



EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

2014 - 2019

Committee on the Environment, Public Health and Food Safety

2014/0032(COD)

16.12.2014

AMENDMENTS

46 - 140

Draft opinion
Michel Dantin
(PE541.295v01-00)

Zootechnical and genealogical conditions for trade in and imports into the
Union of breeding animals and their germinal products

Proposal for a regulation
(COM(2014)0005 – C7-0032/2014 – 2014/0032(COD))

AM_Com_LegOpinion

Amendment 46
Stefan Eck

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(1a) Compliance with all the EU's animal health and animal welfare rules will enhance the economic, social and environmental potential of this agricultural activity, which contributes to food security and public health in the Union.

Or. en

Amendment 47
Stefan Eck

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 1 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(1b) Animal health is a concern for all European citizens. This concern stems from the public health, food safety and food security aspects, which are related to animal health, but also from the economic costs that animal disease outbreaks can trigger and the animal welfare considerations.

Or. en

Amendment 48
Stefan Eck

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 12 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(12a) Everyday many live animals are traded in the European Union. In order that such animals be moved safely avoiding the transmission of diseases to either the public or other animals, the EU has laid down a wide range of animal health requirements.

Or. en

Amendment 49

Stefan Eck

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 12 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(12b) In accordance with Union animal health legislation, improved communication and exchange of best practices between Member States would contribute for a better implementation of the breeding standards and would enhance their enforcement and respective penalties for non-compliance.

Or. en

Amendment 50

Stefan Eck

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 16

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(16) The quality of the services provided by breed societies and breeding operations and the way that they evaluate and classify animals has an impact on the value of

(16) The quality of the services provided by breed societies and breeding operations and the way that they evaluate and classify animals has an impact on the value of

breeding animals on the market.
Accordingly, rules should be laid down for the recognition of breed societies and breeding operations based on harmonised Union criteria and their supervision by the competent authority of Member States in order to ensure that the rules established by them do not create disparities between breeding programmes and breeding standards and thereby create technical barriers to intra-Union trade.

breeding animals on the market.
Accordingly, rules should be laid down for the recognition of breed societies and breeding operations based on harmonised Union criteria - ***animal health and animal welfare criteria included*** - and their supervision by the competent authority of Member States in order to ensure that the rules established by them do not create disparities between breeding programmes and breeding standards and thereby create technical barriers to intra-Union trade.

Or. en

Amendment 51
Stefan Eck

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 16 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(16a) These breeding standards are aimed at ensuring the sustainability of breeding programmes through the incorporation of subjects such as food safety, animal welfare and health, transport of live animals, environment, product quality and genetic diversity, which are all interlinked.

Or. en

Amendment 52
Stefan Eck

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 16 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(16b) Even small-scale farmers must transport their animals for long distances.

This causes the animals to be stressed before and during the slaughter process and causes the quality of the meat to be compromised, notably by the use of a bigger quantity of antibiotics, resulting in losses both in terms of productivity and in environmental terms as a source of extra CO₂ emissions.

Or. en

Amendment 53

Stefan Eck

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 16 c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(16c) To counter this problem, a reduction in travelling times, as recommended by EFSA, at least in some cases, and more local and smaller slaughterhouses are needed.

Or. en

Amendment 54

Stefan Eck

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 16 d (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(16d) Initiatives and legislation addressing the use of mobile slaughterhouses could be promoted. This would result in less animal suffering, less road traffic and in better quality meat.

Or. en

Amendment 55
Michel Dantin

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 19 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(19a) The objectives of the Nagoya Protocol – on access to genetic products and the fair and equitable sharing of benefits arising from their utilisation – to the Convention on Biological Diversity are the conservation of biological diversity, the sustainable use of its components and the fair and equitable sharing of benefits arising from the utilisation of genetic resources, including by appropriate access to genetic resources and by appropriate transfer of technologies, taking into account rights over those resources and technologies, and by appropriate funding.

Or. fr

Amendment 56
Michel Dantin

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 34

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(34) Performance testing and genetic evaluation may be carried out by institutions designated by the breed society or the breeding operation. Those designated institutions shall cooperate with the European Union reference centres designated by the Commission. The Commission should therefore be empowered to designate by way of implementing acts European Union reference centres and the necessary powers should be granted to the Commission to

(34) Performance testing and genetic evaluation may be carried out by institutions designated by the breed society or the breeding operation. Those designated institutions shall cooperate with the European Union reference centres designated by the Commission. The Commission should therefore be empowered to designate by way of implementing acts European Union reference centres and the necessary powers should be granted to the Commission to

adopt delegated acts describing their duties and functions, where necessary by amending Annex IV. Those reference centres qualify for Union aid in accordance with ***Council Decision 2009/470/EC of 25 May 2009 on expenditure in the veterinary field***¹⁵. In the case of purebred breeding animals of the bovine species, performance testing and genetic evaluation carried out by a breed society are at present assisted by the Interbull Centre, the ***European Union reference body*** designated by Council Decision 96/463/EC of 23 July 1996 designating the reference body responsible for collaborating in rendering uniform the testing methods and the assessment of the results for purebred breeding animals of the bovine species¹⁶.

¹⁵ OJ L 155, 18.6.2009, p. 30.

¹⁶ OJ L 192, 2.8.1996, p. 19.

adopt delegated acts describing their duties and functions, where necessary by amending Annex IV. Those reference centres qualify for Union aid in accordance with ***Regulation (EU) No 652/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council***¹⁵. In the case of purebred breeding animals of the bovine species, performance testing and genetic evaluation carried out by a breed society are at present assisted by the Interbull Centre, ***a permanent sub-committee of the International Committee for Animal Recording***, designated by Council Decision 96/463/EC as the reference body responsible for collaborating in rendering uniform the testing methods and the assessment of the results for purebred breeding animals of the bovine species¹⁶.

¹⁵ ***Regulation (EU) No 652/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 15 May 2014 laying down provisions for the management of expenditure relating to the food chain, animal health and animal welfare, and relating to plant health and plant reproductive material, amending Council Directives 98/56/EC, 2000/29/EC and 2008/90/EC, Regulations (EC) No 178/2002, (EC) No 882/2004 and (EC) No 396/2005 of the European Parliament and of the Council, Directive 2009/128/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council and Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council and repealing Council Decisions 66/399/EEC, 76/894/EEC and 2009/470/EC.***

¹⁶ OJ L 192, 2.8.1996, p. 19.

Or. fr

Amendment 57
Esther Herranz García, Pilar Ayuso

Proposal for a regulation
Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) zootechnical and genealogical rules for trade in breeding animals and their semen, oocytes and embryos and imports into the Union of breeding animals and their semen, oocytes and embryos;

Amendment

(a) zootechnical and genealogical rules for ***the preservation and improvement of animal breeding and for*** trade in breeding animals and their semen, oocytes and embryos and imports into the Union of breeding animals and their semen, oocytes and embryos;

Or. es

Justification

Consistent with the new recital 2a put forward by the rapporteur. The rules also aim to conserve and improve animal breeds by seeking both to conserve native breeds and biodiversity and to enhance the positive characteristics of those breeds.

