



EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

2014 - 2019

Committee on Fisheries

2014/0285(COD)

5.3.2015

AMENDMENTS 19 - 196

Draft report
Jarosław Wałęsa
(PE546.811v02-00)

Multiannual plan for the stocks of cod, herring and sprat in the Baltic Sea and the fisheries exploiting those stocks

Proposal for a regulation
(COM(2014)0614 – C8-0174/2014 – 2014/0285(COD))

Amendment 19
Marco Affronte

Draft legislative resolution
Citation 4 a (new)

Draft legislative resolution

Amendment

**- having regard the Marine Strategy
Framework Directive (MSFD),**

Or. en

Amendment 20
Linnéa Engström

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(1) The United Nations Convention of 10 December 1982 on the Law of the Sea¹⁶, to which the Union is a contracting party, provides for conservation obligations, including the maintaining or restoring populations of harvested species at levels which can produce the maximum sustainable yield.

(1) The United Nations Convention of 10 December 1982 on the Law of the Sea¹⁶, to which the Union is a contracting party, provides for conservation obligations, including the maintaining or restoring populations of harvested species at levels which can produce the maximum sustainable yield, **as qualified by relevant environmental and economic factors.**

¹⁶ OJ L 179, 23.6.1998, p. 3

¹⁶ OJ L 179, 23.6.1998, p. 3

Or. en

Justification

The additional words are an important qualifier for the UNCLOS provision, given the significant economic advantages from having stocks above levels capable of producing MSY.

Amendment 21
José Blanco López

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 4

Text proposed by the Commission

(4) Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council establishes the rules of the common fisheries policy (' CFP ') in line with the international obligations of the Union. The objectives of the CFP are, amongst others, to ensure that fishing and aquaculture are **environmentally** sustainable in the long-term, **to apply** the precautionary approach **to fisheries management**, and **to implement the** ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management.

Amendment

(4) Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council establishes the rules of the common fisheries policy (' CFP ') in line with the international obligations of the Union. The objectives of the CFP are, amongst others, to ensure that fishing and aquaculture are sustainable **from a socio-economic and environmental point of view** in the long-term, **in keeping with a balanced application of** the precautionary approach and ecosystem-based approach to fisheries management.

Or. es

Amendment 22

Sylvie Goddyn, Jean-Marie Le Pen

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 5

Text proposed by the Commission

(5) Recent scientific advice from the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (' ICES ') and the Scientific, Technical and Economic Committee on Fisheries (' STECF ') has indicated that the exploitation of the stocks of cod, sprat and some of the herring stocks are in excess of that required to achieve maximum sustainable yield.

Amendment

(5) Recent scientific advice from the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (' ICES ') and the Scientific, Technical and Economic Committee on Fisheries (' STECF ') has indicated that the exploitation of the stocks of cod, sprat and some of the herring stocks are in excess of that required to achieve maximum sustainable yield.

However, a new ICES study is due to be published shortly, which will make it possible to adapt the multiannual plan to the real fish stock levels. The Commission shall take account of this study when it adopts delegated acts on the technical measures.

Justification

We need to know the exact levels of stocks of cod, herring and sprat in the Baltic, so it is possible to adopt the conservation measures that are the most effective and the least disadvantageous for the fisheries concerned.

Amendment 23
Marco Affronte

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 7 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(7a) The multi-species management plan established by this Regulation requires greater account of the different ecological roles and functions of the species covered by the plan; notes that since the various species interact to a great extent, catches cannot be sustainably maximized for all species simultaneously and decisions are needed about which species should be prioritized.

Justification

The decision on the specific targets for FMSY and biomass levels should take into account the updated estimates of FMSY ranges expected shortly from ICES and reflect accordingly the particularities of the multi-species fisheries management.

Amendment 24
Christofer Fjellner

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 7 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(7a) The Council and the European Parliament should take into account the latest recommendations and reports from ICES as regards maximum sustainable yield to ensure that the regulation, at the entry into force, is as updated as possible.

Or. en

Amendment 25

Marco Affronte

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 7 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(7b) In the agreement with the Marine Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD), natural size and age distributions of commercial fish stocks are important indicators to achieve good ecological status of the marine environment.

Or. en

Amendment 26

Linnéa Engström

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 8

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(8) It is appropriate to establish a multi-species fisheries plan taking into account the dynamics between the stocks of cod, herring and sprat, and also considering the by-catch species of the fisheries for these stocks, namely the Baltic stocks of plaice,

(8) It is appropriate to establish a multi-species fisheries plan taking into account the dynamics between the stocks of cod, herring and sprat, and also considering the by-catch species of the fisheries for these stocks, namely the Baltic stocks of plaice,

brill, flounder and turbot. The objective of this plan should be to ***aim at achieving and maintaining*** maximum sustainable ***yields for the stocks concerned.***

brill, flounder and turbot. The objective of this plan should be to ***restore and maintain stocks of harvested species above levels capable of producing*** maximum sustainable ***yield. It should also allow for measures to reduce incidental catches of seabirds and marine mammals.***

Or. en

Justification

To be consistent with the CFP objectives following the reform, to maintain stocks above levels capable of producing MSY.

Amendment 27

Marco Affronte

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 8

Text proposed by the Commission

(8) It is appropriate to establish a multi-species fisheries plan taking into account the dynamics between the stocks of cod, herring and sprat, and also considering the by-catch species of the fisheries for these stocks, namely the Baltic stocks of plaice, brill, flounder and turbot. The objective of this plan should be to aim at achieving and maintaining maximum sustainable yields for the stocks concerned.

Amendment

(8) It is appropriate to establish a multi-species fisheries plan taking into account the dynamics between the stocks of cod, herring and sprat, and also considering the by-catch species of the fisheries for these stocks, namely the Baltic stocks of plaice, brill, flounder and turbot. The objective of this plan should be to aim at achieving and maintaining maximum sustainable yields for the stocks concerned, ***minimizing as far as possible the impact on other species such as seabirds.***

Or. en

Amendment 28

Ulrike Rodust, Richard Corbett, Ricardo Serrão Santos, Renata Briano

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 8

Text proposed by the Commission

(8) It is appropriate to establish a multi-species fisheries plan taking into account the dynamics between the stocks of cod, herring and sprat, and also considering **the** by-catch species of the fisheries for these stocks, namely the Baltic stocks of plaice, brill, flounder and turbot. The objective of this plan should be to **aim at achieving and maintaining** maximum sustainable yields for the stocks concerned.

Amendment

(8) It is appropriate to establish a multi-species fisheries plan taking into account the dynamics between the stocks of cod, herring and sprat, and also considering by-catch species of the fisheries for these stocks, namely the Baltic stocks of plaice, brill, flounder and turbot, **and incidental catches of seabirds and marine mammals**. The objective of this plan should be to **achieve and maintain** maximum sustainable yields for the stocks concerned.

Or. en

Justification

One of the objectives of the Common Fisheries Policy reform (Article 2(3) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013) is to minimise the negative impact of fisheries on the marine ecosystem.

Amendment 29

Ulrike Rodust, Richard Corbett, Ricardo Serrão Santos

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 8

Text proposed by the Commission

(8) **It is appropriate** to establish a multi-species fisheries plan taking into account the dynamics between the stocks of cod, herring and sprat, and also considering the by-catch species of the fisheries for these stocks, namely the Baltic stocks of plaice, brill, flounder and turbot. The objective of this plan should be to **aim at achieving and maintaining** maximum sustainable yields for the stocks concerned.

Amendment

(8) **The ultimate goal is** to establish a multi-species fisheries plan taking into account the dynamics between the stocks of cod, herring and sprat, and also considering the by-catch species of the fisheries for these stocks, namely the Baltic stocks of plaice, brill, flounder and turbot. The objective of this plan should be to **achieve and maintain** maximum sustainable yields for the stocks concerned, **as well as to minimise the impact of the fisheries on the wider marine environment**.

Or. en

Justification

One of the objectives of the Common Fisheries Policy reform (Article 2(3) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013) is to minimise the negative impact of fisheries on the marine ecosystem. The establishment of a multi-species plan is the ultimate goal. The Commission's formulation suggests that the plan comprises explicitly species' interactions. The current proposal, however, merely includes single-species assessments.

Amendment 30 **Alain Cadec**

Proposal for a regulation **Recital 8**

Text proposed by the Commission

(8) It is appropriate to establish a multi-species fisheries plan taking into account the dynamics between the stocks of cod, herring and sprat, and also considering the by-catch species of the fisheries for these stocks, namely the Baltic stocks of plaice, brill, flounder and turbot. The objective of this plan should be to ***aim at achieving and maintaining*** maximum sustainable yields ***for the stocks concerned.***

Amendment

(8) It is appropriate to establish a multi-species fisheries plan taking into account the dynamics between the stocks of cod, herring and sprat, and also considering the by-catch species of the fisheries for these stocks, namely the Baltic stocks of plaice, brill, flounder and turbot. The objective of this plan should be to ***re-establish and maintain populations of the species concerned above the levels that are capable of producing the*** maximum sustainable yields, ***in accordance with Article 2(2) of Regulation (EU) 1380/2013.***

Or. fr

Justification

Use of the formulation in the basic regulation.

Amendment 31 **Anja Hazekamp**

Proposal for a regulation **Recital 8**

Text proposed by the Commission

(8) It is appropriate to establish a multi-species fisheries plan taking into account the dynamics between the stocks of cod, herring and sprat, and also considering the by-catch species of the fisheries for these stocks, namely the Baltic stocks of plaice, brill, flounder and turbot. The objective of this plan should be to aim at achieving and maintaining maximum sustainable yields for the stocks concerned.

Amendment

(8) It is appropriate to establish a multi-species fisheries plan taking into account the dynamics between the stocks of cod, herring and sprat, and also considering the by-catch species of the fisheries for these stocks, namely the Baltic stocks of plaice, brill, flounder and turbot. The objective of this plan should be to aim at achieving and maintaining ***healthy fish stocks at levels above those which can produce*** maximum sustainable yields for the stocks concerned.

Or. en

Justification

As resolved in the new CFP, maximum sustainable yield is a limit, not a target.

Amendment 32

Ulrike Rodust, Renata Briano, Richard Corbett, Ricardo Serrão Santos

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 8

Text proposed by the Commission

(8) It is appropriate to establish a multi-species fisheries plan taking into account the dynamics between the stocks of cod, herring and sprat, and also considering the by-catch species of the fisheries for these stocks, namely the Baltic stocks of plaice, brill, flounder and turbot. The objective of this plan should be to ***aim at achieving and maintaining*** maximum sustainable ***yields*** for the stocks concerned.

Amendment

(8) It is appropriate to establish a multi-species fisheries plan taking into account the dynamics between the stocks of cod, herring and sprat, and also considering the by-catch species of the fisheries for these stocks, namely the Baltic stocks of plaice, brill, flounder and turbot. The objective of this plan should be to ***restore and maintain populations of harvested species above levels which can produce the*** maximum sustainable ***yield*** for the stocks concerned.

Or. en

Justification

According to Article 2(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 the objective of the Common Fisheries Policy is to "ensure that exploitation of living marine biological resources restores and maintains populations of harvested species above levels which can produce the maximum sustainable yield.

Amendment 33 **Marco Affronte**

Proposal for a regulation **Recital 9**

Text proposed by the Commission

(9) The exploitation of cod and pelagic stocks should not jeopardise the sustainability of the stocks taken as by-catches in these fisheries, namely the Baltic stocks of plaice, brill, flounder and turbot. Therefore, the plan should also aim at ensuring the conservation of these by-catch stocks above biomass levels corresponding to precautionary approach.

Amendment

(9) The exploitation of cod and pelagic stocks should not jeopardise the sustainability of the stocks taken as by-catches in these fisheries, namely the Baltic stocks of plaice, brill, flounder and turbot. Therefore, the plan should also aim at ensuring the conservation of these by-catch stocks above biomass levels corresponding to precautionary ***and ecosystem based*** approach ***to fisheries management.***

Or. en

Amendment 34 **Linnéa Engström**

Proposal for a regulation **Recital 9**

Text proposed by the Commission

(9) The exploitation of cod and pelagic stocks should not jeopardise the sustainability of the stocks taken as by-catches in these fisheries, namely the Baltic stocks of plaice, brill, flounder and turbot. Therefore, the plan should also aim at ensuring the conservation of these by-catch stocks above ***biomass*** levels

Amendment

(9) The exploitation of cod and pelagic stocks should not jeopardise the sustainability of the stocks taken as by-catches in these fisheries, namely the Baltic stocks of plaice, brill, flounder and turbot. Therefore, the plan should also aim at ensuring the conservation of these by-catch stocks above levels ***capable of producing***

corresponding to precautionary approach.

maximum sustainable yield.

Or. en

Justification

To be consistent with the CFP objective following the reform, to maintain stocks above levels capable of producing MSY.

Amendment 35
Linnéa Engström

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 9 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(9a) Regulation 1380/2015 further aims to gradually eliminate discards, taking into account the best scientific advice, by avoiding and reducing unwanted catches, which can be achieved by improving the selectivity of fishing gears and practices.

Or. en

Justification

CFP objective in Article 2.5(a) of Regulation 1380/2013.

Amendment 36
Marco Affronte

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 11

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(11) Article 16(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 requires that fishing opportunities be fixed in accordance with the targets set out in the multiannual plans.

(11) Article 16(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 requires that fishing opportunities be fixed in accordance with the targets set out in the multiannual plans.
The levels to be achieved in terms of

mortality by fishery and biomass ought to take account of the most up-to date scientific advice.

Or. en

Amendment 37
Linnéa Engström

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 12

Text proposed by the Commission

(12) These targets should therefore be established and expressed in terms of fishing mortality rates, based on scientific advice¹⁹.

¹⁹ ICES technical services, September 2014
http://www.ices.dk/sites/pub/Publication%20Reports/Advice/2014/Special%20Requests/EU_Fmsy_range_for_Baltic_cod_and_pelagic_stocks.pdf

Amendment

(12) These targets should therefore be established and expressed in terms of fishing mortality rates *that would restore and maintain stocks above levels capable of producing maximum sustainable yield*, based on scientific advice¹⁹.

¹⁹ ICES technical services, September 2014
http://www.ices.dk/sites/pub/Publication%20Reports/Advice/2014/Special%20Requests/EU_Fmsy_range_for_Baltic_cod_and_pelagic_stocks.pdf

Or. en

Justification

To be consistent with the CFP objective following the reform, to maintain stocks above levels capable of producing MSY.

