide, by 1 August of the year preceding the first year of implementation of such support, to use up to **15 %** of their annual national ceiling set out in Annex II.

Or. en

Amendment 2089
Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation
Article 39 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. In order to finance the voluntary coupled support, Member States may decide, by 1 August of the year preceding the first year of implementation of such support, to use up to **5 %** of their annual national ceiling set out in Annex II.

Amendment

1. In order to finance the voluntary coupled support, Member States may decide, by 1 August of the year preceding the first year of implementation of such support, to use up to **15%** of their annual national ceiling set out in Annex II.

Or. es

Amendment 2090
Marian Harkin

Proposal for a regulation
Article 39 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. In order to finance the voluntary coupled support, Member States may decide, by 1

Amendment

1. In order to finance the voluntary coupled support, Member States may decide, by 1

August of the year preceding the first year of implementation of such support, to use up to **5** % of their annual national ceiling set out in Annex II.

August of the year preceding the first year of implementation of such support, to use up to **15** % of their annual national ceiling set out in Annex II.

Or. en

Amendment 2091

Patrick Le Hyaric, Willy Meyer, João Ferreira, Kyriacos Triantaphyllides

Proposal for a regulation

Article 39 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. In order to finance the voluntary coupled support, Member States may decide, by 1 August of the year preceding the first year of implementation of such support, to use **up to 5** % of their annual national ceiling set out in Annex II.

Amendment

1. In order to finance the voluntary coupled support, Member States may decide, by 1 August of the year preceding the first year of implementation of such support, to use **the requisite share** of their annual national ceiling set out in Annex II.

Or. fr

Amendment 2092

James Nicholson

Proposal for a regulation

Article 39 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. In order to finance the voluntary coupled support, Member States may decide, by 1 August of the year preceding the first year of implementation of such support, to use up to **5** % of their annual national ceiling set out in Annex II.

Amendment

1. In order to finance the voluntary coupled support, Member States may decide, by 1 August of the year preceding the first year of implementation of such support, to use up to **10** % of their annual national ceiling set out in Annex II.

Or. en

Justification

The percentage for coupling should be the same across the EU and should be no more than 10%

Amendment 2093
Christofer Fjellner

Proposal for a regulation
Article 39 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. In order to finance the voluntary coupled support, Member States may decide, by 1 August of the year preceding the first year of implementation of such support, to use up to 5 % of their annual national ceiling set out in Annex II.

Amendment

1. In order to finance the voluntary coupled support, Member States may decide, by 1 August of the year preceding the first year of implementation of such support, to use up to **3.5 %** of their annual national ceiling set out in Annex II.

Or. en

Amendment 2094
James Nicholson, Kay Swinburne

Proposal for a regulation
Article 39 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. In order to finance the voluntary coupled support, Member States may decide, by 1 August of the year preceding the first year of implementation of such support, to use up to 5 % of their annual national ceiling set out in Annex II.

Amendment

1. In order to finance the voluntary coupled support, Member States may decide, by 1 August of the year preceding the first year of implementation of such support, to use up to 5 % of their annual national ***or regional*** ceiling set out in Annex II.

Or. en

Amendment 2095
Diane Dodds

Proposal for a regulation
Article 39 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. In order to finance the voluntary coupled support, Member States may decide, by 1 August of the year preceding the first year of implementation of such support, to use up to 5 % of their annual national ceiling set out in Annex II.

Amendment

1. In order to finance the voluntary coupled support, Member States may decide, by 1 August of the year preceding the first year of implementation of such support, to use up to 5 % of their annual national **or regional** ceiling set out in Annex II.

Or. en

Amendment 2096
Michel Dantin, Agnès Le Brun

Proposal for a regulation
Article 39 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1a. The percentage of the national ceiling referred to in paragraph 1 shall be increased by three percentage points for those Member States which decide to use at least 3 % of their national ceiling as defined in Annex II in order to support the production of protein crops under this Chapter.

Or. fr

Amendment 2097
Alyn Smith

Proposal for a regulation
Article 39 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, Member States may decide to use up to 10 % of the annual national ceiling set

deleted

out in Annex II provided that:

(a) they applied, until 31 December 2013, the single area payment scheme as laid down in Title V of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, or financed measures under Article 111 of that Regulation, or are concerned by the derogation provided for in Article 69(5), or, in the case of Malta, in Article 69(1) of that Regulation; and/or

(b) they allocated, during at least one year in the period 2010-2013, more than 5 % of their amount available for granting the direct payments provided for in Titles III, IV and V of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, with the exception of Section 6 of Chapter 1 of Title IV, for financing the measures laid down in Section 2 of Chapter 2 of Title III of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, the support provided for in points (i) to (iv) of paragraph 1(a) and paragraphs 1(b) and (e) of Article 68 of that Regulation, or the measures under Chapter 1, with the exception of Section 6, of Title IV of that Regulation.

Or. en

Amendment 2098

Martin Häusling

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation

Article 39 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, Member States may decide to use up to 10 % of the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II provided that:

deleted

(a) they applied, until 31 December 2013, the single area payment scheme as laid down in Title V of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, or financed measures under Article 111 of that Regulation, or are

concerned by the derogation provided for in Article 69(5), or, in the case of Malta, in Article 69(1) of that Regulation; and/or

(b) they allocated, during at least one year in the period 2010-2013, more than 5 % of their amount available for granting the direct payments provided for in Titles III, IV and V of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, with the exception of Section 6 of Chapter 1 of Title IV, for financing the measures laid down in Section 2 of Chapter 2 of Title III of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, the support provided for in points (i) to (iv) of paragraph 1(a) and paragraphs 1(b) and (e) of Article 68 of that Regulation, or the measures under Chapter 1, with the exception of Section 6, of Title IV of that Regulation.

Or. en

Amendment 2099

James Nicholson, Richard Ashworth, Julie Girling

Proposal for a regulation

Article 39 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, Member States may decide to use up to 10 % of the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II provided that:

deleted

(a) they applied, until 31 December 2013, the single area payment scheme as laid down in Title V of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, or financed measures under Article 111 of that Regulation, or are concerned by the derogation provided for in Article 69(5), or, in the case of Malta, in Article 69(1) of that Regulation; and/or

(b) they allocated, during at least one year in the period 2010-2013, more than 5 % of their amount available for granting the direct payments provided for in Titles III,

IV and V of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, with the exception of Section 6 of Chapter 1 of Title IV, for financing the measures laid down in Section 2 of Chapter 2 of Title III of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, the support provided for in points (i) to (iv) of paragraph 1(a) and paragraphs 1(b) and (e) of Article 68 of that Regulation, or the measures under Chapter 1, with the exception of Section 6, of Title IV of that Regulation.

Or. en

Justification

Coupled support should only be used in exceptional circumstances as it significantly distorts competition between farmers in different Member States. There is no justification for Member States who have previously used this measure to be permitted to go further, leading to more distortions within the single market.

Amendment 2100

Patrick Le Hyaric, Willy Meyer, João Ferreira, Kyriacos Triantaphyllides

Proposal for a regulation

Article 39 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, Member States may decide to use up to 10 % of the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II provided that: ***deleted***

a) they applied, until 31 December 2013, the single area payment scheme as laid down in Title V of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, or financed measures under Article 111 of that Regulation, or are concerned by the derogation provided for in Article 69(5), or, in the case of Malta, in Article 69(1) of that Regulation; and/or

b) they allocated, during at least one year in the period 2010-2013, more than 5 % of their amount available for granting the

direct payments provided for in Titles III, IV and V of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, with the exception of Section 6 of Chapter 1 of Title IV, for financing the measures laid down in Section 2 of Chapter 2 of Title III of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, the support provided for in points (i) to (iv) of paragraph 1(a) and paragraphs 1(b) and (e) of Article 68 of that Regulation, or the measures under Chapter 1, with the exception of Section 6, of Title IV of that Regulation.

Or. fr

Amendment 2101
Diane Dodds, James Nicholson

Proposal for a regulation
Article 39 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, Member States may decide to use up to 10 % of the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II provided that:

deleted

(a) they applied, until 31 December 2013, the single area payment scheme as laid down in Title V of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, or financed measures under Article 111 of that Regulation, or are concerned by the derogation provided for in Article 69(5), or, in the case of Malta, in Article 69(1) of that Regulation; and/or

(b) they allocated, during at least one year in the period 2010-2013, more than 5 % of their amount available for granting the direct payments provided for in Titles III, IV and V of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, with the exception of Section 6 of Chapter 1 of Title IV, for financing the measures laid down in Section 2 of Chapter 2 of Title III of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, the support provided for in points (i) to

(iv) of paragraph 1(a) and paragraphs 1(b) and (e) of Article 68 of that Regulation, or the measures under Chapter 1, with the exception of Section 6, of Title IV of that Regulation.

Or. en

Amendment 2102

Michel Dantin, Agnès Le Brun, Jim Higgins

Proposal for a regulation

Article 39 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, Member States may decide to use up to 10 % of the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II provided that:

deleted

a) they applied, until 31 December 2013, the single area payment scheme as laid down in Title V of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, or financed measures under Article 111 of that Regulation, or are concerned by the derogation provided for in Article 69(5), or, in the case of Malta, in Article 69(1) of that Regulation; and/or

b) they allocated, during at least one year in the period 2010-2013, more than 5 % of their amount available for granting the direct payments provided for in Titles III, IV and V of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, with the exception of Section 6 of Chapter 1 of Title IV, for financing the measures laid down in Section 2 of Chapter 2 of Title III of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, the support provided for in points (i) to (iv) of paragraph 1(a) and paragraphs 1(b) and (e) of Article 68 of that Regulation, or the measures under Chapter 1, with the exception of Section 6, of Title IV of that Regulation.

