



2015/0000(INI)

24.7.2015

AMENDMENTS

1 - 181

Draft opinion
Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto
(PE560.781v01-00)

European Semester for economic policy coordination implementation of 2015
priorities
(2015/0000(INI))

AM_Com_NonLegOpinion

Amendment 1
Sven Schulze

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Notes that many Member States *still have large deficits* and that there is *a* need to *develop* fiscal responsibility *programmes that are fully compatible with quality* job creation, economic growth and welfare state *sustainability*; calls on the Commission, which has already received the national budget proposals for 2016, and within the framework of COM(2015)0012¹, to *provide a flexible process of fiscal responsibility at national level that allows for the adoption of* socially responsible *and economically efficient* policies *aimed at decent job creation*;

¹ COM(2015)0012, ‘Making the best use of the flexibility within the existing rules of the SGP’.

Amendment

1. Notes that many Member States *continue to be highly indebted and face budgetary constraints* and that there is *an urgent* need to *achieve* fiscal responsibility, *with balanced budgets, leading to* job creation *and* economic growth and, *as a result, securing the* welfare state *for future generations too*; calls on the Commission, which has already received the national budget proposals for 2016, and within the framework of COM(2015)0012¹, to *observe the Stability and Growth Pact agreements and, as a result, establish* socially responsible *job creation policies in support of the economy*;

¹ COM(2015)0012, ‘Making the best use of the flexibility within the existing rules of the SGP’.

Or. de

Amendment 2
Zdzisław Krasnodębski

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Notes that many Member States still have large deficits and that there is a need to develop fiscal responsibility programmes *that are fully* compatible with quality job creation, economic growth and welfare state sustainability; calls on the Commission, which has already received the national budget proposals for 2016, and

Amendment

1. Notes that many Member States still have large deficits *which differ in their causes*, and that there is a need to develop fiscal responsibility *programmes which consider these differences and* are compatible with quality job creation, economic growth and welfare state sustainability; calls on the Commission,

within the framework of COM(2015)0012¹, to provide a flexible process of fiscal responsibility at national level that allows for the adoption of socially responsible and economically efficient policies aimed at *decent* job creation;

¹ COM(2015)0012, ‘Making the best use of the flexibility within the existing rules of the SGP’.

which has already received the national budget proposals for 2016, and within the framework of COM(2015)0012¹, to provide a flexible process of fiscal responsibility at national level that allows for the adoption of socially responsible and economically efficient policies aimed at job creation;

¹ COM(2015)0012, ‘Making the best use of the flexibility within the existing rules of the SGP’.

Or. en

Amendment 3 **Anthea McIntyre**

Draft opinion **Paragraph 1**

Draft opinion

1. Notes that many Member States still have large deficits and that there is a need to develop fiscal responsibility programmes that are fully compatible with quality job creation, economic growth and *welfare state sustainability*; calls on the Commission, which has already received the national budget proposals for 2016, and within the framework of COM(2015)0012¹, to provide a flexible process of fiscal responsibility at national level that allows for the adoption of socially responsible and economically efficient policies aimed at *decent* job creation;

¹ COM(2015)0012, ‘Making the best use of the flexibility within the existing rules of the SGP’.

Amendment

1. Notes that many Member States still have large deficits and that there is a need to develop fiscal responsibility programmes that are fully compatible with quality job creation, economic growth and *competitiveness*; calls on the Commission, which has already received the national budget proposals for 2016, and within the framework of COM(2015)0012¹, to provide *where appropriate* a flexible process of fiscal responsibility at national level that allows for the adoption of socially responsible and economically efficient policies aimed at job creation;

¹ COM(2015)0012, ‘Making the best use of the flexibility within the existing rules of the SGP’.

Or. en

Amendment 4
Krzysztof Hetman

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Notes that many Member States still have large deficits and that there is a need to develop fiscal responsibility programmes that are fully compatible with quality job creation, economic growth **and welfare state sustainability**; calls on the Commission, which has already received the national budget proposals for 2016, and within the framework of COM(2015)0012¹, to **provide a flexible** process of fiscal responsibility at national level that **allows for the adoption of** socially responsible and economically efficient policies aimed at decent job creation;

¹ COM(2015)0012, ‘Making the best use of the flexibility within the existing rules of the SGP’.

Amendment

1. Notes that many Member States still have large deficits and that there is a need to develop fiscal responsibility programmes that are fully compatible with quality job creation **and** economic growth; calls on the Commission, which has already received the national budget proposals for 2016, and within the framework of COM(2015)0012¹, to **maintain a** process of fiscal responsibility at national level that **preserves** socially responsible and economically efficient policies aimed at decent job creation;

¹ COM(2015)0012, ‘Making the best use of the flexibility within the existing rules of the SGP’.

Or. en

Amendment 5
Sofia Ribeiro

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Notes that many Member States still have large deficits and that there is a need to develop fiscal responsibility programmes that are fully compatible with quality job creation, economic growth and welfare state sustainability; calls on the

Amendment

1. Notes that many Member States still have large deficits and that there is a need to develop fiscal responsibility programmes that are fully compatible with quality job creation, economic growth and welfare state sustainability; calls on the

Commission, which has already received the national budget proposals for 2016, and within the framework of COM(2015)0012¹, to provide *a flexible process of fiscal responsibility at national level that allows for the adoption of socially responsible and economically efficient policies aimed at decent job creation*;

¹ COM(2015)0012, ‘Making the best use of the flexibility within the existing rules of the SGP’.

Commission, which has already received the national budget proposals for 2016, and within the framework of COM(2015)0012¹, to provide socially responsible and economically efficient policies aimed at decent job creation;

¹ COM(2015)0012, ‘Making the best use of the flexibility within the existing rules of the SGP’.

Or. pt

Amendment 6 **Enrique Calvet Chambon**

Draft opinion **Paragraph 1**

Draft opinion

1. Notes that many Member States still have large deficits and that there is a need to develop fiscal responsibility programmes that are fully compatible with *quality* job creation, economic growth and welfare state sustainability; calls on the Commission, which has already received the national budget proposals for 2016, and within the framework of COM(2015)0012¹, to provide a *flexible* process of fiscal responsibility at national level that allows for the adoption of socially responsible and economically efficient policies aimed at decent job creation;

¹ COM(2015)0012, ‘Making the best use of the flexibility within the existing rules of the SGP’.

Amendment

1. Notes that many Member States still have large deficits and that there is a need to develop *rigorous* fiscal responsibility programmes that are fully compatible with *decent* job creation, economic growth and welfare state sustainability; calls on the Commission, which has already received the national budget proposals for 2016, and within the framework of COM(2015)0012¹, to provide a process of fiscal responsibility at national level that allows for the adoption of socially responsible and economically efficient policies aimed at decent job creation *while remaining within the framework of the fiscal policy defined in the Stability and Growth Pact*;

¹ COM(2015)0012, ‘Making the best use of the flexibility within the existing rules of the SGP’.

Amendment 7
Guillaume Balas, Edouard Martin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Notes that many Member States still have large deficits and that there is a need to develop fiscal responsibility programmes that are fully compatible with quality job creation, economic growth and welfare state sustainability; calls on the Commission, which has already received the national budget proposals for 2016, and within the framework of COM(2015)0012¹, to provide a flexible process of fiscal responsibility at national level that allows for the adoption of socially responsible and economically efficient policies aimed at decent job creation;

¹ COM(2015)0012, ‘Making the best use of the flexibility within the existing rules of the SGP’.

Amendment

1. Notes that many Member States still have large deficits **while other Member States persistently accumulate large current account surpluses** and that there is **consequently** a need **to coordinate** fiscal responsibility programmes **with a strengthening in domestic demand** that are fully compatible with quality job creation, economic growth and welfare state sustainability; calls on the Commission, which has already received the national budget proposals for 2016, and within the framework of COM(2015)0012¹, to provide a flexible process of fiscal responsibility at national level that allows for the adoption of socially responsible and economically efficient policies aimed at decent job creation;

¹ COM(2015)0012, ‘Making the best use of the flexibility within the existing rules of the SGP’.

Or. en

Amendment 8
Lynn Boylan, Neoklis Sylikiotis, Tania González Peñas

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Notes that **many Member States still**

Amendment

1. Notes that **austerity programmes**

have large deficits and that there is a need to develop fiscal responsibility

programmes that are fully compatible with quality job creation, economic growth and welfare state sustainability; calls on the Commission, which has already received the national budget proposals for 2016, and within the framework of COM(2015)0012¹, to provide a flexible process of fiscal *responsibility* at national level that allows for the adoption of socially responsible and economically efficient policies aimed at decent job creation;

¹ COM(2015)0012, ‘Making the best use of the flexibility within the existing rules of the SGP’.

implemented in Member States, including those imposed by the Troika (Eurogroup, European Central Bank and International Monetary Fund), have often resulted in further economic contraction and increased deficits, and that there is a need for a new stimulus-based economic direction that is compatible with quality job creation, economic growth and welfare state sustainability; calls on the Commission, which has already received the national budget proposals for 2016, and within the framework of COM(2015)0012¹, to provide a flexible process of fiscal *policy-making* at national level that allows for the adoption of socially responsible and economically efficient policies aimed at decent job creation;

¹ COM(2015)0012, ‘Making the best use of the flexibility within the existing rules of the SGP’.

Or. en

Amendment 9

Mara Bizzotto, Dominique Martin, Joelle Melin

Draft opinion Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Notes that many Member States *still* have large deficits *and* that there is a need to develop fiscal responsibility programmes that are fully compatible with *quality job* creation, economic growth and welfare state sustainability; calls on the Commission, which has already received the national budget proposals for 2016, and within the framework of COM(2015)0012¹, to provide a flexible process of fiscal responsibility at national level that allows for the adoption of

Amendment

1. Notes that *despite the introduction of the European Semester for economic policy coordination and the launch of the Europe 2020 strategy* many Member States have large deficits *that are exacerbated by the costs borne to tackle the crisis and an unprecedented increase in refugees*; notes that there is a need to develop fiscal responsibility programmes that are fully compatible with *employment* creation, *support for companies*, economic growth and welfare state sustainability;

socially responsible and economically efficient policies aimed at *decent job* creation;

calls on the Commission, which has already received the national budget proposals for 2016, and within the framework of COM(2015)0012¹, to provide a flexible process of fiscal responsibility at national level that *is not driven exclusively by austerity and spending cuts but which* allows for the adoption of socially responsible *policies designed to provide citizens with continuity in the public services they need in order to tackle the consequences of the crisis*, and economically efficient policies aimed at *the* creation of growth and employment;

¹ COM(2015)0012, ‘Making the best use of the flexibility within the existing rules of the SGP’.

¹ COM(2015)0012, ‘Making the best use of the flexibility within the existing rules of the SGP’.

Or. it

Amendment 10 **Marian Harkin**

Draft opinion **Paragraph 1**

Draft opinion

1. Notes that many Member States still have large deficits and that there is a need to develop fiscal responsibility programmes that are fully compatible with quality job creation, economic growth and welfare state sustainability; calls on the Commission, which has already received the national budget proposals for 2016, and within the framework of COM(2015)0012¹, to provide a flexible process of fiscal responsibility at national level that allows for the adoption of socially responsible and economically efficient policies aimed at *decent job creation*;

Amendment

1. Notes that many Member States still have large deficits and that there is a need to develop fiscal responsibility programmes that are fully compatible with quality job creation, economic growth and welfare state sustainability; calls on the Commission, which has already received the national budget proposals for 2016, and within the framework of COM(2015)0012¹, to provide a flexible process of fiscal responsibility at national level, *which better reflects the cyclical situations in individual Member States* and that allows for the adoption of socially responsible and economically efficient policies aimed at *creating* decent *jobs*

leading to quality employment;

¹ COM(2015)0012, ‘Making the best use of the flexibility within the existing rules of the SGP’.

¹ COM(2015)0012, ‘Making the best use of the flexibility within the existing rules of the SGP’.

Or. en

Amendment 11

Laura Agea, Tiziana Beghin, Marco Valli, Marco Zanni

Draft opinion paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Notes that many Member States still have large deficits and ***that there is a need*** to develop fiscal responsibility programmes that are fully compatible with quality job creation, economic growth and welfare state sustainability; calls on the Commission, which has already received the national budget proposals for 2016, and within the framework of COM(2015)0012¹, to provide a flexible process of fiscal responsibility at national level that allows for the adoption of socially responsible and economically efficient policies aimed at decent job creation;

¹ COM(2015)0012, ‘Making the best use of the flexibility within the existing rules of the SGP’.

Amendment

1. Notes that many Member States still have large deficits and, ***in this regard, considers the signs of slowdown that are arriving even from the German economy – which has been a driving force over the years – to be very dangerous; considers it necessary*** to develop fiscal responsibility programmes that are fully compatible with quality job creation, economic growth and welfare state sustainability; calls on the Commission, which has already received the national budget proposals for 2016, and within the framework of COM(2015)0012¹, to provide a flexible process of fiscal responsibility at national level that allows for the adoption of socially responsible and economically efficient policies aimed at decent job creation;

¹ COM(2015)0012, ‘Making the best use of the flexibility within the existing rules of the SGP’.

Or. it

Amendment 12

Anne Sander

PE564.986v01-00

10/109

AM\1068891EN.doc

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Notes that many Member States still have large deficits and that there is a need to develop fiscal responsibility programmes that are fully compatible with quality job creation, economic growth and welfare state sustainability; calls on the Commission, which has already received the national budget proposals for 2016, and within the framework of COM(2015)0012¹, to ***provide a flexible process of*** fiscal responsibility at national level that allows for the adoption of socially responsible and economically efficient policies aimed at decent job creation;

¹ COM(2015)0012, ‘Making the best use of the flexibility within the existing rules of the SGP’.

Amendment

1. Notes that many Member States still have large deficits and that there is a need to develop fiscal responsibility programmes that are fully compatible with quality job creation, economic growth and welfare state sustainability; calls on the Commission, which has already received the national budget proposals for 2016, and within the framework of COM(2015)0012¹, to ***encourage*** fiscal responsibility at national level that allows for the adoption of socially responsible and economically efficient policies aimed at decent job creation;

¹ COM(2015)0012, ‘Making the best use of the flexibility within the existing rules of the SGP’.

Or. fr

Amendment 13
Jean Lambert

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Notes that many Member States still have large deficits and that there is a need to develop fiscal responsibility programmes that are fully compatible with quality job creation, ***economic*** growth and welfare state sustainability; calls on the Commission, which has already received the national budget proposals for 2016, and within the framework of COM(2015)0012¹, to provide a flexible

Amendment

1. Notes that many Member States still have large ***social inequalities and*** deficits and that there is a need to develop fiscal responsibility programmes that are fully compatible with quality job creation, ***sustainable*** growth and welfare state ***adequacy and*** sustainability; calls on the Commission, which has already received the national budget proposals for 2016, and within the framework of

process of fiscal responsibility at national level that allows for the adoption of socially responsible and economically efficient policies aimed at decent job creation;

COM(2015)0012¹, to provide a flexible process of fiscal responsibility at national level that allows for the adoption of socially responsible and economically efficient policies aimed at decent job creation, ***social investment in quality services and social protection***;

¹ COM(2015)0012, ‘Making the best use of the flexibility within the existing rules of the SGP’.

¹ COM(2015)0012, ‘Making the best use of the flexibility within the existing rules of the SGP’.

Or. en

Amendment 14
Jean Lambert

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. Regrets that no overall reference is made to Europe 2020 goals of smart, sustainable and inclusive growth, nor systematic recommendations or monitoring process are envisaged to support delivery on the 5 priority targets, particularly the social targets on employment, poverty and education, and environmental targets; calls for the mainstreaming of the social and environmental targets in the new assessment frame to ensure that country-specific recommendations are proposed to all countries that are not making progress on poverty, quality employment, prevention of early-school leaving and lifelong learning, resource efficiency and prevention of climate change;

Or. en

Amendment 15
Verónica Lope Fontagné

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. Welcomes the reduction of unemployment rates in the Union; however, points out that they remain in high levels and asks Member States to implement active labour market policies in order to improve the employability and facilitate access to labour market;

Or. en

Amendment 16
Marian Harkin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. Considers that while those Member States with high deficits or debt levels need to continue their efforts to achieve fiscal sustainability, equally those Member States with fiscal space should use that space to encourage domestic demand and growth friendly investment;

Or. en

Amendment 17
Joachim Schuster

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. Criticises the basic orientation of the

country specific recommendations (CSR), which largely focus on supply-side economic policies aiming at creating favourable conditions for companies, calls on the Commission to give more emphasis to demand-side economic policies in the CSR.