Amendment 38
Ulrike Rodust, Renata Briano, Richard Corbett, Ricardo Serrão Santos

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 12

Text proposed by the Commission

(12) These targets should therefore be

AM\1052614EN.doc

Amendment

(12) These targets should therefore be

13/105

PE551.757v01-00

established and expressed in terms of fishing mortality rates, based on scientific advice¹⁹.

established and expressed in terms of fishing mortality rates, based on scientific advice¹⁹ ***that restores and maintains populations of harvested species above levels which can produce the maximum sustainable yield. The maximum sustainable yield exploitation rate should be the upper limit for exploitation.***

¹⁹ ICES technical services, September 2014
http://www.ices.dk/sites/pub/Publication%20Reports/Advice/2014/Special%20Requests/EU_Fmsy_range_for_Baltic_cod_and_pelagic_stocks.pdf

¹⁹ ICES technical services, September 2014
http://www.ices.dk/sites/pub/Publication%20Reports/Advice/2014/Special%20Requests/EU_Fmsy_range_for_Baltic_cod_and_pelagic_stocks.pdf

Or. en

Justification

Only a fishing mortality rate (F) below the maximum sustainable yield fishing mortality (FMSY) will eventually lead to recovery of fish stocks above levels capable of producing the maximum sustainable yield in line with Article 2(2) and 9(1) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013. Therefore, the Baltic Multiannual Plan should use scientific advice on FMSY as the upper limit for ranges. The footnote with reference to a specific ICES document should be deleted here.

Amendment 39 **Anja Hazekamp**

Proposal for a regulation **Recital 13**

Text proposed by the Commission

(13) It is necessary to establish conservation reference points to allow for additional precaution when a stock size is reduced to certain critical level posing serious risk. Such conservation reference points should be determined at levels of ***minimum spawning biomass of a stock that is consistent with full reproductive capacity***. Remedial measures should be envisaged in case the stock size falls below

Amendment

(13) It is necessary to establish conservation reference points to allow for additional precaution when a stock size is reduced to certain critical level posing serious risk. Such conservation reference points should be determined at levels of biomass ***corresponding to maximum sustainable yield (BMSY) of a stock***. Remedial measures should be envisaged in case the stock size falls below ***this level***.

minimum spawning biomass.

Or. en

Justification

In the Commission proposal, measures will only be taken when the biomass falls below a critical level known as Bpa. This is not a very ambitious approach. As the biomass corresponding to Maximum Sustainable Yield already constitutes a lower limit that should not be exceeded, conservation reference points should also be set at this level so that urgent action is taken in case biomass drops below it.

Amendment 40
Linnéa Engström

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 13

Text proposed by the Commission

(13) It is necessary to establish conservation reference points to allow for additional precaution when a stock size is reduced to certain critical level posing serious risk. Such conservation reference points should be determined at levels of minimum spawning biomass of a stock that is consistent with full reproductive capacity. Remedial measures should be envisaged in *case* the stock size *falls* below minimum spawning biomass.

Amendment

(13) It is necessary to establish conservation reference points to allow for additional precaution when a stock size is reduced to certain critical level posing serious risk. Such conservation reference points should be determined at levels of minimum spawning biomass of a stock that is consistent with full reproductive capacity. Remedial measures should be envisaged in *order to prevent* the stock size *from falling* below minimum spawning biomass.

Or. en

Justification

Action should be taken before the stock gets to this point, rather than waiting until the problem has been discovered. This would help to ensure that stocks remain within the range of objectives.

Amendment 41
Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 14

Text proposed by the Commission

(14) In the case of stocks taken as by-catches, in the absence of scientific advice on such levels of minimum spawning biomass, specific conservation measures should be adopted when scientific advice states that a stock is under threat.

Amendment

(14) In the case of stocks taken as by-catches, in the absence of scientific advice on such levels of minimum spawning biomass, specific conservation measures should be adopted when ***other indicators make it possible to give*** scientific advice ***that*** states that a stock is under threat. ***The scientific data on spawning biomass levels for by-catches must be speedily made available so the necessary measures can be taken.***

Or. fr

Justification

The initial formulation is somewhat illogical; working on the basis of scientific opinion cannot be required in the absence of a scientific opinion.

Amendment 42
Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 16

Text proposed by the Commission

(16) In order to comply with the landing obligation established by Article 15(1) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, the plan should provide for other management measures as set out under points (a) to (c) of Article 15(4) of that Regulation. Such measures should be laid down by way of delegated acts.

Amendment

(16) In order to comply with the landing obligation established by Article 15(1) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, the plan should provide for other management measures as set out under points (a) to (c) of Article 15(4) of that Regulation. Such measures should be laid down by way of delegated acts ***after consultation with the advisory councils concerned.***

Or. fr

Amendment 43
Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 16 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(16a) The Commission shall take account of the opinion of the advisory councils concerned when it adopts the delegated acts to comply with the landing obligation established by Article 15(1) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, to provide for other management measures as set out under points (a) to (c) of Article 15(4) of that regulation.

Or. fr

Amendment 44
Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 17

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(17) The plan should also provide for certain accompanying technical measures to be adopted, by way of delegated acts, in order to contribute to the achievement of the objectives of the plan, in particular as regards the protection of juveniles or spawning fish. Pending the revision of Council Regulation (EC) No 2187/2005²⁰, it should also be envisaged that such measures may, where necessary for the achievement of the objectives of the plan, derogate from certain non-essential elements of that Regulation.

(17) The plan should also provide for certain accompanying technical measures to be adopted, by way of delegated acts ***after consultation with the advisory councils concerned***, in order to contribute to the achievement of the objectives of the plan, in particular as regards the protection of juveniles or spawning fish. Pending the revision of Council Regulation (EC) No 2187/2005²⁰, it should also be envisaged that such measures may, where necessary for the achievement of the objectives of the plan, derogate from certain non-essential elements of that Regulation.

²⁰ Council Regulation (EC) No 2187/2005 of 21 December 2005 for the conservation of fishery resources through technical measures in the Baltic Sea, the Belts and the Sound, amending Regulation (EC) No 1434/98 and repealing Regulation (EC) No 88/98 (OJ L 349, 31.12.2005, p. 1).

²⁰ Council Regulation (EC) No 2187/2005 of 21 December 2005 for the conservation of fishery resources through technical measures in the Baltic Sea, the Belts and the Sound, amending Regulation (EC) No 1434/98 and repealing Regulation (EC) No 88/98 (OJ L 349, 31.12.2005, p. 1).

Or. fr

Amendment 45
Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 17 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(17a) The Commission shall take account of the opinion of the advisory councils concerned when it adopts certain accompanying technical measures to help achieve the plan's objectives.

Or. fr

Amendment 46
José Blanco López

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 18

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(18) In order to adapt to the technical and scientific progress in a timely and proportionate fashion and to ensure flexibility and allow evolution of certain measures, the power to adopt acts in accordance with Article 290 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union should be delegated to the Commission in respect of supplementing this Regulation as regards remedial measures concerning

(18) In order to adapt to the technical and scientific progress in a timely and proportionate fashion and to ensure flexibility and allow evolution of certain measures, the power to adopt acts in accordance with Article 290 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union should be delegated to the Commission in respect of supplementing this Regulation as regards remedial measures concerning

plaice, flounder, turbot and brill, implementation of the landing obligation and technical measures. It is of particular importance that the Commission carry out appropriate consultations during its preparatory work, including at *experts* level. The Commission, when preparing and drawing-up delegated acts, should ensure a simultaneous, timely and appropriate transmission of relevant documents to the European Parliament and Council.

plaice, flounder, turbot and brill, implementation of the landing obligation and technical measures. It is of particular importance that the Commission carry out appropriate consultations during its preparatory work, including at *the level of experts and specialist bodies in the Member States and the Union, in cooperation with the European Parliament*. The Commission, when preparing and drawing-up delegated acts, should ensure a simultaneous, timely and appropriate transmission of relevant documents to the European Parliament and Council.

Or. es

Amendment 47
Jarosław Wałęsa

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 18

Text proposed by the Commission

(18) In order to adapt to the technical and scientific progress in a timely and proportionate fashion and to ensure flexibility and allow evolution of certain measures, the power to adopt acts in accordance with Article 290 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union should be delegated to the Commission in respect of supplementing this Regulation as regards remedial measures concerning plaice, flounder, turbot and brill, implementation of the landing obligation and technical measures. *It is of particular importance that the Commission carry out appropriate consultations during its preparatory work, including at experts level. The Commission, when preparing and drawing-up delegated acts, should ensure a simultaneous, timely and appropriate transmission of relevant documents to the European Parliament*

Amendment

(18) In order to adapt to the technical and scientific progress in a timely and proportionate fashion and to ensure flexibility and allow evolution of certain measures, the power to adopt acts in accordance with Article 290 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union should be delegated to the Commission in respect of supplementing this Regulation as regards remedial measures concerning plaice, flounder, turbot and brill, implementation of the landing obligation and technical measures.

and Council.

Or. en

Amendment 48
Renata Briano

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 18

Text proposed by the Commission

(18) In order to adapt to the technical and scientific progress in a timely and proportionate fashion and to ensure flexibility and allow evolution of certain measures, the power to adopt acts in accordance with Article 290 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union should be delegated to the Commission in respect of supplementing this Regulation as regards remedial measures concerning plaice, flounder, turbot and brill, implementation of the landing obligation and technical measures. It is of particular importance that the Commission carry out appropriate consultations during its preparatory work, ***including at*** experts ***level***. The Commission, when preparing and drawing-up delegated acts, should ensure a simultaneous, timely and appropriate transmission of relevant documents to the European Parliament and Council.

Amendment

(18) In order to adapt to the technical and scientific progress in a timely and proportionate fashion and to ensure flexibility and allow evolution of certain measures, the power to adopt acts in accordance with Article 290 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union should be delegated to the Commission in respect of supplementing this Regulation as regards remedial measures concerning plaice, flounder, turbot and brill, implementation of the landing obligation and technical measures. It is of particular importance that the Commission carry out appropriate consultations during its preparatory work, ***involving European Parliament and Council*** experts ***and regional advisory committees.*** The Commission, when preparing and drawing-up delegated acts, should ensure a simultaneous, timely and appropriate transmission of relevant documents to the European Parliament and Council.

Or. it

Amendment 49
Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 18

Text proposed by the Commission

(18) In order to adapt to the technical and scientific progress in a timely and proportionate fashion and to ensure flexibility and allow evolution of certain measures, the power to adopt acts in accordance with Article 290 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union should be delegated to the Commission in respect of supplementing this Regulation as regards remedial measures concerning plaice, flounder, turbot and brill, implementation of the landing obligation and technical measures. It is of particular importance that the Commission **carry** out appropriate consultations during its preparatory work, including at **experts** level. The Commission, when preparing and drawing-up delegated acts, should ensure a simultaneous, timely and appropriate transmission of relevant documents to the European Parliament and Council.

Amendment

(18) In order to adapt to the technical and scientific progress in a timely and proportionate fashion and to ensure flexibility and allow evolution of certain measures, the power to adopt acts in accordance with Article 290 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union should be delegated to the Commission in respect of supplementing this Regulation as regards remedial measures concerning plaice, flounder, turbot and brill, implementation of the landing obligation and technical measures. It is of particular importance that the Commission **carries** out appropriate consultations during its preparatory work, including at **expert** level **and in consultation with the advisory councils concerned**. The Commission, when preparing and drawing-up delegated acts, should ensure a simultaneous, timely and appropriate transmission of relevant documents to the European Parliament and Council.

Or. fr

Amendment 50
Jarosław Wałęsa

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 18 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(18a) It is of particular importance that the Commission carry out appropriate consultations during its preparatory work, involving both the European Parliament and the Council experts. Intensive debate with the stakeholders affected should be undertaken before a proposal for a specific measure is finalised. The Commission, when preparing and

drawing-up delegated acts, should ensure a simultaneous, timely and appropriate transmission of relevant documents to the European Parliament and the Council.

Or. en

Amendment 51
Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 18 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(18a) The Commission shall take account of the opinion of the advisory councils concerned when it adopts delegated acts to extend this regulation with regard to remedial measures for plaice, flounder, turbot and brill, implementation of the landing obligation and technical measures.

Or. fr

Amendment 52
Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 19

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(19) In accordance with Article 18 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, where the Commission has been granted powers to adopt delegated acts in respect of certain conservation measures as set out in the plan, Member States having a direct management interest in the Baltic Sea fisheries should have the possibility to submit joint recommendations for such

(19) In accordance with Article 18 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, where the Commission has been granted powers to adopt delegated acts in respect of certain conservation measures as set out in the plan, Member States **and the advisory councils** having a direct management interest in the Baltic Sea fisheries should have the possibility to submit joint

measures, so that these measures are well designed to correspond to the particularities of the Baltic Sea and its fisheries. The deadline for submitting these recommendations should be established, as required by Article 18(1) of that Regulation.

recommendations for such measures, so that these measures are well designed to correspond to the particularities of the Baltic Sea and its fisheries. The deadline for submitting these recommendations should be established, as required by Article 18(1) of that Regulation.

Or. fr

Amendment 53
Alain Cadec

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 19 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(19a) To encourage the effectiveness and innovational aspects of the plan, joint recommendations and subsequent delegated acts shall aim to ensure the inclusion of bottom-up and results-based approaches.

Or. en

Justification

We should avoid that Member States adopt top-down processes to come up with regional joint recommendations. The joint recommendations should be based on a bottom-up approach involving stakeholders.

Amendment 54
Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 19 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(19bis) The Commission shall take account of the advisory councils

concerned when it has been granted powers to adopt delegated acts regarding certain conservation measures provided for in the plan.

Or. fr

Amendment 55
Marek Józef Gróbarczyk

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 20

Text proposed by the Commission

(20) With a view to ensuring compliance with the measures laid down in this Regulation, specific control measures should be adopted in addition to those provided for in Council Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009²¹.

²¹ Council Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009 of 20 November 2009 establishing a Community control system for ensuring compliance with the rules of the common fisheries policy (OJ L 343, 22.12.2009, p. 1).

Amendment

(20) With a view to ensuring compliance with the measures laid down in this Regulation, specific control measures should be adopted in addition to those provided for in Council Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009²¹. ***They shall target industrial fisheries in particular due to their harmful effects on the environment.***

²¹ Council Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009 of 20 November 2009 establishing a Community control system for ensuring compliance with the rules of the common fisheries policy (OJ L 343, 22.12.2009, p. 1).

