



EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

2009 - 2014

---

*Committee on Foreign Affairs*

---

**2012/2319(INI)**

16.4.2013

# **AMENDMENTS**

## **1 - 104**

**Draft report**  
**Marietta Giannakou**  
(PE506.075v01-00)

EU's military structures: state of play  
(2012/2319(INI))

AM\932925EN.doc

PE508.239v01-00

**EN**

*United in diversity*

**EN**

AM\_Com\_NonLegReport

**Amendment 1**  
**Alf Svensson**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Citation 4 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***- having regard to the solidarity clause in the Lisbon Treaty, which requires other Member States to provide resources if another Member State is the victim of a disaster, a terrorist attack or an armed attack,***

Or. sv

**Amendment 2**  
**Marietje Schaake**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Citation 5 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***- having regard to the Cybersecurity Strategy of the European Union<sup>1</sup> and the Tallinn Manual on the International Law Applicable to Cyber Warfare,***

---

<sup>1</sup> JOIN(2013) 1 final

Or. en

**Amendment 3**  
**Michael Gahler, Marietta Giannakou**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Citation 6 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***- having regard to the discussion of the informal meeting of defence ministers of 12 February 2013 on the preparations for the European Council on Security and Defence in December 2013,***

Or. en

**Amendment 4**  
**Norica Nicolai**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 1**

*Motion for a resolution*

1. Notes with increasing urgency the EU's insufficient capacity to respond to international crises in a timely and efficient manner, in spite of its long-standing commitment to preserving peace, preventing conflicts and strengthening international security in accordance with the principles of the UN Charter; stresses that the EU must assume its responsibilities to act as a security provider in Europe, its neighbourhood and the world;

*Amendment*

1. Notes with increasing urgency the EU's insufficient capacity to respond to international crises, ***either natural disasters or of a humanitarian nature, as well as security crises and UN calls for contributions of forces***, in a timely and efficient manner, in spite of its long-standing commitment to preserving peace, preventing conflicts and strengthening international security in accordance with the principles of the UN Charter; stresses that the EU must assume its responsibilities to act as a security provider in Europe, its neighbourhood and the world;

Or. en

**Amendment 5**  
**Ewald Stadler**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 1**

*Motion for a resolution*

1. Notes with increasing urgency the EU's insufficient capacity to respond to international crises in a timely and efficient manner, in spite of its long-standing commitment to preserving peace, preventing conflicts and strengthening international security in accordance with the principles of the UN Charter; stresses that the EU must assume its responsibilities to act as a security provider in Europe, its neighbourhood **and the world**;

*Amendment*

1. Notes with increasing urgency the EU's insufficient capacity to respond to international crises in a timely and efficient manner, in spite of its long-standing commitment to preserving peace, preventing conflicts and strengthening international security in accordance with the principles of the UN Charter; stresses that the EU must assume its responsibilities to act as a security provider in Europe **and** its neighbourhood;

Or. de

**Amendment 6**  
**Raimon Obiols**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 1**

*Motion for a resolution*

1. Notes with increasing urgency the EU's insufficient capacity to respond to international crises in a timely and efficient manner, in spite of its long-standing commitment to preserving peace, preventing conflicts and strengthening international security in accordance with the principles of the UN Charter; stresses that the EU must assume its responsibilities to act as a security provider in Europe, its neighbourhood and the world;

*Amendment*

1. Notes with increasing urgency the EU's insufficient capacity to respond to international crises in a timely and efficient manner, in spite of its long-standing commitment to preserving peace, **safeguarding human rights**, preventing conflicts and strengthening international security in accordance with the principles of the UN Charter; stresses that the EU must assume its responsibilities to act as a security provider in Europe, its neighbourhood and the world;

Or. es

**Amendment 7**  
**Marietje Schaake**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 1**

*Motion for a resolution*

1. Notes with increasing urgency the EU's insufficient capacity to respond to international crises in a timely and efficient manner, in spite of its long-standing commitment to preserving peace, preventing conflicts and strengthening international security in accordance with the principles of the UN Charter; stresses that the EU ***must assume its responsibilities*** to act as a security provider ***in Europe, its neighbourhood and the world***;

*Amendment*

1. Notes with increasing urgency the EU's insufficient capacity to respond to international crises in a timely and efficient manner, in spite of its long-standing commitment to preserving peace, preventing conflicts and strengthening international security in accordance with the principles of the UN Charter; stresses that ***it is in the interest of the EU and the Member States to be seen to act coherently*** as a security provider, ***not only within Europe, but also in the rest of the world and especially in its own neighbourhood***;

Or. en

**Amendment 8**  
**Norica Nicolai**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 1 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

***1a. Underlines the existence of various national, bilateral or multinational initiatives for creating permanent joint military and civilian forces, such as the Battlegroups, EUFOR, Eurocorps and joint brigades, including integrated command structures, training programmes and exercises, temporary pooling of civilian and military assets and projects for integration of technologies and standardisation; deplores the fact that, despite this extended practice over the last decade, none of these initiatives has been used directly in times of crisis and need, all Member States resorting, in the event of actual intervention, to deploying traditional, national structures and forces; considers this reticence to make use of existent assets as detrimental***

*Amendment*

*to the investment of time and money made in these initiatives and calls for a change of mindset of Member States when it comes to these projects towards a more practical and decisive strategy, where the "use it or lose it" principle should be a guideline;*

Or. en

**Amendment 9**  
**Geoffrey Van Orden**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 2**

*Motion for a resolution*

2. Recalls its firm attachment to a comprehensive approach to crisis management, using a wide toolbox of civilian *and military* instruments, as expressed notably in its resolutions on the annual reports on the Common Foreign and Security Policy (CFSP) and the Common Security and Defence Policy (CSDP); *stresses that military structures and capabilities form an integral part of such a comprehensive approach, underpinning the EU's ability to respond to threats, conflicts and crises, should all other means fail;*

*Amendment*

2. Recalls its firm attachment to a comprehensive approach to crisis management, using a wide toolbox of civilian instruments, as expressed notably in its resolutions on the annual reports on the Common Foreign and Security Policy (CFSP) and the Common Security and Defence Policy (CSDP);

Or. en

**Amendment 10**  
**Ioan Mircea Paşcu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 2**

*Motion for a resolution*

2. Recalls its firm attachment to a

*Amendment*

2. Recalls its firm attachment to a

comprehensive approach to crisis management, *using* a wide *toolbox of civilian and* military *instruments*, as expressed notably in its resolutions on the annual reports on the Common Foreign and Security Policy (CFSP) and the Common Security and Defence Policy (CSDP); stresses that military structures and capabilities form an integral part of such a comprehensive approach, underpinning the EU's ability to respond to threats, conflicts and crises, should all other means fail;

comprehensive approach to crisis management, *integrating* a wide *spectrum of diplomatic, economic, development and, in the last resort, military means*, as expressed notably in its resolutions on the annual reports on the Common Foreign and Security Policy (CFSP) and the Common Security and Defence Policy (CSDP); stresses that military structures and capabilities form an integral part of such a comprehensive approach, underpinning the EU's ability to respond to threats, conflicts and crises, should all other means fail;

Or. en

**Amendment 11**  
**Marietje Schaake**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 2 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*2a. Notes with regret that recent military operations in both Libya and Mali have demonstrated the lack of progress toward a truly Common Security and Defence Policy and stresses the need for more coordination and cooperation at the European level, if the EU is to be taken seriously as an effective and credible world actor;*

Or. en

**Amendment 12**  
**Norica Nicolai**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 3**



*Motion for a resolution*

3. Recalls that the EU is ***called upon in the Treaty*** to work on the progressive framing of a common Union defence policy, which could lead to a common defence; further recalls the Member States' obligations ***under the mutual defence clause***;

*Amendment*

3. Recalls that the EU is ***required by its Treaties*** to work on the progressive framing of a common Union defence policy, which could lead to a common defence; further recalls the Member States' obligations ***to work both individually and collectively to have the assets required for ensuring*** mutual defence;