Amendment 58
Mireille D'Ornano, Jean-François Jalkh, Sylvie Goddyn

Proposal for a regulation
Article 1 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Pending the adoption of any delegated ***or implementing*** measures provided for in this Regulation, Member States may continue to apply their national zootechnical and genealogical rules governing trade in breeding animals and their semen, oocytes and embryos and imports into the Member State concerned of breeding animals and their semen, oocytes and embryos, provided that, as regards imports, those rules are not more favourable than those governing trade in the Union.

Amendment

3. Pending the adoption of any delegated measures provided for in this Regulation, Member States may continue to apply their national zootechnical and genealogical rules governing trade in breeding animals and their semen, oocytes and embryos and imports into the Member State concerned of breeding animals and their semen, oocytes and embryos, provided that, as regards imports, those rules are not more favourable than those governing trade in the Union.

Or. fr

Amendment 59
Michel Dantin

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point a – point i

Text proposed by the Commission

(i) the bovine species (*Bos taurus* and *Bubalus bubalis*), porcine species (*Sus scrofa*), ovine species (*Ovis aries*), caprine species (*Capra hircus*);

Amendment

(i) the bovine species (*Bos taurus*, ***Bos indicus*** and *Bubalus bubalis*), porcine species (*Sus scrofa*), ovine species (*Ovis aries*), caprine species (*Capra hircus*);

Or. fr

Amendment 60
Bart Staes
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point a – point iii

Text proposed by the Commission

(iii) species other than those referred to in points (i) and (ii) ***for which delegated acts have been adopted pursuant to Articles 35(1) or 45(1)***;

Amendment

(iii) species other than those referred to in points (i) and (ii);

Or. en

Justification

The establishment of rules for other animal species is an essential element of the Regulation, and should therefore be defined in the basic act, and not delegated to the Commission.

Amendment 61
Stefan Eck

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point a – point iii a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(iii) animals fed with genetically modified organisms and cloned animals or their offspring are excluded from the regulation in line with EFSA's concerns related to the welfare of clones;

Or. en

Amendment 62
Jan Huitema

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(aa) ‘animal breeding’ means the improvement of the genetic value of animals by selection of the best parents for the next generation through a combination of activities such as:

- (i) pedigree registration***
- (ii) defining breeding goals***
- (iii) performance testing***
- (iv) establishing breeding indexes***
- (v) evaluation of genetic values***

of which all activities may be carried out by a breeding society or breeding operation, but of which certain activities may also be carried out by independently recognized organisations under the condition that they comply with internationally standardization rules;

Or. en

Amendment 63
Michel Dantin

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(aa) ‘breed’ means a group of animals that are genetically similar enough to be considered to be distinct from other animals of the same species by one or more groups of breeders who agree to enter them in their breeding books, specifying the animals’ known ascendants, for the purpose of reproducing their inherited characteristics for reproduction, exchange and selection as part of an established breeding programme;

Or. fr

Amendment 64
Elisabetta Gardini, Aldo Patriciello

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e) ***‘breeding operation’*** means any breeding organisation, breeders' association or private undertaking which is recognised by the competent authority of a Member State in accordance with Article 4(2) for the purpose of carrying out a breeding programme on hybrid breeding pigs registered in the breed register(s) it maintains or establishes;

(e) ***‘hybrid company’*** means any breeding organisation, breeders' association or private undertaking which is recognised by the competent authority of a Member State in accordance with Article 4(2) for the purpose of carrying out a breeding programme on hybrid breeding pigs registered in the ***hybrid*** register(s) it maintains or establishes;

Or. it

Amendment 65
Michel Dantin

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point f a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(fa) ‘breeding programme’ means any breeding and/or improvement and/or preservation programme run by breed societies or breeding companies which must fulfil the minimum requirements laid down in Annex I, Part 2, in order to be approved by the competent authorities;

Or. fr

Amendment 66

Bart Staes

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point i – point iii

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(iii) of a species other than those referred to in (i) and (ii) of this point for which the specific zootechnical and genealogical rules for trade in those breeding animals and their germinal products and their imports into the Union are laid down in delegated acts adopted pursuant to Articles 35(1) and 45(1) respectively;

deleted

Or. en

Justification

The establishment of such rules is an essential element of the Regulation, and should therefore be defined in the basic act, and not delegated to the Commission. Once the necessity arises, the list can be extended by reviewing the legislation, in order to cover other species also.

Amendment 67
Elisabetta Gardini, Aldo Patriciello

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point j – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

(j) ‘hybrid breeding pig’ means an animal of the porcine species registered in a **breed** register, which is produced by deliberate cross-breeding between:

Amendment

(j) ‘hybrid breeding pig’ means an animal of the porcine species registered in a **hybrid** register, which is produced by deliberate cross-breeding between:

Or. it

Amendment 68
Elisabetta Gardini, Aldo Patriciello

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point j a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ja) ‘line’ means a genetically fixed sub-population of purebred animals of a particular breed;

Or. it

Justification

If it were to be introduced, DNA sampling could accompany the documentation of a breeding animal throughout its life and be used in the event of a dispute or difficulties in identification.

Amendment 69
Susanne Melior

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point o

Text proposed by the Commission

(o) "merit" means **a quantifiable heritable**

Amendment

(o) "merit" means **the expected effect of an animal’s genotype on a given**

characteristic of a breeding animal;

characteristic which it may transmit to its progeny;

Or. de

Amendment 70

Bart Staes

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Susanne Melior

on behalf of the S&D Group

Stefan Eck

on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Sirpa Pietikäinen, Eleonora Evi, Marco Affronte

Proposal for a regulation

Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point y a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ya) ‘cloned animal’ means an animal produced by means of a method of asexual, artificial reproduction with the aim of producing a genetically identical or nearly identical copy of an individual animal;

Or. en

Justification

Linked to the amendments on Articles 33 and 40, as well as Annex V.

Amendment 71

Bart Staes

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Susanne Melior

on behalf of the S&D Group

Stefan Eck

on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Sirpa Pietikäinen, Eleonora Evi, Marco Affronte

Proposal for a regulation

Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point y b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(yb) ‘descendant of a cloned animal’ means an animal produced by means of sexual reproduction, in cases in which at least one of the progenitors is a cloned animal.

Or. en

Justification

Linked to the amendments on Articles 33 and 40, as well as Annex V.

Amendment 72

Stefan Eck

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Local authorities have primary responsibility for enforcing the rules to protect animals during transportation.

Or. en

Amendment 73

Stefan Eck

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 2 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Operators shall be responsible for the health of kept animals and products under their responsibility and shall take the appropriate and necessary measures to ensure that:

(a) the health status of kept terrestrial

animals is not jeopardised during transport

(b) transport operations of kept terrestrial animals do not cause the potential spread of diseases to humans and animals at places of assembly, resting and destination.