Or. en

Amendment 18
Guillaume Balas, Edouard Martin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. Deplores the clear lack of ambition of the five Presidents' report which does not answer the Parliament's call to develop a genuine social pillar as a central element of the EMU;

Or. en

Amendment 19
Laura Agea, Tiziana Beghin, Marco Valli, Marco Zanni

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. Maintains that the current situation proves that EU austerity policies have failed utterly, given that the economic and social aims embodied in the Treaty have been disregarded; calls, therefore, for an immediate review of all rules imposing unreasonable fiscal constraints on Member States;

Or. it

Amendment 20
Verónica Lope Fontagné

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1b. Points out that there are more than 2 million employment vacancies in the Union; asks the Commission and Member States to ensure the proper functioning of Public Employment Services to facilitate job seeking in the Union;

Or. en

Amendment 21
Anne Sander

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

Amendment

2. Calls for the country-specific recommendations (CSR) to include the Commission's recommendation² on private debt aimed at creating second chances for enterprises; calls for these programmes to be extended to families at risk of eviction from their first home and for these to be guaranteed, in particular, in banking entities within bank restructuring programmes supported by public money;

deleted

² ***Recommendation of 12 March 2014 on a new approach to business failure and insolvency.***

Or. fr

Amendment 22

Sofia Ribeiro

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Calls for the country-specific recommendations (CSR) to include the Commission's recommendation² on ***private debt aimed at creating second chances for enterprises; calls for these programmes to be extended to families at risk of eviction from their first home and for these to be guaranteed, in particular, in banking entities within bank restructuring programmes supported by public money;***

²Recommendation of 12 March 2014 on a new approach to business failure and insolvency.

Amendment

2. Calls for the country-specific recommendations (CSR) to include the Commission's recommendation² on ***preventive restructuring of businesses at risk of insolvency and discharge of debts of bankrupt entrepreneurs, whether natural or legal persons;***

²Recommendation of 12 March 2014 on a new approach to business failure and insolvency.

Or. pt

Amendment 23

Marian Harkin

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Calls for the country-specific recommendations (CSR) to include the Commission's recommendation² on private debt aimed at creating second chances for enterprises; ***calls for these programmes to be extended to families at risk of eviction from their first home and for these to be guaranteed, in particular, in banking entities within bank restructuring programmes supported by public money;***

²Recommendation of 12 March 2014 on a

Amendment

2. Calls for the country-specific recommendations (CSR) to include the Commission's recommendation² on private debt aimed at creating second chances for enterprises; ***calls on the Commission to investigate the possibility of extending such programmes to families at risk of eviction in order to ensure greater social cohesion by reducing the risk of homelessness;***

²Recommendation of 12 March 2014 on a

new approach to business failure and insolvency.

new approach to business failure and insolvency.

Or. en

Amendment 24
Anthea McIntyre

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Calls for the country-specific recommendations (CSR) to include the Commission's recommendation² on private debt aimed at creating second chances for enterprises; ***calls for these programmes to be extended to families at risk of eviction from their first home and for these to be guaranteed, in particular, in banking entities within bank restructuring programmes supported by public money;***

² Recommendation of 12 March 2014 on a new approach to business failure and insolvency.

Amendment

2. Calls for the country-specific recommendations (CSR) to include the Commission's recommendation² on private debt aimed at creating second chances for enterprises;

² Recommendation of 12 March 2014 on a new approach to business failure and insolvency.

Or. en

Amendment 25
Lynn Boylan, Neoklis Sylikiotis, Tania González Peñas

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Calls for the country-specific recommendations (CSR) to include the Commission's recommendation² on private debt aimed at creating second chances for enterprises; calls for these programmes to be extended to families at risk of eviction from their first home and for these to be guaranteed, in particular, in banking

AM\1068891EN.doc

Amendment

2. Calls for the country-specific recommendations (CSR) to include the Commission's recommendation² on private debt aimed at creating second chances for enterprises; calls for these programmes, ***as the first priority***, to be extended to families at risk of eviction from their first home ***and for this extension to apply to those evicted***

17/109

PE564.986v01-00

EN

entities within bank restructuring programmes supported by public money;

since the financial crisis began; calls for the CSR to include a call on Member States place a moratorium on further evictions, and for this to be guaranteed, in particular, in banking entities within bank restructuring programmes supported by public money;

² Recommendation of 12 March 2014 on a new approach to business failure and insolvency.

² Recommendation of 12 March 2014 on a new approach to business failure and insolvency.

Or. en

Amendment 26 **Javi López**

Draft opinion **Paragraph 2**

Draft opinion

2. Calls for the country-specific recommendations (CSR) to include the Commission's recommendation² on private debt aimed at creating second chances for enterprises; calls for these programmes to be extended to families at risk of eviction from their first home and for these to be guaranteed, in particular, in banking entities within bank restructuring programmes supported by public money;

² Recommendation of 12 March 2014 on a new approach to business failure and insolvency.

Amendment

2. Calls for the country-specific recommendations (CSR) to include the Commission's recommendation² on private debt aimed at creating second chances for enterprises; calls for these programmes to be extended to families at risk of eviction from their first home and for these to be guaranteed, in particular, in banking entities within bank restructuring programmes supported by public money; ***underlines that even if households' financial distress has fallen, it is still well above the levels seen in the previous decade according to the Commission^{2a};***

² Recommendation of 12 March 2014 on a new approach to business failure and insolvency.

^{2a} ***EU Employment and Social situation in the EU quarterly review June 2015.***

Or. en

Amendment 27

Laura Agea, Tiziana Beghin, Marco Valli, Marco Zanni

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. Points out, moreover, that the country-specific recommendations (CSR) should include a recommendation for a moratorium on mortgage payments and on current account debt for households and businesses in difficulty, a ban on distraining people's first (main) homes and a reduction in the tax burden, especially in relation to the first (main) home;

Or. it

Amendment 28

Jutta Steinruck

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. Calls on the Commission also to recommend, in connection with CSR, measures helping to achieve the Europe 2020 strategy's employment targets and ensure that the social principles enshrined in the European Treaties are acted on; stresses that achieving the Europe 2020 strategy's employment targets must not go hand in hand with lowered social standards or social protection for workers;

Or. de

Amendment 29

Joachim Schuster

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. Considers it regrettable that in many CSR the Commission massively intervenes in the wage policy of many Member States by demanding to lower the statutory minimum wage or the minimum wage based on collective agreement, to reduce the degree of coverage of collective agreements and a to facilitate a decentralisation of collective bargaining arrangements; criticises that the recommendation made in many CSR to adapt wages in line with productivity developments is interpreted by the Commission in a biased way and that those countries, where wages have lagged behind productivity growth, have not received any CSR to increase wages;

Or. en

Amendment 30
Marian Harkin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

Amendment

3. Welcomes the fostering of a European investment policy aimed at boosting growth and job creation; ***considers it regrettable, however, that Parliament's call³ to promote social investment not only in pursuit of financial profit but also with the aim of promoting a positive social impact has been neglected;***

3. Notes that while deficits have been reduced in a number of countries, this process has been largely driven by falling demand and more particularly falling investment; welcomes ***therefore*** the fostering of a European investment policy aimed at boosting growth and job creation; ***considers also that further efforts need to be made to ensure that the Union's regulatory framework is supportive of jobs, growth and investment and that the Commission needs to further strengthen its regulatory tools such as impact***

assessment, evaluation etc.;

³ *Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068), paragraphs 10 and 18.*

Or. en

Amendment 31
Krzysztof Hetman

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. *Welcomes the fostering of a European investment policy aimed at boosting growth and job creation; **considers it regrettable, however, that Parliament's call³ to promote social investment not only in pursuit of financial profit but also with the aim of promoting a positive social impact has been neglected;***

Amendment

3. *Welcomes the fostering of a European investment policy aimed at boosting growth and job creation;*

³ *Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068), paragraphs 10 and 18.*

Or. en

Amendment 32
Sven Schulze

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. *Welcomes the fostering of a European investment policy aimed at boosting growth and job creation; **considers it regrettable, however, that Parliament's call³ to promote social investment **not only*****

Amendment

3. *Welcomes the fostering, **under the agreed rules on deficits**, of a European investment policy aimed at boosting growth and job creation; **reinforces** Parliament's call³ to promote social*

in pursuit of financial profit but also with the aim of promoting a positive social impact *has been neglected*;

³ Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068), paragraphs 10 and 18.

investment with the aim of promoting a positive social impact;

³ Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068), paragraphs 10 and 18.

Or. de

Amendment 33 **Anthea McIntyre**

Draft opinion **Paragraph 3**

Draft opinion

3. Welcomes the fostering of a European investment policy aimed at boosting growth *and* job creation; *considers it regrettable*, however, that Parliament's call³ to promote social investment not only in pursuit of financial profit but also with the aim of promoting a positive social impact has *been neglected*;

³ Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068), paragraphs 10 and 18.

Amendment

3. Welcomes the fostering of a European investment policy aimed at boosting growth, job creation *and competitiveness*; *notes*, however, that Parliament's call³ to promote social investment not only in pursuit of financial profit but also with the aim of promoting a positive social impact has *yet to be implemented*;

³ Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068), paragraphs 10 and 18.

Or. en

Amendment 34 **Enrique Calvet Chambon**

Draft opinion **Paragraph 3**

Draft opinion

3. Welcomes the fostering of a European investment policy aimed at boosting growth and job creation; considers it *regrettable*, however, *that* Parliament's

PE564.986v01-00

Amendment

3. *Notes the efforts made to guarantee the stability of the financial system and urges that the necessary effort be made to ensure that the financing of the real*

22/109

AM\1068891EN.doc

call³ to promote social investment not only in pursuit of financial profit but also with the aim of promoting a positive social impact *has been neglected*;

economy is restored; welcomes the fostering of a European investment policy aimed at boosting growth and job creation; considers it *unwise*, however, *to have neglected* Parliament's call³ to promote social investment not only in pursuit of financial profit but also with the aim of promoting a positive social impact *and reducing inequality by improving the public services that guarantee equal opportunities*;

³ Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068), paragraphs 10 and 18.

³ Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068), paragraphs 10 and 18.

Or. es

Amendment 35 **Sofia Ribeiro**

Draft opinion **Paragraph 3**

Draft opinion

3. Welcomes the fostering of a European investment policy aimed at boosting growth and job creation; considers *it regrettable, however*, that Parliament's call³ to promote social investment not only in pursuit of financial profit but also with the aim of promoting a positive social impact *has been neglected*;

³ Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068), paragraphs 10 and 18.

Amendment

3. Welcomes the fostering of a European investment policy aimed at boosting growth and job creation; considers that *there should be stronger measures meeting* Parliament's call³ to promote social investment not only in pursuit of financial profit but also with the aim of promoting a positive social impact;

³ Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068), paragraphs 10 and 18.

Or. pt

Amendment 36 **Anne Sander**

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Welcomes the fostering of a European investment policy aimed at boosting growth and job creation; ***considers it regrettable, however, that*** Parliament's call³ to promote social investment not only in pursuit of financial profit but also with the aim of promoting a positive social impact ***has been neglected***;

³ Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068), paragraphs 10 and 18.

Amendment

3. Welcomes the fostering of a European investment policy aimed at boosting growth and job creation; ***encourages those involved to comply with*** Parliament's call³ to promote social investment not only in pursuit of financial profit but also with the aim of promoting a positive social impact ***and in particular of supporting the creation of jobs for categories of disadvantaged people***;

³ Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068), paragraphs 10 and 18.

Or. fr

Amendment 37
Danuta Jazłowiecka

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Welcomes the fostering of a European investment policy aimed at boosting growth and job creation; considers it regrettable, however, that Parliament's call³ to promote social investment not only in pursuit of financial profit but also with the aim of promoting a positive social impact has been ***neglected***;

³ Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts

Amendment

3. Welcomes the fostering of a European investment policy aimed at boosting growth and job creation; ***welcomes the fact, that the main aims of projects getting support from EFSI should be quality job creation as well as achieving social, economic and territorial cohesion***; considers it regrettable, however, that Parliament's call³ to promote social investment not only in pursuit of financial profit but also with the aim of promoting a positive social impact has ***not been entirely taken into account***;

³ Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts

adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068), paragraphs 10 and 18.

adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068), paragraphs 10 and 18.

Or. en

Amendment 38

Laura Agea, Tiziana Beghin, Marco Valli, Marco Zanni

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. **Welcomes** the fostering of a European investment policy aimed at boosting growth and job creation; considers it regrettable, however, that Parliament's call³ to promote social investment not only in pursuit of financial profit but also with the aim of promoting a positive social impact has been neglected;

³ Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068), paragraphs 10 and 18.

Amendment

3. **Notes** the fostering of a European investment policy aimed at boosting growth and job creation; ***calls, therefore, for growth-enhancing measures to be taken into consideration, one possibility being to factor out investment in support of research and economic development, excluding it from calculation of the ratio of government deficit to gross domestic product under the Protocol on the excessive deficit procedure;*** considers it regrettable, however, that Parliament's call³ to promote social investment not only in pursuit of financial profit but also with the aim of promoting a positive social impact has been neglected; ***stresses, in this regard, the need to implement forms of control and monitoring where investments are made, in order to address the problem of corruption;***

³ Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068), paragraphs 10 and 18.

Or. it

Amendment 39

Mara Bizzotto, Dominique Martin, Joelle Melin

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Welcomes the fostering of a European investment policy aimed at boosting growth and job creation; considers it regrettable, however, that Parliament's call³ to promote social investment not only in pursuit of financial profit but also with the aim of promoting a positive social impact has been neglected;

³ Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068), paragraphs 10 and 18.

Amendment

3. Welcomes the fostering of a European investment policy aimed at boosting growth and job creation; ***notes the failure of the Europe 2020 strategy as far as employment is concerned; calls for national legislation governing labour costs to be harmonised as soon as possible in order to combat social dumping and unfair competition and to ensure that competition in the internal market is fair;*** considers it regrettable that Parliament's call³ to promote social investment not only in pursuit of financial profit but also with the aim of promoting a positive social impact has been neglected;

³ Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068), paragraphs 10 and 18.

Or. it

Amendment 40 **Jean Lambert**

Draft opinion **Paragraph 3**

Draft opinion

3. Welcomes the fostering of a European investment policy aimed at boosting growth and job creation; considers it regrettable, however, that Parliament's call³ to promote social investment not only in pursuit of financial profit but also with the aim of promoting a positive social impact has been neglected;

Amendment

3. Welcomes the fostering of a European investment policy aimed at boosting growth and job creation; considers it regrettable, however, that Parliament's call³ to promote social investment not only in pursuit of financial profit but also with the aim of promoting ***social investment and monitoring of priorities to ensure*** a positive social impact has been neglected; ***stresses the importance of the principles of bottom-up approach and quality spending, as well as the monitoring of job***

creation and the objective of decreasing inequalities;

³ Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068), paragraphs 10 and 18.

³ Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068), paragraphs 10 and 18.