Or. fr

Amendment 2103
Mariya Gabriel

Proposal for a regulation
Article 39 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

2. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, Member States may decide to use up to **10 %** of the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II provided that:

Amendment

2. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, Member States may decide to use up to **20 %** of the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II provided that:

Or. bg

Amendment 2104
Iratxe García Pérez

Proposal for a regulation
Article 39 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

2. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, Member States may decide to use up to **10 %** of the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II provided that:

Amendment

2. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, Member States may decide to use up to **20%** of the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II provided that:

Or. es

Amendment 2105
Izaskun Bilbao Barandica

Proposal for a regulation
Article 39 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

2. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, Member States may decide to use up to **10 %** of the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II provided that:

Amendment

2. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, Member States may decide to use up to **20%** of the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II provided that:

Amendment 2106
Marian Harkin

Proposal for a regulation
Article 39 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

2. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, Member States may decide to use up to **10** % of the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II provided that:

Amendment

2. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, Member States may decide to use up to **20** % of the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II provided that:

Or. en

Amendment 2107
Hynek Fajmon

Proposal for a regulation
Article 39 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

2. **By way of derogation from paragraph 1**, Member States **may decide to use up to 10** % of the **annual national** ceiling set out in **Annex II provided that**:

Amendment

2. Member States **should be allowed to establish their own level of support, which shall not exceed the ceiling of 15% outlined in (1)**.

Or. en

Amendment 2108
Sergio Berlato

Proposal for a regulation
Article 39 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

2. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, Member States may decide to use up to

Amendment

2. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, Member States may decide to use up to

10 % of the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II provided that:

15 % of the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II provided that:

Or. it

Justification

Greater flexibility is required in order to make sure that sufficient funding is available to meet the needs of Member States in which difficulties are being experienced in specific types of farming or specific agricultural sectors which are particularly important for economic and/or social and/or environmental reasons.

Amendment 2109

Robert Dušek

Proposal for a regulation

Article 39 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

2. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, Member States may decide to use up to **10** % of the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II provided that:

Amendment

2. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, Member States may decide to use up to **15** % of the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II provided that:

Or. en

Amendment 2110

Hynek Fajmon

Proposal for a regulation

Article 39 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

2. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, Member States may decide to use up to **10** % of the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II provided that:

Amendment

2. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, Member States may decide to use up to **15** % of the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II provided that:

Or. en

Amendment 2111
Dominique Vlasto, Marie-Thérèse Sanchez-Schmid

Proposal for a regulation
Article 39 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

2. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, Member States may decide to use up to **10 %** of the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II provided that:

Amendment

2. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, Member States may decide to use up to **15 %** of the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II provided that:

Or. fr

Justification

Crops which are eligible for coupled support are vital for economic, social, environmental and heritage-protection reasons. This issue is so important that Member States which feel they need it should be given greater margin for manoeuvre.

Amendment 2112
Sandra Kalniete, Roberts Zile, Krišjānis Kariņš, Ivars Godmanis, Radvilė Morkūnaitė-Mikulėnienė, Inese Vaidere

Proposal for a regulation
Article 39 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

2. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, Member States may decide to use **up to 10 % of** the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II **provided that**:

Amendment

2. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, Member States may decide to use the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II:

Or. en

Amendment 2113
Juozas Imbrasas

Proposal for a regulation
Article 39 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

2. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, Member States may decide to use **up to 10 % of** the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II **provided that**:

Amendment

2. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, Member States may decide to use the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II:

Or. en

Amendment 2114
Alfreds Rubiks

Proposal for a regulation
Article 39 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

2. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, Member States may decide to use **up to 10 % of** the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II **provided that**:

Amendment

2. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, Member States may decide to use the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II:

Or. lv

Amendment 2115
Alyn Smith

Proposal for a regulation
Article 39 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) they applied, until 31 December 2013, the single area payment scheme as laid down in Title V of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, or financed measures under Article 111 of that Regulation, or are concerned by the derogation provided for in Article 69(5), or, in the case of Malta, in Article 69(1) of that Regulation; and/or

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2116
James Nicholson, Richard Ashworth, Julie Girling

Proposal for a regulation
Article 39 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) they applied, until 31 December 2013, the single area payment scheme as laid down in Title V of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, or financed measures under Article 111 of that Regulation, or are concerned by the derogation provided for in Article 69(5), or, in the case of Malta, in Article 69(1) of that Regulation; and/or **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 2117
Hynek Fajmon

Proposal for a regulation
Article 39 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) they applied, until 31 December 2013, the single area payment scheme as laid down in Title V of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, or financed measures under Article 111 of that Regulation, or are concerned by the derogation provided for in Article 69(5), or, in the case of Malta, in Article 69(1) of that Regulation; and/or **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 2118
Sandra Kalniete, Roberts Zīle, Krišjānis Kariņš, Ivars Godmanis, Radvilē Morkūnaitė-Mikulėnienė, Inese Vaidere

Proposal for a regulation
Article 39 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) they applied, until 31 December 2013, the single area payment scheme as laid down in Title V of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, or financed measures under Article 111 of that Regulation, or are concerned by the derogation provided for in Article 69(5), or, in the case of Malta, in Article 69(1) of that Regulation; and/or

Amendment

(a) **up to 20% provided that** they applied, until 31 December 2013, the single area payment scheme as laid down in Title V of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, or financed measures under Article 111 of that Regulation, or are concerned by the derogation provided for in Article 69(5), or, in the case of Malta, in Article 69(1) of that Regulation; and/or

Or. en

Amendment 2119
Alfreds Rubiks

Proposal for a regulation
Article 39 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) they applied, until 31 December 2013, the single area payment scheme as laid down in Title V of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, or financed measures under Article 111 of that Regulation, or are concerned by the derogation provided for in Article 69(5), or, in the case of Malta, in Article 69(1) of that Regulation; and/or

Amendment

(a) **up to 20% if** they applied, until 31 December 2013, the single area payment scheme as laid down in Title V of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, or financed measures under Article 111 of that Regulation, or are concerned by the derogation provided for in Article 69(5), or, in the case of Malta, in Article 69(1) of that Regulation; and/or

Or. lv

Amendment 2120
Alyn Smith

Proposal for a regulation
Article 39 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) they allocated, during at least one year in the period 2010-2013, more than 5 % of their amount available for granting the direct payments provided for in Titles III, IV and V of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, with the exception of Section 6 of Chapter 1 of Title IV, for financing the measures laid down in Section 2 of Chapter 2 of Title III of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, the support provided for in points (i) to (iv) of paragraph 1(a) and paragraphs 1(b) and (e) of Article 68 of that Regulation, or the measures under Chapter 1, with the exception of Section 6, of Title IV of that Regulation. *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 2121

James Nicholson, Julie Girling, Richard Ashworth

Proposal for a regulation

Article 39 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) they allocated, during at least one year in the period 2010-2013, more than 5 % of their amount available for granting the direct payments provided for in Titles III, IV and V of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, with the exception of Section 6 of Chapter 1 of Title IV, for financing the measures laid down in Section 2 of Chapter 2 of Title III of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, the support provided for in points (i) to (iv) of paragraph 1(a) and paragraphs 1(b) and (e) of Article 68 of that Regulation, or the measures under Chapter 1, with the exception of Section 6, of Title IV of that Regulation. *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 2122

Hynek Fajmon

Proposal for a regulation

Article 39 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) they allocated, during at least one year in the period 2010-2013, more than 5 % of their amount available for granting the direct payments provided for in Titles III, IV and V of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, with the exception of Section 6 of Chapter 1 of Title IV, for financing the measures laid down in Section 2 of Chapter 2 of Title III of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, the support provided for in points (i) to (iv) of paragraph 1(a) and paragraphs 1(b) and (e) of Article 68 of that Regulation, or the measures under Chapter 1, with the exception of Section 6, of Title IV of that Regulation.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2123

Sandra Kalniete, Roberts Zīle, Krišjānis Kariņš, Ivars Godmanis, Radvilė Morkūnaitė-Mikulėnienė, Inese Vaidere

Proposal for a regulation

Article 39 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) they allocated, during at least one year in the period 2010-2013, more than 5 % of their amount available for granting the direct payments provided for in Titles III, IV and V of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, with the exception of Section 6 of Chapter 1 of Title IV, for financing the measures laid down in Section 2 of Chapter 2 of Title III of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, the

(b) **up to 10% provided that** they allocated, during at least one year in the period 2010-2013, more than 5 % of their amount available for granting the direct payments provided for in Titles III, IV and V of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, with the exception of Section 6 of Chapter 1 of Title IV, for financing the measures laid down in Section 2 of Chapter 2 of Title III of

support provided for in points (i) to (iv) of paragraph 1(a) and paragraphs 1(b) and (e) of Article 68 of that Regulation, or the measures under Chapter 1, with the exception of Section 6, of Title IV of that Regulation.

Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, the support provided for in points (i) to (iv) of paragraph 1(a) and paragraphs 1(b) and (e) of Article 68 of that Regulation, or the measures under Chapter 1, with the exception of Section 6, of Title IV of that Regulation.

Or. en

Amendment 2124
Alfreds Rubiks

Proposal for a regulation
Article 39 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) they allocated, during at least one year in the period 2010-2013, more than 5 % of their amount available for granting the direct payments provided for in Titles III, IV and V of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, with the exception of Section 6 of Chapter 1 of Title IV, for financing the measures laid down in Section 2 of Chapter 2 of Title III of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, the support provided for in points (i) to (iv) of paragraph 1(a) and paragraphs 1(b) and (e) of Article 68 of that Regulation, or the measures under Chapter 1, with the exception of Section 6, of Title IV of that Regulation.