Or. en

**Amendment 13**  
**Ewald Stadler**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 3**

*Motion for a resolution*

3. Recalls that the EU is called upon in the Treaty to work on the progressive framing of a common Union defence policy, which could lead to a common defence; further recalls the Member States' obligations under the mutual defence clause;

*Amendment*

3. Recalls that the EU is called upon in the Treaty to work on the progressive framing of a common Union defence policy, which could lead to a common defence; further recalls the Member States' obligations under the mutual defence clause, ***which amount to nothing more than mutual solidarity and leave Member States free to decide to what extent they provide civil or military assistance in response to disasters***;

Or. de

**Amendment 14**  
**Andrew Duff**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 3**

*Motion for a resolution*

3. Recalls that the EU is called upon in the Treaty to work on the progressive framing of a common Union defence policy, which could lead to a common defence; further recalls the Member States' obligations ***under the mutual defence clause***;

*Amendment*

3. Recalls that the EU is called upon in the Treaty to work on the progressive framing of a common Union defence policy, which could lead to a common defence; further recalls the Member States' obligations ***to develop mutual political solidarity and to come to the aid and assistance of a Member State being the victim of an armed attack***;

Or. en

**Amendment 15**

**Ewald Stadler**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 3 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***3a. Respects the neutrality policy of a number of Member States and stresses that a Member State may under no circumstances be forced to take military action***;

Or. de

**Amendment 16**

**Tarja Cronberg**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 4**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***4. Reiterates its grave concern at the continuing cuts in national defence budgets, with too little coordination between the Member States, hampering***

*deleted*

*efforts to close capability gaps and undermining the credibility of the CSDP; urges the Member States to reverse this irresponsible trend, as well as to step up efforts at the EU level to limit its consequences through increased cooperation;*

Or. en

**Amendment 17**  
**Ramon Tremosa i Balcells**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 4**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*4. Reiterates its grave concern at the continuing cuts in national defence budgets, with too little coordination between the Member States, hampering efforts to close capability gaps and undermining the credibility of the CSDP; urges the Member States to reverse this irresponsible trend, as well as to step up efforts at the EU level to limit its consequences through increased cooperation;*

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 18**  
**Norica Nicolai**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 4**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

4. Reiterates its grave concern at the continuing cuts in national defence budgets, *with too little coordination between the Member States, hampering*

4. Reiterates its grave concern at the continuing *and un-coordinated* cuts in national defence budgets *which undermine the credibility of the CSDP in the absence*

efforts to *close capability gaps and undermining the credibility of the CSDP*; urges the Member States to *reverse this irresponsible trend, as well as to* step up efforts at the EU level to limit *its* consequences through increased cooperation;

*of clear multilateral* efforts to *cover all areas of capabilities requirements through pooling and sharing in the wake of budgetary downsizing*; urges the Member States to step up efforts at the EU level to limit *the* consequences *of budget cuts* through increased cooperation;

Or. en

**Amendment 19**  
**Elena Băsescu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 4**

*Motion for a resolution*

4. Reiterates its *grave* concern at the continuing cuts in national defence budgets, with *too little* coordination between the Member States, hampering efforts to close capability gaps and undermining the credibility of the CSDP; urges the Member States to reverse this *irresponsible* trend, as well as to step up efforts at the EU level to limit its consequences through increased cooperation;

*Amendment*

4. Reiterates its concern at the continuing cuts in national defence budgets, with *insufficient* coordination between the Member States, hampering efforts to close capability gaps and undermining the credibility of the CSDP; urges the Member States to reverse this trend, as well as to step up efforts at the EU level to limit its consequences through increased cooperation;

Or. en

**Amendment 20**  
**Geoffrey Van Orden**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 4**

*Motion for a resolution*

4. Reiterates its *grave* concern at the continuing cuts in national defence budgets, *with too little coordination between the Member States, hampering*

*Amendment*

4. Reiterates its concern at the continuing cuts in national defence budgets, *and the impact this has on* efforts to close capability gaps; urges the Member States

efforts to close capability gaps *and undermining the credibility of the CSDP*; urges the Member States to reverse this *irresponsible* trend, *as well as to step up efforts at the EU level to limit its consequences through increased cooperation*;

to reverse this trend *and reinvest their political energy and resources in the NATO military alliance*;

Or. en

**Amendment 21**  
**Ioan Mircea Pașcu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 4**

*Motion for a resolution*

4. Reiterates its grave concern at the continuing cuts in national defence budgets, with too little coordination between the Member States, hampering efforts to close capability gaps and undermining the credibility of the CSDP; urges the Member States to reverse this irresponsible trend, as well as to step up efforts at the EU level to limit its consequences through increased cooperation;

*Amendment*

4. Reiterates its grave concern at the continuing cuts in national defence budgets, with too little coordination between the Member States, hampering efforts to close capability gaps and undermining the credibility of the CSDP; urges the Member States to *stop and* reverse this irresponsible trend, as well as to step up efforts at *national and* the EU level to limit its consequences through increased cooperation;

Or. en

**Amendment 22**  
**Tarja Cronberg**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 4 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**4a. Reminds the EU Member States, the VP/HR, the Commission and the European Defence Agency that more than**

*two decades after the Cold War and after having been able to take advantage of relatively high national defence budgets the EU Member States were not able to fulfil the Helsinki Headline Goals and other joint military capability development objectives; therefore questions the argument that current cuts in national defence budgets might automatically lead to capability gaps for CSDP and weaken the CSDP because these capabilities do not yet exist due to past failures; is of the strong opinion that the current defence budgets cuts urgently need to be coordinated between Member States and that these cuts have de facto triggered a few European joint capability development projects as in the context of the recent Pooling and Sharing methodology; reiterates its opinion that the recent cuts shall be understood as a chance to build an effective and truly European CSDP;*

Or. en

**Amendment 23**  
**Tarja Cronberg**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 5**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*5. Recalls its resolution on the impact of the financial crisis on the defence sector in the EU Member States, and reaffirms its recommendations to counter the negative effects of the crisis on military capabilities at EU level through better coordination of defence planning, pooling and sharing of capabilities, supporting defence research and technological development, building a more integrated, sustainable, innovative and competitive*

*deleted*

*European defence technological and industrial base, establishing a European defence equipment market, and finding new forms of EU-level funding;*

Or. en

**Amendment 24**  
**Tarja Cronberg**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 5 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***5a. Urges the EU Member States and the Commission to seriously restructure and consolidate defence industrial capacities, in order to reduce existing over capacities which mainly drive expansive national export policies;***

Or. en

**Amendment 25**  
**Tarja Cronberg**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 5 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***5b. Recalls that opening the EU budget for procuring military goods and technology represents a strategic mistake, as introducing fresh money would only prolong the current structural deficits such as inefficiency, duplication, corruption and the procurement of useless military goods and technology; stresses that a lack of financial resources is not the reason for persisting CSDP capability***

*weaknesses but the lack of will of Member States to commit seriously and extensively to joint capability development projects; also recalls that the EU Member States intend to considerably reduce the financial volume of the next multiannual financial perspective and as a consequence fewer EU financial resources will be left for non-military and non-security research and innovation, which forms the cornerstone of the European economy and guarantees jobs and prosperity;*

Or. en

**Amendment 26**  
**Tarja Cronberg**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 6**

*Motion for a resolution*

6. *Welcomes* the work of the European Commission Task Force on Defence Industries and Markets, and calls on the Commission to develop proposals on how, with a flexible approach, wider EU policies and tools could be used in support of defence and security objectives, *especially in areas of transversal nature such as dual-use technologies;*

*Amendment*

6. *Notes* the work of the European Commission Task Force on Defence Industries and Markets, and calls on the Commission to develop proposals on how, with a flexible approach, wider EU policies and tools could be used in support of defence and security objectives;

Or. en

**Amendment 27**  
**Ewald Stadler**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 7**



*Motion for a resolution*

7. Stresses that existing military structures ***within the EU, at Union, multinational and national level, must continue in the transformation process*** to build modular, interoperable and deployable armed forces adapted to multinational operations;