Or. en

Amendment 74

Stefan Eck

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 2 c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Common Union requirements for competence for staff handling animals will ensure that handlers possess the abilities to identify, prevent or limit animals' pain, suffering and distress as well as to know the legal obligations related to the protection and welfare of animals.

Or. en

Justification

Animal welfare is also a technical issue for operators dealing with animals in the context of an economic activity. It is therefore relevant to help them understand the rationale of EU requirements and the way they can improve compliance through better design or practices. Public authorities, EU and Member States, may support the development of good guides to practices especially if these are developed by chain participants. Some Member States do not take sufficient measures to inform stakeholders, to train official inspectors, to perform checks and to apply sanctions.

Amendment 75

Michel Dantin

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. By way of derogation from Article 4(2)(b), the competent authority may refuse to recognise a breed society that complies with the requirements set out in Part 1 of Annex I where the breeding programme of that breed society would compromise the preservation or the genetic diversity of purebred breeding animals entered, or registered and eligible for entry, in the breeding book established for that breed by a breed society that has already been recognised in that Member State.

Amendment

1. By way of derogation from Article 4(2)(b), the competent authority may refuse to recognise a breed society that complies with the requirements set out in Part 1 of Annex I where the breeding programme of that breed society would compromise:

– the preservation or the genetic diversity of purebred breeding animals entered, or registered and eligible for entry, in the breeding book established for that breed by a breed society that has already been recognised in that Member State, ***owing to a loss of efficiency in terms of monitoring increases in inbreeding and managing genetic anomalies as a result of a failure to coordinate management and exchange information on the genetic heritage of the breed; or***

– ***the effective implementation of the improvement programme of an existing recognised society for the same breed, owing to a marked loss of efficiency in terms of the genetic progress expected; or***

– ***the attainment of the objectives of the Nagoya Protocol and the Convention on Biological Diversity in relation to biodiversity conservation.***

Or. fr

Amendment 76
Catherine Bearder

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. By way of derogation from Article 4(2)(b), the competent authority may refuse to recognise a breed society that complies with the requirements set out in Part 1 of Annex I where the breeding programme of that breed society would compromise the preservation or the genetic diversity of purebred breeding animals entered, or registered and eligible for entry, in the breeding book established for that breed by a breed society that has already been recognised in that Member State.

Amendment

1. By way of derogation from Article 4(2)(b), the competent authority may refuse to recognise a breed society that complies with the requirements set out in Part 1 of Annex I where the breeding programme of that breed society would:

- compromise the preservation or the genetic diversity of purebred breeding animals entered, or registered and eligible for entry, in the breeding book established for that breed by a breed society that has already been recognised in that Member State, *or*;

- *compromise the objectives of the EU Biodiversity strategy to 2020.*

Or. en

Amendment 77

Mireille D'Ornano, Sylvie Goddyn, Jean-François Jalkh

Proposal for a regulation
Article 7 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

5. The Commission may, by means of **implementing** acts, **draw up** model forms for the information to be provided by Member States to the public on the list of recognised breed societies and breeding operations provided for in paragraph 1.

Amendment

5. The Commission may, by means of **delegated** acts, **propose** model forms for the information to be provided by Member States to the public on the list of recognised breed societies and breeding operations provided for in paragraph 1.

Or. fr

Amendment 78

Mireille D'Ornano, Jean-François Jalkh, Sylvie Goddyn

Proposal for a regulation

Article 7 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Those *implementing* acts shall be adopted in accordance with *the examination procedure referred to in Article 72(2)*.

Amendment

Those *delegated* acts shall be adopted in accordance with Article 71.

Or. fr

Amendment 79

Elisabetta Gardini, Aldo Patriciello

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1a. A breed society or hybrid company may not implement its own zootechnical programme until the latter has been approved by the competent authority in accordance with paragraph 1.

Or. it

Justification

This paragraph has been included to specify that the activity of a breed society or hybrid company depends on the approval of the zootechnical programme and cannot be considered separately.

Amendment 80

Mireille D'Ornano, Jean-François Jalkh, Sylvie Goddyn

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. The Commission **shall** be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 71 concerning amendments to the requirements for the approval of breeding programmes set up in Part 2 and, in the case of purebred equine animals, in Part 3 of Annex I to take account of the variety of breeding programmes carried out by breed societies and breeding operations.

Amendment

3. The Commission **may** be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 71 concerning amendments to the requirements for the approval of breeding programmes set up in Part 2 and, in the case of purebred equine animals, in Part 3 of Annex I to take account of the variety of breeding programmes carried out by breed societies and breeding operations.

Or. fr

Amendment 81
Michel Dantin

Proposal for a regulation
Article 9 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) the approval of a further breeding programme would fragment the population of purebred breeding animals available in that Member State to an **extend** that would **compromise** the preservation or the genetic diversity of that breed.

Amendment

(b) the approval of a further breeding programme would fragment the population of purebred breeding animals available in that Member State to an **extent** that:

(i) for preservation programmes, there would be a threat to the preservation or the genetic diversity of purebred breeding animals entered, or registered and eligible for entry, in the breeding book established for that breed by a breed society that has already been recognised in that Member State, owing to a loss of efficiency in terms of monitoring increases in inbreeding and managing genetic anomalies as a result of a failure to coordinate management and exchange information on the genetic heritage of the breed; or

(ii) for genetic improvement programmes, without prejudice to Article 18, it would

result in a loss of efficiency in terms of the genetic progress expected, monitoring increases in inbreeding and managing genetic anomalies as a result of a failure to coordinate management and exchange information on the genetic heritage of the breed, or result in breeding developments that depart from or are inconsistent with the characteristics specified for the breed in that Member State.

Or. fr

Amendment 82
Elisabetta Gardini, Aldo Patriciello

Proposal for a regulation
Article 10 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Breeders shall have the right to choose the breeding book or breed register in which they wish to have their breeding animals entered or registered in accordance with Articles 19 and 24.

Amendment

3. Breeders shall have the right to choose the breeding book or breed register in which they wish to have their breeding animals entered or registered in accordance with Articles 19 and 24, *assuming that the zootechnical programme linked to the breeding book or hybrid register has been approved in the Member State of the breeders in accordance with Articles 8(1) or 9.*

Or. it

Justification

It is hereby specified that the breeding book or hybrid register must be approved by the competent authority and that breeders may have their breeding animals entered or registered in the relevant books if they physically move their animals outside their initial geographical area.

Amendment 83
Elisabetta Gardini, Aldo Patriciello

Proposal for a regulation
Article 10 – paragraph 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3a. Breeders who move their animals to sites outside the geographical area in which a zootechnical programme managed by a breed society or hybrid company has been approved may have their animals entered or registered in the breeding book or hybrid register kept by that breed society or hybrid company, in accordance with Articles 19 and 24.

