



EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

2009 - 2014

Committee on Legal Affairs
Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs
Committee on Constitutional Affairs

2013/2024(INI)

10.9.2013

AMENDMENTS

1 - 312

Draft report

Luigi Berlinguer, Carlo Casini, Juan Fernando López Aguilar
(PE514.784v01-00)

on the mid-term review of the Stockholm Programme
(2013/2024(INI))

AM\1001332EN.doc

PE516.857v01-00

EN

United in diversity

EN

AM_Com_NonLegReport

Amendment 1
Enrique Guerrero Salom

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Believes that the Treaty of Lisbon brought important positive elements to the area of freedom, security and justice but deplores certain shortcomings in its implementation; is no longer willing to accept that the Council and the Commission, in many instances, continue to act as if the Treaty of Lisbon had not entered into force; requests the fulfilment of the obligation to inform the Parliament ‘immediately and fully at all stages of the procedure’ leading to the conclusion of international agreements; regrets the unacceptable delays in bringing the acts of the former third pillar in line with the Treaty of Lisbon; calls for a case-by-case assessment of the former third pillar acts with regard to how they impact on fundamental rights, with a view to bringing them in line with the new hierarchy of norms of basic, delegated and implementing acts;

Amendment

1. Believes that the Treaty of Lisbon brought important positive elements to the area of freedom, security and justice but deplores certain shortcomings in its implementation; is no longer willing to accept that the Council and the Commission, in many instances, continue to act as if the Treaty of Lisbon had not entered into force; requests the fulfilment of the obligation to inform the Parliament ‘immediately and fully at all stages of the procedure’ leading to the conclusion of international agreements; regrets the unacceptable delays in bringing the acts of the former third pillar in line with the Treaty of Lisbon; calls for a case-by-case assessment of the former third pillar acts with regard to how they impact on fundamental rights, with a view to bringing them in line with the new hierarchy of norms of basic, delegated and implementing acts; ***and the new institutional order/structure after the coming into force of the Treaty of Lisbon;***

Or. en

Amendment 2
Renate Weber

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Believes that the Treaty of Lisbon ***brought important positive elements to the*** area of freedom, security and justice but deplores certain shortcomings in its implementation; is no longer willing to accept that the Council and the Commission, in many instances, continue to act as if the Treaty of Lisbon had not entered into force; requests the fulfilment of the obligation to inform the Parliament ‘immediately and fully at all stages of the procedure’ leading to the conclusion of international agreements; regrets the unacceptable delays in bringing the acts of the former third pillar in line with the Treaty of Lisbon; calls for a case-by-case assessment of the former third pillar acts with regard to how they impact on fundamental rights, with a view to bringing them in line with the new hierarchy of norms of basic, delegated and implementing acts;

Amendment

1. Believes that the Treaty of Lisbon ***and the Charter of Fundamental Rights have strengthened the constitutional basis of EU Institutions and Member States in reaching the shared objective to transform the EU in an*** area of freedom, security and justice, but deplores certain shortcomings in its implementation; ***considers that this objective requires that EU Treaties and laws are applied equally in the EU and that consequently opt-outs or special regimes should be removed;*** is no longer willing to accept that the Council and the Commission, in many instances, continue to act as if the Treaty of Lisbon ***and the Charter of Fundamental Rights*** had not entered into force ***including by ignoring the role and legitimacy of the European Parliament and of national parliaments;*** requests the fulfilment of the obligation to inform the Parliament ‘immediately and fully at all stages of the procedure’ leading to the conclusion of international agreements; regrets the unacceptable delays in bringing the acts of the former third pillar in line with the Treaty of Lisbon; calls for a case-by-case assessment of the former third pillar acts with regard to how they impact on fundamental rights, with a view to bringing them in line with the new hierarchy of norms of basic, delegated and implementing acts;

Or. en

Amendment 3

Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Mariya Gabriel, Monika Hohlmeier

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Believes that the Treaty of Lisbon brought important positive elements to the area of freedom, security and justice but **deplores** certain shortcomings in its implementation; **is no longer willing to accept that the Council and the Commission, in many instances, continue to act as if the Treaty of Lisbon had not entered into force**; requests the fulfilment of the obligation to inform the Parliament ‘immediately and fully at all stages of the procedure’ leading to the conclusion of international agreements; regrets the **unacceptable** delays in bringing the acts of the former third pillar in line with the Treaty of Lisbon; calls for a case-by-case assessment of the former third pillar acts with regard to **how they impact on fundamental rights**, with a view to bringing them in line with the new hierarchy of norms of basic, delegated and implementing acts;

Amendment

1. Believes that the Treaty of Lisbon brought important positive elements to the area of freedom, security and justice but **notes** certain shortcomings in its implementation; **points out that the Treaty of Lisbon signifies increased powers for Parliament**; requests the fulfilment of the obligation to inform the Parliament ‘immediately and fully at all stages of the procedure’ leading to the conclusion of international agreements; regrets the delays in bringing the acts of the former third pillar in line with the Treaty of Lisbon; calls for a case-by-case assessment of the former third pillar acts with regard to **their** impact, with a view to bringing them in line with the new hierarchy of norms of basic, delegated and implementing acts;

Or. fr

Amendment 4
Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

1 a. Considers that when fundamental and European citizenship rights are at stake the EU mission should be to promote the highest level of protection (as outlined by the art. 53 of the EU Charter and in the ECHR jurisprudence).

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 5
Kyriacos Triantaphyllides

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Takes the view that the use of the ordinary legislative procedure **has brought** law-making closer to the people **and has given** the European Parliament, the only democratically elected Union institution, a greater degree of influence;

Amendment

2. Takes the view that the use of the ordinary legislative procedure **aims at bringing** law-making closer to the people **by giving** the European Parliament, the only democratically elected Union institution, a greater degree of influence;

Or. en

Amendment 6
Roberta Angelilli

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Takes the view that the use of the ordinary legislative procedure has brought lawmaking closer to the people and has given the European Parliament, the only **democratically elected** Union institution, a greater degree of influence;

Amendment

2. Takes the view that the use of the ordinary legislative procedure has brought lawmaking closer to the people and has given the European Parliament, the only Union institution **elected directly by citizens**, a greater degree of influence, **thereby making Union action more legitimate, efficient, transparent, and democratic**;

Or. it

Amendment 7
Renate Weber

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Takes the view that the use of the ordinary legislative procedure has brought law-making closer to the people and has given the European Parliament, the only democratically elected Union institution, a greater degree of influence;

Amendment

2. Takes the view that the use of the ordinary legislative procedure has brought law-making closer to the people and has given the European Parliament, the only democratically elected Union institution, a greater degree of influence, ***underlines that this procedure should be further expanded in the future Treaty revisions and that stronger transparency in the decision-making process should be brought, notably in relation to first reading agreements and trilogues, so to ensure that the right of access to documents and to information is guaranteed as foreseen by the Treaties;***

Or. en

Amendment 8
Roberta Angelilli

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Notes that, in its communication of 20 April 2010 entitled ‘Delivering an area of freedom, security and justice for Europe’s citizens – Action Plan Implementing the Stockholm Programme’, the Commission argued in favour of a more ambitious response to the day-to-day concerns and ***aspirations*** of EU citizens and emphasised that the Union must be able to react to unexpected events and be swift in seizing opportunities and in anticipating and adjusting to future trends;

Amendment

3. Notes that, in its communication of 20 April 2010 entitled ‘Delivering an area of freedom, security and justice for Europe’s citizens – Action Plan Implementing the Stockholm Programme’, the Commission argued in favour of a more ambitious response to the day-to-day concerns, ***interests***, and ***expectations*** of EU citizens and emphasised that the Union must be able to react to unexpected events and be swift in seizing opportunities and in anticipating and adjusting to future trends;

Or. it

Amendment 9
Claude Moraes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Notes that, in its communication of 20 April 2010 entitled ‘Delivering an area of freedom, security and justice for Europe's citizens - Action Plan Implementing the Stockholm Programme’³, the Commission argued in favour of a more ambitious response to the day-to-day concerns and aspirations of EU citizens and emphasised that the Union must be able to react to unexpected events and be swift in seizing opportunities and in anticipating and adjusting to future trends;

Amendment

3. Notes that, in its communication of 20 April 2010 entitled ‘Delivering an area of freedom, security and justice for Europe's citizens - Action Plan Implementing the Stockholm Programme’³, the Commission argued in favour of a more ambitious response to the day-to-day concerns and aspirations of EU citizens, **residents and migrants** and emphasised that the Union must be able to react to unexpected events and be swift in seizing opportunities and in anticipating and adjusting to future trends;

Or. en

Amendment 10
Sarah Ludford

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Notes that, in its communication of 20 April 2010 entitled ‘Delivering an area of freedom, security and justice for Europe's citizens - Action Plan Implementing the Stockholm Programme’³, the Commission argued in favour of a more ambitious response to the day-to-day concerns and aspirations of EU citizens and emphasised that the Union must be able to react to unexpected events and be swift in seizing opportunities and in anticipating and adjusting to future trends;

Amendment

3. Notes that, in its communication of 20 April 2010 entitled ‘Delivering an area of freedom, security and justice for Europe's citizens - Action Plan Implementing the Stockholm Programme’³, the Commission argued in favour of a more ambitious response to the day-to-day concerns and aspirations of EU citizens, **residents and migrants** and emphasised that the Union must be able to react to unexpected events and be swift in seizing opportunities and in anticipating and adjusting to future trends;

Or. en

Amendment 11
Claude Moraes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4. Points out that with those very aims in mind the Commission has on several occasions made use of its right to propose legislation under Article 76 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 12
Claude Moraes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5. Takes the view that the era of large-scale multiannual programmes based on the intergovernmental approach is over, given the array of legal bases provided for by the Treaties in the policy spheres covered by the area of freedom, security and justice, the scope for the Commission to make use of its right to propose legislation and its stated ambition to do so;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 13
Kyriacos Triantaphyllides

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Takes the view that the era of large-scale multiannual programmes based on the intergovernmental approach is over, given the array of legal bases provided for by the Treaties in the policy spheres covered by the area of freedom, security and justice, the scope for the Commission to make use of its right to propose legislation and its stated ambition to do so;

Amendment

5. Takes the view that the era of large-scale multiannual programmes based on the intergovernmental approach is over, given the ***importance of ensuring democratic oversight in this field via the ordinary legislative procedure, the*** array of legal bases provided for by the Treaties in the policy spheres covered by the area of freedom, security and justice, the scope for the Commission to make use of its right to propose legislation and its stated ambition to do so;

Or. en

Amendment 14
Renate Weber

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Takes the view that the era of large-scale multiannual programmes based on the intergovernmental approach ***is over***, given the array of legal bases provided for by the Treaties in the policy spheres covered by the area of freedom, security and justice, the scope for the Commission to make use of its right to propose legislation and its stated ambition to do so;

Amendment

5. Takes the view that the era of large-scale multiannual programmes based on the intergovernmental approach ***can be reviewed by allowing more space for flexibility, notably to react to urgent issues or EP requests for action or proposals,*** given the array of legal bases provided for by the Treaties in the policy spheres covered by the area of freedom, security and justice, the scope for the Commission to make use of its right to propose legislation and its stated ambition to do so; ***believes the Commission should anyway develop and present at the beginning of its term its programme of action, that shall be reviewed and finalized on the basis of the requests of the EP and the Council and then implemented with clear***

deadlines;

Or. en

Amendment 15

Monika Hohlmeier, Véronique Mathieu Houillon

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Takes the view that the era of large-scale multiannual programmes based on the intergovernmental approach is over, given the array of legal bases provided for by the Treaties in the policy spheres covered by the area of freedom, security and justice, the scope for the Commission to make use of its right to propose legislation and its stated ambition to do so;

Amendment

5. Encourages the Council's decision from its informal meeting in July 2013 to adopt a successor programme for the Stockholm Programme, notes further that a scope and volume of this programme still have to be defined;

Or. en

Amendment 16

Claude Moraes

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Encourages the Commission, therefore, to assume its role in framing policies and setting legislative priorities and to make use of its right to propose legislation whenever necessary; states, at the same time, its opposition to any return to the intergovernmental approach which characterised the era prior to the entry into force of the Treaty of Lisbon;

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 17

Judith Sargentini, Jan Philipp Albrecht, Rui Tavares

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Encourages the Commission, therefore, to assume its role in framing policies and setting legislative priorities and to make use of its right to propose legislation whenever necessary; states, at the same time, its opposition to any return to the intergovernmental approach which characterised the era prior to the entry into force of the Treaty of Lisbon;

Amendment

6. Encourages the Commission, therefore, to assume its role in framing policies and setting legislative priorities and to make use of its right to propose legislation whenever necessary ***in order to guarantee a holistic and coherent approach to the Area of Freedom, Justice and Security***; states, at the same time, its opposition to any return to the intergovernmental approach which characterised the era prior to the entry into force of the Treaty of Lisbon;

Or. en

Amendment 18

Monika Hohlmeier, Véronique Mathieu Houillon

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. ***Encourages*** the Commission, ***therefore, to assume its role in framing policies and setting legislative priorities and to make use of its right to propose legislation whenever necessary***; states, at the same time, its opposition to any return to the intergovernmental approach which characterised the era prior to the entry into force of the Treaty of Lisbon;

Amendment

6. ***Notes that*** the Commission ***can make use of its right to propose legislation with full respect to its competences as set by the treaties including the principle of subsidiarity and in close cooperation with the co-legislators***; states, at the same time, its opposition to any return to the intergovernmental approach which characterised the era prior to the entry into force of the Treaty of Lisbon;

Or. en

Amendment 19
Ramon Tremosa i Balcells

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6 a. Thinks that being able to propose a few pieces of legislation every year should be a mid-term goal for the European Parliament.

Or. en

Amendment 20
Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7. Takes the view that the greater role played by national parliaments in the activities of the European Union, as enshrined in Protocols No 1 (on the role of the national parliaments in the European Union) and No 2 (on the application of the principles of subsidiarity and proportionality) annexed to the Treaty on European Union and the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, has had a positive impact on the development and functioning of the area of freedom, security and justice in particular, not only because the subsidiarity principle is now more likely to be complied with, but also because the broader and closer involvement of the peoples of Europe in the democratic process has made a significant contribution to lawmaking and European policy-making;

7. Takes the view that the greater role played by national parliaments in the activities of the European Union, as enshrined in Protocols No 1 (on the role of the national parliaments in the European Union) and No 2 (on the application of the principles of subsidiarity and proportionality) annexed to the Treaty on European Union and the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, has had a positive impact on the development and functioning of the area of freedom, security and justice in particular, not only because the subsidiarity principle is now more likely to be complied with, but also because the broader and closer involvement of the peoples of Europe in the democratic process has made a significant contribution to lawmaking and European policy-making; ***takes the view that the involvement of national***

parliaments should be further stepped up, including cooperation between national parliaments working to the same timetable; considers that the involvement of national parliaments is essential with regard to security and that the policy cycle pursued by the Council, the Member States and European agencies, without any parliamentary oversight, should be subject to a parliamentary cycle;

Or. fr

Amendment 21
Ramon Tremosa i Balcells

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Takes the view that the greater role played by national parliaments in the activities of the European Union, as enshrined in Protocols No 1 (on the role of the national parliaments in the European Union) and No 2 (on the application of the principles of subsidiarity and proportionality) annexed to the Treaty on European Union and the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, has had a positive impact on the development and functioning of the area of freedom, security and justice in particular, not only because the subsidiarity principle is now more likely to be complied with, but also because the broader and closer involvement of the peoples of Europe in the democratic process has made a significant contribution to law-making and European policy-making;

Amendment

7. Takes the view that the greater role played by national parliaments in the activities of the European Union, as enshrined in Protocols No 1 (on the role of the national parliaments in the European Union) and No 2 (on the application of the principles of subsidiarity and proportionality) annexed to the Treaty on European Union and the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, has had a positive impact on the development and functioning of the area of freedom, security and justice in particular, not only because the subsidiarity principle is now more likely to be complied with, but also because the broader and closer involvement of the peoples of Europe in the democratic process has made a significant contribution to law-making and European policy-making; ***believes that subsidiarity should also be applied with those regional governments who have legal powers on this field.***

Or. en

Amendment 22
Renate Weber

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7 a. calls for a strengthened cooperation and dialogue between national parliaments and the European Parliament, as well as between EU institutions and bodies in general and national parliaments, so to ensure that information on EU initiatives is made available directly and promptly by EU institutions and bodies to national parliaments as much as possible, for instance by taking part in national parliaments plenary and committee hearings;

Or. en

Amendment 23
Monika Hohlmeier, Véronique Mathieu Houillon

Motion for a resolution
Subheading 4

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Uniform electoral law

Uniform electoral law ***for the European Parliament elections***

Or. en

Amendment 24
Claude Moraes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8. Notes that, even in the absence of an agreement on a uniform electoral procedure, electoral systems are gradually becoming more similar, in particular as a result of the establishment of political parties at European Union level⁴, the work on drawing up a European statute based on the Commission proposal for a reform of the rules governing European political parties⁵ and the ban on holding a dual mandate⁶, which has made the office of Member of the European Parliament incompatible with that of Member of a national parliament;

deleted

⁴ Regulation (EC) No 2004/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 4 November 2003 on the regulations governing political parties at European level and the rules regarding their funding (OJ L 297, 15.11.2003, p. 1), as amended by Regulation (EC) No 1524/2007.

⁵ COM(2012)0499.

⁶ Council Decision 2002/772/EC, Euratom, Article 1(7)(b).

Or. en

Amendment 25
Enrique Guerrero Salom

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8. Notes that, even in the absence of an agreement on a uniform electoral procedure, electoral systems are gradually becoming more similar, in particular as a

8. Notes that, even in the absence of an agreement on a uniform electoral procedure, electoral systems are gradually becoming more similar, in particular as a

result of the establishment of political parties at European Union level⁴, the work on drawing up a European statute based on the Commission proposal for a reform of the rules governing European political parties⁵ and the ban on holding a dual mandate⁶, which has made the office of Member of the European Parliament incompatible with that of Member of a national parliament;

result of the establishment of political parties **and political foundations** at European Union level⁴, the work on drawing up a European statute based on the Commission proposal for a reform of the rules governing European political parties⁵ and the ban on holding a dual mandate⁶, which has made the office of Member of the European Parliament incompatible with that of Member of a national parliament;

Or. en

Amendment 26

Monika Hohlmeier, Véronique Mathieu Houillon

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Notes that, even in the absence of an agreement on a uniform electoral procedure, electoral systems are gradually becoming more similar, in particular as a result of the establishment of political parties at European Union level⁴, the work on drawing up a European statute based on the Commission proposal for a reform of the rules governing European political parties⁵ and the ban on holding a dual mandate⁶, which has made the office of Member of the European Parliament incompatible with that of Member of a national parliament;

Amendment

8. Notes that, even in the absence of an agreement on a uniform electoral procedure **for the European Parliament elections**, electoral systems are gradually becoming more similar, in particular as a result of the establishment of political parties at European Union level⁴, the work on drawing up a European statute based on the Commission proposal for a reform of the rules governing European political parties⁵ and the ban on holding a dual mandate⁶, which has made the office of Member of the European Parliament incompatible with that of Member of a national parliament; **encourages more transparent procedures for the nomination of candidates that guarantee their independence and the possibility to introduce direct constituencies**;

Or. en

Amendment 27
Claude Moraes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9. Takes the view that the public should be made more aware of Parliament's democratic role and that European election campaigns should focus on genuinely European issues; **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 28
Ramon Tremosa i Balcells

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9. Takes the view that the public should be made more aware of Parliament's democratic role and that European election campaigns should focus on ***genuinely European issues***;

9. Takes the view that the public should be made more aware of Parliament's democratic role and that European election campaigns should focus on ***all issues that affect Europeans***;

Or. en

Amendment 29
Claude Moraes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10. Takes the view, therefore, that a reform of the electoral procedure will be required in the future in order to enhance the legitimacy and effectiveness of **deleted**

Parliament by strengthening the democratic dimension of Europe and dividing up the seats in Parliament more proportionally among the Member States, in accordance with the principles laid down in the Treaties; considers that a reform of this kind will encourage EU citizens to take part in European elections in their Member State of residence if they are not nationals of that State;

Or. en

Amendment 30
Lorenzo Fontana

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Takes the view, therefore, that a reform of the ***electoral procedure will be required in the future in order to*** enhance the legitimacy and effectiveness of Parliament by strengthening the democratic dimension of Europe and dividing up the seats in Parliament more proportionally among the Member States, in accordance with the principles laid down in the Treaties; considers that a reform of this kind will encourage EU citizens to take part in European elections in their Member State of residence if they are not nationals of that State;

Amendment

10. Takes the view, therefore, that ***what will be required in the future is a radical reform of the European system whereby citizens would not only elect the Members of the European Parliament, but, in addition, the EU's most senior office-bearers (President, Vice-President, Commissioners) would be chosen directly by citizens; considers that this will do much to narrow the democratic gap between the EU and European citizens and*** enhance the legitimacy and effectiveness of Parliament by strengthening the democratic dimension of Europe and dividing up the seats in Parliament more proportionally among the Member States, in accordance with the principles laid down in the Treaties; considers that a reform of this kind will encourage EU citizens to take part in European elections in their Member State of residence if they are not nationals of that State;

Or. it

Amendment 31
Hubert Pirker

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Takes the view, therefore, that a reform of the electoral procedure will be required in the future in order to enhance the legitimacy and effectiveness of Parliament by strengthening the democratic dimension of Europe ***and dividing seats up more proportionally among the Member States***, in accordance with the principles laid down in the Treaties; considers that a reform of this kind will encourage European citizens to take part in European elections in their Member State of residence if they are not nationals of that State;

