



2015/2132(BUD)

28.7.2015

AMENDMENTS

1 - 14

Draft opinion
Alain Cadec
(PE560.748v01-00)

2016 EU budget – Section III – Commission
(COM(2015)0300 – 2015/2132(BUD))

AM_Com_NonLegOpinion

Amendment 1
Liadh Ní Riada

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Points out how important it is that the EU budget *reflect* the stated policy objectives for employment, businesses and entrepreneurship; *stresses* that fisheries and maritime affairs are *also areas* where *jobs are created and growth is generated and* contribute actively to regional development and natural-resource management;

Amendment

1. Points out how important it is that the EU budget *prioritise* the stated policy objectives for employment, *social development*, businesses and entrepreneurship; *emphasises* that fisheries and maritime affairs are *key sectors especially in peripheral Member States where job creation and investment in the relevant declining rural and coastal communities needs to be prioritised as the sectors* contribute actively to regional development and natural-resource management;

Or. en

Amendment 2
Sylvie Goddyn

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Is concerned at the situation in the fisheries sector, which must maintain its competitiveness while complying with the demands of the common fisheries policy (CFP) and the requirements of sound fishery resource management under arrangements to manage stocks above the biomass levels at which the maximum sustainable yield can be achieved; is concerned at the industry's and national authorities' difficulties in meeting the landing obligation;

Amendment

3. Is concerned at the situation in the fisheries sector, which must maintain its competitiveness while complying with the demands of the common fisheries policy (CFP) and the requirements of sound fishery resource management under arrangements to manage stocks above the biomass levels at which the maximum sustainable yield can be achieved; is concerned at the industry's and national authorities' difficulties in meeting the landing obligation; *stresses that the efforts*

sought from fishermen have already enabled many stocks to attain the maximum sustainable yield;

Or. fr

Amendment 3

Nils Torvalds

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Is concerned at the situation in the fisheries sector, which must maintain its competitiveness while complying with the demands of the common fisheries policy (CFP) and the requirements of sound fishery resource management under arrangements to manage stocks above the biomass levels at which the maximum sustainable yield can be achieved; *is concerned at the industry's and national authorities' difficulties in meeting the landing obligation;*

Amendment

3. Is concerned at the situation in the fisheries sector, which must maintain its competitiveness while complying with the demands of the common fisheries policy (CFP) and the requirements of sound fishery resource management under arrangements to manage stocks above the biomass levels at which the maximum sustainable yield can be achieved;

Or. en

Amendment 4

Isabelle Thomas

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Is concerned at the situation in the fisheries sector, which must maintain its competitiveness while complying with the demands of the common fisheries policy (CFP) and the requirements of sound fishery resource management under arrangements to manage stocks above the biomass levels at which the maximum

Amendment

3. Is concerned at the situation in the fisheries sector, which must maintain its competitiveness while complying with the demands of the common fisheries policy (CFP) and the requirements of sound fishery resource management under arrangements to manage stocks above the biomass levels at which the maximum

sustainable yield can be achieved; is concerned at the industry's and national authorities' difficulties in meeting the landing obligation;

sustainable yield can be achieved; is concerned at the industry's and national authorities' difficulties in meeting the landing obligation ***and at the planned abolition of storage aid, as a result of which it will become impossible to tackle price volatility, in breach of the Treaty;***

Or. fr

Amendment 5
Alain Cadec

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Council position

Or. fr

Amendment 6
Alain Cadec

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4a. Deplores the fact that, in Title 11 of Section III, the Council has reduced commitment appropriations by EUR 750 388 and payment appropriations by EUR 4 646 986;

Or. fr

Amendment 7
Alain Cadec

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4b. Expresses its concern about the Council's reading of the budget for 2016, which fails to take into account the political commitments in the Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF) and reduces certain appropriations which are vital to the implementation of the CFP; calls, accordingly, for the appropriations for Title 11 of Section III of the Commission's Draft Budget to be reinstated;