Amendment

(b) **up to 10% if** they allocated, during at least one year in the period 2010-2013, more than 5 % of their amount available for granting the direct payments provided for in Titles III, IV and V of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, with the exception of Section 6 of Chapter 1 of Title IV, for financing the measures laid down in Section 2 of Chapter 2 of Title III of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, the support provided for in points (i) to (iv) of paragraph 1(a) and paragraphs 1(b) and (e) of Article 68 of that Regulation, or the measures under Chapter 1, with the exception of Section 6, of Title IV of that Regulation.

Or. lv

Amendment 2125
Juozas Imbrasas

Proposal for a regulation
Article 39 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) they allocated, during at least one year in the period 2010-2013, more than 5 % of their amount available for granting the direct payments provided for in Titles III, IV and V of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, with the exception of Section 6 of Chapter 1 of Title IV, for financing the measures laid down in Section 2 of Chapter 2 of Title III of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, the support provided for in points (i) to (iv) of paragraph 1(a) and paragraphs 1(b) and (e) of Article 68 of that Regulation, or the measures under Chapter 1, with the exception of Section 6, of Title IV of that Regulation.

Amendment

(b) **up to 10% provided that** they allocated, during at least one year in the period 2010-2013, more than 5 % of their amount available for granting the direct payments provided for in Titles III, IV and V of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, with the exception of Section 6 of Chapter 1 of Title IV, for financing the measures laid down in Section 2 of Chapter 2 of Title III of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, the support provided for in points (i) to (iv) of paragraph 1(a) and paragraphs 1(b) and (e) of Article 68 of that Regulation, or the measures under Chapter 1, with the exception of Section 6, of Title IV of that Regulation.

Or. en

Amendment 2126

Alyn Smith

Proposal for a regulation

Article 39 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. By way of derogation from paragraph 2, Member States having allocated during at least one year in the period 2010-2013 more than 10 % of their amount available for granting the direct payments provided for in Titles III, IV and V of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, with the exception of Section 6 of Chapter 1 of Title IV, for financing the measures laid down in Section 2 of Chapter 2 of Title III of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, the support provided for in points (i) to (iv) of paragraph 1(a) and paragraphs 1(b) and (e) of Article 68 of that Regulation, or the measures under Chapter 1, with the exception of Section 6, of Title IV of that

Amendment

deleted

Regulation may decide to use more than 10 % of the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II upon approval by the Commission in accordance with Article 41.

Or. en

Amendment 2127
Martin Häusling
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Article 39 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. By way of derogation from paragraph 2, Member States having allocated during at least one year in the period 2010-2013 more than 10 % of their amount available for granting the direct payments provided for in Titles III, IV and V of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, with the exception of Section 6 of Chapter 1 of Title IV, for financing the measures laid down in Section 2 of Chapter 2 of Title III of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, the support provided for in points (i) to (iv) of paragraph 1(a) and paragraphs 1(b) and (e) of Article 68 of that Regulation, or the measures under Chapter 1, with the exception of Section 6, of Title IV of that Regulation may decide to use more than 10 % of the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II upon approval by the Commission in accordance with Article 41.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2128
James Nicholson, Richard Ashworth, Julie Girling

Proposal for a regulation
Article 39 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. By way of derogation from paragraph 2, Member States having allocated during at least one year in the period 2010-2013 more than 10 % of their amount available for granting the direct payments provided for in Titles III, IV and V of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, with the exception of Section 6 of Chapter 1 of Title IV, for financing the measures laid down in Section 2 of Chapter 2 of Title III of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, the support provided for in points (i) to (iv) of paragraph 1(a) and paragraphs 1(b) and (e) of Article 68 of that Regulation, or the measures under Chapter 1, with the exception of Section 6, of Title IV of that Regulation may decide to use more than 10 % of the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II upon approval by the Commission in accordance with Article 41. *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 2129
James Nicholson, Diane Dodds

Proposal for a regulation
Article 39 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. By way of derogation from paragraph 2, Member States having allocated during at least one year in the period 2010-2013 more than 10 % of their amount available for granting the direct payments provided for in Titles III, IV and V of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, with the exception of Section 6 of Chapter 1 of Title IV, for financing the measures laid down in *deleted*

Section 2 of Chapter 2 of Title III of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, the support provided for in points (i) to (iv) of paragraph 1(a) and paragraphs 1(b) and (e) of Article 68 of that Regulation, or the measures under Chapter 1, with the exception of Section 6, of Title IV of that Regulation may decide to use more than 10 % of the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II upon approval by the Commission in accordance with Article 41.

Or. en

Justification

Coupling percentages should be the same across the EU. Member States shouldn't have the possibility to go even further

Amendment 2130
Hynek Fajmon

Proposal for a regulation
Article 39 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. By way of derogation from paragraph 2, Member States having allocated during at least one year in the period 2010-2013 more than 10 % of their amount available for granting the direct payments provided for in Titles III, IV and V of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, with the exception of Section 6 of Chapter 1 of Title IV, for financing the measures laid down in Section 2 of Chapter 2 of Title III of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, the support provided for in points (i) to (iv) of paragraph 1(a) and paragraphs 1(b) and (e) of Article 68 of that Regulation, or the measures under Chapter 1, with the exception of Section 6, of Title IV of that Regulation may decide to use more than

deleted

10 % of the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II upon approval by the Commission in accordance with Article 41.

Or. en

Amendment 2131

Patrick Le Hyaric, Willy Meyer, João Ferreira

Proposal for a regulation

Article 39 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. By way of derogation from paragraph 2, Member States having allocated during at least one year in the period 2010-2013 more than 10 % of their amount available for granting the direct payments provided for in Titles III, IV and V of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, with the exception of Section 6 of Chapter 1 of Title IV, for financing the measures laid down in Section 2 of Chapter 2 of Title III of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, the support provided for in points (i) to (iv) of paragraph 1(a) and paragraphs 1(b) and (e) of Article 68 of that Regulation, or the measures under Chapter 1, with the exception of Section 6, of Title IV of that Regulation may decide to use more than 10 % of the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II upon approval by the Commission in accordance with Article 41.

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 2132

Diane Dodds, James Nicholson

Proposal for a regulation

Article 39 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. By way of derogation from paragraph 2, Member States having allocated during at least one year in the period 2010-2013 more than 10 % of their amount available for granting the direct payments provided for in Titles III, IV and V of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, with the exception of Section 6 of Chapter 1 of Title IV, for financing the measures laid down in Section 2 of Chapter 2 of Title III of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, the support provided for in points (i) to (iv) of paragraph 1(a) and paragraphs 1(b) and (e) of Article 68 of that Regulation, or the measures under Chapter 1, with the exception of Section 6, of Title IV of that Regulation may decide to use more than 10 % of the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II upon approval by the Commission in accordance with Article 41. *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 2133

Michel Dantin, Agnès Le Brun, Jim Higgins

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 39 – paragraph 3**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. By way of derogation from paragraph 2, Member States having allocated during at least one year in the period 2010-2013 more than 10 % of their amount available for granting the direct payments provided for in Titles III, IV and V of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, with the exception of Section 6 of Chapter 1 of Title IV, for financing the measures laid down in Section 2 of Chapter 2 of Title III of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, the support *deleted*

provided for in points (i) to (iv) of paragraph 1(a) and paragraphs 1(b) and (e) of Article 68 of that Regulation, or the measures under Chapter 1, with the exception of Section 6, of Title IV of that Regulation may decide to use more than 10 % of the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II upon approval by the Commission in accordance with Article 41.

Or. fr

Amendment 2134
Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation
Article 39 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. By way of derogation from paragraph 2, Member States having allocated during at least one year in the period 2010-2013 more than 10 % of their amount available for granting the direct payments provided for in Titles III, IV and V of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, with the exception of Section 6 of Chapter 1 of Title IV, for financing the measures laid down in Section 2 of Chapter 2 of Title III of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, the support provided for in points (i) to (iv) of paragraph 1(a) and paragraphs 1(b) and (e) of Article 68 of that Regulation, or the measures under Chapter 1, with the exception of Section 6, of Title IV of that Regulation may decide to use more than 10 % of the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II upon approval by the Commission in accordance with Article 41.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2135
Mariya Gabriel

Proposal for a regulation
Article 39 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. By way of derogation from paragraph 2, Member States having allocated during at least one year in the period 2010-2013 more than 10 % of their amount available for granting the direct payments provided for in Titles III, IV and V of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, with the exception of Section 6 of Chapter 1 of Title IV, for financing the measures laid down in Section 2 of Chapter 2 of Title III of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, the support provided for in points (i) to (iv) of paragraph 1(a) and paragraphs 1(b) and (e) of Article 68 of that Regulation, *or* the measures under Chapter 1, with the exception of Section 6, of Title IV of that Regulation may decide to use **more than 10 %** of the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II upon approval by the Commission in accordance with Article 41.

Amendment

3. By way of derogation from paragraph 2, Member States having allocated during at least one year in the period 2010-2013 more than 10 % of their amount available for granting the direct payments provided for in Titles III, IV and V of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, with the exception of Section 6 of Chapter 1 of Title IV, for financing the measures laid down in Section 2 of Chapter 2 of Title III of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, the support provided for in points (i) to (iv) of paragraph 1(a) and paragraphs 1(b) and (e) of Article 68 of that Regulation, the measures under Chapter 1, with the exception of Section 6, of Title IV of that Regulation, **or the support provided for in Chapter 4 of Title V of that Regulation** may decide to use **20 %** of the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II upon approval by the Commission in accordance with Article 41.

