



7.12.2015

AMENDMENTS

1 - 16

Draft report

Victor Negrescu

(PE571.687v01-00)

on the proposal for a decision of the European Parliament and of the Council on the mobilisation of the European Globalisation Adjustment Fund, in accordance with point 13 of the Interinstitutional Agreement of 2 December 2013 between the European Parliament, the Council and the Commission on budgetary discipline, on cooperation in budgetary matters and on sound financial management (application EGF/2015/006 - IE/PWA International, from Ireland)
(COM(2015)0555 – C8-0329/2015 – 2015/2295(BUD))

Amendment 1

Marita Ulvskog, Jeroen Lenaers

on behalf of the Committee on Employment and Social Affairs

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2a. Points out that the derogation from point (a) of Article 4(1) of the EGF Regulation in this case relates to a number of redundancies which is significantly lower than the threshold of 500 redundancies; accepts the argument of the Irish authorities for this derogation and welcomes that the application includes an equal number of NEETs to be supported by the measures;

Or. en

Amendment 2

Marita Ulvskog, Jeroen Lenaers

on behalf of the Committee on Employment and Social Affairs

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4a. Points out that PWAI could have been negatively affected by the lack of a provision of the EU-Korea Free Trade Agreement (FTA) which would exempt repaired goods from customs duties on re-entry; draws attention to a similar provision in the US-Korea FTA, which results in preferential conditions for US companies which could also contribute to the market loss of PWAI as compared to US enterprises operating in the same sector;

Or. en

Amendment 3

Marita Ulvskog, Jeroen Lenaers

on behalf of the Committee on Employment and Social Affairs

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4b. Notes that since the redundancies of PWAI occurred later than those of Lufthansa Technik, which operated in the same sector, those workers could not have been involved in a sectoral application covering the dismissals in the two enterprises together; considers therefore that those redundancies can only be addressed in this separate application;

Or. en

Amendment 4

Liadh Ní Riada

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5a. Notes that the EU-Korea agreement could have negatively affected PWAI by exempting repaired goods from customs duties on re-entry; therefore, calls on the Commission to ensure that Union trade policy does not impact negatively on the Union labour market;

Or. en

Amendment 5

Liadh Ní Riada

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Agrees that the pre-existing difficult situation in the area, combined with the cumulative effect of three major closures in the MRO sector within a short period, and the fact that no employers in this sector remain in Ireland *may justify* a derogation from the threshold of 500 redundancies set out in article 4(1) of the EGF regulation; reiterates, in this regard, its recommendation to the Commission to *either clarify the derogation criteria set out in Article 4(1) of the EGF Regulation, or lower the threshold of 500 workers being made redundant;*

Amendment

6. Agrees that the pre-existing difficult situation in the area, combined with the cumulative effect of three major closures in the MRO sector within a short period, and the fact that no employers in this sector remain in Ireland *justifies* a derogation from the threshold of 500 redundancies set out in article 4(1) of the EGF regulation; reiterates, in this regard, its recommendation to the Commission to lower the threshold *set out in Article 4(1) of the EGF Regulation* of 500 workers being made redundant;

Or. en

Amendment 6

Marita Ulvskog, Jeroen Lenaers

on behalf of the Committee on Employment and Social Affairs

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9a. Welcomes the variety of training measures to be provided to the beneficiaries; notes that the enterprise and self-employment support measures will only be available to a limited number of beneficiaries;

Or. en

Amendment 7

Marita Ulvskog, Jeroen Lenaers

on behalf of the Committee on Employment and Social Affairs

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9b. Notes that the authorities estimate that 24,81 % of the costs will be used for time limited allowances, which remains much below the maximum allowed 35 % of all costs;

Or. en

Amendment 8

Marita Ulvskog, Jeroen Lenaers

on behalf of the Committee on Employment and Social Affairs

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11. Recalls that, in line with Article 7 of the EGF Regulation, the design of the coordinated package of personalised services supported by the EGF should anticipate future labour market perspectives and required skills and should be compatible with the shift towards a resource-efficient economy.

11. Recalls that in line with Article 7 of the Regulation, the design of the coordinated package of personalised services supported by the EGF should anticipate future labour market perspectives and required skills and should be compatible with the shift towards a resource-efficient **and sustainable** economy.

Or. en

Amendment 9

Liadh Ní Riada

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13a. Calls on the Commission to bear in mind, in view of the upcoming EGF revision, that EGFs should ensure new and sustainable employment opportunities for the beneficiaries;

Amendment 10

Marita Ulvskog, Jeroen Lenaers

on behalf of the Committee on Employment and Social Affairs

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14a. Calls on the Commission to ensure that trade policy decisions are examined from the aspect of their potential impact on the Union labour market;

Or. en

Amendment 11

Andrey Novakov

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14b. Regrets that EGF mobilisation is proposed for only 108 redundant employees who are to benefit from the instrument and points out that wider interpretation of Article 4(1) of the EGF Regulation may not be appropriate;

Or. en

Amendment 12

Andrey Novakov

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14c. Observes that this proposal aims to mobilise the EGF with effectively the smallest number of laid off workers proposed so far;

Or. en

Amendment 13
Andrey Novakov

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14d. Notes that almost 80 % of the redundant employees are aged 30-54 and therefore they represent a highly employable group with lower risk of long-term unemployment;

Or. en

Amendment 14
Andrey Novakov

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 e (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14e. Points out that all 108 redundancies are in the economic sector classified as "Repair and installation of machinery and equipment", and more specifically aviation jet engines, which renders the employees skilled and adaptable to the labour market;

Or. en

Amendment 15
Andrey Novakov

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 f (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14.e. Underlines that the redundancies took place in Rathcoole, which is located in the vicinity of Dublin, an economic and industrial hub where decreasing unemployment, increased business activity and overall economic growth are observed;

Or. en

Amendment 16
Andrey Novakov

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 g (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14g. Draws attention to the fact that any reference to application EGF/2009/021 IE/SR Technics is too far reaching as the case in question dates back to 2009;

Or. en