Or. it

Amendment 84
Esther Herranz García, Pilar Ayuso

Proposal for a regulation
Article 14

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 14

deleted

Dispute settlement

1. Where a breed society or breeding operation refuses the appeal by a breeder provided for in Article 13(1)(b), it shall notify the breeder and the competent authority that recognised the breed society or breeding operation in accordance with Article 4(2), within 30 days from the date of its decision to refuse the appeal.

2. The competent authority referred to in Article 8(1) or 9 may reverse the decision of the breed society or breeding operation, where it considers that that decision does not comply with Articles 19, 21, 23, 27, 28, 30 or 32.

3. Member States shall ensure that an appeal procedure is available and that

appeal decisions are rendered within a reasonable period of time.

To that end, the competent authority may decide to establish a specific tribunal with the authority to reverse decisions of a breed society or breeding operation where the tribunal considers that the refusal by the breed society or breeding operation of an appeal lodged by a breeder was unjustified.

Or. es

Justification

This article would jeopardise Member States' rules in the field of civil law and dispute settlement. The Commission should restrict itself to its role of ensuring harmonisation and acting as guardian of the Treaties, without interfering in matters which do not fall within its sphere of competence.

Amendment 85

Elisabetta Gardini, Aldo Patriciello

Proposal for a regulation

Article 21 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Breed societies shall not exclude on zootechnical or genealogical grounds, other than those resulting from the application of Article 19, the use of purebred breeding animals entered in the main section of their breeding book for breeding using the following reproduction techniques:

Amendment

1. Breed societies shall not exclude on zootechnical or genealogical grounds, other than those resulting from the application of Article 19, the use of purebred breeding animals entered in the main section of their breeding book, ***depending on the criteria established by the breed society***, for breeding using the following reproduction techniques:

Or. it

Justification

In the case of the breeding book, the breeding activity must provide for the use of the best breeding animals and must therefore use selection criteria, rather than using all animals

indiscriminately.

Amendment 86

Michel Dantin

Proposal for a regulation

Article 21 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1a. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, a breed society that keeps a breeding book for purebred breeding animals of the equine species may, for the purposes of its breeding programmes or to safeguard genetic diversity within a pure breed, place restrictions on or prohibit the use for reproduction of one or more of the reproduction techniques referred to in paragraph 1 on purebred breeding animals entered in the main section of its breeding book.

Or. fr

Amendment 87

Esther Herranz García, Pilar Ayuso

Proposal for a regulation

Article 22 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. Breed societies shall require that ***purebred*** breeding animals of the bovine species and male purebred breeding animals of dairy breeds of the ovine and caprine species are identified by analysis of their blood group or by any other appropriate method providing at least the same degree of certainty when they are used for:

1. Breed societies shall require that breeding animals of the bovine, ***ovine, porcine and equine*** species and male purebred breeding animals of dairy breeds of the ovine and caprine species are identified by analysis of their blood group or by any other appropriate method providing at least the same degree of certainty when they are used for:

Or. es

Justification

Blood groups have now been superseded by genetic markers, which should be the technique of choice for proof of parentage in identity verification. Identity verification methods should include all species, genders and products subject to the zootechnical regulation, not just the bovine species and male animals of dairy breeds of the ovine and caprine species.

Amendment 88

Elisabetta Gardini, Aldo Patriciello

Proposal for a regulation

Article 22 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Breed societies shall require that purebred breeding animals of the bovine species and male purebred breeding animals of dairy breeds of the ovine and caprine species are identified by analysis of their blood group or by any other appropriate method providing at least the same degree of certainty when they are used for:

Amendment

1. Breed societies shall require that purebred breeding animals of the bovine species and male purebred breeding animals of dairy breeds of the ovine and caprine species are identified by analysis of their blood group or ***DNA sampling or*** by any other appropriate method providing at least the same degree of certainty when they are used for:

Or. it

Amendment 89

Mireille D'Ornano, Jean-François Jalkh, Sylvie Goddyn

Proposal for a regulation

Article 22 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

2. On request of a Member State or a European association of breed societies for purebred animals of the species concerned, the Commission may, by means of ***implementing*** acts, approve methods for the verification of the identity of purebred breeding animals of the bovine species and male purebred breeding animals of dairy breeds of the ovine and caprine species that provide at least the same degree of

Amendment

2. On request of a Member State or a European association of breed societies for purebred animals of the species concerned, the Commission may, by means of ***delegated*** acts, approve methods for the verification of the identity of purebred breeding animals of the bovine species and male purebred breeding animals of dairy breeds of the ovine and caprine species that provide at least the same degree of

certainty as the analysis of the blood group of those purebred breeding animals, taking into account technical advances and the recommendations of the European reference centres referred to in Article 31.

certainty as the analysis of the blood group of those purebred breeding animals, taking into account technical advances and the recommendations of the European reference centres referred to in Article 31.

Or. fr

Amendment 90

Michel Dantin

Proposal for a regulation

Article 22 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. On request of a Member State or a European association of breed societies for purebred animals of the species concerned, the Commission may, by means of implementing acts, approve methods for the verification of the identity of purebred breeding animals of the bovine species and male purebred breeding animals of dairy breeds of the ovine and caprine species that provide at least the same degree of certainty as the analysis of the blood group of those purebred breeding animals, taking into account technical advances and the recommendations of the European reference centres referred to in Article 31.

Amendment

1. On request of a Member State or a European association of breed societies for purebred animals of the species concerned, the Commission may, by means of implementing acts, approve methods for the verification of the identity of purebred breeding animals of the bovine species and male purebred breeding animals of dairy breeds of the ovine and caprine species that provide at least the same degree of certainty as the analysis of the blood group of those purebred breeding animals, taking into account technical advances *in identification methods based on the work of the International Committee for Animal Recording and the International Society of Animal Genetics* and the recommendations of the European reference centres referred to in Article 31.

Or. fr

Amendment 91

Mireille D'Ornano, Sylvie Goddyn, Jean-François Jalkh

Proposal for a regulation

Article 22 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Those **implementing** acts shall be adopted in accordance with **the examination procedure referred to in Article 72(2)**.

Amendment

Those **delegated** acts shall be adopted in accordance with Article 71.

Or. fr

Amendment 92

Bart Staes

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation

Article 28 – paragraph 1 – point d a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(da) ethical concerns.

Or. en

Amendment 93

Mireille D'Ornano, Sylvie Goddyn, Jean-François Jalkh

Proposal for a regulation

Article 28 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. **In the light** of the opinion of the independent expert referred to in Article 13(1)(a), the Commission may, by means of an **implementing** act, lay down uniform rules for performance testing and genetic evaluations and the interpretation of the results thereof.

2. **Taking account** of the opinion of the independent expert referred to in Article 13(1)(a), the Commission may, by means of **a delegated** act, lay down uniform rules for performance testing and genetic evaluations and the interpretation of the results thereof.