Amendment

10. Takes the view, therefore, that a reform of the electoral procedure will be required in the future in order to enhance the legitimacy and effectiveness of Parliament by strengthening the democratic dimension of Europe, in accordance with the principles laid down in the Treaties; considers that a reform of this kind will encourage European citizens to take part in European elections in their Member State of residence if they are not nationals of that State;

Or. de

Amendment 32
Enrique Guerrero Salom

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Takes the view, therefore, that a reform of the electoral procedure will be required in the future in order to enhance the legitimacy and effectiveness of Parliament by strengthening the democratic dimension of Europe and dividing up the seats in Parliament more proportionally among the Member States, in accordance with the principles laid down in the Treaties; considers that a reform of this kind will encourage EU citizens to take part in European elections in their Member State

Amendment

10. Takes the view, therefore, that a reform of the electoral procedure ***must be European, autonomous, homogeneous and*** will be required in the future in order to enhance the legitimacy and effectiveness of Parliament by strengthening the democratic dimension of Europe and dividing up the seats in Parliament more proportionally among the Member States, in accordance with the principles laid down in the Treaties; considers that a reform of this kind will encourage EU citizens to take

of residence if they are not nationals of that State;

part in European elections in their Member State of residence if they are not nationals of that State; ***for this purpose, more efforts must be put into the fields of communication and publicity of the elections;***

Or. en

Amendment 33
Paulo Rangel

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Takes the view, therefore, that a reform of the electoral procedure will be required in the future in order to enhance the legitimacy and effectiveness of Parliament by strengthening the democratic dimension of Europe ***and dividing up the seats in Parliament more proportionally among the Member States***, in accordance with the principles laid down in the Treaties; considers that a reform of this kind will encourage EU citizens to take part in European elections in their Member State of residence if they are not nationals of that State;

Amendment

10. Takes the view, therefore, that a reform of the electoral procedure will be required in the future in order to enhance the legitimacy and effectiveness of Parliament by strengthening the democratic dimension of Europe, in accordance with the principles laid down in the Treaties; considers that a reform of this kind will encourage EU citizens to take part in European elections in their Member State of residence if they are not nationals of that State;

Or. pt

Amendment 34
Gerald Häfner
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Takes the view, therefore, that a reform of the electoral procedure will be required

Amendment

10. Takes the view, therefore, that a reform of the electoral procedure will be required

in the future in order to enhance the legitimacy and effectiveness of Parliament by strengthening the democratic dimension of Europe **and** dividing up the seats in Parliament more proportionally among the Member States, in accordance with the principles laid down in the Treaties; considers that a reform of this kind will encourage EU citizens to take part in European elections in their Member State of residence if they are not nationals of that State;

in the future in order to enhance the legitimacy and effectiveness of Parliament by strengthening the democratic dimension of Europe, dividing up the seats in Parliament more proportionally among the Member States, **based on a clear and comprehensible mathematic definition of digressive proportionality**, in accordance with the principles laid down in the Treaties, **and introducing transnational lists of candidates**; considers that a reform of this kind will encourage EU citizens to take part in European elections in their Member State of residence if they are not nationals of that State;

Or. en

Amendment 35
Kyriacos Triantaphyllides, Marie-Christine Vergiat

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Takes the view, therefore, that a reform of the electoral procedure will be required in the future in order to enhance the legitimacy and effectiveness of Parliament by strengthening the democratic dimension of Europe and dividing up the seats in Parliament more proportionally among the Member States, in accordance with the principles laid down in the Treaties; considers that a reform of this kind will encourage EU citizens to take part in European elections in their Member State of residence if they are not nationals of that State;

Amendment

10. Takes the view, therefore, that a reform of the electoral procedure will be required in the future in order to enhance the legitimacy and effectiveness of Parliament by strengthening the democratic dimension of Europe and dividing up the seats in Parliament more proportionally among the Member States, in accordance with the principles laid down in the Treaties, **in order to guarantee a better representation of small parties and small Member States**; considers that a reform of this kind will encourage EU citizens to take part in European elections in their Member State of residence if they are not nationals of that State;

Or. en

Amendment 36
Ramon Tremosa i Balcells

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10 a. Deplores that in some Member States the electoral law for the European elections is based on a single and blocked national list. Reminds that this creates a big gap between elected representatives and citizens that reinforce political disaffection against the EU.

Or. en

Amendment 37
Ramon Tremosa i Balcells

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10 b. Calls all Member States with populations bigger than 20 M to ensure that their electoral law for the European elections includes regional circumscriptions in order to minimize distortions.

Or. en

Amendment 38
Claude Moraes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11. Welcomes, nevertheless, as a first step, deleted

the adoption of Council Directive 2013/1/EU of 20 December 2012 amending Directive 93/109/EC as regards certain detailed arrangements for the exercise of the right to stand as a candidate in elections to the European Parliament for citizens of the Union residing in a Member State of which they are not nationals⁷, in that it relaxes the requirements which EU citizens resident in a Member State of which they are not nationals must meet if they wish to stand as candidates in European elections;

⁷ OJ L 26, 26.1.2013, p. 27.

Or. en

Amendment 39
Enrique Guerrero Salom

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Welcomes, nevertheless, as a first step, the adoption of Council Directive 2013/1/EU of 20 December 2012 amending Directive 93/109/EC as regards certain detailed arrangements for the exercise of the right to stand as a candidate in elections to the European Parliament for citizens of the Union residing in a Member State of which they are not nationals⁷, in that it relaxes the requirements which EU citizens resident in a Member State of which they are not nationals must meet if they wish to stand as candidates in European elections;

Amendment

11. Welcomes, nevertheless, as a first step, the adoption of Council Directive 2013/1/EU of 20 December 2012 amending Directive 93/109/EC as regards certain detailed arrangements for the exercise of the right to stand as a candidate in elections to the European Parliament for citizens of the Union residing in a Member State of which they are not nationals⁷, in that it relaxes the requirements which EU citizens resident in a Member State of which they are not nationals must meet if they wish to stand as candidates in European elections; ***urges to settle down the bureaucratic barriers in the EP elections which still hamper the participation of EU citizens residing in a Member State but not nationals and encourages the cooperation among***

Member States to delete this scourge to the democratic EU standards.

Or. en

Amendment 40
Gerald Häfner
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Welcomes, nevertheless, as a first step, the adoption of Council Directive 2013/1/EU of 20 December 2012 amending Directive 93/109/EC as regards certain detailed arrangements for the exercise of the right to stand as a candidate in elections to the European Parliament for citizens of the Union residing in a Member State of which they are not nationals⁷, in that it relaxes the requirements which EU citizens resident in a Member State of which they are not nationals must meet if they wish to stand as candidates in European elections;

Amendment

11. Welcomes, nevertheless, as a first step, the adoption of Council Directive 2013/1/EU of 20 December 2012 amending Directive 93/109/EC as regards certain detailed arrangements for the exercise of the right to stand as a candidate in elections to the European Parliament for citizens of the Union residing in a Member State of which they are not nationals⁷, in that it relaxes the requirements which EU citizens resident in a Member State of which they are not nationals must meet if they wish to stand as candidates in European elections; ***Reiterates that further steps need to be taken in order to guarantee every European citizen the right to vote regardless of their actual residence;***

Or. en

Amendment 41
Renate Weber

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11 a. Underlines that the only way to

bring citizens closer to the EU is to proceed to a reform of the Treaties so to strengthen its democratic and institutional structure on the federal model and to enhance the participation of citizens to the decision-making process;

Or. en

Amendment 42
Roberta Angelilli

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Takes the view that *the citizens' initiative* can play a key role in identifying matters which should be dealt with at EU level;

Amendment

13. Takes the view that *this form of participation by the people in political decision-making* can play a key role in identifying matters which should be dealt with at EU level;

Or. it

Amendment 43
Enrique Guerrero Salom

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Takes the view that the citizens' initiative can play a key role in identifying matters which should be dealt with at EU level;

Amendment

13. Takes the view that the citizens' initiative can play a key role in identifying matters which should be dealt with at EU level *and enhances the legitimacy of the policies' procedure at EU level;*

Or. en

Amendment 44
Kyriacos Triantaphyllides, Marie-Christine Vergiat

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Takes the view that the citizens' initiative can play a key role in identifying matters which should be dealt with at EU level;

Amendment

13. Takes the view that the citizens' initiative can play a key role in identifying matters which should be dealt with at EU level; ***Calls on the European Commission to adopt an open and inclusive approach with regards to European citizens' initiatives to ensure that, when criteria are indeed met, these initiatives lead to subsequent legislative proposals and thereby truly include the people living in the EU in the law-making process;***

Or. en

Amendment 45
Gerald Häfner
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Deplores, however, the technical problems encountered by the organisers of citizens' initiatives ***and*** calls on the Commission to resolve the problems in question;

Amendment

14. Deplores, however, the technical problems encountered by the organisers of citizens' initiatives, ***notably with the open-source software for online signature collection, and that an estimated 11 million EU citizens residing outside their home country are being denied their right to support ECIs by Member States;*** calls on the Commission to resolve the problems in question ***and on the Member States to ensure the validation of all signatures by EU citizens as well as to reduce, to a sensible minimum, the amount of personal data citizens have to provide when signing an initiative;***

Amendment 46

Kyriacos Triantaphyllides, Marie-Christine Vergiat

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Deplores, however, the technical problems encountered by the organisers of citizens' initiatives and calls on the Commission to resolve the problems in question;

Amendment

14. ***Calls on the Commission to work more closely with the Member States in order to make the European Citizens initiative more effective and accessible to all people; Calls on the Commission to make a first assessment report of the ECIs;*** Deplores, however, the technical problems encountered by the organisers of citizens' initiatives and calls on the Commission to resolve the problems in question ***without undue delay;***

Or. en

Amendment 47

Enrique Guerrero Salom

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

14 a. (new paragraph)

Points out that the effective application of the Citizens' Initiative is hampered not only by technical problems, but also by financial problems due to a lack of budgetary resources.

Or. en

Amendment 48
Marco Scurria

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Is of the view that, in general terms, the implementation of the Stockholm Programme does not live up to its ambition to promote citizens' rights;

Amendment

deleted

Or. it

Amendment 49
Roberta Angelilli

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Is of the view that, in general terms, the implementation of the Stockholm Programme **does not** live up to **its** ambition **to promote** citizens' rights;

Amendment

15. Notes that many advances have been made as regards the protection of fundamental rights, especially where the rights of children and vulnerable groups are concerned, including victims of crime and terrorism; is of the view, **however,** that, in general terms, the implementation of the Stockholm Programme **needs to be pursued further in order to** live up to **the** ambition **implicit in its aims in promoting** citizens' rights;

Or. it

Amendment 50
Kyriacos Triantaphyllides

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Is of the view that, in general terms, the implementation of the Stockholm Programme does not live up to its ambition to promote citizens' rights;

Amendment

15. Is of the view that, in general terms, the implementation of the Stockholm Programme does not live up to its ambition to promote citizens' rights ***as well as the rights of other persons for whom the Union has a responsibility***;

Or. en

Amendment 51

Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Mariya Gabriel, Monika Hohlmeier

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Is of the view that, in general terms, ***the implementation of*** the Stockholm Programme ***does not live up to its ambition*** to promote ***citizens' rights***;

Amendment

15. Is of the view that, in general terms, the Stockholm Programme ***was very ambitious, that it has not yet been fully implemented and that its implementation needs to be stepped up in order*** to promote ***justice, security and civil liberties***;

Or. fr

Amendment 52

Timothy Kirkhope

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

15 a. Strongly encourages the European Union to seek to make less legislation of a higher quality, aiming to delivering clarity, protection, and trust in European law;

Or. en

Amendment 53
Lorenzo Fontana

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16. Calls urgently for measures to address the so-called Copenhagen dilemma, describing a situation in which the Union sets high standards for candidate countries to meet but lacks tools for Member States; announces its intention to set up a Copenhagen Commission within the Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs;

deleted

Or. it

Amendment 54
Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Mariya Gabriel

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16. Calls **urgently** for **measures to address the so-called Copenhagen dilemma**, describing a situation in which the Union sets high standards for candidate countries to meet but lacks tools for Member States; **announces its intention to set up a Copenhagen Commission within the Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs;**

16. Calls for **thought to be given, at all levels, to making a better response** to the Copenhagen dilemma, describing a situation in which the Union sets high standards for candidate countries to meet but lacks tools for Member States;

Or. fr

Amendment 55
Tadeusz Zwiefka

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Calls ***urgently for measures*** to address the so-called Copenhagen dilemma, describing a situation in which the Union sets high standards for candidate countries to meet but lacks tools for Member States; ***announces its intention to set up a Copenhagen Commission within the Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs;***

Amendment

16. Calls ***for future reflection*** to address the so-called Copenhagen dilemma, describing a situation in which the Union sets high standards for candidate countries to meet but lacks tools for Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 56
Renate Weber

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Calls urgently for measures to address the so-called Copenhagen dilemma, describing a situation in which the Union sets high standards for candidate countries to meet but lacks tools for Member States; ***announces its intention to set up a Copenhagen Commission within the Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs;***

Amendment

16. Calls urgently for measures to address the so-called Copenhagen dilemma, describing a situation in which the Union sets high standards for candidate countries to meet but lacks tools for Member States; ***calls on the Commission to adopt a decision creating a new mechanism to implement article 2 TEU on the European fundamental values, including in the view of applying article 7 TEU in the European Union; calls on the EU institutions also to prepare Treaty amendments to further strengthen fundamental rights protection and promotion in the EU;***

Or. en

Amendment 57
Hubert Pirker

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. ***Calls urgently for measures to address the so-called Copenhagen dilemma, describing a situation in which the Union sets high standards for candidate countries to meet but lacks tools for Member States; announces its intention to set up a Copenhagen Commission within the Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs;***

Amendment

16. *deleted*

Or. de

Amendment 58
Marco Scurria

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Calls ***urgently*** for measures to address the so-called Copenhagen dilemma, describing a situation in which the Union sets high standards for candidate countries to meet but lacks tools for Member States; ***announces its intention to set up a Copenhagen Commission within the Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs;***

Amendment

16. Calls for measures to address the so-called Copenhagen dilemma, describing a situation in which the Union sets high standards for candidate countries to meet but lacks tools for Member States;

Or. it

Amendment 59
Jacek Protasiewicz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Calls ***urgently for measures*** to address the so-called Copenhagen dilemma, describing a situation in which the Union sets high standards for candidate countries to meet but lacks tools for Member States; ***announces its intention to set up a Copenhagen Commission within the Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs;***

Amendment

16. Calls ***for careful reflection*** to address the so-called Copenhagen dilemma, describing a situation in which the Union sets high standards for candidate countries to meet but lacks tools for Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 60
Judith Sargentini, Jan Philipp Albrecht, Rui Tavares

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Calls urgently for measures to address the so-called Copenhagen dilemma, describing a situation in which the Union sets high standards for candidate countries to meet but lacks tools for Member States; announces its intention to set up a Copenhagen Commission within the Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs;

Amendment

16. Calls urgently for measures to address the so-called Copenhagen dilemma, describing a situation in which the Union sets high standards for candidate countries to meet but lacks tools for Member States; announces its intention to set up a Copenhagen Commission within the Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs; ***urges the Commission to adopt a country by country approach to monitoring and reporting the situation of fundamental rights within EU member states; calls on the Commission to expand its initiative to create a Justice Scoreboard, to include apart from justice, the rule of law.***

Or. en

Amendment 61
Ramon Tremosa i Balcells

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Calls urgently for measures to address the so-called Copenhagen dilemma, describing a situation in which the Union sets high standards for candidate countries to meet but lacks tools for Member States; announces its intention to set up a Copenhagen Commission within the Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs;

Amendment

16. Calls urgently for measures to address the so-called Copenhagen dilemma, describing a situation in which the Union sets high standards for candidate countries to meet but lacks tools for Member States; announces its intention to set up a Copenhagen Commission within the Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs; ***believes that the current situation undermines the political goals behind the accession process.***

Or. en

Amendment 62
Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Calls urgently for measures to address the so-called Copenhagen dilemma, describing a situation in which the Union sets high standards for candidate countries to meet but lacks tools for Member States; ***announces its intention to set up*** a Copenhagen Commission within the Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs;

Amendment

16. Calls urgently for measures to address the so-called Copenhagen dilemma, describing a situation in which the Union sets high standards for candidate countries to meet but lacks tools for Member States; ***calls for the introduction, at the earliest opportunity, of*** a Copenhagen Commission within the Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs, ***in line with its Resolution on the situation of fundamental rights: standards and practices in Hungary;***

Or. es

Amendment 63
Ramon Tremosa i Balcells

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16 a. Believes that the current situation, in which Member States can infringe, or permit the infringement, of European Values and conditions for accession as, for example, judiciary independence or equality between citizens, without political consequences is unacceptable and should not continue.

Or. en

Amendment 64
Rui Tavares

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16 a. Reiterates the calls it made, in its resolution of 12 December 2012 on the situation of fundamental rights in the European Union (2010- 2011), for the establishment of a new mechanism to ensure compliance by all Member States with the common values enshrined in Article 2 TEU, and the continuity of the 'Copenhagen criteria'; this mechanism could assume the form of a 'Copenhagen Commission' or high-level group, a 'group of wise men' or an Article 70 TFEU evaluation, and build up on the reforming and strengthening of the mandate of the European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights, and on the framework of a strengthened Commission-Council-European Parliament-Member States dialogue on

measures to be taken;

Or. en

Amendment 65
Rui Tavares

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16 b. Reiterates that the setting up of such a mechanism could involve a rethinking of the mandate of the European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights, which should be enhanced to include regular monitoring of Member States' compliance with Article 2 TEU; recommends that such a 'Copenhagen high-level group' or any such mechanism should build on and cooperate with existing mechanisms and structures; recalls the role of the European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights, which could bring together the highly valuable work of the various existing Council of Europe monitoring bodies and the Agency's own data and analysis in order to carry out independent, comparative and regular assessments of the EU Member States' compliance with Article 2 TEU.

Or. en

Amendment 66
Rui Tavares

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16 c. Reiterates its call for the

Commission to create – as soon as risks of violations of Article 2 TEU are identified – an ' Article 2 TEU/ Alarm Agenda' , i.e. a Union values monitoring mechanism, to be dealt with by the Commission with exclusive priority and urgency, coordinated at the highest political level and taken fully into account in the various EU sectoral policies, until full compliance with Article 2 TEU is restored and any risks of violation thereof are defused, as also envisaged in the letter of the Foreign Affairs Ministers of four Member States raising with the President of the Commission the need to develop a new and more effective method of safeguarding fundamental values in order to place greater emphasis on promoting a culture of respect for the rule of law, taken into account by the Council conclusions on fundamental rights and rule of law and on the Commission 2012 Report on the Application of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union of 6 and 7 June 2013;

Or. en

Amendment 67
Luigi Berlinguer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Fears that the economic crisis may develop into a crisis of democracy and believes that strong political leadership *is* necessary to defend democratic achievements;

Amendment

17. Fears that the economic crisis may develop into a crisis of democracy and believes that strong political leadership *and a further strengthening of the European citizenship are* necessary *in order* to defend democratic achievements;

Or. en

Amendment 68
Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Fears that the economic crisis may develop into a crisis of democracy and believes that strong political leadership is necessary to defend democratic achievements;

Amendment

17. Fears that the economic crisis may develop into a crisis of democracy and believes that strong political leadership is necessary to defend democratic achievements ***and combat the rise of populism in Europe;***

Or. es

Amendment 69
Renate Weber

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. ***Fears*** that the economic crisis ***may develop into*** a crisis of democracy and believes that strong political leadership is necessary to defend democratic achievements;

Amendment

17. ***Warns about the fact*** that the economic crisis ***experienced in Europe and abroad is accompanied by*** a crisis of democracy ***in some EU Member States*** and believes that strong political leadership ***at national and European level*** is necessary to defend democratic achievements, ***the Rule of law, fundamental rights, equality and protection of minorities;***

Or. en

Amendment 70
Marian-Jean Marinescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Fears that the economic crisis may develop into a crisis of democracy and believes that strong political leadership is necessary to defend democratic achievements;

Amendment

17. Fears that the economic crisis may develop into a crisis of democracy and believes that strong political leadership is necessary to defend democratic achievements; ***is concerned by the attitudes of some Member States which manifested openly intolerance to the mobility of EU workers, targeted to undermine the rights of workers from some new Member States;***

Or. en

Amendment 71
Enrique Guerrero Salom

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Fears that the economic crisis may develop into a crisis of democracy and believes that strong political leadership ***is*** necessary to defend democratic achievements;

Amendment

17. Fears that the economic crisis may develop into a crisis of democracy and believes that ***both a*** strong political leadership ***and well-established institutional structure are*** necessary ***and crucial*** to defend democratic achievements ***and to check its control and possible devaluation; encourages the European Commission to fully assume its active role to strongly defend the Human Rights referred in the Treaties.***

Or. en

Amendment 72
Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Mariya Gabriel, Georgios Papanikolaou

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. *Fears that the economic crisis may develop into a crisis of democracy and believes that strong political leadership is necessary to defend democratic achievements;*

Amendment

17. *Takes the view that, at a time of economic crisis, it is necessary to be particularly vigilant so as to safeguard democracy and prevent intolerance;*

Or. fr

Amendment 73

Kyriacos Triantaphyllides, Marie-Christine Vergiat

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17**

Motion for a resolution

17. *Fears that the economic crisis may develop into a crisis of democracy and believes that strong political leadership is necessary to defend democratic achievements;*