Or. en

Amendment 56
Werner Kuhn

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 22 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(22a) Rules should be established whereby the multiannual plan introduced by this

Regulation for the purposes of Article 33(1)(c) of Council Regulation (EU) No. 508/2014 of 15 May 2014 can be classified as a multiannual plan in accordance with Articles 9 and 10 of Council Regulation (EU) No. 1380/2013 of 11 December 2013.

Or. de

Justification

Die Verordnung (EU) Nr. 508/2014 sieht die Möglichkeit vor, im Fall einer vorübergehenden Einstellung der Fischereitätigkeit Entschädigungen und Ausgleichszahlungen an Fischer und Eigner von Fischereifahrzeugen zu leisten, falls eine solche Einstellung eine unmittelbare Folge z. B. der Erhaltungsmaßnahmen ist. Voraussetzung hierfür ist, dass die vorübergehende Einstellung in einem Mehrjahresplan vorgesehen ist. Es bedarf also einer Regelung direkt im Mehrjahresplan, die die Möglichkeit der EMFF-Finanzierung für die vorübergehende Einstellung der Fangtätigkeit vor-sieht.

Amendment 57
Alain Cadec

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 25

Text proposed by the Commission

(25) As regards the time-frame, it is expected that for the stocks concerned maximum sustainable yield should be reached by 2015. It should be maintained from there on.

Amendment

(25) As regards the time-frame, it is expected that for the stocks concerned maximum sustainable yield should be reached by 2015 ***if possible, if not by 2020 at the latest, in accordance with Article 2(2) of Regulation (EU) 1380/2013.***

Or. fr

Justification

The basic regulation states that maximum sustainable yield should be achieved in 2015 where possible and by 2020 at the latest for all stocks. A reminder is needed that this flexibility option is available.

Amendment 58
Ulrike Rodust, Richard Corbett, Ricardo Serrão Santos

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 25

Text proposed by the Commission

(25) As regards the time-frame, *it is expected that for the stocks concerned maximum sustainable yield should be reached by 2015*. It should be maintained from *there* on.

Amendment

(25) As regards the time-frame, *the stocks concerned should achieve the target by 2015 where possible and, on a progressive, incremental basis at the latest by 2020*. It should be maintained from *these dates* on.

Or. en

Justification

The Commission's recital 25 bears an inconsistency as it combines an expectation ("expected") with an obligation ("should"). The amendment takes up the respective formulation from Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.

Amendment 59
Anja Hazekamp

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 25

Text proposed by the Commission

(25) As regards the time-frame, it is expected that for the stocks concerned maximum sustainable yield should be reached by 2015. It should be maintained from there on.

Amendment

(25) As regards the time-frame, it is expected that for the stocks concerned *levels above those corresponding to* maximum sustainable yield should be reached by 2015. It should be maintained from there on.

Or. en

Justification

This amendment applies throughout the text. Adopting it will necessitate corresponding changes throughout. As resolved in the new CFP, maximum sustainable yield is a limit, not a target.

Amendment 60

Sandra Kalniete, Jarosław Wałęsa, Marju Lauristin, Tunne Kelam, Roberts Zīle, Marek Józef Gróbarczyk

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 26

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(26) In the absence of fishing effort regime it is necessary to delete the specific rules on special fishing permit and replacement of vessels or engines applicable to the Gulf of Riga. Accordingly, Council Regulation (EC) No 2187/2005 should be amended.

deleted

Or. en

Justification

As stated in the Treaty of Accession of Latvia and of Estonia, the specific measures safeguard the Gulf of Riga that is considered as a very sensitive eco-region. Currently, only Latvian and Estonian fishing vessels are authorised to fish in waters in question. If the ban is lifted, these waters would become open to all fleets, e.g. industrial fisheries, thus threatening sustainability of the stocks, risking to break down the fragile balance of the ecosystem that has improved during the recent years due to the safeguarding policy.

Amendment 61

Diane Dodds

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. The plan shall also apply to plaice, flounder, turbot and brill in ICES Subdivisions 22-32 caught when fishing for the stocks concerned.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 62

Ulrike Rodust, Richard Corbett, Ricardo Serrão Santos, Renata Briano

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The plan shall also apply to plaice, flounder, turbot and brill in ICES Subdivisions 22-32 caught when fishing for the stocks concerned.

Amendment

2. The plan shall also apply to plaice, flounder, turbot and brill in ICES Subdivisions 22-32 **as well as to seabirds and marine mammals** caught when fishing for the stocks concerned.

Or. en

Justification

The scope of the Baltic Multiannual Plan needs to reflect the language which was agreed in Article 2(3) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013. Moreover, the amendment guarantees coherence with recital (3) of the Commission proposal.

Amendment 63

Alain Cadec

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The plan shall also apply to plaice, flounder, turbot and brill in ICES Subdivisions 22-32 caught when fishing for the stocks concerned.

Amendment

2. The plan shall also apply to **by-catches of** plaice, flounder, turbot and brill in ICES Subdivisions 22-32 caught when fishing for the stocks concerned.

Or. fr

Justification

This is a multiannual management plan not a multi-species eco-system plan. It should thus be noted that only by-catches of plaice, flounder, turbot and brill are involved.

Amendment 64
Marco Affronte

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) ‘trapnet’ means **large** nets, anchored, fixed on stakes or occasionally floating, **open at the surface and** provided with various types of fish herding and retaining devices, and which are generally divided into chambers closed at the bottom by netting;

Amendment

(b) ‘trapnet’ means nets, anchored, fixed on stakes or occasionally floating, provided with various types of fish herding and retaining devices, and which are generally divided into chambers closed at the bottom by netting;

Or. en

Amendment 65
Jarosław Wałęsa

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) ‘trapnet’ means **large** nets, anchored, fixed on stakes or occasionally floating, open at the surface and provided with various types of fish herding and retaining devices, and which are generally divided into chambers closed at the bottom by netting;

Amendment

(b) ‘trapnet, **fyke-net and pound net**’ means nets, anchored, fixed on stakes or occasionally floating, open at the surface and provided with various types of fish herding and retaining devices, and which are generally divided into chambers closed at the bottom by netting;

Or. en

Amendment 66
Marco Affronte

Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) ‘pots and creels’ mean **small** traps designed to catch crustaceans or fish in the form of cages or baskets made with various materials that are set on the seabed either singly or in rows; connected by ropes (buoy-lines) to buoys on the surface showing their position and having one or more openings or entrances;

Amendment

(c) ‘pots and creels’ mean traps designed to catch crustaceans or fish in the form of cages or baskets made with various materials that are set on the seabed either singly or in rows; connected by ropes (buoy-lines) to buoys on the surface showing their position and having one or more openings or entrances;

Or. en

Amendment 67
Linnéa Engström

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The plan shall **aim at contributing to** the objectives of the common fisheries policy listed in Article 2 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 and in particular:

Amendment

1. The plan shall **follow the precautionary approach and aim to achieve** the objectives of the common fisheries policy listed in Article 2 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, and in particular:

Or. en

Amendment 68
Christofer Fjellner

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The plan shall aim at contributing to the objectives of the common fisheries policy listed in Article 2 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 and in particular:

Amendment

1. The plan shall aim at contributing to the objectives of the common fisheries policy listed in Article 2 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 and in particular **the objectives outlined in Article 2.2, Article 9.1 and Article 9.2 of the same Regulation:**

Justification

In order to avoid confusion, it ought to be spelt out that the maximum sustainable yield objective and the precautionary approach should stay in line with the objectives outlined in the Common Fisheries Policy objectives, which are mentioned in the Basic Regulation.

Amendment 69

Ulrike Rodust, Richard Corbett, Ricardo Serrão Santos

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The plan shall ***aim at contributing to*** the objectives of the common fisheries policy listed in Article 2 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 and in particular:

Amendment

1. The plan shall ***implement*** the objectives of the common fisheries policy listed in Article 2 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 and in particular:

Or. en

Amendment 70

Nils Torvalds

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The plan shall ***aim at contributing to*** the objectives of the common fisheries policy listed in Article 2 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 and in particular:

Amendment

1. The plan shall ***ensure that*** the objectives of the common fisheries policy listed in Article 2 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 and in particular:

Or. en

Amendment 71

Alain Cadec

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) achieving and maintaining maximum sustainable yield for the stocks concerned, and

Amendment

(a) ***re-establishing*** and maintaining ***populations of the stocks concerned above the biomass levels that are capable of producing the maximum sustainable yield***, and

Or. fr

Justification

Bringing the text into line with Article 2(2) of the basic regulation.

Amendment 72
Renata Briano

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) achieving and maintaining ***maximum sustainable yield for the stocks concerned***, and

Amendment

(a) achieving and maintaining ***populations of the stocks concerned above levels which can produce the maximum sustainable yield***, and

Or. it

Justification

In accordance with Article 2 of Regulation 1380/2013.

Amendment 73
Marco Affronte

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) **achieving** and maintaining maximum sustainable yield for the stocks concerned, and

(a) **restoring** and maintaining **populations of harvested species above levels which can produce the** maximum sustainable yield for the stocks concerned, and

Or. en

Amendment 74
Anja Hazekamp

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) achieving and maintaining maximum sustainable yield for the stocks concerned, and

(a) achieving and maintaining **levels above those corresponding to** maximum sustainable yield for the stocks concerned, and

Or. en

Justification

As resolved in the new CFP, maximum sustainable yield is a limit, not a target.

Amendment 75
Linnéa Engström

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) **achieving** and maintaining **maximum sustainable yield for** the stocks concerned, and

(a) **restoring** and maintaining **populations of** the stocks concerned **above levels capable of producing maximum sustainable yield,** and

Or. en

Justification

This is the wording in Article 2.2 of Regulation 1380/2013, the basic regulation after the reform.

Amendment 76
Nils Torvalds

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) ***achieving and maintaining maximum sustainable yield for the stocks concerned, and***

Amendment

(a) ***ensuring that exploitation of the stocks concerned restores and maintains populations of harvested species above biomass levels capable of producing the maximum sustainable yield.***

Or. en

Amendment 77
Ulrike Rodust, Ricardo Serrão Santos, Richard Corbett

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) ***achieving and maintaining maximum sustainable yield for the stocks concerned, and***

Amendment

(a) ***ensuring that exploitation restores and maintains populations of the stocks concerned above biomass levels capable of producing maximum sustainable yield, and***

Or. en

Justification

The objectives of the plan need to reflect the language of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.

Amendment 78
Diane Dodds

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) ensuring the conservation of the stocks of plaice, brill, flounder and turbot in line with the precautionary approach.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 79
Alain Cadec

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) ensuring the conservation of the stocks of plaice, brill, flounder and turbot in line with the precautionary approach.

(b) helping ensure, through management of by-catches of plaice, brill, flounder and turbot, the conservation of the stocks of these species, in line with the precautionary approach.

Or. fr

Justification

This is a multiannual management plan not a multi-species eco-system plan. It should thus be noted that only by-catches of plaice, flounder, turbot and brill are involved.

Amendment 80
Renata Briano

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) ensuring the conservation of the stocks

(b) ensuring the conservation of the stocks

of plaice, brill, flounder and turbot in line with the precautionary approach.

of plaice, brill, flounder and turbot in line with the precautionary approach ***until the mortality levels of these stocks are in keeping with the levels which can produce the maximum sustainable yield.***

Or. it

Amendment 81
Linnéa Engström

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) ensuring the conservation of the stocks of plaice, brill, flounder and turbot ***in line with the precautionary approach.***

(b) ensuring the conservation of the stocks of plaice, brill, flounder and turbot ***above levels capable of producing maximum sustainable yield.***

Or. en

Justification

See justification for Art 3.1(a).

Amendment 82
Marco Affronte

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) ensuring the conservation of the stocks of plaice, brill, flounder and turbot in line with the precautionary approach.

(b) ensuring the conservation of the stocks of plaice, brill, flounder and turbot in line with the precautionary ***and ecosystem based approach to fisheries management.***

Or. en

Amendment 83
Ricardo Serrão Santos

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) ensuring the conservation of the stocks of **plaice, brill, flounder and turbot** in line with the precautionary approach.

Amendment

(b) ensuring the conservation of the stocks of **other species are** in line with the precautionary approach.

Or. en

Amendment 84
Linnéa Engström

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The plan shall aim at contributing to the implementation of the landing obligation established in Article 15(1) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 for the stocks concerned and for plaice.

Amendment

2. The plan shall aim at **gradually eliminating discards, taking into account the best available scientific advice, by avoiding and reducing unwanted catches, as well as by** contributing to the implementation of the landing obligation established in Article 15(1) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 for the stocks concerned and for plaice.

Or. en

Justification

The reform agreed on the need to "gradually eliminate discards" by avoiding unwanted catches, as provided for in Article 2.5 of Regulation 1380/2013,

Amendment 85
Jarosław Wałęsa

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The plan shall ***aim at contributing*** to the implementation of the landing obligation established in Article 15(1) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 for the stocks concerned and for plaice.

Amendment

2. The plan shall ***contribute*** to the implementation of the landing obligation established in Article 15(1) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 for the stocks concerned and for plaice.

Or. en

Amendment 86

Ulrike Rodust, Ole Christensen, Richard Corbett, Ricardo Serrão Santos, Renata Briano

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The plan shall ***aim at contributing*** to the implementation of the landing obligation established in Article 15(1) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 for the stocks concerned and for plaice.

Amendment

2. The plan shall ***contribute*** to the implementation of the landing obligation established in Article 15(1) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 for the stocks concerned and for plaice.

Or. en

Justification

Article 15(5) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 explicitly states that multiannual plans shall specify details of the implementation of the landing obligation. Therefore, the amendment takes up the wording and intention of the basic regulation. By this it also places a greater emphasis on the obligatory character of the wording in Article 15(5) in comparison to the Commission proposal.

Amendment 87

Marco Affronte

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. The plan shall achieve Good Environmental Status (GES) of the Marine Strategy Framework Directive (2008/56/EC).

Or. en

Amendment 88
Linnéa Engström

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. The plan shall implement the ecosystem-based approach by aiming to achieving good environmental status by 2020, as required in Article 2 of Regulation (EC) No 1380/2013 and Directive 2008/56/EC, in particular Article 1(1) therein.

Or. en

Justification

Achieving good environmental status is an objective of the CFP in Article 2 of Reg. 1380/2013, as well as a requirement of the Marine Strategy Framework Directive

Amendment 89
Anja Hazekamp

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 3a

The plan shall aim at contributing to the

objectives of the Marine Strategy Framework Directive (2008/56/EC) to achieve Good Environmental Status by 2020.