Or. fr

Amendment 94

Mireille D'Ornano, Jean-François Jalkh, Sylvie Goddyn

Proposal for a regulation
Article 28 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Those **implementing** acts shall be adopted in accordance with **the examination procedure referred to in Article 72(2)**.

Amendment

Those **delegated** acts shall be adopted in accordance with Article **71**.

Or. fr

Amendment 95
Stefan Eck

Proposal for a regulation
Article 29 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1a. Studies or tests involving animals will respect the rules on animal welfare laid down by the Union legislation and they should not be repeated if not necessary.

Or. en

Amendment 96
Stefan Eck

Proposal for a regulation
Article 29 – paragraph 1 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1b. The use of in vitro methods or of methods refining or replacing the usual tests using laboratory animals or reducing the number of animals used in these tests shall be encouraged.

Or. en

Amendment 97

Mireille D'Ornano, Jean-François Jalkh, Sylvie Goddyn

Proposal for a regulation

Article 31 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The Commission shall, by means of **implementing** acts, designate the European Union reference centre responsible for collaborating with breed societies in rendering uniform the methods for performance testing and genetic evaluation of purebred breeding animals of the bovine species.

Amendment

1. The Commission shall, by means of **delegated** acts, designate the European Union reference centre responsible for collaborating with breed societies in rendering uniform the methods for performance testing and genetic evaluation of purebred breeding animals of the bovine species.

Or. fr

Amendment 98

Mireille D'Ornano, Jean-François Jalkh, Sylvie Goddyn

Proposal for a regulation

Article 31 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Those **implementing** acts shall be adopted in accordance with **the examination procedure referred to in Article 72(2)**.

Amendment

Those **delegated** acts shall be adopted in accordance with Article 71.

Or. fr

Amendment 99

Mireille D'Ornano, Jean-François Jalkh, Sylvie Goddyn

Proposal for a regulation

Article 31 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The Commission may, by means of **implementing** acts, designate the European Union reference centres responsible for

Amendment

2. The Commission may, by means of **delegated** acts, designate the European Union reference centres responsible for

harmonising the methods for performance testing and genetic evaluation of purebred breeding animals of the species other than bovine species.

harmonising the methods for performance testing and genetic evaluation of purebred breeding animals of the species other than bovine species.

Or. fr

Amendment 100

Mireille D'Ornano, Jean-François Jalkh, Sylvie Goddyn

Proposal for a regulation

Article 31 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Those ***implementing*** acts shall be adopted in accordance with ***the examination procedure referred to in*** Article 72(2).

Amendment

Those ***delegated*** acts shall be adopted in accordance with Article 71.

Or. fr

Amendment 101

Bart Staes

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Susanne Melior

on behalf of the S&D Group

Stefan Eck

on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Sirpa Pietikäinen, Eleonora Evi, Marco Affronte

Proposal for a regulation

Article 33 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1a. In any case, the zootechnical certificates shall mention if the breeding animal is a cloned animal or a descendant of a cloned animal, or if the germinal product comes from a cloned animal or from a descendant of a cloned animal.

Or. en

Justification

Back in 2011, all 3 institutions agreed that traceability was necessary as a basic and easy-to-implement requirement for whatever rules on cloned animals and their descendants would be decided upon later on. Farmers should have the right to know whether the reproductive material they buy is from a cloned animal or descendant thereof. The COM already promised in its 2010 cloning report to establish traceability requirements within the zootechnical legislation.

(http://ec.europa.eu/dgs/health_consumer/docs/20101019_report_ec_cloning_en.pdf, p. 14).

Amendment 102

Mireille D'Ornano, Jean-François Jalkh, Sylvie Goddyn

Proposal for a regulation

Article 33 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The Commission shall, by means of **implementing** acts, draw up model forms of zootechnical certificates for breeding animals and their semen, oocytes and embryos.

Amendment

4. The Commission shall, by means of **delegated** acts, draw up model forms of zootechnical certificates for breeding animals and their semen, oocytes and embryos.

Or. fr

Amendment 103

Mireille D'Ornano, Jean-François Jalkh, Sylvie Goddyn

Proposal for a regulation

Article 33 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Those **implementing** acts shall be adopted in accordance with **the examination procedure referred to in Article 72(2)**.

Amendment

Those **delegated** acts shall be adopted in accordance with Article **71**.

Or. fr

Amendment 104

Bart Staes

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation
Article 35

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 35

deleted

Delegated powers and implementing powers concerning the zootechnical and genealogical rules for trade in purebred breeding animals referred to in Article 2(i)(iii) and their germinal products

1. The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 71 concerning the zootechnical and genealogical rules for trade in purebred breeding animals referred to in Article 2(i)(iii) and their germinal products where this is necessary either for the functioning of the internal market or for the protection of valuable genetic resources, as regards:

(a) the recognition of breed societies;

(b) the approval of breeding programmes;

(c) the conditions for the entry of those purebred breeding animals in breeding books;

(d) the acceptance by breed societies of those purebred breeding animals for breeding, artificial insemination and the collection and use of their germinal products;

(e) the methods for performance testing and genetic evaluation of those purebred breeding animals;

(f) the information to be contained in zootechnical certificates that must accompany those purebred breeding animals.

2. Insofar as the Commission has adopted the delegated acts referred to in paragraph 1, it shall, by means of implementing acts, draw up model forms

of zootechnical certificates referred to in paragraph 1(f) of this Article for purebred breeding animals referred to in Article 2(i)(iii) and their semen, oocytes and embryos.

Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 72(2).

Or. en

Justification

The establishment of such rules is an essential element of the Regulation, and should therefore be defined in the basic act, and not delegated to the Commission. Once the necessity arises, the Regulation can be reviewed in order to cover other species also.

Amendment 105

Mireille D'Ornano, Jean-François Jalkh, Sylvie Goddyn

Proposal for a regulation

Article 38 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The Commission may, by means of **implementing** acts, recognise that measures applied in a third country are equivalent to those required by Union zootechnical legislation in relation to the following:

Amendment

1. The Commission may, by means of **delegated** acts, recognise that measures applied in a third country are equivalent to those required by Union zootechnical legislation in relation to the following:

Or. fr

Amendment 106

Mireille D'Ornano, Jean-François Jalkh, Sylvie Goddyn

Proposal for a regulation

Article 38 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Those **implementing** acts shall be adopted

Amendment

Those **delegated** acts shall be adopted in

in accordance with *the examination procedure referred to in* Article 72(2).

accordance with Article 71.