Or. bg

Amendment 2136
Sergio Berlato

Proposal for a regulation
Article 39 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. By way of derogation from paragraph 2, Member States having allocated during at least one year in the period 2010-2013 more than 10 % of their amount available for granting the direct payments provided

Amendment

3. By way of derogation from paragraph 2, Member States having allocated during at least one year in the period 2010-2013 more than 10 % of their amount available for granting the direct payments provided

for in Titles III, IV and V of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, with the exception of Section 6 of Chapter 1 of Title IV, for financing the measures laid down in Section 2 of Chapter 2 of Title III of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, the support provided for in points (i) to (iv) of paragraph 1(a) and paragraphs 1(b) and (e) of Article 68 of that Regulation, or the measures under Chapter 1, with the exception of Section 6, of Title IV of that Regulation may decide to use more than **10 %** of the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II upon approval by the Commission in accordance with Article 41.

for in Titles III, IV and V of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, with the exception of Section 6 of Chapter 1 of Title IV, for financing the measures laid down in Section 2 of Chapter 2 of Title III of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, the support provided for in points (i) to (iv) of paragraph 1(a) and paragraphs 1(b) and (e) of Article 68 of that Regulation, or the measures under Chapter 1, with the exception of Section 6, of Title IV of that Regulation may decide to use more than **15 %** of the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II upon approval by the Commission in accordance with Article 41.

Or. it

Justification

Greater flexibility is required in order to make sure that sufficient funding is available to meet the needs of Member States in which difficulties are being experienced in specific types of farming or specific agricultural sectors which are particularly important for economic and/or social and/or environmental reasons.

Amendment 2137

Riikka Manner, Petri Sarvamaa, Anneli Jäätteenmäki, Sari Essayah, Nils Torvalds, Liisa Jaakonsaari, Hannu Takkula

Proposal for a regulation Article 39 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. By way of derogation from paragraph 2, Member States having allocated during at least one year in the period 2010-2013 more than 10 % of their amount available for granting the direct payments provided for in Titles III, IV and V of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, with the exception of Section 6 of Chapter 1 of Title IV, for financing the measures laid down in Section 2 of Chapter 2 of Title III of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, the support

Amendment

3. By way of derogation from paragraph 2, Member States having allocated during at least one year in the period 2010-2013 more than 10 % of their amount available for granting the direct payments provided for in **Article 182(7) of Council Regulation (EC) No 1234/2007 of 22 October 2007 establishing a common organisation of agricultural markets and on specific provisions for certain agricultural products (Single CMO**

provided for in points (i) to (iv) of paragraph 1(a) and paragraphs 1(b) and (e) of Article 68 of that Regulation, or the measures under Chapter 1, with the exception of Section 6, of Title IV of that Regulation may decide to use more than 10 % of the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II upon approval by the Commission in accordance with Article 41.

Regulation 1) and in Titles III, IV and V of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, with the exception of Section 6 of Chapter 1 of Title IV, for financing the measures laid down in Section 2 of Chapter 2 of Title III of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, the support provided for in points (i) to (iv) of paragraph 1(a) and paragraphs 1(b) and (e) of Article 68 of that Regulation, or the measures under Chapter 1, with the exception of Section 6, of Title IV of that Regulation may decide to use more than 10 % of the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II upon approval by the Commission in accordance with Article 41.

Or. en

(OJ L 299, 16.11.07, p. 1.)

Justification

The reference to Article 182(7) of Regulation (EC) No 1234/2007 should be added in order to take into account in the calculation all the coupled support based on Article 68 of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009.

Amendment 2138

Jarosław Kalinowski, Czesław Adam Siekierski, Artur Zasada, Elżbieta Katarzyna Łukacijewska

Proposal for a regulation Article 39 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. By way of derogation from paragraph 2, Member States having allocated during at least one year in the period 2010-2013 more than 10 % of their amount available for granting the direct payments provided for in Titles III, IV and V of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, with the exception of Section 6 of Chapter 1 of Title IV, for financing the measures laid down in Section 2 of Chapter 2 of Title III of

Amendment

3. By way of derogation from paragraph 2, Member States having allocated during at least one year in the period 2010-2013 more than 10 % of their amount available for granting the direct payments provided for in Titles III, IV and V of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, with the exception of Section 6 of Chapter 1 of Title IV, for financing the measures laid down in Section 2 of Chapter 2 of Title III of

Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, the support provided for in points (i) to (iv) of paragraph 1(a) and paragraphs 1(b) and (e) of Article 68 of that Regulation, or the measures under Chapter 1, with the exception of Section 6, of Title IV of that Regulation may decide to use more than 10 % of the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II upon approval by the Commission in accordance with Article 41.

Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, the support provided for in points (i) to (iv) of paragraph 1(a) and paragraphs 1(b) and (e) of Article 68 of that Regulation, or the measures under Chapter 1, with the exception of Section 6, of Title IV of that Regulation ***as well as Member States having applied complementary national direct payments as laid down in Chapter 4 of Title V of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009 and separate payments***, may decide to use more than 10 % of the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II upon approval by the Commission in accordance with Article 41.

Or. en

Justification

Equal possibility to set the percentage limit for coupled support should be provided for all Member States to prevent distortion of competition.

Amendment 2139 **Christofer Fjellner**

Proposal for a regulation **Article 39 – paragraph 3**

Text proposed by the Commission

3. By way of derogation from paragraph 2, Member States having allocated during at least one year in the period 2010-2013 more than 10 % of their amount available for granting the direct payments provided for in Titles III, IV and V of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, with the exception of Section 6 of Chapter 1 of Title IV, for financing the measures laid down in Section 2 of Chapter 2 of Title III of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, the support provided for in points (i) to (iv) of paragraph 1(a) and paragraphs 1(b) and (e) of Article 68 of that Regulation, or the

Amendment

3. By way of derogation from paragraph 2, Member States having allocated during at least one year in the period 2010-2013 more than 10 % of their amount available for granting the direct payments provided for in Titles III, IV and V of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, with the exception of Section 6 of Chapter 1 of Title IV, for financing the measures laid down in Section 2 of Chapter 2 of Title III of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, the support provided for in points (i) to (iv) of paragraph 1(a) and paragraphs 1(b) and (e) of Article 68 of that Regulation, or the

measures under Chapter 1, with the exception of Section 6, of Title IV of that Regulation may decide to use more than 10 % of the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II upon approval by the Commission in accordance with Article 41.

measures under Chapter 1, with the exception of Section 6, of Title IV of that Regulation may decide to use more than 10 % of the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II upon approval by the Commission in accordance with Article 41. *This paragraph to be phased out at the latest at 2016*

Or. en

Amendment 2140
Marian-Jean Marinescu

Proposal for a regulation
Article 39 – paragraph 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3a. The percentage of the national ceilings referred to in paragraphs 1 to 3 shall be increased by 3 points for those Member States deciding to use at least 3% of their national ceiling set out in Annex II for supporting the production of protein crops under this Chapter.

Or. en

Justification

The production of protein crops in the EU, which is currently in deficit, should be encouraged within the framework of the voluntary coupled support and, therefore, respecting international obligations of the EU within the WTO.

Amendment 2141
Patrick Le Hyaric, Willy Meyer, João Ferreira

Proposal for a regulation
Article 39 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. Member States may, by 1 August 2016, review their decision pursuant to paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 and decide, with effect from 2017: *deleted*

a) to increase the percentage fixed pursuant to paragraphs 1 and 2, within the limits laid down therein where applicable, and, where appropriate, modify the conditions for granting the support;

b) to reduce the percentage used for the funding of coupled support and, where appropriate, modify the conditions for granting that support;

c) to cease granting the support under this Chapter.

Or. fr

Amendment 2142

Iratxe García Pérez, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Alejandro Cercas, Ricardo Cortés Lastra

Proposal for a regulation

Article 39 – paragraph 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. Member States may, by 1 August **2016**, review their decision pursuant to paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 and decide, with effect from **2017**:

4. Member States may, by 1 August *of each year*, review their decision pursuant to paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 and decide, with effect from *the subsequent year*:

Or. es

Amendment 2143

Mariya Gabriel

Proposal for a regulation

Article 39 – paragraph 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Member States may, by 1 August **2016**, review their decision pursuant to paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 and decide, with effect from **2017**:

Amendment

4. Member States may, by 1 August *of each year*, review their decision pursuant to paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 and decide, with effect from *the subsequent year*:

Or. bg

Amendment 2144

Jarosław Kalinowski, Czesław Adam Siekierski, Artur Zasada, Elżbieta Katarzyna Łukacijewska

Proposal for a regulation

Article 39 – paragraph 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Member States may, by 1 August **2016**, review their decision pursuant to paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 and decide, with effect from **2017**:

Amendment

4. Member States may, by 1 August **2015 and/or 1 August 2017**, review their decision pursuant to paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 and decide, with effect from *the subsequent year*:

Or. en

Amendment 2145

James Nicholson, Richard Ashworth, Julie Girling

Proposal for a regulation

Article 39 – paragraph 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Member States may, by 1 August 2016, review their decision pursuant to *paragraphs 1, 2 and 3* and decide, with effect from 2017:

Amendment

4. Member States may, by 1 August 2016, review their decision pursuant to *paragraph 1* and decide, with effect from 2017:

Or. en

Amendment 2146
Diane Dodds, James Nicholson

Proposal for a regulation
Article 39 – paragraph 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Member States may, by 1 August 2016, review their decision pursuant to **paragraphs 1, 2 and 3** and decide, with effect from 2017:

Amendment

4. Member States may, by 1 August 2016, review their decision pursuant to **paragraph 1** and decide, with effect from 2017:

Or. en

Amendment 2147
Alyn Smith

Proposal for a regulation
Article 39 – paragraph 4 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Member States may, by 1 August 2016, review their decision pursuant to **paragraphs 1, 2 and 3** and decide, with effect from 2017:

