



EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

2009 - 2014

Committee on Development

2011/2086(INI)

30.4.2013

AMENDMENTS

1 - 7

Draft opinion
Eva Joly
(PE507.960v01-00)

Fisheries restrictions and jurisdictional waters - ways for conflict resolution
(2011/2086(INI))

AM\934586EN.doc

PE510.582v01-00

EN

United in diversity

EN

AM_Com_NonLegOpinion

Amendment 1
Maurice Ponga

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Notes that conflicts about maritime areas stem primarily from the desire to exploit marine resources which have grown limited, not to say rare, not least as a result of their overexploitation **and non-sustainable use, and that, given this situation, access to resources needs to be regulated**; maintains that, for a good many developing countries, marine resources are a cornerstone of their **future** development and their food **sovereignty**;

Amendment

1. Notes that conflicts about maritime areas stem primarily from the desire to exploit marine resources which have grown limited, not to say rare, not least as a result of their overexploitation **in the Mediterranean Sea and the Black Sea**; maintains that, for a good many developing countries, marine resources are a cornerstone of their development and their food **security**;

Or. fr

Amendment 2
Jean Roatta

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Notes that conflicts about maritime areas stem primarily from the **desire to exploit** marine resources which have grown limited, not to say rare, not least **as a result of their overexploitation and non-sustainable use**, and that, given this situation, access to resources needs to be regulated; maintains that, for a good many developing countries, marine resources are a cornerstone of their future development and their food sovereignty;

Amendment

1. Notes that conflicts about maritime areas stem primarily from the **exploitation of** marine resources which have grown limited, not to say rare, not least **because some of them have been overexploited and used in a non-sustainable way**, and that, given this situation, access to resources needs to be regulated; maintains that, for a good many developing countries, marine resources are a cornerstone of their future development and their food sovereignty;

Or. fr

Amendment 3
Jean Roatta

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Maintains that when the delimitation of maritime boundaries and the establishment of maritime areas are a subject of dispute, conflict resolution must proceed entirely in accordance with international law and be based on the principles of cooperation in good faith and of equality and fairness, taking into account the sustainability of marine resources and protection of the ecosystem;

Amendment

2. Maintains that when the delimitation of maritime boundaries and the establishment of maritime areas are a subject of dispute, conflict resolution must proceed entirely in accordance with international law and be based on the principles of cooperation in good faith and of equality and fairness, taking into account the sustainability of marine resources and protection of the ecosystem, ***notably through observance of the UN Convention on the Law of the Sea and particularly Article 62 thereof***;

Or. fr

Amendment 4
Maurice Ponga

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Maintains that when the delimitation of maritime boundaries and the establishment of ***maritime areas*** are a subject of dispute, conflict resolution must proceed entirely in accordance with international law and be based on the principles of cooperation in good faith and of equality and fairness, taking into account the sustainability of marine resources and protection of ***the ecosystem***;

Amendment

2. Maintains that when the delimitation of maritime boundaries and the establishment of ***fisheries protection zones*** are a subject of dispute, conflict resolution must proceed entirely in accordance with international law and be based on the principles of cooperation in good faith and of equality and fairness, taking into account the sustainability of marine resources and protection of ***ecosystems***;

Or. fr

Amendment 5
Maurice Ponga

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Considers that regional ***cooperation*** organisations offer the most appropriate framework within which to resolve conflicts of jurisdiction and regulate fishing activities; calls on coastal states, therefore, to favour that ***approach at bilateral level***;

Amendment

3. Considers that regional ***fisheries management*** organisations, ***particularly the General Fisheries Council for the Mediterranean***, offer the most appropriate framework within which to resolve conflicts of jurisdiction and regulate fishing activities; calls on coastal states ***and those states which fish the Mediterranean Sea and the Black Sea***, therefore, to favour that ***framework for deliberation***;

Or. fr

Amendment 6
Maurice Ponga

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Points to the need ***to provide*** coastal developing countries ***with economic and technical assistance enabling them to ascertain that the fishing activities being carried out in their jurisdictional waters are legal and sustainable***;

Amendment

4. Points to the need ***for the European Union to continue to support*** coastal developing countries ***so that they can put in place sustainable fisheries management plans for their territorial waters and take effective measures against illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing***;

Or. fr

Amendment 7
Ismail Ertug

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Points to the need to provide coastal developing countries with economic and technical assistance enabling them to ascertain that the fishing activities being carried out in their jurisdictional waters are legal and sustainable;

Amendment

4. Points, *in particular*, to the need to provide coastal developing countries with economic and technical assistance enabling them to ascertain that the fishing activities being carried out in their jurisdictional waters are legal and sustainable;

Or. de