



EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

2009 - 2014

Committee on Industry, Research and Energy

2011/0363(NLE)

4.10.2012

AMENDMENTS

28 - 170

Draft report
Giles Chichester
(PE491.251v01-00)

on the proposal for a Council regulation on Union support for the nuclear decommissioning assistance programmes in Bulgaria, Lithuania and Slovakia

Proposal for a regulation
(COM(2011)0783 – C7-0514/2011 – 2011/0363(NLE))

AM\913763EN.doc

PE496.524v01-00

EN

United in diversity

EN

AM_Com_LegReport

Amendment 28
Zigmantas Balčytis

Citation 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Atomic Energy Community, and in particular Article 203 thereof,

Amendment

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Atomic Energy Community, and in particular Article 203 thereof **and Protocol No 4 thereto**,

Or. en

Amendment 29
Alejo Vidal-Quadras

Citation 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Having regard to Protocol No. 4 of the Act concerning the conditions of accession of the Czech Republic, the Republic of Estonia, the Republic of Cyprus, the Republic of Latvia, the Republic of Lithuania, the Republic of Hungary, the Republic of Malta, the Republic of Poland, the Republic of Slovenia and the Slovak Republic and the adjustments to the Treaties on which the European Union is founded,

Or. en

Amendment 30
Zigmantas Balčytis

Recital 2 a (new)

(2a) Recognising that the premature shutdown and consequent decommissioning of the Ignalina Power Plant with two 1500 MW RBMK reactor units inherited from the Soviet union is of an unprecedented nature and represent for Lithuania an exceptional financial burden not commensurate with the size and economic strength of the country, Protocol No.4 states that the Union assistance under the Ignalina Programme will be seamlessly continued and extended beyond 2006, for the period of next financial perspectives. This extended programme will be based on the same elements and principles as during the 2004-2006 and 2007-2013 periods.

Or. en

Amendment 31
Zigmantas Balčytis

Recital 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(4) In line with the Accession Treaty obligations and with the support of Community assistance, Bulgaria, Lithuania and Slovakia have closed the nuclear power plants and made significant progress towards their decommissioning. Further work is necessary in order to continue the progress with the actual dismantling ***operations until an irreversible state within the safe decommissioning process is reached***, whilst ensuring that the highest safety standards are applied. Based on the available estimates, completion of decommissioning work will require substantial additional financial resources.

(4) In line with the Accession Treaty obligations and with the support of Community assistance, Bulgaria, Lithuania and Slovakia have closed the nuclear power plants and made significant progress towards their decommissioning. Further work is necessary in order to continue the progress with the actual ***demolition, decontamination dismantling and management of spent fuel and radioactive waste operations and to implement the steady process towards the decommissioning end state, in accordance with respective decommissioning plans*** whilst ensuring that the highest safety

standards are applied. Based on the available estimates, completion of decommissioning work will require substantial additional financial resources.

Or. en

Amendment 32
Algirdas Saudargas

Recital 4

Text proposed by the Commission

(4) In line with the Accession Treaty obligations and with the support of Community assistance, Bulgaria, Lithuania and Slovakia have closed the nuclear power plants and made significant progress towards their decommissioning. Further work is necessary in order to continue the progress with the actual dismantling operations ***until an irreversible state within the safe decommissioning process is reached***, whilst ensuring that the highest safety standards are applied. Based on the available estimates, completion of decommissioning work will require substantial additional financial resources.

Amendment

(4) In line with the Accession Treaty obligations and with the support of Community assistance, Bulgaria, Lithuania and Slovakia have closed the nuclear power plants and made significant progress towards their decommissioning. Further work is necessary in order to continue the progress with the actual ***demolition, decontamination and*** dismantling operations ***and to implement the steady process towards the*** decommissioning ***end state***, whilst ensuring that the highest safety standards are applied. Based on the available estimates, completion of decommissioning work will require substantial additional financial resources.

Or. en

Amendment 33
Rolandas Paksas

Recital 4

Text proposed by the Commission

(4) In line with the Accession Treaty obligations and with the support of

Amendment

(4) In line with the Accession Treaty obligations and with the support of

Community assistance, Bulgaria, Lithuania and Slovakia have closed the nuclear power plants and made significant progress towards their decommissioning. Further work is necessary in order to continue the progress with the actual dismantling operations ***until an irreversible state within the safe decommissioning process is reached***, whilst ensuring that the highest safety standards are applied. Based on the available estimates, completion of decommissioning work will require substantial additional financial resources.

Community assistance, Bulgaria, Lithuania and Slovakia have closed the nuclear power plants and made significant progress towards their decommissioning. Further work is necessary in order to continue the progress with the actual ***demolition, decontamination and dismantling operations and to implement the steady process towards the decommissioning end state*** whilst ensuring that the highest safety standards are applied. Based on the available estimates, completion of decommissioning work will require substantial additional financial resources.

Or. en

Amendment 34 **Ivailo Kalfin**

Recital 4

Text proposed by the Commission

(4) In line with the Accession Treaty obligations and with the support of Community assistance, Bulgaria, Lithuania and Slovakia have closed the nuclear power ***plants*** and made significant progress towards their decommissioning. Further work is necessary in order to continue the progress with the actual dismantling operations until an irreversible state within the safe decommissioning process is reached, whilst ensuring that the highest safety standards are applied. Based on the available estimates, completion of decommissioning work will require substantial additional financial resources.

Amendment

(4) In line with the Accession Treaty obligations and with the support of Community assistance, Bulgaria, Lithuania and Slovakia have closed the nuclear power ***units*** and made significant progress towards their decommissioning. Further work is necessary in order to continue the progress with the actual dismantling operations until an irreversible state within the safe decommissioning process is reached, whilst ensuring that the highest safety standards are applied. Based on the available estimates, completion of decommissioning work will require substantial additional financial resources.

Or. en

Amendment 35
Andrey Kovatchev

Recital 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(4a) Recognising that the premature shutdown and consequent decommissioning of the Ignalina Power Plant with two 1500 MW reactor units, four units of Kozloduy Nuclear Power Plant with overall capacity of 1760 MW and V1 Bohunice Power Plant with two units with the capacity of 880 MW posed a heavy long term burden on the citizens of the three countries in terms of energetic, financial, economic, environmental and social implications. In the case of Lithuania the closure of the plant represents an exceptional financial burden not commensurable with the size and the economic strength of the country.

Or. en

Amendment 36
Rolandas Paksas

Recital 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(4a) Recognising that the premature shutdown and consequent decommissioning of the Ignalina Power Plant with two 1500 MW RBMK reactor units inherited from the Soviet Union is of an unprecedented nature and represents for Lithuania an exceptional financial burden not commensurate with the size and economic strength of the country, Protocol No. 4 states that the Union assistance under the Ignalina Programme

will be seamlessly continued and extended beyond 2006, for the period of next financial perspectives. This extended programme will be based on the same elements and principles as during the 2004-2006 and 2007-2013 periods.

Or. en

Amendment 37
Algirdas Saudargas

Recital 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(4a) Recognising that the decommissioning of the Ignalina Nuclear Power Plant is of a long-term nature and represents for Lithuania an exceptional financial burden not commensurate with its size and economic strength, the Protocol No. 4 of the Act of Accession of the Czech Republic, Estonia, Cyprus, Latvia, Lithuania, Hungary, Malta, Poland, Slovenia and Slovakia states that the Union assistance under the Ignalina Programme will be seamlessly continued and extended beyond 2006, for the period of next financial perspectives. This extended programme will be based on the same elements and principles as during the 2004-2006 and 2007-2013 periods.

Or. en

Amendment 38
Andrey Kovatchev

Recital 5

Text proposed by the Commission

(5) The Union has committed to assist Bulgaria, Lithuania and Slovakia in addressing the exceptional financial burden implied by the decommissioning process, ***without prejudice to the principle that the final responsibility of decommissioning rests with the Member States concerned.*** Since the pre-accession period, Bulgaria, Lithuania and Slovakia have received substantial financial support from the Union, notably through the ***the*** Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes established for the period 2007 - 2013. ***The Union financial support*** under these Programmes will terminate in 2013.

Amendment

(5) The Union has committed to assist Bulgaria, Lithuania and Slovakia in addressing the exceptional financial burden implied by the decommissioning process. Since the pre-accession period, Bulgaria, Lithuania and Slovakia have received substantial financial support from the Union, notably through the Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes. ***The Union financial support*** established for the period 2007 - 2013 under these Programmes will terminate in 2013.

Or. en

Amendment 39
Algirdas Saudargas

Recital 5

Text proposed by the Commission

(5) The Union has committed to assist Bulgaria, Lithuania and Slovakia in addressing the exceptional financial burden implied by the decommissioning process, ***without prejudice to the principle that the final responsibility of decommissioning rests with the Member States concerned.*** Since the pre-accession period, Bulgaria, Lithuania and Slovakia have received substantial financial support from the Union, notably through the ***the*** Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes established for the period 2007 - 2013. The Union financial support under these Programmes will terminate in 2013.

Amendment

(5) The Union has committed to assist Bulgaria, Lithuania and Slovakia in addressing the exceptional financial burden implied by the decommissioning process. Since the pre-accession period, Bulgaria, Lithuania and Slovakia have received substantial financial support from the Union, notably through the Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes established for the period 2007 - 2013. The Union financial support under these Programmes will terminate in 2013.

Or. en

Amendment 40
Zigmantas Balčytis

Recital 5

Text proposed by the Commission

(5) The Union has committed to assist Bulgaria, Lithuania and Slovakia in addressing the exceptional financial burden implied by the decommissioning process, ***without prejudice to the principle that the final responsibility of decommissioning rests with the Member States concerned.*** Since the pre-accession period, Bulgaria, Lithuania and Slovakia have received substantial financial support from the Union, notably through the ***the*** Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes established for the period 2007 - 2013. The Union financial support under these Programmes will terminate in 2013.

Amendment

(5) The Union has committed to assist Bulgaria, Lithuania and Slovakia in addressing the exceptional financial burden implied by the decommissioning process. Since the pre-accession period, Bulgaria, Lithuania and Slovakia have received substantial financial support from the Union, notably through the Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes established for the period 2007 - 2013. The Union financial support under these Programmes will terminate in 2013.

Or. en

Amendment 41
Rebecca Harms
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Recital 5 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(5a) Underlines the European Court of Auditors'¹ conclusions that the main decommissioning process in Bulgaria, Lithuania and Slovakia is still ahead and its finalisation faces a significant funding shortfall (around 2,5 billion euro), in particular major infrastructure projects have faced delays and cost-overruns in the main decommissioning process, cost

estimates are not complete in the absence of key information on radioactive waste and/or the facilities and technologies required for their treatment, the Commission's supervision has focused on the budgetary execution and project implementation rather than on the achievement of the programme objectives as a whole.

¹ECA special report 16/2011 on EU financial assistance for the decommissioning of nuclear plants in Bulgaria, Lithuania and Slovakia

Or. en

Amendment 42
Ivailo Kalfin

Recital 5 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(5a) Whereas under the Programmes established for the period 2007-2013 the Commission's supervision has predominantly focussed on budgetary execution of the financial appropriations and project implementation, rather than on the extent of the progress achieved towards the Programmes' objectives as a whole.

Or. en

Amendment 43
Zigmantas Balčytis

Recital 5 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(5a) Whereas under the Programmes established for the period 2007-2013 the Commission's supervision has focussed mainly on budgetary execution of the financial appropriations and project implementation, rather than on the extent of the progress achieved towards the Programmes' objectives as a whole.