Or. en

Amendment 41
Danuta Jazlowiecka

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Welcomes the Commission's initiative to modernise the regulatory and administrative environment in order to improve investment climate and conditions for SMEs to grow and therefore strongly supports recommendations leading to improvement in efficiency of administration and more flexible and competitive product and service markets;

Or. en

Amendment 42
Joachim Schuster

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Considers it regrettable the CSR are not better aligned with the Europe 2020 targets; criticises in particular that targets in the field of employment, poverty, social exclusion and education are not sufficiently taken into account in the

CSR; considers it regrettable that this year's reduction of CSR from 157 to 102 took place at the expense of those recommendations that would have been important to reach the Europe 2020 targets;

Or. en

Amendment 43
Verónica Lope Fontagné

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Recalls that those regions which suffer from severe and permanent natural or demographic handicaps usually have higher unemployment rates and less economic growth; therefore, considers that they need investments which help them to improve their growth potential and to attract people to live in their areas, in order to ensure their sustainability;

Or. en

Amendment 44
Marian Harkin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Notes that the social economy provides employment for over 14 million people representing around 6.5% of workers in the Union; considers it regrettable, therefore, that Parliament's call¹ to promote social investment not only in pursuit of financial profit but also with the aim of promoting a positive social

impact has been neglected;

¹ *Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068), paragraphs 10 and 18.*

Or. en

Amendment 45
Jeroen Lenaers

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Stresses that social and employment policies should not merely be looked at from a cost perspective, but that consideration should also be given to structural reforms of the labour market and the long term benefit perspective, in order to maintain investments in society and citizens with a view to reaching the goals of the EU2020 strategy and safeguarding the future and stability of the Member States and the Union as a whole;

Or. en

Amendment 46
Anthea McIntyre

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Stresses the immense opportunities afforded by the digital economy for innovation, growth and job creation particularly for SMEs and start-ups;

Or. en

Amendment 47
Anne Sander

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Regrets the fact that enterprises in the social economy – primarily SMEs and micro-enterprises – find it even more difficult than traditional enterprises to obtain public or private financing; in this context, underlines the need to give more support to these enterprises, which employ 14 million people and represent 10% of European enterprises, by enabling them to access different forms of financing such as European funds, micro-credits and crowdfunding;

Or. fr

Amendment 48
Lynn Boylan, Neoklis Sylikiotis, Tania González Peñas

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

Amendment

4. Takes note of the recommendations on ***the need to move forward within new labour reforms, and calls for such reforms, if carried out, to guarantee social dialogue and to ensure necessary political consensus in order to be sustainable and effective***; considers it regrettable that many labour reforms ***have not ensured the required balance between flexibility and security***, resulting in, for example, the exclusion of millions of workers from collective bargaining; calls for labour reforms capable of reducing fragmentation, putting an end to insecurity ***and increasing***

4. Takes note of the recommendations on labour reforms; considers it regrettable that many labour reforms ***have attacked job security, workplace rights and trade unions' representation rights***, resulting in, for example, the exclusion of millions of workers from collective bargaining, ***increased job insecurity and increased inequality***; calls for labour reforms capable of reducing fragmentation, putting an end to insecurity while ensuring decent jobs and living wages through investment in human capital;

the productivity and competitiveness of our economy while ensuring decent jobs and living wages through investment in human capital;

Or. en

Amendment 49
Anthea McIntyre

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Takes note of the recommendations on the need to move forward within *new* labour reforms, and calls for such reforms, if carried out, to guarantee social dialogue *and to ensure necessary political consensus in order to be sustainable and effective; considers it regrettable that many* labour reforms *have not ensured the required* balance between flexibility and security, resulting in, for example, *the exclusion of millions of workers from collective bargaining*; calls for labour reforms capable of reducing fragmentation, putting an end to insecurity and increasing the productivity and competitiveness of our economy *while ensuring decent jobs and living wages through investment in human capital*;

Amendment

4. Takes note of the recommendations on the need to move forward within structural labour reforms, and calls for such reforms, if carried out, to guarantee *where appropriate* social dialogue *in line with Member States' customs and practices; Recognises the need to ensure that Member States' labour market* reforms *strike a good* balance between flexibility and security *for both employees and employers*, resulting in, for example *higher rates of productivity and employment levels*; calls for *ambitious* labour reforms capable of reducing fragmentation, putting an end to insecurity *and labour market rigidities* and increasing the productivity and competitiveness of our economy;

Or. en

Amendment 50
Zdzisław Krasnodębski

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Takes note of the recommendations on

Amendment

4. Takes note of the recommendations on

the need to move forward within new labour reforms, and calls for such reforms, if carried out, to guarantee social dialogue and to ensure necessary political consensus in order to be sustainable and effective; considers it regrettable that *many* labour reforms **have not ensured the required balance between flexibility and security, resulting in, for example, the exclusion of millions of workers from collective bargaining**; calls for labour reforms capable of **reducing fragmentation, putting an end to insecurity and** increasing the productivity and competitiveness of our economy while ensuring **decent** jobs and living wages through investment in human capital;

the need to move forward within new labour reforms, and calls for such reforms, if carried out, to guarantee social dialogue and to ensure necessary political consensus in order to be sustainable and effective; considers it regrettable that labour reforms **towards more flexibility and elasticity are partly misused for general decreasing of labour security standards**; calls for labour reforms capable of increasing the productivity and competitiveness of our economy while ensuring **qualitative** jobs and living wages through investment in human capital;

Or. en

Amendment 51
Sven Schulze

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Takes note of the recommendations on the need to move forward within new labour reforms, and calls for such reforms, if carried out, to guarantee social dialogue and to ensure necessary political consensus in order to be sustainable and effective; **considers it regrettable** that *many* labour reforms **have not ensured the required balance between flexibility and security, resulting in, for example, the exclusion of millions of workers from collective bargaining**; calls for **labour** reforms **capable of reducing fragmentation, putting an end to insecurity and increasing** the productivity and competitiveness of our economy while ensuring **decent** jobs and **living** wages through investment in human capital;

Amendment

4. Takes note of the recommendations on the need to move forward within new labour reforms, and calls for such reforms, if carried out, to guarantee social dialogue and to ensure necessary political consensus in order to be sustainable and effective; **stresses** that, **in connection with** labour reforms, **the flexicurity approach developed by the Commission is being followed**; calls for reforms **spurring on the labour market to put** an end to insecurity and **increase** the productivity and competitiveness of our economy while ensuring jobs and **social-partner-negotiated** wages through investment in human capital;

Amendment 52**Mara Bizzotto, Dominique Martin, Joelle Melin****Draft opinion****Paragraph 4***Draft opinion*

4. Takes note of the recommendations on the need to move forward within new labour reforms, **and calls for such reforms, if carried out, to guarantee social dialogue and to ensure necessary political consensus in order to be sustainable and effective**; considers it regrettable that many labour reforms have not ensured the required balance between flexibility and security, **resulting in, for example, the exclusion of millions of workers from collective bargaining**; calls for labour reforms capable of **reducing fragmentation, putting an end to insecurity and** increasing the productivity and competitiveness of our economy while ensuring **decent jobs and living wages through investment in human capital**;

Amendment

4. Takes note of the recommendations on the need to move forward within new labour reforms; **calls for the labour markets of Member States to be harmonised, bringing real salaries into line with labour productivity in the euro area**; considers it regrettable that many labour reforms have not ensured the required balance between flexibility and security; calls for labour reforms capable of increasing the productivity and competitiveness of our economy while ensuring **employment**;

Or. it

Amendment 53**Martina Dlabajová****Draft opinion****Paragraph 4***Draft opinion*

4. Takes note of the recommendations on the need to move forward within new labour reforms, and calls for such reforms, if carried out, to **guarantee social dialogue and to ensure necessary political consensus in order to be sustainable and**

Amendment

4. Takes note of the recommendations on the need to move forward within new labour reforms, and calls for such reforms, if carried out, to **ensure better anticipation and matching of skills and jobs at labour markets**; considers it regrettable that many

effective; considers it regrettable that many labour reforms have not ensured the required balance between flexibility and security, resulting in, for example, the exclusion of millions of workers from *collective bargaining*; calls for labour reforms capable of reducing fragmentation, *putting an end to insecurity and* increasing the productivity and competitiveness of our economy while ensuring *decent* jobs and *living* wages *through* investment in human capital;

labour reforms have not ensured the required balance between flexibility and security, resulting in, for example, the exclusion of millions of workers from *the labour market*; calls for labour reforms capable of reducing fragmentation, increasing the productivity and competitiveness of our economy while ensuring *more* jobs and *decent* wages *and* investment in human capital;

Or. en

Amendment 54
Anne Sander

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Takes note of the recommendations on the need to move forward within new labour reforms, and calls for such reforms, if carried out, to guarantee social dialogue and to ensure necessary political consensus in order to be sustainable and effective; *considers it regrettable* that *many* labour reforms have not ensured the required balance between flexibility and security, resulting in, for example, the exclusion of millions of workers from collective bargaining; calls for labour reforms capable of reducing fragmentation, *putting an end to* insecurity and *increasing* the productivity and competitiveness of our economy while ensuring decent jobs and living wages through investment in human capital;

Amendment

4. Takes note of the recommendations on the need to move forward within new labour reforms, and calls for such reforms, if carried out, to guarantee social dialogue and to ensure necessary political consensus in order to be sustainable and effective; *notes* that *some* labour reforms have not ensured the required balance between flexibility and security, resulting in, for example, the exclusion of millions of workers from collective bargaining; calls for *ambitious* labour reforms capable of reducing fragmentation, *encouraging job creation, reducing* insecurity and *fighting poverty in order to increase* the productivity and competitiveness of our economy, *which will be the fertile ground for long-term, inclusive growth in Europe*, while ensuring decent jobs and living wages through investment in human capital;

Or. fr

Amendment 55
Sofia Ribeiro

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Takes note of the recommendations on the need to move forward within new labour reforms, and calls for such reforms, if carried out, to guarantee social dialogue and to ensure necessary political consensus in order to be sustainable and effective; considers it regrettable that many labour reforms have not ensured the required balance between flexibility and security, **resulting in, for example, the exclusion of millions of workers from collective bargaining**; calls for labour reforms capable of reducing fragmentation, putting an end to insecurity and increasing the productivity and competitiveness of our economy while ensuring decent jobs and living wages through investment in human capital;

Amendment

4. Takes note of the recommendations on the need to move forward within new labour reforms, and calls for such reforms, if carried out, to guarantee social dialogue and to ensure necessary political consensus in order to be sustainable and effective; considers it regrettable that many labour reforms have not ensured the required balance between flexibility and security; calls for labour reforms capable of reducing **improper** fragmentation **of work**, putting an end to insecurity and increasing the productivity and competitiveness of our economy while ensuring decent jobs and living wages through investment in human capital;

Or. pt

Amendment 56
Danuta Jazłowiecka

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Takes note of the recommendations on the need to move forward within new labour reforms, and calls for such reforms, if carried out, to guarantee social dialogue and to ensure necessary political consensus in order to be sustainable and effective; considers it regrettable **that many labour reforms have not ensured the required** balance between flexibility and security,

Amendment

4. Takes note of the recommendations on the need to move forward within new **and ambitious** labour reforms, and calls for such reforms, if carried out, to guarantee social dialogue and to ensure necessary political consensus in order to be sustainable and effective; considers it regrettable that **in some countries there is no adequate** balance between flexibility

resulting in, for example, the exclusion of millions of workers from collective bargaining; calls for labour reforms capable of reducing fragmentation, putting an end to insecurity and increasing the productivity and competitiveness of our economy while ensuring decent jobs and living wages through investment in human capital;

and security and *asks this issue to be addressed by reforms*; calls for labour reforms capable of reducing fragmentation, putting an end to insecurity and increasing the productivity and competitiveness of our economy while ensuring decent jobs and living wages through investment in human capital;

Or. en

Amendment 57
Enrique Calvet Chambon

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Takes note of the recommendations on the need to move forward within new labour reforms, and calls for such reforms, if carried out, to guarantee social dialogue and to ensure necessary political consensus in order to be sustainable and effective; *considers it regrettable* that many labour reforms have not ensured the required balance between flexibility and security, *resulting in, for example, the exclusion of millions of workers from collective bargaining*; calls for labour reforms capable of reducing fragmentation, putting an end to insecurity and increasing the productivity and competitiveness of our economy while ensuring decent jobs and living wages through investment in human capital;

Amendment

4. Takes note of the recommendations on the need to move forward within new labour reforms, and calls for such reforms, if carried out, to guarantee social dialogue and to ensure necessary political consensus in order to be sustainable and effective; *notes* that many labour reforms have not ensured the required balance between flexibility and security; calls for labour reforms *in the Member States where they are still necessary, taking advantage of the fact that we have now reached a turning point in the cycle, that should be* capable of reducing fragmentation, putting an end to insecurity and increasing the productivity and competitiveness of our economy while ensuring decent jobs and living wages through investment in human capital;

Or. es

Amendment 58
Krzysztof Hetman

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Takes note of the recommendations on the need to move forward within new labour reforms, and calls for such reforms, if carried out, to guarantee social dialogue and to ensure necessary political consensus in order to be sustainable and effective; considers it regrettable that many labour reforms have not ensured the required balance between flexibility and security, **resulting in, for example, the exclusion of millions of workers from collective bargaining**; calls for labour reforms capable of reducing fragmentation, putting an end to insecurity and increasing the productivity and competitiveness of our economy while ensuring decent jobs and living wages through investment in human capital;

Amendment

4. Takes note of the recommendations on the need to move forward within new labour reforms, and calls for such reforms, if carried out, to guarantee social dialogue and to ensure necessary political consensus in order to be sustainable and effective; considers it regrettable that many labour reforms have not ensured the required balance between flexibility and security; calls for labour reforms capable of reducing fragmentation, putting an end to insecurity and increasing the productivity and competitiveness of our economy while ensuring decent jobs and living wages through investment in human capital;

Or. en

Amendment 59
Jean Lambert

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Takes note of the recommendations on the need to move forward within new labour reforms, and calls for such reforms, if carried out, to guarantee social dialogue and to ensure necessary political consensus in order to be sustainable and effective; considers it regrettable that many labour reforms have not ensured the required balance between flexibility and security, resulting in, for example, the exclusion of millions of workers from collective bargaining; calls for labour reforms capable of reducing fragmentation, putting

Amendment

4. Takes note of the recommendations on the need to move forward within new labour reforms, and calls for such reforms, if carried out, to guarantee **meaningful** social dialogue and to ensure necessary political consensus in order to be sustainable and effective; considers it regrettable that many labour reforms have not ensured the required balance between flexibility and security, resulting in, for example, the exclusion of millions of workers from collective bargaining **and thus weakening the base for social**

an end to insecurity and increasing the productivity and competitiveness of our economy while ensuring decent jobs and living wages through investment in human capital;

partnership in company expansion; calls for labour reforms capable of reducing fragmentation, putting an end to insecurity and increasing the productivity and competitiveness of our economy while ensuring decent jobs and living wages through investment in human capital ***and the promotion of inclusive labour markets***; ***calls on the Commission to ensure that in their policy guidance labour market reforms are aimed at, amongst others, reducing segmentation, supporting transition between jobs, advancing the inclusion of vulnerable groups in the labour market, reducing in-work poverty, promoting gender equality, strengthening the rights of workers with atypical contracts and provide more social protection for self-employed workers***;

Or. en

Amendment 60
Jutta Steinruck

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Takes note of the recommendations on the need to move forward within new labour reforms, and calls for such reforms, if carried out, to guarantee social dialogue and to ensure necessary political consensus in order to be sustainable and effective; considers it regrettable that many labour reforms have not ensured the required balance between flexibility and security, resulting in, for example, the exclusion of millions of workers from collective bargaining; calls for labour reforms capable of reducing fragmentation, putting an end to insecurity and increasing the productivity and competitiveness of our economy while ensuring decent jobs and

Amendment

4. Takes note of the recommendations on the need to move forward within new labour reforms, and calls for such reforms, if carried out, to guarantee social dialogue and to ensure necessary political consensus in order to be sustainable and effective; considers it regrettable that many labour reforms have not ensured the required balance between flexibility and security, resulting in, for example, the exclusion of millions of workers from collective bargaining; calls for labour reforms capable of reducing fragmentation, putting an end to insecurity and increasing the productivity and competitiveness of our economy while ensuring decent jobs and

living wages through investment in human capital;

living wages through investment in human capital; ***stresses that structural reforms such as reindustrialisation in order to establish a sustainable labour market are much more important than labour reforms;***

Or. de

Amendment 61
Marian Harkin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Takes note of the recommendations on the need to move forward within new labour reforms, and calls for such reforms, if carried out, to guarantee social dialogue and to ensure necessary political consensus in order to be sustainable and effective; considers it regrettable that many labour reforms have not ensured the required balance between flexibility and security, resulting in, for example, the exclusion of millions of workers from collective bargaining; calls for labour reforms capable of reducing fragmentation, putting an end to insecurity and increasing the productivity and competitiveness of our economy while ensuring decent jobs ***and living wages through investment in human capital;***

Amendment

4. Takes note of the recommendations on the need to move forward within new labour reforms, and calls for such reforms, if carried out, to guarantee social dialogue and to ensure necessary political consensus in order to be sustainable and effective; considers it regrettable that many labour reforms have not ensured the required balance between flexibility and security, resulting in, for example, the exclusion of millions of workers from collective bargaining; calls for labour reforms capable of reducing fragmentation, putting an end to insecurity and increasing the productivity and competitiveness of our economy while ensuring decent jobs ***leading to quality employment;***

Or. en

Amendment 62
Laura Agea, Tiziana Beghin, Marco Valli, Marco Zanni

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Takes note of the recommendations on the need to move forward within new labour reforms, and calls for such reforms, if carried out, to guarantee social dialogue and to ensure necessary political consensus in order to be sustainable and effective; considers it regrettable that many labour reforms have not ensured the required balance between flexibility and security, resulting in, for example, the exclusion of millions of workers from collective bargaining; calls for labour reforms capable of reducing fragmentation, putting an end to insecurity and increasing the productivity and competitiveness of our economy while ensuring decent jobs and living wages through investment in human capital;

Amendment

4. Takes note of the recommendations on the need to move forward within new labour reforms, and calls for such reforms, if carried out, to guarantee ***social protection***, social dialogue and to ensure necessary political consensus in order to be sustainable and effective; considers it regrettable that many labour reforms have not ensured the required balance between flexibility and security, resulting in, for example, the exclusion of millions of workers from collective bargaining; calls for labour reforms capable of reducing fragmentation, putting an end to insecurity and increasing the productivity and competitiveness of our economy while ensuring decent jobs and living wages through investment in human capital; ***stresses in this regard that in order to improve the quality of work, teleworking outside the main place of work should be promoted and working hours should be reduced whilst maintaining the same pay;***

Or. it

Amendment 63
Verónica Lope Fontagné

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Takes note of the recommendations on the need to move forward within new labour reforms, and calls for such reforms, if carried out, to guarantee social dialogue and to ensure necessary political consensus in order to be sustainable and effective; considers it regrettable that many labour reforms have not ensured the required balance between flexibility and security, resulting in, for example, the exclusion of

Amendment

4. Takes note of the recommendations on the need to move forward within new labour reforms, and calls for such reforms, if carried out, to guarantee social dialogue and to ensure necessary political consensus in order to be sustainable and effective; considers it regrettable that many labour reforms have not ensured the required balance between flexibility and security, resulting in, for example, the exclusion of

millions of workers from collective bargaining; calls for labour reforms capable of reducing fragmentation, putting an end to insecurity and increasing the productivity and competitiveness of our economy while ensuring decent jobs and living wages through investment in human capital;

millions of workers from collective bargaining; calls for labour reforms capable of reducing fragmentation, putting an end to insecurity and increasing the productivity and competitiveness of our economy while ensuring decent jobs and living wages ***linked to productivity*** through investment in human capital;

Or. en

Amendment 64
Enrique Calvet Chambon

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4a. Notes that the lack or slow pace of structural reforms is a well-known problem in certain countries, and therefore believes that the Commission should look more intensively, as part of its objectives in the European Semester, at assessing the damage to medium-term job creation resulting from the lack of responsibility shown by certain states in failing to carry out structural reforms;

Or. es

Amendment 65
Joachim Schuster

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4a. Notes that fiscal policy and macroeconomic policy recommendations also have an effect on the social situation and the labour market; against this background, calls on the Commission to

upgrade the social indicators as they are defined in the Joint Employment Report in order to improve the monitoring of social and labour market developments and to formulate CSR that are socially balanced;

Or. en

Amendment 66
Anthea McIntyre

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4a. Recognises that setting minimum wages is a Member States' competence which must be respected in line with the principle of subsidiarity;

Or. en

Amendment 67
Anne Sander

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

Amendment

5. Points out that the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) have warned of *the* social (in-work poverty) and economic (depressed internal demand) problems *caused by the wage devaluation that has occurred in recent years; considers it regrettable that there is no reference to the importance of increasing wages, especially in those countries where wages are below the poverty threshold*; recalls that minimum wages differ substantially

5. Points out that the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) have warned of social (in-work poverty) and economic (depressed internal demand) problems; *stresses the importance of ensuring decent wages for all workers in the EU to enable them to enjoy the fruits of their labours and encourage them to stay in the job market and at the same time to encourage job-seekers to enter the job market*; recalls that minimum wages differ substantially

between Member States (Bulgaria EUR 184/month, Luxembourg EUR 1 923/month), and **reiterates its request for** a study⁴ on this issue;

⁴ Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068), paragraph 47.

between Member States (Bulgaria EUR 184/month, Luxembourg EUR 1 923/month), and **recommends that** a study⁴ on this issue **be carried out**;

⁴ Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068), paragraph 47.