Or. en

Amendment 90

Ulrike Rodust, Renata Briano, Richard Corbett, Ricardo Serrão Santos

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 3a

The plan shall contribute to the achievement of good environmental status pursuant to Directive 2008/56/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 June 2008^{1a}

^{1a} Directive 2008/56/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 June 2008 establishing a framework for community action in the field of marine environmental policy (Marine Strategy Framework Directive) (OJ L 164, 25.6.2008, p.19)

Or. en

Justification

The amendment aligns the objectives of the plan to Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, here specifically Article 2(5j). This amendment also guarantees coherence with recital (3).

Amendment 91

Ricardo Serrão Santos

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 1

PE551.757v01-00

40/105

AM\1052614EN.doc

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. The target fishing mortality shall be **reached** by 2015 and maintained **onwards** for the stocks concerned within the following ranges:

1. The target fishing mortality shall be **achieved** by 2015 **where possible and, on a progressive, incremental basis at the latest by 2020** and maintained **thereafter for the stocks concerned. The fishing mortality** for the stocks concerned **shall be set** within the following ranges:

Stock	Target fishing mortality range	Stock	Target fishing mortality range
Western Baltic Cod	0.23-0.29	Western Baltic Cod	0 to FMSY
Eastern Baltic Cod	0.41-0.51	Eastern Baltic Cod	0 to FMSY
Central Baltic herring	0.23-0.29	Central Baltic herring	0 to FMSY
Gulf of Riga herring	0.32-0.39	Gulf of Riga herring	0 to FMSY
Bothnian Sea herring	0.13-0.17	Bothnian Sea herring	0 to FMSY
Bothnian Bay herring	Not defined	Bothnian Bay herring	0 to FMSY
Western Baltic herring	0.25-0.31	Western Baltic herring	0 to FMSY
Baltic Sprat	0.26-0.32	Baltic Sprat	0 to FMSY

Values for FMSY should be taken from the latest reliable scientific advice available and F should aim to 0.8 times FMSY.

Or. en

Amendment 92
Ulrike Rodust

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The target fishing mortality shall be **reached** by 2015 and maintained **onwards** for the stocks concerned within the following ranges:

Amendment

1. The target fishing mortality shall be **achieved** by 2015 **where possible and, on a progressive, incremental basis at the latest by 2020** and maintained **thereafter** for the stocks concerned. **The fishing mortality for the stocks concerned shall be set** within the following ranges:

Or. en

Amendment 93
Christofer Fjellner

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. **The target fishing mortality shall be reached by 2015 and maintained onwards for the stocks concerned** within the following ranges:

Amendment

1. **In order to reach the objectives set out in Article 3, the target fishing mortality shall be** within the following ranges **by [201x] and thereafter:**

Or. en

Amendment 94
Jarosław Wałęsa

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. **The target fishing mortality shall be reached by 2015 and maintained onwards** for the stocks concerned within the following ranges:

Amendment

1. **In order to reach the objectives set out in the Article 3, the target fishing mortality** for the stocks concerned **shall be** within the following ranges **within one year after entry into force of this Regulation and thereafter:**

Or. en

Justification

This amendment seeks to reach the objectives set out in the Article 3 within one year after entry into force of this regulation.

Amendment 95 Linnéa Engström

Proposal for a regulation Article 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. *The target fishing mortality shall be reached by 2015 and maintained onwards for the stocks concerned within the following ranges:*

Stock	Target fishing mortality range
Western Baltic cod	<i>0.23-0.29</i>
Eastern Baltic cod	<i>0.41-0.51</i>
Central Baltic herring	<i>0.23-0.29</i>
Gulf of Riga herring	<i>0.32-0.39</i>
Bothnian Sea herring	<i>0.13-0.17</i>
Bothnian Bay herring	<i>Not defined</i>
Western Baltic herring	<i>0.25-0.31</i>
Baltic Sprat	<i>0.26-0.32</i>

1. *In order to achieve the objectives established in Article 3 above, the fishing mortality for the stocks concerned shall, by 2015, be in the range of between zero and the following values:*

Stock	Target fishing mortality range
Western Baltic cod	<i>up to 0.8 times value of F-MSY</i>
Eastern Baltic cod	<i>up to 0.8 times value of F-MSY</i>
Central Baltic herring	<i>up to 0.8 times value of F-MSY</i>
Gulf of Riga herring	<i>up to 0.8 times value of F-MSY</i>
Bothnian Sea herring	<i>up to 0.8 times value of F-MSY</i>
Bothnian Bay herring	<i>up to 0.8 times value of F-MSY</i>
Western Baltic herring	<i>up to 0.8 times value of F-MSY</i>
Baltic Sprat	<i>up to 0.8 times value of F-MSY</i>

(Note - numbers in table to be filled in according to values provided by ICES report

"EU request to ICES to provide F-MSY ranges for selected North Sea and Baltic stocks" in March 2015)

Or. en

Justification

The COM values are preliminary ICES values for single species F-MSY. ICES will produce its estimates for multi-species F-MSY during March 2015, so provision must be made for adapting the values in the COM proposal accordingly. Further, the CFP requires keeping stocks above MSY levels, which requires fishing at below F-MSY. An appropriate level of F is 80% of F-MSY, which ensures a high percentage of MSY at a fraction of the fishing effort, leading to much better economic return for the fishers.

Amendment 96
Marco Affronte

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The target fishing mortality shall be reached by 2015 and maintained onwards for the stocks concerned within the following ranges:

Amendment

1. The target fishing mortality shall ***take into account the most recent scientific advice and shall*** be reached by 2015 and maintained onwards for the stocks concerned within the following ranges:

Or. en

Justification

In March ICES will present estimates of FMSY ranges, following the planned benchmarking process for the Baltic Sea cod stocks. It is important take into account these figures before adopting the plan in order to avoid to rest on a very uncertain scientific basis.

Amendment 97
Anja Hazekamp

PE551.757v01-00

44/105

AM\1052614EN.doc

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 1– rows 1, 2

<i>Text proposed by the Commission</i>		<i>Amendment</i>	
Western Baltic Cod	0.23-0.29	Western Baltic cod	0
Eastern Baltic Cod	0.41-0.51	Eastern Baltic cod	0

Or. en

Justification

Since the cod is classified by IUCN as an endangered species and the Baltic stocks are in a deplorable state, Baltic cod should not be fished. The mortality ranges suggested by the Commission proposal are dangerously high, especially regarding the Eastern Baltic cod, for which adequate data is lacking.

Amendment 98
Anja Hazekamp

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 1 – row 6

<i>Text proposed by the Commission</i>		<i>Amendment</i>	
Bothnian Bay herring	Not defined	Bothnian Bay herring	0

Or. en

Justification

As the Bothnian Bay herring population is data-limited, the Commission proposal does not include a harvest rule for it. However, as long as scientific data is lacking, it would be more precautionary not to fish on the Bothnian Bay herring.

Amendment 99
Anja Hazekamp

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 1 – rows 3, 4, 5, 7, 8

<i>Text proposed by the Commission</i>		<i>Amendment</i>	
Central Baltic herring	0.23-0.29	Central Baltic herring	0
Gulf of Riga herring	0.32-0.39	Gulf of Riga herring	0
Bothnian Sea herring	0.13-0.17	Bothnian Sea herring	0
Western Baltic herring	0.25-0.31	Western Baltic herring	0
Baltic Sprat	0.26-0.32	Baltic Sprat	0

Or. en

Justification

Since cod is dependent on the availability of herring and sprat for its recovery to healthy population sizes, and since cod is an endangered species central to the functioning of the Baltic sea ecosystem, which is currently in a deplorable state, from an ecological perspective it is urgently required to allow the whole ecosystem a period of recovery. This is best achieved by suspending fisheries overall.

Amendment 100
Linnéa Engström

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 2

<i>Text proposed by the Commission</i>	<i>Amendment</i>
2. In accordance with Article 16(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, fishing opportunities shall comply with the targets set out in paragraph 1.	2. In accordance with Article 16(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, fishing opportunities shall comply with the targets set out in paragraph 1. <i>In particular, they shall ensure that there is less than a 5% probability of exceeding the mortality rate corresponding to F-MSY</i>

Or. en

Justification

This precision is needed in order to prevent unintentionally setting fishing opportunities above F-MSY.

Amendment 101
Marek Józef Gróbarczyk

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. This Regulation shall provide for the temporary cessation of fishing activities as defined in Article 33 of Regulation (EU) 508/2014^{1a} of the European Parliament and of the Council, with financial support provided under the same regulation.

^{1a} Regulation (EU) 508/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 15 May 2014 on the European Maritime and Fisheries Fund and repealing Council Regulations (EC) No 2328/2003, (EC) No 861/2006, (EC) No 1198/2006 and (EC) No 791/2007 and Regulation (EU) No 1255/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council (OJ L 149, 20.5.2014, p. 1).

Or. pl

Amendment 102
Anja Hazekamp

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Minimum spawning biomass

Biomass corresponding to maximum sustainable yield

Justification

In the Commission proposal, measures will only be taken when the biomass falls below a critical level known as Bpa. This is not a very ambitious approach. As the biomass corresponding to Maximum Sustainable Yield already constitutes a lower limit that should not be exceeded, conservation reference points should also be set at this level so that urgent action is taken in case biomass drops below it.

Amendment 103
Linnéa Engström

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Minimum spawning biomass

Safeguards and minimum spawning biomass

Or. en

Justification

Safeguards are required in multiannual plans under the provisions of Art 10.1(g) of the basic regulation and this is the appropriate place to put them.

Amendment 104
Anja Hazekamp

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. *The* conservation reference points expressed in ***minimum spawning biomass level that is consistent with full reproductive capacity*** shall be for the stocks concerned as follows:

1. ***To ensure that fish populations do not exceed the lower limit corresponding to maximum sustainable yield***, conservation reference points ***are*** expressed in biomass ***corresponding to maximum sustainable yield (BMSY), which*** shall be for the stocks concerned as follows:

Justification

This amendment requires further changes to be made in the table below. The minimum spawning biomass levels will need to be replaced by biomass figures corresponding to maximum sustainable yield. In the Commission proposal, measures will only be taken when the biomass falls below a critical level known as Bpa. This is not a very ambitious approach. As the biomass corresponding to Maximum Sustainable Yield already constitutes a lower limit that should not be exceeded, conservation reference points should also be set at this level so that urgent action is taken in case biomass drops below it.

Amendment 105**Ricardo Serrão Santos****Proposal for a regulation****Article 5 – paragraph 1**

<i>Text proposed by the Commission</i>		<i>Amendment</i>	
1. The conservation reference points <i>expressed in minimum spawning biomass level that is</i> consistent with full reproductive capacity shall be for the stocks concerned as follows:		1. The conservation reference points <i>that are</i> consistent with full reproductive capacity shall be for the stocks concerned as follows:	
Stock	Minimum spawning biomass level (in tonnes)	Stock	Minimum spawning biomass level (in tonnes)
Western Baltic cod	36 400	Western Baltic cod	<i>36 400 for 2015 and BMSY for the remaining years</i>
Eastern Baltic cod	88 200	Eastern Baltic cod	<i>88 200 for 2015 and BMSY for the remaining years</i>
Central Baltic herring	600 000	Central Baltic herring	<i>600 000 for 2015 and BMSY for the remaining years</i>
Gulf of Riga herring	Not defined	Gulf of Riga herring	<i>Not defined for 2015 and BMSY</i>

Bothnian Sea herring	Not defined	Bothnian Sea herring	<i>for the remaining years</i> Not defined <i>for 2015 and BMSY for the remaining years</i>
Bothnian Bay herring	Not defined	Bothnian Bay herring	Not defined <i>for 2015 and BMSY for the remaining years</i>
Western Baltic herring	110 000	Western Baltic herring	110 000 <i>for 2015 and MBSY for the remaining years</i>
Baltic sprat	570 000	Baltic sprat	570 000 <i>for 2015 and BMSY for the remaining years</i>

Or. en

Justification

BMSY will produce the full reproductive capacity.

Amendment 106
Nils Torvalds

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 1 – rows 4, 5

<i>Text proposed by the Commission</i>		<i>Amendment</i>	
Gulf of Riga herring	<i>Not defined</i>	Gulf of Riga herring	60 000
Bothnian Sea herring	<i>Not defined</i>	Bothnian Sea herring	316 000

Or. en

Amendment 107
Nils Torvalds

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. When the spawning biomass of any of the stocks concerned for a certain year is below the minimum spawning biomass levels set out in paragraph 1, appropriate remedial measures shall be adopted to ensure rapid return of the stock concerned to precautionary levels. In particular, by way of derogation from Article 4(2) of this Regulation and in accordance with Article 16(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 fishing opportunities shall be set at levels lower than those resulting in target fishing mortality ranges laid down in Article 4(1). These remedial measures may also include, as appropriate, the submission of legislative proposals by the Commission and emergency measures adopted by the Commission under Article 12 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.

Amendment

2. When the spawning biomass of any of the stocks concerned for a certain year is below the minimum spawning biomass levels set out in paragraph 1, appropriate remedial measures shall be adopted to ensure rapid return of the stock concerned to precautionary levels. In particular, by way of derogation from Article 4(I) of this Regulation and in accordance with Article 16(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 fishing opportunities shall be set at levels lower than those resulting in target fishing mortality ranges laid down in Article 4(1). These remedial measures may also include, as appropriate, the submission of legislative proposals by the Commission and emergency measures adopted by the Commission under Article 12 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.

Or. en

Amendment 108
Linnéa Engström

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. When the spawning biomass of any of the stocks concerned for a certain year is below the minimum **spawning** biomass levels set out in paragraph 1, appropriate remedial measures shall be adopted to ensure **rapid** return of the stock concerned to **precautionary** levels. In particular, by way of derogation from Article 4(2) of this

Amendment

2. When the spawning biomass of any of the stocks concerned for a certain year is below the minimum biomass levels set out in paragraph 1, appropriate remedial measures shall be adopted to ensure **the** return, **as soon as possible**, of the stock concerned to levels **above those capable of producing maximum sustainable yield**. In

Regulation and in accordance with Article 16(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 fishing opportunities shall be set at levels lower than those resulting in target fishing mortality ranges laid down in Article 4(1). These remedial measures may also include, as appropriate, the submission of legislative proposals by the Commission and emergency measures adopted by the Commission under Article 12 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.

particular, by way of derogation from Article 4(2) of this Regulation and in accordance with Article 16(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 fishing opportunities shall be set at levels lower than those resulting in target fishing mortality ranges laid down in Article 4(1). These remedial measures may also include, as appropriate, the submission of legislative proposals by the Commission and emergency measures adopted by the Commission under Article 12 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.