Or. en

Amendment 44

Rebecca Harms

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Recital 5 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(5b) Notes with deep concern the European Court of Auditors' conclusion that a broad variety of activities to mitigate the consequences of the nuclear reactors' early closure has been financed but the degree of mitigation achieved is not known; recalls that a high share of the EU funds were used until now for energy projects and not for the main aim of the financial assistance, namely nuclear power plants decommissioning; since the funds were not always used for the purposes for which they were intended, this Regulation should only support programmes for the decommissioning and dismantling of nuclear power plants in Bulgaria, Lithuania and Slovakia.

Or. en

Amendment 45
Ivailo Kalfin

Recital 6

Text proposed by the Commission

(6) Following the request for further funding from Bulgaria, Lithuania and Slovakia, **provision has been made in the Commission proposal for the next Multi-Annual financial framework for the period 2014-2020: ‘A Budget for Europe 2020’ for a sum of EUR 700 million from the general budget of the European Union for nuclear safety and decommissioning. From this the amount EUR 500 million in 2011 prices which is about EUR 553 million in the current prices is foreseen for a new Programme to further support the decommissioning of the Bohunice V1 units 1-2 and the Ignalina units 1-2 over the period from 2014 to 2017 and the Kozloduy units 1-4 nuclear power plants over the period from 2014 to 2020. Funding under this new Programme should be made available in a gradually decreasing manner.**

Amendment

(6) Following the request for further funding from Bulgaria, Lithuania and Slovakia, **the financial envelope for the implementation of the Programme for the period 2014 to 2020 should include sufficient financial Union participation, corresponding to the considerable funding gap for each decommissioning plan.**

Or. en

Amendment 46
Andrey Kovatchev

Recital 6

Text proposed by the Commission

(6) Following the request for further funding from Bulgaria, Lithuania and Slovakia, provision has been made in the Commission proposal for the next Multi-Annual financial framework for the period 2014-2020: ‘A Budget for Europe 2020’⁵

Amendment

(6) Following the request for further funding from Bulgaria, Lithuania and Slovakia, provision has been made in the Commission proposal for the next Multi-Annual financial framework for the period 2014-2020: "A Budget for Europe 2020"⁵

for a sum of EUR 700 million from the general budget of the European Union for nuclear safety and decommissioning. From this the amount EUR 500 million in 2011 prices which is about EUR 553 million in the current prices is foreseen for a new Programme to further support the decommissioning of the Bohunice V1 units 1-2 *and* the Ignalina units 1-2 *over the period from 2014 to 2017* and the Kozloduy units 1-4 nuclear power plants over the period from 2014 to 2020.

Funding under this new Programme should be made available in a gradually decreasing manner.

for a sum of EUR 700 million from the general budget of the European Union for nuclear safety and decommissioning. From this the amount EUR 500 million in 2011 prices which is about EUR 553 million in the current prices is foreseen for a new Programme to further support the decommissioning of the Bohunice V1 units 1-2 , the Ignalina units 1-2 and the Kozloduy units 1-4 nuclear power plants over the period from 2014 to 2020.

Or. en

Amendment 47
Marian-Jean Marinescu

Recital 6

Text proposed by the Commission

(6) Following the request for further funding from Bulgaria, Lithuania and Slovakia, provision has been made in the Commission proposal for the next Multi-Annual financial framework for the period 2014-2020: ‘A Budget for Europe 2020’⁴⁵ for a sum of EUR 700 million from the general budget of the European Union for nuclear safety and decommissioning. From this the amount EUR 500 million in 2011 prices which is about EUR 553 million in the current prices is foreseen for a new Programme to further support the decommissioning of the Bohunice V1 units 1-2 and the Ignalina units 1-2 over the period from 2014 to 2017 and the Kozloduy units 1-4 nuclear power plants over the period from 2014 to 2020. Funding under this new Programme should be made available in a gradually

Amendment

(6) Following the request for further funding from Bulgaria, Lithuania and Slovakia, provision has been made in the Commission proposal for the next Multi-Annual financial framework for the period 2014-2020: ‘A Budget for Europe 2020’⁴⁵ for a sum of EUR 700 million from the general budget of the European Union for nuclear safety and decommissioning. From this the amount EUR 500 million in 2011 prices which is about EUR 553 million in the current prices is foreseen for a new Programme to further support the decommissioning of the Bohunice V1 units 1-2 and the Ignalina units 1-2 over the period from 2014 to 2017 and the Kozloduy units 1-4 nuclear power plants over the period from 2014 to 2020. Funding under this new Programme should be made available in a gradually

decreasing manner.

decreasing manner. *The purpose of decommissioning is to proceed with the implementation as foreseen by the decommissioning plan, with focus on key decommissioning projects and with the aim of reaching an end-state in the process.*

Or. en

Amendment 48

Rebecca Harms

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Recital 6

Text proposed by the Commission

(6) Following the request for further funding from Bulgaria, Lithuania and Slovakia, provision has been made in the Commission proposal for the next Multi-Annual financial framework for the period 2014-2020: 'A Budget for Europe 2020'⁵ for a sum of EUR 700 million from the general budget of the European Union for nuclear safety and decommissioning. From this the amount EUR 500 million in 2011 prices which is about EUR 553 million in the current prices is foreseen for a new Programme to further support the decommissioning of the Bohunice V1 units 1-2 and the Ignalina units 1-2 *over the period from 2014 to 2017* and the Kozloduy units 1-4 nuclear power plants over the period from 2014 to 2020. Funding under this new Programme should be made available in a gradually decreasing manner.

Amendment

(6) Following the request for further funding from Bulgaria, Lithuania and Slovakia, provision has been made in the Commission proposal for the next Multi-Annual financial framework for the period 2014-2020: 'A Budget for Europe 2020'⁵ for a sum of EUR 700 million from the general budget of the European Union for nuclear safety and decommissioning. From this the amount EUR 500 million in 2011 prices which is about EUR 553 million in the current prices is foreseen for a new Programme to further support the decommissioning of the Bohunice V1 units 1-2 and the Ignalina units 1-2 and the Kozloduy units 1-4 nuclear power plants over the period from 2014 to 2020. Funding under this new Programme should be made available in a gradually decreasing manner.

Or. en

Justification

This amendment seeks clarification on the reasons to stop this assistance programmes for Slovakia and Lithuania already in 2017.

Amendment 49 **Zigmantas Balčytis**

Recital 6

Text proposed by the Commission

(6) Following the request for further funding from Bulgaria, Lithuania and Slovakia, provision has been made in the Commission proposal for the next Multi-Annual financial framework for the period 2014-2020: ‘A Budget for Europe 2020’⁵ for a sum of EUR 700 million from the general budget of the European Union for nuclear safety and decommissioning. From this the amount EUR 500 million in 2011 prices which is about EUR 553 million in the current prices is foreseen for a new Programme to further support the decommissioning of the Bohunice V1 units 1-2 and the Ignalina units 1-2 over the period from 2014 to 2017 and the Kozloduy units 1-4 nuclear power plants over the period from 2014 to 2020. Funding under this new Programme should be made available in a gradually decreasing manner.

Amendment

(6) ***Acknowledging the Union's commitments and*** following the request for further funding from Bulgaria, Lithuania and Slovakia, provision has been made in the Commission proposal for the next Multi-Annual financial framework for the period 2014-2020: ‘A Budget for Europe 2020’⁵ for a sum of EUR 700 million from the general budget of the European Union for nuclear safety and decommissioning. From this the amount EUR 500 million in 2011 prices which is about EUR 553 million in the current prices is foreseen for a new Programme to further support the decommissioning of the Bohunice V1 units 1-2 and the Ignalina units 1-2 over the period from 2014 to 2017 and the Kozloduy units 1-4 nuclear power plants over the period from 2014 to 2020. Funding under this new Programme should be made available in a gradually decreasing manner.

Or. en

Amendment 50 **Algirdas Saudargas**

Recital 6

Text proposed by the Commission

(6) Following the request for further funding from Bulgaria, Lithuania and Slovakia, provision has been made in the Commission proposal for the next Multi-Annual financial framework for the period 2014-2020: 'A Budget for Europe 2020'⁵ for a sum of EUR 700 million from the general budget of the European Union for nuclear safety and decommissioning. From this the amount EUR 500 million in 2011 prices which is about EUR 553 million in the current prices is foreseen for a new Programme to further support the decommissioning of the Bohunice V1 units 1-2 and the Ignalina units 1-2 over the period from 2014 to 2017 and the Kozloduy units 1-4 nuclear power plants over the period from 2014 to 2020. Funding under this new Programme should be made available in a gradually decreasing manner.

Amendment

(6) ***Acknowledging the Union's commitments and*** following the request for further funding from Bulgaria, Lithuania and Slovakia, provision has been made in the Commission proposal for the next Multi-Annual financial framework for the period 2014-2020: 'A Budget for Europe 2020'⁵ for a sum of EUR 700 million from the general budget of the European Union for nuclear safety and decommissioning. From this the amount EUR 500 million in 2011 prices which is about EUR 553 million in the current prices is foreseen for a new Programme to further support the decommissioning of the Bohunice V1 units 1-2 and the Ignalina units 1-2 over the period from 2014 to 2017 and the Kozloduy units 1-4 nuclear power plants over the period from 2014 to 2020. Funding under this new Programme should be made available in a gradually decreasing manner.

Or. en

Amendment 51
Alejo Vidal-Quadras

Recital 6 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(6a) In the case of Lithuania, Protocol No. 4 states that "Union assistance under the Ignalina Programme will be seamlessly continued and extended beyond 2006", adding that "the overall average appropriations under the extended Ignalina Programme shall be appropriate for the period of the next Financial Perspectives". On the contrary, in the case of Bulgaria, Article 30 of the

2005 Act of Accession refers only to the period 2007-2009, and in the case of Slovakia the 2003 Treaty of Accession refers only to the period 2004-2006. Therefore, for further funding for Bulgaria and Slovakia, Article 203 of the Euratom Treaty must be applied, whereas Protocol No. 4 serves as a legal basis for Lithuania.

Or. en

Amendment 52

Eduard Kukan, Alajos Mészáros, Jan Březina, Evžen Tošenovský, András Gyürk

Recital 6 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(6a) The amount of the appropriations allocated to the Programmes, as well as the programming period and distribution amongst the Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice programmes may be reviewed based on the results of the mid-term and the final evaluation report, respecting that the highest safety standards and steady process of decommissioning in accordance with respective decommissioning plans will not be endangered.

Or. en

Amendment 53

Andrey Kovatchev

Recital 7

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(7) The support covered by this Regulation

(7) The support covered by this Regulation

should ensure seamless continuation of decommissioning and concentrate on measures to ***reach an irreversible state within the safe decommissioning process, as such bringing the greatest Union added value, while ensuring the transition towards Member State funding for the completion of decommissioning.*** The ultimate responsibility for nuclear safety remains ***with*** the Member States concerned, ***which also implies the ultimate responsibility for its financing, including the financing of decommissioning.*** This Regulation does not prejudice the outcome of any future State aid procedures that may be undertaken in accordance with Articles 107 and 108 of the Treaty.

should ensure seamless continuation of decommissioning and concentrate on measures to ***implement the steady process towards the decommissioning end state in accordance with the relevant highest safety standards applicable to the decommissioning process, as such measures bring the greatest Union added value, while*** the ultimate responsibility for nuclear safety remains ***within*** the Member States concerned. This Regulation does not prejudice the outcome of any future State aid procedures that may be undertaken in accordance with Articles 107 and 108 of the Treaty ***on the Functioning of the European Union.***

Or. en

Amendment 54 **Zigmantas Balčytis**

Recital 7

Text proposed by the Commission

(7) The support covered by this Regulation should ensure seamless continuation of decommissioning and concentrate on measures to ***reach an irreversible state within the safe decommissioning process, as such bringing the greatest Union added value, while ensuring the transition towards Member State funding for the completion of decommissioning.*** The ultimate responsibility for nuclear safety remains with the Member States concerned, ***which also implies the ultimate responsibility for its financing, including the financing of decommissioning.*** This Regulation does not prejudice the outcome of any future State aid procedures that may be undertaken in accordance with Articles

Amendment

(7) The support covered by this Regulation should ensure seamless continuation of decommissioning and concentrate on measures to ***implement the steady process towards the decommissioning end state whilst ensuring that the highest safety standards are applied*** as such bringing the greatest Union added value, while the ultimate responsibility for nuclear safety remains with the Member States concerned. This Regulation does not prejudice the outcome of any future State aid procedures that may be undertaken in accordance with Articles 107 and 108 of the Treaty.