*Amendment*

7. Stresses that ***expansion of*** existing military structures ***must be promoted primarily*** at national level ***in order also*** to build modular, interoperable and deployable armed forces adapted to multinational operations;

Or. de

**Amendment 28**

**Tarja Cronberg**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 8**

*Motion for a resolution*

8. Welcomes the renewed impulse given by the European Council in December 2012 to increasing the operational effectiveness and efficiency of CSDP operations, enhancing European cooperation in order to provide future-oriented capabilities and fill critical gaps, as well as to ***strengthening*** the European defence industry;

*Amendment*

8. Welcomes the renewed impulse given by the European Council in December 2012 to increasing the operational effectiveness and efficiency of CSDP operations, enhancing European cooperation in order to provide future-oriented capabilities and fill critical gaps, as well as to ***consolidating and rationalising*** the European defence industry;

Or. en

**Amendment 29**

**Andrew Duff**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 9**

*Motion for a resolution*

9. Calls on the Vice-President of the Commission / High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security

*Amendment*

9. Calls on the Vice-President of the Commission / High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security

Policy (VP/HR) to present proposals, in view of the December 2013 European Council meeting, which will reflect the recommendations of this resolution, and will include options for advancing European cooperation in security and defence among the Member States willing to do so, based on the Treaty provisions on permanent structured cooperation, *in case that no agreement on an ambitious agenda is possible among all Member States*;

Policy (VP/HR) to present proposals, in view of the December 2013 European Council meeting, which will reflect the recommendations of this resolution, and will include options for advancing European cooperation in security and defence among the Member States willing to do so, based on the Treaty provisions on permanent structured cooperation *as well as its provisions which allow for the consignment of specific tasks and missions to a cluster of states*;

Or. en

**Amendment 30**  
**Andrew Duff**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 9 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**9a. Resolves to prepare, as part of its own agenda for the next constitutional Convention, proposals to strengthen the Treaties with respect to developing common security and defence policy;**

Or. en

**Amendment 31**  
**Norica Nicolai**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 10**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

10. Notes with regret that, ten years after the first autonomous EU-led military operation, the EU still does not possess a permanent military planning and conduct capability, and deplores the inhibiting

10. Notes with regret that, ten years after the first autonomous EU-led military operation, the EU still does not possess a permanent military planning and conduct capability, *or even a real common defence*

effect this is having on the EU's ability to respond to acute crises; recalls that the current arrangements, which require ad hoc activation of a national headquarters, constitute a purely reactive approach and do not provide resources for the necessary advance planning;

*policy with the appropriate tools*, and deplores the inhibiting effect this is having on the EU's ability to respond to acute crises; recalls that the current arrangements, which require ad hoc activation of a national headquarters, constitute a purely reactive approach and do not provide resources for the necessary advance planning;

Or. en

**Amendment 32**  
**Geoffrey Van Orden**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 10**

*Motion for a resolution*

10. Notes *with regret that, ten years after the first autonomous EU-led military operation*, the EU *still does not possess a permanent military* planning and conduct *capability, and deplores the inhibiting effect this is having on the EU's ability to respond to acute crises*; recalls *that the current arrangements, which require ad hoc activation of a* national headquarters, *constitute a purely reactive approach and do not provide resources for the necessary advance planning*;

*Amendment*

10. Notes *that with the activation of the temporary Operations Centre (OpsCen)*, the EU *has access to more than adequate* planning and conduct *capabilities*; recalls *also that missions can - when deemed strictly necessary - have recourse to* national headquarters;

Or. en

**Amendment 33**  
**Ewald Stadler**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 10**

*Motion for a resolution*

10. Notes *with regret* that, ten years after

*Amendment*

10. Notes that, ten years after the first

the first autonomous EU-led military operation, the EU still does not possess a permanent military planning and conduct capability, and *deplores* the inhibiting effect this is having on the EU's ability to respond to acute crises; recalls that the current arrangements, which require ad hoc activation of a national headquarters, constitute a purely reactive approach and do not provide resources for the necessary advance planning;

autonomous EU-led military operation, the EU still does not possess a permanent military planning and conduct capability, and *notes* the inhibiting effect this is having on the EU's ability to respond to acute crises; recalls that the current arrangements, which require ad hoc activation of a national headquarters, constitute a purely reactive approach and do not provide resources for the necessary advance planning; *concedes that such an headquarters would restrict Member State autonomy and go far beyond the common defence policy objectives laid down in the Treaty of Lisbon*;

Or. de

**Amendment 34**  
**Geoffrey Van Orden**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 11**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*11. Takes the view that the activated Operations Centre, although welcomed for its role in coordinating missions in the Horn of Africa, represents a largely insufficient step towards such a permanent capability, owing to its limited resources and its strictly support-related functions; deplores the fact that the initiative of the five 'Weimar Plus' countries has not led to any more significant result; urges the Member States to agree, as a first step, on tasking the Operations Centre with the operational planning of non-executive missions, such as EU training missions for Mali and Somalia;*

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 35**  
**Geoffrey Van Orden**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 12**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**12. Calls again for the creation of a fully-fledged EU Operational Headquarters within the European External Action Service (EEAS), if necessary through permanent structured cooperation; stresses that it should be a civilian-military structure, responsible for the planning and conduct of both EU civilian missions and military operations, with separate civilian and military chains of command;** *deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 36**  
**Ewald Stadler**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 12**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**12. Calls again for the creation of a fully-fledged EU Operational Headquarters within the European External Action Service (EEAS), if necessary through permanent structured cooperation; stresses that it should be a civilian-military structure, responsible for the planning and conduct of both EU civilian missions and military operations, with separate civilian and military chains of command;** *deleted*

Or. de

**Amendment 37**  
**Tarja Cronberg**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 12**

*Motion for a resolution*

12. Calls *again for the creation of a fully-fledged EU Operational Headquarters within the European External Action Service (EEAS), if necessary through permanent structured cooperation; stresses that it should be a civilian-military structure, responsible for the planning and conduct of both EU civilian missions and military operations, with separate civilian and military chains of command;*

*Amendment*

12. Calls *on the VP/HR and the EU Member States to ensure effective and integrated planning, and faster decision-making, for CSDP operations, by combining the relevant planning capacities from the Crisis Management and Planning Directorate (CMPD), EU Military Staff (EUMS) and the Civilian Planning and Conduct Capability (CPCC); in addition, to create a permanent conduct structure by establishing a permanent military Operational Headquarter, co-located with a Civilian Conduct Capability, in order to allow the effective implementation of military and civilian operations whilst safeguarding their respective chains of command;*

Or. en

**Amendment 38**  
**Norica Nicolai**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 12**

*Motion for a resolution*

12. Calls again for the creation of a fully-fledged EU Operational Headquarters within the European External Action Service (EEAS), if necessary through permanent structured cooperation; stresses that it should be a civilian-military structure, responsible for the planning and conduct of both EU civilian missions and military operations, with separate civilian

*Amendment*

12. Calls again for the creation of a fully-fledged EU Operational Headquarters within the European External Action Service (EEAS), if necessary through permanent structured cooperation; stresses that it should be a civilian-military structure, responsible for the planning and conduct of both EU civilian missions and military operations, with separate civilian

and military chains of command;

and military chains of command; ***suggests the placement of the new permanent OHQ in one of the new Member States;***

Or. en

**Amendment 39**  
**Geoffrey Van Orden**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 13**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***13. Points out that the creation of an EU Operational Headquarters would greatly enhance the EU's institutional memory in crisis management, contribute to the development of a common strategic culture through the secondment of national personnel, maximise the benefits of civilian-military coordination, allow for the pooling of certain functions, reduce costs in the longer term, and facilitate political oversight by Parliament and the Council;***

***deleted***

Or. en

**Amendment 40**  
**Ewald Stadler**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 13**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

13. Points out that ***the creation of an EU Operational Headquarters would greatly enhance the EU's institutional memory in crisis management, contribute to the development of a common strategic culture through the secondment of national personnel, maximise the benefits***