Or. en

Justification

This is the first safeguard in the plan, and as such, it needs to be specified that the remedial measures taken must be designed to get the stocks above MSY levels, which is the objective of the CFP. Simply referring to "precautionary levels" is too vague and not defined.

Amendment 109

Ulrike Rodust, Ricardo Serrão Santos, Richard Corbett

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. When the spawning biomass of any of the stocks concerned for a certain year is below the minimum spawning biomass levels set out in paragraph 1, appropriate remedial measures shall be adopted to ensure **rapid return of the stock** concerned to precautionary levels. In particular, by way of derogation from Article 4(2) of this Regulation and in accordance with Article 16(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 fishing opportunities shall be set at levels lower than those resulting in target fishing mortality ranges laid down in Article 4(1). These remedial measures may also include, as appropriate, the submission of

Amendment

2. When the spawning biomass of any of the stocks concerned for a certain year is below the minimum spawning biomass levels set out in paragraph 1, appropriate remedial measures shall be adopted to ensure **that the stocks** concerned **return** to precautionary levels **as soon as possible**. In particular, by way of derogation from Article 4(2) of this Regulation and in accordance with Article 16(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 fishing opportunities shall be set at levels lower than those resulting in target fishing mortality ranges laid down in Article 4(1). These remedial measures may also include,

legislative proposals by the Commission and emergency measures adopted by the Commission under Article 12 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.

as appropriate, the submission of legislative proposals by the Commission and emergency measures adopted by the Commission under Article 12 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.

Or. en

Justification

“Rapid” is an undetermined term and leaves scope for interpretation. The amendment refers to the expression as soon as possible as used e.g. in Recital (7) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.

Amendment 110 **Anja Hazekamp**

Proposal for a regulation **Article 5 – paragraph 2**

Text proposed by the Commission

2. When the **spawning** biomass of any of the stocks concerned for a certain year is below the **minimum spawning biomass levels** set out in paragraph 1, appropriate remedial measures shall be adopted to ensure rapid return of the stock concerned to precautionary levels. In particular, by way of derogation from Article 4(2) of this Regulation and in accordance with Article 16(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 fishing opportunities shall be set at levels lower than those resulting in target fishing mortality ranges laid down in Article 4(1). These remedial measures may also include, as appropriate, the submission of legislative proposals by the Commission and emergency measures adopted by the Commission under Article 12 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.

Amendment

2. When the biomass of any of the stocks concerned for a certain year is below the **levels corresponding to maximum sustainable yield** set out in paragraph 1, appropriate remedial measures shall be adopted to ensure rapid return of the stock concerned to precautionary levels. In particular, by way of derogation from Article 4(2) of this Regulation and in accordance with Article 16(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 fishing opportunities shall be **discontinued, or** set at levels **substantially** lower than those resulting in target fishing mortality ranges laid down in Article 4(1). These remedial measures may also include, as appropriate, the submission of legislative proposals by the Commission and emergency measures adopted by the Commission under Article 12 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.

Or. en

Amendment 111
Linnéa Engström

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. When the biomass of any of the stocks concerned for a certain year falls below the levels set out in the table below, appropriate measures shall be taken to halt targeted fishing for the relevant stock.

<i>Stock</i>	<i>Limit biomass level (in tonnes)</i>
<i>Western Baltic cod</i>	<i>26 000</i>
<i>Eastern Baltic cod</i>	<i>63 000</i>
<i>Central Baltic herring</i>	<i>430 000</i>
<i>Gulf of Riga herring</i>	<i>Not defined</i>
<i>Bothnian Sea herring</i>	<i>Not defined</i>
<i>Bothnian Bay herring</i>	<i>Not defined</i>
<i>Western Baltic herring</i>	<i>90 000</i>
<i>Baltic sprat</i>	<i>410 000</i>

Or. en

Justification

This is a second safeguard, as required in multiannual plans. The figures here are the values that ICES has determined as B-limit, the levels below which there will be reduced reproduction and therefore lower recruitment. Stocks below this point are in the danger zone and so targeted fishing should be halted.

Amendment 112
Linnéa Engström

Proposal for a regulation
Chapter IV – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Specific conservation measures for plaice,
flounder, turbot and brill

Amendment

Specific conservation measures for plaice,
flounder, turbot and brill ***and other
incidental catches***

Or. en

Amendment 113
Linnéa Engström

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Measures in case of threat to plaice,
flounder, turbot and brill

Amendment

Measures in case of threat to plaice,
flounder, turbot and brill ***and other
incidental catches***

Or. en

Amendment 114
Anja Hazekamp

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Measures ***in case of threat to*** plaice,
flounder, turbot and brill

Amendment

Measures ***for the protection of*** plaice,
flounder, turbot and brill

Or. en

Amendment 115
Renata Briano

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. When scientific advice states that the conservation of any of the Baltic stocks of plaice, flounder, turbot or brill is under threat, the Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 15 on specific conservation measures concerning the stock under threat and regarding any of the following:

Amendment

1. When scientific advice states that the conservation of any of the Baltic stocks of plaice, flounder, turbot or brill, **birds and marine mammals**, is under threat, the Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 15 on specific conservation measures concerning the stock under threat and regarding any of the following:

Or. it

Amendment 116
Alain Cadec

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. When scientific advice states that the conservation of any of the Baltic stocks of plaice, flounder, turbot or brill is under threat, the Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 15 on specific conservation measures concerning the stock under threat and regarding any of the following:

Amendment

1. When scientific advice states that the conservation of any of the Baltic stocks of plaice, flounder, turbot or brill is under threat, the Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 15 on specific conservation measures concerning **by-catches of** the stock under threat and regarding any of the following:

Or. fr

Justification

This is a multiannual management plan not a multi-species eco-system plan. It should thus be noted that only by-catches of plaice, flounder, turbot and brill are involved.

Amendment 117
Nils Torvalds

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. When scientific advice states that the conservation of any of the Baltic stocks of plaice, flounder, turbot or brill is under threat, the Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 15 on specific conservation measures concerning the stock under threat and regarding any of the following:

Amendment

1. When scientific advice states that the conservation of any of the Baltic stocks of plaice, flounder, turbot or brill is under threat, the Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 15 on specific conservation measures concerning **trawl fishing for** the stock under threat and regarding any of the following:

Or. en

Justification

Restrict the delegation of powers to trawl fishing since the scope otherwise in principle would cover all small-scale fisheries with gillnets. Such fisheries should rather be regulated through national provisions.

Amendment 118
Linnéa Engström

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. When scientific advice states that the conservation of any of the Baltic stocks of plaice, flounder, turbot **or** brill is under threat, the Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 15 on specific conservation measures concerning the stock under threat and regarding any of the following:

Amendment

1. When scientific advice states that the conservation of any of the Baltic stocks of plaice, flounder, turbot, brill, **seabirds or marine mammals** is under threat, the Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 15 on specific conservation measures concerning the stock under threat and regarding any of the following:

Or. en

Justification

The COM should also be able to enact delegated acts to prevent incidental capture of seabirds and marine mammals, if necessary according to scientific advice.

Amendment 119
Anja Hazekamp

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. *When scientific advice states that the conservation of any of the Baltic stocks* of plaice, flounder, turbot or brill *is under threat*, the Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 15 on specific conservation measures concerning *the stock under threat* and regarding any of the following:

Amendment

1. *In order to minimize by catch* of plaice, flounder, turbot or brill, the Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 15 on specific conservation measures concerning *these stocks* and regarding any of the following:

Or. en

Justification

Measures to minimize by catch should be taken irrespective of species being under direct threat.

Amendment 120
Diane Dodds

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. When scientific advice states that the conservation of any of the Baltic stocks of plaice, flounder, turbot or brill is under threat, the Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 15 on specific

Amendment

1. When scientific advice states that the conservation of any of the Baltic stocks of plaice, flounder, turbot or brill is under threat, the Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 15 on specific

conservation measures concerning the stock under threat **and regarding any of the following:**

conservation measures concerning the stock under threat.

Or. en

Amendment 121
Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) adaptation of fishing capacity and fishing effort;

deleted

Or. fr

Justification

Prohibition or limitation of fishing during specific time periods provided for under point (b) should be sufficient to deal with urgency of the situation envisaged.

Amendment 122
Nils Torvalds

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) adaptation of fishing capacity and fishing effort;

deleted

Or. en

Justification

Measures for the detailed management of capacity is in the Basic Regulation left for the Member States and should therefore neither in this case be subject to delegation of powers.

Amendment 123
Diane Dodds

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

*(a) adaptation of fishing capacity and
fishing effort;* *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 124
Diane Dodds

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) technical measures, including *deleted*
*(1) characteristics of fishing gear, in
particular mesh size, twine thickness, size
of the gear);*
*(2) use of the fishing gear, in particular
immersion time, depth of gear
deployment);*
*(3) prohibition or limitation to fish in
specific areas;*
*(4) prohibition or limitation to fish during
specific time periods;*
(5) minimum conservation reference size.

Or. en

Amendment 125
Christofer Fjellner

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point b – subpoint 5 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(5a) other characteristics linked to selectivity.

Or. en

Amendment 126
Linnéa Engström

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 1 – point b – subpoint 5 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(5a) other characteristics linked to selectivity.

Or. en

Amendment 127
Diane Dodds

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. The measures referred to in paragraph 1 shall ***aim at achieving the objective set out in Article 3(1)(b) and*** be based on scientific advice.

2. The measures referred to in paragraph 1 shall be based on scientific advice.

Or. en

Amendment 128
Linnéa Engström

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The measures referred to in paragraph 1 shall aim at achieving the objective set out in Article 3(1)(b) and be based on scientific advice.

Amendment

2. The measures referred to in paragraph 1 shall aim at achieving the objective set out in Article 3(1)(b) and be based on ***the best available*** scientific advice.

Or. en

Amendment 129

Nils Torvalds

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The measures referred to in paragraph 1 shall aim at achieving the objective set out in Article 3(1)(b) and be based on scientific advice.

Amendment

2. The measures referred to in paragraph 1 shall aim at achieving the objective set out in Article 3(1)(b) and be based on ***the best available*** scientific advice.

Or. en

Amendment 130

Renata Briano

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts, after receiving joint recommendations from the Member States and after having consulted the European Parliament and the regional advisory committees.

Or. it

Justification

We feel it is necessary to include the European Parliament's participation before the adoption of the delegated act. Often, the narrow time frames for halting or rejecting delegated acts have not enabled Parliament to assess the substance of the matter properly.

Amendment 131

Anja Hazekamp

Proposal for a regulation

Chapter IV a – article 6 a and 6 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

CHAPTER IVa

Specific conservation measures for the protection of marine mammals, turtles, and seabirds

Article 6a

Measures for the protection of marine mammals, turtles and seabirds

1. In order to contribute to the maintenance of biodiversity, as the Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 15 on specific conservation measures concerning marine mammals, reptiles and seabirds, regarding any of the following:

(c) (a) reduction of fishing capacity and fishing effort;

(d) (b) technical measures, including

(1) characteristics of fishing gear, in particular mesh size, twine thickness, size of the gear);

(2) use of the fishing gear, in particular immersion time, depth of gear deployment);

(3) prohibition or limitation to fish using specific types of gear;

(4) prohibition or limitation to fish in specific areas;

(4) prohibition or limitation to fish during specific time periods;

2. The measures referred to in paragraph 1 shall aim at achieving the objective set out in Article 3(3) and be based on scientific advice.

3. The Member States concerned may submit joint recommendations in accordance with Article 18(1) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 for specific conservation measures as referred to in paragraph 1.

Article 6b

Incidental capture of seabirds, turtles and marine mammals

1. Masters of fishing vessels shall promptly release unharmed and alive, seabirds, reptiles and marine mammals incidentally caught in fishing gears. When the condition of the animal requires veterinary treatment, it shall instead be brought ashore. In this case, masters of fishing vessels shall see to it that the animal is handed over to the marine animal rescue centre.

Or. en

Justification

The Baltic sea is home to seabirds, marine mammals (e.g. seals and harbour porpoises) and reptiles (leatherback turtles) that are effected by fisheries. In line with the objectives of the Marine Strategy Framework Directive (2008/56/EC) this multiannual plan should also be concerned with their protection.

Amendment 132
Anja Hazekamp

Proposal for a regulation
Chapter IV b – article 6 c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

CHAPTER VIb

Specific conservation measures for the preservation of seafloor integrity

Article 6c

Prohibition on bottom trawling

In order to preserve seafloor integrity, the use of bottom trawlers shall be prohibited.

Or. en

Amendment 133

Diane Dodds

Proposal for a regulation

Article 7

Text proposed by the Commission

By way of derogation from Article 15(1) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 the landing obligation shall not apply to the stocks concerned and plaice when fishing with the following gears: trapnets, pots and creels.

Amendment

By way of derogation from Article 15(1) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 the landing obligation shall not apply to the stocks concerned and plaice when fishing with the following gears: trapnets, pots and creels, ***fykenets and poundnets.***

Or. en

Amendment 134

Linnéa Engström

Proposal for a regulation

Article 7

Text proposed by the Commission

By way of derogation from Article 15(1) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 the landing obligation shall not apply to ***the stocks concerned and plaice*** when fishing with

Amendment

By way of derogation from Article 15(1) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 the landing obligation shall not apply to ***cod*** when fishing with the following gears: trapnets,

the following gears: trapnets, pots and creels.

pots and creels.

Or. en

Justification

The current discard plan only refers to cod and salmon, so it is not appropriate to add sprat and herring, given their lower survivability.

Amendment 135
Werner Kuhn

Proposal for a regulation
Article 7 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(1a) By way of derogation from Article 15(11) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013, the use of catches of species below the minimum conservation reference size up to a quantity of 30 kg caught by fishing vessels with an overall length of less than 12 m may, in accordance with the relevant legislation, also include the possibility of direct marketing to final consumers, at a local level, for immediate human consumption.

Or. de

Justification

Nicht jeder Hafen der Ostsee sieht notwendige Strukturen zur Fischverwertung vor. Kleinstmengen müssen aufwendig zwischengelagert, gesammelt und transportiert werden. Dies stellt eine zusätzliche Belastung für viele Kleinbetriebe dar. Mit einer Ausnahme vom Verkaufsverbot für Kleinstmengen an untermäßigem Fisch (z.B. 30 kg), soll eine Lösung für die handwerkliche Fischerei (Schiffslänge unter 12m) gefunden werden. Da die Kleinstmengen nur an den Endverbraucher vermarktet werden dürfen, wird ein Handel ausgeschlossen und ein Markt für "Babyfisch" kann nicht entstehen.