107 and 108 of the Treaty.

Or. en

Amendment 55
Algirdas Saudargas

Recital 7

Text proposed by the Commission

(7) The support covered by this Regulation should ensure seamless continuation of decommissioning and concentrate on measures to ***reach an irreversible state within the safe decommissioning process***, as such bringing the greatest Union added value, while ***ensuring the transition towards Member State funding for the completion of decommissioning***. The ultimate responsibility for nuclear safety remains with the Member States concerned, ***which also implies the ultimate responsibility for its financing, including the financing of decommissioning***. This Regulation does not prejudice the outcome of any future State aid procedures that may be undertaken in accordance with Articles 107 and 108 of the Treaty.

Amendment

(7) The support covered by this Regulation should ensure seamless continuation of decommissioning and concentrate on measures to ***implement the steady process towards the decommissioning end*** state ***whilst ensuring that the highest safety standards are applied***, as such bringing the greatest Union added value, while the ultimate responsibility for nuclear safety remains with the Member States concerned. This Regulation does not prejudice the outcome of any future State aid procedures that may be undertaken in accordance with Articles 107 and 108 of the Treaty.

Or. en

Amendment 56
Alejo Vidal-Quadras

Recital 7

Text proposed by the Commission

(7) The support covered by this Regulation should ensure seamless continuation of decommissioning and concentrate on

Amendment

(7) The support covered by this Regulation should ensure seamless continuation of decommissioning and concentrate on

measures to *reach an irreversible state within the safe decommissioning process, as such bringing the greatest Union added value, while ensuring the transition towards Member State funding for the completion of decommissioning.* The ultimate responsibility for nuclear safety remains with the Member States concerned, which also implies the ultimate responsibility for its financing, including the financing of decommissioning. This Regulation does not prejudice the outcome of any future State aid procedures that may be undertaken in accordance with Articles 107 and 108 of the Treaty.

measures to *implement the steady process towards the decommissioning end state whilst ensuring that the highest safety standards are applied, as such bringing the greatest Union added value.* The ultimate responsibility for nuclear safety remains with the Member States concerned, which also implies the ultimate responsibility for its financing, including the financing of decommissioning. This Regulation does not prejudice the outcome of any future State aid procedures that may be undertaken in accordance with Articles 107 and 108 of the Treaty *on the Functioning of the European Union.*

Or. en

Amendment 57

Rebecca Harms

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Recital 7

Text proposed by the Commission

(7) The support covered by this Regulation should ensure seamless continuation of decommissioning and concentrate on measures to reach an irreversible state within the safe decommissioning process, as such bringing the greatest Union added value, while ensuring the transition towards Member State funding for the completion of *decommissioning*. The ultimate responsibility for nuclear safety remains with the Member States concerned, which also implies the ultimate responsibility for its financing, including the financing of decommissioning. *This Regulation does not prejudice the outcome of any future State aid procedures that may be undertaken in accordance with Articles 107 and 108 of*

Amendment

(7) The support covered by this Regulation should *only* ensure seamless continuation of decommissioning and concentrate on measures to reach an irreversible state within the safe decommissioning *and dismantling* process, as such bringing the greatest Union added value, while ensuring the transition towards Member State funding for the completion of *dismantling*. The ultimate responsibility for nuclear safety remains with the Member States concerned, which also implies the ultimate responsibility for its financing, including the financing of decommissioning.

the Treaty.

Or. en

Amendment 58
Marian-Jean Marinescu

Recital 7

Text proposed by the Commission

(7) The support covered by this Regulation should ensure seamless continuation of decommissioning and concentrate on measures to reach an irreversible state within the safe decommissioning process, as such bringing the greatest Union added value, while ensuring the transition towards Member State funding for the completion of decommissioning. The ultimate responsibility for nuclear safety remains with the Member States concerned, which also implies the ultimate responsibility for its financing, including the financing of decommissioning. This Regulation does not prejudice the outcome of any future State aid procedures that may be undertaken in accordance with Articles 107 and 108 of the Treaty.

Amendment

(7) The support covered by this Regulation should ensure seamless continuation of decommissioning and concentrate on measures to reach an irreversible state within the safe decommissioning process, as such bringing the greatest Union added value, while ensuring the transition towards Member State funding for the completion of decommissioning. The ultimate responsibility for nuclear safety remains with the Member States concerned, which also implies the ultimate responsibility for its financing, including the financing of decommissioning. ***Failure to comply with this obligation puts Union citizens at risk.*** This Regulation does not prejudice the outcome of any future State aid procedures that may be undertaken in accordance with Articles 107 and 108 of the Treaty.

Or. en

Amendment 59
Rebecca Harms
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Recital 7 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(7a) As little progress has been made with regard to the final disposal of irradiated fuel elements and highly radioactive waste, and with a view to ending the export of these waste and the reprocessing of irradiated fuel elements abroad, the Union recognises also the need to expedite progress in this regards by means of financial support.

Or. en

Amendment 60
Andrey Kovatchev

Recital 10 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(10a) The costs of the decommissioning activities covered by this Regulation should be established according to the internationally recognized standards for decommissioning cost estimation, such as for example the International Structure for Decommissioning Costing jointly published by the Nuclear Energy Agency, International Atomic Energy Agency and the Commission.

Or. en

Amendment 61
Vladko Todorov Panayotov

Recital 11

Text proposed by the Commission

(11) An effective control of the evolution of the decommissioning process will be ensured by the Commission in order to assure the highest Union added value of the funding allocated within this Regulation, although the final responsibility for the decommissioning rests with the Member States. This includes effective performance measurement and assessment of corrective measures during the programme.

Amendment

(11) An effective control of the evolution of the decommissioning process will be ensured by the Commission in order to assure the highest Union added value of the funding allocated within this Regulation, although the final responsibility for the decommissioning rests with the Member States. This includes effective performance measurement and assessment of corrective measures during the programme. ***The control will be based on the pre-established by the Commission clear quantitative and qualitative relevant performance indicators.***

Or. en

Justification

The relevant quantitative and qualitative performance indicators have to be known in advance and have to be equally applicable to the three member states.

Amendment 62
Marian-Jean Marinescu

Recital 11

Text proposed by the Commission

(11) An effective control of the evolution of the decommissioning process will be ensured by the Commission in order to assure the highest Union added value of the funding allocated within this Regulation, although the final responsibility for the decommissioning rests with the Member States. This includes effective performance measurement and assessment of corrective measures during the programme.

Amendment

(11) An effective control of the evolution of the decommissioning process will be ensured by the Commission in order to assure the highest Union added value of the funding allocated within this Regulation, although the final responsibility for the decommissioning rests with the Member States. This includes effective performance measurement, ***through clear pre-set objectives scrutinized via viable performance indicators*** and assessment of corrective measures during the programme.

Amendment 63
Marian-Jean Marinescu

Recital 12

Text proposed by the Commission

(12) The financial interests of the Union should be protected through proportionate measures throughout the expenditure cycle, including the prevention, detection and investigation of irregularities, the recovery of funds lost, wrongly paid or incorrectly used and, where appropriate, penalties.

Amendment

(12) The financial interests of the Union should be protected through proportionate measures throughout the expenditure cycle, including the prevention, detection and investigation of irregularities, the recovery of funds lost, wrongly paid or incorrectly used and, where appropriate, penalties. ***The mechanism to be applied should be similar to the one used for shared management within cohesion policy***

Or. en

Amendment 64
Zigmantas Balčytis

Recital 13 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(13a) The contribution under the Ignalina Programme may, for certain measures, amount up to 100 % of the total expenditure. Every effort should be made to continue the co-financing practice established under the pre-accession assistance and the assistance given over the period 2007-2013 for decommissioning efforts of Lithuania as well as to attract co-financing from other sources as appropriate.

Or. en

Amendment 65

Rebecca Harms

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Article 1

Text proposed by the Commission

This Regulation establishes the multi-annual Nuclear Decommissioning Assistance Programme 2014 - 2020 ('the Programme') laying down rules for the implementation of the Union's financial support for measures connected with the decommissioning of the Kozloduy (units 1 to 4; the Kozloduy Programme), Ignalina (units 1 and 2; the Ignalina Programme) and Bohunice V1 (units 1 and 2; the Bohunice Programme) nuclear power plants.

Amendment

This Regulation establishes the multi-annual Nuclear Decommissioning Assistance Programme 2014 - 2020 ('the Programme') laying down rules for the implementation of the Union's financial support for measures connected with the **irreversible** decommissioning of the Kozloduy (units 1 to 4; the Kozloduy Programme), Ignalina (units 1 and 2; the Ignalina Programme) and Bohunice V1 (units 1 and 2; the Bohunice Programme) nuclear power plants **only**.

Or. en

Amendment 66

Zigmantas Balčytis

Article 1

Text proposed by the Commission

This Regulation establishes the multi-annual Nuclear Decommissioning Assistance Programme 2014 - 2020 ('the Programme') laying down rules for **the** implementation of the Union's financial support for measures connected with the decommissioning of the Kozloduy (units 1 to 4; the Kozloduy Programme), Ignalina (units 1 and 2; the Ignalina Programme) and Bohunice V1 (units 1 and 2; the Bohunice Programme) nuclear power plants.

Amendment

This Regulation establishes the multi-annual Nuclear Decommissioning Assistance Programme 2014 - 2020 ('the Programme') laying down rules for **further** implementation of the Union's financial support for measures connected with the decommissioning of the Kozloduy (units 1 to 4; the Kozloduy Programme), Ignalina (units 1 and 2; the Ignalina Programme) and Bohunice V1 (units 1 and 2; the Bohunice Programme) nuclear power plants.

Amendment 67
Rebecca Harms
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Article 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 1a

Definition

Decommissioning covers preparatory activities prior to the final shut-down (such as elaboration of a decommissioning plan, preparing the licensing documentation and waste infrastructure projects) and all activities after the reactors are shut down, i.e. the removal and the final disposal of spent fuel elements, the decontamination, dismantling and/or demolition of the nuclear installations, the disposal of remaining radioactive waste materials and the environmental restoration of the contaminated site. The decommissioning process ends when the installation is released from any regulatory control and radiological restriction.

Or. en

Justification

(It is important to know what we are talking about. The definition of 'decommissioning' is derived from the one found in the ECA -Special Report n°16/2011 on "EU Financial assistance for the decommissioning of nuclear plants in Bulgaria, Lithuania and Slovakia: achievements and future challenges")

Amendment 68
Gaston Franco

Article 2 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The general objective of the Programme is to assist the Member States concerned to reach an irreversible state within the decommissioning process of Kozloduy units 1 to 4, Ignalina units 1 and 2 and Bohunice V1 units 1 and 2 nuclear power plants, in accordance with their respective decommissioning plans, while keeping the highest level of safety.