Or. fr

Amendment 68 **Krzysztof Hetman**

Draft opinion **Paragraph 5**

Draft opinion

5. Points out that the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) have warned of the social (in-work poverty) and economic (depressed internal demand) problems caused by the wage devaluation that has occurred in recent years; considers it regrettable that there is no reference to the importance of increasing wages, **especially in those countries where wages are below the poverty threshold**; recalls that minimum wages differ substantially between Member States (Bulgaria EUR 184/month, Luxembourg EUR 1 923/month), and reiterates its request for a study⁴ on this issue;

⁴ Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068), paragraph 47.

Amendment

5. Points out that the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) have warned of the social (in-work poverty) and economic (depressed internal demand) problems caused by the wage devaluation that has occurred in recent years; **noting that issues concerning wages belong strictly to national competence**, considers it regrettable that there is no reference to the importance of increasing wages; **supports recommendations calling for better adaptation of wages to productivity and underlines that wages should not undermine the competitiveness of Member States**; recalls that minimum wages differ substantially between Member States (Bulgaria EUR 184/month, Luxembourg EUR 1 923/month), and reiterates its request for a study⁴ on this issue;

⁴ Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068), paragraph 47.

Amendment 69
Enrique Calvet Chambon

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Points out that the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) have warned of the *social (in-work poverty) and economic (depressed internal demand) problems caused by the wage devaluation that has occurred in recent years; considers it regrettable that there is no reference to the importance of increasing wages, especially in those countries where wages are below the poverty threshold; recalls that minimum wages differ substantially between Member States (Bulgaria EUR 184/month, Luxembourg EUR 1 923/month), and reiterates its request for a study⁴ on this issue;*

Amendment

5. Points out that the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) have warned of the problems *that the uneven dynamic is creating for economic growth itself, and consequently for job-creation potential; notes that income and its distribution (wages and others) represent a fundamental aspect of the uneven dynamic; considers it to be very important at the present time, and above all in certain Member States, for economic policies to heighten the importance of income policies and for the Commission to provide firm guidelines;*

⁴ *Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068), paragraph 47.*

Amendment 70
Danuta Jazłowiecka

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Points out that the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the Organisation

Amendment

5. Points out that the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the Organisation

for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) have warned of the social (in-work poverty) and economic (depressed internal demand) problems caused by the wage devaluation that has occurred in recent years; ***considers it regrettable that there is no reference to the importance of increasing wages, especially in those countries where wages are below the poverty threshold***; recalls that minimum wages differ substantially between Member States (Bulgaria EUR 184/month, Luxembourg EUR 1 923/month), and reiterates its request for a study⁴ on this issue;

⁴ Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068), paragraph 47.

for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) have warned of the social (in-work poverty) and economic (depressed internal demand) problems caused by the wage devaluation that has occurred in recent years; ***supports recommendations calling for better adaptation of wages to productivity developments***; recalls that minimum wages differ substantially between Member States (Bulgaria EUR 184/month, Luxembourg EUR 1 923/month), and reiterates its request for a study⁴ on this issue ***including an analysis of differences in purchasing powers between Member States***;

⁴ Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068), paragraph 47.

Or. en

Amendment 71
Martina Dlabajová

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Points out that the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) have ***warned of the social (in-work poverty) and economic (depressed internal demand) problems caused by the wage devaluation that has occurred in recent years; considers it regrettable that there is no reference to the importance of increasing wages, especially in those countries where wages are below the poverty threshold***; recalls that minimum wages differ substantially between Member States (Bulgaria EUR 184/month, Luxembourg EUR 1 923/month), and reiterates its

Amendment

5. Points out that the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) have ***pointed out*** social and economic problems that ***have*** occurred in recent years; recalls that minimum wages differ substantially between Member States (Bulgaria EUR 184/month, Luxembourg EUR 1 923/month), and reiterates its request for a study⁴ on this issue;

request for a study⁴ on this issue;

⁴ Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068), paragraph 47.

⁴ Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068), paragraph 47.

Or. en

Amendment 72
Sofia Ribeiro

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Points out that the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) have warned of the social (in-work poverty) and economic (depressed internal demand) problems **caused by** the wage devaluation that has occurred in recent years; **considers it regrettable** that there **is no** reference to the importance of increasing wages, especially in those countries where wages are below the poverty threshold; recalls that minimum wages differ substantially between Member States (Bulgaria EUR 184/month, Luxembourg EUR 1 923/month), and reiterates its request for **a study**⁴ on **this issue**;

⁴ Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068), paragraph 47.

Amendment

5. Points out that the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) have warned of the social (in-work poverty) and economic (depressed internal demand) problems **related to** the wage devaluation that has occurred in recent years; **maintains that** there **has to be a** reference to the importance of increasing wages, especially in those countries where wages are below the poverty threshold, **but that it must be made without undermining the subsidiarity principle or interfering with fiscal stability**; recalls that minimum wages differ substantially between Member States (Bulgaria EUR 184/month, Luxembourg EUR 1 923/month), and reiterates its request for **an in-depth study**⁴ on **the impact of introducing minimum wages in Member States**;

⁴ Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068) paragraph 46.

Or. pt

Amendment 73
Guillaume Balas, Edouard Martin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Points out that the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) have warned of the social (in-work poverty) and economic (depressed internal demand) problems caused by the wage devaluation that has occurred in recent years; considers it regrettable that there is no reference to the importance of increasing wages, especially in those countries where wages are below the poverty threshold; recalls that minimum wages differ substantially between Member States (Bulgaria EUR 184/month, Luxembourg EUR 1 923/month), and reiterates its request for a study⁴ on this issue;

⁴ Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068), paragraph 47.

Amendment

5. Points out that the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) have warned of the social (in-work poverty) and economic (depressed internal demand) problems caused by the wage devaluation that has occurred in recent years; considers it regrettable that there is no reference to the importance of increasing wages, especially in those countries where wages are below the poverty threshold; recalls that minimum wages differ substantially between Member States (Bulgaria EUR 184/month, Luxembourg EUR 1 923/month), and reiterates its request for a study⁴ on this issue;
encourages Member States to set minimum wages in accordance with national legislation and practices and to consider their impact on in-work poverty, household income, aggregate demand and job creation;

⁴ Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068), paragraph 47.

Or. en

Amendment 74
Lynn Boylan, Neoklis Sylikiotis, Tania González Peñas

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Points out that the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) have warned of the social (in-work poverty) and economic (depressed internal demand) problems caused by the wage devaluation that has occurred in recent years; considers it regrettable that there is no reference to the importance of increasing wages, especially in those countries where wages are below the poverty threshold; recalls that minimum wages differ substantially between Member States (Bulgaria EUR 184/month, Luxembourg EUR 1 923/month), and reiterates its request for a study⁴ on this issue;

⁴ Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068), paragraph 47.

Amendment

5. Points out that the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) have warned of the social (in-work poverty) and economic (depressed internal demand) problems caused by the wage devaluation that has occurred in recent years; considers it regrettable that there is no reference to the importance of increasing wages, especially in those countries where wages are below the poverty threshold; recalls that minimum wages differ substantially between Member States (Bulgaria EUR 184/month, Luxembourg EUR 1 923/month), and reiterates its request for a study⁴ on this issue; ***calls for the inclusion of recommendations that Member States set their minimum wage as a 'living wage' - i.e., calculated according to the basic cost of living in the particular Member State;***

⁴ Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068), paragraph 47.

Or. en

Amendment 75
Sven Schulze

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Points out that the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) have warned of the social (in-work poverty) and economic (depressed internal demand) problems

Amendment

5. Points out that the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) have warned of the social (in-work poverty) and economic (depressed internal demand) problems

caused by the wage devaluation that has occurred in recent years; considers it regrettable that there is no reference to the importance of increasing wages, especially in those countries where wages are below the poverty threshold; recalls that minimum wages differ substantially *between Member States* (Bulgaria EUR 184/month, Luxembourg EUR 1 923/month), *and reiterates its request for a study⁴ on this issue;*

⁴ *Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068), paragraph 47.*

caused by the wage devaluation that has occurred in recent years; considers it regrettable that there is no reference to the importance of increasing wages, in so far as productivity advances permit, especially in those countries where wages are below the poverty threshold; recalls that minimum wages *set in Member States* differ substantially (Bulgaria EUR 184/month, Luxembourg EUR 1 923/month);

Or. de

Amendment 76

Laura Agea, Tiziana Beghin, Marco Valli, Marco Zanni

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Points out that the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) have warned of the social (in-work poverty) and economic (depressed internal demand) problems caused by the wage devaluation that has occurred in recent years; considers it regrettable that there is no reference to the importance of increasing wages, especially in those countries where wages are below the poverty threshold; recalls that minimum wages differ substantially between Member States (Bulgaria EUR 184/month, Luxembourg EUR 1 923/month), and reiterates its request for a study⁴ on this issue;

Amendment

5. Points out that the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) have warned of the social (in-work poverty) and economic (depressed internal demand) problems caused by the wage devaluation that has occurred in recent years; *stresses in this regard that pay increases are vital for boosting internal demand;* considers it regrettable that there is no reference to the importance of increasing wages, especially in those countries where wages are below the poverty threshold; recalls that minimum wages differ substantially between Member States (Bulgaria EUR 184/month, Luxembourg EUR 1 923/month), and reiterates its request for a study⁴ on this issue;

⁴ Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068), paragraphs 10 and 47.

⁴ Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068), paragraphs 10 and 47.

Or. it

Amendment 77
Anne Sander

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Notes that some labour reforms have *introduced new contractual formulas that, according to the Commission, have increased precariousness in the labour markets; of particular concern are some Member States whose rates of temporary employment are over 90 % for new contracts, which particularly affects young people and women and which, according to the OECD¹, is one of the direct causes of increasing inequality;*

¹ OECD report 'In it together: Why less inequality benefits all', 21 May 2015.

Amendment

6. Notes that some labour reforms have *given rise to new kinds of contract, in response to the development of the job market in Europe and to the economic situation; stresses that these new contracts might be an opportunity for people to re-enter the job market and that they might thus contribute to fighting the scourge of unemployment; points out, however, the necessity of these contracts not jeopardising high standards of worker protection and not increasing uncertainty;*

Or. fr

Amendment 78
Sven Schulze

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Notes that some labour reforms have introduced new contractual formulas *that, according to the Commission, have increased precariousness in the labour markets; of particular concern are some*

Amendment

6. Notes that, *in some Member States, there are ossified, intergenerationally unbalanced labour markets failing to obey the laws of the market on which firms cannot flexibly recruit or release workers*

Member States whose rates of temporary employment are over 90 % for new contracts, which particularly affects young people and women and which, according to the OECD¹, is one of the direct causes of increasing inequality;

in the light of the state of their order books and that, accordingly, some labour reforms have introduced new contractual formulas which, in many instances, unfairly demand flexibility from the younger generation only; notes further that, in many instances, part-time, project-based or temporary work makes it easier for the low skilled to enter employment; stresses that such employment arrangements are often specifically sought by workers because they make it possible to have a better work-life balance or additional earnings;

¹ OECD report 'In it together: Why less inequality benefits all', 21 May 2015.

Or. de

Amendment 79
Anthea McIntyre

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Notes that some labour reforms have introduced new contractual formulas *that, according to the Commission, have increased precariousness in the labour markets; of particular concern are some Member States whose rates of temporary employment are over 90 % for new contracts, which particularly affects young people and women and which, according to the OECD¹, is one of the direct causes of increasing inequality;*

¹ OECD report 'In it together: Why less inequality benefits all', 21 May 2015.

Amendment

6. Notes that some *Member States'* labour *market* reforms have introduced new contractual formulas; *recognises that the availability of non-standard forms of employment provides numerous benefits for workers who cannot or chose not to commit to 'standard' full-time employment which results in high participation rates amongst groups that might otherwise be excluded from work altogether, such as mothers returning to work;*

Or. en

Amendment 80
Sofia Ribeiro

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Notes ***that some labour reforms have introduced new contractual formulas that, according to the Commission, have increased precariousness in the labour markets; of particular concern*** are some Member States whose rates of temporary employment are over 90% for new contracts, which particularly affects young people and women and which, according to the OECD¹, is one of the direct causes of increasing inequality;

¹OECD report 'In it together: Why less inequality benefits all', 21 May 2015.

Amendment

6. Notes ***with concern the figures from*** some Member States whose rates of temporary employment are over 90% for new contracts, which particularly affects young people and women and which, according to the OECD¹, is one of the direct causes of increasing inequality;

¹OECD report 'In it together: Why less inequality benefits all', 21 May 2015.

Or. pt

Amendment 81
Zdzisław Krasnodębski

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Notes that some labour reforms have introduced new contractual formulas ***that, according to the Commission, have increased precariousness in the labour markets***; of particular concern are some Member States whose rates of temporary employment are over 90 % for new contracts, which particularly affects young people and women and which, according to the OECD¹, is one of the direct causes of increasing inequality;

Amendment

6. Notes that some labour reforms which have introduced new contractual formulas ***are partly misused***; of particular concern are some Member States whose rates of temporary employment are over 90 % for new contracts, which particularly affects young people and women and which, according to the OECD¹, is one of the direct causes of increasing inequality;

¹ OECD report ‘In it together: Why less inequality benefits all’, 21 May 2015.

¹ OECD report ‘In it together: Why less inequality benefits all’, 21 May 2015.

Or. en

Amendment 82
Martina Dlabajová

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Notes that some labour reforms have introduced new contractual formulas that, **according to the Commission, have increased precariousness in the labour markets; of particular concern are some Member States whose rates of temporary employment are over 90 % for new contracts, which particularly affects young people and women and which, according to the OECD¹, is one of the direct causes of increasing inequality;**

¹ OECD report ‘In it together: Why less inequality benefits all’, 21 May 2015.

Amendment

6. Notes that some labour reforms have introduced new contractual formulas that **help to integrate more workers at** labour markets;

Or. en

Amendment 83
Lynn Boylan, Neoklis Sylikiotis, Tania González Peñas

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Notes that some labour reforms have introduced new contractual formulas that, according to the Commission, have increased precariousness in the labour markets; of particular concern are some Member States whose rates of temporary

Amendment

6. Notes that some labour reforms have introduced new contractual formulas that, according to the Commission, have increased precariousness in the labour markets; of particular concern are some Member States whose rates of temporary

employment are over 90 % for new contracts, which particularly affects young people and women and which, according to the OECD¹, is one of the direct causes of increasing inequality;

¹ OECD report ‘In it together: Why less inequality benefits all’, 21 May 2015.

employment are over 90 % for new contracts, which particularly affects young people and women and which, according to the OECD¹, is one of the direct causes of increasing inequality; ***calls for inclusion of a recommendation that Member States take steps to restrict and reverse the growth of such precarious employment contracts;***

¹ OECD report ‘In it together: Why less inequality benefits all’, 21 May 2015.