13. Points out that ***maintaining Member States' military autonomy would prevent hasty and rash decisions from being taken without adequate Member State involvement in the decision-taking process, and would strengthen the Council as the institution providing***

*of civilian-military coordination, allow for the pooling of certain functions, reduce costs in the longer term, and facilitate political oversight by Parliament and the Council;*

*adequate scope for national defence ministers to engage in military cooperation in specific cases;*

Or. de

**Amendment 41**  
**Tarja Cronberg**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 13**

*Motion for a resolution*

13. Points out that the creation of *an EU Operational Headquarters* would greatly enhance the EU's institutional memory in crisis management, contribute to the development of a common strategic culture through the secondment of national personnel, maximise the benefits of civilian-military coordination, allow for the pooling of certain functions, reduce costs in the longer term, and facilitate political oversight by Parliament and the Council;

*Amendment*

13. Points out that the creation of *a permanent military planning and conduct capability* would greatly enhance the EU's institutional memory in crisis management, contribute to the development of a common strategic culture through the secondment of national personnel, maximise the benefits of civilian-military coordination, allow for the pooling of certain functions, reduce costs in the longer term, and facilitate political oversight by Parliament and the Council;

Or. en

**Amendment 42**  
**Geoffrey Van Orden**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 13 – subparagraph 1 (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*Notes that a permanent EU OHQ would be a financially and militarily wasteful imitative at a time of diminishing defence budgets and would duplicate the role of*



*NATO's SHAPE headquarters, in which all EU Member States have, at some stage, been involved;*

Or. en

**Amendment 43**  
**Geoffrey Van Orden**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 14**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*14. Underlines the need for a permanent military planning and conduct capability also with regard to the obligations resulting from the mutual defence clause and the solidarity clause, and stresses the necessity to ensure an adequate level of preparedness and rapidity of response should any of the two clauses be invoked; calls on the VP/HR to propose practical arrangements for the mutual defence clause to define response at EU level;*

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 44**  
**Andrew Duff**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 14**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

14. Underlines the need for a permanent military planning and conduct capability also with regard to the obligations resulting from the mutual *defence* clause and the solidarity clause, and stresses the necessity to ensure an adequate level of preparedness and rapidity of response should any of the two clauses be invoked; *calls on the*

14. Underlines the need for a permanent military planning and conduct capability also with regard to the obligations resulting from the mutual *aid and assistance* clause and the solidarity clause, and stresses the necessity to ensure an adequate level of preparedness and rapidity of response should any of the two clauses be invoked;

*VP/HR to propose practical arrangements for the mutual defence clause to define response at EU level;*

Or. en

**Amendment 45**  
**Andrew Duff**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Subheading 3**

*Motion for a resolution*

Enhancing the EU battle-groups: the Union's rapid reaction instrument

*Amendment*

Enhancing the EU battle-groups: the Union's rapid reaction *and stabilisation* instrument

Or. en

**Amendment 46**  
**Geoffrey Van Orden**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 15**

*Motion for a resolution*

15. Recognises *the contribution of the* EU battle-groups *to the transformation of Member States' armed forces, driving military interoperability and promoting multinational cooperation; notes, however, that the concept* has not yet proven its utility as a rapid reaction instrument in operations, and that *without substantial modifications any agreement on* deployment appears unlikely;

*Amendment*

15. Recognises *that the concept of* 'EU battle-groups' has not yet proven its utility as a rapid reaction instrument in operations, and that *any possible* deployment appears unlikely;

Or. en

**Amendment 47**  
**Alf Svensson**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 15**

*Motion for a resolution*

15. Recognises the contribution of the EU battle-groups to the transformation of Member States' armed forces, driving military interoperability and promoting multinational cooperation; **notes, however,** that the concept has not yet proven its utility as a rapid reaction instrument in operations, and that without substantial modifications any agreement on deployment appears unlikely;

*Amendment*

15. Recognises the contribution of the EU battle-groups to the transformation of Member States' armed forces, driving military interoperability and promoting multinational cooperation; **deplores the fact** that the concept has not yet proven its utility as a rapid reaction instrument in operations, and that without substantial modifications any agreement on deployment appears unlikely;

Or. sv

**Amendment 48**  
**Andrew Duff**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 15**

*Motion for a resolution*

15. Recognises the contribution of the EU battle-groups to the transformation of Member States' armed forces, driving military interoperability and promoting multinational cooperation; notes, however, that the concept has not yet proven its utility as a rapid reaction instrument in operations, and that without substantial modifications any agreement on deployment appears unlikely;

*Amendment*

15. Recognises the contribution of the EU battle-groups to the transformation of Member States' armed forces, driving military interoperability and promoting multinational cooperation; notes, however, that the concept has not yet proven its utility as a rapid reaction instrument in operations, and that without substantial modifications any agreement on deployment appears unlikely; **considers that the situation in Mali is a missed opportunity for the first use of EU Battlegroups;**

Or. en

**Amendment 49**  
**Ioan Mircea Pașcu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 15 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***15a. Considers that, in order to increase the effectiveness of the battle-groups, proper attention should be paid to their composition, knowing that, in general, states from the same region share similar perception of threats, thus facilitating the necessary response to them;***

Or. en

**Amendment 50**  
**Tarja Cronberg**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 16**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

16. Takes the view that the reviewed ATHENA mechanism for common costs of military operations still does not take adequately into account the specificities of the battle-group concept, ***and calls for a significant expansion of the common costs for rapid reaction operations, up to a full coverage of costs when battle-groups are used***; considers that applying the ‘costs lie where they fall’ principle to the battle-groups, put on stand-by on a voluntary and rotational basis, is contrary to the principle of fair burden-sharing;

16. Takes the view that the reviewed ATHENA mechanism for common costs of military operations still does not take adequately into account the specificities of the battle-group concept; considers that applying the ‘costs lie where they fall’ principle to the battle-groups, put on stand-by on a voluntary and rotational basis, is contrary to the principle of fair burden-sharing;

Or. en

**Amendment 51**  
**Arnaud Danjean, Andrew Duff**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 17**

*Motion for a resolution*

17. Calls on the VP/HR to *prepare, in the event that ATHENA is not appropriately adjusted, proposals towards setting up a dedicated fund for battle-groups, if necessary through permanent structured cooperation*; at the same time, urges the VP/HR to present a proposal on the setting up and financing of the start-up fund for preparatory activities for EU military operations, as required by the Treaty;

*Amendment*

17. Calls on the VP/HR to *make proposals with the view to adjust the ATHENA mechanism to the specificities of the* battle-groups; at the same time, urges the VP/HR to present a proposal on the setting up and financing of the start-up fund for preparatory activities for EU military operations, as required by the Treaty;

Or. en

**Amendment 52**  
**Norica Nicolai**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 17**

*Motion for a resolution*

17. Calls on the VP/HR to prepare, in the event that ATHENA is not appropriately adjusted, proposals towards setting up a dedicated fund for battle-groups, if necessary through permanent structured cooperation; at the same time, urges the VP/HR to present a proposal on the setting up and financing of the start-up fund for preparatory activities for EU military operations, as required by the Treaty;

*Amendment*

17. Calls on the VP/HR to prepare, in the event that ATHENA is not appropriately adjusted, proposals towards setting up a dedicated fund for battle-groups, if necessary through permanent structured cooperation, *created at the same pace with a permanent OHQ, which would then be tasked with the coordination and planning for the new permanent structure, under the Council and the EEAS*; at the same time, urges the VP/HR to present a proposal on the setting up and financing of the start-up fund for preparatory activities for EU military operations, as required by the Treaty;

Or. en

**Amendment 53**  
**Ewald Stadler**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 18**

*Motion for a resolution*

18. Notes the efforts within the Council and EEAS to increase the flexibility and usability of the battle-groups, which have, however, produced little tangible result to date; points out that a high degree of interoperability is needed, not only at technical level but also at procedural and conceptual levels, in particular to align rules of engagement **and remove national caveats**;