Or. fr

Amendment 107

Mireille D'Ornano, Jean-François Jalkh, Sylvie Goddyn

Proposal for a regulation

Article 38 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. The *implementing* acts referred to in paragraph 1 shall be adopted on the basis of:

2. The *delegated* acts referred to in paragraph 1 shall be adopted on the basis of:

Or. fr

Amendment 108

Mireille D'Ornano, Jean-François Jalkh, Sylvie Goddyn

Proposal for a regulation

Article 38 – paragraph 3 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3. The *implementing* acts referred to in paragraph 1 may set out the modalities governing the entry of breeding animals and germinal products thereof into the Union from the third country concerned and may include:

3. The *delegated* acts referred to in paragraph 1 may set out the modalities governing the entry of breeding animals and germinal products thereof into the Union from the third country concerned and may include:

Or. fr

Amendment 109

Mireille D'Ornano, Jean-François Jalkh, Sylvie Goddyn

Proposal for a regulation

Article 38 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. The Commission shall, by means of **implementing** acts repeal the implementing acts referred to in paragraph 1 without delay where any of the conditions for the recognition of equivalence of guarantees established at the time of their adoption cease to be fulfilled.

4. The Commission shall, by means of **delegated** acts repeal the implementing acts referred to in paragraph 1 without delay where any of the conditions for the recognition of equivalence of guarantees established at the time of their adoption cease to be fulfilled.

Or. fr

Amendment 110

Bart Staes

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Susanne Melior

on behalf of the S&D Group

Stefan Eck

on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Sirpa Pietikäinen, Eleonora Evi, Marco Affronte

Proposal for a regulation

Article 40 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1a. In any case, the zootechnical certificates shall mention if the breeding animal is a cloned animal or a descendant of a cloned animal, or if the germinal product comes from a cloned animal or from a descendant of a cloned animal.

Or. en

Justification

Back in 2011, all 3 institutions agreed that traceability was necessary as a basic and easy-to-implement requirement for whatever rules on cloned animals and their descendants would be decided upon later on. Farmers should have the right to know whether the reproductive material they buy is from a cloned animal or descendant thereof. The COM already promised in its 2010 cloning report to establish traceability requirements within the zootechnical legislation (http://ec.europa.eu/dgs/health_consumer/docs/20101019_report_ec_cloning_en.pdf, p. 14).

Amendment 111

Mireille D'Ornano, Jean-François Jalkh, Sylvie Goddyn

Proposal for a regulation

Article 40 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The Commission shall, by means of **implementing** acts, draw up model forms of zootechnical certificates for imports into the Union of breeding animals and their semen, oocytes and embryos.

Amendment

2. The Commission shall, by means of **delegated** acts, draw up model forms of zootechnical certificates for imports into the Union of breeding animals and their semen, oocytes and embryos.

Or. fr

Amendment 112

Mireille D'Ornano, Jean-François Jalkh, Sylvie Goddyn

Proposal for a regulation

Article 40 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Those **implementing** acts shall be adopted in accordance with **the examination procedure referred to in Article 72(2)**.

Amendment

Those **delegated** acts shall be adopted in accordance with Article **71**.

Or. fr

Amendment 113

Bart Staes

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Proposal for a regulation

Article 45

Text proposed by the Commission

Article 45

Delegated powers and implementing powers concerning the zootechnical and

Amendment

deleted

genealogical rules for imports into the Union of purebred breeding animals referred to in Article 2(i)(iii) and their germinal products

1. The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 71 concerning specific rules for imports into the Union of purebred breeding animals referred to in Article 2(i)(iii) and their germinal products where this is necessary either for the functioning of the internal market or for the protection of valuable genetic resources, as regards:

(a) the listing of breeding bodies;

(b) the conditions for the entry of those purebred animals in breeding books established by breed societies;

(c) the acceptance by breed societies of those purebred breeding animals for breeding, artificial insemination and the collection and use of their germinal products

(d) the methods for performance testing and genetic evaluation of those purebred animals;

(e) the main information to be contained in the zootechnical certificate that must accompany those purebred breeding animals and their germinal products.

2. Insofar as the Commission has adopted the delegated acts referred to in paragraph 1, it shall, by means of implementing acts, draw up model forms of zootechnical certificates referred to in paragraph 1(f) for purebred breeding animals referred to in Article 2(i)(iii) and their semen, oocytes and embryos.

Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 72(2).

Or. en

Justification

The establishment of such rules is an essential element of the Regulation, and should therefore be defined in the basic act, and not delegated to the Commission. Once the necessity arises, the list can be extended by reviewing the legislation, in order to cover other species also.

Amendment 114
Stefan Eck

Proposal for a regulation
Article 46 – paragraph 6 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6a. Controls' responsibilities will be well clarified for farmers, veterinaries and other agents dealing with animals.

Or. en

Amendment 115
Stefan Eck

Proposal for a regulation
Article 46 – paragraph 6 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6b. The controls in place are aimed at protecting livestock during transit and will include detail of how the rules vary according to the distance and duration of journeys, and the species of animals involved.

Or. en

Amendment 116
Stefan Eck

Proposal for a regulation
Article 46 – paragraph 6 c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

6c. Controls will allow greater use of new technologies for animal health activities such as surveillance of pathogens, electronic identification and registration of animals.

Or. en

Amendment 117
Giovanni La Via, Elisabetta Gardini

Proposal for a regulation
Article 50 – paragraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) an examination of documents and other records which may be relevant to the assessment of compliance with the zootechnical and genealogical rules provided for in this Regulation;

(c) an examination of documents, **traceability records** and other records which may be relevant to the assessment of compliance with the zootechnical and genealogical rules provided for in this Regulation;

Or. en

Amendment 118
Giovanni La Via, Elisabetta Gardini

Proposal for a regulation
Article 50 – paragraph 2 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d) interviews with members and staff of the breed societies and breeding operations;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 119
Giovanni La Via, Elisabetta Gardini

Proposal for a regulation
Article 53 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The administrative assistance provided for in paragraph 1 shall include, where **appropriate**, participation by the competent authority of a Member State in on-the-spot official controls that the competent authority of another Member State performs.

Amendment

2. The administrative assistance provided for in paragraph 1 shall include, where **needed**, participation by the competent authority of a Member State in on-the-spot official controls that the competent authority of another Member State performs.

Or. en

Amendment 120
Stefan Eck

Proposal for a regulation
Article 60 – paragraph 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3a. In any case will the existing EU eight hour limit to all live animal transport journeys be infringed.

Or. en

Justification

Farmers have a legal responsibility to ensure their animals are transported in a way that won't cause injury or unnecessary suffering to them. Despite of that, severe animal welfare problems during the transport persist, according to evidence from the European Commission and EFSA. For some EU countries the penalties for non-compliance are not at all dissuasive.

Amendment 121
Stefan Eck

Proposal for a regulation
Article 60 – paragraph 3 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3b. The animals' fitness for transport (in terms of means of transport and transport practices, watering and feeding intervals, journey times and resting periods according to species' specific needs, additional provisions for long journeys and space allowances) will require special attention from the competent authorities and concerned economic operators.