Or. en

Amendment 84 **Jean Lambert**

Draft opinion **Paragraph 6**

Draft opinion

6. Notes that some labour reforms have introduced new contractual formulas that, according to the Commission, have increased precariousness in the labour markets; of particular concern are some Member States whose rates of temporary employment are over 90 % for new contracts, which particularly affects young people and women and which, according to the OECD¹, is one of the direct causes of increasing inequality;

Amendment

6. Notes that some labour reforms have introduced new contractual formulas that, according to the Commission, have increased precariousness in the labour markets; of particular concern are some Member States whose rates of temporary employment are over 90 % for new contracts, which particularly affects young people and women and which, according to the OECD¹, is one of the direct causes of increasing inequality; ***particular concern is raised about the expansion of ‘zero hour contracts’.*** ***Believes that all types of contractual arrangements should give workers access to a core set of rights;*** ***Reiterates its call on Member States to ensure that people with precarious, temporary or part-time contracts or who are self-employed have adequate social protection (including access to healthcare), pension rights and access to training;***

¹ OECD report ‘In it together: Why less inequality benefits all’, 21 May 2015.

¹ OECD report ‘In it together: Why less inequality benefits all’, 21 May 2015.

Or. en

Amendment 85
Guillaume Balas, Edouard Martin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Notes that some labour reforms have introduced new contractual formulas that, according to the Commission, have increased precariousness in the labour markets; of particular concern are some Member States whose rates of temporary employment are over 90 % for new contracts, which particularly affects young people and women and which, according to the OECD¹, is one of the direct causes of increasing inequality;

¹ OECD report ‘In it together: Why less inequality benefits all’, 21 May 2015.

Amendment

6. Notes that some labour reforms have introduced new contractual formulas **such as 'zero hour contracts'** that, according to the Commission, have increased precariousness in the labour markets; of particular concern are some Member States whose rates of temporary employment are over 90 % for new contracts, which particularly affects young people and women and which, according to the OECD¹, is one of the direct causes of increasing inequality;

¹ OECD report ‘In it together: Why less inequality benefits all’, 21 May 2015.

Or. en

Amendment 86
Elisabeth Morin-Chartier

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Notes that some labour reforms have introduced new contractual formulas that, according to the Commission, have increased precariousness in the labour

AM\1068891EN.doc

55/109

Amendment

6. Notes that some labour reforms have introduced new contractual formulas that, according to the Commission, have increased precariousness in the labour

PE564.986v01-00

EN

markets; of particular concern are some Member States whose rates of temporary employment are over 90 % for new contracts, which particularly affects young people and women and which, according to the OECD¹, is one of the direct causes of increasing inequality;

¹ OECD report ‘In it together: Why less inequality benefits all’, 21 May 2015.

markets; of particular concern are some Member States whose rates of temporary employment are over 90 % for new contracts, which particularly affects young people and women and which, according to the OECD¹, is one of the direct causes of increasing inequality; ***calls on the Member States to set up synergies between national policies and the European cohesion policy in order to reinforce the effect on these priority groups;***

¹ OECD report ‘In it together: Why less inequality benefits all’, 21 May 2015.

Or. fr

Amendment 87
Enrique Calvet Chambon

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Notes that some labour reforms have introduced new contractual formulas that, according to the Commission, have increased precariousness in the labour markets; of particular concern are some Member States whose rates of temporary employment are over 90 % for new contracts, which particularly affects young people and women and which, according to the OECD¹, is one of the direct causes of increasing inequality;

¹ OECD report ‘In it together: Why less inequality benefits all’, 21 May 2015.

Amendment

6. Notes that some labour reforms have introduced new contractual formulas that, according to the Commission, have increased precariousness in the labour markets; ***it is primarily the poor use of those new – a priori legal – formulas and illegal employment situations that cause the greatest degree of labour precariousness;*** of particular concern are some Member States whose rates of temporary employment are over 90 % for new contracts, which particularly affects young people and women and which, according to the OECD¹, is one of the direct causes of increasing inequality;

¹ OECD report ‘In it together: Why less inequality benefits all’, 21 May 2015.

Or. es

Amendment 88

Laura Agea, Tiziana Beghin, Marco Valli, Marco Zanni

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Notes that some labour reforms have introduced new contractual formulas that, according to the Commission, have increased precariousness in the labour markets; of particular concern are some Member States whose rates of temporary employment are over 90 % for new contracts, which particularly affects young people and women and which, according to the OECD¹, is one of the direct causes of increasing inequality;

¹ OECD report ‘In it together: Why less inequality benefits all’, 21 May 2015.

Amendment

6. Notes that some labour reforms have introduced new contractual formulas that, according to the Commission, have increased precariousness in the labour markets; of particular concern are some Member States whose rates of temporary employment are over 90 % for new contracts, which particularly affects young people and women and which, according to the OECD¹, is one of the direct causes of increasing inequality; ***calls on the Commission to check whether these reforms are in keeping with EU legislation on labour contracts;***

¹ OECD report ‘In it together: Why less inequality benefits all’, 21 May 2015.

Or. it

Amendment 89

Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6a. Considers it regrettable that, taking into account the large existing problems for the integration and reintegration into the labour market in the Union, only few and unambitious reforms on active labour market policies have been made; deplores the excessive cuts that have been made on those policies, mainly in those countries

most affected by the crisis; believes that greater coordination and integration of these policies are necessary at national and European level; calls for increased monitoring and sum of results by the Commission and Members States;

Or. en

Amendment 90
Anthea McIntyre

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6a. Notes that according to the European Commission's Quarterly Review (March 2015), Employment in the Union continues to improve moderately but consistently, although development at the Union level hid marked differences between Member States; stresses that it also noted that the increase in permanent and full-time contracts was higher than that for temporary and part-time contracts in absolute terms and that for the third and consecutive quarter, the increase in permanent and in full-time employment has outnumbered the increase in temporary and part-time employment.

Or. en

Amendment 91
Martina Dlabajová

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

Amendment

7. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to take note of the IMF²

7. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to take note of the IMF²

report on the causes and consequences of inequality, which states that the increase in the income gap *is* negatively affecting economic growth and the potential for job creation; calls for effective action on labour taxation, labour markets *and redistributive policies to facilitate greater and upward economic and social convergence*;

² IMF report ‘Causes and Consequences of Income Inequality: A Global Perspective’, June 2015.

report on the causes and consequences of inequality, which states that the increase in the income gap *may* negatively affect economic growth and the potential for job creation; calls for effective action on labour taxation *and calls for labour market reforms to boost economic growth, competitiveness and productivity*;

² IMF report ‘Causes and Consequences of Income Inequality: A Global Perspective’, June 2015.

Or. en

Amendment 92 **Sven Schulze**

Draft opinion **Paragraph 7**

Draft opinion

7. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to take note of the IMF² report on the causes and consequences of inequality, which states that the increase in the income gap is negatively affecting economic growth and the potential for job creation; calls for effective action on labour taxation, labour markets *and redistributive policies to facilitate greater and upward economic and social convergence*;

² IMF report ‘Causes and Consequences of Income Inequality: A Global Perspective’, June 2015.

Amendment

7. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to take note of the IMF² report on the causes and consequences of inequality, which states that the increase in the income gap is negatively affecting economic growth and the potential for job creation; calls for effective action on labour taxation *and for fairer, supply-and-demand-based labour markets ensuring freedom of movement for workers in Europe*;

² IMF report ‘Causes and Consequences of Income Inequality: A Global Perspective’, June 2015.

Or. de

Amendment 93 **Anthea McIntyre**

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to take note of the IMF² report on the causes and consequences of inequality, which states that the increase in the income gap is negatively affecting economic growth and the potential for job creation; ***calls for effective action on labour taxation, labour markets and redistributive policies to facilitate greater and upward economic and social convergence;***

² IMF report ‘Causes and Consequences of Income Inequality: A Global Perspective’, June 2015.

Amendment

7. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to take note of the IMF² report on the causes and consequences of inequality, which states that the increase in the income gap is negatively affecting economic growth and the potential for job creation;

² IMF report ‘Causes and Consequences of Income Inequality: A Global Perspective’, June 2015.

Or. en

Amendment 94
Krzysztof Hetman

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to take note of the IMF² report on the causes and consequences of inequality, which states that the increase in the income gap is negatively affecting economic growth and the potential for job creation; calls for effective action on labour taxation, labour markets and redistributive policies to facilitate greater and upward economic and social convergence;

Amendment

7. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to take note of the IMF² report on the causes and consequences of inequality, which states that the increase in the income gap is negatively affecting economic growth and the potential for job creation; calls for ***enhanced efforts to shift taxation burden away from labour towards other sources***, effective action on labour taxation, labour markets and redistributive policies to facilitate greater and upward economic and social convergence;

² IMF report ‘Causes and Consequences of Income Inequality: A Global Perspective’, June 2015.

² IMF report ‘Causes and Consequences of Income Inequality: A Global Perspective’, June 2015.

Or. en

Amendment 95
Danuta Jazłowiecka

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to take note of the IMF² report on the causes and consequences of inequality, which states that the increase in the income gap is negatively affecting economic growth and the potential for job creation; calls for effective action on **labour taxation**, labour markets and redistributive policies to facilitate greater and upward economic and social convergence;

² IMF report ‘Causes and Consequences of Income Inequality: A Global Perspective’, June 2015.

Amendment

7. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to take note of the IMF² report on the causes and consequences of inequality, which states that the increase in the income gap is negatively affecting economic growth and the potential for job creation; calls for **enhanced efforts to shift taxation burden from labour towards other sources**, effective action on labour markets and redistributive policies to facilitate greater and upward economic and social convergence;

² IMF report ‘Causes and Consequences of Income Inequality: A Global Perspective’, June 2015.

Or. en

Amendment 96
Laura Agea, Tiziana Beghin, Marco Valli, Marco Zanni

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to take note of the IMF²

AM\1068891EN.doc

Amendment

7. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to take note of the IMF²

61/109

PE564.986v01-00

EN

report on the causes and consequences of inequality, which states that the increase in the income gap is negatively affecting economic growth and the potential for job creation; calls for effective action on labour taxation, labour markets and redistributive policies to facilitate greater and upward economic and social convergence;

² IMF report ‘Causes and Consequences of Income Inequality: A Global Perspective’, June 2015.

report on the causes and consequences of inequality, which states that the increase in the income gap is negatively affecting economic growth, ***development*** and the potential for job creation; calls for effective action on labour taxation, labour markets and redistributive policies to facilitate greater and upward economic and social convergence; ***points out that the tax burden should be shifted from labour to other forms of taxation that are less harmful to employment and growth;***

² IMF report ‘Causes and Consequences of Income Inequality: A Global Perspective’, June 2015.

Or. it

Amendment 97 **Sofia Ribeiro**

Draft opinion **Paragraph 7**

Draft opinion

7. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to take note of the IMF² report on the causes and consequences of inequality, which states that the increase in the income gap is negatively affecting economic growth and the potential for job creation; calls for effective action on labour taxation, labour markets and redistributive policies to facilitate greater and upward economic and social convergence;

² IMF report ‘Causes and Consequences of Income Inequality: A Global Perspective’, June 2015.

Amendment

7. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to take note of the IMF² report on the causes and consequences of inequality, which states that the increase in the income gap is negatively affecting economic growth and the potential for job creation; calls for effective action on labour taxation, labour markets and redistributive policies, ***taking into account the specific features of the individual Member States***, to facilitate greater and upward economic and social convergence;

² IMF report ‘Causes and Consequences of Income Inequality: A Global Perspective’, June 2015.

Or. pt

Amendment 98

Jean Lambert

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to take note of the IMF² report on the causes and consequences of inequality, which states that the increase in the income gap is negatively affecting economic growth and the potential for job creation; calls for effective action on labour taxation, labour markets and redistributive policies to facilitate greater and upward economic and social convergence;

² IMF report ‘Causes and Consequences of Income Inequality: A Global Perspective’, June 2015.

Amendment

7. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to take note of the IMF² report on the causes and consequences of inequality, which states that the increase in the income gap is negatively affecting economic growth, the potential for job creation ***and investment in automatic stabilisers***; calls for effective action on labour taxation, ***investment in quality jobs, including green and white services, and inclusive*** labour markets and redistributive policies to facilitate greater and upward economic and social convergence;

² IMF report ‘Causes and Consequences of Income Inequality: A Global Perspective’, June 2015.

Or. en

Amendment 99

Elisabeth Morin-Chartier

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to take note of the IMF² report on the causes and consequences of inequality, which states that the increase in the income gap is negatively affecting economic growth and the potential for job creation; calls for effective action on labour taxation, labour markets and

Amendment

7. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to take note of the IMF² report on the causes and consequences of inequality, which states that the increase in the income gap is negatively affecting economic growth and the potential for job creation; calls for ***immediate and*** effective action on labour taxation, labour markets

redistributive policies to facilitate greater and upward economic and social convergence;

and redistributive policies to facilitate greater and upward economic and social convergence;

² IMF report ‘Causes and Consequences of Income Inequality: A Global Perspective’, June 2015.

² IMF report ‘Causes and Consequences of Income Inequality: A Global Perspective’, June 2015.

Or. fr

Amendment 100
Anthea McIntyre

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7a. Stresses that many Member States have already launched important reforms, including strengthening and improving the efficiency of active labour market policies and by creating a hospitable business environment which can play a key role in re-shoring jobs back to Europe;

Or. en

Amendment 101
Anthea McIntyre

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

Amendment

8. Notes that high rates in long-term unemployment in the EU, especially in some Member States, are resulting in an increasing number of workers losing their benefits before finding a new job; ***considers it regrettable*** that many Member States have ***limited access to such benefits***

8. Notes that high rates in long-term unemployment in the EU, especially in some Member States, are resulting in an increasing number of workers losing their benefits before finding a new job; ***Notes*** that many Member States have ***made innovative changes to their benefits***

or have reduced the amount available and/or the eligibility period for them; considers it important to maintain a balance between adequate social protection and adequate incentives for active job searching; calls for a specific study on such incentives at EU level, and calls on the Member States to guarantee minimum income schemes to avoid pockets of social exclusion and ensure a minimum income to families;

systems to help in particular young people into work; considers it important for the Union to examine ways in which Member States can learn from each other in order to strike a balance between adequate social protection and adequate incentives for active job searching;

Or. en

Amendment 102
Krzysztof Hetman

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Notes *that* high rates *in* long-term unemployment *in the EU, especially in some Member States, are resulting in an increasing number of workers losing their benefits before finding a new job;* considers it regrettable that many Member States have limited access to *such* benefits or have reduced the amount available and/or the eligibility period for them; considers it important to maintain a balance between adequate social protection and adequate incentives for active job searching; calls for a specific study on such incentives at EU level; *and calls on the Member States to guarantee minimum income schemes to avoid pockets of social exclusion and ensure a minimum income to families;*

Amendment

8. Notes *the* high rates *of* long-term unemployment; considers it regrettable that many Member States have limited access to *unemployment* benefits or have reduced the amount available and/or the eligibility period for them; considers it important to maintain a balance between adequate social protection and adequate incentives for active job searching; calls for a specific study on such incentives at EU level; *encourages Member States to envisage minimum income schemes in accordance with each Member State's customs and traditions;*

Or. en

Amendment 103
Sofia Ribeiro

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Notes that high rates in long-term unemployment in the EU, especially in some Member States, are resulting in an increasing number of workers losing their benefits before finding a new job; **considers it regrettable that many Member States have limited access to such benefits or have reduced the amount available and/or the eligibility period for them;** considers it important to maintain a balance between adequate social protection and adequate incentives for active job searching; calls for a specific study on such incentives at EU level, and calls on the Member States to guarantee minimum income schemes to avoid pockets of social exclusion and ensure a minimum income to families;

Amendment

8. Notes that high rates in long-term unemployment in the EU, especially in some Member States, are resulting in an increasing number of workers losing their benefits before finding a new job; **notes that some Member States have limited access to such benefits or have reduced the amount available and/or the eligibility period for them;** considers it important to maintain a balance between adequate social protection and adequate incentives for active job searching; calls for a specific study on such incentives at EU level, and calls on the Member States to guarantee minimum income schemes to avoid pockets of social exclusion and ensure a minimum income to families, **with the proviso that the subsidiarity principle and fiscal stability must be complied with;**

Or. pt

Amendment 104
Anne Sander

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Notes that high rates in long-term unemployment in the EU, especially in some Member States, are resulting in an increasing number of workers losing their benefits before finding a new job; **considers it regrettable that many Member States have limited access to such benefits or have reduced the amount available and/or the eligibility period for them;** considers it important to maintain a balance between adequate social protection

Amendment

8. Notes that high rates in long-term unemployment in the EU, especially in some Member States, are resulting in an increasing number of workers losing their benefits before finding a new job; considers it important to maintain a balance between adequate social protection and adequate incentives for active job searching; calls for a specific study on such incentives at EU level, and calls on the Member States to guarantee minimum

and adequate incentives for active job searching; calls for a specific study on such incentives at EU level, and calls on the Member States to guarantee minimum income schemes to avoid pockets of social exclusion and ensure a minimum income to families;

income schemes to avoid pockets of social exclusion and ensure a minimum income to families;