Or. fr

Amendment 8
Sylvie Goddyn

Draft opinion
7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7a. Points out that the EMFF must be sufficiently primed to help the small-scale fishing industry renew its fishing gear in order to meet the severe constraints connected with the landing obligation; considers that, since that obligation arises from a regulation, all the expenses incurred by fishermen in this regard should be covered by the EMFF;

Or. fr

Amendment 9
Nils Torvalds

Draft opinion
Paragraph 19

Draft opinion

Amendment

19. Notes the volume of appropriations requested in the draft budget; notes the efforts needed to complete negotiations with a number of third countries; calls on DG MARE to continue its efforts to make sure that, under certain agreements, fishing possibilities are kept at their current level; calls on DG MARE to be more specific about how many more such agreements there will be over the coming years (+2);

deleted

Or. en

**Amendment 10
Nils Torvalds**

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 20**

Draft opinion

Amendment

20. Calls on DG MARE to carry out precise monitoring of sectoral aid, with detailed charts, in line with the indicators put forward in the programme statements;

deleted

Or. en

**Amendment 11
Isabelle Thomas**

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 22**

Draft opinion

Amendment

22. Notes the appropriations earmarked for the EFCA; regrets the loss of one post on the establishment plan; *notes, however, that the reduction in allocations is in line with the objective of bringing EU*

22. Notes the appropriations earmarked for the EFCA; regrets the loss of one post on the establishment plan; *proposes therefore that the budget of the European Fisheries Control Agency (EFCA) be increased so*

agencies' spending under control; calls on the Council not to go beyond the projected reductions in the draft budget;

as to enable it to carry out the new tasks arising from the new Basic Regulation on the common fisheries policy; considers that the proposed increase should cover the costs incurred in respect of staff, operations and IT support;

Or. fr

Amendment 12
Isabelle Thomas

Draft opinion
Paragraph 25

Draft opinion

25. Urges the Member States concerned to do their utmost to ensure that all operational programmes as referred to in Articles 17, 18 and 19 of the EMFF Regulation are validated by 31 December 2015 at the latest; takes the view that the amounts entered in the draft budget against Articles 11 06 60, 11 06 61, 11 06 62 and 11 06 63 are in line with needs;

Amendment

25. Urges the Member States concerned to do their utmost to ensure that all operational programmes as referred to in Articles 17, 18 and 19 of the EMFF Regulation are validated by 31 December 2015 at the latest; takes the view that the amounts entered in the draft budget against Articles 11 06 60, 11 06 61, 11 06 62 and 11 06 63 are in line with needs, *with the exception, however, of heading 11 06 62 01 on scientific advice and knowledge, the drastic reduction of payments for which, particularly in comparison with 2015, seems unjustified, and that the amounts should therefore be reinstated at the 2015 levels;*

Or. fr

Amendment 13
Isabelle Thomas

Draft opinion
Paragraph 25 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

25a. Proposes that headings 11 06 60 01,

11 06 60 02, 11 06 60 03, 11 06 60 04, 11 06 60 05 and 11 06 60 06 be created in order to render transparent and amenable to monitoring the allocation of expenditure adopted in Article 13 of Regulation (EU) No 508/2014 on the EMFF;

Or. fr

Amendment 14
Nils Torvalds

Draft opinion
Paragraph 27

Draft opinion

27. Supports all current pilot projects and preparatory actions, which should be adequately funded so that they can be completed; notes the requests for payment appropriations for the projects and actions under Items 11 06 77 03, 11 06 77 06, 11 06 77 07, 11 06 77 08 and 11 06 77 09; calls on the Council to take into consideration the new pilot projects backed by Parliament.

Amendment

27. Supports all current pilot projects and preparatory actions *related to fisheries*, which should be adequately funded so that they can be completed; notes the requests for payment appropriations for the projects and actions under Items 11 06 77 03, 11 06 77 06, 11 06 77 07, 11 06 77 08 and 11 06 77 09; calls on the Council to take into consideration the new pilot projects backed by Parliament.

Or. en