*Amendment*

18. Notes the efforts within the Council and EEAS to increase the flexibility and usability of the battle-groups, which have, however, produced little tangible result to date; points out that a high degree of interoperability is needed, not only at technical level but also at procedural and conceptual levels, in particular to align rules of engagement;

Or. de

**Amendment 54**  
**Ioan Mircea Pașcu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 18**

*Motion for a resolution*

18. Notes the efforts within the Council and EEAS to increase the flexibility and usability of the battle-groups, which have, however, produced little tangible result to date; points out that a high degree of interoperability is needed, not only at technical level but also at procedural and conceptual levels, in particular to align rules of engagement and remove national caveats;

*Amendment*

18. Notes the efforts within the Council and EEAS to increase the flexibility and usability of the battle-groups, which have, however, produced little tangible result to date; points out that a high degree of interoperability is needed, not only at technical level but also at procedural and conceptual levels, in particular to align rules of engagement and **transfer of authority and to** remove national caveats;

Or. en

**Amendment 55**  
**Tarja Cronberg**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 19**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*19. Invites the European Council to explore ways of streamlining the political decision-making process at EU level, and parliamentary procedures at national level, to make rapid reaction a reality; encourages reflection on possible modalities for delegating to the VP/HR certain decision-making powers regarding deployments of battle-groups for limited periods of time, provided that certain, clearly defined pre-conditions are met, such as a specific request from the United Nations;*

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 56**  
**Ioan Mircea Pașcu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 19**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

19. Invites the European Council to explore ways of streamlining the political decision-making process at EU level, and parliamentary procedures at national level, to make rapid reaction a reality; encourages reflection on possible modalities for delegating to the VP/HR certain decision-making powers regarding deployments of battle-groups for limited periods of time, provided that certain, clearly defined pre-conditions are met, such as a specific request from the United Nations;

19. Invites the European Council to explore ways of streamlining the political decision-making process at EU level, and parliamentary procedures at national level, to make rapid reaction a reality; encourages reflection on possible modalities for delegating to the VP/HR certain decision-making powers regarding deployments of battle-groups for limited periods of time, provided that certain, clearly defined **and agreed** pre-conditions are met, such as a specific request from the United Nations;

**Amendment 57**  
**Krzysztof Lisek**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 19**

*Motion for a resolution*

19. Invites the European Council to explore ways of streamlining the political decision-making process at EU level, and parliamentary procedures at national level, to make rapid reaction a reality;  
***encourages reflection on possible modalities for delegating to the VP/HR certain decision-making powers regarding deployments of battle-groups for limited periods of time, provided that certain, clearly defined pre-conditions are met, such as a specific request from the United Nations;***

*Amendment*

19. Invites the European Council to explore ways of streamlining the political decision-making process at EU level, and parliamentary procedures at national level, to make rapid reaction a reality;

Or. pl

**Amendment 58**  
**Michael Gahler, Marietta Giannakou**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 19**

*Motion for a resolution*

19. Invites the European Council to explore ways of streamlining the political decision-making process at EU level, and parliamentary procedures at national level, to make rapid reaction a reality;  
encourages reflection on possible modalities for delegating to the VP/HR certain decision-making powers regarding deployments of battle-groups for limited periods of time, provided that certain,

*Amendment*

19. Invites the European Council to explore ways of streamlining the political decision-making process at EU level, and parliamentary procedures at national level, to make rapid reaction a reality; ***insists that the necessary political will be shown to address the challenges;*** encourages reflection on possible modalities for delegating to the VP/HR certain decision-making powers regarding deployments of



clearly defined pre-conditions are met, such as a specific request from the United Nations;

battle-groups for limited periods of time, provided that certain, clearly defined pre-conditions are met, such as a specific request from the United Nations;

Or. en

**Amendment 59**  
**Andrew Duff, Arnaud Danjean**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 19**

*Motion for a resolution*

19. Invites the European Council to explore ways of streamlining the political decision-making process at EU level, and parliamentary procedures at national level, to make rapid reaction a reality; encourages *reflection on possible modalities for delegating* to the *VP/HR certain decision-making powers regarding deployments of* battle-groups for limited periods of time, *provided that certain, clearly defined pre-conditions are met, such as a specific request from the United Nations*;

*Amendment*

19. Invites the European Council to explore ways of streamlining the political decision-making process at EU level, and parliamentary procedures at national level, to make rapid reaction a reality; encourages *the HR/VP to take the initiative to request* to the *Council to deploy the* battle-groups for limited periods of time;

Or. en

**Amendment 60**  
**Tarja Cronberg**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 21**

*Motion for a resolution*

*21. Points out that any costs that are not linked to military operations, such as preparation and stand-by costs of battle-groups, could be charged to the EU*

*Amendment*

*deleted*

*budget;*

Or. en

## **Amendment 61**

**Tarja Cronberg**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 23**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

23. Recalls the mission and tasks of the EDA as provided for in Articles 42(3) and 45 TEU, in particular its essential role in developing and implementing an EU capabilities and armaments policy, harmonising operational needs, proposing multilateral projects, coordinating Member States' programmes, **strengthening** the European Defence Technological and Industrial Base and improving the effectiveness of military expenditure; urges the Member States, given the EDA's strong cost-effectiveness focus, to provide it with adequate funding in order to exploit its full potential, **and repeats its call on the VP/HR to present proposals to finance the Agency's staffing and running costs from the Union budget;**

##### *Amendment*

23. Recalls the mission and tasks of the EDA as provided for in Articles 42(3) and 45 TEU, in particular its essential role in developing and implementing an EU capabilities and armaments policy, harmonising operational needs, proposing multilateral projects, coordinating Member States' programmes, **consolidating and rationalising** the European Defence Technological and Industrial Base and improving the effectiveness of military expenditure; urges the Member States, given the EDA's strong cost-effectiveness focus, to provide it with adequate funding in order to exploit its full potential;

Or. en

## **Amendment 62**

**Ewald Stadler**

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 23**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

23. Recalls the mission and tasks of the EDA as provided for in Articles 42(3) and

##### *Amendment*

23. Recalls the mission and tasks of the EDA as provided for in Articles 42(3) and

45 TEU, in particular its essential role in developing and implementing an EU capabilities and armaments policy, harmonising operational needs, proposing multilateral projects, coordinating Member States' programmes, strengthening the European Defence Technological and Industrial Base and improving the effectiveness of military expenditure; urges the Member States, given the EDA's strong cost-effectiveness focus, to provide it with adequate funding in order to exploit its full potential, and repeats its call on the VP/HR to present proposals to finance the Agency's staffing and running costs from the Union budget;

45 TEU, in particular its essential role in developing and implementing an EU capabilities and armaments policy, harmonising operational needs, proposing multilateral projects, coordinating Member States' programmes, strengthening the European Defence Technological and Industrial Base and improving the effectiveness of military expenditure; urges the Member States, given the EDA's strong cost-effectiveness focus, to provide it with adequate funding in order to exploit its full potential, and repeats its call on the VP/HR to present proposals to finance the Agency's staffing and running costs from the Union budget; ***stresses that the EDA is a service for Member States' armed forces;***

Or. de

**Amendment 63**  
**Ewald Stadler**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 24**

*Motion for a resolution*

24. ***Deplores the*** absence of firm capability commitments by the Member States and calls on the Council to provide for the implementation of the related evaluation requirement set out in the Articles 42(3) and 45(1) TEU; calls on the VP/HR to make appropriate proposals to that end; takes the view that Parliament should be informed regularly about the progress made in building military capabilities relevant for the implementation of the CSDP;

*Amendment*

24. ***Respects the fact that there is an*** absence of firm capability commitments by the Member States and calls on the Council to provide for the implementation of the related evaluation requirement set out in the Articles 42(3) and 45(1) TEU; calls on the VP/HR to make appropriate proposals to that end; takes the view that Parliament should be informed regularly about the progress made in building military capabilities relevant for the implementation of the CSDP;