Or. fr

Amendment 105

Mara Bizzotto, Dominique Martin, Joelle Melin

Draft opinion

Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Notes that high rates in long-term unemployment in the EU, *especially* in some Member States, are resulting in an increasing number of workers losing their benefits before finding a new job; *considers it regrettable that* many Member States *have limited* access to such benefits or *have reduced* the amount available and/or the eligibility period for them; considers it important to maintain a balance between adequate social protection and adequate incentives for active job searching; calls for a specific study on such incentives at EU level, and calls on the Member States to guarantee minimum income schemes to avoid pockets of social exclusion and ensure a minimum income to families;

Amendment

8. Notes that high rates in long-term unemployment in the EU, *caused also by counter-productive EU-imposed austerity measures that are still being applied despite the clear evidence of the damage they are causing* in some Member States, are resulting in an increasing number of workers losing their benefits before finding a new job; *notes that the social emergencies caused by an unprecedented increase in the number of refugees in our cities, together with EU-imposed austerity measures, have forced* many Member States *to limit* access to such benefits or *to reduce* the amount available and/or the eligibility period for them; considers it important to maintain a balance between adequate social protection and adequate incentives for active job searching; calls for a specific study on such incentives at EU level, and calls on the Member States to guarantee minimum income schemes to avoid pockets of social exclusion and ensure a minimum income to families;

Or. it

Amendment 106

Marian Harkin

Draft opinion

Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Notes that high rates in long-term unemployment in the EU, especially in some Member States, are resulting in an increasing number of workers losing their benefits before finding a new job; considers it regrettable that many Member States have limited access to such benefits or have reduced the amount available and/or the eligibility period for them; considers it important to maintain a balance between adequate social protection and adequate incentives for active job searching; calls for a specific study on such incentives at EU level, and calls on the Member States *to guarantee* minimum income schemes to avoid pockets of social exclusion and ensure a minimum income to families;

Amendment

8. Notes that high rates in long-term unemployment in the EU, especially in some Member States, are resulting in an increasing number of workers losing their benefits before finding a new job; considers it regrettable that many Member States have limited access to such benefits or have reduced the amount available and/or the eligibility period for them; considers it important to maintain a balance between adequate social protection and adequate incentives for active job searching; ***recognises that improving employment policy and social protection to activate support and protect people to ensure stronger social cohesion are key components of sustainable economic growth***; calls for a specific study on such incentives at EU level, and calls on the Member States to ***introduce minimum income schemes according to national practices in order*** to avoid pockets of social exclusion and ensure a minimum income to families;

Or. en

Amendment 107

Jeroen Lenaers

Draft opinion

Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Notes that high rates in long-term unemployment in the EU, especially in some Member States, are resulting in an increasing number of workers losing their

Amendment

8. Notes that high rates in long-term unemployment in the EU, especially in some Member States, are resulting in an increasing number of workers losing their

benefits before finding a new job;
considers it regrettable that many Member States have limited access to such benefits or have reduced the amount available and/or the eligibility period for them;
considers it important to maintain a balance between adequate social protection and adequate incentives for active job searching; calls for a specific study on such incentives at EU level, and calls on the Member States to **guarantee** minimum income schemes to avoid pockets of social exclusion and ensure a minimum income to families;

benefits before finding a new job;
considers it regrettable that many Member States have limited access to such benefits or have reduced the amount available and/or the eligibility period for them;
stresses, however, that the setting of these benefits is the competence of the Member States; considers it important to maintain a balance between adequate social protection and adequate incentives for active job searching; calls for a specific study on such incentives at EU level, and calls on the Member States to **consider** minimum income schemes to avoid pockets of social exclusion and ensure a minimum income to families; **stresses that it is up to each Member State to set minimum income levels and that these should be commensurate to the specific socioeconomic situation in the country in question;**

Or. en

Amendment 108
Jean Lambert

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Notes that high rates in long-term unemployment in the EU, especially in some Member States, are resulting in an increasing number of workers losing their benefits **before** finding a new job;
considers it regrettable that many Member States have limited access to such benefits or have reduced the amount available and/or the eligibility period for them;
considers it important to maintain a balance between adequate social protection and adequate incentives for active job searching; calls for a specific study on such incentives at EU level, and calls on the Member States to guarantee minimum

Amendment

8. Notes that high rates in long-term unemployment in the EU, especially in some Member States, are resulting in an increasing number of workers losing their benefits, **often without prospects of** finding a new job; considers it regrettable that many Member States have limited access to such benefits or have reduced the amount available and/or the eligibility period for them, **and have not ensured that adequate income support is in place;** considers it important to maintain a balance between adequate **minimum income and** social protection and adequate incentives for active job searching **which**

income schemes to *avoid pockets of social exclusion and ensure a minimum income to families*;

provide personalised support without punitive conditionality which undermines social rights; calls for a specific study on *the social impact of* such incentives at EU level, and calls on the *Union to develop a Union framework that requires* Member States to guarantee minimum income schemes to ensure *an adequate* minimum income to *all*;

Or. en

Amendment 109
Enrique Calvet Chambon

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Notes that high rates in long-term unemployment in the EU, especially in some Member States, are resulting in an increasing number of workers losing their benefits before finding a new job; considers it regrettable that many Member States have limited access to such benefits or have reduced the amount available and/or the eligibility period for them; considers it important to maintain a balance between adequate social protection and adequate incentives for active job searching; calls for a specific study on such incentives at EU level, and calls on the Member States to guarantee minimum income schemes to avoid pockets of social exclusion and ensure a minimum income to families;

Amendment

8. Notes that high rates in long-term unemployment in the EU, especially in some Member States, are resulting in an increasing number of workers losing their benefits before finding a new job; considers it regrettable that many Member States have limited access to such benefits or have reduced the amount available and/or the eligibility period for them; considers it important to maintain a balance between adequate social protection and adequate incentives for active job searching; calls for a specific study on such incentives at EU level, and calls on the Member States to guarantee minimum income schemes to avoid pockets of social exclusion and ensure a minimum income to families; *notes the need for tailored solutions that will reduce the extent of low educational qualifications among some of these groups, enabling them to return to the labour market and preventing any risk of social exclusion*;

Or. es

Amendment 110

Laura Agea, Tiziana Beghin, Marco Valli, Marco Zanni

Draft opinion

Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Notes that high rates in long-term unemployment in the EU, especially in some Member States, are resulting in an increasing number of workers losing their benefits before finding a new job; considers it regrettable that many Member States have limited access to such benefits or have reduced the amount available and/or the eligibility period for them; considers it important to maintain a balance between adequate social protection and adequate incentives for active job searching; calls for a specific study on such incentives at EU level, and calls on the Member States to guarantee minimum income schemes to avoid pockets of social exclusion and ensure a minimum income to families;

Amendment

8. Notes that high rates in long-term unemployment in the EU, especially in some Member States, are resulting in an increasing number of workers losing their benefits before finding a new job; considers it regrettable that many Member States have limited access to such benefits or have reduced the amount available and/or the eligibility period for them; ***stresses the need to address the problem of skills mismatch and skills obsolescence as a mean to tackle long-term unemployment;*** considers it important to maintain a balance between adequate social protection and adequate incentives for active job searching; calls for a specific study on such incentives at EU level, and calls on the Member States to guarantee minimum income schemes to avoid pockets of social exclusion and ensure a minimum income to families;

Or. en

Amendment 111

Elisabeth Morin-Chartier

Draft opinion

Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Notes that high rates in long-term unemployment in the EU, especially in some Member States, are resulting in an increasing number of workers losing their benefits before finding a new job; considers it regrettable that ***many*** Member States have limited access to such benefits

Amendment

8. Notes that high rates in long-term unemployment in the EU, especially in some Member States, are resulting in an increasing number of workers losing their benefits before finding a new job; considers it regrettable that ***some*** Member States have limited access to such benefits

or have reduced the amount available and/or the eligibility period for them; considers it important to maintain a balance between adequate social protection and adequate incentives for active job searching; calls for a specific study on such incentives at EU level, and calls on the Member States to guarantee minimum income schemes to avoid pockets of social exclusion and ensure a minimum income to families;

or have reduced the amount available and/or the eligibility period for them; considers it important to maintain a balance between adequate social protection and adequate incentives for active job searching; calls for a specific study on such incentives at EU level, and calls on the Member States to guarantee minimum income schemes to avoid pockets of social exclusion and ensure a minimum income to families;

Or. fr

Amendment 112
Sven Schulze

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Notes that high rates in long-term unemployment in the EU, especially in some Member States, are resulting in an increasing number of workers losing their benefits before finding a new job; considers it regrettable that *many* Member States have limited access to such benefits or have reduced the amount available and/or the eligibility period for them; considers it important to maintain a balance between adequate social protection and adequate incentives for active job searching; calls for a specific study on such incentives at EU level, and calls on the Member States to *guarantee* minimum income schemes to avoid pockets of social exclusion and ensure a minimum income to families;

Amendment

8. Notes that high rates in long-term unemployment in the EU, especially in some Member States, are resulting in an increasing number of workers losing their benefits before finding a new job; considers it regrettable that *a number of* Member States have limited access to such benefits or have reduced the amount available and/or the eligibility period for them; considers it important to maintain a balance between adequate social protection and adequate incentives for active job searching; calls for a specific study on such incentives at EU level, and calls on the Member States to *look into* minimum income schemes to avoid pockets of social exclusion and ensure a minimum income to families;

Or. de

Amendment 113
Jean Lambert

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8a. Supports that some CSR focus on developing additional support measures for the unemployed; regrets however that most recommendations are solely targeting the employability of the job-seeker and not a more holistic approach focusing on creating a more inclusive labour market, including additional support measures for both job seekers and for employers;

Or. en

Amendment 114
Anne Sander

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

Amendment

9. Welcomes the reduction in youth unemployment rates, but points out that they are still at alarming levels ***and not necessarily based on net job creation***; stresses that job insecurity and underemployment have also risen and that 43 % of young people work in precarious conditions with involuntary part-time contracts or as bogus self-employed workers;

9. Welcomes the reduction in youth unemployment rates, but points out that they are still at alarming levels, ***particularly in some regions where the figure is still over 25%; in these regions, urges the Member States to initiate projects as soon as possible under the Youth Employment Initiative to help young people who are unemployed and are neither studying nor undergoing training; in order to help young people gain a long-term foothold in the job market, calls on the Member States to prioritise language-learning and facilitate mobility through European programmes such as ERASMUS+ or ERASMUS for Young Entrepreneurs and also to take part in the EURES employment network; stresses in addition the importance of valuing and encouraging apprenticeships***

as a way for young people to gain the professional qualifications which will facilitate easier access to the job market;

Or. fr

Amendment 115
Anthea McIntyre

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

9. Welcomes the reduction in youth unemployment rates, but points out that they are still at alarming levels and not necessarily based on net job creation; ***stresses that job insecurity and underemployment have also risen and that 43 % of young people work in precarious conditions with involuntary part-time contracts or as bogus self-employed workers;***

Amendment

9. Welcomes the reduction in youth unemployment rates, but points out that they are still at alarming levels ***in many Member States*** and not necessarily based on net job creation;

Or. en

Amendment 116
Sofia Ribeiro

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

9. Welcomes the reduction in youth unemployment rates, but points out that they are still at alarming levels and not necessarily based on net job creation; ***stresses that job insecurity and underemployment have also risen and that 43% of young people work in precarious conditions with involuntary part-time contracts or as bogus self-employed workers;***

Amendment

9. Welcomes the reduction in youth unemployment rates, but points out that they are still at alarming levels and not necessarily based on net job creation; ***urges the Member States to keep existing employment contracts under review and to combat job insecurity, giving particular attention to cases involving involuntary part-time contracts and to bogus self-employed workers;***

Amendment 117
Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

9. Welcomes the reduction in youth unemployment rates, but points out that they are still at alarming levels and not necessarily based on net job creation; stresses that job insecurity and underemployment have also risen and that 43 % of young people work in precarious conditions with involuntary part-time contracts or as bogus self-employed workers;

Amendment

9. Welcomes the reduction in youth unemployment rates, but points out that they are still at alarming levels and not necessarily based on net job creation; stresses that job insecurity and underemployment have also risen and that 43 % of young people work in precarious conditions with involuntary part-time contracts or as bogus self-employed workers; ***calls on the Member States to immediately use all the available budget in an efficient manner to implement the guarantees with at least minimum quality standards;***

Amendment 118
Jean Lambert

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

9. Welcomes the reduction in youth unemployment rates, but points out that they are still at alarming levels and not necessarily based on net job creation; stresses that job insecurity and underemployment have also risen and that 43 % of young people work in precarious conditions with involuntary part-time

Amendment

9. Welcomes the reduction in youth unemployment rates, but points out that they are still at alarming levels and not necessarily based on net job creation; ***regrets the reduction of related CSR and stresses that job insecurity, low quality contracts*** and underemployment have also risen and that 43 % of young people work

contracts or as bogus self-employed workers;

in precarious conditions with involuntary part-time contracts or as bogus self-employed workers;

Or. en

Amendment 119

Laura Agea, Tiziana Beghin, Marco Valli, Marco Zanni

Draft opinion

Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

9. Welcomes the reduction in youth unemployment rates, but points out that they are still at alarming levels and not necessarily based on net job creation; stresses that job insecurity and underemployment have also risen and that 43 % of young people work in precarious conditions with involuntary part-time contracts or as bogus self-employed workers;

Amendment

9. Welcomes the reduction in youth unemployment rates, but points out that they are still at alarming levels and not necessarily based on net job creation; stresses that job insecurity and underemployment have also risen and that 43 % of young people work in precarious conditions with involuntary part-time contracts or as bogus self-employed workers; ***notes the Commission decision to release EUR 1 billion as advance funding for the Youth Guarantee scheme, but stresses the absolute need to recommend that this resource be used effectively, in order to meet the genuine needs of young people; calls, moreover, for these funds to be carefully and continuously monitored;***

Or. it

Amendment 120

Jean Lambert

Draft opinion

Paragraph 9 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9a. Urges the Commission to demand from each Member State a national job plan for decent/quality job creation;

highlights the job potential of the green economy which according to Commission estimates could create 5 million jobs by 2020 in the energy efficiency and renewable energy sectors alone, provided that ambitious climate and energy policies are put in place; calls on the Member States to ensure sufficient levels of investment in these sectors and to anticipate future skills of workers and to guarantee job quality of 'Green jobs'; calls on the Commission to include the exploitation of the job potential of the green economy as a key priority in the Annual Growth Survey for 2016;

Or. en

Amendment 121

Lynn Boylan, Neoklis Sylikiotis, Tania González Peñas

Draft opinion

Paragraph 9 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9a. Notes that there is significant disparity in the success of the implementation of the Youth Guarantee and Youth Employment Initiative between Member States to date; notes that the International Labour Organisation has estimated that resolving youth unemployment in the EU requires a budget of EUR 21 billion and that the Commission's current financial commitment is wholly inadequate and needs to be raised to a sufficient level; calls on the Commission to work with Member States and representative youth organisations to propose minimum standards and best practice in the implementation of the Youth Guarantee;

Or. en

Amendment 122
Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9a. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to intensify their efforts to tackle social and wage dumping in the EU, since it is causing serious damages to the affected workers and to social protection systems in the Member States; also calls for the inclusion of social partners in these efforts at all levels;

Or. en

Amendment 123
Zdzisław Krasnodębski

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9a. Underlines that especially the job insecurity of youth has negative consequences for decisions about having families and children and as a result negatively influences the demographic prospects of the Member States.

Or. en

Amendment 124
Javi López

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9a. Recalls the need to tackle undeclared

work which is damaging the Union economy, leading to unfair competition and market distortions and resulting in an increasing lack of social and employment protection for workers; calls therefore for a swift start of the European Platform on undeclared work.