Amendment

1. The general objective of the Programme is to assist the Member States concerned to reach an irreversible state within the decommissioning process of Kozloduy units 1 to 4, Ignalina units 1 and 2 and Bohunice V1 units 1 and 2 nuclear power plants, in accordance with their respective decommissioning plans **and with Council Directive 96/29/Euratom of 13 May 1996 laying down basic safety standards for the protection of the health of workers and general public against the dangers arising from ionising radiation**, while keeping the highest level of safety

Or. fr

Amendment 69

Rebecca Harms

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Article 2 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The general objective of the Programme is to assist the Member States concerned to reach an irreversible state within the decommissioning process of Kozloduy units 1 to 4, Ignalina units 1 and 2 and Bohunice V1 units 1 and 2 nuclear power plants, **in accordance with their respective decommissioning plans, while keeping the highest level of safety.**

Amendment

1. The general objective of the Programme is to assist the Member States concerned to reach an irreversible state within the decommissioning **and dismantling** process of Kozloduy units 1 to 4, Ignalina units 1 and 2 and Bohunice V1 units 1 and 2 nuclear power plants, **while keeping the highest state-of-the-art level of safety for the protection of the health of workers and general public and the environment.**

Or. en

Amendment 70
Algirdas Saudargas

Article 2 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The general objective of the Programme is to ***assist the Member States concerned to reach an irreversible state within*** the decommissioning ***process*** of Kozloduy units 1 to 4, Ignalina units 1 and 2 and Bohunice V1 units 1 and 2 nuclear power plants, in accordance with their respective decommissioning plans, while keeping the highest level of safety.

Amendment

1. The general objective of the Programme is to ***implement the steady process towards*** the decommissioning ***end state*** of Kozloduy units 1 to 4, Ignalina units 1 and 2 and Bohunice V1 units 1 and 2 nuclear power plants, in accordance with their respective decommissioning plans, while keeping the highest level of safety.

Or. en

Amendment 71
Rolandas Paksas

Article 2 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The general objective of the Programme is to ***assist the Member States concerned to reach an irreversible state within*** the decommissioning ***process*** of Kozloduy units 1 to 4, Ignalina units 1 and 2 and Bohunice V1 units 1 and 2 nuclear power plants, in accordance with their respective decommissioning plans, while keeping the highest level of safety.

Amendment

1. The general objective of the Programme is to ***implement the steady process towards*** the decommissioning ***end state*** of Kozloduy units 1 to 4, Ignalina units 1 and 2 and Bohunice V1 units 1 and 2 nuclear power plants, in accordance with their respective decommissioning plans, while keeping the highest level of safety.

Or. en

Amendment 72
Zigmantas Balčytis

Article 2 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The general objective of the Programme is to ***assist the Member States concerned to reach an irreversible state within*** the decommissioning ***process*** of Kozloduy units 1 to 4, Ignalina units 1 and 2 and Bohunice V1 units 1 and 2 nuclear power plants, in accordance with their respective decommissioning plans, while keeping the highest level of safety.

Amendment

1. The general objective of the Programme is to ***implement the steady process towards*** the decommissioning ***end state*** of Kozloduy units 1 to 4, Ignalina units 1 and 2 and Bohunice V1 units 1 and 2 nuclear power plants, in accordance with their respective decommissioning plans, while keeping the highest level of safety.

Or. en

Amendment 73 Ivailo Kalfin

Article 2 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The general objective of the Programme is to assist the Member States concerned to reach an irreversible state within the decommissioning process of Kozloduy units 1 to 4, Ignalina units 1 and 2 and Bohunice V1 units 1 and 2 nuclear power plants, in accordance with their respective decommissioning plans, while keeping the highest level of safety.

Amendment

1. The general objective of the Programme is to assist the Member States concerned to reach an irreversible state within the decommissioning process of Kozloduy units 1 to 4, Ignalina units 1 and 2 and Bohunice V1 units 1 and 2 nuclear power plants, in accordance with their respective decommissioning plans, while keeping the highest level of safety ***in line with Council Directive 96/29/Euratom of 13 May 1996 laying down basic safety standards for the protection of the health of workers and the general public against the dangers arising from ionizing radiation¹ and Council Directive 2009/71/Euratom of 25 June 2009 establishing a Community framework for the nuclear safety of nuclear installations².***

¹ OJ L 159, 29.6.1996, p. 1.

² OJ L 172, 2.7.2009, p. 18.

Amendment 74
Andrey Kovatchev

Article 2 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The general objective of the Programme is to assist the Member States concerned to ***reach an irreversible state within*** the decommissioning ***process*** of Kozloduy units 1 to 4, Ignalina units 1 and 2 and Bohunice V1 units 1 and 2 nuclear power plants, in accordance with their respective decommissioning plans, while ***keeping*** the highest level of safety.

Amendment

1. The general objective of the Programme is to assist the Member States concerned to ***implement the steady process towards*** the decommissioning ***end states*** of Kozloduy units 1 to 4, Ignalina units 1 and 2 and Bohunice V1 units 1 and 2 nuclear power plants, in accordance with their respective decommissioning plans, while ***maintaining*** the highest level of safety ***during the entire decommissioning process***.

Or. en

Amendment 75
Alejo Vidal-Quadras

Article 2 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The general objective of the Programme is to assist the Member States concerned to ***reach an irreversible state within*** the decommissioning ***process*** of Kozloduy units 1 to 4, Ignalina units 1 and 2 and Bohunice V1 units 1 and 2 nuclear power plants, in accordance with their respective decommissioning plans, while keeping the highest level of safety.

Amendment

1. The general objective of the Programme is to assist the Member States concerned to ***implement the steady process towards*** the decommissioning ***end state*** of Kozloduy units 1 to 4, Ignalina units 1 and 2 and Bohunice V1 units 1 and 2 nuclear power plants, in accordance with their respective decommissioning plans, while keeping the highest level of ***safety in line with Union law on nuclear*** safety.

Or. en

Amendment 76
Zigmantas Balčytis

Article 2 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Within the funding periods the specific objectives for the Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes are:

Amendment

2. Within the funding periods the **main** specific objectives for the Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes are:

Or. en

Amendment 77
Rebecca Harms
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Article 2 – paragraph 2 – point a – point iii

Text proposed by the Commission

(iii) safely managing the decommissioning waste in accordance with a detailed waste management plan, to be measured by the quantity and type of conditioned waste;

Amendment

(iii) safely managing **at national level** the decommissioning waste, **including irradiated fuel elements and highly radioactive waste**, in accordance with a detailed **national** waste management plan, to be measured by the quantity and type of conditioned waste;

Or. en

Amendment 78
Ivailo Kalfin

Article 2 – paragraph 2 – point a – point iii

Text proposed by the Commission

(iii) safely managing the decommissioning

Amendment

(iii) **in accordance with Council Directive**

waste in accordance with a detailed waste management plan, to be measured by the quantity and type of conditioned waste;

*2011/70/Euratom of 19 July 2011 establishing a Community framework for the responsible and safe management of spent fuel and radioactive waste¹, safely managing the decommissioning **and long term disposal** waste in accordance with a detailed waste management plan, to be measured by the quantity and type of conditioned waste;*

¹*OJL 199, 2.8.2011, p. 48.*

Or. en

Amendment 79

Rebecca Harms

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Article 2 – paragraph 2 – point a – point iii a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

*(**iiia**) commission and establishment of a national repository for safely managing the long term storage and final disposal of the removed irradiated fuel elements and all other radioactive waste resulting from the decommissioning and the dismantling of Kozloduy units 1 to 4, to be measured by the quantity and type of stored and disposed waste;*

Or. en

Amendment 80

Zigmantas Balčytis

Article 2 – paragraph 2 – point b – point ii

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ii) safely maintaining the reactor units **until defueling is completed**, to be

(ii) safely maintaining the reactor units to be **measured** by the number of registered

measures by the number of registered incidents;

incidents;

Or. en

Justification

Ensuring safety is important during the whole process of decommissioning , not only during defueling phase

Amendment 81

Rebecca Harms

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Article 2 – paragraph 2 – point b – point iii

Text proposed by the Commission

(iii) performing dismantling in the turbine hall and other auxiliary buildings and safely managing the decommissioning waste in accordance with a detailed waste management plan to be measured by *the type and number of auxiliary systems dismantled and* the quantity and type of conditioned waste;

Amendment

(iii) performing dismantling in the turbine hall and other auxiliary buildings and safely managing *at national level* the decommissioning waste, *including irradiated fuel elements and highly radioactive waste*, in accordance with a detailed *national* waste management plan, to be measured by the quantity and type of conditioned waste;

Or. en

Amendment 82

Ivailo Kalfin

Article 2 – paragraph 2 – point b – point iii

Text proposed by the Commission

(iii) performing dismantling in the turbine hall and other auxiliary buildings and safely managing the decommissioning waste in accordance with a detailed waste

Amendment

(iii) *in accordance with Council Directive 2011/70/Euratom*, performing dismantling in the turbine hall and other auxiliary buildings and safely managing the

management plan to be measured by the type and number of auxiliary systems dismantled and the quantity and type of conditioned waste;

decommissioning *and long term disposal* waste in accordance with a detailed waste management plan to be measured by the type and number of auxiliary systems dismantled and the quantity and type of conditioned waste;

Or. en

Amendment 83

Rebecca Harms

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Article 2 – paragraph 2 – point b – point iii a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(iia) commission and establishment of a national repository for safely managing the long term storage and disposal of the removed irradiated fuel elements and all other radioactive waste resulting from the decommissioning and the dismantling of Ignalina units 1 and 2, to be measured by the quantity and type of stored and disposed waste;

Or. en

Amendment 84

Zigmantas Balčytis

Article 2 – paragraph 2 – point b – point iii a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(iia) measures for the environmental upgrading in line with the acquis and modernisation measures of conventional production capacity to replace the production capacity of the two Ignalina Nuclear Power Plant reactors;

Justification

These measure are clearly foreseen in the Protocol No. 4

Amendment 85
Zigmantas Balčytis

Article 2 – paragraph 2 – point b – point iii b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(iiib) other measures which are consequential to the decision to close and decommission this plant and which contribute to the necessary restructuring, environmental upgrading and modernisation of the energy production, transmission and distribution sectors in Lithuania as well as to enhancing the security of energy supply and improving energy efficiency in Lithuania;

Justification

These measures are clearly foreseen on the Protocol No 4

Amendment 86
Rebecca Harms
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Article 2 – paragraph 2 – point b – point iii b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(iiib) Dismantling of reactor cores, reactor buildings and primary circuits;

Amendment 87
Rebecca Harms
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Article 2 – paragraph 2 – point c – point iii

Text proposed by the Commission

(iii) safely managing the decommissioning waste in accordance with a detailed waste management plan, to be measured by the quantity and type of conditioned waste;

Amendment

(iii) safely managing **at national level** the decommissioning waste, **including irradiated fuel elements and highly radioactive waste**, in accordance with a detailed **national** waste management plan, to be measured by the quantity and type of conditioned waste;

Or. en

Amendment 88
Ivailo Kalfin

Article 2 – paragraph 2 – point c – point iii

Text proposed by the Commission

(iii) safely managing the decommissioning waste in accordance with a detailed waste management plan, to be measured by the quantity and type of conditioned waste;

Amendment

(iii) **in accordance with Council Directive 2011/70/Euratom**, safely managing the decommissioning **and long term disposal** waste in accordance with a detailed waste management plan, to be measured by the quantity and type of conditioned waste;

Or. en

Amendment 89
Rebecca Harms
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Article 2 – paragraph 2 – point c – point iii a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(iia) commission and establishment of a national repository for safely managing the long term storage and disposal of the removed irradiated fuel elements and all other radioactive waste resulting from the decommissioning and the dismantling of Bohunice VI units 1 and 2, to be measured by the quantity and type of stored and disposed waste;

Or. en

Amendment 90

Rebecca Harms

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Article 2 – paragraph 2 – point c – point iii b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(iiib) Dismantling of reactor cores, reactor buildings and primary circuits;

Or. en

Amendment 91

Andrey Kovatchev

Article 2 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. Any of the decommissioning programmes referred to in paragraph 2 may also include measures to maintain the needed high level of the shutdown safety at the nuclear power plants,

including support with respect to plant personnel.