Or. de

**Amendment 64**  
**Michael Gahler, Marietta Giannakou**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 25**

*Motion for a resolution*

25. Encourages further progress in the implementation of the EDA's Capability Development Plan and urges, in the context of its review in 2013, that it be better integrated into national defence planning, which needs to be further harmonised; reiterates its call on the Member States to launch an institutionalised process of increased defence planning coordination within the EU Military Committee, based in particular on EDA advice;

*Amendment*

25. Encourages further progress in the implementation of the EDA's Capability Development Plan and urges, in the context of its review in 2013, that it be better integrated into national defence planning, which needs to be further harmonised; reiterates its call on the Member States to launch an institutionalised process of increased defence planning coordination within the EU Military Committee, based in particular on EDA advice; ***expects the Heads of Government and State to launch a European defence review during the European Council on Security and Defence in December 2013;***

Or. en

**Amendment 65**  
**Ioan Mircea Paşcu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 25**

*Motion for a resolution*

25. Encourages further progress in the implementation of the EDA's Capability Development Plan and urges, in the context of its review in 2013, that it be better integrated into national defence planning, which needs to be further harmonised; reiterates its call on the Member States to launch an institutionalised process of increased defence planning coordination within the EU Military Committee, based in particular on EDA advice;

*Amendment*

25. Encourages further progress in the implementation of the EDA's Capability Development Plan and urges, in the context of its review in 2013, that it be better integrated into national defence planning, which needs to be further harmonised; reiterates its call on the Member States to launch an institutionalised process of increased defence planning coordination ***both between themselves and*** within the EU Military Committee, based in particular on EDA advice;

**Amendment 66**  
**Krzysztof Lisek**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 25 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***25a. Draws attention to the general need to step up cooperation between the EDA and the EU Military Committee/EU Military Staff;***

Or. pl

**Amendment 67**  
**Geoffrey Van Orden**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 26**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

26. Calls for a more structured approach to address key capability shortfalls at European level and in particular in the areas of key force enablers and force multipliers – such as intelligence, surveillance and reconnaissance (ISR) assets, strategic air lift, helicopters, medical support, air-to-air refuelling and precision-guided munitions – in close cooperation and full complementarity with NATO; welcomes the initial results of pooling and sharing initiatives managed by the EDA but stresses that further progress in these and other areas is a necessity; ***deplores the fact that, although European armed forces have repeatedly faced the lack of such force enablers and force multipliers in CSDP and other operations, none of the identified capability gaps have***

26. Calls for a more structured approach to address key capability shortfalls at European level and in particular in the areas of key force enablers and force multipliers – such as intelligence, surveillance and reconnaissance (ISR) assets, strategic air lift, helicopters, medical support, air-to-air refuelling and precision-guided munitions – in close cooperation and full complementarity with NATO; welcomes the initial results of pooling and sharing initiatives managed by the EDA but stresses that further progress in these and other areas is a necessity;

*yet been filled in a satisfactory way;*

Or. en

**Amendment 68**

**Tarja Cronberg**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 26**

*Motion for a resolution*

26. Calls for a more structured approach to address key capability shortfalls at European level and in particular in the areas of key force enablers and force multipliers – such as intelligence, surveillance and reconnaissance (ISR) assets, strategic air lift, helicopters, medical support, air-to-air refuelling and precision-guided munitions – in close cooperation and full complementarity with NATO; *welcomes* the initial results of pooling and sharing initiatives managed by the EDA but stresses that further progress in these and other areas is a necessity; deplores the fact that, although European armed forces have repeatedly faced the lack of such force enablers and force multipliers in CSDP and other operations, none of the identified capability gaps have yet been filled in a satisfactory way;

*Amendment*

26. Calls for a more structured approach to address key capability shortfalls at European level and in particular in the areas of key force enablers and force multipliers – such as intelligence, surveillance and reconnaissance (ISR) assets, strategic air lift, helicopters, medical support, air-to-air refuelling and precision-guided munitions – in close cooperation and full complementarity with NATO; *notes* the initial results of pooling and sharing initiatives managed by the EDA but stresses that further progress in these and other areas is a necessity; deplores the fact that, although European armed forces have repeatedly faced the lack of such force enablers and force multipliers in CSDP and other operations, none of the identified capability gaps have yet been filled in a satisfactory way *although EU Member States have been upholding relatively high levels of defence spending for the last two decades;*

Or. en

**Amendment 69**

**Ismail Ertug**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 26**

PE508.239v01-00

38/56

AM932925EN.doc

*Motion for a resolution*

26. Calls for a more structured approach to address key capability shortfalls at European level and in particular in the areas of key force enablers and force multipliers – such as intelligence, surveillance and reconnaissance (ISR) assets, strategic air lift, helicopters, medical support, air-to-air refuelling and precision-guided munitions – in close cooperation and full complementarity with NATO; welcomes the initial results of pooling and sharing initiatives managed by the EDA but stresses that further progress in these and other areas is a necessity; deplores the fact that, although European armed forces have repeatedly faced the lack of such force enablers and force multipliers in CSDP and other operations, none of the identified capability gaps have yet been filled in a satisfactory way;

*Amendment*

26. Calls for a more structured approach to address key capability shortfalls at European level and in particular in the areas of key force enablers and force multipliers – such as intelligence, surveillance and reconnaissance (ISR) assets, strategic air lift, helicopters, medical support, air-to-air refuelling and precision-guided munitions – in close cooperation and full complementarity with NATO ***and, in particular, with the NATO partners which will accede to the EU in the future***; welcomes the initial results of pooling and sharing initiatives managed by the EDA but stresses that further progress in these and other areas is a necessity; deplores the fact that, although European armed forces have repeatedly faced the lack of such force enablers and force multipliers in CSDP and other operations, none of the identified capability gaps have yet been filled in a satisfactory way;

Or. de

**Amendment 70**

**Marietta Giannakou, Ioan Mircea Paşcu**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 26 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

***26a. Calls for an evaluation of the establishment of a permanent CSDP Warehouse (with functions similar to the NATO Support Agency) which will provide integrated multinational support for EU military structures and Member States, including essential equipment for all missions without cumbersome procurement procedures;***

*Amendment*

**Amendment 71**  
**Marietje Schaake**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 26 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***26a. Highlights the need for the EU to develop the necessary, efficient and proportionate capabilities and strategies to face the growing cyber-threats to its security and strategic interests; stresses the need to cooperate with private actors to be successful, to fully respect digital freedoms and international law and to ensure sufficient democratic oversight;***

Or. en

**Amendment 72**  
**Geoffrey Van Orden**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 28**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

28. Reiterates its call on the Member States to consider joint ownership of certain expensive capabilities, notably space capabilities, unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) or strategic lift assets; ***welcomes the work of the Commission exploring the options for developing EU-owned capabilities, exploiting the potential of synergies between defence and civilian security needs, such as in the areas of civil protection or border surveillance;***

28. Reiterates its call on the Member States to consider joint ownership of certain expensive capabilities, notably space capabilities, unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) or strategic lift assets;

Or. en



**Amendment 73**  
**Tarja Cronberg**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 28**

*Motion for a resolution*

28. Reiterates its call on the Member States to consider joint ownership of certain expensive capabilities, notably space capabilities, *unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs)* or strategic lift assets; welcomes the work of the Commission exploring the options for developing EU-owned capabilities, exploiting the potential of synergies between defence and civilian security needs, such as in the areas of civil protection *or border surveillance*;

*Amendment*

28. Reiterates its call on the Member States to consider joint ownership of certain expensive capabilities, notably space capabilities, or strategic lift assets; welcomes the work of the Commission exploring the options for developing EU-owned capabilities, exploiting the potential of synergies between defence and civilian security needs, such as in the areas of civil protection;

Or. en

**Amendment 74**  
**Tarja Cronberg**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 29**

*Motion for a resolution*

*29. Underlines the need to create a common approach in Europe towards developing a medium-altitude long-endurance remotely piloted air system (MALE RPAS) and encourages the Commission and the Member States to develop an innovative approach for achieving this ambition;*