Or. en

Amendment 125
Danuta Jazłowiecka

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9a. Calls for more and stronger recommendations with regards to the need to support and further develop effective vocational education and training, cooperation between education institutions, businesses, employers organisations and other relevant stakeholders as well as the need to improve effectiveness of public and private employment services in order to tackle skills mismatches on the labour market;

Or. en

Amendment 126
Laura Agea, Tiziana Beghin, Marco Valli, Marco Zanni

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9a. Takes the view that fresh alternatives to bank financing are necessary, by investing in the development of innovative funding channels such as crowdfunding

and microcredit;

Or. it

Amendment 127

Jean Lambert

Draft opinion

Paragraph 9 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9b. Considers that this year's recommendations have focused almost exclusively on the labour market not addressing the challenges of reduced services or the quality of services offered to those in need; Recalls the need to invest in early intervention and prevention and high quality, accessible and inclusive services, including in education from an early age, family and community support, social services and health care; highlights that increased demand for services could also lead to important job creation in the social sector, if effectively tackled, and that the health and social care sectors represent crucial areas for investment in pursuit of sustainable economies. Invites the Commission to report on progress in developing initiatives, as part of the Europe 2020 Strategy, for investment in the health and social care sectors with regards to quality employment;

Or. en

Amendment 128

Anthea McIntyre

Draft opinion

Paragraph 10

Draft opinion

Amendment

10. Underlines the fact that, according to an IMF report³, the progressivity of tax systems has been weakened in recent years, resulting in increasing inequality; considers that the tax wedge has been much higher for low-wage workers and SMEs with higher effective tax rates; points out the importance of reducing taxes for labour and enterprises in pursuit of more redistributive forms;

deleted

³ *IMF report ‘Causes and Consequences of Income Inequality: A Global Perspective’, June 2015.*

Or. en

Amendment 129
Sofia Ribeiro

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10

Draft opinion

Amendment

10. Underlines the fact that, according to an IMF report³, the progressivity of tax systems has been weakened in recent years, resulting in increasing inequality; considers that the tax wedge has been much higher for low-wage workers and SMEs with higher effective tax rates; points out the importance of reducing taxes for labour and enterprises in pursuit of more redistributive forms;

10. Points out the importance of reducing taxes for labour and enterprises in pursuit of more redistributive forms;

³ *IMF report ‘Causes and Consequences of Income Inequality: A Global Perspective’, June 2015.*

Or. pt

Amendment 130
Elisabeth Morin-Chartier

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10

Draft opinion

10. Underlines the fact that, according to an IMF report³, the progressivity of tax systems has been weakened in recent years, resulting in increasing inequality; considers that the tax wedge has been much higher for low-wage workers and SMEs with higher effective tax rates; points out the importance of reducing *taxes for labour and* enterprises *in pursuit of more redistributive forms*;

³ IMF report ‘Causes and Consequences of Income Inequality: A Global Perspective’, June 2015.

Amendment

10. Underlines the fact that, according to an IMF report³, the progressivity of tax systems has been weakened in recent years, resulting in increasing inequality; considers that the tax wedge has been much higher for low-wage workers and SMEs with higher effective tax rates; points out the importance of reducing *the burdens on* enterprises *in order to open up their employment potential; insists that these must be accompanied by measures to encourage enterprises to employ people experiencing the greatest problems in the job market*;

³ IMF report ‘Causes and Consequences of Income Inequality: A Global Perspective’, June 2015.

Or. fr

Amendment 131
Sven Schulze

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10

Draft opinion

10. Underlines the fact that, according to an IMF report³, the progressivity of tax systems has been weakened in recent years, resulting in increasing inequality; considers that the tax wedge has been much higher for low-wage workers and SMEs with higher effective tax rates; points out the importance of reducing taxes for labour

and enterprises in pursuit of *more redistributive forms*;

³IMF report 'Causes and Consequences of Income Inequality: A Global Perspective', June 2015.

and enterprises in pursuit of *greater demand and new jobs*;

³IMF report 'Causes and Consequences of Income Inequality: A Global Perspective', June 2015.

Or. de

Amendment 132 **Joachim Schuster**

Draft opinion **Paragraph 10**

Draft opinion

10. Underlines the fact that, according to an IMF report³, the progressivity of tax systems has been weakened in recent years, resulting in increasing inequality; considers that the tax wedge has been much higher for low-wage workers and SMEs with higher effective tax rates; points out the importance of *reducing taxes for labour and enterprises in pursuit of more redistributive forms*;

³ IMF report 'Causes and Consequences of Income Inequality: A Global Perspective', June 2015.

Amendment

10. Underlines the fact that, according to an IMF report³, the progressivity of tax systems has been weakened in recent years, resulting in increasing inequality; considers that the tax wedge has been much higher for low-wage workers and SMEs with higher effective tax rates; points out the importance of *fighting tax fraud and tax avoidance in particular as far as corporate tax is concerned*;

³ IMF report 'Causes and Consequences of Income Inequality: A Global Perspective', June 2015.

Or. en

Amendment 133 **Marian Harkin**

Draft opinion **Paragraph 10**

Draft opinion

10. Underlines the fact that, according to an IMF report³, the progressivity of tax systems has been weakened in recent years,

AM\1068891EN.doc

Amendment

10. Underlines the fact that, according to an IMF report³, the progressivity of tax systems has been weakened in recent years,

83/109

PE564.986v01-00

EN

resulting in increasing inequality; considers that the tax wedge has been much higher for low-wage workers and SMEs with higher effective tax rates; ***points out*** the importance of reducing taxes for labour and enterprises in pursuit of more redistributive forms;

³ IMF report ‘Causes and Consequences of Income Inequality: A Global Perspective’, June 2015.

resulting in increasing inequality; considers that the tax wedge has been much higher for low-wage workers and SMEs with higher effective tax rates; ***recognises that while taxation is a Member State competence, progressive tax systems help to cushion the worst effects of austerity and recognises*** the importance of reducing taxes for labour and enterprises in pursuit of more redistributive forms ***and insists that tax fraud and tax evasion must be dealt with within and between Member States***;

³ IMF report ‘Causes and Consequences of Income Inequality: A Global Perspective’, June 2015.

Or. en

Amendment 134 **Jeroen Lenaers**

Draft opinion **Paragraph 10**

Draft opinion

10. Underlines the fact that, according to an IMF report³, the progressivity of tax systems has been weakened in recent years, resulting in increasing inequality; considers that the tax wedge has been much higher for low-wage workers and SMEs with higher effective tax rates; points out the importance of reducing taxes for labour and enterprises ***in pursuit of more redistributive forms***;

³ IMF report ‘Causes and Consequences of Income Inequality: A Global Perspective’, June 2015.

Amendment

10. Underlines the fact that, according to an IMF report³, the progressivity of tax systems has been weakened in recent years, resulting in increasing inequality; considers that the tax wedge has been much higher for low-wage workers and SMEs with higher effective tax rates; points out the importance of reducing taxes for labour and enterprises;

³ IMF report ‘Causes and Consequences of Income Inequality: A Global Perspective’, June 2015.

Or. en

Amendment 135

Anne Sander

Draft opinion

Paragraph 10

Draft opinion

10. Underlines the fact that, according to an IMF report³, the progressivity of tax systems has been weakened in recent years, resulting in increasing inequality; considers that the tax wedge has been much higher for low-wage workers and SMEs with higher effective tax rates; points out the importance of reducing taxes for labour and enterprises *in pursuit of more redistributive forms*;

³ IMF report ‘Causes and Consequences of Income Inequality: A Global Perspective’, June 2015.

Amendment

10. Underlines the fact that, according to an IMF report³, the progressivity of tax systems has been weakened in recent years, resulting in increasing inequality; considers that the tax wedge has been much higher for low-wage workers and SMEs with higher effective tax rates; points out the importance of reducing taxes for labour and enterprises;

³ IMF report ‘Causes and Consequences of Income Inequality: A Global Perspective’, June 2015.

Or. fr

Amendment 136

Jean Lambert

Draft opinion

Paragraph 10

Draft opinion

10. Underlines the fact that, according to an IMF report³, the progressivity of tax systems has been weakened in recent years, *resulting in* increasing inequality; considers that the tax wedge has been much higher for low-wage workers and SMEs with higher effective tax rates; points out the importance of reducing taxes for labour and enterprises in pursuit of more redistributive forms;

Amendment

10. Underlines the fact that, according to an IMF report³, the progressivity of tax systems has been weakened in recent years, *including continuation of flat taxes in several Member States, contributing to* increasing inequality; considers that the tax wedge has been much higher for low-wage workers and SMEs with higher effective tax rates; *regrets the focus on increasing regressive VAT and consumer taxation - which proportionately hit the low income hardest - rather than increasing property,*

capital or wealth taxes and taxes on environmentally harmful activities; points out the importance of reducing taxes for labour and enterprises in pursuit of more redistributive forms, whilst ensuring adequate financing of social protection systems and individual contributions; calls for the need to pursue an 'inclusive and sustainable tax policy' underpinned by social, gender and environmental impact assessment of tax proposals;

³ IMF report 'Causes and Consequences of Income Inequality: A Global Perspective', June 2015.

³ IMF report 'Causes and Consequences of Income Inequality: A Global Perspective', June 2015.

Or. en

Amendment 137
Jean Lambert

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

10a. Calls on the Commission to provide guidance to Member States to address the low participation of women in the labour market by addressing labour market segregation, gender pay gap and the unequal distribution of care responsibilities; stresses that a broader gender equality perspective going beyond employment rates is needed;

Or. en

Amendment 138
Verónica Lope Fontagné

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

10a. Points out that enterprises, in particular SMEs, face some difficulties such as access to credit, unnecessary administrative burden and bureaucracy that hinder their growth and sustainability as well as their job creation potential;

Or. en

Amendment 139
Krzysztof Hetman

Draft opinion
Paragraph 11

Draft opinion

Amendment

11. Considers it regrettable that the Commission has not included in the CSR the importance of maintaining strong automatic stabilisers in Member States, as called for by Parliament⁴, given its important role in maintaining social cohesion and stimulating internal demand and economic growth;

deleted

⁴ Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068).

Or. en

Amendment 140
Anthea McIntyre

Draft opinion
Paragraph 11

Draft opinion

Amendment

11. Considers it regrettable that the Commission has not included in the CSR the importance of maintaining strong automatic stabilisers in Member States, as

deleted

called for by Parliament⁴, given its important role in maintaining social cohesion and stimulating internal demand and economic growth;

⁴ Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068).

Or. en

Amendment 141
Jeroen Lenaers

Draft opinion
Paragraph 11

Draft opinion

11. *Considers it regrettable that the Commission has not included in the CSR the importance of maintaining strong automatic stabilisers in Member States*, as called for by Parliament⁴, given its important role in maintaining social cohesion and stimulating internal demand and economic growth;

⁴ Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068).

Amendment

11. *Takes note of the potential value of European automatic stabilisers*, as called for by Parliament⁴, given its important role in maintaining social cohesion and stimulating internal demand and economic growth; *stresses that such stabilisers should not lead to the creation of permanent transfers; calls on the Commission, in order to allow more effective analysis and to encourage the identification and exchange of best practices between Member States, for a detailed overview of Member States' choices in different policy fields and the corresponding results;*

⁴ Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068).

Or. en

Amendment 142
Anne Sander

Draft opinion
Paragraph 11

Draft opinion

11. **Considers it regrettable that the Commission has not included in the CSR the importance of maintaining strong automatic stabilisers in Member States, as called for by Parliament⁴, given its important role in maintaining social cohesion and stimulating internal demand and economic growth;**

⁴ Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068).

Amendment

11. **Stresses the role played by automatic stabilisers in the Member States in maintaining social cohesion and stimulating internal demand and economic growth;**

Or. fr

Amendment 143
Sofia Ribeiro

Draft opinion
Paragraph 11

Draft opinion

11. **Considers it regrettable** that the Commission has not included in the CSR the importance of maintaining **strong** automatic stabilisers in Member States, as called for by Parliament⁴, given its important role in maintaining social cohesion and stimulating internal demand and economic growth;

⁴ Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068).

Amendment

11. **Notes** that the Commission, **regrettably**, has not included in the CSR the importance of maintaining automatic stabilisers in Member States, as called for by Parliament⁴, given its important role in maintaining social cohesion and stimulating internal demand and economic growth;

⁴ Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068).

Or. pt

Amendment 144
Jean Lambert

Draft opinion
Paragraph 11

Draft opinion

11. Considers it regrettable that the Commission has not included in the CSR the importance of maintaining strong automatic stabilisers in Member States, as called for by Parliament⁴, given its important role in maintaining social cohesion and stimulating internal demand and economic growth;

⁴ Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068).

Amendment

11. Considers it **deeply** regrettable that the Commission has not included in the CSR the importance of maintaining strong automatic stabilisers in Member States **as a follow up of its Communication on Strengthening the social dimension of the EMU and** as called for by Parliament⁴, given its important role in maintaining social cohesion and stimulating internal demand and economic growth;

⁴ Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068).

Or. en

Amendment 145
Guillaume Balas, Edouard Martin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 11

Draft opinion

11. Considers it regrettable that the Commission has not included in the CSR the importance of maintaining strong automatic stabilisers in Member States, as called for by Parliament⁴, given its important role in maintaining social cohesion and stimulating internal demand and economic growth;

⁴ Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068).

Amendment

11. Considers it regrettable that the Commission has not included in the CSR the importance of maintaining strong automatic stabilisers in Member States, as called for by Parliament⁴, given its important role in maintaining social cohesion and stimulating internal demand and economic growth; **calls the Commission to assess the benefits resulting from the development of a minimum European health coverage or unemployment benefit scheme as alternative options to create proper automatic stabilisers at the level of the euro area;**

⁴ Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068).

Amendment 146
Sofia Ribeiro

Draft opinion
Paragraph 11 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

11a. Considers that the CSR should place stronger emphasis on the role of social economy enterprises in promoting social and economic cohesion in Europe as a whole, given that they have been helping to achieve the Europe 2020 goals and to build a resilient pluralist social market economy;

Or. pt

Amendment 147
Sofia Ribeiro

Draft opinion
Paragraph 12

Draft opinion

Amendment

12. Considers it regrettable that, although the Commission has acknowledged that ‘poverty and marginalisation have increased’⁵, there is no reference in the CSR to the fight against poverty, and that no comprehensive strategy to fight it has been prepared;

deleted

⁵ *COM(2015)0250 final.*

Or. pt

Amendment 148
Sven Schulze

Draft opinion
Paragraph 12

Draft opinion

12. Considers it regrettable that, ***although the Commission has acknowledged that ‘poverty and marginalisation have increased’⁵***, there is no reference in the CSR to the fight against poverty, ***and that no comprehensive strategy to fight it has been prepared;***

⁵ *COM(2015)0250 final.*

Amendment

12. Considers it regrettable that there is no reference in the CSR to the fight against poverty ***and that Member States are not being called on to adopt poverty reduction strategies which take account of the various causes of poverty in the countries concerned; points out that education, and hence empowerment for employment, is the best anti-poverty tool;***

Or. de

Amendment 149
Anne Sander

Draft opinion
Paragraph 12

Draft opinion

12. ***Considers it regrettable*** that, ***although the Commission has acknowledged that ‘poverty and marginalisation have increased’⁵***, ***there is no reference in the CSR to the fight against poverty, and that no comprehensive strategy to fight it has been prepared;***

⁵ *COM(2015)0250 final.*

Amendment

12. ***Recommends*** that the Commission ***take account in its CSR of the objective set out in the EU 2020 strategy concerning the fight against poverty and social exclusion;***

Or. fr

Amendment 150
Anthea McIntyre

Draft opinion
Paragraph 12

Draft opinion

12. Considers it regrettable that, although the Commission has acknowledged that ‘poverty and marginalisation have increased’⁵, there is no reference in the CSR to the fight against poverty, **and that no comprehensive strategy to fight it has been prepared;**

⁵ COM(2015)0250 final.

Amendment

12. Considers it regrettable that, although the Commission has acknowledged that ‘poverty and marginalisation have increased’⁵, there is no reference in the CSR to **Member States’ initiatives** in **the** fight against poverty;

⁵ COM(2015)0250 final.

Or. en

Amendment 151
Jean Lambert

Draft opinion
Paragraph 12

Draft opinion

12. Considers it regrettable that, although the Commission has acknowledged that ‘poverty and marginalisation have increased’⁵, there is no reference in the CSR to the **fight against poverty, and that no** comprehensive strategy to fight **it has been prepared;**

⁵ COM(2015)0250 final.

Amendment

12. Considers it regrettable that, although the Commission has acknowledged that ‘poverty and marginalisation have increased’⁵ **with now 1 in 4 people experiencing poverty**, there is no reference in the CSRs to the **poverty target, with a reduction in ‘poverty CSRs’ from 12 to 6; Calls for a comprehensive strategy to fight poverty based on access to quality jobs, services, minimum income and social protection, as required by the ex-ante conditionality in the ESF Regulations to support the poverty target. Stresses the need to recognise the growth in personal indebtedness as a situation increasing personal and general economic vulnerability;**

⁵ COM(2015)0250 final.

Or. en

Amendment 152

Laura Agea, Tiziana Beghin, Marco Valli, Marco Zanni

Draft opinion

Paragraph 12

Draft opinion

12. Considers it regrettable that, although the Commission has acknowledged that ‘poverty and marginalisation have increased’⁵, there is no reference in the CSR to the fight against poverty, and that no comprehensive strategy to fight it has been prepared;

⁵ COM(2015)0250 final.

Amendment

12. Considers it regrettable that, although the Commission has acknowledged that ‘poverty and marginalisation have increased’⁵, there is no reference in the CSR to the fight against poverty, and that no comprehensive strategy to fight it has been prepared; ***to that end, points out that one of the goals of the EU 2020 strategy is to promote social inclusion by reducing poverty, aiming to lift at least 20 million people out of the risk of poverty and exclusion; considers it necessary, therefore, to step up efforts to achieve that goal;***

⁵ COM(2015)0250 final.