Amendment

17. *Believes that the on-going crisis is not just economic but also social, environmental and democratic; Regrets that the crisis and the austerity measures taken as a response to the financial crisis have led to an increased number of persons living below the poverty line and have been used as a political vehicle to infringe on individual and collective rights and believes that strong political leadership is necessary to defend democratic achievements and fundamental rights; Condemns those who instrumentalise the crisis to increase peoples' fears of the other and promote racism and xenophobia.*

Or. en

Amendment 74

Claude Moraes

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17 a. Calls attention to the importance of the forthcoming Commission Report on the Transposition of the Framework Decision 2008/913/JHA on combating forms and expression of racism and xenophobia by means of criminal law (due out by the 28th November 2013); encourages strengthened efforts to combat impunity and redress inconsistencies in Member States' laws and practices, as there is still no legislative provision in some Member States providing for the imposition of restrictions, including prohibition, on political parties involved in racism and hate, including Holocaust denial; Call on the Commission to step up efforts to condemn hate speech and Holocaust denial made by public and political figures and begin the process to launch infringement proceedings as early as 2014;

Or. en

Amendment 75

Georgios Papanikolaou, Véronique Mathieu Houillon

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17 a. Takes the view that the adoption and effective implementation of legislation regarding the tackling of hate crime and those who promote, support and commit hate crime, is paramount;

Or. en

Amendment 76
Claude Moraes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17 b. Considers that greater attention is needed to respond to the particular situation of vulnerable groups, as mentioned in point 2.3.3. of the Stockholm Programme and in strengthening the fight against racism, xenophobia, anti-Semitism, Islamophobia, Afrophobia, anti-Gypsyism, and homophobia in the European Union;

Or. en

Amendment 77
Timothy Kirkhope

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

18. Emphasises that the accession of the Union to the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, as provided for by Article 6(2) of the Treaty on European Union, will strengthen even further the protection of fundamental rights in the Union, as guaranteed by the Charter of Fundamental Rights and the case law of the Court of Justice;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 78
Enrique Guerrero Salom

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Emphasises that the accession of the Union to the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, as provided for by Article 6(2) of the Treaty on European Union, will strengthen even further the protection of fundamental rights in the Union, as guaranteed by the Charter of Fundamental Rights and the case law of the Court of Justice;

Amendment

18. Emphasises that the accession of the Union to the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, as provided for by Article 6(2) of the Treaty on European Union, will strengthen even further the protection of fundamental rights in the Union, as guaranteed by the Charter of Fundamental Rights and the case law of the Court of Justice; ***but regrets also the delay in the completion on the Accession of the EU to the Convention.***

Or. en

Amendment 79
Judith Sargentini, Jan Philipp Albrecht

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

18 a. Calls attention to the importance of the forthcoming Commission Report on the Transposition of the Framework Decision 2008/913/JHA on combating forms and expression of racism and xenophobia by means of criminal law (due out by the 28th November 2013); encourages strengthened efforts to combat impunity and redress inconsistencies in Member States' laws and practices, as there is still no legislative provision in some Member States providing for the imposition of restrictions, including prohibition, on political parties involved in racism and hate, including Holocaust denial; Call on the Commission to step up efforts to condemn hate speech and Holocaust

denial made by public and political figures and begin the process to launch infringement proceedings as early as 2014;

Or. en

Amendment 80

Judith Sargentini, Jan Philipp Albrecht, Hélène Flautre, Rui Tavares, Jean Lambert

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 18 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

18 b. Considers that a proper accountability process is crucial to protect and promote human rights effectively in the EU's internal and external policies and to ensure legitimate and effective security policies based on the rule of law; calls on the Commission to propose an accountability mechanism aimed at strengthening the EU and Member States' capacity to prevent, investigate and redress human rights violations at EU level, notably those committed in the context of the CIA programme

Or. en

Amendment 81

Timothy Kirkhope

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

19. Notes that acceding to the Convention will provide citizens with protection in the context of Union action similar to that which they already enjoy in the context of action by individual Member States;

deleted

points out that this is all the more relevant because the Member States have transferred significant competences to the Union, in particular in the policy spheres covered by the area of freedom, security and justice;

Or. en

Amendment 82
Kyriacos Triantaphyllides

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Notes that acceding to the Convention will provide citizens with protection in the context of Union action similar to that which they already enjoy in the context of action by individual Member States; points out that this is all the more relevant because the Member States have transferred significant competences to the Union, in particular in the policy spheres covered by the area of freedom, security and justice;

Amendment

19. Notes that acceding to the Convention will provide citizens *as well as other persons for whom the Union has a responsibility* with protection in the context of Union action similar to that which they already enjoy in the context of action by individual Member States; points out that this is all the more relevant because the Member States have transferred significant competences to the Union, in particular in the policy spheres covered by the area of freedom, security and justice;

Or. en

Amendment 83
Judith Sargentini, Jan Philipp Albrecht, Jean Lambert, Rui Tavares

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

19 a. Considers that greater attention is needed to respond to the particular situation of vulnerable groups, as

Amendment

mentioned in point 2.3.3. of the Stockholm Programme and in strengthening the fight against racism, xenophobia, anti-Semitism, Islamophobia, Afrophobia, anti-Gypsyism, and homophobia in the European Union;

Or. en

Amendment 84
Timothy Kirkhope

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20. Welcomes the fact that acceding to the Convention will offer citizens vital extra protection, in particular in the context of the area of freedom, security and justice;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 85
Kyriacos Triantaphyllides, Marie-Christine Vergiat

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20. Welcomes the fact that acceding to the Convention will offer citizens vital extra protection, in particular in the context of the area of freedom, security and justice;

20. Welcomes the fact that acceding to the Convention will offer citizens *as well as other persons for whom the Union has a responsibility* vital extra protection, in particular in the context of the area of freedom, security and justice; *Underlines the excessively long deadline for concluding accession negotiations and deplores the fact that the EU has still not effectively acceded to the Convention; Recalls that the EU's accession depends on the ratification not only of EU Member*

States but also of all States which are members to the Convention; Calls on all parties concerned to proceed with ratification as soon as possible.

Or. en

Amendment 86
Renate Weber

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20 a. strongly condemns the blockage and delays experienced by the EU in the negotiations of accession to the ECHR and calls EU institutions and Member States to accelerate the procedures for the EU accession to the ECHR and to reject any future further attempt to undermine the ECHR role, competences and powers in relation to citizens' and residents' human rights and fundamental freedoms;

Or. en

Amendment 87
Petru Constantin Luhan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20 a. Believes that one of the main pillars of freedom, security and justice lies in Article 2 of the UN Charter of Human Rights which places race first in its enumeration string of possible basis of discrimination.

Or. en

Amendment 88

Kyriacos Triantaphyllides, Marie-Christine Vergiat, Cornelia Ernst

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 20 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20 a. Regrets, however, that in the EU an individual is still not protected outside the workplace against discrimination on the grounds of religion or belief, age, disability or sexual orientation; recalls that it has called upon the Council to adopt the proposal for a directive on implementing the principle of equal treatment between persons irrespective of religion or belief, disability, age or sexual orientation 11 times over the past 4 years, and that the Council has failed to act; Deplores in this regard the Member States' lack of political will, the lack of transparency in the negotiation process of the Council, and the abuse of the unanimity principle by opposing Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 89

Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Mariya Gabriel, Georgios Papanikolaou

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 20 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20a. Regrets the inadequacy of policies on integrating people with disabilities and of how their rights are taken into account;

Or. fr

Amendment 90
Petru Constantin Luhan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20 b. Notes that the Stockholm Programme rightfully aims at combating xenophobia inviting the Commission to report on forms and expressions of such and that the financing programmes should aims at prevention and not only intervention, based on susceptible discrimination tendency areas;

Or. en

Amendment 91
Kyriacos Triantaphyllides, Marie-Christine Vergiat, Cornelia Ernst

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20 b. Regrets the lack of progress of the National Roma Integration Strategies and the continuing racism towards and discrimination against Roma across the EU, including the segregation of Roma children in education; Calls on Member States to step up their efforts to enforce Roma fundamental rights and social inclusion; calls on the European Commission and Member States to support financially and involve Roma organisations in all policies affecting them.

Or. en

Amendment 92

Kyriacos Triantaphyllides, Marie-Christine Vergiat, Cornelia Ernst

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 20 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20 c. Welcomes the Communication from the Commission COM 2013 (454) on the "Steps forward in implementing National Roma Integration Strategies" and its recommendations; Regrets, however, that most of the Member States did not use the National Roma Integration Strategy for adopting and implementing a more proactive Roma policy; Regrets the long timeline of 24 months proposed by the Commission for Member States to implement the recommendations as well as the absence of involvement of the European Parliament given the role the Parliament has played so far in denouncing Roma's violation of fundamental rights.

Or. en

Amendment 93

Kyriacos Triantaphyllides, Marie-Christine Vergiat, Cornelia Ernst

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 20 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20 d. Calls on the European Commission to propose a strategy to combat violence against women as it was announced in the Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions of 20 April 2010 – Delivering an area of freedom, security and justice for Europe's citizens – Action Plan

Amendment 94

Kyriacos Triantaphyllides, Marie-Christine Vergiat, Cornelia Ernst

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 20 e (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20 e. Recalls that the rapid developments in the digital world (including the increased uses of the Internet, applications and social media) calls for the establishment of the highest level of protection of personal data and privacy; condemns the ratification of agreements for the transfer and exchange of personal data with third countries by the EU, including the TFTP and PNR agreements with the United States, which do not comply with data protection and privacy standards set by the EU or Council of Europe;

Amendment 95

Kyriacos Triantaphyllides, Marie-Christine Vergiat, Cornelia Ernst

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 20 f (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20 f. Condemns internet and telecommunications spying practices as revealed by the NSA- Prism Case as well as the inability of the EU to enforce its standards of protection as regards its citizens or the third-country nationals or

*whistle-blowers on its territory;
denounces the weakness of the European
response to the scandal of such espionage
of Europeans by the NSA*

Or. en

Amendment 96
Véronique Mathieu Houillon

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

***21. Considers the continued blockage of
the review of the Access to Documents
Regulation unacceptable;***

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 97
Renate Weber

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21. Considers the continued blockage of
the review of the Access to Documents
Regulation unacceptable;

21. Considers the continued blockage of
the review of the Access to Documents
Regulation unacceptable ***and calls the
Commission and the Council to ensure
that the right of access to documents and
information, enshrined in the EU Charter
of fundamental rights and in the Treaties,
is respected, enacted, developed and
promoted;***

Or. en

Amendment 98
Enrique Guerrero Salom

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. Considers the continued blockage of the review of the Access to Documents Regulation unacceptable;

Amendment

21. Considers the continued blockage of the review of the Access to Documents Regulation unacceptable ***and urges the immediate freeing-up of the negotiations;***

Or. en

Amendment 99
Carmen Romero López

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21a. Notes that the Directive and the Regulation on the European Protection Order are a step forward in the combating of gender-based violence, but also points out that no comparative statistics exist to illustrate the situations faced by victims of gender-based violence or of trafficking in human beings in the Member States;

Or. es

Amendment 100
Kyriacos Triantaphyllides

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 22

Motion for a resolution

22. Notes that the Stockholm Programme aims to facilitate the free movement of

Amendment

22. Notes that the Stockholm Programme aims to facilitate the free movement of

European citizens by defending and respecting all the rights deriving from a European area of justice, and that judicial cooperation represents the main tool to achieve this objective;

European citizens *and more generally of those who live in the EU* by defending and respecting all the rights deriving from a European area of justice, and that judicial cooperation represents the main tool to achieve this objective;

Or. en

Amendment 101

Monika Hohlmeier, Georgios Papanikolaou, Véronique Mathieu Houillon

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 22

Motion for a resolution

22. Notes that the Stockholm Programme aims to facilitate the free movement of European citizens by defending and respecting all the rights deriving from a European area of justice, and that judicial cooperation represents *the main* tool to achieve this objective;

Amendment

22. Notes that the Stockholm Programme aims to facilitate the free movement of European citizens *and further improve security in the Union and thus protect the lives and safety of citizens of the Union and to tackle organised crime, terrorism and other threats* by defending and respecting all the rights *and obligations* deriving from a European area of justice, and that judicial cooperation represents *one* tool to achieve this objective;

Or. en

Amendment 102

Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 22 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

22a. Considers it essential for the reform of the EU legal framework on the protection of data to be finalised as swiftly as possible, ensuring full respect for fundamental rights;

Amendment

Amendment 103
Tadeusz Zwiefka

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 23

Motion for a resolution

23. Recognises that initiatives in the field of the mutual recognition of legal situations, judgments and documents play a very important role in this respect, as mutual recognition leaves the legal systems of Member States unchanged, but reduces *the inconvenience which differences in regulation cause for individual* citizens;

Amendment

23. Recognises that initiatives in the field of the mutual recognition of legal situations, judgments and documents play a very important role in this respect, as mutual recognition leaves the legal systems of Member States unchanged, but reduces *additional financial burdens and legal obstacles; and at the same time enhances trust for the* citizens *and business while exercising the freedom of movement*

Or. en

Amendment 104
Renate Weber

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 23

Motion for a resolution

23. **Recognises** that initiatives in the field of the mutual recognition of legal situations, judgments and documents play a **very important** role in **this respect**, as mutual recognition **leaves** the legal systems of Member States unchanged, **but** reduces the **inconvenience** which differences in **regulation** cause for **individual citizens**;

Amendment

23. **Underlines** that initiatives in the field of the mutual recognition of legal situations, judgments and documents **and of their validity and effects** play a **paramount** role in **ensuring that citizens can fully enjoy their rights in the EU across national boundaries**, as mutual recognition, **while leaving** the legal systems of Member States unchanged, **strongly** reduces the **unreasonable and intolerable bureaucratic and red tape inconveniences** which differences in **national regulations** cause for **citizens and**

their families in the EU, notably when they exercise their fundamental right to free movement;

Or. en

Amendment 105

Monika Hohlmeier, Véronique Mathieu Houillon

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 23

Motion for a resolution

23. Recognises that initiatives in the field of the mutual recognition of legal situations, judgments and documents play a very important role in this respect, as mutual recognition *leaves the legal systems of Member States unchanged, but reduces the inconvenience which differences in regulation cause for individual citizens;*

Amendment

23. Recognises that initiatives in the field of the mutual recognition of legal situations, judgments and documents play a very important role in this respect *by reducing the inconvenience which differences in regulation cause for individual citizens, hence notes that initiatives such as mutual recognition should be based upon clear and common minimum standards, respecting the rule of law and the fundamental rights, ultimately thoroughness should prevail over rapidity;*

Or. en

Amendment 106

Luigi Berlinguer

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 23 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

23 a. Recalls that the Stockholm Programme contains a number of major initiatives in the field of civil law, including the easier recognition of judgments, the Union-wide validity of wills, easier procedures for the acceptance

of public documents, the simpler cross-border enforcement of debts and Union initiatives in the field of legal training;

Or. en

Amendment 107
Luigi Berlinguer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 23 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

23 b. Notes that only three pieces of legislation in this field have been adopted so far, namely the Brussels I Recast, the Successions Regulation and the Rome III Regulation, of which only the third is applicable today, and that, whereas the Commission has made a significant number of proposals called for in the Stockholm Programme, several major proposals are still outstanding, including the mutual recognition of the effects of civil status documents and the 14th Company Law Directive;

Or. en

Amendment 108
Roberta Angelilli

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

24. Considers that mutual recognition requires that citizens and legal professionals trust each other's legal institutions; notes that the strengthening of a truly European legal culture that is fully respectful of the principles of *subsidiary*

24. Considers that mutual recognition requires that citizens and legal professionals trust each other's legal institutions; notes that the strengthening of a truly European legal culture that is fully respectful of the principles of *subsidiarity*

and of judicial independence, the establishment of common standards and ***an understanding of other*** legal systems *plays* a very important role in underpinning mutual recognition and trust; points out that mutual recognition and trust can lead to gradual changes in national civil law traditions through an exchange of best practices between Member States;

and of judicial independence ***and career separation (between judges and investigating magistrates)***, the establishment of common standards and ***mutual knowledge*** of legal systems *play* a very important role in underpinning mutual recognition and trust; points out that mutual recognition and trust can lead to gradual changes in national civil law traditions through an exchange of best practices between Member States;

Or. it

Amendment 109
Timothy Kirkhope

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

24. Considers that mutual recognition requires that citizens and legal professionals trust each other's legal institutions; ***notes that the strengthening of a truly European legal culture that is fully respectful of the principles of subsidiary and of judicial independence, the establishment of common standards and an understanding of other legal systems plays a very important role in underpinning mutual recognition and trust; points out that mutual recognition and trust can lead to gradual changes in national civil law traditions through an exchange of best practices between Member States;***

Amendment

24. Considers that mutual recognition requires that citizens and legal professionals trust each other's legal institutions; ***by ensuring that Member States have a fully functioning, democratic, independent and efficient legal system, which cooperates effectively with other Member States and has an understanding of other legal systems, whilst fully respectful the principles of subsidiary and of judicial independence, plays a very important role in underpinning mutual recognition and trust,***

Or. en

Amendment 110
Renate Weber

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

24. Considers that mutual recognition requires that citizens and legal professionals trust each other's legal institutions; notes that the strengthening of a truly European legal culture that is fully respectful of the principles of **subsidiary and of judicial independence, the establishment of common standards and an understanding of other legal systems** plays a very important role in underpinning mutual recognition and trust; points out that mutual recognition **and trust can lead to gradual changes in national civil law traditions through an exchange of best practices between Member States**;

Amendment

24. Considers that mutual recognition requires that citizens and legal professionals trust each other's legal institutions; notes that **such trust can be built upon** the strengthening of a truly European legal culture that is fully respectful of the **common principles of democracy, Rule of Law, fundamental rights**, of judicial independence; **underlines that the strengthening and monitoring of such common standards and an understanding of other legal systems** plays a very important role in underpinning mutual recognition and trust; points out that mutual recognition, **the mutual knowledge and exchange of best practices and enhanced trust between Member States can guarantee that civil law is applied at national level in a way to guarantee and benefit citizens' rights instead of creating unreasonable and complicated obstacles denying or limiting their rights**;

Or. en

Amendment 111
Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Mariya Gabriel, Monika Hohlmeier

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

24. Considers that mutual recognition requires that citizens and legal professionals trust each other's legal institutions; notes that the strengthening of a truly European legal culture that is fully respectful of the principles of subsidiary and of judicial independence, the

Amendment

24. Considers that mutual recognition requires that citizens and legal professionals trust each other's legal institutions; notes that the strengthening of a truly European legal culture that is fully respectful of the principles of subsidiary and of judicial independence, the

establishment of common standards and an understanding of other legal systems plays a very important role in underpinning mutual recognition and trust; points out that mutual recognition and trust can lead to gradual changes in national civil law traditions through an exchange of best practices between Member States;

establishment of common standards and an understanding of other legal systems, ***in particular through training***, plays a very important role in underpinning mutual recognition and trust; points out that mutual recognition and trust can lead to gradual changes in national civil law traditions through an exchange of best practices between Member States;

Or. fr

Amendment 112

Judith Sargentini, Jan Philipp Albrecht, Rui Tavares

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

24. Considers that mutual recognition requires that citizens and legal professionals trust each other's legal institutions; notes that the strengthening of a truly European legal culture that is fully respectful of the principles of subsidiary and of judicial independence, the establishment of common standards and an understanding of other legal systems plays a very important role in underpinning mutual recognition and trust; points out that mutual recognition and trust can lead to gradual changes in national civil law traditions through an exchange of best practices between Member States;

Amendment

24. Considers that mutual recognition requires that citizens and legal professionals trust each other's legal institutions; notes that the strengthening of a truly European legal culture that is fully respectful of ***fundamental rights as set out in the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union***, the principles of subsidiary and of judicial independence, the establishment of common standards and an understanding of other legal systems plays a very important role in underpinning mutual recognition and trust; points out that mutual recognition and trust can lead to gradual changes in national civil law traditions through an exchange of best practices between Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 113

Claude Moraes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

24 a. Calls on the European Commission to pursue existing plans to make proposals for an all-encompassing approach for the mutual recognition of the effects of civil status documents, in order for European citizens and residents and their families to carry throughout the European Union existing rights attached to civil statuses already legally recognised in several European jurisdictions

Or. en

Amendment 114
Kyriacos Triantaphyllides

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

24 a. Underlines, however, that given national civil law is deeply engrained in historical ethical values and traditions, the drive to exchange best practices and to achieve a certain level of approximation between Member States should not result in an extinction of these long-standing traditions and should continue to honour the myriad of different legal traditions.

Or. en

Amendment 115
Renate Weber

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

24 a. Calls on the European Commission to pursue existing plans to make proposals for an all-encompassing approach for the mutual recognition of the effects of civil status documents, in order for European citizens and residents and their families to carry throughout the European Union existing rights attached to civil statuses already legally recognised in several European jurisdictions;

Or. en

**Amendment 116
Petru Constantin Luhan**

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

24 a. Believes that the exchange of best practices should be followed or preceded by an expert diagnostic body that will further provide with a personalized recovery plan instead of referring to only common standards which may or may not be realistic in a given country.