Or. en

Amendment 122
Stefan Eck

Proposal for a regulation
Article 60 – paragraph 3 c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3c. Member States will consider EC proposals to improve animal welfare in transports covering:

- (a) satellite tracking systems;***
- (b) increased number of inspections;***
- (c) increased co-operation and communication between the competent authorities and NGOs;***
- (d) a programme to improve animal welfare at slaughter delivered by the EU and by the Member States;***
- (e) an export supply assurance scheme that would guarantee the OIE (World Organisation for Animal Health) standards at destination.***

Or. en

Justification

Farmers have a legal responsibility to ensure their animals are transported in a way that won't cause injury or unnecessary suffering to them. Despite of that, severe animal welfare problems during the transport persist, according to evidence from the European Commission and EFSA. For some EU countries the penalties for non-compliance are not at all dissuasive.

Amendment 123

Mireille D'Ornano, Sylvie Goddyn, Jean-François Jalkh

Proposal for a regulation

Article 66

Text proposed by the Commission

Article 66

Serious failure in the control system of a Member State

Where the Commission has evidence of a serious failure in the control systems of a Member State and such failure may result in a widespread infringement of the zootechnical and genealogical rules provided for in this Regulation, it shall, ***by means of implementing acts, adopt one or more of the following measures, to be applied until that failure has been eliminated:***

(a) a prohibition on trade in the breeding animals or their germinal products concerned by the failure in the official control system;

(b) imposing special conditions, in addition to those provided for in Chapter II, for the recognition of breed societies and breeding operations, the approval of breeding programmes or the trade in breeding animals and their germinal products;

(c) other appropriate temporary measures.

Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 72(2).

Amendment

Article 66

Serious failure in the control system of a Member State

Where the Commission has evidence of a serious failure in the control systems of a Member State and such failure may result in a widespread infringement of the zootechnical and genealogical rules provided for in this Regulation, it shall ***inform the Member State concerned of the failure observed.***

2. The measures provided for in paragraph 1 shall be adopted only after the Member State concerned has failed to correct the situation upon request and within the time limit set by the Commission.

fr

Amendment 124

Mireille D'Ornano, Jean-François Jalkh, Sylvie Goddyn

Proposal for a regulation

Article 71 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The power to adopt delegated acts referred to in Articles 4(3), 8(3), 17(4), 19(4), 20(2), 21(2), 23(4), 24(2), 28(1), 32(2), 33(3), 35(1), 39(2), 45(1) and 52(1) shall be conferred on the Commission for an indeterminate period from the date of entry into force of this Regulation.

Amendment

2. The power to adopt delegated acts referred to in Articles 4(3), **7(5)**, 8(3), 17(4), 19(4), 20(2), 21(2), **22(2)**, 23(4), 24(2), 28(1) **and (2)**, **31**, 32(2), 33(3) **and (4)**, 35(1), **38**, 39(2), **40(2)**, 45(1) and 52(1) shall be conferred on the Commission for an indeterminate period from the date of entry into force of this Regulation.

Or. fr

Amendment 125

Mireille D'Ornano, Sylvie Goddyn, Jean-François Jalkh

Proposal for a regulation

Article 71 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. The delegation of power referred to in Articles 4(3), 8(3), 17(4), 19(4), 20(2), 21(2), 23(4), 24(2), 28(1), 32(2), 33(3), 35(1), 39(2), 45(1) and 52(1) may be revoked at any time by the European Parliament or by the Council. A decision to revoke shall put an end to the delegation of the power specified in that decision. It shall

Amendment

3. The delegation of power referred to in Articles 4(3), **7(5)**, 8(3), 17(4), 19(4), 20(2), 21(2), **22(2)**, 23(4), 24(2), 28(1) **and (2)**, **31**, 32(2), 33(3) **and (4)**, 35(1), **38**, 39(2), **40(2)**, 45(1) and 52(1) may be revoked at any time by the European Parliament or by the Council. A decision to revoke shall put an end to the delegation of

take effect the day following the publication of the decision in the Official Journal of the European Union or at a later date specified therein. It shall not affect the validity of any delegated acts already in force.

the power specified in that decision. It shall take effect the day following the publication of the decision in the Official Journal of the European Union or at a later date specified therein. It shall not affect the validity of any delegated acts already in force.

Or. fr

Amendment 126

Mireille D'Ornano, Sylvie Goddyn, Jean-François Jalkh

Proposal for a regulation

Article 71 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. A delegated act adopted pursuant to Articles 4(3), 8(3), 17(4), 19(4), 20(2), 21(2), 23(4), 24(2), 28(1), 32(2), 33(3), 35(1), 39(2), 45(1) and 52(1) shall enter into force only if no objection has been expressed either by the European Parliament or the Council within a period of two months of notification of that act to the European Parliament and the Council or if, before the expiry of that period, the European Parliament and the Council have both informed the Commission that they will not object. That period may be extended by two months at the initiative of the European Parliament or of the Council.

Amendment

5. A delegated act adopted pursuant to Articles 4(3), **7(5)**, 8(3), 17(4), 19(4), 20(2), 21(2), **22(2)**, 23(4), 24(2), 28(1) **and (2)**, **31**, 32(2), 33(3) **and (4)**, 35(1), **38**, 39(2), **40(2)**, 45(1) and 52(1) shall enter into force only if no objection has been expressed either by the European Parliament or the Council within a period of two months of notification of that act to the European Parliament and the Council or if, before the expiry of that period, the European Parliament and the Council have both informed the Commission that they will not object. That period may be extended by two months at the initiative of the European Parliament or of the Council.

Or. fr

Amendment 127

Catherine Bearder

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – part 2 – point 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) the system for recording pedigrees of purebred breeding animals entered or registered and eligible for entry into breeding books or of hybrid breeding pigs registered in breed registers;

(c) the system for recording pedigrees of purebred breeding animals, ***including the percentage of the animals' pedigree purity*** entered or registered and eligible for entry into breeding books or of hybrid breeding pigs registered in breed registers;

Or. en

Justification

It is important to sustain principles of transparency and accountability to ensure that the breed's purity are clear to all stakeholders thus ensuring that the genetic diversity of the breed is preserved.

Amendment 128

Michel Dantin

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – part 2 – point 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d) the objectives of the breeding programme and detailed evaluation criteria concerning the selection of breeding animals, which in the case of the establishment of a breeding book for a new breed, must include information on the detailed circumstances justifying the establishment of the new breed;

(d) the objectives of the breeding programme, ***the populations to be assessed*** and detailed evaluation criteria concerning the selection of breeding animals, which in the case of the establishment of a breeding book for a new breed, must include information on the detailed circumstances justifying the establishment of the new breed;

Or. fr

Amendment 129

Michel Dantin

Proposal for a regulation

Annex I – part 2 – point 1 – point g a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ga) if the breeding programme is to be carried out as a conservation programme, in addition to meeting the conditions laid down in points (a) to (g) it must involve any appropriate in situ (on living animals) and ex situ (conservation of reproductive material or tissue) measure required to preserve the genetic heritage of a species.