Amendment

4. Member States may, by 1 August 2016, review their decision pursuant to **paragraph 1**, and decide, with effect from 2017:

Or. en

Amendment 2148
Diane Dodds, James Nicholson

Proposal for a regulation
Article 39 – paragraph 4 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) to increase the percentage fixed pursuant to **paragraphs 1 and 2**, within the limits laid down therein where applicable, and, where appropriate, modify the conditions for granting the support;

Amendment

(a) to increase the percentage fixed pursuant to **paragraph 1**, within the limits laid down therein where applicable, and, where appropriate, modify the conditions for granting the support;

Amendment 2149

Alyn Smith

Proposal for a regulation

Article 39 – paragraph 4 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) to increase the percentage fixed pursuant to *paragraphs 1 and 2*, within the limits laid down therein where applicable, and, where appropriate, modify the conditions for granting the support;

Amendment

(a) to increase the percentage fixed pursuant to *paragraph 1*, within the limits laid down therein where applicable, and, where appropriate, modify the conditions for granting the support;

Or. en

Amendment 2150

Iratxe García Pérez, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Alejandro Cercas, Ricardo Cortés Lastra

Proposal for a regulation

Article 39 – paragraph 4 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) to increase the percentage fixed pursuant to paragraphs 1 *and* 2, within the limits laid down therein where applicable, and, where appropriate, modify the conditions for granting the support;

Amendment

(a) to increase the percentage fixed pursuant to paragraphs 1, 2 *and* 3, within the limits laid down therein where applicable, and, where appropriate, modify the conditions for granting the support;

Or. es

Amendment 2151

Esther Herranz García, Gabriel Mato Adrover, Pilar Ayuso, María Auxiliadora Correa Zamora

Proposal for a regulation

Article 39 – paragraph 4 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) to increase the percentage fixed pursuant to paragraphs 1 **and** 2, within the limits laid down therein where applicable, and, where appropriate, modify the conditions for granting the support;

(a) to increase the percentage fixed pursuant to paragraphs 1, 2 **and** 3, within the limits laid down therein where applicable, and, where appropriate, modify the conditions for granting the support;

Or. es

Justification

This amendment calls for greater flexibility with a view to pursuing a more cautious approach in the initial design stage of the measures. These measures may be accorded greater funding at a later stage, on the basis on the results obtained in previous years, if demand is found to be greater than initially estimated and may be extended to cover areas not initially covered.

Amendment 2152

Patrick Le Hyaric, Willy Meyer, João Ferreira, Kyriacos Triantaphyllides

Proposal for a regulation

Article 39 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

5. On the basis of the decision taken by each Member State pursuant to paragraphs 1 to 4 on the proportion of the national ceiling to be used, the Commission shall, by means of implementing acts, fix the corresponding ceiling for the support on a yearly basis. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 56(2).

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 2153

James Nicholson, Richard Ashworth, Julie Girling

Proposal for a regulation
Article 39 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. On the basis of the decision taken by each Member State pursuant to **paragraphs 1 to 4** on the proportion of the national ceiling to be used, the Commission shall, by means of implementing acts, fix the corresponding ceiling for the support on a yearly basis. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 56(2).

Amendment

5. On the basis of the decision taken by each Member State pursuant to **paragraph 1** on the proportion of the national ceiling to be used, the Commission shall, by means of implementing acts, fix the corresponding ceiling for the support on a yearly basis. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 56(2).

Or. en

Amendment 2154
Diane Dodds, James Nicholson

Proposal for a regulation
Article 39 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. On the basis of the decision taken by each Member State pursuant to paragraphs **1 to 4** on the proportion of the national ceiling to be used, the Commission shall, by means of implementing acts, fix the corresponding ceiling for the support on a yearly basis. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 56(2).

Amendment

5. On the basis of the decision taken by each Member State pursuant to paragraphs **1 and 2** on the proportion of the national ceiling to be used, the Commission shall, by means of implementing acts, fix the corresponding ceiling for the support on a yearly basis. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 56(2).

Or. en

Amendment 2155
Hans-Peter Mayer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 39 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. On the basis of the decision taken by each Member State pursuant to paragraphs 1 to 4 on the proportion of the national ceiling to be used, the Commission shall, ***by means of implementing acts***, fix the corresponding ceiling for the support on a yearly basis. ***Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 56(2).***

Amendment

5. On the basis of the decision taken by each Member State pursuant to paragraphs 1 to 4 on the proportion of the national ceiling to be used, the Commission shall ***be empowered in accordance with Article 55 to adopt delegated acts to*** fix the corresponding ceiling for the support on a yearly basis.

Or. de

Justification

The setting of the ceiling for optional coupled support is not a purely technical decision.

Amendment 2156
Michel Dantin, Agnès Le Brun

Proposal for a regulation
Article 39 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 39a

Optional additional national support

1. Member States which decide to introduce voluntary coupled support in the suckler cow sector in accordance with Article 38 may grant an additional national premium to farmers to top up the coupled support they receive for the same calendar year.

2. Member States shall notify farmers of the conditions governing the award of this additional national support at the same time as and using the same arrangements as for the notification of the coupled support.

Amendment 2157

Ulrike Rodust, Brian Simpson, Christel Schaldemose, Åsa Westlund

Proposal for a regulation

Article 40

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 40

deleted

Notification

1. The decisions referred to in Article 39 shall be notified to the Commission by the date referred to in that Article and, except for the decision referred to in Article 39(4)(c), the notification shall include information on the regions targeted, the selected types of farming or sectors and the level of support to be granted.

2. The decisions referred to in Article 39(2) and (3), or, where appropriate, in Article 39(4)(a), shall also include a detailed description of the particular situation in the region targeted and of the particular characteristics of the types of farming, or specific agricultural sectors, which make the percentage referred to in Article 39(1) insufficient to address the difficulties referred to in Article 38(2) and which justify an increased level of support.

Amendment 2158

James Nicholson, Julie Girling, Richard Ashworth

Proposal for a regulation

Article 40 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The decisions referred to in Article 39 shall be notified to the Commission by the date referred to in that Article and, except for the decision referred to in Article 39(4)(c), the notification shall include information on the regions targeted, the selected types of farming or sectors and the level of support to be granted.

Amendment

1. The decisions referred to in Article 39 shall be notified to the Commission by the date referred to in that Article and, except for the decision referred to in Article 39(4)(c), the notification shall include information on the regions targeted, the selected types of farming or sectors and the level of support to be granted **and the current levels of production in the sector(s) and region(s) concerned**

Or. en

Justification

Safeguards should be introduced to ensure that coupled support is only granted to the extent necessary to create an incentive to maintain current levels of production in the regions concerned

Amendment 2159

James Nicholson, Julie Girling, Richard Ashworth

Proposal for a regulation

Article 40 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The decisions referred to **in Article 39(2) and (3)**, or, where appropriate, in Article 39(4)(a), shall also include a detailed description of the particular situation in the region targeted and of the particular characteristics of the types of farming, or specific agricultural sectors, which make the percentage referred to in Article 39(1) insufficient to address the difficulties referred to in Article 38(2) and which justify an increased level of support.

Amendment

2. The decisions referred to, or, where appropriate, in Article 39(2)(a), shall also include a detailed description of the particular situation in the region targeted and of the particular characteristics of the types of farming, or specific agricultural sectors, which make the percentage referred to in Article 39(1) insufficient to address the difficulties referred to in Article 38(2) and which justify an increased level of support.

Or. en

Amendment 2160
James Nicholson, Richard Ashworth, Julie Girling

Proposal for a regulation
Article 40 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The decisions referred to in Article 39 shall be notified to the Commission by the date referred to in that Article and, except for the decision referred to in Article 39(4)(c), the notification shall include information on the regions targeted, the selected types of farming or sectors and the level of support to be granted.

Amendment

1. The decisions referred to in Article 39 shall be notified to the Commission by the date referred to in that Article and, except for the decision referred to in Article 39(c), the notification shall include information on the regions targeted, the selected types of farming or sectors and the level of support to be granted.

Or. en

Amendment 2161
James Nicholson, Julie Girling, Richard Ashworth

Proposal for a regulation
Article 40 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. *The decisions referred to in Article 39(2) and (3), or, where appropriate, in Article 39(4)(a), shall also include a detailed description of the particular situation in the region targeted and of the particular characteristics of the types of farming, or specific agricultural sectors, which make the percentage referred to in Article 39(1) insufficient to address the difficulties referred to in Article 38(2) and which justify an increased level of support.*

Amendment

2. *By 1 August of the year succeeding the first year of implementation of such support, and by 1 August shall communicate to the Commission any changes which have taken place in the levels of production in the sector(s) and region(s) where coupled support has been introduced.*

Or. en

Justification

Coupled support should only be granted to the extent necessary to create an incentive to

maintain current levels of production in the regions concerned.

Amendment 2162

Ulrike Rodust, Brian Simpson, Christel Schaldemose, Åsa Westlund

Proposal for a regulation

Article 41

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 41

deleted

Approval by the Commission

1. The Commission shall, by means of an implementing act, approve the decision referred to in Article 39(3), or, where appropriate, in Article 39(4)(a), where one of the following needs in the region or sector concerned is demonstrated:

(a) the necessity to sustain a certain level of specific production due to the lack of alternatives and to reduce the risk of production abandonment and the resulting social and/or environmental problems,

(b) the necessity to provide stable supply to the local processing industry, thus avoiding the negative social and economic consequence of any ensuing restructuring,

(c) the necessity to compensate disadvantages affecting farmers in a particular sector which are the consequence of continuing disturbances on the related market;

(d) the necessity to intervene where the existence of any other support available under this Regulation, Regulation (EU) No [...] [RDR] or any approved State aid scheme is deemed insufficient to meet the needs referred to in points (a), (b) and (c).