Or. en

Amendment 92
Zigmantas Balčytis

Article 2 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. The Ignalina Programme referred to in point (b) of paragraph 2 may also include measures to maintain a high level of safety at the nuclear power plant's units under decommissioning, including support to plant's personnel

Or. en

Justification

This wording comes from Article 2.4 of Protocol No 4 and it reflects an important commitment of the EU to ensure support for the INPP's personnel during the whole decommissioning process

Amendment 93
Vladko Todorov Panayotov

Article 2 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. Milestones ***and*** target end dates shall be defined in the act as referred to under Article 6(2).

3. The milestones, expected general results, target end dates and performance indicators of the joint multiannual Programme shall be established by 31 December 2013 and the joint annual work programmes shall be defined in the act as referred to under Article 6(2).

Justification

The main targets, milestones, deadlines and performance indicators of the whole Programme should be known in advance in order to ensure consistent optimal results and performance.

Amendment 94
Ivailo Kalfin

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

The financial envelope for the implementation of the Programme for the period 2014 to 2020 shall **be EUR 552 947 000 in current prices.**

Amendment

Considering the losses incurred by the units' early closure, and in order to meet the highest safety standards, the financial envelope for the implementation of the Programme for the period 2014 to 2020 shall ***include sufficient financial Union participation, corresponding to the considerable funding gap for the respective decommissioning plans.***

Justification

In the long term, the implementation of the decommissioning plans in Bulgaria, Lithuania and Slovakia required additional resources and co-financing for efficient and safe decommissioning. The overall financing plan and the calculation of the full costs should thus be identified by the beneficiaries and the managing authorities, and be subject to yearly update.

Amendment 95
Jaroslav Paška

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The financial envelope for the implementation of the Programme for the period 2014 to 2020 shall be EUR **552 947 000** in current prices.

The financial envelope for the implementation of the Programme for the period 2014 to 2020 shall be EUR **815 947 000** in current prices.

Or. en

Amendment 96

Eduard Kukan, Jan Březina, Alajos Mészáros, András Gyürk

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The financial envelope for the implementation of the Programme for the period 2014 to 2020 shall be EUR 552 947 000 in current prices.

The financial envelope for the implementation of the Programme for the period 2014 to 2020 shall be EUR /552 947 000/ in current prices.

Or. en

Amendment 97

Ivailo Kalfin

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

That amount shall be distributed among the Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes as follows:

deleted

(a) EUR 208 503 000 for the Kozloduy Programme for the period 2014 to 2020;

(b) EUR 229 629 000 for the Ignalina Programme for the period 2014 to 2017;

(c) EUR 114 815 000 for the Bohunice Programme for the period 2014 to 2017.

Amendment 98
Andrey Kovatchev

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) EUR 229 629 000 for the Ignalina Programme for the period 2014 to **2017**;

(b) EUR 229 629 000 for the Ignalina Programme for the period 2014 to **2020**;

Or. en

Amendment 99
Zigmantas Balčytis

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) EUR 229 629 000 for the Ignalina Programme for the period 2014 to **2017**;

(b) EUR 229 629 000 for the Ignalina Programme for the period 2014 to **2020**;

Or. en

Amendment 100
Algirdas Saudargas

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) EUR 229 629 000 for the Ignalina Programme for the period 2014 to **2017**;

(b) EUR 229 629 000 for the Ignalina Programme for the period 2014 to **2020**;

Or. en

Amendment 101
Radvilė Morkūnaitė-Mikulėnienė

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) EUR 229 629 000 for the Ignalina Programme for the period 2014 to **2017**;

(b) EUR */229 629 000/* for the Ignalina Programme for the period 2014 to **2020**;

Or. en

Amendment 102
Rolandas Paksas

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) EUR 229 629 000 for the Ignalina Programme for the period 2014 to **2017**;

(b) EUR */229 629 000/* for the Ignalina Programme for the period 2014 to **2020**;

Or. en

Amendment 103
Rebecca Harms
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) EUR 229 629 000 for the Ignalina Programme for the period 2014 to **2017**;

(b) EUR */229 629 000/* for the Ignalina Programme for the period 2014 to **2020**;

Or. en

Justification

This amendment seeks clarification on the reasons to stop this assistance programmes for

Lithuania already in 2017.

Amendment 104
Alejo Vidal-Quadras

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) EUR 229 629 000 for the Ignalina Programme for the period 2014 to **2017**;

(b) EUR 229 629 000 for the Ignalina Programme for the period 2014 to **2020**;

Or. en

Amendment 105
Jaroslav Paška

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) EUR **114 815 000** for the Bohunice Programme for the period 2014 to 2017.

(c) EUR **377 815 000** for the Bohunice Programme for the period 2014 to 2017.

Or. en

Justification

Slovakia is prepared to co-finance this process as well. But it is necessary to take into account that real costs for decommissioning are much higher as have been predicted before. According to this we propose to find the possibility of increasing a total amount of financial support for decommissioning process NPP Bohunice VI, for which we propose on the amount of 377 815 000 EUR at least. Moreover, Slovakia has implemented number of projects aimed at decommissioning of VI Jaslovske Bohunice from its own national resources, amounting to 263 millions EUR (e.g. for handling spent nuclear fuel and radioactive waste). At the same time, other countries have received EU financial support to the similar projects, which seems to create general imbalance.

Amendment 106
Andrey Kovatchev

PE496.524v01-00

44/80

AM913763EN.doc

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) EUR 114 815 000 for the Bohunice Programme for the period 2014 to **2017**.

(c) EUR 114 815 000 for the Bohunice Programme for the period 2014 to **2020**.

Or. en

Amendment 107

Rebecca Harms

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) EUR 114 815 000 for the Bohunice Programme for the period 2014 to **2017**.

(c) EUR */114 815 000/* for the Bohunice Programme for the period 2014 to **2020**.

Or. en

Justification

This amendment seeks clarification on the reasons to stop this assistance programmes for Slovakia already in 2017.

Amendment 108

Eduard Kukan, Jan Březina, Alajos Mészáros, András Gyürk

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) EUR 114 815 000 for the Bohunice Programme for the period 2014 to 2017.

(c) EUR */114 815 000/* for the Bohunice Programme for the period 2014 to 2017.

Or. en

Justification

Most recent cost analyses commissioned by Slovakia have shown insufficient financial support a GAP of 425 millions of EUR for decommissioning of V1 Jaslovske Bohunice. Therefore, we consider the amount of 114 mil EUR extremely low, taking under consideration impact and burden arising from shutting-down the V1 Jaslovske Bohunice. Moreover, Slovakia has implemented number of projects aimed at decommissioning of V1 Jaslovske Bohunice from its own national resources, amounting to 263 millions EUR (e.g. for handling spent nuclear fuel and radioactive waste). At the same time, other countries can apply for EU financial support to the similar projects, which seems to create general imbalance. In order to continue in dimensioning work and eliminate negative impacts on environment we propose to raise the Bohunice Programme 2014-2020 to minimum 300 millions EUR.

Amendment 109 **Zigmantas Balčytis**

Article 3 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The Commission will review the performance of the Programme and assess the progress of the Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes against the milestones and target dates referred to in Art 2.3 by the end of **2015** within the framework of the interim evaluation referred to in Art. 8. Based on the results of this assessment, the **Commission may review the** amount of the appropriations allocated to the Programme, as well as the programming period and distribution amongst the Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes.

Amendment

2. The Commission will review the performance of the Programme and assess the progress of the Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes against the milestones and target dates referred to in Art .6 by the end of **2017** within the framework of the interim evaluation referred to in Art. 8. Based on the results of this assessment, the amount of the appropriations allocated to the Programme, as well as the programming period and distribution amongst the Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes **may be reviewed to take account of the progress made and to ensure that the programming and allocation of the resources are based on actual payment needs and absorption capacity.**

Or. en

Justification

Revision of the performance in one year after beginning of the programme (MFF starts in

2014) is premature. Protocol No 4 states that programming of the resources allocated to Ignalina Programme shall be based on actual payment needs and absorption capacity.

Amendment 110
Jaroslav Paška

Article 3 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The Commission will review the performance of the Programme and assess the progress of the Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes against the milestones and target dates referred to in Art 2.3 by the end of 2015 within the framework of the interim evaluation referred to in Art. 8. Based on the results of this assessment, the Commission may review the amount of the appropriations allocated to the Programme, as well as the programming period and distribution amongst the Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes.

Amendment

2. The Commission will review the performance of the Programme and assess the progress of the Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes against the milestones and target dates referred to in Art 2.3 by the end of 2015 within the framework of the interim evaluation referred to in Art. 8. Based on the results of this assessment, the Commission may review the amount of the appropriations allocated to the Programme, as well as the programming period and distribution amongst the Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes ***respecting that the highest safety standards and steady process of decommissioning in accordance with respective decommissioning plans will not be endangered.***

Or. en

Justification

We propose to add the sentence which tries to prevent any unexpected impact on entire safety process of decommissioning.

Amendment 111
Rebecca Harms
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Article 3 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The Commission will review the performance of the Programme and assess the progress of the Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes against the milestones and target dates referred to in Art 2.3 by the end of 2015 within the framework of the interim evaluation referred to in Art. 8. Based on the results of this assessment, the Commission *may* review the amount of the appropriations allocated to the Programme, as well as the programming period and distribution amongst the Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes.

Amendment

2. The Commission will review the performance of the Programme and assess the progress of the Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes against the milestones and target dates referred to in Art 2.3 by the end of 2015 within the framework of the interim evaluation referred to in Art. 8. Based on the results of this assessment, the Commission, ***in agreement with the Union's budgetary authorities, shall, if appropriate,*** review the amount of the appropriations allocated to the Programme, as well as the programming period and distribution amongst the Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes.

Or. en

Amendment 112
Marian-Jean Marinescu

Article 3 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The Commission will review the performance of the Programme and assess the progress of the Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes against the milestones and target dates referred to in Art 2.3 by the end of 2015 within the framework of the interim evaluation referred to in Art. 8. Based on the results of this assessment, the Commission may review the amount of the appropriations allocated to the Programme, as well as the programming period and distribution amongst the Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes.

Amendment

2. The Commission will review the performance of the Programme and assess the progress of the Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes against the milestones and target dates referred to in Art 2.3 by the end of 2015 within the framework of the interim evaluation referred to in Art. 8. Based on the results of this assessment, the Commission may review the amount of the appropriations allocated to the Programme, as well as the programming period and distribution amongst the Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes ***under the provisions of multiannual financial framework for the years 2014-2020.***

Amendment 113
Andrey Kovatchev

Article 3 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The Commission will review the performance of the Programme and assess the progress of the Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes against the milestones and target dates referred to in Art 2.3 by the end of **2015** within the framework of *the interim* evaluation referred to in Art. 8. Based on the results of this assessment, the Commission may review the amount of the appropriations allocated to the Programme, as well as the programming period and distribution amongst the Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes.

Amendment

2. The Commission will review the performance of the Programme and assess the progress of the Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes against the milestones and target dates referred to in Art 2.3 by the end of **2017** within the framework of *a mid-term* evaluation referred to in Art. 8. Based on the results of this assessment, the Commission may review the amount of the appropriations allocated to the Programme, as well as the programming period and distribution amongst the Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes.

Amendment 114
Algirdas Saudargas

Article 3 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The Commission will review the performance of the Programme and assess the progress of the Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes against the milestones and target dates referred to in Art 2.3 by the end of **2015** within the framework of the interim evaluation referred to in Art. 8. Based on the results of this assessment, the Commission may

Amendment

2. The Commission will review the performance of the Programme and assess the progress of the Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes against the milestones and target dates referred to in Art 2.3 by the end of **2017** within the framework of the interim evaluation referred to in Art. 8. Based on the results of this assessment, the Commission may

review the amount of the appropriations allocated to the Programme, as well as the programming period and distribution amongst the Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes.

review the amount of the appropriations allocated to the Programme, as well as the programming period and distribution amongst the Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes.