Or. en

**Amendment 117
Tadeusz Zwiefka**

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

25. Notes that legislative initiatives in the field of civil law have so far largely been

25. Notes that legislative initiatives in the field of civil law have so far largely been

focused on substantive law, *to the detriment of procedural law*; calls for a greater focus to be put on procedural law in the future;

focused on substantive law; calls for a greater focus to be put on procedural law in the future;

Or. en

Amendment 118
Carmen Romero López

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

25a. Points out also that the progress made in the field of criminal law has centred on procedural aspects, and calls for a sounder framework to be considered for setting down in EU law what constitutes a serious crime and what a minor crime, as this will be used in criminal proceedings and in combating terrorism;

Or. es

Amendment 119
Sarah Ludford

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

26. **Acknowledges** the progress *made* with the roadmap for procedural rights in criminal proceedings, **but regrets that key proposals on legal aid and vulnerable suspects are outstanding and that the level of ambition of the Council seems to be decreasing more and more;**

26. **Recognises** the progress *that has been made to date* with the roadmap for **strengthening** procedural rights *of suspects and accused persons* in criminal proceedings, **including the adoption of Directives on the right to interpretation and translation and on the right to information in criminal proceedings, and the agreement of a Directive on the right**

of access to a lawyer in criminal proceedings and reiterates that these measures are crucial to the proper functioning of EU mutual recognition crime cooperation measures such as the European Arrest Warrant and that continuing progress on the protection of the rights of suspects and defendants is essential;

Or. en

Amendment 120
Carmen Romero López

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26

Motion for a resolution

26. Acknowledges the progress made with the roadmap for procedural rights in criminal proceedings, but regrets that key proposals on legal aid and vulnerable suspects are outstanding and that the level of ambition of the Council seems to be decreasing more and more;

Amendment

26. Acknowledges the progress made with the roadmap for procedural rights in criminal proceedings, but regrets that key proposals on *free* legal aid and vulnerable suspects are outstanding and that the level of ambition of the Council seems to be decreasing more and more;

Or. es

Amendment 121
Timothy Kirkhope

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26

Motion for a resolution

26. Acknowledges the progress made with the roadmap for procedural rights in criminal proceedings, *but regrets that key proposals on legal aid and vulnerable suspects are outstanding and that the level of ambition of the Council seems to be*

Amendment

26. Acknowledges *and welcomes* the progress made with the roadmap for procedural rights in criminal proceedings, *which contributes greatly to the mutual trust and respect necessary for effective mutual recognition;*

decreasing more and more;

Or. en

Amendment 122
Monika Hohlmeier

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26

Motion for a resolution

26. Acknowledges the progress made with the roadmap for procedural rights in criminal proceedings, *but regrets that key proposals on legal aid and vulnerable suspects are outstanding and that the level of ambition of the Council seems to be decreasing more and more;*

Amendment

26. Acknowledges the progress made with the roadmap for procedural rights in criminal proceedings, *notes that proposals on legal aid, vulnerable suspects and the communication with relatives are outstanding and that efforts should be continued to adopt all proposals in a swift manner;*

Or. en

Amendment 123
Birgit Sippel

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26

Motion for a resolution

26. Acknowledges the progress made with the roadmap for procedural rights in criminal proceedings, *but regrets that key proposals on legal aid and vulnerable suspects are outstanding and that the level of ambition of the Council seems to be decreasing more and more;*

Amendment

26. Acknowledges the progress made with the roadmap for *strengthening* procedural rights *of suspects and accused persons* in criminal proceedings, *including the adoption of Directives on the right to interpretation and translation and on the right to information in criminal proceedings, and the agreement of a Directive on the right of access to a lawyer in criminal proceedings as these measures are crucial to the proper functioning of EU mutual recognition of crime cooperation measures such as the*

European Arrest Warrant; reiterates that continuing progress on the protection of the rights of suspects and defendants is essential and regrets therefore that key proposals on legal aid and vulnerable suspects are outstanding and that the level of ambition of the Council seems to be decreasing more and more;

Or. en

Amendment 124

Jan Philipp Albrecht, Judith Sargentini, Rui Tavares

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 26

Motion for a resolution

26. *Acknowledges* the progress *made* with the roadmap for procedural rights in criminal proceedings, *but regrets that key proposals on legal aid and vulnerable suspects are outstanding and that the level of ambition of the Council seems to be decreasing more and more;*

Amendment

26. *Recognises* the progress *that has been made to date* with the roadmap for *strengthening* procedural rights *of suspects and accused persons* in criminal proceedings, *including the adoption of Directives on the right to interpretation and translation and on the right to information in criminal proceedings, and the agreement of a Directive on the right of access to a lawyer in criminal proceedings (the Roadmap Directives) and reiterates that these measures are crucial to the proper functioning of EU mutual recognition crime cooperation measures such as the European Arrest Warrant and that continuing progress on the protection of the rights of suspects and defendants is essential.*

Or. en

Amendment 125

Tadeusz Zwiefka

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26

Motion for a resolution

26. Acknowledges the progress made with the roadmap for procedural rights in criminal proceedings, **but regrets that key proposals on legal aid and vulnerable suspects are outstanding and that the level of ambition of the Council seems to be decreasing more and more;**

Amendment

26. Acknowledges the progress made with the roadmap for procedural rights in criminal proceedings; **encourages European institutions to play more active role with regards to proposals on legal aid and vulnerable suspects; urges Member States to use alternative methods that have proved to be good examples of best practice in the field of free legal advice, including pro bono agencies and legal clinics;**

Or. en

Amendment 126
Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Mariya Gabriel, Georgios Papanikolaou

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26

Motion for a resolution

26. Acknowledges the progress made with the roadmap for procedural rights in criminal proceedings, but regrets that key proposals on legal aid and vulnerable suspects are outstanding and that **the level of ambition of the Council seems to be decreasing more and more;**

Amendment

26. Acknowledges the progress made with the roadmap for procedural rights in criminal proceedings, but regrets that key proposals on legal aid and vulnerable suspects are outstanding and that **nothing has been done as regards protecting witnesses and whistleblowers;**

Or. fr

Amendment 127
Kyriacos Triantaphyllides, Marie-Christine Vergiat

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26

Motion for a resolution

26. Acknowledges the progress made with the roadmap for procedural rights in criminal proceedings, but regrets that key proposals on legal aid and vulnerable suspects are outstanding and that the level of ambition of the Council seems to be decreasing more and more;

Amendment

26. Acknowledges the progress made with the roadmap for procedural rights in criminal proceedings, but regrets that key proposals on legal aid and vulnerable suspects are outstanding and that the level of ambition of the Council seems to be decreasing more and more; ***Hopes that the institutions embark soon on a revision of the E.A.W. in view of the on-going systematic violation of the proportionality principle by some Member States;***

Or. en

Amendment 128
Sarah Ludford

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

26 a. Regrets that key proposals set out in the roadmap for strengthening procedural rights of suspects and accused persons in criminal proceedings remain outstanding and recognises the need for proposals on, and the conclusion of, the remaining measures on legal aid and vulnerable suspects; strongly believes that legal aid in particular must be effectively guaranteed to ensure effective implementation of the Directive on the right to access a lawyer;

Or. en

Amendment 129
Birgit Sippel

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

26 a. Regrets that key proposals set out in the roadmap for strengthening procedural rights of suspects and accused persons in criminal proceedings are outstanding; recognizes the need for proposals on, as well as the conclusion of, the remaining measures on legal aid and vulnerable suspects; reiterates that without effectively guaranteed legal aid the effective implementation of the Directives, particularly of the Directive on the right of access to a lawyer, cannot be ensured;

Or. en

Amendment 130
Judith Sargentini, Jan Philipp Albrecht, Rui Tavares

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

26 a. Regrets that key proposals set out in the roadmap for strengthening procedural rights of suspects and accused persons in criminal proceedings remain outstanding and recognises the need for proposals on, and the conclusion of, the remaining measures on legal aid and vulnerable suspects; strongly believes that legal aid in particular must be effectively guaranteed to ensure effective implementation of the Roadmap Directives, and particularly the Directive on the right to access a lawyer.

Or. en

Amendment 131
Elena Oana Antonescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

26 a. Recognises that each of the Directives adopted under the roadmap for strengthening procedural rights of suspects and accused persons in criminal proceedings must be implemented effectively to ensure that they improve standards of criminal justice across the EU, by working with Member States towards full transposition into domestic law and by providing training to government officials, judges, prosecutors and defence practitioners.

Or. en

Amendment 132
Carmen Romero López

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

26a. Believes that the Green Paper on Detention Conditions has not given rise to any initiative on the part of the Commission;

Or. es

Amendment 133
Sarah Ludford

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

26 b. Regrets that further work remains outstanding in relation to pre-trial detention, in relation to which standards in many Member States fall short of human rights and other international standards; recognises the need for an assessment of the effectiveness of non-legislative work on existing Framework Decisions, the widespread recognition of problems with pre-trial detention law and practice across Europe identified as part of the Commission's consultation, and a commitment to revisit the case for establishing minimum and enforceable standards in relation to pre-trial detention through legislative action;

Or. en

Amendment 134
Birgit Sippel

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

26 b. Underlines that in many Member States standards of pre-trial detention fall short of human rights and other international standards and regrets therefore very much that further work remains outstanding in relation to pre-trial detention; further underlines the need for an assessment of the effectiveness of non- legislative work on existing Framework Decisions and points to the widespread recognition of problems with pre-trial detention law and practice across Europe as identified as part of the Commission's consultation; calls for a commitment to revisit the case for establishing minimum and enforceable

standards in relation to pre-trial detention through legislative action.

Or. en

Amendment 135
Judith Sargentini, Jan Philipp Albrecht, Rui Tavares

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

26 b. Regrets that further work remains outstanding in relation to pre-trial detention, administrative detention and the detention of minors, in relation to which standards in many Member States fall short of human rights and other international standards; recognises the need for an assessment of the effectiveness of non-legislative work on existing Framework Decisions, the widespread recognition of problems with pre-trial detention law and practice across Europe identified as part of the Commission's consultation, and a commitment to revisit the case for establishing minimum and enforceable standards in relation to pre-trial detention through legislative action; calls on the Commission to revisit the case for establishing minimum and enforceable standards in relation to pre-trial detention, administrative detention and detention of minors through legislative action.

Or. en

Amendment 136
Elena Oana Antonescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

26 b. Considers that further work remains outstanding in relation to pre-trial detention, in relation to which standards in many Member States fall short of human rights and other international standards; recognises the need for an assessment of the effectiveness of non-legislative work on existing Framework Decisions, the widespread recognition of problems with pre-trial detention law and practice across Europe identified as part of the Commission's consultation, and a commitment to revisit the case for establishing minimum and enforceable standards in relation to pre-trial detention through legislative action.

Or. en

Amendment 137
Timothy Kirkhope

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 27

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

27. Strongly believes that consistency in principles applied in the development of an EU criminal justice area should be a priority and that the EU institutions should cooperate closely with each other in this respect, as outlined in Parliament's resolution on an EU approach to criminal law⁹ ;

27. Strongly believes that consistency, ***proportionality, and respect for national sovereignty*** in principles applied in the development of an EU criminal justice area should be a priority and that the EU institutions should cooperate closely with each other in this respect, as outlined in Parliament's resolution on an EU approach to criminal law⁹ ;

Or. en

Amendment 138
Claude Moraes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 27

Motion for a resolution

27. Strongly believes that consistency in principles applied in the development of an EU criminal justice area should be a priority and that the EU institutions should cooperate closely with each other in this respect, as outlined in Parliament's resolution on an EU approach to criminal law⁹;

Amendment

27. Strongly believes that consistency in principles applied in the development of an EU criminal justice area should be a priority and that the EU institutions should cooperate closely with each other in this respect, as outlined in Parliament's resolution on an EU approach to criminal law⁹; ***believes that mutual trust between the Member States must be strengthened and that mutual recognition and harmonisation of EU criminal law cannot progress without serious feed-back on the implementation of these rules at Member State level;***

Or. en

Amendment 139
Claude Moraes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 28

Motion for a resolution

28. Believes that mutual trust between the Member States must be strengthened and that mutual recognition and harmonisation of EU criminal law cannot progress without serious feed-back on the implementation of these rules at Member State level;

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 140
Timothy Kirkhope

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 28

Motion for a resolution

28. Believes that mutual trust between the Member States must be strengthened and that mutual recognition **and harmonisation** of EU criminal law cannot progress without serious feed-back on the implementation of these rules at Member State level;

Amendment

28. Believes that mutual trust between the Member States must be strengthened and that mutual recognition of EU criminal law cannot progress without serious feed-back on the implementation of these rules at Member State level;

Or. en

Amendment 141
Birgit Sippel

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 28

Motion for a resolution

28. Believes that mutual trust between the Member States must be strengthened and that mutual recognition and harmonisation of EU criminal law cannot progress without serious feed-back on the implementation of these rules at Member State level;

Amendment

28. Believes that mutual trust between the Member States must be strengthened and that mutual recognition and harmonisation of EU criminal law cannot progress without serious feed-back on the **effective** implementation of these rules at Member State level **to ensure that they improve standards of criminal justice across the EU; Further believes that this is only possible by closely working together with Member States towards full transposition of the relevant Directives into domestic law and by providing training to all relevant stakeholders, including government officials, judges, prosecutors and defence practitioners.**

Or. en

Amendment 142

Judith Sargentini, Jan Philipp Albrecht, Rui Tavares

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 28

Motion for a resolution

28. Believes that mutual trust between *the* Member States ***must be strengthened and*** that mutual recognition and harmonisation of EU criminal law cannot progress without serious feed-back on the implementation of these rules at Member State level;

Amendment

28. Believes that ***the protection of the procedural rights of suspects and accused persons is a necessary precondition to the strengthening of*** mutual trust between ***Member States; recognises that each of the Roadmap Directives must be implemented effectively to ensure that they improve standards of criminal justice across the EU, by working with*** Member States ***towards full transposition into domestic law and by providing training to government officials, judges, prosecutors and defence practitioners; believes*** that mutual recognition and harmonisation of EU criminal law cannot progress without serious feed-back on the implementation of these rules at Member State level;

Or. en

Amendment 143

Renate Weber

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 28

Motion for a resolution

28. Believes that mutual trust between the Member States must be strengthened and that mutual recognition and harmonisation of EU criminal law cannot progress without serious feed-back on the implementation of these rules at Member State level;

Amendment

28. Believes that mutual trust between the Member States must be strengthened ***by harmonizing the respect of fundamental rights in relation to criminal procedures, taking common measures to ensure a good administration of justice and of prisons, which are often at the root of the lack of trust between Member States,*** and that mutual recognition and harmonisation of EU criminal law cannot progress

without serious feed-back on the implementation of these rules at Member State level;

Or. en

Amendment 144

Monika Hohlmeier, Véronique Mathieu Houillon

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 28

Motion for a resolution

28. Believes that mutual trust between the Member States must be strengthened and that mutual recognition and harmonisation of EU criminal law cannot progress without serious feed-back on the implementation of these rules at Member State level;

Amendment

28. Believes that mutual trust between the Member States must be strengthened and that mutual recognition and harmonisation of EU criminal law cannot progress without serious feed-back on the implementation of these rules at Member State level; **welcomes the important steps that have been taken in this extend like the European Arrest Warrant;**

Or. en

Amendment 145

Sarah Ludford

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 28 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

28 a. Recognises that each of the Directives adopted under the roadmap for strengthening procedural rights of suspects and accused persons in criminal proceedings must be implemented effectively to ensure that they improve standards of criminal justice across the EU, by working with Member States towards full transposition into domestic law and by providing training to

*government officials, judges, prosecutors
and defence practitioners;*

Or. en

Amendment 146
Claude Moraes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 28 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

28 a. Believes that the evaluation of the implementation of the Council Framework Decision 2008/913/JHA of 28 November 2008 on combating certain forms and expressions of racism and xenophobia by means of criminal law provides the opportunity to initiate and adopt further European-legislation on all forms of hate crimes¹.

¹ This is a clear reference to the 2009 EP report on Stockholm

Or. en

Amendment 147
Birgit Sippel

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 28 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

28 a. Underlines that implementation of Directives already adopted, progress on any unfinished measures, and the consideration of suspects' rights protections beyond those included in the Stockholm Programme, will all require action beyond the time period allotted for

that programme, and therefore have to remain high on the agenda for the next legislative period; reiterates that this is an on-going process that can only be the start of a more effective protection of the rights of suspects and defendants in the EU;

Or. en

Amendment 148

Judith Sargentini, Jan Philipp Albrecht, Rui Tavares

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 28 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

28 a. Recognises that implementation of the Roadmap Directives already adopted, progress on any unfinished measures, and the consideration of suspects' rights protections beyond those included in the Stockholm Programme, will all require action beyond the time period allotted for that Programme, and must therefore remain high on the agenda for the next legislative period.

Or. en

Amendment 149

Renate Weber

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 28 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

28 a. Believes that the evaluation of the implementation of the Council Framework Decision 2008/913/JHA of 28 November 2008 on combating certain forms and expressions of racism and xenophobia by means of criminal law

provides the opportunity to adopt further European legislation to combat all forms of hate crimes;

Or. en

Amendment 150
Marco Scurria

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 28 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

28a. Believes that greater efforts are needed in order to implement the principle of mutual recognition of judicial decisions concerning the enforcement of judgments in criminal cases;

Or. it

Amendment 151
Carmen Romero López

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 28 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

28a. Believes that the role of Eurojust should be reinforced in all areas relating to improved judicial coordination and circulation of information among the Member States;

Or. es

Amendment 152
Judith Sargentini, Jean Lambert

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 29

Motion for a resolution

29. Believes that an effective justice system is a powerful driver for a prosperous economy;

Amendment

29. Believes that an effective justice system is ***important for the confidence and well-being of citizens as well as*** a powerful driver for a prosperous economy;

Or. en

Amendment 153
Renate Weber

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 29

Motion for a resolution

29. Believes that an effective justice system is a powerful driver for a prosperous economy;

Amendment

29. Believes that an effective, ***fair, timely and fundamental rights compliant civil and criminal justice and prisons*** system is a powerful driver for ***mutual trust and mutual recognition in the EU, as well as to guarantee democracy, the Rule of Law, equality, as well as*** a prosperous economy;

Or. en

Amendment 154
Kyriacos Triantaphyllides, Marie-Christine Vergiat

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 29

Motion for a resolution

29. Believes that an effective justice system is a powerful driver for a prosperous economy;

Amendment

29. Believes that an effective, ***accessible and accountable*** justice system is ***a sine qua non condition for a democracy and a*** powerful driver for a prosperous economy;

Or. en

Amendment 155
Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 29 – point a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(a) 29a. Welcomes the proposal presented by the European Commission on 17 July 2013 for a Council Regulation on the establishment of the European Public Prosecutor's Office. Firmly believes that the European Public Prosecutor is a key factor in the development of the EU judicial area. Calls on the Council to make use of Article 86.4 of the TFEU and extend the powers of the European Public Prosecutor to include serious crime having a cross-border dimension;

Or. en

Amendment 156
Kyriacos Triantaphyllides, Marie-Christine Vergiat

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 30

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

30. Notes with satisfaction the progress made by the Member States and the Commission in the context of the Internal Security Strategy (ISS) and the EU policy cycle on organised and serious international crime; points out, however, that further progress needs to be made, for instance in the fields of cybercrime, protection of critical infrastructure and the fights against corruption, money laundering, terrorist funding and the trade in illegal firearms;

deleted

Amendment 157
Marco Scurria

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 30

Motion for a resolution

30. Notes with satisfaction the progress made by the Member States and the Commission in the context of the Internal Security Strategy (ISS) and the EU policy cycle on organised and serious international crime; points out, however, that further progress needs to be made, for instance in the fields of cybercrime, protection of critical infrastructure **and** the fights against corruption, money laundering, terrorist funding and the trade in illegal firearms;

Amendment

30. Notes with satisfaction the progress made by the Member States and the Commission in the context of the Internal Security Strategy (ISS) and the EU policy cycle on organised and serious international crime; points out, however, that further progress needs to be made, for instance in the fields of cybercrime, protection of critical infrastructure, the fights against corruption, money laundering, terrorist funding and the trade in illegal firearms, **combating irregular immigration, and protecting external borders**;

Or. it

Amendment 158
Timothy Kirkhope

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 30

Motion for a resolution

30. Notes with satisfaction the progress made by the Member States and the Commission in the context of the Internal Security Strategy (ISS) and the EU policy cycle on organised and serious international crime; points out, however, that further progress needs to be made, for instance in the fields of cybercrime, protection of critical infrastructure and the

Amendment

30. Notes with satisfaction the progress made by the Member States and the Commission in the context of the Internal Security Strategy (ISS) and the EU policy cycle on organised and serious international crime; points out, however, that further progress needs to be made, for instance in the fields of cybercrime, **the timely and efficient sharing of**

fighters against corruption, money laundering, terrorist funding and the trade in illegal firearms;

information, the protection of critical infrastructure and the fights against corruption, money laundering, terrorist funding and the trade in illegal firearms;

Or. en

Amendment 159
Renate Weber

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 30

Motion for a resolution

30. *Notes with satisfaction* the progress made by the Member States and the Commission in the context of the Internal Security Strategy (ISS) and the EU policy cycle on organised and serious international crime; points out, however, that further progress needs to be made, for instance in the fields of cybercrime, protection of critical infrastructure and the fights against corruption, money laundering, terrorist funding and the trade in illegal firearms;

Amendment

30. *Notes* the progress made by the Member States and the Commission in the context of the Internal Security Strategy (ISS) and the EU policy cycle on organised and serious international crime; points out, however, that further progress needs to be made, for instance in the fields of cybercrime, protection of critical infrastructure and the fights against corruption, money laundering, terrorist funding and the trade in illegal firearms, ***both internally and externally; is extremely concerned about the mass surveillance of citizens operated by the US and EU Member States and calls for strong EU action against third country and third party surveillance threatening the internal security of the EU;***