*Amendment*

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 75**  
**Tarja Cronberg**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 30**

*Motion for a resolution*

30. Emphasises the key importance of satellite assets for modern-day operations, in particular with regard to ISR, communication and navigation capabilities and to the need to maximise the use of scarce resources based on a common approach and on the exploitation of all possible civil-military synergies to avoid unnecessary duplication; in this respect, encourages further cooperation between the European Space Agency, the EDA and the Commission, ***and insists on continued EU funding of the GMES and Galileo programmes;***

*Amendment*

30. Emphasises the key importance of satellite assets for modern-day operations, in particular with regard to ISR, communication and navigation capabilities and to the need to maximise the use of scarce resources based on a common approach and on the exploitation of all possible civil-military synergies to avoid unnecessary duplication; in this respect, encourages further cooperation between the European Space Agency, the EDA and the Commission;

Or. en

**Amendment 76**  
**Michael Gahler, Marietta Giannakou**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 30**

*Motion for a resolution*

30. Emphasises the key importance of satellite assets for modern-day operations, in particular with regard to ISR, communication and navigation capabilities and to the need to maximise the use of scarce resources based on a common approach and on the exploitation of all possible civil-military synergies to avoid unnecessary duplication; in this respect, encourages further cooperation between the European Space Agency, the EDA and the Commission, and insists on continued

*Amendment*

30. Emphasises the key importance of satellite assets for modern-day operations, in particular with regard to ISR, communication and navigation capabilities and to the need to maximise the use of scarce resources based on a common approach and on the exploitation of all possible civil-military synergies to avoid unnecessary duplication; in this respect, encourages further cooperation between the European Space Agency, the EDA and the Commission, and insists on continued

EU funding of the *GMES* and Galileo programmes;

EU funding of the *Copernicus (GMES)* and Galileo programmes;

Or. en

**Amendment 77**  
**Tarja Cronberg**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 32**

*Motion for a resolution*

32. Welcomes the adoption of the code of conduct on pooling and sharing as an important step towards more cooperation in Europe and stresses the need to establish a first strategic assessment of its implementation by the end of the year; expects the European Council in December 2013 to be a significant milestone in terms of giving a political impulse to pooling and sharing and of giving clear guidance about the implementation;

*Amendment*

32. Welcomes the adoption of the code of conduct on pooling and sharing as an important step towards more cooperation in Europe and stresses the need to establish a first strategic assessment of its implementation by the end of the year; expects the European Council in December 2013 to be a significant milestone in terms of giving a political impulse to pooling and sharing and of giving clear guidance about the implementation; ***deplores the fact that EU Member States were able to only agree on 11 out of 300 Pooling and Sharing proposals made by the national chiefs of defence;***

Or. en

**Amendment 78**  
**Geoffrey Van Orden**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 32**

*Motion for a resolution*

32. Welcomes the adoption of the code of conduct on pooling and sharing as ***an*** important ***step*** towards more cooperation in Europe and stresses the need to establish a

*Amendment*

32. Welcomes the adoption of the code of conduct on pooling and sharing as ***well as NATO's 'Smart Defence' initiative as*** important ***steps*** towards more cooperation

first strategic assessment of its implementation by the end of the year; expects the European Council in December 2013 to be a significant milestone in terms of giving a political impulse to pooling and sharing and of giving clear guidance about the implementation;

in Europe and stresses the need to establish a first strategic assessment of its implementation by the end of the year; expects the European Council in December 2013 to be a significant milestone in terms of giving a political impulse to pooling and sharing and of giving clear guidance about the implementation; ***recalls that the principle of pooling and sharing does not replace either the investments needed to procure military capabilities or the political framework that defines how such capabilities should be deployed;***

Or. en

**Amendment 79**  
**Geoffrey Van Orden**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 33**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***33. Stresses the importance of ensuring the security of supply of the equipment needed by Member States armed forces in order for them to fulfil their commitments in international crises; is seriously concerned about the increasing dependencies on non-European technologies and sources of supply and its implications for European autonomy; underlines the strategic importance of the defence industry, and calls on the EDA and the Commission to advance their work on identifying key industrial capabilities to be preserved or developed in Europe and on reducing European supply dependency;***

***deleted***

Or. en

**Amendment 80**  
**Tarja Cronberg**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 33**

*Motion for a resolution*

33. Stresses the importance of ensuring the security of supply of the equipment needed by Member States armed forces in order for them to fulfil their commitments in international crises; is seriously concerned about the increasing dependencies on non-European technologies and sources of supply and its implications for European autonomy; ***underlines the strategic importance of the defence industry, and calls on the EDA and the Commission to advance their work on identifying key industrial capabilities to be preserved or developed in Europe and on reducing European supply dependency;***

*Amendment*

33. Stresses the importance of ensuring the security of supply of the equipment needed by Member States armed forces in order for them to fulfil their commitments in international crises; is seriously concerned about the increasing dependencies on non-European technologies and sources of supply and its implications for European autonomy;

Or. en

**Amendment 81**  
**Tarja Cronberg**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 34**

*Motion for a resolution*

***34. Deplores the declining national budgets for defence research and the fact that it is mostly fragmented along national lines; points out the potential of the EU to bring substantial added value through the European Framework Cooperation and greater synergies between defence and civilian security research; highlights in particular the need to focus on investments in key enabling***

*Amendment*

*deleted*

*technologies such as robotics, nano- and microelectronics, and to make sure that EU funds spent in these areas benefit also the needs of defence;*

Or. en

**Amendment 82**  
**Elena Băsescu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 35**

*Motion for a resolution*

35. Notes the existence of a number of bilateral/regional/multilateral partnership initiatives in Europe aimed at pooling resources and fostering interoperability, and capable of providing contributions to EU, UN, NATO or ad hoc coalition operations; while welcoming the benefits of cooperation and fully supporting the rationale of pooling, encourages some form of rationalisation *of* the numerous structures with a multinational dimension, which have grown without any global and coherent plan;

*Amendment*

35. Notes the existence of a number of bilateral/regional/multilateral partnership initiatives in Europe aimed at pooling resources and fostering interoperability, and capable of providing contributions to EU, UN, NATO or ad hoc coalition operations; while welcoming the benefits of cooperation and fully supporting the rationale of pooling, encourages some form of rationalisation *and better coordination between* the numerous structures with a multinational dimension, which have grown without any global and coherent plan;

Or. en

**Amendment 83**  
**Ewald Stadler**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 36**

*Motion for a resolution*

36. *Calls for the* strengthening of links between Eurocorps and the EU Military Staff, and invites more Member States to join Eurocorps' multinational structure,

*Amendment*

36. *Welcomes a* strengthening of links between Eurocorps and the EU Military Staff;

which could form the nucleus of a fully integrated element of the European armed forces;

Or. de

**Amendment 84**  
**Geoffrey Van Orden**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 38**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**38. Reiterates the need to ensure overall coherence at EU level and invites the Member States to coordinate their initiatives more closely within the EU Military Committee, based on inputs from the EDA;**

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 85**  
**Geoffrey Van Orden**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 39**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**39. Reiterates its full support for European structures and projects in the area of education and training and stresses, in particular, the contribution of the European Security and Defence College (ESDC) to the promotion of a common security culture, as well as its potential in identifying and developing cost-saving collaborative projects between national institutions; calls on the Member States to strengthen the College by providing it with funding from the Union budget; encourages further development**

*deleted*

*of the European initiative for the exchange of young officers, inspired by Erasmus, as well as the participation of European military officers' education and training institutes in the Erasmus programme;*

Or. en

**Amendment 86**

**Tarja Cronberg**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 39**

*Motion for a resolution*

39. Reiterates its full support for European structures and projects in the area of education and training and stresses, in particular, the contribution of the European Security and Defence College (ESDC) to the promotion of a common security culture, as well as its potential in identifying and developing cost-saving collaborative projects between national institutions; calls on the Member States to strengthen the College *by providing it with funding from the Union budget*; encourages further development of the European initiative for the exchange of young officers, inspired by Erasmus, as well as the participation of European military officers' education and training institutes in the Erasmus programme;