Or. fr

Amendment 130
Michel Dantin

Proposal for a regulation
Annex II – part 1 – chapter I – point 1 – point a – point i

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(i) in point (i) of Article 2(i) in the case of purebred breeding animals of the bovine species (*Bos taurus* and *Bubalus bubalis*), porcine species (*Sus scrofa*), ovine species (*Ovis aries*) and caprine species (*Capra hircus*);

(i) in point (i) of Article 2(i) in the case of purebred breeding animals of the bovine species (*Bos taurus*, ***Bos indicus*** and *Bubalus bubalis*), porcine species (*Sus scrofa*), ovine species (*Ovis aries*) and caprine species (*Capra hircus*);

Or. fr

Amendment 131
Michel Dantin

Proposal for a regulation
Annex II – part 1 – chapter I – point 1 – point a – point ii

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ii) in point (ii) of Article 2(i) in the case of purebred breeding animals of the equine species (*Equus caballus* and *Equus asinus*);

(ii) in point (ii) of Article 2(i) in the case of purebred breeding animals of the equine species (*Equus caballus* and *Equus asinus*).
Both of the animal's parents shall be accepted for breeding by the breed society;

Amendment 132
Catherine Bearder

Proposal for a regulation
Annex II – part 1 – chapter I – point 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) it has its pedigree established in accordance with the rules set out in the breeding book in accordance with the breeding programme approved in accordance with Article 8(1) or Article 9;

Amendment

(b) it has its pedigree, ***accompanied by the percentage of the animals' pedigree purity***, established in accordance with the rules set out in the breeding book in accordance with the breeding programme approved in accordance with Article 8(1) or Article 9;

Or. en

Justification

It is important to sustain principles of transparency and accountability to ensure that the breed's purity are clear to all stakeholders thus ensuring that the genetic diversity of the breed is preserved.

Amendment 133
Michel Dantin

Proposal for a regulation
Annex II – part 1 – chapter I – point 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3a. A breed society which enters in its filial breeding book a pure-bred breeding animal of the equines species may not refuse to enter, or register with a view to entering, a castrated male of the equines species which meets the conditions laid down in paragraph 1(b) and (c), and, where appropriate, (d), if the parents of that animal were entered in the main section of that filial breeding book and

accepted for breeding by the breed society.

Or. fr

Amendment 134

Michel Dantin

Proposal for a regulation

Annex III – part 1 – chapter II – point 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. Bulls intended for artificial insemination, with the exception of bulls belonging to breeds threatened with extinction, shall be subjected to a genetic evaluation on compulsory traits as described in point 6 or 7. Those breeding values shall be published by the breed society.

Amendment

5. Bulls intended for artificial insemination, with the exception of bulls belonging to breeds threatened with extinction, shall be subjected to a genetic evaluation on, *as a minimum requirement*, compulsory traits as described in point 6a or 7. *All of* those breeding values shall be published by the breed society.

All the other breeding values existing for bulls intended for artificial insemination programmes shall also be published by the breed society.

The breeding values existing for bulls intended for natural services, as well as for females, shall also be published by the breed society.

Or. fr

Amendment 135

Bart Staes

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Susanne Melior

on behalf of the S&D Group

Stefan Eck

on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Sirpa Pietikäinen, Eleonora Evi, Marco Affronte

Proposal for a regulation

Annex V – part 2 – chapter I – point 1 – point h a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ha) where applicable, the fact that the animal is a cloned animal;

Or. en

Justification

Back in 2011, all 3 institutions agreed that traceability was necessary as a basic and easy-to-implement requirement for whatever rules on cloned animals and their descendants would be decided upon later on. Farmers should have the right to know whether the reproductive material they buy is from a cloned animal or descendant thereof. The COM already promised in its 2010 cloning report to establish traceability requirements within the zootechnical legislation. (http://ec.europa.eu/dgs/health_consumer/docs/20101019_report_ec_cloning_en.pdf, p. 14).

Amendment 136

Bart Staes

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Susanne Melior

on behalf of the S&D Group

Stefan Eck

on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Sirpa Pietikäinen, Eleonora Evi, Marco Affronte

Proposal for a regulation

Annex V – part 2 – chapter I – point 1 – point h b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(hb) where applicable, the fact that the animal is a descendant of a cloned animal;

Or. en

Justification

Back in 2011, all 3 institutions agreed that traceability was necessary as a basic and easy-to-implement requirement for whatever rules on cloned animals and their descendants would be decided upon later on. Farmers should have the right to know whether the reproductive material they buy is from a cloned animal or descendant thereof. The COM already promised in its 2010 cloning report to establish traceability requirements within the zootechnical

legislation.

(http://ec.europa.eu/dgs/health_consumer/docs/20101019_report_ec_cloning_en.pdf, p. 14.)

Amendment 137
Catherine Bearder

Proposal for a regulation
Annex V – part 2 – chapter I – point 1 – point k – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(k) the pedigree:

(k) the pedigree *as illustrated below, also accompanied by the percentage of the animals' pedigree purity:*

Or. en

Justification

It is important to sustain principles of transparency and accountability to ensure that the breed's purity are clear to all stakeholders thus ensuring that the genetic diversity of the breed is preserved.

Amendment 138
Elisabetta Gardini

Proposal for a regulation
Annex V – part 2 – chapter I – point 1 – point k a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ka) the purebred percentage;

Or. it

Justification

In intra-EU trade this parameter is not indicated, causing operational difficulties also with regard to breeding books.

Amendment 139
Bart Staes
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Susanne Melior
on behalf of the S&D Group
Stefan Eck
on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group
Sirpa Pietikäinen, Eleonora Evi, Marco Affronte

Proposal for a regulation
Annex V – part 3 – chapter I – paragraph 1 – point h a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ha) where applicable, the fact that the animal is a cloned animal;

Or. en

Justification

Back in 2011, all 3 institutions agreed that traceability was necessary as a basic and easy-to-implement requirement for whatever rules on cloned animals and their descendants would be decided upon later on. Farmers should have the right to know whether the reproductive material they buy is from a cloned animal or descendant thereof. The COM already promised in its 2010 cloning report to establish traceability requirements within the zootechnical legislation.

(http://ec.europa.eu/dgs/health_consumer/docs/20101019_report_ec_cloning_en.pdf, p. 14).

Amendment 140

Bart Staes
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group
Susanne Melior
on behalf of the S&D Group
Stefan Eck
on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group
Sirpa Pietikäinen, Eleonora Evi, Marco Affronte

Proposal for a regulation
Annex V – part 3 – chapter I – paragraph 1 – point h b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(hb) where applicable, the fact that the animal is a descendant of a cloned animal,

Or. en

Justification

Back in 2011, all 3 institutions agreed that traceability was necessary as a basic and easy-to-implement requirement for whatever rules on cloned animals and their descendants would be decided upon later on. Farmers should have the right to know whether the reproductive material they buy is from a cloned animal or descendant thereof. The COM already promised in its 2010 cloning report to establish traceability requirements within the zootechnical legislation.

(http://ec.europa.eu/dgs/health_consumer/docs/20101019_report_ec_cloning_en.pdf, p. 14.)