Or. en

Amendment 160
Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Mariya Gabriel, Georgios Papanikolaou

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 30

Motion for a resolution

30. Notes with satisfaction the progress made by the Member States and the Commission in the context of the Internal Security Strategy (ISS) and the EU policy cycle on organised and serious international crime; points out, however, that further progress needs to be made, for instance in the fields of cybercrime, protection of critical infrastructure and the fights against corruption, money laundering, terrorist funding and the trade in illegal firearms;

Amendment

30. Notes with satisfaction the progress made by the Member States and the Commission in the context of the Internal Security Strategy (ISS) and the EU policy cycle on organised and serious international crime; points out, however, that further progress needs to be made, for instance in the fields of **combating transnational organised crime**, cybercrime, protection of critical infrastructure and the fights against corruption, money laundering, terrorist funding and the trade in illegal firearms;

Or. fr

Amendment 161

Monika Hohlmeier, Georgios Papanikolaou, Véronique Mathieu Houillon

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 30

Motion for a resolution

30. Notes with satisfaction the progress made by the Member States and the Commission in the context of the Internal Security Strategy (ISS) and the EU policy cycle on organised and serious international crime; points out, however, that further progress needs to be made, for instance in the fields of cybercrime, protection of critical infrastructure and the **fights** against corruption, money laundering, terrorist funding and the trade in illegal firearms;

Amendment

30. Notes with satisfaction the progress made by the Member States and the Commission in the context of the Internal Security Strategy (ISS) and the EU policy cycle on organised and serious international crime; points out, however, that further progress needs to be made, for instance in the fields of cybercrime, **cyber-facilitated crime such as child pornography**, protection of critical infrastructure and the **fight** against **organised crime, including economic crimes**, corruption, money laundering, terrorist funding and the trade in illegal firearms, **notably through strengthening the according Agencies on the European level**;

Or. en

Amendment 162
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 30 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

30 a. Regrets that the 2010-2013 EU Action Plan on Strengthening CBRN security was not fully implemented or taken into national policy making by the Member States; calls thus on the EU and Member States to strengthen regional and European-level cooperation and coordination in the CBRN field and, in this regards, also calls the Council to ensure coordination between national authorities and the Counter-terrorism Coordinator;

Or. en

Amendment 163
Jan Philipp Albrecht, Judith Sargentini, Rui Tavares

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 30 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

30 a. Urges the Commission to intensify and reinforce its efforts to protect the financial interests of the Union and to complete delayed reform of the European Anti-Fraud Office fully integrating data protection and suspects rights and basing itself on proper criminal definitions;

Or. en

Amendment 164
Kyriacos Triantaphyllides, Marie-Christine Vergiat

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 30 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

30 a. Regrets the stark security orientation of the ISS at the expense of civil liberties, fundamental rights and adoption of preventive measures; Deplores the gap between words and deeds, between declaratory goals in terms of values and principles on the one hand and the actual implementation of policies on the other, especially as regards the increased wide collection of personal data for undefined security purposes which result in the clear violation of the right to privacy and data protection;

Or. en

Amendment 165
Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Monika Hohlmeier

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 30 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

30a. Encourages thought to be given to the possibility of withdrawing 500-euro notes so as to combat money laundering and criminal networks more effectively;

Or. fr

Amendment 166
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 30 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

30 b. Strongly believes that the EU's counterterrorism policy needs to address the radicalisation of groups/persons in European societies and the apparent trend towards the individualisation of terrorist activities in our societies, calls for better coordination of all EU services with responsibilities in the implementation of the EU's counterterrorism policies, namely the EU Counter-terrorism Coordinator, Europol, the COSI and the COTER and Eurojust;

Or. en

Amendment 167

Judith Sargentini, Jan Philipp Albrecht, Rui Tavares

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 30 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

30 b. Finds it regrettable that the Commission's second communication on the implementation of the ISS, of 10 April 2013, expresses scant criticism of activities carried out under the ISS, reasserting the same priorities as its initial communication of November 2010 and failing, in particular, to take account of the consequences of the incorporation of the Charter of Fundamental Rights, most of the provisions of which apply not only to EU citizens but to everyone on EU territory;

Or. en

Amendment 168

Kyriacos Triantaphyllides, Marie-Christine Vergiat

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 30 b (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

30 b. Regrets that the second Commission Communication of 10 April 2013 on the implementation of the ISS of the European Union is not critical enough of the actions carried out under the ISS and simply reasserts the same priorities it had presented in its initial Communication of November 2010 without taking into account the impact of the Charter of Fundamental Rights which is applicable to all persons in the EU;

Or. en

**Amendment 169
Ana Gomes**

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 30 c (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

30 c. Expresses deep concern at the increasing trafficking of human beings, using transnational and cyber networks, which represents a serious threat to vulnerable groups, namely women and children, especially in times of economic and social crisis and which is heightened by the trans-national nature of such criminal activities; hence, highlights the importance of a strategic coordination between the objectives and the implementation of the Internal Security Strategy and the European Security Strategy, which might call for the update of both documents; recommends that national anti-slavery plans for Member States be developed and adopted, in line with the recommendations of the 2012 EU

***Strategy Towards the Eradication of
Trafficking in Human Beings and under
the coordination of the EU Anti-
trafficking Coordinator;***

Or. en

**Amendment 170
Georgios Papanikolaou**

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 31**

Motion for a resolution

31. Recalls that Parliament is now a fully-fledged institutional actor in the field of security policies, and is therefore entitled to participate actively in determining the features and priorities of the ISS and in evaluating those instruments, including through ***regular monitoring exercises on*** the implementation of the ISS, to be conducted jointly by the European Parliament, national parliaments and the Council under Articles 70 and 71 TFEU;

Amendment

31. Recalls that Parliament is now a fully-fledged institutional actor in the field of security policies, and is therefore entitled to participate actively in determining the features and priorities of the ISS and in evaluating those instruments, including through monitoring the implementation of the ISS, to be conducted jointly by the European Parliament, national parliaments and the Council under Articles 70 and 71 TFEU;

Or. en

**Amendment 171
Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Mariya Gabriel, Monika Hohlmeier**

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 31**

Motion for a resolution

31. Recalls that Parliament is now a fully-fledged institutional actor in the field of security policies, and is therefore entitled to participate actively in determining the features and priorities of the ISS and in evaluating those instruments, including ***through regular monitoring exercises on***

Amendment

31. Recalls that Parliament is now a fully-fledged institutional actor in the field of security policies, and is therefore entitled to participate actively in determining the features and priorities of the ISS and in evaluating those instruments, including ***by*** monitoring the implementation of the ISS,

the implementation of the ISS, to be conducted jointly by the European Parliament, national parliaments and the Council under Articles 70 and 71 TFEU;

to be conducted jointly by the European Parliament, national parliaments and the Council under Articles 70 and 71 TFEU;

Or. fr

Amendment 172

Kyriacos Triantaphyllides, Marie-Christine Vergiat

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 31

Motion for a resolution

31. Recalls that Parliament is now a fully-fledged institutional actor in the field of security policies, and is therefore entitled to participate actively in determining the features and priorities of the ISS and in evaluating those instruments, including through regular monitoring exercises on the implementation of the ISS, to be conducted jointly by the European Parliament, national parliaments and the Council under Articles 70 and 71 TFEU;

Amendment

31. Recalls that Parliament is now a fully-fledged institutional actor in the field of security policies, and is therefore entitled to participate actively in determining the features and priorities of the ISS and in evaluating those instruments, including through regular monitoring exercises on the implementation of the ISS, to be conducted jointly by the European Parliament, national parliaments and the Council under Articles 70 and 71 TFEU; ***Believes that the European Parliament ought to play a crucial role in the evaluation and definition of internal security policies as these have a profound impact on the fundamental rights of all those living in the EU; Emphasises, therefore, the need to ensure these policies fall under the remit of the only directly elected European institution for scrutiny and democratic oversight;***

Or. en

Amendment 173

Renate Weber

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 32

PE516.857v01-00

92/163

AM\1001332EN.doc

Motion for a resolution

32. Believes that a proper analysis of the security threats to be addressed **is an essential prerequisite** for an effective ISS;

Amendment

32. Believes that a proper **evaluation of the implementation, effects and concrete results of the policies and legislation in the internal security field, as well as the analysis of the security threats to be addressed, are the essential prerequisites** for an effective ISS

Or. en

Amendment 174
Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 32

Motion for a resolution

32. Believes that a proper analysis of the security threats to be addressed is an essential prerequisite for an effective ISS;

Amendment

32. Believes that a proper analysis **and a democratic debate** of the security threats **at EU level** to be addressed is an essential prerequisite for an effective ISS;

Or. en

Amendment 175
Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Mariya Gabriel, Monika Hohlmeier, Georgios Papanikolaou

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 32

Motion for a resolution

32. Believes that a proper analysis of the security threats to be addressed is an essential prerequisite for an effective ISS;

Amendment

32. Believes that a proper analysis of the security threats to be addressed is an essential prerequisite for an effective ISS; **notes the usefulness of Europol's analyses in this connection;**

Or. fr

Amendment 176

Kyriacos Triantaphyllides, Marie-Christine Vergiat

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 32

Motion for a resolution

32. Believes that *a proper* analysis of the security threats to be addressed is an essential prerequisite for an effective ISS;

Amendment

32. Believes that *an independent and thorough* analysis of the security threats to be addressed is an essential prerequisite for an effective ISS, *especially in view of evaluating the necessity and proportionality of internal security policies ultimately assessing the continuing need for each individual legislative instrument*;

Or. en

Amendment 177

Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Mariya Gabriel, Monika Hohlmeier, Georgios Papanikolaou

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 33

Motion for a resolution

33. Points out that the current ISS will come to an end in 2014; calls on the Commission to start preparing a new ISS for the period 2015-2019 which takes account of the entry into force of the Treaty of Lisbon and the incorporation of the Charter of Fundamental Rights into Union law; calls on the Council to take Parliament's input for the new ISS properly into account before adopting the new strategy;

Amendment

33. Points out that the current ISS will come to an end in 2014; calls on the Commission to start preparing a new ISS for the period 2015-2019 which takes account of the entry into force of the Treaty of Lisbon and the incorporation of the Charter of Fundamental Rights into Union law; calls on the Council to take Parliament's input, *and Europol's risk analyses*, for the new ISS properly into account before adopting the new strategy;

Or. fr

Amendment 178

Jan Philipp Albrecht, Judith Sargentini, Rui Tavares, Christian Engström

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 33 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

33 a. Urges a comprehensive agreement on the Data protection package which ensures a uniform and high level of protection for data subjects and a level playing field for business; insists that this is a precondition for free trade and police and judicial cooperation;

Or. en

Amendment 179

Claude Moraes

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 34

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

34. Acknowledges that cross-border crime is on the increase in the EU and therefore underlines the importance of European law enforcement information exchange; believes that the current 'landscape' of the different instruments, channels and tools is complicated and scattered, leading to inefficient use of the instruments available and to inadequate democratic oversight at EU level; calls for a future-oriented vision on how to shape and optimise law enforcement data sharing in the EU while guaranteeing a robust level of data protection;

34. Acknowledges that cross-border crime is on the increase in the EU and therefore underlines the importance of European law enforcement information exchange; believes that the current 'landscape' of the different instruments, channels and tools is complicated and scattered, leading to inefficient use of the instruments available and to inadequate democratic oversight at EU level ***and insufficient accountability to citizens and migrants***; calls for a future-oriented vision on how to shape and optimise law enforcement data sharing in the EU while guaranteeing a robust level of data protection, ***using collection methods respecting the right to privacy, dignity, and non-discrimination, and adhering to and respecting the fundamental rights of those under suspicion***; ***calls on the Commission quickly to bring forward***

proposals to bring cross-border police cooperation instruments adopted under the former third pillar – such as the Prüm Decision and the Swedish Initiative – under the legal framework of the Lisbon Treaty;

Or. en

Amendment 180
Renate Weber

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34

Motion for a resolution

34. *Acknowledges that cross-border crime is on the increase in the EU and therefore underlines the importance of European law enforcement information exchange; believes that the current 'landscape' of the different instruments, channels and tools* is complicated and scattered, leading to inefficient use of the instruments available and to inadequate democratic oversight at EU level; calls for a future-oriented vision on how to shape and optimise law enforcement *data sharing* in the EU while guaranteeing a robust level of data protection;

Amendment

34. *believes that the current 'landscape' of the different instruments, channels and tools available to law enforcement to counter Europe-wide criminality* is complicated and scattered, leading to inefficient use of the instruments available and to inadequate democratic oversight at EU level; calls for a future-oriented vision on how to shape and optimise law enforcement *activities, and their democratic and judicial oversight*, in the EU, while guaranteeing *fundamental rights including* a robust level of data protection;

Or. en

Amendment 181
Sarah Ludford

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34

Motion for a resolution

34. Acknowledges that cross-border crime is on the increase in the EU and therefore

Amendment

34. Acknowledges that cross-border crime is on the increase in the EU and therefore

underlines the importance of European law enforcement information exchange; believes that the current ‘landscape’ of the different instruments, channels and tools is complicated and scattered, leading to inefficient use of the instruments available and to inadequate democratic oversight at EU level; calls for a future-oriented vision on how to shape and optimise law enforcement data sharing in the EU while guaranteeing a robust level of data protection;

underlines the importance of European law enforcement information exchange; believes that the current ‘landscape’ of the different instruments, channels and tools is complicated and scattered, leading to inefficient use of the instruments available and to inadequate democratic oversight at EU level; calls for a future-oriented vision on how to shape and optimise law enforcement data sharing in the EU while guaranteeing a robust level of data protection, ***using collection methods respecting the right to privacy, dignity, and non-discrimination, and adhering to and respecting the fundamental rights of those under suspicion***;

Or. en

Amendment 182
Monika Hohlmeier

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34

Motion for a resolution

34. Acknowledges that cross-border crime is on the increase in the EU and therefore underlines the importance of European law enforcement information exchange; believes that the current ‘landscape’ of the different instruments, channels and tools is complicated and scattered, leading to ***inefficient use of the instruments available and to inadequate democratic oversight at EU level***; calls for a future-oriented vision on how to shape and optimise law enforcement data sharing in the EU while guaranteeing a robust level of data protection;

Amendment

34. Acknowledges that cross-border crime is on the increase in the EU and therefore underlines the importance of European law enforcement information exchange, ***cooperation and a sufficient funding of its agencies***; believes that the current ‘landscape’ of the different instruments, channels and tools is complicated and scattered; leading to ***possible lacks of transparency and coordination among the different actors***; calls for a future-oriented vision on how to shape and optimise law enforcement data sharing in the EU while guaranteeing a robust level of data protection;

Or. en

Amendment 183

Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Mariya Gabriel, Monika Hohlmeier

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 34

Motion for a resolution

34. Acknowledges that cross-border crime is on the increase in the EU and therefore underlines the importance of European law enforcement information exchange; believes that the current ‘landscape’ of the different instruments, channels and tools is complicated and scattered, leading to inefficient use of the instruments available **and to inadequate democratic oversight at EU level**; calls for a future-oriented vision on how to shape and optimise law enforcement data sharing in the EU while guaranteeing a robust level of data protection;

Amendment

34. Acknowledges that cross-border crime is on the increase in the EU and therefore underlines the importance of European law enforcement information exchange; believes that the current ‘landscape’ of the different instruments, channels and tools is complicated and scattered, leading to inefficient use of the instruments available; calls for a future-oriented vision on how to shape and optimise law enforcement data sharing in the EU while guaranteeing a robust level of data protection; **notes the need to boost law enforcement authorities' confidence in each other so as to step up information exchanges**;

Or. fr

Amendment 184

Petru Constantin Luhan

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 34

Motion for a resolution

34. Acknowledges that cross-border crime is on the increase in the EU and therefore underlines the importance of European law enforcement information exchange; believes that the current ‘landscape’ of the different instruments, channels and tools is complicated and scattered, leading to inefficient use of the instruments available and to inadequate democratic oversight at EU level; calls for a future-oriented vision on how to shape and optimise law enforcement data sharing in the EU while

Amendment

34. Acknowledges that cross-border crime is on the increase in the EU and therefore underlines the importance of European law enforcement information exchange; believes that the current ‘landscape’ of the different instruments, channels and tools is complicated and scattered, leading to inefficient use of the instruments available and to inadequate democratic oversight at EU level; calls for a future-oriented vision on how to shape and optimise law enforcement data sharing in the EU while

guaranteeing a robust level of data protection;

guaranteeing a robust level of data protection *providing identity confidentiality*

Or. en

Amendment 185
Kyriacos Triantaphyllides, Marie-Christine Vergiat

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34

Motion for a resolution

34. Acknowledges that cross-border crime is on the increase in the EU ***and therefore underlines the importance of European law enforcement information exchange; believes that the current 'landscape' of the different instruments, channels and tools is complicated and scattered, leading to inefficient use of the instruments available and to inadequate democratic oversight at EU level;*** calls for a future-oriented vision on how to shape and optimise law enforcement data sharing in the EU while guaranteeing a robust level of data protection;

Amendment

34. Acknowledges that cross-border crime is on the increase in the EU ***but is concerned by the lack of democratic oversight as well as the insufficient protection of fundamental rights in the cross-border cooperation against crime in the EU, especially related to the increased access to digital databases containing personal data by law enforcement authorities;*** calls for a future-oriented vision on how to shape and optimise law enforcement ***cooperation and*** data sharing in the EU while guaranteeing a robust level of data protection;

Or. en

Amendment 186
Petru Constantin Luhan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

34 a. Welcomes the European Council's initiative to have COSI recurrently informed, however, considers crucial the formation of a communication channel easily accessible and secure to EU citizens

Amendment

that will facilitate unveiling cases of human trafficking and child slavery.

Or. en

Amendment 187

Judith Sargentini, Jan Philipp Albrecht, Rui Tavares, Christian Engström

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 34 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

34 a. Rejects the notion of predictive policing without an initial suspicion, in particular the EU PNR proposal and the idea of an EU Terrorist Finance Tracking System; calls on the Commission to repeal the Data Retention Directive;

Or. en

Amendment 188

Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Mariya Gabriel

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 34 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

34a. Congratulates the Commission on its proposal on the confiscation of criminal assets, and trusts that this reform measure will be adopted promptly; points out that confiscating criminal assets is one of the most effective ways of combatting criminal organisations;

Or. fr

Amendment 189

Petru Constantin Luhan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

34 b. Calls on the Commission to encourage member states to set up aid centres in notorious areas of prostitution in order to provide victims with psychological and physical immediate recovery.