*Amendment*

39. Reiterates its full support for European structures and projects in the area of education and training and stresses, in particular, the contribution of the European Security and Defence College (ESDC) to the promotion of a common security culture, as well as its potential in identifying and developing cost-saving collaborative projects between national institutions; calls on the Member States to strengthen the College; encourages further development of the European initiative for the exchange of young officers, inspired by Erasmus, as well as the participation of European military officers' education and training institutes in the Erasmus programme;

Or. en

**Amendment 87**

**Geoffrey Van Orden**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Paragraph 40**



*Motion for a resolution*

40. Strongly supports pooling and sharing initiatives in education and training where significant savings can be made without affecting national sovereignty as regards operational deployments; **highlights** the success of the EDA's Helicopter Training Programme **and welcomes the launch of tactical air transport exercises by EDA, which should lead to the establishment of a permanent European airlift tactics training course; looks forward to more progress in developing a common integrated training system to train future fighter pilots**; welcomes EDA's work on more pooled and shared training in the areas of cyber defence, countering improvised explosive devices and naval operations;

*Amendment*

40. Strongly supports 'Pooling and Sharing' **and 'Smart Defence'** initiatives in education and training where significant savings can be made without affecting national sovereignty as regards operational deployments; **notes** the success of the EDA's Helicopter Training Programme, **but recalls that NATO has similar projects in this domain**; welcomes EDA's work on more pooled and shared training in the areas of cyber defence, countering improvised explosive devices and naval operations;

Or. en

**Amendment 88**  
**Krzysztof Lisek**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 40**

*Motion for a resolution*

40. Strongly supports pooling and sharing initiatives in education and training where significant savings can be made without affecting national sovereignty as regards operational deployments; highlights the success of the EDA's Helicopter Training Programme and welcomes the launch of tactical air transport exercises by EDA, which should lead to the establishment of a permanent European airlift tactics training course; looks forward to more progress in developing a common integrated training system to train future fighter pilots; welcomes EDA's work on more pooled

*Amendment*

40. Strongly supports pooling and sharing initiatives in education and training where significant savings can be made without affecting national sovereignty as regards operational deployments; highlights the success of the EDA's Helicopter Training Programme and welcomes the launch of tactical air transport exercises by EDA, which should lead to the establishment of a permanent European airlift tactics training course; looks forward to more progress in developing a common integrated training system to train future fighter pilots; welcomes EDA's work on more pooled

and shared training in the areas of cyber defence, countering improvised explosive devices and naval operations;

and shared training in the areas of cyber defence, countering improvised explosive devices and naval operations; *draws attention to the need for the EDA to take into account the training needs of Member States whose aircraft are produced under, for example, Russian licences;*

Or. pl

**Amendment 89**  
**Krzysztof Lisek**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 41 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*41a. Draws attention to the need to avoid potential overlaps with NATO, e.g. in the area of cybersecurity training;*

Or. pl

**Amendment 90**  
**Andrew Duff**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Subheading 7**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

Increasing *the benefits of* EU-NATO cooperation

Increasing EU-NATO cooperation

Or. en

**Amendment 91**  
**Andrew Duff**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 42 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***42a. Urges much closer and regular collaboration at a political level between the HR/VP and the Secretary-General of NATO for the purpose of risk assessment, resource management, policy planning and execution of CSDP operations, both civilian and military;***

Or. en

**Amendment 92**  
**Krzysztof Lisek**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 42 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***42a. Stresses the need to develop existing EU-NATO operational cooperation frameworks through the Berlin Plus agreements, as well as the need for strategic EU-NATO dialogue with a view to coordinating the operational efforts and strategic goals of both organisations with regard to a specific crisis;***

Or. pl

**Amendment 93**  
**Elena Băsescu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 44**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

44. Emphasises the importance of NATO standards for European defence

44. Emphasises the importance of NATO standards for European defence

cooperation and stresses the need for capabilities developed within the EU to ensure full interoperability with NATO;

cooperation and stresses the need for capabilities developed within the EU to ensure full interoperability with NATO; ***encourages the exchange of best practices between the EU and NATO in the field of defence;***

Or. en

**Amendment 94**  
**Elena Băsescu**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 45**

*Motion for a resolution*

45. Notes that the NATO Response Force and EU battle-groups are complementary, mutually reinforcing initiatives, which, however, require similar efforts from the Member States, and calls for efforts to maximise synergies between them;

*Amendment*

45. Notes that the NATO Response Force and EU battle-groups are complementary, mutually reinforcing initiatives, which, however, require similar efforts from the Member States, and calls for efforts to maximise synergies between them; ***notes that the duplication of efforts between NATO and the EU should be avoided in order to preserve coherence and limit unnecessary spending;***

Or. en

**Amendment 95**  
**Krzysztof Lisek**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 45 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

***45a. Draws attention to the need for the EU to step up information activities in order to enlarge the role of Pooling and Sharing;***

Or. pl

**Amendment 96**  
**Andrew Duff, Arnaud Danjean**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 46**

*Motion for a resolution*

46. Invites the Member States to take a qualitative step forward in European defence by strengthening the EU's military structures in line with this resolution; encourages the Member States willing to do so to proceed, if necessary, in accordance with Articles 42(6) and 46 TEU on permanent structured cooperation; takes the view that should such **cooperation** be launched, it should, above all, be based on the participating Member States' willingness to assume their responsibilities within the international community and to make the Union better equipped for crisis management operations;

*Amendment*

46. Invites the Member States to take a qualitative step forward in European defence by strengthening the EU's military structures in line with this resolution; encourages the Member States willing to do so to proceed, if necessary, in accordance with Articles 42(6), **44** and 46 TEU on permanent structured cooperation; takes the view that should such **forms of cooperation** be launched, it should, above all, be based on the participating Member States' willingness to assume their responsibilities within the international community and to make the Union better equipped for crisis management operations;

Or. en

**Amendment 97**  
**Geoffrey Van Orden**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 47 – point 1**

*Motion for a resolution*

**· the establishment of a permanent EU Operational Headquarters,**

*Amendment*

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 98**  
**Ewald Stadler**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 47 – point 1**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

· *the establishment of a permanent EU Operational Headquarters,*

*deleted*

Or. de

**Amendment 99**  
**Tarja Cronberg**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 47 – point 1**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

· *the establishment of a permanent EU Operational Headquarters,*

· *the establishment of a permanent **military planning and conduct capability,***

Or. en

**Amendment 100**  
**Ewald Stadler**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 47 – point 2**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

· *common funding of rapid reaction operations using EU battle-groups,*

*deleted*

Or. de

**Amendment 101**  
**Tarja Cronberg**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 48 – point 1**

*Motion for a resolution*

· structured coordination of defence  
planning

*Amendment*

· structured coordination of defence  
planning, ***including financial aspects***

Or. en

**Amendment 102**  
**Geoffrey Van Orden**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 48 – point 3**

*Motion for a resolution*

· ***increased funding for the EDA;***

*Amendment*

***deleted***

Or. en

**Amendment 103**  
**Ewald Stadler**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 48 – point 3**

*Motion for a resolution*

• ***increased funding for the EDA;***

*Amendment*

***deleted***

Or. de

**Amendment 104**  
**Ewald Stadler**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 49**

*Motion for a resolution*

49. Notes that the Treaty clearly states that

*Amendment*

49. Notes that the Treaty clearly states that

permanent structured cooperation is to be established within the Union framework, observing that the vast majority of activities developed under it could therefore benefit from access to the EU budget under the same conditions as other EU activities, in line with Article 41 TEU;

permanent structured cooperation is to be established within the Union framework, observing that the vast majority of activities developed under it could therefore benefit from access to the EU budget under the same conditions as other EU activities, in line with Article 41 TEU;  
***also proposes looking into new forms of funding, however, in order to take adequate account of the neutrality policy of a number of Member States;***

Or. de