2. The Commission shall, by means of implementing acts, adopt rules on the procedure for the assessment and

approval of decisions referred to in paragraph 1. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 56(2).

Or. en

Amendment 2163
James Nicholson, Julie Girling, Richard Ashworth

Proposal for a regulation
Article 41 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. *The Commission shall, by means of an implementing act, approve the decision referred to in Article 39(3), or, where appropriate, in Article 39(4)(a), where one of the following needs in the region or sector concerned is demonstrated:*

1. *In cases where, on the basis of information supplied by a Member State pursuant to Article 40(2), the Commission considers that the requirements of Article 38(4) have not been fulfilled, it shall, by means of implementing acts, require that Member State to adjust its level of coupled support in such a way as to bring it into conformity with those requirements. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 56(2)*

Or. en

Justification

This amendment provides further safeguards that coupled support should only be granted in order to maintain production levels in the regions concerned

Amendment 2164
Hans-Peter Mayer

Proposal for a regulation
Article 41 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. The Commission shall, **by means of an implementing act**, approve the decision referred to in Article 39(3), or, where appropriate, in Article 39(4)(a), where one of the following needs in the region or sector concerned is demonstrated:

1. The Commission shall **be empowered in accordance with Article 55 to adopt a delegated act to** approve the decision referred to in Article 39(3), or, where appropriate, in Article 39(4)(a), where one of the following needs in the region or sector concerned is demonstrated

Or. de

Justification

This is not a purely technical decision.

Amendment 2165

James Nicholson, Richard Ashworth, Julie Girling

Proposal for a regulation

Article 41 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. The Commission shall, by means of an implementing act, approve the decision referred to **in Article 39(3)**, or, where appropriate, in Article 39(4)(a), where one of the following needs in the region or sector concerned is demonstrated:

1. The Commission shall, by means of an implementing act, approve the decision referred to, or, where appropriate, in Article 39(2)(a), where one of the following needs in the region or sector concerned is demonstrated:

Or. en

Amendment 2166

James Nicholson, Julie Girling, Richard Ashworth

Proposal for a regulation

Article 41 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) the necessity to sustain a certain level of specific production due to the lack of

deleted

alternatives and to reduce the risk of production abandonment and the resulting social and/or environmental problems,

Or. en

Amendment 2167
James Nicholson, Julie Girling, Richard Ashworth

Proposal for a regulation
Article 41 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) the necessity to provide stable supply to the local processing industry, thus avoiding the negative social and economic consequence of any ensuing restructuring, *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 2168
Martin Häusling
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Article 41 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) the necessity to provide stable supply to the local processing industry, thus avoiding the negative social and economic consequence of any ensuing restructuring,

(b) the necessity to provide stable supply to the local processing industry ***and local food chains***, thus avoiding the negative social and economic consequence of any ensuing restructuring,

Or. en

Amendment 2169
James Nicholson, Julie Girling, Richard Ashworth

Proposal for a regulation
Article 41 – paragraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) the necessity to compensate disadvantages affecting farmers in a particular sector which are the consequence of continuing disturbances on the related market;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2170
James Nicholson, Julie Girling, Richard Ashworth

Proposal for a regulation
Article 41 – paragraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d) the necessity to intervene where the existence of any other support available under this Regulation, Regulation (EU) No [...] [RDR] or any approved State aid scheme is deemed insufficient to meet the needs referred to in points (a), (b) and (c).

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2171
Esther de Lange

Proposal for a regulation
Article 41 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1a. The decision referred to in Article 39(3) or, where appropriate, in Article 39(4)(a), may not lead to market distortion and imbalances of the European level playing field. In such cases approval may

not be granted by the Commission.

Or. en

Amendment 2172

Salvador Sedó i Alabart, Ramon Tremosa i Balcells, Raimon Obiols, Maria Badia i Cutchet, Santiago Fisas Ayxela

Proposal for a regulation

Article 41 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1a. the necessity for fair, proportionate support for producers who until 2013 will receive payments based on production levels in base periods (head of livestock), yield or production quotas.

Or. es

Justification

Solicitamos el incremento del 10 % del límite máximo estatal de ayudas asociadas voluntarias, dado que se cumplen las condiciones del artículo 39.3 de la propuesta de Reglamento. Para facilitar la viabilidad de la propuesta del artículo 39.3 (aprobación por parte de la Comisión de la autorización de destinar más del 10% del límite nacional a ayudas asociadas) se propone que se tenga en consideración la necesidad de dar un soporte proporcional y equitativo a los productores que hasta el 2013 han recibido ayudas basadas en los niveles de producción de los periodos de base (cabezas de ganado) o en los rendimientos obtenidos o en las cuotas de producción, como una más de las necesidades de la región o sector en cuestión.

Amendment 2173

Ramon Tremosa i Balcells

Proposal for a regulation

Article 41 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1a. the necessity for fair, proportionate support for producers who, under

**Regulation (EC) No 1782/2003 or
Regulation (EC) No 73/2009, will receive
payments based on production levels in
base periods (head of livestock), yield or
production quotas.**

Or. es

**Amendment 2174
Hans-Peter Mayer**

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 41 – paragraph 2**

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The Commission shall, **by means of implementing** acts, **adopt** rules on the procedure for the assessment and approval of decisions referred to in paragraph 1. **Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 56(2).**

Amendment

2. The Commission shall **be empowered in accordance with Article 55 to adopt** acts **for the adoption of** rules on the procedure for the assessment and approval of decisions referred to in paragraph 1.

Or. de

Justification

This is not a purely technical decision.

**Amendment 2175
Ramon Tremosa i Balcells**

**Proposal for a regulation
Title IV – Chapter 1 a (new)**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Chapter 1a

**Aid for farmers whose principal activity is
farming**

Article 41(a)

General rules

1. Member States shall grant an annual payment per agricultural work unit to farmers whose principal activity is farming.

2. The beneficiaries shall be the following:

a) natural persons of 18 years or over who are neither retired nor in receipt of a total disability allowance in respect of all farming activities, and who derive at least 50% of their total income from farming activities on their holding and spend less than 50% of their total working time on activities unrelated to farming. and

b) legal persons at least half of whose shareholders are natural persons whose principal activity is farming, or at least half of whose shares or share capital is held by such persons. For legal persons made up exclusively or for the most part of other legal persons, account will be taken of associations or links with other companies, in order to determine whether these links or associations mean that at least half of their shares or share capital is held by natural persons whose principal activity is farming.

Article 41(b)

Amount of the payment

For each relevant year, the payment per annual agricultural work unit shall be calculated by dividing the national or regional ceiling established under Article 41(c)(1) by the number of annual agricultural work units recorded for each beneficiary.

Article 41(c)

Financial provisions

1. In order to finance the payment referred to in this Chapter, Member States shall use 15% of the annual national ceiling set out in Annex II.

2. The Commission shall, by means of implementing acts, establish the ceiling for the payment referred to in this Chapter on a yearly basis. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 56(2).

Or. es

Amendment 2176
Peter Jahr, Albert Deß, Godelieve Quisthoudt-Rowohl

Proposal for a regulation
Title 4 – chapter 2 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Crop specific payment for cotton;

Time-limited crop specific payment for cotton;

Or. de

Amendment 2177
Robert Sturdy, Julie Girling, Anthea McIntyre

Proposal for a regulation
Article 42

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 42

deleted

Scope

Aid shall be granted to farmers producing cotton falling within CN code 5201 00 under the conditions laid down in this Chapter ('crop specific payment for cotton').

Or. en

Amendment 2178
Robert Sturdy, Julie Girling, Anthea McIntyre

Proposal for a regulation
Article 43

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 43

deleted

Eligibility

1. The crop specific payment for cotton shall be granted per hectare of eligible area of cotton. In order to be eligible, the area shall be located on agricultural land authorised by the Member State for cotton production, sown under authorised varieties and actually harvested under normal growing conditions.

The crop specific payment for cotton shall be paid for cotton of sound, fair and marketable quality.

2. Member States shall authorise the land and the varieties referred to in paragraph 1 in accordance with the rules and conditions to be adopted pursuant to paragraph 3.

3. To ensure an efficient management of the crop-specific payment for cotton, the Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 55 concerning rules and conditions for the authorisation of land and varieties for the purposes of the crop specific payment for cotton.

4. The Commission shall, by means of implementing acts, adopt rules on the procedure of the authorisation and the notifications to the producers related to this authorisation. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 56(2).

Or. en

Amendment 2179
Martin Häusling
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Article 43 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

The crop specific payment for cotton shall be paid for cotton of sound, fair and marketable quality.

Amendment

The crop specific payment for cotton shall be paid for cotton of sound, fair and marketable quality. ***Cotton monoculture is not eligible.***

Or. en

Amendment 2180
James Nicholson, Julie Girling

Proposal for a regulation
Article 44

Text proposed by the Commission

[...]

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2181
Robert Sturdy, Julie Girling, Anthea McIntyre, Richard Ashworth

Proposal for a regulation
Article 44

Text proposed by the Commission

[...]

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2182

Robert Sturdy, Julie Girling, Anthea McIntyre, Richard Ashworth

Proposal for a regulation

Article 45

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 45

deleted

Approved inter-branch organisations

1. For the purpose of this Chapter, an ‘approved inter-branch organisation’ shall mean a legal entity made up of farmers producing cotton and at least one ginner, carrying out activities such as:

(a) helping to coordinate better the way cotton is placed on the market, particularly through research studies and market surveys;

(b) drawing up standard forms of contract compatible with Union rules;

(c) orienting production towards products that are better adapted to market needs and consumer demand, particularly in terms of quality and consumer protection;

(d) updating methods and means to improve product quality;

(e) developing marketing strategies to promote cotton via quality certification schemes.