Or. en

Amendment 190
Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Georgios Papanikolaou

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

34b. Regrets the delay in the negotiations on introducing a European passenger name record (PNR) system;

Or. fr

Amendment 191
Claude Moraes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 35

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

35. Calls on the Commission quickly to bring forward proposals to bring cross-border police cooperation instruments adopted under the former third pillar – such as the Prüm Decision and the Swedish Initiative – under the legal framework of the Lisbon Treaty;

deleted

Amendment 192
Monika Hohlmeier

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 35

Motion for a resolution

35. Calls on the Commission ***quickly to bring forward*** proposals to bring cross-border police cooperation instruments adopted under the former third pillar – such as the Prüm Decision and the Swedish Initiative – ***under*** the legal framework of the Lisbon Treaty;

Amendment

35. Calls on the Commission ***to consider*** proposals to bring cross-border police cooperation instruments adopted under the former third pillar – such as the Prüm Decision and the Swedish Initiative – ***within*** the legal framework of the Lisbon Treaty ***only in case there is justified need for further regulation and that the proposal will improve the instrument***;

Or. en

Amendment 193
Monika Hohlmeier

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 36

Motion for a resolution

36. Welcomes the Commission's proposal for the new Europol Regulation and hopes for a quick advancement of this important legislative dossier so that Europol ***can be brought into line with the Lisbon Treaty as soon as possible***;

Amendment

36. Welcomes the Commission's proposal for the new Europol Regulation and hopes for a quick advancement of this important legislative dossier so that Europol ***will be able to more effectively fulfil its role to fight organised cross-border crime***;

Or. en

Amendment 194
Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Mariya Gabriel, Monika Hohlmeier, Georgios Papanikolaou

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 36

Motion for a resolution

36. Welcomes the ***Commission's proposal for*** the new Europol Regulation and hopes for a quick advancement of this important legislative dossier so that Europol can be brought into line with the Lisbon Treaty as soon as possible;

Amendment

36. Welcomes the ***change of legal basis and positive developments proposed by the Commission for Europol, with a view to*** the new Europol Regulation, and hopes for quick advancement of this important legislative dossier so that Europol can be brought into line with the Lisbon Treaty as soon as possible;

Or. fr

Amendment 195
Kyriacos Triantaphyllides, Marie-Christine Vergiat, Cornelia Ernst

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 36

Motion for a resolution

36. ***Welcomes*** the Commission's proposal for the new Europol Regulation and hopes for a quick advancement of this important legislative dossier so that Europol can be brought into line with the Lisbon Treaty as soon as possible;

Amendment

36. ***Takes note of*** the Commission's proposal for the new Europol Regulation and hopes for a quick advancement of this important legislative dossier so that Europol can be brought into line with the Lisbon Treaty as soon as possible; ***underlines that the revision of the Europol Regulation has to be carried out in full respect of democratic values and principles, fundamental rights as well as the principles of proportionality and necessity; Considers, in this respect, that the list of criminal offences, for which Europol is competent, should be as clear cut as possible in order to avoid overlaps with national authorities;***

Or. en

Amendment 196
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 36 – subparagraph 1 (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Reaffirms its commitment to seeking accountability at EU and national levels for European complicity in human rights violations committed in the context of the CIA extraordinary rendition and secret detention programmes; calls on the Members States to fully implement the recommendations of the European Parliament's report adopted in September 2012;

Or. en

Amendment 197
Kyriacos Triantaphyllides, Marie-Christine Vergiat, Cornelia Ernst

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 36 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

36 a. Regrets that no follow-up has taken place to the evaluation of Directive 2006/24/EC; calls on the Commission to present a legislative proposal abolishing the data retention framework as soon as possible;

Or. en

Amendment 198
Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Mariya Gabriel, Georgios Papanikolaou

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 36 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

36a. Finds the lack of progress on combatting terrorism most regrettable; notes in particular that much remains to be done on preventing terrorism and on tracking and preventing terrorist financing; calls for policies to be developed to prevent the radicalisation of young people, in particular, and to detect its early signs;

Or. fr

Amendment 199

Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Mariya Gabriel, Monika Hohlmeier, Georgios Papanikolaou

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 36 b (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

36b. Notes that trafficking in the EU, both of drugs and of people, is continuing to expand and that the relevant European policies need to be strengthened;

Or. fr

Amendment 200

Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Mariya Gabriel, Georgios Papanikolaou

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 36 c (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

36c. Regrets that the EU does not yet have the proper resources to prevent natural or man-made disasters and respond to them;

Or. fr

Amendment 201

Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Mariya Gabriel, Monika Hohlmeier

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 36 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

36d. Calls for the resources allocated for joint investigation teams to be expanded without excessive red tape;

Or. fr

Amendment 202

Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Mariya Gabriel, Monika Hohlmeier, Georgios Papanikolaou

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 36 e (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

36e. Calls for policies to protect children against all forms of violence, including in the digital environment, to be strengthened;

Or. fr

Amendment 203

Timothy Kirkhope

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 37

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

37. Welcomes the conclusion of the negotiations on the Schengen Governance Package; calls on the Commission fully to play its roles as coordinator of the

37. Welcomes the conclusion of the negotiations on the Schengen Governance Package; calls on the Commission fully to play its roles as coordinator of the

Schengen evaluations and as guardian of the Treaty, in order to avoid any situation that could endanger the functioning of the Schengen area; ***repeats its position that the Schengen area should, without further delay, be enlarged to include Romania and Bulgaria;***

Schengen evaluations and as guardian of the Treaty, in order to avoid any situation that could endanger the functioning of the Schengen area;

Or. en

Amendment 204

Judith Sargentini, Jan Philipp Albrecht, Jean Lambert, Rui Tavares

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 37

Motion for a resolution

37. ***Welcomes the conclusion of the negotiations on the Schengen Governance Package;*** calls on the Commission fully to play its roles as coordinator of the Schengen evaluations and as guardian of the Treaty, in order to avoid any situation that could endanger the functioning of the Schengen area; repeats its position that the Schengen area should, without further delay, be enlarged to include Romania and Bulgaria;

Amendment

37. Calls on the Commission fully to play its roles as coordinator of the Schengen evaluations and as guardian of the Treaty, in order to avoid any situation that could endanger the functioning of the Schengen area; repeats its position that the Schengen area should, without further delay, be enlarged to include Romania and Bulgaria;

Or. en

Amendment 205

Monika Hohlmeier

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 37

Motion for a resolution

37. Welcomes the conclusion of the negotiations on the Schengen Governance Package; calls on the Commission fully to play its roles as coordinator of the

Amendment

37. Welcomes the conclusion of the negotiations on the Schengen Governance Package; calls on the Commission fully to play its roles as coordinator of the

Schengen evaluations and as guardian of the Treaty, in order to avoid any situation that could endanger the functioning of the Schengen area; *repeats its position that the Schengen area should, without further delay, be enlarged to include Romania and Bulgaria;*

Schengen evaluations and as guardian of the Treaty, in order to avoid any situation that could endanger the functioning of the Schengen area; *is concerned about the current events, including breaches of fundamental rights and police brutality in Bulgaria; expresses its concerns over the independence of the constitutional court and the rule of law in Romania and Bulgaria; supports and encourages the Commission to support all efforts of Bulgaria and Romania to join the Schengen area as soon as possible;*

Or. en

Amendment 206
Lorenzo Fontana

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 37

Motion for a resolution

37. Welcomes the conclusion of the negotiations on the Schengen Governance Package; calls on the Commission fully to play its *roles as coordinator of the Schengen evaluations and as guardian of the Treaty*, in order to *avoid any situation that could endanger the functioning of the Schengen area; repeats its position that the Schengen area should, without further delay, be enlarged to include Romania and Bulgaria;*

Amendment

37. Welcomes the conclusion of the negotiations on the Schengen Governance Package; calls on the Commission fully to play its *role in an impartial way* in order to *assess, free of ideological pressures, whether Romania and Bulgaria should be admitted to the Schengen area;*

Or. it

Amendment 207
Timothy Kirkhope

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 38

Motion for a resolution

38. Considers the absence of controls at internal borders as one of the major achievements of European integration; ***requests the Commission to pay particular attention to the absence of controls at internal borders, and firmly rejects all attempts to limit the freedom of movement of persons;***

Amendment

38. Considers the absence of controls at internal borders as one of the major achievements of European integration;

Or. en

Amendment 208
Lorenzo Fontana

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 38

Motion for a resolution

38. Considers the absence of controls at internal borders as one of the major achievements of European integration; ***requests the Commission to pay particular attention to the absence of controls at internal borders, and firmly rejects all attempts to limit the freedom of movement of persons;***

Amendment

38. Considers the absence of controls at internal borders as one of the major achievements of European integration;

Or. it

Amendment 209
Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Mariya Gabriel, Monika Hohlmeier

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 38

Motion for a resolution

38. Considers the absence of controls at internal borders as one of the major achievements of European integration;

Amendment

38. Considers the absence of controls at internal borders as one of the major achievements of European integration;

requests the Commission to pay particular attention to the absence of controls at internal borders, and firmly rejects all attempts to limit the freedom of movement of persons;

requests the Commission to pay particular attention to the absence of controls at internal borders, and firmly rejects all *unjustified* attempts to limit the freedom of movement of persons;

Or. fr

Amendment 210
Timothy Kirkhope

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 39

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

39. Acknowledges that the Schengen area is a kind of laboratory that so far has been developed step by step; is nevertheless of the opinion that a long-term reflection about its further development is necessary; believes that the Schengen external borders should in the future be guarded by European border guards;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 211
Marco Scurria

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 39

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

39. Acknowledges that the Schengen area is a kind of laboratory that so far has been developed step by step; is nevertheless of the opinion that a long-term reflection about its further development is necessary; believes that the Schengen external borders should *in the future* be guarded by European border guards;

39. Acknowledges that the Schengen area is a kind of laboratory that so far has been developed step by step; is nevertheless of the opinion that a long-term reflection about its further development is necessary; believes, *given the great pressure being exerted on them and their strategic importance for the implementation of all other EU policies*, that the Schengen

external borders should *without delay* be guarded by European border guards;

Or. it

Amendment 212

Claude Moraes

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 39

Motion for a resolution

39. Acknowledges that the Schengen area is a kind of laboratory that so far has been developed step by step; is nevertheless of the opinion that a long-term reflection about its further development is necessary; believes that the Schengen external borders should in the future be guarded by European border guards;

Amendment

39. Acknowledges that the Schengen area is a kind of laboratory that so far has been developed step by step; is nevertheless of the opinion that a long-term reflection about its further development is necessary; believes that the Schengen external borders should in the future be guarded by European border guards, *trained in human rights standards of protection and supported by legal officers, human rights personnel, interpreters and medical workers*;

Or. en

Amendment 213

Jan Philipp Albrecht, Jean Lambert, Judith Sargentini, Rui Tavares

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 39

Motion for a resolution

39. Acknowledges that the Schengen area is a kind of laboratory that so far has been developed step by step; is nevertheless of the opinion that a long-term reflection about its further development is necessary; believes that the Schengen external borders should in the future be guarded *by European border guards*;

Amendment

39. Acknowledges that the Schengen area is a kind of laboratory that so far has been developed step by step; is nevertheless of the opinion that a long-term reflection about its further development is necessary; believes that the Schengen external borders should in the future be guarded *on the basis of the highest common standards*.

Amendment 214
Sarah Ludford

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 39

Motion for a resolution

39. Acknowledges that the Schengen area is a kind of laboratory that so far has been developed step by step; is nevertheless of the opinion that a long-term reflection about its further development is necessary; believes that the Schengen external borders should in the future be guarded by European border guards;

Amendment

39. Acknowledges that the Schengen area is a kind of laboratory that so far has been developed step by step; is nevertheless of the opinion that a long-term reflection about its further development is necessary; believes that the Schengen external borders should in the future be guarded by European border guards, ***trained in human rights standards of protection***;

Or. en

Amendment 215
Jacek Protasiewicz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 39

Motion for a resolution

39. Acknowledges that the Schengen area is a kind of laboratory that so far has been developed step by step; is nevertheless of the opinion that a long-term reflection about its further development is necessary; ***believes that the Schengen external borders should in the future be guarded by European border guards***;

Amendment

39. Acknowledges that the Schengen area is a kind of laboratory that so far has been developed step by step; is nevertheless of the opinion that a long-term reflection about its further development is necessary;

Or. en

Amendment 216

Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Mariya Gabriel, Monika Hohlmeier

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 39

Motion for a resolution

39. Acknowledges that the Schengen area is a kind of laboratory that so far has been developed step by step; is nevertheless of the opinion that a long-term reflection about its further development is necessary; believes that the Schengen external borders should in the future be guarded by European border guards;

Amendment

39. Acknowledges that the Schengen area is a kind of laboratory that so far has been developed step by step; is nevertheless of the opinion that a long-term reflection about its further development is necessary, ***with a view to strengthening external border controls***; believes that the Schengen external borders should in the future be guarded by European border guards;

Or. fr

Amendment 217

Anna Maria Corazza Bildt

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 39

Motion for a resolution

39. Acknowledges that the Schengen area is a kind of laboratory that so far has been developed step by step; is nevertheless of the opinion that a long-term reflection about its further development is necessary; ***believes that the Schengen external borders should in the future be guarded by European border guards;***

Amendment

39. Acknowledges that the Schengen area is a kind of laboratory that so far has been developed step by step; is nevertheless of the opinion that a long-term reflection about its further development is necessary;

Or. en

Amendment 218

Monika Hohlmeier

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 39

Motion for a resolution

39. Acknowledges that the Schengen area is **a kind of laboratory** that so far has been developed step by step; is nevertheless of the opinion that a long-term reflection about its further development is necessary; believes that the Schengen external borders should in the future be guarded **by** European border guards;

Amendment

39. Acknowledges that the Schengen area is **sui generis** that so far has been developed step by step; is nevertheless of the opinion that a long-term reflection about its further development is necessary; believes that the Schengen external borders should in the future be guarded **with the support of** European border guards;

Or. en

Amendment 219

Kyriacos Triantaphyllides, Marie-Christine Vergiat, Cornelia Ernst

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 39

Motion for a resolution

39. Acknowledges that the Schengen area is a kind of laboratory that so far has been developed step by step; **is nevertheless** of the opinion that a long-term reflection about **its** further development is necessary; **believes that the Schengen external borders should in the future be guarded by European border guards;**

Amendment

39. Acknowledges that the Schengen area is a kind of laboratory that so far has been developed step by step; **Regrets, however, the growing tendency to equate internal freedom of movement with increasing closure and surveillance of external borders; Is concerned about this development which migrants are perceived as threats or criminals and where the right to asylum is undermined by the introduction of ever increasing obstacles to entering the EU; is, therefore,** of the opinion that a long-term reflection about **the** further development **of the Schengen area** is necessary **and should take into account the fundamental rights of migrants and persons in need of international protection;**

Or. en

Amendment 220
Claude Moraes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 40

Motion for a resolution

40. Welcomes the reform of the mandate of FRONTEX and the agreement on Eurosur; considers that the new rules for the surveillance of sea borders need to be agreed on as soon as possible, that priority should be given to saving the lives of migrants and that the principle of non-refoulement is to be fully respected;

Amendment

40. Welcomes the reform of the mandate of FRONTEX and the agreement on Eurosur; considers that the new rules for the surveillance of sea borders need to be agreed on as soon as possible, ***with respect to the principle in Article 13(2) of the 1948 UN Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the principle in the 1951 Convention relating to the Status of Refugees***, that priority should be given to saving the lives of migrants and that the principle of non-refoulement is to be fully respected, ***as well as the rights of children and victims of trafficking; welcomes the successful migration to the Schengen Information System II, the continued roll-out of the Visa Information System and the setting-up of the agency eu-LISA for their operational management; underlines that these new systems now need to stand the test of everyday use; recalls its request that 'new border management instruments or large-scale data storage systems should not be launched until the existing tools are fully operational, safe and reliable'; is looking forward to the evaluations of the systems foreseen in the respective legal instruments;***

Or. en

Amendment 221
Lorenzo Fontana

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 40

Motion for a resolution

40. ***Welcomes the reform of the mandate of FRONTEX and the agreement on Eurosur; considers that the new rules for the surveillance of sea borders need to be agreed on as soon as possible, that priority should be given to saving the lives of migrants and that the principle of non-refoulement is to be fully respected;***

Amendment

40. Considers that the new rules for the surveillance of sea borders need to be agreed on as soon as possible;

Or. it

Amendment 222

Monika Hohlmeier, Georgios Papanikolaou, Véronique Mathieu Houillon

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 40

Motion for a resolution

40. Welcomes the reform of the mandate of FRONTEX and the agreement on Eurosur; considers that the new rules for the surveillance of sea borders need to be agreed on as soon as possible, that priority should be given to saving the lives of migrants and that the principle of non-refoulement is to be fully respected;

Amendment

40. Welcomes the reform of the mandate of FRONTEX and the agreement on Eurosur; considers that the new rules for the surveillance of sea borders need to be agreed on as soon as possible, that priority should be given to saving the lives of migrants and that the principle of non-refoulement is to be fully respected; ***considers that the saving of lives starts already in the third country and that cooperation with third countries should be improved;***

Or. en

Amendment 223

Kyriacos Triantaphyllides, Marie-Christine Vergiat, Cornelia Ernst

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 40

Motion for a resolution

40. Welcomes the reform of the mandate of FRONTEX **and the agreement on Eurosur**; considers that the new rules for the surveillance of sea borders need to be agreed on as soon as possible, **that priority should be given to** saving the lives of migrants and **that** the principle of non-refoulement **is to be fully respected**;

Amendment

40. Welcomes, **in this regard**, the reform of the mandate of FRONTEX **to include a fundamental rights strategy through inter alia the appointment of a fundamental rights officer and stresses the importance of ensuring independent monitoring of compliance by the agency with its fundamental rights obligations**; **Considers that any rule pertaining to the surveillance of external borders (air, land or sea) needs to respect and be guided by the principle of safeguarding the human rights of migrants and asylum seekers**; **Regrets, therefore, the lack of respect of the principle of non-refoulement by some Member States and calls on the European Commission to ensure through all means, including financial means, this principle is respected**; **Stresses the need to ensure that any cooperation with third countries on migration fully respects the rights of asylum seekers, refugees and migrants and that monitoring mechanisms are in place to guarantee that human rights are observed in practice**; Considers that the new rules for the surveillance of sea borders need to be agreed on as soon as possible **provided they give priority to the saving the lives of migrants and fully respect** the principle of non-refoulement;

Or. en

Amendment 224

Kyriacos Triantaphyllides, Marie-Christine Vergiat, Cornelia Ernst

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 40 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

40 a. Condemns the weak response of the EU and its Member States with regards to

the number of dead persons, particularly at sea, and to the increasing violations of human rights when irregular migrants try to enter the EU; calls on the Commission to consult it before signing any agreement between Frontex and third countries; insists that such agreements should provide a high level of protection to ensure that the standards of human rights are fully respected, especially with regard to returns and the organisation of joint operations, search and rescue or interception operations; recalls the various reports of international organisations (notably the Council of Europe and the UN), of the Fundamental Rights Agency and the European Ombudsman concerning the impact of the management of external borders of the EU to migrants' rights and the respect for human rights by Frontex and calls on the European institutions and Member States to take the necessary measures without delay to put an end to these violations;

Or. en

Amendment 225
Carmen Romero López

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 40 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

40a. Calls on the Commission to provide information on the situation at detention centres and respect for human rights there, and to bring forward initiatives regarding their operation in the future;

Or. es

Amendment 226

Claude Moraes

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 41

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

41. Welcomes the successful migration to the Schengen Information System II, the continued roll-out of the Visa Information System and the setting-up of the agency eu-LISA for their operational management; underlines that these new systems now need to stand the test of everyday use; recalls its request that ‘new border management instruments or large-scale data storage systems should not be launched until the existing tools are fully operational, safe and reliable’; is looking forward to the evaluations of the systems foreseen in the respective legal instruments;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 227

Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Mariya Gabriel

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 41

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

41. Welcomes the successful migration to the Schengen Information System II, the continued roll-out of the Visa Information System and the setting-up of the agency eu-LISA for their operational management; underlines that these new systems now need to stand the test of everyday use; *recalls its request that ‘new border management instruments or large-scale data storage systems should not be launched until the existing tools are fully operational, safe and reliable’*; is looking

41. Welcomes the successful migration to the Schengen Information System II, the continued roll-out of the Visa Information System and the setting-up of the agency eu-LISA for their operational management; underlines that these new systems now need to stand the test of everyday use; is looking forward to the evaluations of the systems foreseen in the respective legal instruments;

forward to the evaluations of the systems foreseen in the respective legal instruments;

Or. fr

Amendment 228
Monika Hohlmeier

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 41

Motion for a resolution

41. **Welcomes the successful** migration to the Schengen Information System II, the continued roll-out of the Visa Information System and the setting-up of the agency eu-LISA for their operational management; underlines that these new systems now need to stand the test of everyday use; recalls its request that ‘new border management instruments or large-scale data storage systems should not be launched until the existing tools are fully operational, safe and reliable’; is looking forward to the evaluations of the systems foreseen in the respective legal instruments;

Amendment

41. **Regrets the late** migration to the Schengen Information System II **and its increased costs**, the continued roll-out of the Visa Information System and the setting-up of the agency eu-LISA for their operational management; underlines that these new systems now need to stand the test of everyday use; recalls its request that ‘new border management instruments or large-scale data storage systems should not be launched until the existing tools are **necessary**, fully operational, safe and reliable’; is looking forward to the evaluations of the systems foreseen in the respective legal instruments;

Or. en

Amendment 229
Kyriacos Triantaphyllides, Marie-Christine Vergiat, Cornelia Ernst

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 41

Motion for a resolution

41. **Welcomes the successful** migration to the Schengen Information System II, the continued roll-out of the Visa Information System and the setting-up of the agency

Amendment

41. **Takes note of the** migration to the Schengen Information System II, the continued roll-out of the Visa Information System and the setting-up of the agency

eu-LISA for their operational management; underlines that these new systems now need to stand the test of everyday use; recalls its request that 'new border management instruments or large-scale data storage systems should not be launched until the existing tools are fully operational, safe and reliable'; ***is looking forward to the evaluations of*** the systems foreseen in the respective legal instruments;

eu-LISA for their operational management; underlines that these new systems now need to stand the test of everyday use; recalls its request that 'new border management instruments or large-scale data storage systems should not be launched until the existing tools are fully operational, safe and reliable'; ***calls on the Commission to evaluate all*** the systems foreseen in the respective legal instruments;

Or. en

Amendment 230

Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Mariya Gabriel

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 41 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

41a. Calls for the security of source documents to be enhanced in order to improve the reliability of identity documents in the European Union;

Or. fr

Amendment 231

Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Mariya Gabriel

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 41 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

41b. Stresses the importance of using all means at our disposal, including the available new technologies, to strengthen external border controls;

Or. fr

Amendment 232

Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Mariya Gabriel, Georgios Papanikolaou

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 41 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

41c. Highlights the importance of tackling trafficking and smuggling at borders, including combatting the trafficking of migrants;

Or. fr

Amendment 233

Monika Hohlmeier, Véronique Mathieu Houillon

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 42

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

42. Calls for a much better implementation of the visa acquis and greater harmonisation of visa procedures and practices; believes that common visa application centres **should become the standard; calls for** an interinstitutional discussion on the objectives of the common visa policy;

42. Welcomes the progress made in the field of the visa acquis and greater harmonisation of visa procedures and practices; believes that common visa application centres **have proven to be an useful tool; believes that** an interinstitutional discussion on the objectives of the common visa policy **can be useful to elaborate further steps of a further harmonisation of visa procedures, including common rules on the issuing of visas;**

Or. en

Amendment 234

Kyriacos Triantaphyllides, Marie-Christine Vergiat, Cornelia Ernst

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 42

Motion for a resolution

42. Calls for a much better implementation of the visa acquis **and greater harmonisation of visa procedures and practices; believes that common visa application centres should become the standard**; calls for an interinstitutional discussion on the objectives of the common visa policy;

Amendment

42. Calls for a much better implementation of the visa acquis; **calls on the Commission to make full use of its powers as guardian of the Treaties in this respect**; calls for an interinstitutional discussion on the objectives of the common visa policy;

Or. en

Amendment 235
Claude Moraes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 42 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