Or. it

Amendment 153

Javi López

Draft opinion

Paragraph 12

Draft opinion

12. Considers it regrettable that, although the Commission has acknowledged that ‘poverty and marginalisation have increased’⁵, there is no reference in the CSR to the fight against poverty, and that no comprehensive strategy to fight it has been prepared;

Amendment

12. Considers it regrettable that, although the Commission has acknowledged that ‘poverty and marginalisation have increased’⁵, there is no reference in the CSR to the fight against poverty, and that no comprehensive strategy to fight it has been prepared; ***stresses that, despite that more than 121 million people are living at risk of poverty in Europe (5 million more than in 2008), there are only 6 CSR addressing this issue (compared with 12 in 2014);***

Amendment 154

Jean Lambert

Draft opinion

Paragraph 12 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

12a. Notes with concern the rapid increase of extreme forms of poverty such as homelessness in many member states; calls for CSR to be addressed to all Member States on social inclusion strategies, including the fight against extreme forms of poverty, such as homelessness. Agrees with the Commission that the Member States must tackle homelessness and risk of homelessness through comprehensive strategies based on prevention, housing-led approaches, the reviewing of regulations and practices in relation to eviction and the availability of genuinely affordable housing offering stability, and an end to the criminalisation of homeless people. Calls for improvements as regards the transnational exchange of best practices and mutual learning, and acknowledges the role of the EASI programme in this context;

Amendment 155

Verónica Lope Fontagné

Draft opinion

Paragraph 12 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

12a. Stresses that employment is the better way to fight against poverty and social exclusion and Member States should focus on ease the access to labour market, in particular to young people and long term unemployed;

Or. en

Amendment 156

Javi López

Draft opinion

Paragraph 12 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

12a. Calls for the establishment of a European framework to guarantee every European a social protection floor with universal access to health care and to basic income security which together secure effective access to goods and services defined as necessary at the national level; this framework should integrate the objectives of the ILO Recommendation on a Social Protection Floor, to provide all workers in Europe with a minimum level of social protection throughout their lives;

Or. en

Amendment 157

Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto

Draft opinion

Paragraph 12 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

12a. Deeply regrets that with 26 million children at risk of poverty this issue has only been addressed in one CSR;

Reiterates its call on the Commission together with the Member States to tackle immediately this alarming increase through the introduction of a Child Guarantee via long term and holistic measures and to implement the Commission recommendation "investing in children" included in the Social Investment Package;

Or. en

Amendment 158
Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto

Draft opinion
Paragraph 12 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

12b. Recalls that the aim of the European semester is to advance in a coordinated manner in the achievement of the EU2020 Strategy goals; calls for a better match of the CSR with them and better assessment of their achievement;

Or. en

Amendment 159
Lynn Boylan

Draft opinion
Paragraph 13

Draft opinion

Amendment

13. Calls for pension *reforms to be made taking into account Parliament's⁶ repeat recommendations to ensure their sustainability and adequacy;*

13. Calls for pension reforms *that include measures to boost employment opportunities for older workers past the current retirement age to be voluntary and not coercive;*

⁶ *Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068); Resolution*

of 22 October 2014 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2014)0038); Resolution of 25 February 2014 (Texts adopted, P7_TA(2014)0129).

Or. en

Amendment 160
Guillaume Balas, Edouard Martin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 13

Draft opinion

13. Calls for pension reforms to be made taking into account Parliament's⁶ repeat recommendations to ensure their sustainability and adequacy;

Amendment

13. Calls for pension reforms to be made taking into account Parliament's⁶ repeat recommendations to ensure their sustainability, *safety* and adequacy *by strengthening retirement schemes, aiming at a decent retirement income at least above the poverty level; linking retirement age to life expectancy is not the only instrument by means of which to tackle the challenge of ageing; reforms of pension systems should also, inter alia, reflect labour market trends, birth rate, demographic situation, health and wealth situation, working conditions and the economic dependency ratio; the best way to tackle the challenge of ageing is to increase the overall employment rate, building, inter alia, on social investments in active ageing;*

⁶ Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068); Resolution of 22 October 2014 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2014)0038); Resolution of 25 February 2014 (Texts adopted, P7_TA(2014)0129).

⁶ Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068); Resolution of 22 October 2014 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2014)0038); Resolution of 25 February 2014 (Texts adopted, P7_TA(2014)0129).

Or. en

Amendment 161

Sven Schulze

Draft opinion

Paragraph 13

Draft opinion

13. Calls for pension reforms to be made taking into account Parliament's⁶ repeat recommendations to ensure their sustainability and adequacy;

⁶ Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068); Resolution of 22 October 2014 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2014)0038); Resolution of 25 February 2014 (Texts adopted, P7_TA(2014)0129).

Amendment

13. Calls for pension reforms to be made ***which look into a link between retirement age and increasing life expectancy***, taking into account Parliament's⁶ repeat recommendations to ensure their sustainability and adequacy;

⁶ Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068); Resolution of 22 October 2014 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2014)0038); Resolution of 25 February 2014 (Texts adopted, P7_TA(2014)0129).

Or. de

Amendment 162

Jean Lambert

Draft opinion

Paragraph 13

Draft opinion

13. Calls for pension reforms to be made taking into account Parliament's⁶ repeat recommendations to ensure their sustainability and adequacy;

⁶ Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068); Resolution of 22 October 2014 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2014)0038); Resolution of 25

Amendment

13. Calls for pension reforms to be made taking into account Parliament's⁶ repeat recommendations to ensure their sustainability and adequacy ***in view of ensuring equal pay for all workers, women and men, and to address the gender pay gap as a way to tackle poverty of older women as the gender pay gap translates into a gender pension gap***;

⁶ Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068); Resolution of 22 October 2014 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2014)0038); Resolution of 25

Amendment 163
Javi López

Draft opinion
Paragraph 13

Draft opinion

13. Calls for pension reforms to be made taking into account Parliament's⁶ repeat recommendations to ensure their sustainability and adequacy;

⁶ Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068); Resolution of 22 October 2014 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2014)0038); Resolution of 25 February 2014 (Texts adopted, P7_TA(2014)0129).

Amendment

Calls for pension reforms to be made taking into account Parliament's⁶ repeat recommendations to ensure their sustainability and adequacy; ***stresses that, in order to be successful, they require political cohesion and social dialogue at the national level and that they need to be designed so as to ensure their sustainability without compromising adequate pension levels;***

⁶ Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068); Resolution of 22 October 2014 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2014)0038); Resolution of 25 February 2014 (Texts adopted, P7_TA(2014)0129).

Amendment 164
Danuta Jazłowiecka

Draft opinion
Paragraph 13

Draft opinion

13. Calls for pension reforms to be made taking into account Parliament's⁶ repeat recommendations to ensure their sustainability and adequacy;

Amendment

13. Calls for pension reforms to be made taking into account Parliament's⁶ repeat recommendations to ensure their sustainability, ***safety*** and adequacy;

⁶ Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068); Resolution of 22 October 2014 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2014)0038); Resolution of 25 February 2014 (Texts adopted, P7_TA(2014)0129).

⁶ Resolution of 11 March 2015 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2015)0068); Resolution of 22 October 2014 (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2014)0038); Resolution of 25 February 2014 (Texts adopted, P7_TA(2014)0129).

Or. en

Amendment 165
Joachim Schuster

Draft opinion
Paragraph 13 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

13a. Criticises the recommendation made in many CSR to link the statutory retirement age to life expectancy. Recommendations related to the reform of the pension system and the adaptation of the statutory retirement age should reflect labour market trends, birth rate, demographic situation, health and wealth situation, working conditions and the economic dependency ratio. The best way to tackle the challenge of ageing is to increase the overall employment rate, building, inter alia, on social investments in active ageing.

Or. en

Amendment 166
Verónica Lope Fontagné

Draft opinion
Paragraph 13 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

13a. Believes that to ensure the well-functioning and sustainability of pension systems, Member States should link retirement age to life expectancy;

Amendment 167
Danuta Jazłowiecka

Draft opinion
Paragraph 14

Draft opinion

14. ***Is deeply concerned by the limited*** role that national parliaments, social partners and civil society have played in the drafting of the national reform programme (NRP) ***and the convergence programme (CP)***; calls on the Commission to favour, ***within the revision of the*** economic governance mechanisms, a reform that grants adequate democratic legitimacy to the European Semester.

Amendment

14. ***Welcomes the increased*** role that national parliaments, social partners and civil society have played in the drafting of the national reform programme (NRP); ***calls on, however, the Commission to further increase the ownership of the European Semester by national parliaments***; calls on the Commission to favour, ***only through the current*** economic governance mechanisms, a reform that grants adequate democratic legitimacy to the European Semester.

Or. en

Amendment 168
Sofia Ribeiro

Draft opinion
Paragraph 14

Draft opinion

14. ***Is deeply concerned by the limited*** role that national parliaments, social partners and civil society have played in the drafting of the national reform programme (NRP) and the convergence programme (CP); calls on the Commission to favour, ***within the revision of the*** economic governance mechanisms, a reform that grants adequate democratic legitimacy to the European Semester.

Amendment

14. ***Recognises*** the role that national parliaments, social partners and civil society have played, ***and might come to play***, in the drafting of the national reform programme (NRP) and the convergence programme (CP); calls on the Commission to favour, ***when streamlining the existing*** economic governance mechanisms, a reform that grants adequate democratic legitimacy to the European Semester.

Or. pt

Amendment 169

Anne Sander

Draft opinion

Paragraph 14

Draft opinion

14. *Is deeply concerned by the limited role that* national parliaments, social partners and civil society *have played* in the drafting of the national reform programme (NRP) and the convergence programme (CP); calls on the Commission to *favour, within the revision of the economic governance mechanisms, a reform that grants* adequate democratic legitimacy *to the European Semester.*

Amendment

14. *Reminds the Member States of the importance of involving* national parliaments, social partners and civil society in the drafting of the national reform programme (NRP) and the convergence programme (CP); calls on the Commission to *ensure that the European Semester has* adequate democratic legitimacy.

Or. fr

Amendment 170

Anthea McIntyre

Draft opinion

Paragraph 14

Draft opinion

14. *Is deeply concerned by the limited role* that national parliaments, social partners and civil society have played in the drafting of the national reform programme (NRP) **and the convergence programme (CP)**; calls on the Commission to favour, within **the revision of the** economic governance mechanisms, a reform that grants adequate democratic legitimacy to the European Semester.

Amendment

14. *Notes the* role that national parliaments, social partners and civil society have played in the drafting of the national reform programme (NRP) **and the convergence programme (CP)**; calls on the Commission to favour, within **the revision of the** economic governance mechanisms, a reform that grants adequate democratic legitimacy to the European Semester.

Or. en

Amendment 171

Sven Schulze

Draft opinion

Paragraph 14

Draft opinion

14. Is deeply concerned by the limited role that national parliaments, social partners and civil society have played in the drafting of the national reform programme (NRP) and the convergence programme (CP); calls on the Commission to favour, ***within the revision of the economic governance mechanisms***, a reform that grants adequate democratic legitimacy to the European Semester.

Amendment

14. Is deeply concerned by the limited role that national parliaments, social partners and civil society have played in the drafting of the national reform programme (NRP) and the convergence programme (CP); calls on the Commission to favour a reform that grants adequate democratic legitimacy to the European Semester.

Or. de

Amendment 172

Zdzisław Krasnodębski

Draft opinion

Paragraph 14

Draft opinion

14. Is deeply concerned by the limited role that national parliaments, social partners and civil society have played in the drafting of the national reform programme (NRP) and the convergence programme (CP); calls on the Commission to ***favour***, within the revision of the economic governance mechanisms, ***a reform that grants adequate*** democratic legitimacy to the European Semester.

Amendment

14. Is deeply concerned by the limited role that national parliaments, social partners and civil society have played in the drafting of the national reform programme (NRP) and the convergence programme (CP); ***stresses that the reforms should be mostly a matter of the Member States***; calls on the Commission to ***address***, within the revision of the economic governance mechanisms, ***the problem of the lack of democratic legitimacy of the European Semester at the level of the Member States***.

Or. en

Amendment 173

Marian Harkin

Draft opinion

Paragraph 14

Draft opinion

14. Is **deeply** concerned by the limited role that national parliaments, social partners and civil society have played in the drafting of the national reform programme (NRP) and the convergence programme (CP); calls on the Commission to favour, within the revision of the economic governance mechanisms, a reform that grants **adequate** democratic legitimacy to the European Semester.

Amendment

14. Is concerned by the limited role that national parliaments, social partners and civil society have played in the drafting of the national reform programme (NRP) and the convergence programme (CP); **Notes however the changes to the running of the 2015 European Semester to increase ownership at national level**; calls on the Commission to favour, within the revision of the economic governance mechanisms, a reform that grants **further** democratic legitimacy to the European Semester.

Or. en

Amendment 174

Jeroen Lenaers

Draft opinion

Paragraph 14

Draft opinion

14. Is deeply concerned by the limited role that national parliaments, social partners and civil society have played in the drafting of the national reform programme (NRP) and the convergence programme (CP); calls on the Commission to favour, **within the revision of the economic governance mechanisms**, a reform that grants adequate democratic legitimacy to the European Semester.

Amendment

14. Is deeply concerned by the limited role that national parliaments, social partners and civil society have played in the drafting of the national reform programme (NRP) and the convergence programme (CP); **calls on the Commission to reiterate that the current framework for economic governance needs to be fully implemented**; calls on the Commission to favour a reform that grants adequate democratic legitimacy to the European Semester.

Or. en

Amendment 175

Jean Lambert

Draft opinion

Paragraph 14

Draft opinion

14. Is deeply concerned by the limited role that national parliaments, social partners and civil society have played in the drafting of the national reform programme (NRP) and the convergence programme (CP); calls on the Commission to favour, within the revision of the economic governance mechanisms, a reform that grants adequate democratic legitimacy to the European Semester.

Amendment

14. Is deeply concerned by the limited role that national parliaments, social partners and civil society have played in the drafting of the national reform programme (NRP) and the convergence programme (CP) ***as well as in CSRs***; calls on the Commission to favour, within the revision of the economic governance mechanisms, a reform that grants adequate democratic legitimacy to the European Semester ***and to ensure that the European Semester Officers are required to fulfil the function to increase the democratic legitimacy of the Semester, with an adequate budget to ensure active participation, including of people with direct experience of poverty and social exclusion.***

Or. en

Amendment 176

Guillaume Balas, Edouard Martin

Draft opinion

Paragraph 14

Draft opinion

14. Is deeply concerned by the limited role that national parliaments, social partners and civil society have played in the drafting of the national reform programme (NRP) and the convergence programme (CP); calls on the Commission to favour, within the revision of the economic governance mechanisms, a reform that grants adequate democratic legitimacy to the European Semester.

Amendment

14. Is deeply concerned by the limited role that national parliaments, social partners and civil society have played in the drafting of the national reform programme (NRP) and the convergence programme (CP); calls on the Commission to favour, within the revision of the economic governance mechanisms, a reform that grants adequate democratic legitimacy to the European Semester ***by fully involving the European and national parliaments in the decision making process;***

Or. en

Amendment 177

Jean Lambert

Draft opinion

Paragraph 14 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

14a. Criticises the fact that not all Member States have involved their national parliament, national social partners and civil society in the drafting of their NRPs; calls on the Member States to include a detailed overview in their NRP explaining who was involved in what manner; calls on the Commission to take stock of the different national practices of parliamentary procedures and stakeholder involvement in the European Semester with a view to improving participation;

Or. en

Amendment 178

Laura Agea, Tiziana Beghin, Marco Valli, Marco Zanni

Draft opinion

Paragraph 14 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

14a. Stresses that while SMEs constitute the backbone of job creation in the Union, they continue to face major difficulties in gaining access to financing, and are worryingly over-indebted due also to the excessive fiscal pressure; stresses the importance of investments aiming to develop SMEs, microenterprises, innovative start-ups and business promoting green employment;

Or. en

Amendment 179

Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto

Draft opinion
Paragraph 14 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

14a. Takes note of the Commission's recommendation to reform healthcare systems so that they meet their objectives of providing universal access to high-quality care – including affordable access to medicines, especially those that are life-saving – and to secure respect for the rights of health staff; observes that, as a consequence of the crisis, some Member States have failed to ensure full coverage of public health;

Or. en

Amendment 180
Laura Agea, Tiziana Beghin, Marco Valli, Marco Zanni

Draft opinion
Paragraph 14 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

14b. Regrets that the Commission has not included in the CSR the importance and the job potential of the green economy, which, according to the Commission estimates, could create five million jobs by 2020 in the energy efficiency and renewable energy sectors, provided that ambitious climate and energy policies are put in place;

Or. en

Amendment 181
Jean Lambert

Draft opinion
Paragraph 14 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

14b. Calls on the Commission and Council to enter into an interinstitutional agreement with Parliament in order to give Parliament a full role in the drafting and approval of the Annual Growth Survey and the Economic Policy and Employment Guidelines.

Or. en