Or. en

Amendment 115

Eduard Kukan, Alajos Mészáros, Jan Březina, Evžen Tošenovský, András Gyürk

Article 3 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The Commission will review the performance of the Programme and assess the progress of the Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes against the milestones and target dates referred to in Art 2.3 by the end of **2015** within the framework of the interim evaluation referred to in Art. 8. Based on the results of this assessment, the Commission may review the amount of the appropriations allocated to the Programme, as well as the programming period and distribution amongst the Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes.

Amendment

2. The Commission will review the performance of the Programme and assess the progress of the Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes against the milestones and target dates referred to in Art 2.3 by the end of **2017** within the framework of the interim evaluation referred to in Art. 8. Based on the results of this assessment, the Commission may review the amount of the appropriations allocated to the Programme, as well as the programming period and distribution amongst the Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes.

Or. en

Amendment 116

Ingeborg Gräßle

Article 3 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

The financial allocation for the Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes may also cover expenses pertaining to

Amendment

The financial allocation for the Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes may also cover expenses pertaining to

preparatory, monitoring, control, audit and evaluation activities which are required for the management of the programme and the achievement of its objectives; in particular, studies, meetings of experts, information and communication actions, including corporate communication of the political priorities of the European Union as far as they are related to the general objectives of this Regulation, expenses linked to IT networks focusing on information processing and exchange, together with all other technical and administrative assistance expenses incurred by the Commission for the management of the programme.

preparatory, monitoring, control, audit and evaluation activities which are required for the management of the programme and the achievement of its objectives; in particular, studies, meetings of experts, information and communication actions, including corporate communication of the political priorities of the European Union as far as they are related to the general objectives of this Regulation, expenses linked to IT networks focusing on information processing and exchange, together with all other technical and administrative assistance expenses incurred by the Commission for the management of the programme.

The allocation may also cover preparatory work for the construction of a final repository.

Measures to deal with the consequences of closure and decommissioning may not be covered.

Or. de

Amendment 117
Silvia-Adriana Țicău

Article 3 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

The financial allocation for the Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes may also cover expenses pertaining to preparatory, monitoring, control, audit and evaluation activities which are required for the management of the programme and the achievement of its objectives; in particular, studies, meetings of experts, information and communication actions, including corporate communication of the political priorities of the European Union as far as they are related to the general objectives of

Amendment

The financial allocation for the Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes may also cover expenses pertaining to preparatory, monitoring, control, audit and evaluation activities which are required for the management of the programme and the achievement of its objectives; in particular, studies, meetings of experts, ***training***, information and communication actions, including corporate communication of the political priorities of the European Union as far as they are related to the general

this Regulation, expenses linked to IT networks focusing on information processing and exchange, together with all other technical and administrative assistance expenses incurred by the Commission for the management of the programme.

objectives of this Regulation, expenses linked to IT networks focusing on information processing and exchange, together with all other technical and administrative assistance expenses incurred by the Commission for the management of the programme.

Or. ro

Amendment 118
Ivailo Kalfin

Article 3 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Considering the substantial losses following the early closure of the units, every effort should be made to continue at a sufficient level the co-financing practice established under the preaccession assistance and the assistance given over the period 2007-2013 for all 3 Member States' decommissioning efforts as well as to attract co-financing from other sources as appropriate.

Or. en

Amendment 119
Ingeborg Gräßle

Article 3 – paragraph 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3a. Disagreements on the interpretation of treaties and award of contracts must be submitted to an arbitration procedure. Resultant delays in construction may lead to postponement of payment and

reductions in the financial allocation. An annual report on this issue shall be submitted to the European Parliament.

Or. de

Amendment 120
Vladko Todorov Panayotov

Article 4 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. By 1 **January 2014** Bulgaria, Lithuania and Slovakia shall fulfil the following ex ante conditionalities:

Amendment

1. By 1 **September 2013** Bulgaria, Lithuania and Slovakia shall fulfil the following ex ante conditionalities:

Or. en

Justification

In order to leave to the Commission some time, if necessary, to adjust details of the joint multiannual Programme and of the annual work programme for 2014.

Amendment 121
Zigmantas Balčytis

Article 4 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) Comply with Union's acquis; in particular in the area of nuclear safety the transposition into national law of the Council Directive 2009/71/Euratom on nuclear safety and the Council Directive 2011/70/Euratom on the management of spent fuel and radioactive waste.

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Justification

Requirement to comply with the Union acquis is a discriminatory provision from the point of view of the three countries ' concerned , as the Union acquis has to be complied with by all 27 Member States. Thus this requirement should be deleted as superfluous. The relevant directives and compliance with them are already mentioned in recital 10

Amendment 122
Rolandas Paksas

Article 4 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) Comply with Union's acquis; in particular in the area of nuclear safety the transposition into national law of the Council Directive 2009/71/Euratom on nuclear safety and the Council Directive 2011/70/Euratom on the management of spent fuel and radioactive waste.

deleted

Or. en

Justification

All Member States must comply with Union's acquis, not only Bulgaria, Lithuania and Slovakia.

Amendment 123
Radvilė Morkūnaitė-Mikulėnienė

Article 4 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) Comply with Union's acquis; in particular in the area of nuclear safety the transposition into national law of the Council Directive 2009/71/Euratom on nuclear safety and the Council Directive 2011/70/Euratom on the management of

deleted

spent fuel and radioactive waste.

Or. en

Amendment 124
Alejo Vidal-Quadras

Article 4 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) Comply with Union's acquis; ***in particular*** in the area of nuclear safety ***the transposition into national law of*** the Council Directive 2009/71/Euratom on nuclear safety and the Council Directive 2011/70/Euratom on the management of spent fuel and radioactive waste.

Amendment

(a) Comply with Union's acquis in the area of nuclear safety; ***in particular*** the Council Directive 2009/71/Euratom on nuclear safety and the Council Directive 2011/70/Euratom on the management of spent fuel and radioactive waste.

Or. en

Amendment 125
Zigmantas Balčytis

Article 4 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) ***Establish a national legal framework to provide adequate provisions for the timely accumulation of national financial resources*** for the safe completion of decommissioning in accordance with applicable State aid rules.

Amendment

(b) ***Adopt and submit to the Commission a strategic decommissioning planning document (a decommissioning strategy) that covers the entire decommissioning process until the end state and identifies main processes, method of decommissioning, decommissioning end state and forecast overall cost (costs required for the safe completion of decommissioning of the nuclear reactor units concerned by this Regulation) main activities with time schedules (preparation of decommissioning, safe operation, defueling, management of operational***

and decommissioning waste, decontamination, dismantling), possible financing resources, financial schemes in accordance with applicable State aid rules.

Or. en

Amendment 126
Andrey Kovatchev

Article 4 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) Establish a national ***legal framework to provide adequate provisions for the timely accumulation of national financial resources*** for the safe completion of decommissioning ***in accordance with applicable State aid rules.***

Amendment

(b) Establish ***in*** a national ***framework an overall financing plan that identifies the full costs and the funding sources required*** for the safe completion of decommissioning ***of the nuclear reactor units, including management of the spent fuel and radioactive waste, covered by this Regulation.***

Or. en

Amendment 127
Rebecca Harms
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Article 4 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) Establish a national legal framework to provide adequate ***provisions for the timely accumulation of*** national financial resources for the safe completion of decommissioning in accordance with applicable State aid rules.

Amendment

(b) Establish a national legal framework to provide adequate ***and*** timely national financial resources for the safe completion of ***the nuclear power plants*** decommissioning, ***including radioactive waste and irradiated fuel elements,*** in accordance with ***the polluter pays principle and the*** applicable State aid rules.

Amendment 128
Zigmantas Balčytis

Article 4 – paragraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) Submit to the Commission a revised detailed decommissioning plan

Amendment

(c) Submit to the Commission a revised detailed decommissioning plan ***for the period 2014 – 2020 setting up main objectives and tasks broken down to the level of decommissioning activities, anticipated projects pipeline, schedule, cost structure and co-financing proportions. The plan shall be prepared in accordance with an internationally recognized standard for decommissioning cost estimation.***

Or. en

Justification

As the draft regulation covers the period of MFF, the planning document should include the same period of time. Furthermore, according to the international practice, the decommissioning plans should be revised periodically

Amendment 129
Rebecca Harms
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Article 4 – paragraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) Submit to the Commission a revised detailed decommissioning plan

Amendment

(c) Submit to the Commission a revised detailed decommissioning plan, ***including concrete milestones and proven boundary conditions for Union added value, which leads to achieve timely real physical***

progress in defueling and decommissioning and ensuring that the closure is irreversible.

Or. en

Amendment 130
Marian-Jean Marinescu

Article 4 – paragraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) Submit to the Commission a revised detailed decommissioning plan

Amendment

(c) Submit to the Commission a revised ***solid and complete*** detailed decommissioning plan, ***including full cost estimates alongside a clear indication of the national co-financing and the way to secure this national funding in the long term;***

Or. en

Amendment 131
Andrey Kovatchev

Article 4 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The Commission shall assess the information provided on the fulfilment of the ex ante conditionalities when preparing the 2014 annual work programme as referred to in Article 6(1). ***It may decide, when adopting the annual work programme, to suspend all or part*** of the Union financial assistance ***pending the satisfactory completion*** of the *ex ante conditionalities*.

Amendment

2. The Commission shall assess the information provided on the fulfilment of the ex ante conditionalities when preparing the 2014 annual work programme as referred to in Article 6(1). ***If there is a reasoned opinion by the Commission in respect of an infringement for non-compliance with conditionality referred to in paragraph 1(a) or if conditionalities referred to in paragraph 1(b) or 1(c) is not satisfactory, the decision on suspension of part or of all*** of the Union financial

assistance *may be taken in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 9(2). Such decision would be reflected in the adoption of the 2014 annual work programme. The amount of the suspended assistance shall be defined following criteria set out in the act referred to in Article 6(2).*

Or. en

Amendment 132
Zigmantas Balčytis

Article 4 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The Commission shall assess the information provided on the fulfilment of the ex ante conditionalities when preparing the 2014 annual work programme as referred to in Article 6(1). ***It may decide, when adopting the annual work programme, to suspend all or part of the Union financial assistance pending the satisfactory completion of the ex ante conditionalities.***

Amendment

2. The Commission shall assess the information provided on the fulfilment of the ex ante conditionalities when preparing the 2014 annual work programme as referred to in Article 6. ***I If there is a reasoned opinion by the Commission that implementation of the conditionalities referred to in paragraph 1 (b) or 1 (c) is not satisfactory, the decision on suspension of all or part of the Union's financial assistance shall be taken in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 9(2).***

Or. en

Justification

The decision on suspension of assistance should not be made unilaterally by the Commission, Member States shall be also involved.

Amendment 133
Silvia-Adriana Țicău

Article 4 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The Commission shall assess the information provided on the fulfilment of the ex ante conditionalities when preparing the 2014 annual work programme as referred to in Article 6(1). It may decide, when adopting the annual work programme, to suspend all or part of the Union financial assistance pending the satisfactory completion of the ex ante conditionalities.

Amendment

2. The Commission shall assess the information provided on the fulfilment of the ex ante conditionalities when preparing the 2014 annual work programme as referred to in Article 6(1). It may decide, when adopting the annual work programme, to suspend all or part of the Union financial assistance pending the satisfactory completion of the ex ante conditionalities, ***provided that such suspension does not jeopardise security at the nuclear power stations referred to in Article 1.***

Or. ro

Amendment 134

Vladko Todorov Panayotov

Article 4 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The Commission shall assess the information provided on the fulfilment of the ex ante conditionalities when preparing the **2014** annual work programme as referred to in Article 6(1). It may decide, when adopting the annual work programme, to suspend all or part of the Union financial assistance pending the satisfactory completion of the ex ante conditionalities.