42 a. Calls European institutions and Member States to increase the mobility of workers by allowing for temporary visas and by facilitating the re-application process of those already in the system; this would effectively increase worker mobility by guaranteeing legal certainty and increasing EU internal mobility;

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 236
Jacek Protasiewicz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 42 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

42 a. Calls on the Commission to further

Amendment

improve existing visa facilitation agreements between the European Union and its eastern neighbours along with work towards a visa-free travel area enabling people-to-people contacts;

Or. en

Amendment 237

Kyriacos Triantaphyllides, Marie-Christine Vergiat, Cornelia Ernst

Motion for a resolution

Subheading 10

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Asylum and migration

Trafficking in Human Beings

Or. en

Amendment 238

Kyriacos Triantaphyllides, Cornelia Ernst

Motion for a resolution

Subheading 10 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Calls Member States and the European commission to address trafficking in human beings in a comprehensive manner which links criminal behaviour and crime to the area of prevention and protection of victims; calls in this regard more agencies to be involved such as EASO, EIGE and the FRA;

Or. en

Amendment 239

Kyriacos Triantaphyllides, Cornelia Ernst

Motion for a resolution
Subheading 10 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Calls Member States to properly implement the Directive of 5 April 2011 on preventing and combating trafficking in human beings and protecting its victims as well as comply with relevant international instruments such as the 1949 UN Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Persons and of the Exploitation of the Prostitution of Others;

Or. en

Amendment 240
Kyriacos Triantaphyllides, Cornelia Ernst

Motion for a resolution
Subheading 10 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Calls on the Commission and the Member States to increasingly fund exit programmes for trafficked persons, in particular for women in prostitution;

Or. en

Amendment 241
Kyriacos Triantaphyllides, Cornelia Ernst

Motion for a resolution
Subheading 10 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Calls the Commission to ensure the position of Anti-Trafficking Coordinator becomes a permanent position to ensure the implementation of the Directive of 5

April 2011 on preventing and combating trafficking in human beings and protecting its victims as well as a comprehensive and coherent approach at the EU level;

Or. en

Amendment 242

Marco Scurria

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 43

Motion for a resolution

43. Recalls that in the Stockholm Programme the European Council had underlined ‘that well-managed migration can be beneficial to all stakeholders’; regrets the limited progress made in the adoption of legislation in the field of legal migration, and calls for greater efforts in the future in view of the demographic challenges and the needs of the economy; believes, at the same time, *that* the integration of migrants *requires* greater attention;

Amendment

43. Recalls that in the Stockholm Programme the European Council had underlined ‘that well-managed migration can be beneficial to all stakeholders’; regrets the limited progress made in the adoption of legislation in the field of legal migration, and calls for greater efforts in the future in view of the demographic challenges and the needs of the economy; believes *that assessment of the social impact of immigration and of the substantial influx of non-EU nationals crossing external borders and*, at the same time, the integration of migrants *require* greater attention;

Or. it

Amendment 243

Judith Sargentini, Jean Lambert

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 43

Motion for a resolution

43. Recalls that in the Stockholm Programme the European Council had

Amendment

43. Recalls that in the Stockholm Programme the European Council had

underlined ‘that well-managed migration can be beneficial to all stakeholders’; regrets the limited progress made in the adoption of legislation in the field of **legal** migration, and calls for greater efforts in the future in view of the demographic challenges and the needs of the economy; believes, at the same time, that the integration of migrants requires greater attention;

underlined ‘that well-managed migration can be beneficial to all stakeholders’; regrets the limited progress made in the adoption of legislation in the field of **regular** migration, and calls for greater efforts in the future in view of the demographic challenges and the needs of the economy **as foreseen in the legislative proposals on labour migration**; believes, at the same time, that the integration of migrants requires greater attention; **recalls the 1999 Tampere Council conclusions, where suggestions were made that "a more vigorous integration policy should aim at granting them (read; third country nationals) rights and obligations comparable to those of EU citizens"**.

Or. en

Amendment 244

Monika Hohlmeier, Véronique Mathieu Houillon

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 43

Motion for a resolution

43. Recalls that in the Stockholm Programme the European Council had underlined ‘that well-managed migration can be beneficial to all stakeholders’; **regrets the limited** progress made in the adoption of legislation in the field of legal migration, and calls for greater efforts in the future in view of the demographic challenges and the needs of the economy; believes, at the same time, that the integration of migrants requires greater attention;

Amendment

43. Recalls that in the Stockholm Programme the European Council had underlined ‘that well-managed migration can be beneficial to all stakeholders’; **expects that further progress is** made in the adoption of legislation in the field of legal migration, and calls for greater efforts in the future in view of the demographic challenges and the needs of the economy; believes, at the same time, that the integration of migrants requires greater attention;

Or. en

Amendment 245
Kyriacos Triantaphyllides, Marie-Christine Vergiat

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 43

Motion for a resolution

43. Recalls that in the Stockholm Programme the European Council had underlined ‘that well-managed migration can be beneficial to all stakeholders’; regrets the limited progress made in the adoption of legislation in the field of legal migration, **and calls for greater efforts in the future** in view of the demographic challenges and the needs of the economy; believes, at the same time, that the integration of migrants requires greater attention;

Amendment

43. Recalls that in the Stockholm Programme the European Council had underlined ‘that well-managed migration can be beneficial to all stakeholders’; regrets the limited progress made in the adoption of legislation in the field of legal migration **not only** in view of the demographic challenges and the needs of the economy **but also to ensure the protection of migrants, including migrant workers, through well-defined rules and procedures that offer legal clarity and certainty and, therefore, also provide avenues for appeal and redress**; believes, at the same time, that the integration of migrants **as a two-way process** requires greater attention **as well as the enforcement of migrants fundamental rights**;

Or. en

Amendment 246
Claude Moraes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 43 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

43 a. Urgently calls for greater transparency, requiring each Member State to report annually on the progress of each specific minority group in matters of labour market integration and equality policy impacts; encourages the European Commission to deliver an "annual trend report" reflecting the comparable

Amendment

indicators on social cohesion that have been agreed upon and put forth as targets, including an EU-wide monitoring of the situation of newcomers, long-term residents, naturalised migrants, the children of migrants and broken down by equality grounds (i.e. ethnic/racial, religion/belief, gender, age, sexual orientation and disability), so as to measure progress in social inclusion policies over time. The Open Method of Coordination should be applied to this end;

Or. en

Amendment 247
Georgios Papanikolaou, Véronique Mathieu Houillon

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 43 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

43 a. Acknowledges that the recent changes and turmoil in Northern Africa and the Middle East have intensified the pressure in the EU's Eastern and Southern borders;

Or. en

Amendment 248
Georgios Papanikolaou, Véronique Mathieu Houillon

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 43 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

43 b. Calls for the introduction of a coherent, voluntary permanent intra-EU relocation scheme for beneficiaries of international protection;

Amendment 249
Judith Sargentini, Jean Lambert

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 44

Motion for a resolution

44. Welcomes the adoption of the asylum package; calls on the Commission to monitor the correct implementation of the package by the Member States as from the date of application;

Amendment

44. Welcomes the adoption of the asylum package ***even if the completion of the CEAS is still to be seen***; calls on the Commission to monitor the correct, ***comprehensive and full*** implementation of the package by the Member States as from the date of application, ***as well as the respect of relevant case-law***;

Amendment 250
Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 44

Motion for a resolution

44. Welcomes the adoption of the asylum package; calls on the Commission to monitor the correct implementation of the package by the Member States as from the date of application;

Amendment

44. Welcomes the adoption of the asylum package; calls on the Commission to monitor the correct implementation of the package by the Member States as from the date of application ***and suggests that henceforth the EASO include this new legislation in its training programmes.***

Amendment 251
Anna Maria Corazza Bildt

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 44

Motion for a resolution

44. Welcomes the adoption of the asylum package; calls on the Commission to monitor the correct implementation of the package by the Member States as from the date of application;

Amendment

44. Welcomes the adoption of the asylum package, ***in particular the improvements concerning unaccompanied minors***; calls on the Commission to monitor the correct implementation of the package by the Member States as from the date of application;

Or. en

Amendment 252
Monika Hohlmeier, Véronique Mathieu Houillon

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 44

Motion for a resolution

44. Welcomes the adoption of the asylum package; calls on the Commission to monitor the correct implementation of the package by ***the*** Member ***States*** as from the date of application;

Amendment

44. Welcomes the adoption of the asylum package; calls on the Commission to monitor the correct ***and comprehensive*** implementation of the package by ***every*** Member ***State*** as from the date of application;

Or. en

Amendment 253
Kyriacos Triantaphyllides, Marie-Christine Vergiat

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 44

Motion for a resolution

44. Welcomes the adoption of the asylum package; calls on the Commission to monitor the correct implementation of the package by the Member States as from the

Amendment

44. Welcomes the adoption of the asylum package ***stressing however that it falls short of the standards ensuring a high level of protection***; calls on the

date of application;

Commission to monitor the correct implementation of the package by the Member States as from the date of application *and to take the necessary steps to ensure that national legislations are in line with the developments in the case-law ; calls on the EASO to support Member States in this process; recalls that the asylum package only provides a minimum framework for Member States' policies in this field and encourages Member States to go beyond the minimum provisions existing therein, especially as regards the detention of asylum seekers, in particular of minors, the rights of vulnerable persons, the use of accelerated procedures;*

Or. en

Amendment 254
Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 44 – point a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(a) Recalls that international law, the acquis, and in particular the case-law of the ECtHR should be observed by the Union and its Member States in interventions in the high seas or when issuing rules on the surveillance of the external borders;

Or. en

Amendment 255
Kyriacos Triantaphyllides, Cornelia Ernst, Marie-Christine Vergiat

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 44 – subparagraph 1 (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Regrets the continuing and systematic practice of detaining migrants in detention centres as recently underlined by the UN Human Rights Council; calls for alternatives to detention to be further developed and implemented, including regularisation of undocumented migrants based on clear criteria;

Or. en

**Amendment 256
Georgios Papanikolaou**

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 44 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

44 a. Believes that, in the context of the Dublin system, the possibility to suspend transfers to Member States under significant pressure should in the future be considered;

Or. en

**Amendment 257
Timothy Kirkhope**

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 45**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

45. Deeply deplores the failure to make the principles of solidarity and fair sharing of responsibility, as laid down in Article 80 TFEU, a reality; believes that accentuated and more concrete measures will be necessary in the future;

deleted

Amendment 258
Cornelis de Jong

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 45

Motion for a resolution

45. Deeply deplores the failure to make the principles of solidarity and fair sharing of responsibility, as laid down in Article 80 TFEU, a reality; believes that accentuated and more concrete measures will be necessary in the future;

Amendment

45. Deeply deplores the failure to make the principles of solidarity and fair sharing of responsibility, as laid down in Article 80 TFEU, a reality; believes that accentuated and more concrete measures will be necessary in the future; ***believes that the Commission should examine the feasibility of setting up truly European asylum centres near the external borders of the European Union in order to deal with the first examination of asylum applications, and a system of fair sharing of responsibility for more complicated asylum applications that cannot be dealt with in the European asylum centres.***

Amendment 259
Georgios Papanikolaou

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 45

Motion for a resolution

45. Deeply deplores the failure to make the principles of solidarity and fair sharing of responsibility, as laid down in Article 80 TFEU, a reality; believes that accentuated and more concrete measures ***will be necessary in the future;***

Amendment

45. Deeply deplores the failure to make the principles of solidarity and fair sharing of responsibility, as laid down in Article 80 TFEU, a reality; believes that accentuated and more concrete measures, ***such as the use of an EU Distribution Key for the relocation of beneficiaries of international protection, based on***

appropriate indicators, is necessary;

Or. en

Amendment 260
Roberta Angelilli

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 45

Motion for a resolution

45. Deeply deplores the failure to make the principles of solidarity and fair sharing of responsibility, as laid down in Article 80 TFEU, a reality; believes that accentuated and more concrete measures will be necessary in the future;

Amendment

45. Deeply deplores the failure to make the principles of solidarity and fair sharing of responsibility, as laid down in Article 80 TFEU, a reality, ***as this harms the Member States more exposed to strong migration pressure***; believes that accentuated and more concrete measures will be necessary in the future, ***following an approach making for the utmost cooperation within the EU***;

Or. it

Amendment 261
Marco Scurria

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 45

Motion for a resolution

45. Deeply deplores the failure to make the principles of solidarity and fair sharing of responsibility, as laid down in Article 80 TFEU, a reality; believes that accentuated and more concrete measures will be necessary in the future;

Amendment

45. Deeply deplores the failure to make the principles of solidarity and fair sharing of responsibility, as laid down in Article 80 TFEU, a reality; believes that ***the necessary support must be provided to those Member States receiving higher numbers of migrants and asylum applications and also believes*** that accentuated and more concrete measures will be necessary in the future;

Amendment 262

Kyriacos Triantaphyllides, Cornelia Ernst, Marie-Christine Vergiat

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 45

Motion for a resolution

45. Deeply deplores the failure to make the principles of solidarity and fair sharing of responsibility, as laid down in Article 80 TFEU, a reality; believes that accentuated and more concrete measures will be necessary in the future;

Amendment

45. Deeply deplores the failure to make the principles of solidarity and fair sharing of responsibility, as laid down in Article 80 TFEU, a reality; believes that accentuated and more concrete measures will be necessary in the future, ***including the use of Article 80 as a legal basis in relevant legislative texts and the establishment of a permanent relocation system for beneficiaries of international protection within the EU, which could also serve as a basis for future initiatives aiming at relocating asylum seekers;***

Amendment 263

Monika Hohlmeier

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 45

Motion for a resolution

45. Deeply deplores the failure to make the principles of solidarity and fair sharing of responsibility, as laid down in Article 80 TFEU, a reality; ***believes*** that accentuated and more concrete measures ***will be necessary in the future;***

Amendment

45. Deeply deplores the failure to make the principles of solidarity and fair sharing of responsibility, as laid down in Article 80 TFEU, a reality; ***recalls*** that accentuated and more concrete measures ***are available in particular for Member States that experience difficult situations; encourages Member States to make efficient use of these support measures such as those offered by EASO to improve the situation of all applicants for asylum;***

encourages the Commission to investigate solutions for a redistribution of applicants for international protection in case one Member State suffers from a high influx the Member State cannot handle on its own although all provisions of EU legislation are implemented;

Or. en

Amendment 264
Hubert Pirker

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 46

Motion for a resolution

46. Believes that the external dimension of asylum should be expanded, *especially in relation to resettlement*;

Amendment

46. Believes that the external dimension of asylum should be expanded;

Or. de

Amendment 265
Kyriacos Triantaphyllides

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 46

Motion for a resolution

46. Believes that the external dimension of asylum should be expanded, *especially in relation to* resettlement;

Amendment

46. Believes that the external dimension of asylum *in relation to resettlement and protected entry procedures* should be expanded; *regrets the so far limited involvement of Member States in* resettlement.

Or. en

Amendment 266
Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 46

Motion for a resolution

46. Believes that the external dimension of asylum should be expanded, especially in relation to resettlement;

Amendment

46. Believes that the external dimension of asylum should be expanded, especially in relation to resettlement ***and to the issue of solidarity with third countries that take in refugees who are fleeing civil conflict, as well as to refugees taken in by third countries located in proximity to countries experiencing civil conflict;***

Or. es

Amendment 267
Monika Hohlmeier, Véronique Mathieu Houillon

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 46

Motion for a resolution

46. Believes that ***the external dimension*** of asylum should be expanded, especially in relation to resettlement;

Amendment

46. Believes that ***EU coordination and support in the field*** of asylum should be expanded, especially in relation to resettlement;

Or. en

Amendment 268
Véronique Mathieu Houillon

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 46 – subparagraph 1 (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

points out that return policies are an integral part of the policies for tackling illegal immigration; stresses that mutual cooperation and recognition of return decisions must be strengthened;

Amendment 269

Kyriacos Triantaphyllides, Marie-Christine Vergiat

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 46 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

46 a. Recalls the Commission's commitment to facilitate the orderly arrival in the EU of persons in need of protection and calls on it to explore new approaches to access to asylum procedures inter alia through the adoption of the 'Communication on new approaches concerning access to asylum procedures targeting main transit countries' scheduled for 2013; Calls moreover on the Commission to submit a communication on a framework for the transfer of protection of beneficiaries of international protection and mutual recognition of asylum decisions by 2014, in line with the Action Plan Implementing the Stockholm Programme;

Or. en

Amendment 270

Claude Moraes

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 46 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

46 a. Calls European institutions and Member States to evaluate the transposition of the EU Blue Card Directive and follow this up with European Commission Guidelines; urgently calls for the European

Commission to initiate infringement proceedings in a timely manner should a Member State not comply with the requirements of the Directives;

Or. en

Amendment 271
Sarah Ludford

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 46 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

46 a. Calls European institutions and Member State governments to raise attention among the wider public and employers about the EU Immigration Portal, which provides EU and national guidelines, procedural steps and lists the required documentation third country nationals need for working in the EU; governments need to elaborate on this online information by providing relevant information for third country nationals already residing in the EU to work either in the country of EU residence or in another EU country, including relevant information about pension schemes, unemployment benefits, workers' rights and job postings; and made available in multiple languages;

Or. en

Amendment 272
Georgios Papanikolaou, Véronique Mathieu Houillon

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 46 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

46 a. Points out the importance of the effective implementation of readmission agreements with third countries; reiterates that when a third country does not effectively cooperate, return may become in practice impossible;

Or. en

Amendment 273

Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Mariya Gabriel

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 46 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

46a. External dimension of freedom, security and justice

Or. fr

Amendment 274

Claude Moraes

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 46 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

46 b. Calls European institutions and Member State governments to raise attention among the wider public and employers about the EU Immigration Portal, which provides EU and national guidelines, procedural steps and lists the required documentation third country nationals need for working in the EU; governments need to elaborate on this online information by providing relevant information for third country nationals

already residing in the EU to work either in the country of EU residence or in another EU country, including relevant information about pension schemes, unemployment benefits, workers' rights and job postings; and made available in multiple languages;

Or. en

Amendment 275

Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Mariya Gabriel

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 46 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

46b. Notes the importance of a strengthened external dimension for European policies in the area of freedom, security and justice;

Or. fr

Amendment 276

Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Mariya Gabriel

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 46 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

46c. Calls for cooperation with third countries to be strengthened at all levels in the areas of security, migration, fundamental rights and border management;

Or. fr

Amendment 277

Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Mariya Gabriel, Georgios Papanikolaou

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 46 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

46d. Notes the need to examine and address the role of transit countries;

Or. fr

Amendment 278

Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Mariya Gabriel, Georgios Papanikolaou

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 46 e (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

46e. Stresses the need to encourage voluntary return policies;

Or. fr

Amendment 279

Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Mariya Gabriel

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 46 f (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

46f. Points out that the European Union and the Member States should continue to integrate immigration into development cooperation and strengthen their partnership agreements with a view to promoting cooperation with third countries of origin and transit on tackling people trafficking and irregular immigration, the restoration of family ties, return and readmission, in the context of

the regular dialogue which the European Union conducts with these countries and the action carried out by the European External Action Service (EEAS);

Or. fr

Amendment 280

Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Mariya Gabriel, Monika Hohlmeier

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 47

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

47. Profoundly deplores the low quality of the policy-making process; observes that the definition of problems, the discussion of possible solutions and the choice between possible options usually does not follow a sequential order, as would be correct, but is rather often done simultaneously; calls on the Commission first to present reports on the issues to be addressed, then to invite a discussion on possible solutions and finally to present legislative proposals;

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 281

Renate Weber

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 47

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

47. Profoundly deplores the low quality of the policy-making process; observes that the definition of problems, the discussion of possible solutions and the choice between possible options usually does not follow a sequential order, as would be

47. Profoundly deplores the low quality of the policy-making process; observes that the definition of problems, the discussion of possible solutions and the choice between possible options usually does not follow a sequential order, as would be

correct, but is rather often done simultaneously; calls on the Commission first to present reports on the issues to be addressed, then to invite a discussion on possible solutions and finally to present legislative proposals;

correct, but is rather often done simultaneously; calls on the Commission first to present reports on the issues to be addressed, then to invite a discussion on possible solutions and finally to present legislative proposals; ***calls on its competent parliamentary bodies to develop a system - modelled on similar systems in national parliaments - whereby the EP services produce independent Study or research dossiers on each legislative proposal examined by the EP where these are analysed in detail in relation to: current legal situation; changes introduced; effects; legal context at national level; experts, stakeholders and civil society views; compatibility with international treaties and fundamental rights, etc.;***

Or. en

Amendment 282

Monika Hohlmeier, Véronique Mathieu Houillon

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 47 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

47 a. Believes that through closer coordination and cooperation between the Commission, Member States and the EU Agencies such as Europol, Frontex, EASO, ENISA, EMCDDA and eu-LISA, the implementation of new legislation and the benefits from these Agencies for Member States can be improved;

Or. en

Amendment 283

Monika Hohlmeier, Véronique Mathieu Houillon

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 47 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

47 b. underlines the necessity to strengthen mechanisms and tools to ensure equal implementation and to avoid abuse within the Area of Freedom, Security and Justice; stresses the importance of information sharing on both national and EU level and when necessary the possibility to use suspension clauses in EU legislation;

Or. en

Amendment 284

Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Mariya Gabriel, Monika Hohlmeier

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 48

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

48. Deplores the absence of an objective evaluation of the progress towards an area of freedom, security and justice and of reliable information on the Member States' implementation of the acquis;

48. Regrets that there is not more information available on the Member States' implementation of European legislation to make it easier for legislators and citizens to monitor the application and development of the European acquis;