2. The Member State where the ginneries are established shall approve interbranch organisations that satisfy the criteria to be laid down pursuant to paragraph 3.

3. The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 55 concerning:

(a) criteria for the approval of inter-branch organisations;

(b) obligations for producers;

(c) rules for the situation where the approved inter-branch organisation does

not respect those criteria.

Or. en

Amendment 2183
James Nicholson, Julie Girling

Proposal for a regulation
Article 46

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 46

deleted

Granting of the payment

1. Farmers shall be granted the crop specific payment for cotton per eligible hectare as established in Article 44.

2. Farmers who are members of an approved inter-branch organisation shall be granted the crop specific payment for cotton per eligible hectare within the base area laid down in Article 44(1), increased by an amount of EUR 2.

Or. en

Amendment 2184
Robert Sturdy, Julie Girling, Anthea McIntyre, Richard Ashworth

Proposal for a regulation
Article 46

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 46

deleted

Granting of the payment

1. Farmers shall be granted the crop specific payment for cotton per eligible hectare as established in Article 44.

2. Farmers who are members of an approved inter-branch organisation shall

be granted the crop specific payment for cotton per eligible hectare within the base area laid down in Article 44(1), increased by an amount of EUR 2.

Or. en

Amendment 2185
Diane Dodds

Proposal for a regulation
Article 46 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. Farmers shall be granted the crop specific payment for cotton per eligible hectare as established in Article 44. *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 2186
Diane Dodds

Proposal for a regulation
Article 46 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. Farmers who are members of an approved inter-branch organisation shall be granted the crop specific payment for cotton per eligible hectare within the base area laid down in Article 44(1), increased by an amount of EUR 2. *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 2187
Peter Jahr, Albert Deß, Godelieve Quisthoudt-Rowohl

Proposal for a regulation
Article 46 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 46a

Evaluation

The Commission shall be requested to submit a report on the effectiveness and necessity of the measures in Articles 42-46 which provide for crop-specific payment for cotton in Bulgaria, Greece, Portugal and Spain. The report shall reach the Council by 31 March 2014 and shall contain proposals on alternative support for the cotton sector that are compatible with the development of the CAP.

Or. de

Amendment 2188
Ulrike Rodust, Brian Simpson, Åsa Westlund

Proposal for a regulation
Article 46 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 46a

Report on the impact of Cotton subsidies
The Commission shall prepare a report on the effectiveness of the measures contained in Articles 42-46 in delivering the support to the EU cotton sector envisaged in the Acts of Accession of Bulgaria, Greece, Portugal and Spain. The report shall be presented to the Council and the Parliament before 31 March 2014 and shall make recommendations on alternative methods of providing support which are compatible with developments in the CAP and with the obligation of Policy Coherence for

Development under Article 208 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union.

Or. en

Justification

The continuation of the Cotton-Specific Payment is environmentally unsustainable and is hindering progress in international trade talks. The Commission should examine whether there is a better way to meet the obligation in the Acts of Accession of Bulgaria, Greece, Portugal and Spain.

Amendment 2189

James Nicholson, Julie Girling, Richard Ashworth

Proposal for a regulation

Article 47 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Farmers holding payment entitlements allocated in 2014 pursuant to Article 21 and fulfilling the minimum requirements provided for in Article 10(1) may opt for participation in a simplified scheme under the conditions laid down in this Title, hereinafter referred to as ‘small farmers scheme’

Amendment

1. Member States may establish a simplified scheme for "small farmers" known as the "small farmers scheme"

Or. en

Justification

The small farmers scheme should be a voluntary option for Member States to decide whether or not to offer it.

Amendment 2190

Diane Dodds

Proposal for a regulation

Article 47 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. Farmers holding payment entitlements allocated in 2014 pursuant to Article 21 and fulfilling the minimum requirements provided for in Article 10(1) may opt for participation in a simplified scheme under the conditions laid down in this Title, hereinafter referred to as 'small farmers scheme'

1. Member States may establish a simplified scheme for "small farmers" known as the "small farmers scheme"

Or. en

Amendment 2191

Salvador Sedó i Alabart, Ramon Tremosa i Balcells, Raimon Obiols, Maria Badia i Cutchet, Santiago Fisas Aixela

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 47 – paragraph 1**

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. Farmers holding payment entitlements allocated in 2014 pursuant to Article 21 and fulfilling the minimum requirements provided for in Article 10(1) may opt for participation in a simplified scheme under the conditions laid down in this Title, hereinafter referred to as 'small farmers scheme'

1. Member States which have been in the EU since before 1 May 2004 may opt to implement a simplified scheme under the conditions laid down in this Title, hereinafter referred to as 'small farmers scheme'

Or. es

Justification

The amendment proposes making participation in the small farmers scheme optional for Member States which have been in the EU since before 1 May 2004 since this scheme means, in practice, having to put in place a new parallel management system and thus greatly complicates the running of the direct payment system.

Amendment 2192

Mairead McGuinness, Michel Dantin, Mariya Gabriel, Petri Sarvamaa, Giovanni La

Via, Astrid Lulling, Maria do Céu Patrão Neves, Czesław Adam Siekierski

Proposal for a regulation
Article 47 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. **Farmers** holding payment entitlements allocated in 2014 pursuant to Article 21 and fulfilling the minimum requirements provided for in Article 10(1) may opt for participation in a simplified scheme under the conditions laid down in this Title, hereinafter referred to as ‘small farmers scheme’

Amendment

1. **Member States may set up a simplified small farmers scheme in accordance with the conditions laid down in this Title. If the Member State applies such a scheme, farmers** holding payment entitlements allocated in 2014 pursuant to Article 21 and fulfilling the minimum requirements provided for in Article 10(1) may opt for participation in a simplified scheme under the conditions laid down in this Title, hereinafter referred to as ‘small farmers scheme’

Or. en

Amendment 2193

James Nicholson, Julie Girling, Richard Ashworth, Anthea McIntyre

Proposal for a regulation
Article 47 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Farmers holding payment entitlements allocated in 2014 pursuant to Article 21 and fulfilling the minimum requirements provided for in Article 10(1) may opt for participation in **a simplified scheme under the conditions laid down in this Title, hereinafter referred to as** ‘small farmers scheme’

Amendment

1. **Member States may operate a simplified scheme under the conditions laid down in this Title, hereinafter referred to as "small farmers scheme"**. Farmers holding payment entitlements allocated in 2014 pursuant to Article 21 and fulfilling the minimum requirements provided for in Article 10(1) may opt for participation in the ‘small farmers scheme’

Or. en

Justification

The Small farmers scheme should be voluntary for Member States to operate and not just

voluntary for farmers to opt into

Amendment 2194

George Lyon, Phil Bennion, Marit Paulsen, Liam Aylward, Kent Johansson, Anne E. Jensen

Proposal for a regulation Article 47 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Farmers holding payment entitlements allocated in 2014 pursuant to Article 21 and fulfilling the minimum requirements provided for in Article 10(1) may opt for participation in a simplified scheme under the conditions laid down in this Title, hereinafter referred to as ‘small farmers scheme’

Amendment

1. ***Member States may operate a simplified scheme under the conditions laid down in this Title, hereinafter referred to as 'small farmers scheme'***. Farmers holding payment entitlements allocated in 2014 pursuant to Article 21 and fulfilling the minimum requirements provided for in Article 10(1) may opt for participation in a simplified scheme under the conditions laid down in this Title, hereinafter referred to as ‘small farmers scheme’

Or. en

Justification

This is another amendment making it clear that this payment should be voluntary on Member States.

Amendment 2195

Christel Schaldemose

Proposal for a regulation Article 47 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Farmers holding payment entitlements allocated in 2014 pursuant to Article 21 and fulfilling the minimum requirements provided for in Article 10(1) may opt for participation in a simplified scheme under the conditions laid down in this Title,

Amendment

1. ***Member States may establish a scheme according to the conditions laid down in this Title, hereinafter referred to as 'small farmers scheme'***: Farmers holding payment entitlements allocated in 2014 pursuant to Article 21 and fulfilling the

hereinafter referred to as 'small farmers scheme'

minimum requirements provided for in Article 10(1) may opt for participation in a simplified scheme under the conditions laid down in this Title.

Or. en

Justification

It should be voluntary for Member States to decide whether to operate the small farmers scheme, and judge whether costs of setting up and running of a parallel system is an objective that the Member State aims at. Other possibilities already exist to simplify application procedures for instance for farmers applying on the basis of the same land every year.

Amendment 2196
Patrick Le Hyaric

Proposal for a regulation
Article 47 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Farmers holding payment entitlements allocated in 2014 pursuant to Article 21 and fulfilling the minimum requirements provided for in Article 10(1) may opt for participation in *a simplified* scheme under the conditions laid down in this Title, *hereinafter referred to as 'small farmers scheme'*.

Amendment

1. *Member States may introduce a specific, simplified scheme for small farmers, hereinafter referred to as the 'small farmers scheme'*. Farmers holding payment entitlements allocated in 2014 pursuant to Article 21 and fulfilling the minimum requirements provided for in Article 10(1) may opt for participation in *this* scheme, *should it be introduced by their Member State*, under the conditions laid down in this Title.