Amendment

2. The Commission shall assess the information provided on the fulfilment of the ex ante conditionalities when preparing the ***joint multiannual Programme and the joint*** annual work programme ***for 2014*** as referred to in Article 6(1). It may decide, when adopting the annual work programme, to suspend all or part of the Union financial assistance pending the satisfactory completion of the ex ante conditionalities.

Or. en

Justification

In line with amendment 2.

Amendment 135

Rebecca Harms

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Article 4 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The Commission shall assess the information provided on the fulfilment of the ex ante conditionalities when preparing the 2014 annual work programme as referred to in Article 6(1). It **may** decide, when adopting the annual work programme, to suspend all or part of the Union financial assistance pending the **satisfactory** completion of the ex ante conditionalities.

Amendment

2. The Commission shall assess the information provided on the fulfilment of the ex ante conditionalities when preparing the 2014 annual work programme as referred to in Article 6(1). It **shall** decide, when adopting the annual work programme, to suspend all or part of the Union financial assistance pending the **full** completion of the ex ante conditionalities.

Or. en

Amendment 136

Andrey Kovatchev

Article 5 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 5a

Annual work programmes

1. At the beginning of each year for the 2014-2020 period, the Commission shall adopt a joint annual work programme for Kozloduy, Bohunice and Ignalina Programmes specifying for each Programme the objectives, expected results, related performance indicators and timeline for the use of funds under

each annual financial commitment. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 9(2).

2. At the end of each year of the 2014-2020 period, the Commission establishes a progress report of the implementation of the work carried out in the previous years. This report shall be submitted to the European Parliament and the Council and shall serve as a basis for the adoption of the next joint annual work programme.

Or. en

Amendment 137
Andrey Kovatchev

Article 6 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Annual work programmes and implementation procedures

Implementation procedures

Or. en

Amendment 138
Andrey Kovatchev

Article 6 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. The Commission shall adopt one joint annual work programme for Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes specifying the objectives, expected results, related indicators and timeline for the use of funds under each annual financial commitment.

deleted

Amendment 139
Vladko Todorov Panayotov

Article 6 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The Commission shall adopt one joint annual work programme for Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes specifying the objectives, expected results, related indicators and timeline for the use of funds under each annual financial commitment.

Amendment

1. ***At the end of each year for the 2013 - 2020 period***, the Commission shall adopt one joint annual work programme for Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes ***respectively*** specifying the objectives, expected results, related ***performance*** indicators and timeline for the use of funds under each annual financial commitment. ***The adoption of the next annual work programme will be based on an evaluation report of the implementation of the joint annual work programme for the previous year.***

Or. en

Justification

This ensures conditions for continuity of work already being done with the help of funding up to 2013 inclusive.

Amendment 140
Silvia-Adriana Țicău

Article 6 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The Commission shall adopt one joint annual work programme for Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes specifying the objectives, expected results, related indicators and timeline for the use

Amendment

1. The Commission shall, ***on the basis of the information received from the Member States***, adopt one joint annual work programme for Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes specifying the

of funds under each annual financial commitment.

objectives, expected results, related indicators and timeline for the use of funds under each annual financial commitment.

Or. ro

Amendment 141
Zigmantas Balčytis

Article 6 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The Commission shall adopt **one joint** annual work **programme** for Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes specifying the objectives, expected results, related indicators and timeline for the use of funds under each annual financial commitment.

Amendment

1. The Commission shall adopt **separate** annual work **programmes** for Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes specifying the objectives, expected results, related indicators and timeline for the use of funds under each annual financial commitment.

Or. en

Amendment 142
Andrey Kovatchev

Article 6 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The Commission shall adopt not later than 31 December 2014, detailed implementation procedures for the duration of the Programme. The act setting out the implementation procedures shall also define in more detail for the Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes **the** expected results, **activities and** the corresponding performance indicators. It **will** contain the revised detailed decommissioning plans as referred to under Article 4(1)(c) that shall serve as baseline

Amendment

2. The Commission shall adopt not later than 31 December 2014, detailed implementation procedures for the duration of the Programme. **Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 9(2).** The act setting out the implementation procedures shall also define in more detail for the Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes **objectives, expected results, milestones, target and dates, as well as** the

for the monitoring of the progress and the timely achievement of the expected results.

corresponding performance indicators. It **shall** contain the revised detailed decommissioning plans as referred to under Article 4(1)(c) that shall serve as baseline for the monitoring of the progress and the timely achievement of the expected results.

Or. en

Amendment 143
Zigmantas Balčytis

Article 6 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The Commission shall adopt not later than 31 December 2014, detailed implementation procedures for the duration of the **Programme**. The act setting out the implementation procedures shall also define in more detail for the Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes the expected results, activities and the corresponding performance indicators. It will contain the revised detailed decommissioning plans as referred to under Article 4(1)(c) that shall serve as baseline for the monitoring of the progress and the timely achievement of the expected results.

Amendment

2. The Commission shall adopt not later than 31 December 2014, detailed implementation procedures for the duration of the **Programmes**. The act setting out the implementation procedures shall also define in more detail for the Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes the expected results, activities and the corresponding performance indicators. It will contain the revised detailed decommissioning plans as referred to under Article 4(1)(c) that shall serve as baseline for the monitoring of the progress and the timely achievement of the expected results.

Or. en

Amendment 144
Vladko Todorov Panayotov

Article 6 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The Commission shall adopt not later than **31 December** 2014, detailed

Amendment

2. The Commission shall adopt not later than **1 June** 2014, detailed implementation

implementation procedures for the duration of the Programme. The act setting out the implementation procedures shall also define in more detail for the Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes the expected results, activities *and* the corresponding performance indicators. It will contain the revised detailed decommissioning plans as referred to under Article 4(1)(c) that shall serve as baseline for the monitoring of the progress and the timely achievement of the expected results.

procedures for the duration of the Programme. The act setting out the implementation procedures shall also define in more detail for the Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes the expected *general and specific milestones and* results, activities, the corresponding performance indicators *and deadlines*. It will contain the revised detailed decommissioning plans as referred to under Article 4(1)(c) that shall serve as baseline for the monitoring of the progress and the timely achievement of the expected results.

Or. en

Justification

This will ensure conditions for continuity of work that already started with the help of funding up to 2013 inclusive. MS will know on time the performance indicators that will be applied in assessing their progress.

Amendment 145 **Zigmantas Balčytis**

Article 6 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The Commission shall adopt not later than 31 December 2014, detailed implementation procedures for the duration of the **Programme**. The act setting out the implementation procedures shall also define in more detail for the Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes the expected results, activities and the corresponding performance indicators. It will contain the revised detailed decommissioning plans as referred to under Article 4(1)(c) that shall serve as baseline for the monitoring of the progress and the timely achievement of the expected results.

Amendment

2. The Commission shall adopt, **by means of implementing acts**, not later than 31 December 2014, detailed implementation procedures for the duration of the **Programmes, in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 9(2)**. The act setting out the implementation procedures shall also define in more detail for the Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes the expected results, activities and the corresponding performance indicators. It will contain the revised detailed decommissioning plans as referred to under Article 4(1)(c) that shall serve as baseline

for the monitoring of the progress and the timely achievement of the expected results.

Or. en

Amendment 146
Silvia-Adriana Țicău

Article 6 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The Commission shall adopt not later than 31 December **2014**, detailed implementation procedures for the duration of the Programme. The act setting out the implementation procedures shall also define in more detail for the Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes the expected results, activities and the corresponding performance indicators. It will contain the revised detailed decommissioning plans as referred to under Article 4(1)(c) that shall serve as baseline for the monitoring of the progress and the timely achievement of the expected results.

Amendment

2. The Commission shall adopt, not later than 31 December **2013**, detailed implementation procedures for the duration of the Programme. The act setting out the implementation procedures shall also define in more detail for the Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice Programmes the expected results, activities and the corresponding performance indicators. It will contain the revised detailed decommissioning plans as referred to under Article 4(1)(c) that shall serve as baseline for the monitoring of the progress and the timely achievement of the expected results.

Or. ro

Amendment 147
Rebecca Harms
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Article 6 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. The Commission shall ensure the implementation of this Regulation and shall submit a yearly progress report on the implementation of the joint annual work programmes as referred to in

paragraph 1 to the European Parliament and the Council. It shall carry out an interim evaluation, as provided for in Article 8 (1).

Or. en

Amendment 148
Andrey Kovatchev

Article 6 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. The annual work programmes and the acts setting out the implementation procedures referred to in paragraph 1 and 2 shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 9(2).

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 149
Zigmantas Balčytis

Article 8 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Evaluation

Mid-term evaluation

Or. en

Amendment 150
Andrey Kovatchev

Article 8 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Evaluation

Mid-term Evaluation

Or. en

Amendment 151
Ivailo Kalfin

Article 8 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. No later than end 2015, an evaluation report shall be established by the Commission on the achievement of the objectives of all the measures, at the level of results and impacts, the efficiency of the use of resources and its Union added value, in view of a decision amending or suspending the measures. The evaluation shall additionally address the scope for simplification, its internal and external coherence, the continued relevance of all objectives. It shall take into account evaluation results on the long-term impact of the predecessor measures.

1. No later than end 2015, an *interim* evaluation report shall be established by the Commission, ***in close cooperation with the beneficiaries***, on the achievement of the objectives of all the measures, at the level of results and impacts, the efficiency of the use of resources and its Union added value, in view of a decision amending or suspending the measures. ***Based on the results of this assessment, the Commission may review the appropriateness of the appropriations allocated to the Programme and their distribution amongst Kozloduy, Ignalina and Bohunice.*** The evaluation shall additionally address the scope for simplification, its internal and external coherence, the continued relevance of all objectives. It shall take into account evaluation results on the long-term impact of the predecessor measures.

Or. en

Amendment 152
Andrey Kovatchev

Article 8 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. No later than end **2015**, **an** evaluation report shall be established by the Commission on the achievement of the objectives of all the measures, at the level of results and impacts, the efficiency of the use of resources and its Union added value, in view of a decision amending or suspending the measures. The evaluation shall additionally address the scope for **simplification, its internal and external coherence, the continued relevance of all objectives. It shall take into account evaluation results on the long-term impact of the predecessor measures.**

Amendment

1. No later than end **2017**, **a mid-term** evaluation report shall be established by the Commission, **in close cooperation with the beneficiaries and the Member States**, on the achievement of the objectives of all the measures, at the level of results and impacts, the efficiency of the use of resources and its Union added value, in view of a decision amending or suspending the measures. The evaluation shall additionally address the scope for **modification of the specific objectives and detailed implementation procedures.**

Or. en

Amendment 153
Alejo Vidal-Quadras

Article 8 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. **No later than end 2015**, **an** evaluation report shall be established by the Commission on the achievement of the objectives of all the measures, at the level of results and impacts, the efficiency of the use of resources and its Union added value, in view of a decision amending or suspending the measures. The evaluation shall additionally address the scope for simplification, its internal and external coherence, the continued relevance of all objectives. It shall take into account evaluation results on the long-term impact of the predecessor measures.

Amendment

1. **At the end of 2015 in the case of Slovakia and in 2017 in the case of Bulgaria and Lithuania**, **a mid-term** evaluation report shall be established by the Commission on the achievement of the objectives of all the measures, at the level of results and impacts, the efficiency of the use of resources and its Union added value, in view of a decision amending or suspending the measures. The evaluation shall additionally address the scope for simplification, its internal and external coherence, the continued relevance of all objectives. It shall take into account evaluation results on the long-term impact of the predecessor measures.