Amendment 136
Marco Affronte

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. The Member States concerned *may* submit joint recommendations in accordance with Article 18(1) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 for measures referred to in paragraph 1.

Amendment

3. The Member States concerned *shall every three years* submit joint recommendations in accordance with Article 18(1) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 for measures referred to in paragraph 1.

Or. en

Amendment 137
Anja Hazekamp

Proposal for a regulation
Chapter V a – article 8 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

CHAPTER Va

Fishing effort limitation

Article 8a

Procedure for setting periods when fishing with certain types of gear is allowed

1. It shall be prohibited for fishing vessels to fish with trawls, Danish seines or similar gear of a mesh size equal to or larger than 90 mm, with gillnets, entangling nets or trammel nets of a mesh size equal to or larger than 90 mm, with bottom set lines, longlines except drifting lines, handlines and jigging equipment:

(a) from 1 to 30 April in Area A; and

(b) from 1 July to 31 August in Area B.

2. When fishing with drifting lines no cod shall be retained on board.

3. The Council shall decide each year by a qualified majority on the maximum number of days absent from port outside the periods specified in paragraph 1 in the following year when fishing with the gear referred to in paragraph 1 is allowed, in accordance with the rules set out in paragraphs 4 and 5.

4. Where the fishing mortality rate for one of the cod stocks concerned has been estimated by the STECF to be at least 10 % higher than the minimum fishing mortality rate defined in Article 4, the total number of days when fishing with the gear referred to in paragraph 1 is allowed shall be reduced by 10 % compared to the total number of days allowed in the current year.

5. Where the fishing mortality rate for one of the cod stocks concerned has been estimated by the STECF to be less than 10 % above the minimum fishing mortality rates defined in Article 4, the total number of days where fishing with the gear referred to in paragraph 1 is allowed shall be equal to the total number of days allowed in the current year, multiplied by the minimum fishing mortality rate defined in Article 4 divided by the fishing mortality rate estimated by STECF.

6. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, fishing vessels with an overall length of less than 12 metres shall be permitted to use up to five days per month divided into periods of at least two consecutive days from the maximum number of days absent from port resulting from the application of paragraphs 3 to 5 during the closed periods referred to in paragraph 1.

During these days, fishing vessels may only immerse their nets and land fish from 06.00 on Monday to 18.00 on Friday of the same week.

Article 16 shall apply to the fishing vessels referred to in the first subparagraph

without holding a permit for fishing for cod.

7. At the request of the Commission or a Member State, Member States shall make available on their website or provide to the Commission and all Member States a description of the system applied to ensure compliance with paragraphs 3, 4 and 5.

Or. en

Justification

This amendment is an integral copy of the first half (article 8) of Chapter VII on Fishing Effort Limitation in Council Regulation 1098/2007, which the Commission proposal repeals. The second half (article 9) was already copied as amendment 11 in the Draft report by Jarosław Wałęsa. The Commission proposal repeals this regulation and does not set new limitations on fishing effort, claiming them to be unnecessary as long as catch limits are respected. Whether this will be the case is questionable. It is therefore much more precautionary to maintain existing policies on fishing effort, in addition to limiting catches.

Amendment 138
Anja Hazekamp

Proposal for a regulation
Chapter V b – article 8 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

CHAPTER Vb

Monitoring, inspection and surveillance

Article 8b

Special permit for fishing for cod in the Baltic Sea

1. By way of derogation from Article 1(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1627/94, all Community vessels of an overall length equal to or greater than eight metres carrying on board or using any gears for cod fishing in the Baltic Sea in accordance with Article 3 of Regulation (EC) No 2187/2005 shall hold a special permit for fishing for cod in the Baltic

Sea.

2. Member States may issue the special permit for fishing for cod referred to in paragraph 1 only to Community vessels holding in 2005 a special permit for fishing for cod in the Baltic Sea in accordance with point 6.2.1 of Annex III to Council Regulation (EC) No 27/2005 of 22 December 2004 fixing for 2005 the fishing opportunities and associated conditions for certain fish stocks and groups of fish stocks, applicable in Community waters and, for Community vessels, in waters where catch limitations are required (1). However, a Member State may issue a special permit for fishing for cod to a Community vessel, flying the flag of that Member State, not holding a special fishing permit in 2005 if it ensures that at least an equivalent capacity, measured in kilowatts (kW), is prevented from fishing in the Baltic Sea with any gear referred to in paragraph 1.

3. Each Member State concerned shall establish and maintain a list of vessels holding a special permit for fishing for cod in the Baltic Sea and make it available on its official website.

4. The master of a fishing vessel, or his authorised representative, to which a Member State has issued a special permit for fishing for cod in the Baltic Sea shall keep a copy of such permit on board the fishing vessel.

Or. en

Justification

This amendment is an integral copy of Chapter V on Monitoring, Inspection and Surveillance in Council Regulation 1098/2007. The Commission proposal repeals this regulation. The chapter contains provisions from Regulation 1098/2007 on, among other things, surveillance and monitoring, special permits, logbooks, electronic recording and transmission of catch data, that will be lost if the Regulation is repealed. In order to protect the Baltic ecosystem, it is vital to have information on what is happening on fishing vessels.

Amendment 139
Anja Hazekamp

Proposal for a regulation
Chapter V b – article 8 c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

CHAPTER Vb

Monitoring, inspection and surveillance

Article 8c

Logbooks

1. By way of derogation from Article 6(4) of Regulation (EEC) No 2847/93, the masters of all Community vessels of an overall length equal to or greater than eight metres shall keep a logbook of their operations in accordance with Article 6 of that Regulation.

Notwithstanding the first subparagraph fishing vessels of overall length between 8 and 10 meters having cod on board caught in Area C shall keep a logbook that complies with the provisions as set out in point 2 of Annex IV to Regulation (EEC) No 2807/83.

2. For vessels fitted with VMS, Member States shall verify that the information received at the fisheries monitoring centres (FMC) corresponds to activities recorded in the logbook by using VMS data. Such cross-checks shall be recorded in computer-readable form for a period of three years.

3. Each Member State shall maintain and make available on its official website the contact details for the submission of logbooks, landing declarations and prior notifications as specified in Article 17.

Or. en

Justification

This amendment is an integral copy of Chapter V on Monitoring, Inspection and Surveillance in Council Regulation 1098/2007. The Commission proposal repeals this regulation. The chapter contains provisions from Regulation 1098/2007 on, among other things, surveillance and monitoring, special permits, logbooks, electronic recording and transmission of catch data, that will be lost if the Regulation is repealed. In order to protect the Baltic ecosystem, it is vital to have information on what is happening on fishing vessels.

Amendment 140

Anja Hazekamp

Proposal for a regulation

Chapter V b – article 8 d (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

CHAPTER Vb

Monitoring, inspection and surveillance

Article 8d

Electronic recording and transmission of catch data

By way of derogation from Article 1 of Regulation (EEC) No 2807/83, Member States may permit the master of a fishing vessel equipped with VMS to report the information required in the logbook by electronic means. The information shall be transmitted to the FMC of the flag Member State on a daily basis after the fishing operation of that calendar day has been completed. The logbook information shall be made available on the request of the FMC of the coastal State during the time the fishing vessel is in the waters of the coastal State and on the request of an inspection.

Or. en

Justification

This amendment is an integral copy of Chapter V on Monitoring, Inspection and Surveillance in Council Regulation 1098/2007. The Commission proposal repeals this regulation. The

chapter contains provisions from Regulation 1098/2007 on, among other things, surveillance and monitoring, special permits, logbooks, electronic recording and transmission of catch data, that will be lost if the Regulation is repealed. In order to protect the Baltic ecosystem, it is vital to have information on what is happening on fishing vessels.

Amendment 141
Anja Hazekamp

Proposal for a regulation
Chapter V b – article 8 e (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

CHAPTER Vb

Monitoring, inspection and surveillance

Article 8e

Recording of fishing effort data

1. By way of derogation from Article 19b of Regulation (EEC) No 2847/93 the master of a Community fishing vessel, carrying on board any of the gears referred to in Article 8(1) of this Regulation when leaving and entering port, or entering and leaving the Baltic Sea, shall transmit an effort report containing the following information to the FMC of the flag Member State:

(a) When leaving port or entering the Baltic Sea:

(i) The name of the vessel, external identification mark and radio call sign;

(ii) The date and time of departure from port or entry into the Baltic Sea (local time);

(iii) The area where the vessel will fish as defined in Article 3(e);

(b) When entering port or leaving the Baltic Sea:

(i) The name of the vessel, external identification mark and radio call sign;

(ii) The date and time of entry into port or

exit from the Baltic Sea (local time).

2. Points (i) and (ii) of paragraph 1(a) and paragraph 1(b) shall not apply to vessels equipped with VMS.

3. The FMC of the flag Member State shall record the effort report it in its computerised database.

4. On request the flag Member State shall provide the information referred to in paragraph 1 to the coastal Member State.

Or. en

Justification

This amendment is an integral copy of Chapter V on Monitoring, Inspection and Surveillance in Council Regulation 1098/2007. The Commission proposal repeals this regulation. The chapter contains provisions from Regulation 1098/2007 on, among other things, surveillance and monitoring, special permits, logbooks, electronic recording and transmission of catch data, that will be lost if the Regulation is repealed. In order to protect the Baltic ecosystem, it is vital to have information on what is happening on fishing vessels.

Amendment 142
Anja Hazekamp

Proposal for a regulation
Chapter V b – article 8 f (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

CHAPTER Vb

Monitoring, inspection and surveillance

Article 8f

Monitoring and control of fishing effort

The competent authorities of the flag Member State shall monitor and control the compliance with:

(a) fishing effort limits provided for in Article 8;

(b) restrictions on fishing provided for in Article 9.

Justification

This amendment is an integral copy of Chapter V on Monitoring, Inspection and Surveillance in Council Regulation 1098/2007. The Commission proposal repeals this regulation. The chapter contains provisions from Regulation 1098/2007 on, among other things, surveillance and monitoring, special permits, logbooks, electronic recording and transmission of catch data, that will be lost if the Regulation is repealed. In order to protect the Baltic ecosystem, it is vital to have information on what is happening on fishing vessels.

Amendment 143

Anja Hazekamp

Proposal for a regulation**Chapter V b – article 8 g (new)***Text proposed by the Commission**Amendment***CHAPTER Vb****Monitoring, inspection and surveillance****Article 8g****Margin of tolerance in the logbook**

By way of derogation from Article 5(2) of Regulation (EEC) No 2807/83, the permitted margin of tolerance in estimating quantities, in kilograms, of fish subject to a TAC that are retained on board vessels shall be 10 % of the logbook figure except for cod in which case the margin of tolerance shall be 8 %.

For catches taken in Area A and B which are landed unsorted the permitted margin of tolerance in estimating quantities shall be 10 % of the total quantity that are retained on board.

Justification

This amendment is an integral copy of Chapter V on Monitoring, Inspection and Surveillance in Council Regulation 1098/2007. The Commission proposal repeals this regulation. The

chapter contains provisions from Regulation 1098/2007 on, among other things, surveillance and monitoring, special permits, logbooks, electronic recording and transmission of catch data, that will be lost if the Regulation is repealed. In order to protect the Baltic ecosystem, it is vital to have information on what is happening on fishing vessels.

Amendment 144
Anja Hazekamp

Proposal for a regulation
Chapter V b – article 8 h (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

CHAPTER Vb

Monitoring, inspection and surveillance

Article 8h

Entry into or exit from specific areas

1. A fishing vessel having a special permit for fishing for cod may only fish in either Areas A, B or C during one fishing trip.

2. A fishing vessel may only commence fishing activity in Community waters in either Areas A, B or C with no cod on board.

If the fishing vessel goes to a port, without landing its fish, within the area where it has been fishing the vessel may continue its fishing activity in that area with cod on board.

3. When a fishing vessel exits from either Areas A, B or C with cod on board it shall:

(a) go directly to port outside the Area where it has been fishing and land the fish;

(b) when leaving the Area where the vessel has been fishing, stow the nets in accordance with the following conditions so that they may not readily be used:

(i) nets, weights and similar gear shall be disconnected from their trawl boards and

towing and hauling wires and ropes;
(ii) nets which are on or above deck shall be securely lashed to some part of the superstructure.

4. By way of derogation to paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 a fishing vessel may fish in areas A and B during one fishing trip and may commence fishing activity in either of the areas only with less than 150 kg of cod on board in the year 2008. Member States shall take specific measures to ensure effective control.

Member States shall report on those measures to the Commission by 31 January 2008.

Or. en

Justification

This amendment is an integral copy of Chapter V on Monitoring, Inspection and Surveillance in Council Regulation 1098/2007. The Commission proposal repeals this regulation. The chapter contains provisions from Regulation 1098/2007 on, among other things, surveillance and monitoring, special permits, logbooks, electronic recording and transmission of catch data, that will be lost if the Regulation is repealed. In order to protect the Baltic ecosystem, it is vital to have information on what is happening on fishing vessels.

Amendment 145
Anja Hazekamp

Proposal for a regulation
Chapter V b – article 8 i (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

CHAPTER Vb

Monitoring, inspection and surveillance

Article 8i

Prior notification

1. The master of a Community fishing vessel exiting from Area A, B or C with more than 300 kg of live weight of cod on

board shall notify the competent authorities of the Coastal State in which it will land the fish at least one hour before leaving the Area of:

- (a) the time and position of exit;*
- (b) the quantities of cod and the total weight of other species in live weight retained on board;*
- (c) the name of the landing location;*
- (d) the estimated time of arrival at the landing location.*

The Coastal State shall notify the flag State of the landing.

2. When a Community fishing vessel intends to enter a port in the area where it has been fishing with more than 300 kg of live weight of cod on board the master of a Community fishing vessel shall notify the competent authorities of the Coastal State and the Coastal State shall notify the flag state at least one hour before entering port all the information referred to in points (b), (c) and (d) of paragraph 1.

3. The submission of information referred to in points (a) and (b) of paragraph 1 shall not apply to vessels subject to Article 12.

4. Paragraph 1(a) shall not apply to vessels equipped with VMS.

5. The notification provided for in paragraphs 1 and 2 may also be made by a representative of the master of the Community fishing vessel.

Or. en

Justification

This amendment is an integral copy of Chapter V on Monitoring, Inspection and Surveillance in Council Regulation 1098/2007. The Commission proposal repeals this regulation. The chapter contains provisions from Regulation 1098/2007 on, among other things, surveillance and monitoring, special permits, logbooks, electronic recording and transmission of catch data, that will be lost if the Regulation is repealed. In order to protect the Baltic ecosystem, it

is vital to have information on what is happening on fishing vessels.