Or. fr

Amendment 285

Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Mariya Gabriel, Monika Hohlmeier

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 49

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

49. Proposes a systematic and independent ex-post evaluation of new

deleted

legislation that should also assess the continuing need for legislation in this area;

Or. fr

Amendment 286
Renate Weber

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 49

Motion for a resolution

49. Proposes a systematic and independent ex-post evaluation of *new legislation* that should also assess the *continuing* need for legislation in *this area*;

Amendment

49. Proposes a systematic, *objective* and independent ex-post *tracking and evaluation of legislation and on how it is implemented in the Member States*, that should also assess the need for *new legislation in certain sectors or vice-versa the need for proper and better implementation at national level, to be pursued by the EU institutions with the Member States, via guidelines, infringement proceedings, etc.*;

Or. en

Amendment 287
Tadeusz Zwiefka

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 49

Motion for a resolution

49. Proposes a systematic and independent ex-post evaluation of new legislation that should also assess the continuing need for legislation in this area;

Amendment

49. Proposes a systematic and independent ex-post evaluation of new legislation that should also assess the continuing need for legislation in this area; *Recalls the commitment made by Parliament and Council in the 2005 Interinstitutional Common Approach to Impact Assessment to carry out impact assessments, when*

they consider this to be appropriate and necessary for the legislative process, prior to the adoption of any substantive amendment, and calls on the committees to make use of the Impact Assessment Unit in implementing this commitment;

Or. en

Amendment 288
Eva Lichtenberger

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 49

Motion for a resolution

49. Proposes a systematic and independent ex-post evaluation of new legislation that should also assess the continuing need for legislation in this area;

Amendment

49. Proposes a systematic and independent ex-post evaluation of new legislation that should also assess the continuing need for legislation in this area; ***suggests that investments in information infrastructure related to the Stockholm program shall procure and tender only free and open source software solutions as to avoid creating markets for security vulnerabilities in proprietary programs***

Or. en

Amendment 289
Claude Moraes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 49 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

49 a. Stresses the continued need for the Union to provide practical support and best practices to Member States, so they can effectively ensure the correct application of existing EU migration as well as anti-discrimination legislation;

draws attention to some existing efforts made by the Commission to help Member States ensure that existing legislation is properly applied to tackle discrimination (notably, the preparation of a Council Recommendation on practical measures to help Member States integrate the Roma in the wider community, which has been adopted by the Commission in June 2013; tools such as the LIME Assessment Framework to assess the economic impact of migration and integration policies, as this has the potential to put migration and integration firmly on national and EU agendas by demonstrating the importance of sound migration policies and the need for comprehensive efforts to increase labour market and educational outcomes for migrants);

Or. en

Amendment 290
Sarah Ludford

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 49 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

49 a. Stresses the continued need for the Union to provide practical support and best practices to Member States, so they can effectively ensure the correct application of existing EU migration as well as anti-discrimination legislation; draws attention to some existing efforts made by the Commission to help Member States ensure that existing legislation is properly applied to tackle discrimination, notably the preparation of a Council Recommendation on practical measures to help Member States integrate the Roma in the wider community, which has been adopted by the Commission in June 2013 and tools such as the LIME Assessment

Framework to assess the economic impact of migration and integration policies, as this has the potential to put migration and integration firmly on national and EU agendas by demonstrating the importance of sound migration policies and the need for comprehensive efforts to increase labour market and educational outcomes for migrants;

Or. en

Amendment 291

Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Mariya Gabriel, Monika Hohlmeier

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 49 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

49a. Regrets that impact assessments have not always been adequate and have not always made it possible to assess objectively the costs of new measures; stresses the importance of avoiding any excessive bureaucracy;

Or. fr

Amendment 292

Timothy Kirkhope

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 50

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

50. Welcomes the initiative of the Commission in drawing up the EU Justice Scoreboard which aims at ensuring a high-quality justice system in the area of civil, commercial and administrative law since, at the end of the day, the concrete application of laws is in the hands of the

50. Welcomes the initiative of the Commission in drawing up the EU Justice Scoreboard which aims at ensuring a high-quality justice system in the area of civil, commercial and administrative law since, at the end of the day, the concrete application of laws is in the hands of the

courts; calls for the justice scoreboard exercise to assess all justice areas, including criminal justice and all horizontal issues; proposes that data regarding the state of the rule of law, democracy and fundamental rights, ***and the fulfilment of European values (Article 2 of the Treaty on European Union (TEU)) in all Member States be included as well;***

courts; calls for the justice scoreboard exercise to assess all justice areas, including criminal justice and all horizontal issues; proposes that data regarding the state of the rule of law, democracy and fundamental rights,

Or. en

Amendment 293
Tadeusz Zwiefka

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 50

Motion for a resolution

50. Welcomes the initiative of the Commission in drawing up the EU Justice Scoreboard which aims at ensuring a high-quality justice system in the area of civil, commercial and administrative law since, at the end of the day, the concrete application of laws is in the hands of the courts; calls for the justice scoreboard exercise to assess all justice areas, ***including criminal justice and all horizontal issues; proposes that data regarding the state of the rule of law, democracy and fundamental rights, and the fulfilment of European values (Article 2 of the Treaty on European Union (TEU)) in all Member States be included as well;***

Amendment

50. Welcomes the initiative of the Commission in drawing up the EU Justice Scoreboard which aims at ensuring a high-quality justice system in the area of civil, commercial and administrative law since, at the end of the day, the concrete application of laws is in the hands of the courts; calls for the justice scoreboard exercise to assess all justice areas ***within the competence*** of the European Union;

Or. en

Amendment 294
Claude Moraes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 50

Motion for a resolution

50. Welcomes the initiative of the Commission in drawing up the EU Justice Scoreboard which aims at ensuring a high-quality justice system in the area of civil, commercial and administrative law since, at the end of the day, the concrete application of laws is in the hands of the courts; calls for the justice scoreboard exercise to assess all justice areas, including criminal justice and all horizontal issues; proposes that data regarding the state of the rule of law, democracy and fundamental rights, and the fulfilment of European values (Article 2 of the Treaty on European Union (TEU)) in all Member States be included as well;

Amendment

50. Welcomes the initiative of the Commission in drawing up the EU Justice Scoreboard which aims at ensuring a high-quality justice system in the area of civil, commercial and administrative law since, at the end of the day, the concrete application of laws is in the hands of the courts; calls for the justice scoreboard exercise to assess all justice areas, including criminal justice and all horizontal issues, ***proposes that the Scoreboard be updated to also prioritise the monitoring of discrimination against ethnic minorities, migrants, and other disadvantaged groups***, proposes that data regarding the state of the rule of law, democracy and fundamental rights, and the fulfilment of European values (Article 2 of the Treaty on European Union (TEU)) in all Member States be included as well;

Or. en

Amendment 295
Renate Weber

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 50

Motion for a resolution

50. Welcomes the initiative of the Commission in drawing up the EU Justice Scoreboard which aims at ensuring a high-quality justice system in the area of civil, commercial and administrative law since, ***at the end of the day***, the concrete application of laws is in the hands of the courts; calls for the justice scoreboard exercise to assess all justice areas, including criminal justice and all horizontal issues; proposes that data regarding the state of the rule of law, democracy and

Amendment

50. Welcomes the initiative of the Commission in drawing up the EU Justice Scoreboard which aims at ensuring a high-quality justice system in the area of civil, commercial and administrative law since the concrete application of laws is in the hands of the courts; calls for the justice scoreboard exercise to ***be enlarged to*** assess all justice areas, including criminal justice and all horizontal issues, ***as fundamental rights are directly impacted in this field***; proposes that data regarding

fundamental rights, and the fulfilment of European values (Article 2 of the Treaty on European Union (TEU)) in all Member States be included as well;

the state of the rule of law, democracy and fundamental rights, and the fulfilment of European values (Article 2 of the Treaty on European Union (TEU)) in all Member States be included as well, ***notably in connection to the development of the "new mechanism" in this field;***

Or. en

Amendment 296

Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Monika Hohlmeier

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 50

Motion for a resolution

50. Welcomes the initiative of the Commission in drawing up the EU Justice Scoreboard which aims at ensuring a high-quality justice system in the area of civil, commercial and administrative law since, at the end of the day, the concrete application of laws is in the hands of the courts; ***calls for the justice scoreboard exercise to assess all justice areas, including criminal justice and all horizontal issues; proposes that data regarding the state of the rule of law, democracy and fundamental rights, and the fulfilment of European values (Article 2 of the Treaty on European Union (TEU)) in all Member States be included as well;***

Amendment

50. Welcomes the initiative of the Commission in drawing up the EU Justice Scoreboard which aims at ensuring a high-quality justice system in the area of civil, commercial and administrative law since, at the end of the day, the concrete application of laws is in the hands of the courts;

Or. fr

Amendment 297

Sarah Ludford

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 50

Motion for a resolution

50. Welcomes the initiative of the Commission in drawing up the EU Justice Scoreboard which aims at ensuring a high-quality justice system in the area of civil, commercial and administrative law since, at the end of the day, the concrete application of laws is in the hands of the courts; calls for the justice scoreboard exercise to assess all justice areas, including criminal justice and all horizontal issues; proposes that data regarding the state of the rule of law, democracy and fundamental rights, and the fulfilment of European values (Article 2 of the Treaty on European Union (TEU)) in all Member States be included as well;

Amendment

50. Welcomes the initiative of the Commission in drawing up the EU Justice Scoreboard which aims at ensuring a high-quality justice system in the area of civil, commercial and administrative law since, at the end of the day, the concrete application of laws is in the hands of the courts; calls for the justice scoreboard exercise to assess all justice areas, including criminal justice and all horizontal issues; proposes that ***the Scoreboard be updated to also prioritise the monitoring of discrimination against ethnic minorities, migrants, and other disadvantaged groups***; proposes that data regarding the state of the rule of law, democracy and fundamental rights, and the fulfilment of European values (Article 2 of the Treaty on European Union (TEU)) in all Member States be included as well;

Or. en

Amendment 298
Luigi Berlinguer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 50 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

50 a. Stresses that high-quality justice systems can play a key role in restoring confidence, bringing about a return to growth, and contributing to trust and stability; points out that predictable, timely and enforceable justice decisions are important structural components of an attractive business environment, as outlined in the European Commission communication "The EU Justice scoreboard, a tool to promote effective justice and growth"¹.

¹ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Central Bank, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions COM(2013) 160 final;

Or. en

Amendment 299
Claude Moraes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 51

Motion for a resolution

51. Requests the Commission to put more emphasis on overseeing and ensuring the concrete implementation of EU legislation by the Member States; notes that, when the rights of citizens are concerned, this needs to be done as of the first day an act enters into force; considers that more needs to be done in this area, and that the reasons for any failure to implement EU legislation should be identified;

Amendment

51. Requests the Commission to put more emphasis on overseeing and ensuring the concrete implementation of EU legislation by the Member States; notes that, when the rights of citizens, *residents and migrants* are concerned, this needs to be done as of the first day an act enters into force; considers that more needs to be done in this area, and that the reasons for any failure to implement EU legislation should be identified;

Or. en

Amendment 300
Sarah Ludford

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 51

Motion for a resolution

51. Requests the Commission to put more emphasis on overseeing and ensuring the concrete implementation of EU legislation by the Member States; notes that, when the

Amendment

51. Requests the Commission to put more emphasis on overseeing and ensuring the concrete implementation of EU legislation by the Member States; notes that, when the

rights of citizens are concerned, this needs to be done as of the first day an act enters into force; considers that more needs to be done in this area, and that the reasons for any failure to implement EU legislation should be identified;

rights of citizens, **residents and migrants** are concerned, this needs to be done as of the first day an act enters into force; considers that more needs to be done in this area, and that the reasons for any failure to implement EU legislation should be identified;

Or. en

Amendment 301

Kyriacos Triantaphyllides, Marie-Christine Vergiat

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 51

Motion for a resolution

51. Requests the Commission to put more emphasis on overseeing and ensuring the concrete implementation of EU legislation by the Member States; notes that, when the rights of citizens are concerned, this needs to be done as of the first day an act enters into force; considers that more needs to be done in this area, and that the reasons for any failure to implement EU legislation should be identified;

Amendment

51. ***Taking into account the wide gap which exists between the policies adopted and their implementation at national level, which contributes to an increasingly complex and inconsistent framework,*** requests the Commission to put more emphasis on overseeing and ensuring the concrete implementation of EU legislation by the Member States; notes that, when the rights of citizens are concerned, this needs to be done as of the first day an act enters into force; considers that more needs to be done in this area, and that the reasons for any failure to implement EU legislation should be identified, ***justified and addressed;***

Or. en

Amendment 302

Eva Lichtenberger

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 51

Motion for a resolution

51. Requests the Commission to ***put more emphasis on overseeing and ensuring*** the concrete implementation of EU legislation by the Member States; notes that, when the rights of citizens are concerned, this needs to be done as of the first day an act enters into force; considers that more needs to be done in this area, and that the reasons for any failure to implement EU legislation should be identified;

Amendment

51. Requests the Commission to ***make it a political priority to oversee and ensure*** the concrete implementation of EU legislation by the Member States; notes that, when the rights of citizens are concerned, this needs to be done as of the first day an act enters into force; considers that more needs to be done in this area, and that the reasons for any failure to implement EU legislation should be identified;

Or. en

Amendment 303

Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Mariya Gabriel, Monika Hohlmeier

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 51

Motion for a resolution

51. Requests the Commission to put more emphasis on overseeing and ensuring the concrete implementation of EU legislation by the Member States; ***notes that, when the rights of citizens are concerned, this needs to be done as of the first day an act enters into force***; considers that more needs to be done in this area, and that the reasons for any failure to implement EU legislation should be identified;

Amendment

51. Requests the Commission to put more emphasis on overseeing and ensuring the concrete implementation of EU legislation by the Member States; considers that more needs to be done in this area, and that the reasons for any failure to implement EU legislation should be identified;

Or. fr

Amendment 304

Claude Moraes

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 52

Motion for a resolution

52. Is of the opinion that improving the quality of EU legislation in the area of freedom, security and justice requires a joint effort by the Member States and the European institutions in order to improve the exchange of information on each national system and to provide accurate legal information (on national/regional applicable legislation and standards) as well as information on implementation and practises;

Amendment

52. Is of the opinion that improving the quality of EU legislation in the area of freedom, security and justice requires a joint effort by the Member States and the European institutions in order to improve the exchange of information on each national system and to provide accurate legal information (on national/regional applicable legislation and standards) ***while ensuring the protection of fundamental rights*** as well as information on implementation and practises;

Or. en

Amendment 305
Sarah Ludford

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 52

Motion for a resolution

52. Is of the opinion that improving the quality of EU legislation in the area of freedom, security and justice requires a joint effort by the Member States and the European institutions in order to improve the exchange of information on each national system and to provide accurate legal information (on national/regional applicable legislation and standards) as well as information on implementation and practises;

Amendment

52. Is of the opinion that improving the quality of EU legislation in the area of freedom, security and justice requires a joint effort by the Member States and the European institutions in order to improve the exchange of information on each national system and to provide accurate legal information (on national/regional applicable legislation and standards) ***while ensuring the protection of fundamental rights*** as well as information on implementation and practises;

Or. en

Amendment 306
Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Mariya Gabriel, Monika Hohlmeier

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 52

Motion for a resolution

52. Is of the opinion that improving the quality of EU legislation in the area of freedom, security and justice requires a joint effort by the Member States and the European institutions in order to improve the exchange of information on each national system and to provide accurate legal information (on national/regional applicable legislation and standards) as well as information on implementation and practises;

Amendment

52. Is of the opinion that improving the quality of EU legislation in the area of freedom, security and justice requires a joint effort by the Member States and the European institutions in order to improve the exchange of information on each national system and to provide accurate legal information (on national/regional applicable legislation and standards) as well as information on implementation and practises; ***calls for better interinstitutional coordination;***

Or. fr

Amendment 307
Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Mariya Gabriel, Monika Hohlmeier

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 53

Motion for a resolution

53. ***Deplores*** the Council's ***frequent recourse to*** strategy documents, such as the drugs strategy and the internal security strategy, ***which are adopted without any involvement of Parliament;***

Amendment

53. ***Regrets that*** the Council ***does not involve Parliament more in the drawing up of*** strategy documents, such as the drugs strategy and the internal security strategy;

Or. fr

Amendment 308
Timothy Kirkhope

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 54

Motion for a resolution

54. Considers that the development of a ***European judicial culture is a key prerequisite for making the area of freedom, security and justice a reality for citizens; calls, with this in mind, for much greater emphasis on, and funding for, EU judicial training for all legal professionals; notes the importance of using a ‘bottom-up approach’ for judicial training schemes, of ensuring the*** greater accessibility of European law information resources via web technology (i.e. an e-justice portal), of improving knowledge of European law among the judiciary as well as of the linguistic skills of judicial practitioners, and of establishing and maintaining networks in this field; ***notes that the training of police forces with a European perspective is equally important;***

Amendment

54. Considers that the development of greater accessibility of European law information resources via web technology (i.e. an e-justice portal), of improving knowledge of European law among the judiciary as well as of the linguistic skills of judicial practitioners, and of establishing and maintaining networks in this field ***will help with the better application of European law, the functioning of mutual recognition, and good cooperation between Member States;***

Or. en

Amendment 309
Claude Moraes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 54

Motion for a resolution

54. Considers that the development of a European judicial culture is a key prerequisite for making the area of freedom, security and justice a reality for citizens; calls, with this in mind, for much greater emphasis on, and funding for, EU judicial training for all legal professionals; notes the importance of using a ‘bottom-up approach’ for judicial training schemes, of ensuring the greater accessibility of European law information resources via web technology (i.e. an e-justice portal), of

Amendment

54. Considers that the development of a European judicial culture is a key prerequisite for making the area of freedom, security and justice a reality for citizens; calls, with this in mind, for much greater emphasis on, and funding for, EU judicial training for all legal professionals; notes the importance of using a ‘bottom-up approach’ for judicial training schemes, of ensuring the greater accessibility of European law information resources via web technology (i.e. an e-justice portal), of

improving knowledge of European law among the judiciary as well as of the linguistic skills of judicial practitioners, and of establishing and maintaining networks in this field; notes that the training of police forces with a European perspective is equally important;

improving knowledge of European law among the judiciary as well as of the linguistic skills of judicial practitioners, and of establishing and maintaining networks in this field; notes that the training of police forces with a European **and interculturally sensitive** perspective is equally important;

Or. en

Amendment 310

Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Mariya Gabriel, Monika Hohlmeier

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 54

Motion for a resolution

54. Considers that the development of a European judicial culture is a key prerequisite for making the area of freedom, security and justice a reality for citizens; calls, with this in mind, for much greater emphasis on, and funding for, EU **judicial** training for all legal professionals; notes the importance of using a ‘bottom-up approach’ for judicial training schemes, of ensuring the greater accessibility of European law information resources via web technology (i.e. an e-justice portal), of improving knowledge of European law among the judiciary **as well as of the linguistic skills of judicial practitioners**, and of establishing and maintaining networks in this field; **notes that the training of police forces with a European perspective is equally important;**

Amendment

54. Considers that the development of a European judicial **and police** culture is a key prerequisite for making the area of freedom, security and justice a reality for citizens; calls, with this in mind, for much greater emphasis on, and funding for, EU training for all legal professionals **and police forces**; notes the importance of using a ‘bottom-up approach’ for judicial training schemes, of ensuring the greater accessibility of European law information resources via web technology (i.e. an e-justice portal), of improving knowledge of European law **and language skills** among the judiciary **and police forces**, and of establishing and maintaining networks in this field **and any other measures to facilitate their cooperation on a day-to-day basis;**

Or. fr

Amendment 311

Judith Sargentini, Jan Philipp Albrecht, Rui Tavares

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 55

Motion for a resolution

55. Is of the opinion that guidance, coherence and benchmarks for the area of freedom, security and justice are necessary, **and** should be ensured in accordance with Article 17(1) TEU; proposes that the multiannual programming be agreed by the three institutions in accordance with this provision of the TEU; looks to the Commission to take appropriate steps to bring this about and to submit a proposal on that basis;

Amendment

55. Is of the opinion that guidance, coherence and benchmarks for the area of freedom, security and justice are necessary, **to truly safeguard the rights of the citizens, and** should be ensured in accordance with Article 17(1) TEU; proposes that the multiannual programming be agreed by the three institutions in accordance with this provision of the TEU; looks to the Commission to take appropriate steps to bring this about and to submit a proposal on that basis;

Or. en

Amendment 312
Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Mariya Gabriel, Monika Hohlmeier

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 56

Motion for a resolution

56. Demands that any future programming be prepared in the spirit of the Treaty of Lisbon in a joint exercise of Parliament, the Council and the Commission; takes note of the European Council conclusions of 27/28 June this year according to which the European Council ‘will hold a discussion at its June 2014 meeting to define strategic guidelines for legislative and operational planning in the area of freedom, security and justice (pursuant to Article 68 TFEU)’, considers the envisaged timing as inappropriate;

Amendment

56. Demands that any future programming be prepared in the spirit of the Treaty of Lisbon in a joint exercise of Parliament, the Council and the Commission; **believes it is necessary to focus on the implementation and consolidation of existing instruments and that a future programme should therefore be short and balanced;** takes note of the European Council conclusions of 27/28 June this year according to which the European Council ‘will hold a discussion at its June 2014 meeting to define strategic guidelines for legislative and operational planning in the area of freedom, security and justice (pursuant to Article 68 TFEU)’, considers the envisaged timing as inappropriate;

Or. fr