Or. en

Amendment 154
Marian-Jean Marinescu

Article 8 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. No later than end 2015, an evaluation report shall be established by the Commission on the achievement of the objectives of all the measures, at the level of results and impacts, the efficiency of the use of resources and its Union added value, in view of a decision amending or suspending the measures. The evaluation shall additionally address the scope for simplification, its internal and external coherence, the continued relevance of all objectives. It shall take into account evaluation results on the long-term impact of the predecessor measures.

Amendment

1. No later than end 2015, an evaluation report shall be established by the Commission on the achievement of the objectives of all the measures, at the level of results and impacts, the efficiency of the use of resources and its Union added value, in view of a decision, ***within the provisions of multiannual financial framework for the years 2014-2020*** amending or suspending the measures. The evaluation shall additionally address the scope for simplification, its internal and external coherence, the continued relevance of all objectives. It shall take into account evaluation results on the long-term impact of the predecessor measures.

Or. en

Amendment 155
Radvilė Morkūnaitė-Mikulėnienė

Article 8 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. No later than end **2015**, ***an*** evaluation report shall be established by the Commission on the achievement of the objectives of all the measures, at the level of results and impacts, the efficiency of the use of resources and its Union added value, in view of a decision amending or suspending the measures. The evaluation shall additionally address the scope for

Amendment

1. No later than end **2017**, ***a mid-term*** evaluation report shall be established by the Commission on the achievement of the objectives of all the measures, at the level of results and impacts, the efficiency of the use of resources and its Union added value, in view of a decision amending or suspending the measures. The evaluation shall additionally address the scope for

simplification, its internal and external coherence, the continued relevance of all objectives. It shall take into account evaluation results on the long-term impact of the predecessor measures.

simplification, its internal and external coherence, the continued relevance of all objectives. It shall take into account evaluation results on the long-term impact of the predecessor measures.

Or. en

Amendment 156

Eduard Kukan, Alajos Mészáros, Jan Březina, Evžen Tošenovský, András Gyürk

Article 8 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. No later than end **2015**, an evaluation report shall be established by the Commission on the achievement of the objectives of all the measures, at the level of results and impacts, the efficiency of the use of resources and its Union added value, in view of a decision amending or suspending the measures. The evaluation shall additionally address the scope for simplification, its internal and external coherence, the continued relevance of all objectives. It shall take into account evaluation results on the long-term impact of the predecessor measures.

Amendment

1. No later than end **2017**, an evaluation report shall be established by the Commission on the achievement of the objectives of all the measures, at the level of results and impacts, the efficiency of the use of resources and its Union added value, in view of a decision amending or suspending the measures. The evaluation shall additionally address the scope for simplification, its internal and external coherence, the continued relevance of all objectives. It shall take into account evaluation results on the long-term impact of the predecessor measures.

Or. en

Amendment 157

Rolandas Paksas

Article 8 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. No later than end **2015**, an evaluation report shall be established by the Commission on the achievement of the

Amendment

1. No later than end **2017**, an evaluation report shall be established by the Commission on the achievement of the

objectives of all the measures, at the level of results and impacts, the efficiency of the use of resources and its Union added value, in view of a decision amending or suspending the measures. The evaluation shall additionally address the scope for simplification, its internal and external coherence, the continued relevance of all objectives. It shall take into account evaluation results on the long-term impact of the predecessor measures.

objectives of all the measures, at the level of results and impacts, the efficiency of the use of resources and its Union added value, in view of a decision amending or suspending the measures. The evaluation shall additionally address the scope for simplification, its internal and external coherence, the continued relevance of all objectives. It shall take into account evaluation results on the long-term impact of the predecessor measures.

Or. en

Amendment 158
Jaroslav Paška

Article 8 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. No later than end **2015**, an evaluation report shall be established by the Commission on the achievement of the objectives of all the measures, at the level of results and impacts, the efficiency of the use of resources and its Union added value, in view of a decision amending or suspending the measures. The evaluation shall additionally address the scope for simplification, its internal and external coherence, the continued relevance of all objectives. It shall take into account evaluation results on the long-term impact of the predecessor measures.

Amendment

1. No later than end **2017**, an evaluation report shall be established by the Commission on the achievement of the objectives of all the measures, at the level of results and impacts, the efficiency of the use of resources and its Union added value, in view of a decision amending or suspending the measures. The evaluation shall additionally address the scope for simplification, its internal and external coherence, the continued relevance of all objectives. It shall take into account evaluation results on the long-term impact of the predecessor measures.

Or. en

Amendment 159
Algirdas Saudargas

Article 8 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. No later than end **2015**, an evaluation report shall be established by the Commission on the achievement of the objectives of all the measures, at the level of results and impacts, the efficiency of the use of resources and its Union added value, in view of a decision amending or suspending the measures. The evaluation shall additionally address the scope for simplification, its internal and external coherence, the continued relevance of all objectives. It shall take into account evaluation results on the long-term impact of the predecessor measures.

Amendment

1. No later than end **2017**, an evaluation report shall be established by the Commission on the achievement of the objectives of all the measures, at the level of results and impacts, the efficiency of the use of resources and its Union added value, in view of a decision amending or suspending the measures. The evaluation shall additionally address the scope for simplification, its internal and external coherence, the continued relevance of all objectives. It shall take into account evaluation results on the long-term impact of the predecessor measures.

Or. en

Amendment 160
Zigmantas Balčytis

Article 8 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. No later than end 2015, **an** evaluation report shall be established by the Commission on the achievement of the objectives of all the measures, at the level of results and impacts, the efficiency of the use of resources and its Union added value, in view of a decision amending or suspending the measures. The evaluation shall additionally address the scope for simplification, its internal and external coherence, the continued relevance of all objectives. It shall take into account evaluation results on the long-term impact of the predecessor measures.

Amendment

1. No later than end 2015, **a mid-term** evaluation report shall be established by the Commission on the achievement of the objectives of all the measures, at the level of results and impacts, the efficiency of the use of resources and its Union added value, in view of a decision amending or suspending the measures. The evaluation shall additionally address the scope for simplification, its internal and external coherence, the continued relevance of all objectives. It shall take into account evaluation results on the long-term impact of the predecessor measures.

Or. en

Justification

Evaluation of results after just one year of the start of the new multiannual financial period would be too premature to evaluate actual effects of the programme, therefore 2017 (mid-term of 2014 – 2020 multiannual financial framework) is being proposed.

Amendment 161

Rebecca Harms

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Article 8 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. No later than end 2015, an evaluation report shall be established by the Commission on the achievement of the objectives of all the measures, at the level of results and impacts, the efficiency of the use of resources and its Union added value, in view of a decision amending or suspending the measures. The evaluation shall additionally address the scope for simplification, its internal and external coherence, the continued relevance of all objectives. It shall take into account evaluation results on the long-term impact of the predecessor measures.

Amendment

1. No later than end 2015, an *interim* evaluation report shall be established by the Commission on the achievement of the objectives of all the measures, at the level of results and impacts, the efficiency of the use of resources and its Union added value, in view of a decision amending or suspending the measures. The evaluation shall additionally address the scope for simplification, its internal and external coherence, the continued relevance of all objectives. It shall take into account evaluation results on the long-term impact of the predecessor measures.

Or. en

Amendment 162

Rebecca Harms

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Article 8 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1a. No later than 31 December 2018, the Commission shall carry out a second interim evaluation report based on the

same criteria as referred to in paragraph 1. The second interim evaluation report shall also examine the effectiveness and efficiency of the Programme and its impact on decommissioning. On the basis of that report, the Commission shall submit, if appropriate, proposals to continue the Programme beyond 2020.

Or. en

Amendment 163
Andrey Kovatchev

Article 8 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. The Commission shall carry out ex-post evaluation in close cooperation with the Member States and beneficiaries. The ex-post evaluation shall examine the effectiveness and efficiency of the Programme and its impact on decommissioning.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 164
Zigmantas Balčytis

Article 8 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. The Commission shall carry out ex-post evaluation in close cooperation with the Member States and beneficiaries. The ex-post evaluation shall examine the effectiveness and efficiency of the **Programme and its** impact on decommissioning.

2. The Commission shall carry out ex-post evaluation in close cooperation with the Member States and beneficiaries. The ex-post evaluation shall examine the effectiveness and efficiency of the **Programmes and their** impact on decommissioning.

Amendment 165
Jaroslav Paška

Article 8 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The Commission shall carry out ex-post evaluation in close cooperation with the Member States **and beneficiaries**. The ex-post evaluation shall examine the effectiveness and efficiency of the Programme and its impact on decommissioning.

Amendment

2. The Commission shall carry out ex-post evaluation in close cooperation with the **beneficiary** Member States. The ex-post evaluation shall examine the effectiveness and efficiency of the Programme and its impact on decommissioning.

Or. en

Justification

In respect of elimination of administrative burden and the effectiveness reasons, we propose that ex-post evaluation should be carry out in close cooperation with the beneficiaries Member States only, it means between the Commission and beneficiaries. Cooperation with all Member States is ensured within the all approval process in all projects and the results of the evaluation will be presented publicly and the conclusions of these evaluations shall be communicated to the European Parliament and the Council, where are all States participated.

Amendment 166

Eduard Kukan, Alajos Mészáros, Jan Březina, Evžen Tošenovský, András Gyürk

Article 8 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The Commission shall carry out ex-post evaluation in close cooperation with the Member States **and beneficiaries**. The ex-post evaluation shall examine the effectiveness and efficiency of the Programme and its impact on

Amendment

2. The Commission shall carry out ex-post evaluation in close cooperation with the **beneficiary** Member States. The ex-post evaluation shall examine the effectiveness and efficiency of the Programme and its impact on decommissioning.

decommissioning.

Or. en

Amendment 167

Rebecca Harms

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Article 8 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Evaluations shall take account of progress against performance indicators as referred to in Article 2(2).

Amendment

3. Evaluations shall take account of progress against performance indicators as referred to in Article 2(2) **and against the ability of Bulgaria, Lithuania and Slovakia to finance alone the nuclear power plants decommissioning, including radioactive waste and irradiated fuel elements as referred to in Article 4(1)(b) after 2020 at the latest.**

Or. en

Amendment 168

Rebecca Harms

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Article 8 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The Commission shall **communicate** the conclusions of these evaluations to the European Parliament and the Council.

Amendment

4. The Commission shall **submit** the conclusions of these evaluations to the European Parliament and the Council.

Or. en

Amendment 169

Ivailo Kalfin

Article 8 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 8a

Final Evaluation for the period 2014-2020

1. The Commission shall carry out ex-post evaluation in close cooperation with the beneficiaries. The ex-post evaluation shall examine the effectiveness and efficiency of the Programme and its impact on decommissioning.

2. Before 31 December 2020, the Commission shall establish in close cooperation with the Member States and beneficiaries, a final evaluation report the effectiveness and efficiency of the Programme, as well as the effectiveness of financed measures in terms of impacts, use of resources and added value for the Union.

3. The final evaluation shall take account of progress against performance indicators as referred to in Article 2(2).

4. The Commission shall communicate the conclusions of this evaluation to the European Parliament and the Council.

5. The Commission, will take into account the various decommissioning expertise and strategies employed by these Member States, to explore possible ways of harmonising approaches of decommissioning in the Union in order to ensure timely accumulation of the necessary knowledge in order to improve the competitiveness of the Union nuclear industry in that domain.

Or. en

Amendment 170
Zigmantas Balčytis

Article 8 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 8a

Final evaluation

Before 31 December 2020, the Commission shall establish in close cooperation with the Member States and beneficiaries, a final evaluation report concerning the effectiveness and efficiency of the Programmes, as well as the effectiveness of financed measures in terms of impacts, use of resources and added value for the Union. In case of Lithuania, the evaluation report shall identify further Union financial assistance needs till the decommissioning end state which is foreseen at the end of 2029 .

Or. en