



EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

2009 - 2014

Committee on Fisheries

2012/2323(INI)

6.6.2013

AMENDMENTS

1 - 9

Draft opinion
Antolín Sánchez Presedo
(PE510.583v01-00)

on follow-up on the Delegation of Legislative Powers and the Control by
Member States of the Commission's Exercise of Implementing Powers
(2012/2323(INI))

AM\938970EN.doc

PE513.175v01-00

EN

United in diversity

EN

AM_Com_NonLegOpinion

Amendment 1
Isabelle Thomas

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Proposes that, in each parliamentary committee, the Chair, one of the Vice-Chairs or a relevant Member should be charged with supporting Members and guaranteeing coherence regarding delegated and implementing acts in coordination with the other committees; points out that the rapporteur for the basic act should automatically become the rapporteur for its follow-up and should report periodically to the committee;

Amendment

3. Proposes that, in each parliamentary committee, the Chair, one of the Vice-Chairs or a relevant Member should be charged with supporting Members and guaranteeing coherence regarding delegated and implementing acts in coordination with the other committees; points out that the rapporteur for the basic act should automatically become the rapporteur for its follow-up and should report periodically to the committee; ***also points out that functional organisation will be needed within the secretariats if scrutiny is to be effective, and recommends, further, that an item given over to follow-up of delegated acts be included on committee agendas;***

Or. fr

Amendment 2
Isabelle Thomas

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

3a. Stresses that scrutiny is essential inasmuch as it enables Parliament to lay down predetermined criteria which, if fulfilled, would rule out the possibility that Parliament would object to a delegated act;

Amendment

Amendment 3
Isabelle Thomas

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3b. Calls on the Commission to make the publication of its acts more transparent, enabling Parliament and European citizens alike to gain access to them; suggests to that end that the Commission create an IT tool with a view to setting up a database in which acts could be recorded in a form lending itself to democratic scrutiny;

Or. fr

Amendment 4
Maria do Céu Patrão Neves

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

Amendment

4. Deplores the fact that, in the field of the common fisheries policy, as in other fields in which the codecision procedure was not introduced until the entry into force of the current Treaty and the Regulatory Procedure with Scrutiny was previously not used, the process of aligning pre-Lisbon legislation with the new legal framework comprising legislative, delegated and implementing acts is facing considerable difficulties and is even stagnating, often ***owing to*** the Council's unwillingness to acknowledge and make effective use of the delegation of powers to

4. Deplores the fact that, in the field of the common fisheries policy, as in other fields in which the codecision procedure was not introduced until the entry into force of the current Treaty and the Regulatory Procedure with Scrutiny was previously not used, the process of aligning pre-Lisbon legislation with the new legal framework comprising legislative, delegated and implementing acts is facing considerable difficulties – ***entailing lengthy and dangerous delays*** – and is even stagnating, ***with the result that measures needed urgently in the fisheries***

the Commission to adopt delegated acts under Article 290 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU);

sector cannot be implemented, and that the reason for this situation often lies in the Council's unwillingness to acknowledge and make effective use of the delegation of powers to the Commission to adopt delegated acts under Article 290 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU);

Or. pt

Amendment 5
Alain Cadec

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4a. Maintains that the alignment of fisheries policy necessitated by the Treaty of Lisbon must not lead the Commission to assume powers exceeding the bounds of that Treaty; points out that the authorisation granted to the Commission to adopt delegated acts is not meant to be 'open-ended', but rather must be limited in time by agreement (as a rule, powers of this kind are delegated for three years);

Or. fr

Amendment 6
Maria do Céu Patrão Neves

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

Amendment

6. Invites the Commission and the Council to engage in further negotiations with the European Parliament in order to find a common understanding on the

6. Invites the Commission and the Council to engage in further negotiations with the European Parliament in order to find a common understanding on the

interpretation and use of, and follow-up to, Articles 290 and 291 of the TFEU, in particular a workable demarcation between delegated and implementing acts, possibly with examples of powers that are classified as legislative, delegated or implementing.

interpretation and use of, and follow-up to, Articles 290 and 291 of the TFEU, in particular a workable demarcation between delegated and implementing acts, possibly with ***unquestionable specific*** examples of powers that are classified as legislative, delegated or implementing.

Or. pt

Amendment 7
Pat the Cope Gallagher

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6a. Recalls the importance of the delegation of executive powers to the Commission in certain policy areas in order to avoid micro-management and a heavy and lengthy co-decision procedure;

Or. en

Amendment 8
Pat the Cope Gallagher

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6b. Stresses that the choice of instrument also has consequences as regards the follow-up control of the delegated power; therefore supports the line taken by the Conference of Presidents to opt for delegated acts in cases where the choice of conferral applying the rules of the Treaty of the Functioning of the European Union is not evident;

Amendment 9
Pat the Cope Gallagher

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6c. Is of the opinion that the European Parliament and the Council of Ministers lose credibility in the eyes of EU citizens if important policies cannot be introduced because the two institutions cannot agree among themselves on which administrative procedure is to be used.

Or. en