Or. fr

Amendment 2197
James Nicholson

Proposal for a regulation
Article 47 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. **Farmers** holding payment entitlements allocated in 2014 pursuant to Article 21 and fulfilling the minimum requirements provided for in Article 10(1) **may opt for participation** in a simplified scheme under the conditions laid down in this Title, hereinafter referred to as ‘small farmers scheme’

Amendment

1. **Member States may decide that farmers** holding payment entitlements allocated in 2014 pursuant to Article 21 and fulfilling the minimum requirements provided for in Article 10(1) **can have the option to participate** in a simplified scheme under the conditions laid down in this Title, hereinafter referred to as ‘small farmers scheme’

Or. en

Amendment 2198

Diane Dodds

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 47 – paragraph 1**

Text proposed by the Commission

1. **Farmers** holding payment entitlements allocated in 2014 pursuant to Article 21 and fulfilling the minimum requirements provided for in Article 10(1) **may opt for participation** in a simplified scheme under the conditions laid down in this Title, hereinafter referred to as ‘small farmers scheme’

Amendment

1. **Member States may decide that farmers** holding payment entitlements allocated in 2014 pursuant to Article 21 and fulfilling the minimum requirements provided for in Article 10(1) **can have the option to participate** in a simplified scheme under the conditions laid down in this Title, hereinafter referred to as ‘small farmers scheme’

Or. en

Amendment 2199

Christofer Fjellner

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 47 – paragraph 1**

Text proposed by the Commission

1. **Farmers** holding payment entitlements

Amendment

1. **Member States may decide that farmers**

allocated in 2014 pursuant to Article 21 and fulfilling the minimum requirements provided for in Article 10(1) may opt for participation in a simplified scheme under the conditions laid down in this Title, hereinafter referred to as 'small farmers scheme'

holding payment entitlements allocated in 2014 pursuant to Article 21 and fulfilling the minimum requirements provided for in Article 10(1) may opt for participation in a simplified scheme under the conditions laid down in this Title, hereinafter referred to as 'small farmers scheme'

Or. en

Amendment 2200

Patrick Le Hyaric, Willy Meyer, Kyriacos Triantaphyllides

Proposal for a regulation

Article 47 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Farmers ***holding payment entitlements allocated in 2014 pursuant to Article 21 and fulfilling the minimum requirements provided for in Article 10(1)*** may opt for participation in a simplified scheme under the conditions laid down in this Title, hereinafter referred to as 'small farmers scheme'.

Amendment

1. Farmers ***meeting the definition of active farmer laid down in Article 9*** may opt for participation in a simplified scheme under the conditions laid down in this Title, hereinafter referred to as 'small farmers scheme'.

Or. fr

Amendment 2201

María do Céu Patrão Neves

Proposal for a regulation

Article 47 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Farmers holding payment entitlements allocated in 2014 pursuant to Article 21 and fulfilling the minimum requirements provided for in Article 10(1) may opt for participation in a simplified scheme under the conditions laid down in this Title, hereinafter referred to as 'small farmers

Amendment

1. ***Member States may decide on a voluntary basis that*** farmers holding payment entitlements allocated in 2014 pursuant to Article 21 and fulfilling the minimum requirements provided for in Article 10(1) may opt for participation in a simplified scheme under the conditions laid

scheme’

down in this Title, hereinafter referred to as ‘small farmers scheme’.

Or. pt

Amendment 2202

Riikka Manner, Anneli Jäätteenmäki, Sari Essayah, Nils Torvalds, Hannu Takkula

Proposal for a regulation

Article 47 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1a. Member States shall put in place a small farmers scheme in accordance with this Title. However, where the proportion of potential beneficiaries of support in a Member State is less than 5% of its farmers, the Member State need not apply the small farmers scheme.

Or. en

Justification

To avoid excessive red tape the setting up of a small farmers scheme should be at the discretion of the Member States

Amendment 2203

Salvador Sedó i Alabart, Ramon Tremosa i Balcells, Raimon Obiols, Maria Badia i Cutchet, Santiago Fisas Ayxela

Proposal for a regulation

Article 47 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1a. Farmers – except those in the Member States which have chosen under the provisions of the preceding paragraph not to implement the ‘small farmers scheme’ – holding payment entitlements allocated in 2014 pursuant to Article 21 and

fulfilling the minimum requirements provided for in Article 10(1) may choose to participate in a simplified scheme under the conditions laid down in this Title.

Or. es

Amendment 2204
James Nicholson, Julie Girling, Richard Ashworth

Proposal for a regulation
Article 47 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Payments under the small farmers scheme shall replace the payments to be granted pursuant to Titles III and IV.

Amendment

2. For the purposes of this chapter, the following rules apply to those Member States who apply a small farmers scheme

Or. en

Amendment 2205
Robert Dušek

Proposal for a regulation
Article 47 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Payments under the small farmers scheme shall replace the payments to be granted pursuant to Titles III and IV.

Amendment

2. Member States may decide that payments under the small farmers scheme shall replace the payments to be granted pursuant to Titles III and IV.

Or. en

Amendment 2206
Hynek Fajmon

Proposal for a regulation
Article 47 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. **Payments** under the small farmers scheme shall replace the payments to be granted pursuant to Titles III and IV.

Amendment

2. **Member States may decide that payments** under the small farmers scheme shall replace the payments to be granted pursuant to Titles III and IV.

Or. en

Amendment 2207

Diane Dodds

Proposal for a regulation

Article 47 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Payments under the small farmers scheme **shall** replace the payments to be granted pursuant to Titles III and IV.

Amendment

2. Payments under the small farmers scheme **may** replace the payments to be granted pursuant to Titles III and IV **at the discretion of the Member State or at regional level.**

Or. en

Amendment 2208

Martin Häusling

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation

Article 47 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. **Farmers participating in the small farmers scheme shall be exempted from the agricultural practises provided for in Chapter 2 of Title III.**

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Justification

All types of farming systems should be in principle be obligated to participate under the provisions laid down in Chapter 2 Title III.

Amendment 2209

James Nicholson, Julie Girling, Richard Ashworth, Anthea McIntyre

Proposal for a regulation

Article 47 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. Farmers participating in the small farmers scheme shall be exempted from the agricultural practises provided for in Chapter 2 of Title III. *deleted*

Or. en

Justification

Small farmers should not be exempt from any greening measures.

Amendment 2210

Diane Dodds

Proposal for a regulation

Article 47 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. Farmers participating in the small farmers scheme shall be exempted from the agricultural practises provided for in Chapter 2 of Title III. *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 2211

Diane Dodds

Proposal for a regulation
Article 47 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Farmers participating in the small farmers scheme shall ***be exempted from the agricultural practises provided for in Chapter 2 of Title III.***

Amendment

3. Farmers participating in the small farmers scheme shall ***meet all cross compliance regulations equivalent to those receiving the basic payment.***

Or. en

Amendment 2212
Brian Simpson

Proposal for a regulation
Article 47 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Farmers participating in the small farmers scheme shall be exempted from the agricultural practises ***provided for*** in Chapter 2 ***of*** Title III.

Amendment

3. Farmers participating in the small farmers scheme shall be exempted from the agricultural practises ***as defined*** in Chapter 2, Title III ***of this regulation. They shall however fulfil cross compliance obligations as laid down in Regulation (EU) No [..][HZR].***

Or. en

Justification

Cross compliance should apply to small farmers, as it is important that all farmers in receipt of EU funds, regardless of the size of their holdings, adhere to minimum environmental standards.

Amendment 2213
Hynek Fajmon

Proposal for a regulation
Article 47 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. Member States shall ensure that no payment is made to farmers for whom it is established that, as from the date of publication of the Commission proposal for this Regulation, they divide their holding with the sole purpose of benefiting from the small farmers scheme. This shall also apply to farmers whose holdings result from that division.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2214

Jarosław Kalinowski, Czesław Adam Siekierski, Artur Zasada, Elżbieta Katarzyna Łukacijewska, Albert Deß

Proposal for a regulation

Article 47 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. Member States shall ensure that no payment is made to farmers for whom it is established that, as from the date of publication of the Commission proposal for this Regulation, they divide their holding with the sole purpose of benefiting from the small farmers scheme. This shall also apply to farmers whose holdings result from that division.

deleted

Or. en

Justification

Due to the expected difficulties in complying with the provision on circumvention clause (and having in mind, that in many cases monitoring the intentional farmers' actions will be simply impossible), we call for deleting this provision.

Amendment 2215
Luis Paulo Alves

Proposal for a regulation
Article 47 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4a. Where Article 20 applies, Member States may lay down different forms of financing for regions defined within the meaning of that Article.

Or. pt

Amendment 2216
Martin Häusling
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Article 47 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4a. farmers participating in the small farmer's scheme and cooperating in a producers group as defined in article 28 and 36 of regulation 627 for the purpose of improving farm viability shall have access to a 25% increase of each individual payment.

Or. en

Amendment 2217
Marc Tarabella

Proposal for a regulation
Article 47 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4a. When applying Article 20, Member States may allocate different amounts of

funding to the regional areas defined on the basis of that article.

Or. fr

Justification

The amendment makes provision for regional application in accordance with Article 20.

Amendment 2218

Patrick Le Hyaric, Willy Meyer, Kyriacos Triantaphyllides

Proposal for a regulation

Article 47 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 47a

General rules governing support for small farms

1. Member States shall grant an annual payment to small farms and small farmers who are entitled to a payment under the basic payment scheme referred to in Chapter 1.

2. For the purposes of this Chapter, small farms or small farmers shall mean holdings of a size defined by the Member States. The relevant criteria shall be laid down in delegated acts adopted by the Commission.

3. Without prejudice to the application of financial discipline, progressive reduction and capping, linear reductions as referred in Article 7, and any reductions and exclusions imposed pursuant to Article 65 of Regulation (EU) No [...] [HZR], the payment referred to in paragraph 1 of this Article shall be granted annually upon activation of payment entitlements by the farmer.

4. Member States shall set the amount referred to in paragraph 1 within the limit

of the funding provided for in Article 51(2) of this Regulation.

5. Farmers in receipt of payments under the support scheme for small farms and small farmers may take part in the thematic sub-programme referred to in the third subparagraph of Article 8(1)(b) of the rural development regulation.

Or. fr