Amendment 146
Anja Hazekamp

Proposal for a regulation
Chapter V b – article 8 j (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

CHAPTER Vb

Monitoring, inspection and surveillance

Article 8j

Designated ports

- 1. When a vessel retains more than 750 kilograms of cod live weight, the cod may be landed exclusively at designated ports.**
- 2. Each Member State may designate ports at which any quantity of Baltic cod live weight in excess of 750 kilograms is to be landed.**
- 3. By 10 October 2007 each Member State that has established a list of designated ports, shall maintain and make available on its official website a list of designated ports.**

Or. en

Justification

This amendment is an integral copy of Chapter V on Monitoring, Inspection and Surveillance in Council Regulation 1098/2007. The Commission proposal repeals this regulation. The chapter contains provisions from Regulation 1098/2007 on, among other things, surveillance and monitoring, special permits, logbooks, electronic recording and transmission of catch data, that will be lost if the Regulation is repealed. In order to protect the Baltic ecosystem, it is vital to have information on what is happening on fishing vessels.

Amendment 147
Anja Hazekamp

Proposal for a regulation
Chapter V b – article 8 k (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

CHAPTER Vb

Monitoring, inspection and surveillance

Article 8k

Weighing of cod first landed

The master of a fishing vessel shall ensure that any quantity of cod caught in the Baltic Sea and landed in a Community port shall be weighed before sale or before being transported elsewhere from the port of landing. The scales used for the weighing shall be approved by the competent national authorities.

The figure resulting from the weighing shall be used for the declaration referred to in Article 8 of Regulation (EEC) No 2847/93.

Or. en

Justification

This amendment is an integral copy of Chapter V on Monitoring, Inspection and Surveillance in Council Regulation 1098/2007. The Commission proposal repeals this regulation. The chapter contains provisions from Regulation 1098/2007 on, among other things, surveillance and monitoring, special permits, logbooks, electronic recording and transmission of catch data, that will be lost if the Regulation is repealed. In order to protect the Baltic ecosystem, it is vital to have information on what is happening on fishing vessels.

Amendment 148
Anja Hazekamp

Proposal for a regulation
Chapter V b – article 8 l (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

CHAPTER Vb

Monitoring, inspection and surveillance

Article 8l

Inspection benchmarks

Each Member State of the Baltic Sea shall set specific inspection benchmarks. Such benchmarks shall be revised periodically after an analysis has been made of the results achieved. Inspection benchmarks shall evolve progressively until the target benchmarks defined in Annex I are reached.

Or. en

Justification

This amendment is an integral copy of Chapter V on Monitoring, Inspection and Surveillance in Council Regulation 1098/2007. The Commission proposal repeals this regulation. The chapter contains provisions from Regulation 1098/2007 on, among other things, surveillance and monitoring, special permits, logbooks, electronic recording and transmission of catch data, that will be lost if the Regulation is repealed. In order to protect the Baltic ecosystem, it is vital to have information on what is happening on fishing vessels.

Amendment 149
Anja Hazekamp

Proposal for a regulation
Chapter V b – article 8 m (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

CHAPTER Vb

Monitoring, inspection and surveillance

Article 8m

Prohibition on transiting and transhipping

- 1. Transit within areas closed for cod fishing is prohibited unless fishing gear on board is securely lashed and stowed in accordance with Article 16(3)(b).**
- 2. The transhipment of cod is prohibited.**

Justification

This amendment is an integral copy of Chapter V on Monitoring, Inspection and Surveillance in Council Regulation 1098/2007. The Commission proposal repeals this regulation. The chapter contains provisions from Regulation 1098/2007 on, among other things, surveillance and monitoring, special permits, logbooks, electronic recording and transmission of catch data, that will be lost if the Regulation is repealed. In order to protect the Baltic ecosystem, it is vital to have information on what is happening on fishing vessels.

Amendment 150
Anja Hazekamp

Proposal for a regulation
Chapter V b – article 8 n (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

CHAPTER Vb

Monitoring, inspection and surveillance

Article 8n

Transport of Baltic cod

By way of derogation from Article 8(1) of Regulation (EEC) No 2847/93 the master of a fishing vessel having an overall length equal to or more than eight metres, shall complete a landing declaration when fish is transported to a place other than that of landing.

By way of derogation from Article 13(4) of Regulation (EEC) No 2847/93, the landing declaration shall accompany the transport documents provided for in Article 13(1) of that Regulation pertaining to the quantities transported. The exemption provided for in Article 13(4)(b) of that Regulation shall not apply.

Or. en

Justification

This amendment is an integral copy of Chapter V on Monitoring, Inspection and Surveillance in Council Regulation 1098/2007. The Commission proposal repeals this regulation. The chapter contains provisions from Regulation 1098/2007 on, among other things, surveillance and monitoring, special permits, logbooks, electronic recording and transmission of catch data, that will be lost if the Regulation is repealed. In order to protect the Baltic ecosystem, it is vital to have information on what is happening on fishing vessels.

Amendment 151

Anja Hazekamp

Proposal for a regulation

Chapter V b – article 8 o (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

CHAPTER Vb

Monitoring, inspection and surveillance

Article 8o

***Joint surveillance and exchange of
inspectors***

***Member States concerned shall undertake
joint inspection and surveillance
activities.***

Or. en

Justification

This amendment is an integral copy of Chapter V on Monitoring, Inspection and Surveillance in Council Regulation 1098/2007. The Commission proposal repeals this regulation. The chapter contains provisions from Regulation 1098/2007 on, among other things, surveillance and monitoring, special permits, logbooks, electronic recording and transmission of catch data, that will be lost if the Regulation is repealed. In order to protect the Baltic ecosystem, it is vital to have information on what is happening on fishing vessels.

Amendment 152

Anja Hazekamp

Proposal for a regulation

Chapter V b – article 8 p (new)

CHAPTER Vb

Monitoring, inspection and surveillance

Article 8p

National control action programmes

- 1. The Member States of the Baltic Sea shall define a national control action programmes for the Baltic Sea in accordance with Annex II.***
- 2. The Member States of the Baltic Sea shall set specific inspection benchmarks in accordance with Annex I. Such benchmarks shall be revised periodically after an analysis has been made of the results achieved. Inspection benchmarks shall evolve progressively until the target benchmarks defined in Annex I are reached.***
- 3. Before 31 January each year, the Member States of the Baltic Sea shall make available to the Commission and other Member States bordering the Baltic Sea on its official website their national control action programmes as referred to in paragraph 1, together with an implementation schedule.***
- 4. The Commission shall convene at least once a year a meeting of the Committee for Fisheries and Aquaculture to evaluate compliance with and the results of the national control action programmes for cod stocks in the Baltic Sea.***

Or. en

Justification

This amendment is an integral copy of Chapter V on Monitoring, Inspection and Surveillance in Council Regulation 1098/2007. The Commission proposal repeals this regulation. The chapter contains provisions from Regulation 1098/2007 on, among other things, surveillance and monitoring, special permits, logbooks, electronic recording and transmission of catch data, that will be lost if the Regulation is repealed. In order to protect the Baltic ecosystem, it

is vital to have information on what is happening on fishing vessels.

Amendment 153
Anja Hazekamp

Proposal for a regulation
Chapter V b – article 8 q (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

CHAPTER Vb

Monitoring, inspection and surveillance

Article 8q

Specific monitoring programme

By way of derogation from the fifth subparagraph of Article 34c(1) of Regulation (EEC) No 2847/93, the specific control and inspection programme for the cod stocks concerned may last for more than three years.

Or. en

Justification

This amendment is an integral copy of Chapter V on Monitoring, Inspection and Surveillance in Council Regulation 1098/2007. The Commission proposal repeals this regulation. The chapter contains provisions from Regulation 1098/2007 on, among other things, surveillance and monitoring, special permits, logbooks, electronic recording and transmission of catch data, that will be lost if the Regulation is repealed. In order to protect the Baltic ecosystem, it is vital to have information on what is happening on fishing vessels.

Amendment 154
Linnéa Engström

Proposal for a regulation
Article 9 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with

1. The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with

Article 15 *on* the following technical measures:

Article 15 *with the aim of achieving good environmental status in the Baltic Sea and, in particular, of ensuring that the conditions described in Descriptors 1, 3, 4 and 6 of Commission Decision 2010/477/EC are fulfilled.*

Such delegated acts may include, inter alia, the following technical measures:

Or. en

Justification

It is important to specify what the objectives of the delegated acts are to be. Simply giving the Commission and Member States the authority to adopt acts without specifying their objectives is to fail in the Parliament's responsibility as co-legislator.

Amendment 155
Christofer Fjellner

Proposal for a regulation
Article 9 – paragraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d) *minimum* conservation reference sizes for any of stocks covered by this Regulation.

(d) conservation reference sizes for any of stocks covered by this Regulation.

Or. en

Justification

Only mentioning minimum conservation reference sizes is too limiting. In order to future-proof the plan, more options ought to be included.

Amendment 156
Renata Briano

Proposal for a regulation
Article 9 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The measures referred to in paragraph 1 shall aim at achieving the objectives set out in Article 3 and in particular the protection of juveniles or spawning fish.

Amendment

2. The measures referred to in paragraph 1 shall aim at achieving the objectives set out in Article 3 and in particular the protection of juveniles or spawning fish ***and the reduction of incidental catches of birds and marine mammals.***

Or. it

Amendment 157

Linnéa Engström

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The measures referred to in paragraph 1 shall aim at achieving the objectives set out in Article 3 and in particular the protection of juveniles ***or*** spawning fish.

Amendment

2. The measures referred to in paragraph 1 shall aim at achieving the objectives set out in Article 3 and in particular the protection of juveniles ***and*** spawning fish ***and minimizing the incidental capture of seabirds and marine mammals.***

Or. en

Amendment 158

Ulrike Rodust, Richard Corbett, Ricardo Serrão Santos, Renata Briano

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The measures referred to in paragraph 1 shall aim at achieving the objectives set out in Article 3 and in particular the protection of juveniles or spawning fish.

Amendment

2. The measures referred to in paragraph 1 shall aim at achieving the objectives set out in Article 3 and in particular the protection of juveniles or spawning fish, ***and the mitigation of incidental catches of seabirds and marine mammals.***

Justification

One of the objectives of the reformed Common Fisheries Policy is to minimise the negative impact of fisheries on the wider marine environment (Article 2(3) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013). This objective should also refer to seabirds and marine mammals.

Amendment 159

Marco Affronte

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. The levels of mortality by fishery and targets for biomass should be adjusted to changes in the ecosystem and revised following the more recent scientific advice.

Or. en

Amendment 160

Sandra Kalniete, Jarosław Wałęsa, Marju Lauristin, Tunne Kelam, Roberts Zīle, Marek Józef Gróbarczyk

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 3 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(f) the trawling prohibition for the Gulf of Riga laid down in Article 22 thereof. ***deleted***

Or. en

Justification

Provisions included in the Art 22 of the (EC) 2187/2005 implement the specific measures to safeguard the Gulf of Riga - a very sensitive eco- region. These measures are set out in the Treaty of Accession Annex III, part „Fisheries”. Trawling prohibition in the shallow waters

of the Gulf of Riga should be kept aside from the listed measures as current management model implemented in this area. It is a part of safeguarding policy that has stabilized situation in the Gulf of Riga thus fostering the increase of herring stocks that now are in line with MSY levels set out for relevant stock.

Amendment 161

Sylvie Goddyn, Jean-Marie Le Pen

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Moreover, the Commission shall undertake to take account of the most recent scientific studies, including ICES studies, before adopting technical measures.

Or. fr

Justification

The Commission must adopt the necessary measures to preserve stocks of cod, herring and sprat in the Baltic. But it must do so on the basis of recent scientific studies so the fisheries concerned are not excessively penalised.

Amendment 162

Marek Józef Gróbarczyk

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4a. During the cod spawning season, pelagic fishing using stationary gear with a mesh size of less than 110 mm, or 120 mm in the case of outrigger gear, shall be prohibited.

Or. pl

Amendment 163
Marek Józef Gróbarczyk

Proposal for a regulation
Chapter VI a – article 9 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

CHAPTER VIa
Specific measures

Article 9a

Specific measures

Article 9, paragraph 1, subparagraph 1 (a), indents 1 to 5, of the Council Regulation (EC) No 1098/2007 is amended as follows:

- 55° 05,00' N, 16° 10,00' E
- . 54° 57,50' N, 15° 58,00' E
- . 54° 50,00' N, 15° 30,00' E
- . 55° 20,00' N, 15° 30,00' E
- . 55° 20,00' N, 16° 10,00' E

Or. en

Amendment 164
Jarosław Wałęsa

Proposal for a regulation
Article 10 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. Article 18 (1) to (6) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 shall apply to the measures **under this Chapter.**

1. Article 18 (1) to (6) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 shall apply to the measures **referred to in Articles 6, 8 and 9.**

Or. en

Amendment 165
Renata Briano

Proposal for a regulation
Article 10 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Member States concerned may submit joint recommendations in accordance with Article 18(1) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 within the following deadlines:

Amendment

2. Member States concerned may submit joint recommendations, ***after having consulted the regional advisory committees***, in accordance with Article 18(1) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 within the following deadlines:

Or. it

Amendment 166
Jaroslav Wałęsa

Proposal for a regulation
Article 10 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Member States concerned may submit joint recommendations in accordance with Article ***18(1) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 within the following deadlines:***

Amendment

2. Member States concerned may submit ***the*** joint recommendations ***referred to in Articles 6(3), 8(3) and 9(4) of this Regulation for the first time not later than 12 months after the entry into force of this Regulation and thereafter 12 months after each submission of the evaluation of the plan*** in accordance with Article 14. ***Member States may also submit such recommendations in the event of any abrupt change in the situation for any of the stocks covered by the plan, if such measures are deemed necessary or justified by scientific advice:***

Or. en

Amendment 167
Marco Affronte

Proposal for a regulation
Article 10 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2. Member States concerned **may** submit joint recommendations in accordance with Article 18(1) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 within the following deadlines:

2. Member States concerned **shall every three years** submit joint recommendations in accordance with Article 18(1) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 within the following deadlines:

Or. en

Amendment 168
Jarosław Wałęsa

Proposal for a regulation
Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

a) for the measures set out in Article 6(1) and concerning a given calendar year, not later than 1 September of the previous year;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 169
Jarosław Wałęsa

Proposal for a regulation
Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

b) for the measures set out in Articles 8(1) and 9(1), for the first time not later than six months after the entry into force of this Regulation and thereafter six months after each submission the evaluation of the plan in accordance with Article 14.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 170
Nils Torvalds

Proposal for a regulation
Article 10 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

b) for the measures set out in Articles 8(1) and 9(1), for the first time not later than six months after the entry into force of this Regulation and thereafter *six months after each submission the evaluation of the plan in accordance with Article 14.*

Amendment

b) for the measures set out in Articles 8(1) and 9(1), for the first time not later than six months after the entry into force of this Regulation and thereafter *not later than 1 September for measures concerning the incoming years.*

Or. en

Amendment 171
Alain Cadec

Proposal for a regulation
Article 10 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. Any deviations by the Commission from the joint recommendations shall be presented to the European Parliament and to the Council and should be able to be scrutinised;

Or. en

Justification

According to the basic regulation the Commission has to follow the joint recommendations (regionalisation). Only in specific/justified circumstance the Commission is allowed to deviate from them. The co-legislators should be informed of such deviations.

Amendment 172
Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation
Article 10 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. The advisory councils concerned may also submit recommendations in accordance with the timetable set out in points (a) and (b) of paragraph 2.

Or. fr

Amendment 173
Marek Józef Gróbarczyk

Proposal for a regulation
Article 11

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The control measures provided for in this Chapter shall apply in addition to those prescribed in Council Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009, save where otherwise provided for in this Chapter.

The control measures provided for in this Chapter shall apply in addition to those prescribed in Council Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009, save where otherwise provided for in this Chapter. **The control measures shall target industrial fisheries in particular due to their harmful effects on the environment.**

Or. en

Amendment 174
Marek Józef Gróbarczyk

Proposal for a regulation
Article 12 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. By way of derogation from Article 17(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009, the prior notification obligation laid down in that Article shall apply to masters of Union fishing vessels of **eight** metres overall

1. By way of derogation from Article 17(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009, the prior notification obligation laid down in that Article shall apply to masters of Union fishing vessels of **15** metres overall length

length or more retaining on board at least 300 kg of cod or two tons of pelagic stocks.

or more retaining on board at least 300 kg of cod or two tons of pelagic stocks.

Or. en

Amendment 175
Alain Cadec

Proposal for a regulation
Article 12 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. By way of derogation from Article 17(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009, the prior notification obligation laid down in that Article shall apply to masters of Union fishing vessels of **eight** metres overall length or more retaining on board at least 300 kg of cod or two tons of pelagic stocks.

Amendment

1. By way of derogation from Article 17(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009, the prior notification obligation laid down in that Article shall apply to masters of Union fishing vessels of **twelve** metres overall length or more retaining on board at least 300 kg of cod or two tons of pelagic stocks.

Or. fr

Amendment 176
Nils Torvalds

Proposal for a regulation
Article 12 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. By way of derogation from Article 17(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009, the prior notification obligation laid down in that Article shall apply to masters of Union fishing vessels of **eight** metres overall length or more retaining on board at least 300 kg of cod **or two tons of pelagic stocks**.

Amendment

1. By way of derogation from Article 17(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009, the prior notification obligation laid down in that Article shall apply to masters of Union fishing vessels of **ten** metres overall length or more retaining on board at least 300 kg of cod.

Or. en

Amendment 177

Ole Christensen, Ulrike Rodust, Richard Corbett

Proposal for a regulation

Article 12 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. By way of derogation from Article 17(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009, the prior notification obligation laid down in that Article shall apply to masters of Union fishing vessels of eight metres overall length or more retaining on board at least 300 kg of cod or two tons of pelagic stocks.

Amendment

1. By way of derogation from Article 17(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009, the prior notification obligation laid down in that Article shall apply to masters of Union fishing vessels of eight metres overall length or more retaining on board at least 300 kg of cod or two tons of pelagic stocks. ***The competent authorities of the coastal Member States may give permission to an earlier entry at port.***

Or. en

Justification

Member states are encouraged to make appropriate arrangements by in accordance with Article 17 (3) of Regulation (EC) No. 1224/2009.

Amendment 178

Nils Torvalds

Proposal for a regulation

Article 12 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. By way of derogation from Article 17(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009, the ***advance*** notification period laid down in that Article shall be of at least one hour before the estimated time of arrival at port.

Amendment

2. By way of derogation from Article 17(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009, the ***prior*** notification period laid down in that Article shall be of at least one hour before the estimated time of arrival at port.

Or. en

Amendment 179
Jarosław Wałęsa

Proposal for a regulation
Article 12 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. By way of derogation from Article 17(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009, the advance notification period laid down in that Article shall be of at least one hour before the estimated time of arrival at port. The competent authorities of the coastal Member States may give permission to an earlier entry at port provided that the necessary conditions for the appropriate control measures are at hand.

Or. en

Amendment 180
Nils Torvalds

Proposal for a regulation
Article 12 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 12a

Completion and submission of the fishing logbook

By way of derogation from paragraph 1 and 3 of Article 14 of Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009, masters of Union fishing vessels having caught pelagic or industrial species and keeping such catches on board fresh and unsorted may alternatively in their fishing logbook indicate all quantities of each species in these catches within a permitted margin of tolerance for each species of 10 % calculated in proportion to the total catch caught and kept on board fresh and

unsorted.

Or. en

Justification

This derogation from the logbook provisions in Regulation 1224/2009 is necessary in order to make it possible in practice to comply with the logbook provisions and avoid continuous sanctioning for provisions that cannot be respected. The situation is especially difficult in the Baltic Sea with two pelagic species occurring in mixed shoals.

Amendment 181

Marek Józef Gróbarczyk

Proposal for a regulation

Article 13 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) **5** tonnes of pelagic stocks.

(b) **2** tonnes of pelagic stocks.

Or. en

Amendment 182

Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation

Article 14 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Evaluation of the plan

Evaluation **and revision** of the plan

Or. fr

Amendment 183

Ulrike Rodust, Ole Christensen, Richard Corbett, Ricardo Serrão Santos

Proposal for a regulation

Article 14 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Evaluation of the plan

Evaluation **and review** of the plan

Or. en

Justification

In line with the inter-institutional Task Force on multiannual plans final report of April 2014 multiannual plans should include a review clause.

Amendment 184

Alain Cadec

Proposal for a regulation

Article 14

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The Commission shall ensure an evaluation of the impact of this plan on the stocks covered by this Regulation and on the fisheries exploiting those stocks, in particular to take account of changes in scientific advice, **six** years after the entry into force of the plan and, thereafter, every six years. The Commission shall submit the results of these evaluations to the European Parliament and Council.

The Commission shall ensure an evaluation of the impact of this plan on the stocks covered by this Regulation and on the fisheries exploiting those stocks, in particular to take account of changes in scientific advice, **three** years after the entry into force of the plan and, thereafter, every six years. The Commission shall submit the results of these evaluations to the European Parliament and Council.

Or. fr

Amendment 185

Marco Affronte

Proposal for a regulation

Article 14

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The Commission shall **ensure** an evaluation of the impact of this plan on the stocks covered by this Regulation and on

The Commission shall **carry out** an evaluation of the impact of this plan on the stocks covered by this Regulation and on

the fisheries exploiting those stocks, in particular to take account of **changes in** scientific advice, **six** years after the entry into force of the plan and, thereafter, every **six** years. The Commission shall submit the results of these evaluations to the European Parliament and Council.

the fisheries exploiting those stocks, in particular to take account of **the most recent** scientific advice, **three** years after the entry into force of the plan and, thereafter, every **three** years. The Commission shall submit the results of these evaluations to the European Parliament and Council **and take the appropriate initiatives in accordance also with the regionalization principle.**

Or. en

Amendment 186
Ulrike Rodust, Ricardo Serrão Santos

Proposal for a regulation
Article 14

Text proposed by the Commission

The Commission shall ensure an evaluation of the impact of this plan on the stocks covered by this Regulation and on the fisheries exploiting those stocks, in particular to take account of changes in scientific advice, six years after the entry into force of the plan and, thereafter, every six years. The Commission shall submit the results of these evaluations to the European Parliament and Council.

Amendment

The Commission shall ensure an evaluation of the impact of this plan on the stocks covered by this Regulation and on the fisheries exploiting those stocks, in particular to take account of changes in scientific advice, six years after the entry into force of the plan and, thereafter, every six years. ***Within the framework of the evaluation of the plan, the Commission shall report to the European Parliament and the Council on progress achieved towards restoring and maintaining fish stocks above levels capable of producing maximum sustainable yield.*** The Commission shall submit the results of these evaluations to the European Parliament and Council.

Or. en

Justification

Article 2(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 defines the objective to progressively restore and maintain populations of fish stocks above biomass levels capable of producing maximum

sustainable yield. Scientific advice in this respect is required to evaluate to what extent the objectives are achieved. Article 10(3) of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 provides for the "revision after an initial ex-post evaluation, in particular to take account of changes in scientific advice".

Amendment 187
Anja Hazekamp

Proposal for a regulation
Article 14

Text proposed by the Commission

The Commission shall ensure an evaluation of the impact of this plan on the stocks covered by this Regulation and on the fisheries exploiting those stocks, in particular to take account of changes in scientific advice, six years after the entry into force of the plan and, thereafter, every six years. The Commission shall submit the results of these evaluations to the European Parliament and Council.

Amendment

The Commission shall ensure an evaluation of the impact of this plan on the stocks covered by this Regulation and on the fisheries exploiting those stocks, ***as well as on marine mammals, turtles and seabirds,*** in particular to take account of changes in scientific advice, six years after the entry into force of the plan and, thereafter, every six years. The Commission shall submit the results of these evaluations to the European Parliament and Council.

Or. en

Amendment 188
Marco Affronte

Proposal for a regulation
Article 14 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1a. The Commission shall evaluate regional management advice and recommendations and ensure their compliance with the current EU regulations and law.

Or. en

Amendment 189
Werner Kuhn

Proposal for a regulation
Article 14 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1a. Support from the European Maritime and Fisheries Fund (EMFF):

For the purposes of point (c) of Article 33(1) of Regulation (EU) No 508/2014, the multiannual plan shall be regarded as a multiannual plan pursuant to Articles 9 and 10 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013.

Or. de

Justification

Die Verordnung (EU) Nr. 508/2014 sieht die Möglichkeit vor, im Fall einer vorübergehenden Einstellung der Fischereitätigkeit Entschädigungen und Ausgleichszahlungen an Fischer und Eigner von Fischereifahrzeugen zu leisten, falls eine solche Einstellung eine unmittelbare Folge z. B. der Erhaltungsmaßnahmen ist. Voraussetzung hierfür ist, dass die vorübergehende Einstellung in einem Mehrjahresplan vorgesehen ist. Es bedarf also einer Regelung direkt im Mehrjahresplan, die die Möglichkeit der EMFF-Finanzierung für die vorübergehende Einstellung der Fangtätigkeit vorsieht.

Amendment 190
Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation
Article 14 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1a. When the new scientific data relating to mortality rates or minimum spawning biomass levels are available, the Commission shall have the power to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 15 so it is possible to revise the plan in the light of the latest scientific data. Updating should take place according to a reasonable timetable, both in the interests of fishing activity and in order to observe

the effectiveness of the biomass measures adopted.

Or. fr

Amendment 191

Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The delegation of power referred to in Articles 6, 8 and 9 shall be conferred on the Commission for *an indeterminate period of time* from the date of the entry into force of this Regulation.

Amendment

2. The delegation of power referred to in Articles 6, 8, 9 and **14** shall be conferred on the Commission for **a period of five years** from the date of the entry into force of this Regulation.

Or. fr

Amendment 192

Nils Torvalds

Proposal for a regulation

Article 15 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The delegation of power referred to in Articles 6, 8 and 9 shall be conferred on the Commission for *an indeterminate period of time from the date of the entry into force of this Regulation*.

Amendment

2. The delegation of power referred to in Articles 6, 8 and 9 shall be conferred on the Commission for **a period of five years from 1 September 2015. The Commission shall draw up a report in respect of the delegation of power not later than nine months before the end of the five-year period. The delegation of power shall be tacitly extended for periods of an identical duration, unless the European Parliament or the Council opposes such extension not later than three months before the end of each period.**

Or. en

Amendment 193
Isabelle Thomas

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. The delegation of power referred to in Articles 6, 8 and 9 may be revoked at any time by the European Parliament or by the Council. A decision of revocation shall put an end to the delegation of the power specified in that decision. It shall take effect the day following the publication of the decision in the Official Journal of the European Union or at a later date specified therein. It shall not affect the validity of any delegated acts already in force.

Amendment

3. The delegation of power referred to in Articles 6, 8, 9 and **14** may be revoked at any time by the European Parliament or by the Council. A decision of revocation shall put an end to the delegation of the power specified in that decision. It shall take effect the day following the publication of the decision in the Official Journal of the European Union or at a later date specified therein. It shall not affect the validity of any delegated acts already in force.

Or. fr

Amendment 194
Anja Hazekamp

Proposal for a regulation
Article 16

Text proposed by the Commission

Article 16

*Amendments to Council Regulation (EC)
No 2187/2005*

*Articles 20 and 21 of Regulation (EC) No
2187/2005 are deleted.*

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Justification

As a justification, the Commission cites a scientific advice by STEFC stating that ‘rules for effort limitations are not currently required to meet the biological objectives, as long as the limitations in catches are effective in limiting the fishing mortality as intended.’ However,

since it is all but clear that fishing mortality will be adequately reduced by the catch limitations in this proposal, it is much more precautionary to keep existing policies on fishing effort.

Amendment 195

Sandra Kalniete, Jarosław Wałęsa, Marju Lauristin, Tunne Kelam, Roberts Zīle, Marek Józef Gróbarczyk

Proposal for a regulation

Article 16

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 16

deleted

**Amendments to Council Regulation (EC)
No 2187/2005**

**Articles 20 and 21 of Regulation (EC) No
2187/2005 are deleted.**

Or. en

Justification

As stated in the Treaty of Accession of Latvia and of Estonia, the specific measures safeguard the Gulf of Riga that is considered as a very sensitive eco-region. Currently, only Latvian and Estonian fishing vessels are authorised to fish in waters in question. If the ban is lifted, these waters would become open to all fleets, e.g. industrial fisheries, thus threatening sustainability of the stocks, risking to break down the fragile balance of the ecosystem that has improved during the recent years due to the safeguarding policy.

Amendment 196

Nils Torvalds

Proposal for a regulation

Article 18 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

It shall apply from **1 January 2015**.

It shall apply from **1 September 2015**.

Or. en